

THE REAL LOCI OF THE CALOGERO–MOSER SPACES AND THE SHAPIRO–SHAPIRO CONJECTURE

EMIL HOROZOV AND MILEN YAKIMOV

ABSTRACT. We state six conjectures on the real loci of the Calogero–Moser spaces, the real locus of the Wilson’s adelic Grassmannian, real representations of a certain Cherednik algebra and the deformed preprojective algebra of a certain quiver, real bases of spaces of quasipolynomials, and eigenvalues of Calogero–Moser matrices. Then we prove that all six conjectures are equivalent and show that the well-known Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture in real Schubert calculus (recently proved by Mukhin, Tarasov and Varchenko) follows from them. The latter arises as a certain degeneration of the previous conjectures.

After this paper had been completed, Alexander Varchenko informed us that Conjectures 1.1 (same as 3.3), 2.1, and 7.2 were proved by Mukhin, Tarasov, and Varchenko in their paper [MTV2].

1. INTRODUCTION

The Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture states that, *if $p_1(x), \dots, p_n(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ are such that the Wronskian $\text{Wr}(p_1(x), \dots, p_n(x))$ has only real roots, then $\text{Span}\{p_1(x), \dots, p_n(x)\}$ has a real basis.* It plays a major role in the real Schubert calculus [S1, S2] and the theory of real algebraic curves [KS]. Considerable numerical evidence was obtained [S3], supporting the conjecture. For a long time the conjecture was open. It was proved in the case $n = 2$ by Eremenko and Gabrielov [EG1, EG2]. In the general case, it was proved by Mukhin, Tarasov, and Varchenko [MTV1].

In this paper we state several conjectures on the real loci of complex algebraic varieties related to representation theory and integrable systems, and reality of representations of preprojective algebras of quivers and Cherednik algebras. We prove that all these conjectures are equivalent to each other and that they imply the Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture. *The new conjectures also desingularize the latter in a sense which will be spelled out below.*

The link between all conjectures is the notion of a *Calogero–Moser space*, [W2, KKS] The n -th Calogero–Moser space C_n is the geometric quotient of

$$\overline{C}_n = \{(X, Z) \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})^{\times 2} \mid \text{rank}([X, Z] + I_n) = 1\}$$

by the action of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ by simultaneous conjugation. We refer to Sect. 3 for details, here we only point out that C_n is a smooth, irreducible, complex affine variety, [W2]. We will denote by π_n the corresponding map $\overline{C}_n \rightarrow C_n$.

The real locus RC_n of C_n is defined as the image under π_n of the pairs of real matrices (X, Z) in $\overline{C}_n$. More details on RC_n , including an identification with a real Calogero–Moser space, can be found in Sect. 3. Our first conjecture is:

Conjecture 1.1. If $(X, Z) \in \overline{C}_n$ and both X and Z have real eigenvalues, then $\pi_n(X, Z)$ belongs to the real locus of C_n .

In elementary terms, the conclusion is that *X and Z can be simultaneously conjugated (under $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$) to real matrices.* In Sect. 7 we prove that the original Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture is equivalent to the special case of this conjecture for pairs of matrices $(X, Z) \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})^{\times 2}$ where Z is nilpotent. Consider the canonical map, [EG, p. 286]

$$(1.1) \quad \Upsilon: C_n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{(n)} \times \mathbb{A}^{(n)}, \quad \Upsilon(\pi_n(X, Z)) = (\text{Spec}(X), \text{Spec}(Z)),$$

where $\mathbb{A}^{(n)} = \mathbb{A}^n/S_n$ and $\text{Spec}(X)$ stand for the eigenvalues of a square matrix. In terms of this map Conjecture 1.1 simply claims that

$$\Upsilon^{-1}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)} \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)}) \subset RC_n,$$

where $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)} = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^n/S_n$. The Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture is in fact equivalent to the special case of Conjecture 1.1 for the fibers of Υ over $\mathbb{A}^{(n)} \times 0$ which are singular, see Sect. 7. *In Sect. 3 we show that to prove the general conjecture, one only needs to verify it for the generic fibers of Υ . In this sense our Conjecture 1.1 “desingularizes” the Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture.* A great deal of information is known about the map Υ . Etingof and Ginzburg showed [EG, Proposition 4.15] that it is a finite map of degree $n!$. Furthermore the fiber of Υ over 0×0 was studied in great detail by Etingof, Ginzburg, Finkelberg, and Gordon [EG, FG, G]. Finally, we point out that the equivalences which we prove, described below, lead to an application in the opposite direct: the results of Mukhin, Tarasov, and Varchenko [MTV1] can be interpreted as a description of the fibers of Υ over $\mathbb{A}^{(n)} \times 0$.

Going back to the generic fibers of Y , Conjecture 1.1 is equivalent to the following conjecture in elementary linear algebra terms, which has to do with eigenvalues of a class of matrices called Calogero–Moser matrices:

Define the Calogero–Moser matrix $Z = \{Z_{jl}\}_{j,l=1}^n$, where

$$Z_{jj} = \alpha_j, \quad Z_{jl} = (\lambda_j - \lambda_l)^{-1}, \quad \text{for } j \neq l,$$

for some complex numbers λ_j and α_j . If $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are real and Z has only real eigenvalues, then $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ must be real.

Proposition 4.15 in [EG] implies that for (fixed) generic λ ’s and generic eigenvalues of Z , there exist exactly $n!$ n -tuples $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ with this property.

The Calogero–Moser space C_n parametrizes the equivalence classes of representations of the deformed preprojective algebra $\Pi_{\underline{\nu}}(Q)$ of a certain quiver (for a specific dimension) [CBH, CB], and the irreducible representations of the rational Cherednik algebra $H_{0,1}(S_n)$, [EG]. In Sect. 4 and 5 we find equivalent formulations of Conjecture 1.1 in representation-theoretic terms. Conjecture 4.1 and Conjecture 5.2 state that, *if for a given representation of $\Pi_{\underline{\nu}}(Q)$ or $H_{0,1}(S_n)$, certain elements act by operators with only real eigenvalues, then this representation is the complexification of a representation of natural real forms of $\Pi_{\underline{\nu}}(Q)$ or $H_{0,1}(S_n)$.* The equivalence of these two conjectures with Conjecture 1.1 is established in Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 5.3.

The disjoint union of all Calogero–Moser spaces also parametrizes Wilson’s adelic Grassmannian Gr^{ad} , [W2]. The latter first arose as the set of all solutions of the KP hierarchy which have bispectral wave functions of rank 1, [W1]. In Sect. 6 we reformulate Conjecture 1.1 into a conjecture about the real locus of Gr^{ad} (i.e. about all elements of Gr^{ad} which have real tau function). Our conjecture states that:

If $W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ has the property that the specializations of the tau function $\tau_W(x, 0, \dots)$ and the bispectral dual tau function $\tau_{bW}(x, 0, \dots)$ have real roots, then $\tau_W(t_1, t_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{C}[[t_1, t_2, \dots]]$ has real coefficients.

Sect. 6 also provides a detailed study of the real locus of Gr^{ad} .

In Sect. 7 we find a reformulation of Conjecture 1.1 which could be considered as the most straightforward generalization of the original Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture in elementary terms:

We start with a collection of distinct real numbers $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and a collection of finite dimensional subspaces $V_1, \dots, V_k$ of $\mathbb{C}[x]$. If for a given basis $\{q_1(x), \dots, q_N(x)\}$ of $e^{\mu_1 x} V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus e^{\mu_k x} V_k$ the polynomial

$$e^{-(\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_k)x} \text{Wr}(q_1(x), \dots, q_N(x))$$

has only real roots, then all vector spaces $V_1, \dots, V_k$ have real bases.

The conjectures in this paper should also have a reformulation in terms of one-sided ideals of the first Weyl algebra with real bases, [BW].

Tracing back the equivalence between the set of conjectures which we state, we find new equivalent reformulations of the Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture in the different setups. This is done in Sect. 7. A curious one arises from the setting of the Wilson adelic Grassmannian, cf. Theorem 7.5 for more details. According to it:

Fix a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_l > 0)$ and consider the corresponding Schur function $s_\lambda(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N)$, $N = \lambda_1 + l - 1$. If $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N \in \mathbb{C}$ are such that $s_\lambda(x + c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N)$ has only real roots, then $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N \in \mathbb{R}$.

This is now a fact (not a conjecture) because of the Mukhin–Tarasov–Varchenko proof [MTV1] of the Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture.

We have performed numerical computations which confirm our conjectures in low dimension.

Finally we would like to point out that the real loci of other quiver varieties, and the reality of the representation of other deformed preprojective algebras of quivers and Cherednik algebras could be naturally related to combinatorial problems of the Shapiro–Shapiro type.

After this paper had been completed, Alexander Varchenko informed us that Conjectures 1.1 (same as 3.3), 2.1, and 7.2 were proved by Mukhin, Tarasov, and Varchenko in their paper [MTV2].

Acknowledgements. The research of E.H. was partially supported by grant MI 1504/2005 of the national fund ”Scientific research” of Bulgarian Ministry of Education and Science. The research of M.Y. was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0406057 and an Alfred P. Sloan research fellowship.

2. EIGENVALUES OF CALOGERO–MOSER MATRICES

We start with an elementary formulation of our set of equivalent conjectures in linear algebra terms. It has to do with Calogero–Moser matrices with real distinct eigenvalues.

Conjecture 2.1. Let $\underline{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be an n -tuples of real distinct numbers. If an n -tuple $\underline{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ has the property that the matrix

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^{-1} & \dots & (\lambda_1 - \lambda_n)^{-1} \\ (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)^{-1} & \alpha_2 & \dots & (\lambda_2 - \lambda_n)^{-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ (\lambda_n - \lambda_1)^{-1} & (\lambda_n - \lambda_2)^{-1} & \dots & \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}$$

has real distinct eigenvalues $\underline{\mu}$, then $\underline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

As a consequence of [EG, Proposition 4.15], for generic λ 's and generic eigenvalues of Z , there exist exactly $n!$ n -tuples $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ with this property. This follows from general considerations for the Calogero–Moser spaces, described in the next Section.

3. CALOGERO–MOSER SPACES

First we recall the definition of the Calogero–Moser spaces, for details we refer the reader to [W2]. Define the locally closed subset $\overline{C}_n$ of $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, consisting of pairs of matrices (X, Z) such that

$$(3.1) \quad \text{rank}([X, Z] + I_n) = 1,$$

where I_n is the identity matrix of size $n \times n$. The action of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ on $\overline{C}_n$ by simultaneous conjugation:

$$(3.2) \quad g \cdot (X, Z) = (gXg^{-1}, gZg^{-1}), \quad g \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$$

is free and proper, see [W2]. For this action, there exists a geometric quotient

$$(3.3) \quad \pi_n: \overline{C}_n \rightarrow C_n = \overline{C}_n / GL_n(\mathbb{C})$$

which is a smooth, irreducible, complex affine variety, [W2]. It is called the n -th Calogero–Moser space. Define the real locus of $\overline{C}_n$ by

$$\overline{R}_n = \{(X, Z) \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid \text{rank}([X, Z] + I_n) = 1\}.$$

Now we define the real locus of C_n as the push-forward of the real locus of $\overline{C}_n$ under π_n :

$$RC_n := \pi_n(\overline{R}_n).$$

The next Proposition identifies RC_n with a real Calogero–Moser space and explicitly describes it as a real algebraic subset of C_n . Denote the natural inclusion

$$\bar{i}_n: \overline{R}_n \hookrightarrow \overline{C}_n.$$

Here we view $\overline{C}_n$ as a real variety and $\bar{i}_n$ as an embedding of real varieties. We also define

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{R}}(C_n) = \mathcal{O}(\overline{C}_n)^{GL_n(\mathbb{C})} \cap \mathbb{R}[x_{jl}, z_{jl}]_{j,l=1}^n \subset \mathcal{O}(C_n),$$

where x_{jl}, z_{jl} are the matrix entries of X, Z considered as regular functions on $\overline{C}_n$.

Proposition 3.1. *The set RC_n coincides with the real algebraic subset of C_n*

$$\{c \in C_n \mid f(c) \in \mathbb{R}, \forall f \in \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{R}}(C_n)\},$$

cf. (3.4).

There exists a (smooth) geometric quotient for the action of $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ on $\overline{R}_n$ and thus the natural inclusion $\bar{i}_n: \overline{R}_n \hookrightarrow \overline{C}_n$ induces a morphism of real varieties $i_n: \overline{R}_n/GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_n$. Furthermore, i_n defines an isomorphism of real varieties $i_n: \overline{R}_n/GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \cong RC_n$.

We will call the quotient $R_n := \overline{R}_n/GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ the n -th real Calogero–Moser space.

Proof. Consider the categorical quotient $\nu_n: \overline{R}_n \rightarrow \overline{R}_n//GL_n(\mathbb{R})$. By the universal property of categorical quotients $\bar{i}_n$ descends to the map (of real varieties)

$$i_n: \overline{R}_n//GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_n.$$

The fiber of $\pi_n \bar{i}_n: \overline{R}_n \rightarrow C_n$ through $(X, Z) \in \overline{R}_n$ is

$$\{(X_1, Z_1) \in \overline{R}_n \mid \exists g \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \text{ such that } X_1 = gXg^{-1}, Z_1 = gZg^{-1}\}.$$

Lemma 3.2 below implies that the fiber of $i_n \nu_n = \pi_n \bar{i}_n: \overline{R}_n \rightarrow C_n$ through $(X, Z) \in \overline{R}_n$ is

$$\{(X_1, Z_1) \in \overline{R}_n \mid \exists g \in GL_n(\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } X_1 = gXg^{-1}, Z_1 = gZg^{-1}\}.$$

This implies that i_n is an injection and that the fibers of ν_n are exactly the $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ orbits on $\overline{R}_n$. In particular, ν_n is a geometric quotient; hence we will denote its range by $\overline{R}_n/GL_n(\mathbb{R})$. Analogously to [W2, Section 1] the latter is smooth.

It is clear that

$$\text{Im } i_n \subset RC_n \subset X_n := \{c \in C_n \mid f(c) \in \mathbb{R}, \forall f \in \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{R}}(C_n)\}.$$

Furthermore, $\mathcal{O}(C_n)$ is the complexification of $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{R}}(C_n)$ since as a $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ module $\mathcal{O}(\overline{C}_n) \cap \mathbb{R}[x_{jl}, z_{jl}]_{j,l=1}^n$ is a direct sum of finite dimensional modules and $\left(\mathcal{O}(\overline{C}_n) \cap \mathbb{R}[x_{jl}, z_{jl}]_{j,l=1}^n\right)_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathcal{O}(\overline{C}_n)$. Thus

$$i_n^*: \mathcal{O}(X_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(R_n)$$

is an isomorphism, where $\mathcal{O}(X_n)$ and $\mathcal{O}(R_n)$ denote the real coordinate rings of X_n and R_n . This implies that $RC_n = X_n$ and that $i_n: R_n \rightarrow RC_n = X_n$ is an isomorphism of real algebraic varieties. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $X_1, \dots, X_k, Y_1, \dots, Y_k \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R})$. If there exists $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that*

$$(3.5) \quad Y_1 = gX_1g^{-1}, \dots, Y_k = gX_kg^{-1},$$

then there exists $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ with the same property.

Proof. We can assume that the element $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying (3.5) is such that $\operatorname{Re} g$ is nondegenerate. If this is not the case we can substitute g with $a.g$ for an appropriate scalar $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Taking real parts in $Y_j g = g X_j$ we find

$$Y_1 = (\operatorname{Re} g) X_1 (\operatorname{Re} g)^{-1}, \dots, Y_k = (\operatorname{Re} g) Y_1 (\operatorname{Re} g)^{-1}, \quad \operatorname{Re} g \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$$

and thus $\operatorname{Re} g \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ has the needed property. $\square$

We are ready to state our main conjecture on the real loci RC_n of the Calogero–Moser spaces C_n .

Conjecture 3.3. If $(X, Z) \in \overline{C}_n$ and X and Z have only real eigenvalues, then $\pi_n(X, Z)$ is in the real locus RC_n of C_n . In other words, if $(X, Z) \in \overline{C}_n$ and X and Z have only real eigenvalues, then there exists $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ such both matrices gXg^{-1} and gZg^{-1} have real entries.

Theorem 3.4. *Conjecture 2.1 is equivalent to Conjecture 3.3.*

Proof. Assume Conjecture 3.3. Let $\underline{\lambda}$ and $\underline{\mu}$ be two real n -tuples as in Conjecture 2.1 and let Z be the matrix (2.1). Set $X = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$. Then according to Conjecture 3.3 there exists $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, such that $g.(X, Z) \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R})^{\times 2}$. Since the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ of the matrix X are distinct, there exists a matrix $g_1 \in GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ for which $g = g_1 A$, where $A = \operatorname{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ with some complex numbers $a_1, \dots, a_n$. The fact that the matrix $gZg^{-1} = g_1 A Z A^{-1} g_1^{-1}$ is real shows that $A Z A^{-1}$ is also real. The off-diagonal entries of this matrix are $(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^{-1} a_i a_j^{-1}$, i.e. $a_i a_j^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}$. One can write $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = a_1 (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ for some real n -tuple $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Now put $g_2 = g_1 \cdot \operatorname{diag}(b_1, \dots, b_n)$. Then $g_2 Z g_2^{-1} = g_1 Z g_1^{-1}$ is real and the matrix g_2 is real. Thus z has real entries and in particular $\underline{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Assume now the validity of Conjecture 2.1. In terms of the map $\Upsilon: C_n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{(n)} \times \mathbb{A}^{(n)}$, see (1.1), Conjecture 2.1 means that

$$(3.6) \quad \Upsilon^{-1}((\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)})^* \times (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)})^*) \subset RC_n,$$

see e.g. [W2, Proposition 1.10]. Here $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)}$ denotes the subset $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^n / S_n$ of $\mathbb{A}^{(n)}$, and $(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)})^*$ denotes the smooth locus of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)}$ consisting of collections of n distinct real numbers. Since Υ is finite and RC_n is a real algebraic subset of C_n (see Proposition 3.1), by taking closures in (3.6) we get

$$\Upsilon^{-1}((\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)})^* \times (\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)})^*) \subset RC_n \Rightarrow \Upsilon^{-1}((\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)}) \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{R}}^{(n)}) \subset RC_n.$$

This establishes the validity of Conjecture 3.3. $\square$

4. DEFORMED PREPROJECTIVE ALGEBRAS OF QUIVERS

Let $Q = (I, Q)$ be a finite quiver with vertex set I and arrow set Q . Denote by $\overline{Q}$ its doubling (obtained by adding a reverse arrow a^* for each arrow a of Q). The deformed preprojective algebra of Q , corresponding to the weight $\underline{\nu} = (\nu_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{C}^I$, was defined by Crawley-Boevey and Holland [CBH] as the quotient algebra

$$\Pi_{\underline{\nu}}(Q) = \mathbb{C}\overline{Q} / \left\langle \sum_{a \in Q} [a, a^*] - \sum_{i \in I} \nu_i e_i \right\rangle.$$

Here $\mathbb{C}\overline{Q}$ denotes the path algebra of the double quiver $\overline{Q}$ and e_i denote the standard idempotents of $\overline{Q}$. For a real weight $\underline{\nu} = (\nu_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathbb{R}^I$, denote

$$\Pi_{\underline{\nu}}^{\mathbb{R}}(Q) = \mathbb{R}\overline{Q} / \langle \sum_{a \in Q} [a, a^*] - \sum_{i \in I} \nu_i e_i \rangle.$$

Thus, for $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^I$, $\Pi_{\underline{\nu}}(Q)$ is the complexification of $\Pi_{\underline{\nu}}^{\mathbb{R}}(Q)$.

We restrict our attention to the quiver Q with 2 vertices 0 and ∞ , and two arrows $v: 0 \rightarrow \infty$ and $X: 0 \rightarrow 0$. Set also $\nu_0 = -1, \nu_{\infty} = n$. Denote $w = v^*$, $Z = X^*$. The algebra $\Pi_{\underline{\nu}}(Q)$ is the algebra generated by X, Z, v, w and the idempotents e_0, e_{∞} , which in addition to the path algebra relations satisfy

$$[X, Z] - wv = -e_0, \quad vw = ne_{\infty}.$$

A left $\Pi_{\underline{\nu}}(Q)$ -module of weight $(-1, n)$ is a complex vector space $V = V_0 \oplus V_{\infty}$ with an action of

$$X, Z \in \text{End}(V_0), \quad v \in \text{Hom}(V_0, V_{\infty}), \quad w \in \text{Hom}(V_{\infty}, V_0)$$

such that

$$[X, Z] + \text{Id}_{V_0} = wv, \quad vw = n\text{Id}_{V_{\infty}}.$$

Finally we restrict our attention to representations of $\Pi_{\underline{\nu}}(Q)$ of dimension vector $(n, 1)$ (i.e. $\dim V_0 = n, \dim V_{\infty} = 1$). All such representations are irreducible [CB, W2].

Conjecture 4.1. Consider a representation $V_0 \oplus V_{\infty}$ of the deformed preprojective algebra $\Pi_{\underline{\nu}}(Q)$ of the above quiver for the weight $\underline{\nu} = (-1, n)$ with dimension vector $(n, 1)$. If the operators $X, Z \in \text{End}(V_0)$ have only real eigenvalues, then this representation is the complexification of a representation of the real algebra $\Pi_{\underline{\nu}}(Q)^{\mathbb{R}}$.

Theorem 4.2. *Conjecture 4.1 is equivalent to Conjecture 3.3.*

This equivalence is immediate; one only needs to observe that, if V_0 has a basis in which the matrix entries of X and Z are real, then V_{∞} (which is 1-dimensional) has a basis in which the entries of v and w are real.

Remark 4.3. Because of the equivalence between Conjecture 2.1 and Conjecture 3.3, it is sufficient to prove Conjecture 4.1 in the case when X and Z have distinct eigenvalues.

5. CHEREDNIK ALGEBRAS

In this section we will work with the rational Cherednik algebras $H_{0,c}(S_n)$ with deformation parameters $t = 0$ and $c \neq 0$, where S_n is the n -th symmetric group. Cherednik algebras are two step degenerations of double affine Hecke algebras [Ch]. For details on Cherednik algebras and more generally on symplectic reflection algebras we refer the reader to the Etingof–Ginzburg paper [EG]. The algebra $H_{0,c}(S_n)$ is generated by the polynomials subalgebras $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and

$\mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$, and the group algebra $\mathbb{C}S_n$ of the symmetric group, subject to the following deformed crossed product relations

$$\begin{aligned} s_{ij}x_i &= x_js_{ij}, & s_{ij}y_i &= y_js_{ij}, \\ [x_i, y_j] &= cs_{ij} \quad (i \neq j), & [x_k, y_k] &= c \sum_{i \neq k} s_{ik}. \end{aligned}$$

The Cherednik algebras $H_{0,c}(S_n)$ are isomorphic for different values of $c \neq 0$ and we will restrict our attention to $c = 1$. Denote by $e = (1/n!) \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sigma$ the symmetrizing idempotent of $\mathbb{C}S_n \subset H_{0,1}(S_n)$. The spherical subalgebra of $H_{0,1}(S_n)$ is the subalgebra $U = eH_{0,c}(S_n)e$, [EG].

First we recall several results of Etingof and Ginzburg on finite dimensional irreducible $H_{0,1}(S_n)$ modules.

Theorem 5.1. (*Etingof-Ginzburg*, [EG, Theorem 1.24])

(a) U is a commutative algebra and is isomorphic to the coordinate ring $\mathcal{O}(C_n)$ of the n -th Calogero–Moser space C_n .

(b) All irreducible $H_{0,1}(S_n)$ modules are parametrized by the points of C_n . Given $c \in C_n$, the corresponding $H_{0,1}(S_n)$ module is

$$M_c := H_{0,1}(S_n)e \otimes_U \chi_c$$

where $\chi_c: U \cong \mathcal{O}(C_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the character associated to c .

Although we will not be using this below, we note that each M_c has dimension $n!$ and is isomorphic, as an S_n module, to the regular representation of S_n , [EG, Theorem 1.4 (iii)].

We will need the following additional fact from [EG] regarding the structure of the modules M_c . First, denote by S_{n-1} the subgroup of S_n permuting the last $n-1$ indices $\{2, \dots, n\}$ and by $\bar{e} = (1/(n-1)!) \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-1}} \sigma$ the symmetrizing idempotent of S_{n-1} . The subspace $\bar{e}M_c$ is stable under the action of x_1 and y_1 because x_1 and y_1 commute with $\bar{e}$. In any basis of $\bar{e}M_c$, x_1 and y_1 act by a pair of matrices $(X_c, Z_c) \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}_n$ such that $\pi_n(X_c, Z_c) = c$.

Finally, we denote the real subalgebra of $H_{0,1}(S_n)$ generated by $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$ and the elements of S_n by $H_{0,1}^{\mathbb{R}}(S_n)$. It is clear that $H_{0,1}(S_n)$ is the complexification of $H_{0,1}^{\mathbb{R}}(S_n)$.

Conjecture 5.2. Fix an irreducible $H_{0,1}(S_n)$ module V . If the restriction of the operators x_1 and y_1 to $\bar{e}V$ have only real eigenvalues, then V is the complexification of a (real) $H_{0,1}^{\mathbb{R}}(S_n)$ module.

Theorem 5.3. *Conjecture 5.2 is equivalent to Conjecture 3.3.*

Proof. Assume the validity of Conjecture 5.2 and fix $(X, Y) \in \bar{\mathcal{C}}_n$ such that both X and Z have only real eigenvalues. Set $c = \pi_n(X, Z)$. Conjecture 5.2 implies that M_c is the complexification of a real $H_{0,1}^{\mathbb{R}}(S_n)$ module $M_c^{\mathbb{R}}$. Therefore $\bar{e}M_c^{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real vector space which is stable under x_1 and y_1 and such that $(\bar{e}M_c^{\mathbb{R}})_{\mathbb{C}} = \bar{e}M_c$. If X_1 and Z_1 are the matrix representation of the restriction of the operators x_1 and y_1 to $\bar{e}M_c^{\mathbb{R}}$ in any basis of $\bar{e}M_c^{\mathbb{R}}$, then $(X_1, Z_1) \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}_n \cap GL_n(\mathbb{C})(X, Z)$. Thus $\pi_n(X, Z) \in RC_n$.

In the opposite direction, let us assume the validity of Conjecture 3.3. Fix $c \in C_n$ such that the restriction of x_1 and y_1 to $\bar{e}M_c$ have only real eigenvalues. Then c belongs to the real locus RC_n of C_n . One checks by a direct computation that under the Etingof–Ginzburg isomorphism $U \cong \mathcal{O}(C_n)$, $U^{\mathbb{R}} = eH_{0,1}^{\mathbb{R}}(S_n)e$ correspond to $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{R}}(C_n)$, recall (3.4). Because of Proposition 3.1, $\chi_c: U \cong \mathcal{O}(C_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ restricts to a real character $\chi_c: U^{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then M_c is the complexification of the $H_{0,1}^{\mathbb{R}}(S_n)$ module $M_c^{\mathbb{R}} = H_{0,1}^{\mathbb{R}}(S_n)e \otimes_{U^{\mathbb{R}}} \chi_c$, which establishes the validity of Conjecture 5.2. $\square$

Remark 5.4. Because of the equivalence between Conjecture 2.1 and Conjecture 5.2, it is sufficient to prove Conjecture 5.2 in the case when the eigenvalues of the restriction of the operators x_1 and y_1 to $\bar{e}V$ have distinct eigenvalues.

6. THE REAL LOCUS OF THE WILSON’S ADELIC GRASSMANNIAN

In this section we define the real locus of Wilson’s adelic Grassmannian. We formulate a criterion for a point of Wilson’s Grassmannian to belong to its real locus and prove that it is equivalent to our set of conjectures.

We start with some general facts on the real locus of Wilson’s adelic Grassmannian. To keep the size of the paper small, we will only recall a few facts on Sato’s and Wilson’s Grassmannians. For details, we refer the reader to Wilson’s papers [W1, W2], van Moerbeke’s review [vM], and the paper [BHY2].

Sato’s Grassmannian is an infinite dimensional Grassmannian of subspaces of $\mathbb{C}[z][[z^{-1}]]$ of a particular type, see [vM]. It is the phase space of the KP hierarchy (an infinite dimensional integrable system). Wilson’s adelic Grassmannian is the subset of Sato’s Grassmannian which parametrizes all rank 1 bispectral wave functions, [W1]. To a point $W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ (i.e. a subspace of $\mathbb{C}[z][[z^{-1}]]$ of a particular type) one associates its tau function

$$\tau_W(t_1, t_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{C}[[t_1, t_2, \dots]]$$

(defined up to a nonzero factor) which is nothing but the image of W under the Plücker embedding of Gr^{ad} into the projectivization of $\mathbb{C}[[t_1, t_2, \dots]]$. To $W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ one also associates its wave function

$$(6.1) \quad \Psi_W(x, z) = e^{xz} (1 + a_1(x)z^{-1} + a_2(x)z^{-2} + \dots), \quad a_1(x), a_2(x), \dots \in \mathbb{C}(x).$$

The tau and wave functions of W are related by Sato’s formula

$$(6.2) \quad \Psi_W(x, z) = e^{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k z^k} \frac{\tau(t - [z^{-1}])}{\tau(t)} \Big|_{t_1=x, t_2=t_3=\dots=0}.$$

Here and below we abbreviate $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots)$ and $[z^{-1}] = (z^{-1}, z^{-2}/2, z^{-3}/3, \dots)$.

We will need two different parametrizations of Gr^{ad} , both due to Wilson, [W1, W2]. According to [W2, Proposition 2.9] all tau functions $\tau_W(t)$ are polynomials in t_1 with leading coefficient 1. (The other coefficients depend on $t_2, t_3, \dots$) Denote by Gr_n^{ad} the set of tau functions in Gr^{ad} which are polynomials of degree n in t_1 . Considering the coefficients of τ_W , induces a natural structure on Gr_n^{ad} of a finite-dimensional complex affine variety. Set $C = \sqcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} C_n$ and define

Wilson's map $\beta: C \rightarrow \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ by
(6.3)

$$\beta(\pi_n(X, Z)) = W \text{ where } \tau_W := \det(X + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j t_j (-Z)^{j-1}), \text{ for } (X, Z) \in \overline{C}_n.$$

Clearly $\beta(C_n) \subset \text{Gr}_n^{\text{ad}}$. The corresponding wave function is given by

$$(6.4) \quad \Psi_W = e^{xz} \det(I_n - (xI_n + X)^{-1}(zI_n + Z)^{-1}),$$

where I_n is the identity matrix of size $n \times n$.

We denote by G the set consisting of a finite collection of distinct complex numbers $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$ ($k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) and a collection of subspaces $V_1, \dots, V_k$ of $\mathbb{C}[x]$, associated to each of them. In other words G consists of $2n$ -tuples

$$(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_k)$$

where two $2n$ -tuples of this kind are identified, if one of them is obtained from the other by a simultaneous permutation of the μ 's and the V 's. Define the Wilson's map [W1] $\gamma: G \rightarrow \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ by

$$(6.5) \quad \gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_k) = W \text{ where } \Psi_W(x, z) = \frac{1}{p(z)} P_W(x, \partial_x) e^{xz}.$$

Here $p(z) = \prod_j (z - \mu_j)^{\dim V_j}$ and $P_W(x, \partial_x)$ is the monic differential operator of degree $\dim V_1 + \dots + \dim V_k$ and kernel

$$e^{\mu_1 x} V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus e^{\mu_k x} V_k.$$

(This is the version of Wilson's map from [BHY2].) Finally, denote by G' the subset of G consisting of $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_k)$ such all subspaces V_j of $\mathbb{C}[x]$ contain no constants except 0.

Theorem 6.1. (*Wilson, [W1, W2]*)

- (a) *The maps $\beta: C_n \rightarrow \text{Gr}_n^{\text{ad}}$ are isomorphisms of complex affine varieties.*
- (b) *The map $\gamma: G' \rightarrow \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ is a bijection. Moreover, for a pair $(y \in G, y' \in G')$, $\gamma(y') = \gamma(y)$ if and only if y is obtained from y' by repeated applications of one of the following two rules:*

$$(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_k) \mapsto (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, \mu_{k+1}, V_1, \dots, V_k, \mathbb{C})$$

(for $\mu_{k+1} \neq \mu_j$, $j = 1, \dots, k$) and

$$(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_k) \mapsto (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_{k-1}, \tilde{V}_k)$$

where $\tilde{V}_k = \{p(x) \mid p'(x) \in V_k\}$.

In the next Theorem we treat the set of real points of Wilson's adelic Grassmannian.

Theorem 6.2. *For a point W in Wilson's adelic Grassmannian Gr^{ad} the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) *The plane W has a real basis.*
- 2) *Up to a nonzero scalar the tau function τ_W has real coefficients.*
- 3) *The wave function $\Psi_W(x, z)$ has real coefficients, i.e. $a_1(x), a_2(x), \dots \in \mathbb{R}(x)$ in (6.1).*

4) $W = \beta(c)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $c \in RC_n$, i.e. τ_W is given by (6.3) for a pair of real matrices $(X, Z) \in \overline{RC}_n$.

5) $W = \gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_k)$ for some $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_k) \in G$ with the following properties:

- (a) each μ_j appears together with its complex conjugate and
- (b) if $\overline{\mu}_j = \mu_k$, then $\overline{V}_j = V_k$.

Here for $p(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j x^j$, we set $\overline{p(x)} = \sum_{j=1}^m \overline{a_j} x^j$. Condition 4 is the same as saying that one can find bases of $V_1, \dots, V_k$ such that the basis of V_j is real if μ_j is real and the bases of V_j and V_k are complex conjugate if $\overline{\mu}_j = \mu_k$. It can be also restated to: the space of functions $e^{\mu_1 x} V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus e^{\mu_k x} V_k$ on $\mathbb{R}$ has a real basis.

We define the real locus of Wilson's adelic Grassmannian as the set of all $W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ which satisfy any of the five equivalent conditions in Theorem 6.2.

Proof. First we show $1 \Rightarrow 2 \Rightarrow 3 \Rightarrow 1$. The tau function $\tau_W(t)$ is constructed from W by the so called boson-fermion correspondence [K], from which $1 \Rightarrow 2$ is straightforward. Sato's formula (6.2) shows that $2 \Rightarrow 3$. Finally $3 \Rightarrow 1$ because

$$W = \text{Span}\{\partial_x^j \Psi_W(x, z)|_{x=0}\}_{j=0}^\infty,$$

cf. [vM]. (If $\Psi_W(x, z)$ is singular at 0, one evaluates its derivatives at any real point r . To get W , one flows back the plane obtained in this fashion, with respect to the first KP flow, see e.g. [BHY2].)

The implications $4 \Rightarrow 2$ and $5 \Rightarrow 3$ are obvious from (6.3) and (6.5).

Next we show $2 \Rightarrow 5$. Assume that (up to a nonzero scalar) $\tau_W(t)$ has real coefficients and that $W = \gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_k)$, for some $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_k) \in G'$. Taking complex conjugates in [BHY1, Theorem 1] (see also [W1, eq. (5.7)]), we find $W = \gamma(\overline{\mu}_1, \dots, \overline{\mu}_k, \overline{V}_1, \dots, \overline{V}_k)$. Now 5 follows from the bijectivity of $\gamma: G' \rightarrow \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$, and the fact that $(\overline{\mu}_1, \dots, \overline{\mu}_k, \overline{V}_1, \dots, \overline{V}_k) \in G'$. We recall that two $2n$ -tuples of the type $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_k)$ are identified as elements of G' , if one of them is obtained from the other by simultaneous permutations of the μ 's and the V 's.

Finally we prove $2 \Rightarrow 4$. We need to show that for $c \in C_n$, if $\tau_{\beta(c)}(t)$ has real coefficients (up to a non-zero factor), then $c \in RC_n$. First we assume that $c = \pi_n(X, Z)$ for some $(X, Z) \in \overline{C}_n$ where X has distinct eigenvalues. Since X has distinct eigenvalues, we can assume that $X = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and that Z has the form (2.1) for some $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$. The reality of $\tau_{\beta(c)}(t)$ implies that $\beta(\pi_n(X, Z)) = \beta(\pi_n(\overline{X}, \overline{Z}))$. Because $\beta: C_n \rightarrow \text{Gr}_n^{\text{ad}}$ is a bijection, (Theorem 6.1 (a)), there exists $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\overline{X} = gXg^{-1}$, $\overline{Z} = gZg^{-1}$. In particular, after a reindexing, for some index $l \leq n/2$:

$$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2l} \notin \mathbb{R}, \lambda_{2l+1}, \dots, \lambda_{2l+2l} = \overline{\lambda_{2l+1}}, \dots, \overline{\lambda_{2l+2l}}, \quad \lambda_{2l+1}, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$$

and $\overline{Z} = gZg^{-1}$ for

$$g = \text{diag}(B, \dots, B, I_{n-2l}), \quad \text{where } B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies that

$$\alpha_2 = \overline{\alpha}_1, \dots, \alpha_{2l} = \overline{\alpha}_{2l-1}, \quad \alpha_{2l+1}, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Set

$$B' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ i & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \text{diag}(B', \dots, B', I_{n-2l})$$

and $X' = SXS^{-1}, Z' = SZS^{-1}$. Then (X', Z') have real entries and $c = \pi_n(X', Z')$, thus $c \in RC_n$.

Now we consider the general case of $2 \Rightarrow 4$. Suppose that $\tau_{\beta(c)}(t)$ has real coefficients (up to a non-zero factor), for some $c \in C_n$. Because of [SW, Proposition 8.6] for almost all $r \in \mathbb{R}$, $\tau_{\beta(c)}(t_1 + r, t_2, \dots) = \tau_{\beta(c')}(t_1, t_2, \dots)$ for some $c' \in C_n$ such that $c' = \pi_n(X', Z')$ where X' has distinct eigenvalues. Fix such an r . Since $\tau_{\beta(c')}(t)$ also has real coefficients, the above shows that $c' \in RC_n$. This means that $c' = \pi_n(X'', Z'')$ for some matrices X'', Z'' with real entries. Wilson's result [W2, Lemma 4.1] that β intertwines the Calogero–Moser flows and the KP flows, see Remark 6.3 below, implies that $c = \pi_n(X'' + rI_n, Z'') \in RC_n$, where I_n is the identity matrix of size $n \times n$. (This also easily follows from (6.3).) This completes the proof of the Theorem. $\square$

Remark 6.3. The Wilson adelic Grassmannian is invariant under the flows of the KP hierarchy, an infinite dimensional hamiltonian system on the Sato's Grassmannian. On level of tau functions the flows of the KP hierarchy account for shifts the variables $t_1, t_2, \dots$. Similarly the Calogero–Moser spaces are the phase spaces of the Calogero–Moser hamiltonian systems, [W2]. The latter are hamiltonian systems with respect to a natural symplectic form on C_n and hamiltonians $h_k(\pi_n(X, Z)) = (-1)^{k-1} \text{tr } Z^k$. They are the projections to C_n of the linear flows $(X, Z) \mapsto (X + kt(-Z)^{k-1}, Z)$ on $\overline{C}_n$. Wilson proved [W2, Lemma 4.1] that the map (6.3) intertwines the KP and the Calogero–Moser flows. Both the real loci of C_n and the real locus of Gr^{ad} are invariant under the Calogero–Moser flows and the KP flows, respectively, for real times.

We finish this section with a criterion for a point of Wilson's adelic Grassmannian to belong to its real locus. The Wilson Grassmannian posses a remarkable involution (called the bispectral involution) defined by

$$\Psi_{bW}(x, z) = \Psi_W(z, x), \quad W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}.$$

This means that in (6.1) we expand all coefficients $a_j(x)$ for large x and sum up the powers of z in front of equal powers of x . It is a nontrivial statement that $\Psi_{bW}(x, z)$ has the form (6.1), proved in [W1]. On level of the map (6.3), the bispectral involution is also given by

$$b(\beta(\pi_n(X, Z))) = \beta(\pi_n(Z^t, X^t)), \quad (X, Z) \in \overline{C}_n,$$

see [W2].

Conjecture 6.4. Assume that $W \in \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$. If both polynomials $\tau_W(x, 0, \dots)$ and $\tau_{bW}(x, 0, \dots)$ have only real roots, then W belongs to the real locus of Gr^{ad} .

Theorem 6.5. *Conjecture 6.4 is equivalent to Conjecture 3.3.*

Theorem 6.5 easily follows from Theorem 6.2 and the fact that for $W = \beta(\pi_n(X, Z))$

$$\tau_W(x, 0, \dots) = (-1)^n \chi_X(-x), \quad \tau_{bW}(x, 0, \dots) = (-1)^n \chi_Z(-x).$$

Here $\chi_X(x)$ stands for the characteristic polynomial of X .

7. SPACES OF QUASIPOLYNOMIALS

Fix $\underline{\mu} = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k) \in \mathbb{C}^k$, $\mu_i \neq \mu_j$ for $i \neq j$. For a k -tuple of finite dimensional subspaces $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k$ of $\mathbb{C}[x]$, we define the normalized Wronskian

$$\text{Wr}(e^{\mu_1 x} V_1, \dots, e^{\mu_k x} V_k)$$

in the following way. Choose a basis $\{q_1(x), \dots, q_N(x)\}$ of $e^{\mu_1 x} V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus e^{\mu_k x} V_k$ and set

$$\text{Wr}(e^{\mu_1 x} V_1, \dots, e^{\mu_k x} V_k) = a_0^{-1} e^{-(\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_k)x} \text{Wr}(q_1(x), \dots, q_N(x))$$

where a_0 is the leading coefficient

$$e^{-(\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_k)x} \text{Wr}(q_1(x), \dots, q_N(x)).$$

It is easy to check that the latter is a polynomial in x . It is straightforward to see that this definition does not depend on the choice of the basis $\{q_1(x), \dots, q_N(x)\}$. Moreover $\text{Wr}(e^{\mu_1 x} V_1, \dots, e^{\mu_k x} V_k)$ is a polynomial in x .

Recall the definition of Wilson's map $\gamma: G \rightarrow \text{Gr}^{\text{ad}}$ from the previous Section.

Lemma 7.1. *In the above setting*

$$\tau_{\gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_k)}(x, 0, \dots) = s \text{Wr}(e^{\mu_1 x} V_1, \dots, e^{\mu_k x} V_k), \quad s \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

This Lemma seems to be well known, but we only found it for $k = 1$, $\mu_1 = 0$ in [W1, p. 191]. It follows by observing that the coefficient $a_1(x)$ of $\Psi_{\gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_k)}(x, z)$, cf. (6.1), is given by

$$a_1(x) = -\partial_x \log \tau_{\gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_k)}(x, 0, \dots)$$

because of (6.2), and by

$$a_1(x) = -\partial_x \log \text{Wr}(e^{\mu_1 x} V_1, \dots, e^{\mu_k x} V_k)$$

because of (6.5).

Conjecture 7.2. Let $(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k)$ be a k -tuple of subspaces of $\mathbb{C}[x]$. If $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$ are real distinct numbers and the polynomial $\text{Wr}(e^{\mu_1 x} V_1, \dots, e^{\mu_k x} V_k)$ has only real roots, then each of the subspaces $V_1, \dots, V_k$ has a basis consisting of polynomials with real coefficients.

Theorem 7.3. *Conjecture 7.2 is equivalent to Conjecture 3.3.*

Proof. Assume the validity of Conjecture 7.2. Fix $(X, Z) \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}_n$ such that both X and Z have only real eigenvalues. Let

$$\beta(\pi_n(X, Z)) = \gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_k)$$

for some $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_k) \in G'$. Comparing (6.4) and (6.5), we see that the eigenvalues of Z are precisely $-\mu_1, \dots, -\mu_k$. Comparing (6.3) and Lemma 7.1, we see that

$$(7.1) \quad \text{Wr}(e^{\mu_1 x} V_1, \dots, e^{\mu_k x} V_k) = (-1)^n \chi_X(-x).$$

Conjecture 7.2 now implies that each of the spaces $V_1, \dots, V_k$ has a real basis. Thus $\gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_k)$ belongs to the real locus of Gr^{ad} . From Theorem 6.2 we obtain that $\pi_n(X, Z) \in RC_n$, which establishes Conjecture 3.3.

Next we assume the validity of Conjecture 3.3. Fix a collection $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_k$, as in Conjecture 7.2, such that $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$ are real and $\text{Wr}(e^{\mu_1 x} V_1, \dots, e^{\mu_k x} V_k)$ has only real roots. Then $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_k) \in G$. Let

$$\gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_k) = \beta(\pi_n(X, Z))$$

for some $(X, Z) \in \overline{C}_n$. From (6.4) and (6.5), we obtain that all eigenvalues of Z are among $-\mu_1, \dots, -\mu_k$, and thus are real. As in the previous direction we have (7.1); therefore all eigenvalues of X are real as well. Conjecture 3.3 implies that $\pi_n(X, Z) \in RC_n$ and Theorem 6.2 implies that $\beta(\pi_n(X, Z))$ belongs to the real locus of Gr^{ad} . Applying one more time Theorem 6.2, we obtain that

$$\gamma(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, V_1, \dots, V_k) = \gamma(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_l, U_1, \dots, U_l)$$

for some $(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_l, U_1, \dots, U_l) \in G'$ with the properties of Theorem 6.2 (5). Because of part (b) of Theorem 6.1, $\{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_l\}$ is a subset of $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k\}$. So all $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_l$ are real, and therefore each of the spaces $U_1, \dots, U_l$ has a real basis (because the condition 5 in Theorem 6.2 is satisfied). Theorem 6.1 now implies that the vector spaces $V_1, \dots, V_k$ have the same properties. This completes the proof of the Theorem. $\square$

Remark 7.4. Because of the equivalence between Conjecture 2.1 and Conjecture 7.2, it is sufficient to prove Conjecture 7.2 in the case when $\dim V_1 = \dots = \dim V_k = 1$ and $V_1, \dots, V_k \subset \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}x$. More precisely, using Theorem 6.2 and exploiting the bijection $\gamma^{-1}\beta: G' \rightarrow C$, we find that Conjecture 2.1 is equivalent to the following statement (see [W2, Section 3 and 4]).

Fix n distinct real numbers $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$. If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}$ are such that

$$(7.2) \quad e^{-(\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_n)x} \text{Wr}((x + \alpha_1)e^{\mu_1 x}, \dots, (x + \alpha_n)e^{\mu_n x})$$

has only real roots, then $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

Finally we note that the Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture is in fact the special case of Conjecture 7.2 when $k = 1$ and $\mu_1 = 0$. We can trace back the equivalence between Conjecture 7.2, and Conjecture 3.3 and Conjecture 6.4, to identify equivalent formulations of the Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture in those settings.

Firstly, the tau functions of the KP hierarchy of the type $\tau_{\gamma(0, V)}(t)$ for $V \subset \mathbb{C}[x]$ are exactly the polynomial tau functions, i.e. those which are polynomials (in finitely many of the variables $t_1, t_2, \dots$). Recall that for a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq$

$\lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_l > 0$) the corresponding Schur function is given by

$$S_\lambda(p_1, \dots, p_N) = \det(S_{\lambda_i+j-i}(p))_{i,j=1}^l,$$

$$S_m(p_1, \dots, p_m) = \sum_{j_1+2j_2+\dots=m} \frac{p_1^{j_1}}{j_1!} \frac{p_2^{j_2}}{j_2!} \dots,$$

where $N = \lambda_1 + l - 1$. All polynomial tau functions of the KP hierarchy are of the type

$$s_\lambda(t_1 + c_1, \dots, t_N + c_N)$$

for some complex numbers $c_1, \dots, c_N \in \mathbb{C}$, cf. [SW, W2]. Finally, $\tau_{\beta(X,Z)}(t)$ is a polynomial tau function if and only if Z is nilpotent, [W2, Proposition 6.1]. Thus we get to:

Theorem 7.5. *The Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture is equivalent to any of the following 2 statements:*

- 1) *If $(X, Z) \in \overline{C}_n$, Z is nilpotent and X has only real eigenvalues, then X and Z can be simultaneously conjugated to real matrices, i.e. $\pi_n(X, Z) \in RC_n$.*
- 2) *Fix a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_l > 0)$ and an N -tuple of complex numbers $c_1, \dots, c_N \in \mathbb{C}$, where $N = \lambda_1 + l - 1$. If the corresponding Schur function $s_\lambda(p_1, \dots, p_N)$ has the property that the polynomial $s_\lambda(x + c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N)$ has only real roots, then $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_N \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Because the Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture was proved by Mukhin, Tarasov, and Varchenko [MTV1], this establishes the validity of both statements 1 and 2 in Theorem 7.5. Conversely, a direct proof of 1 or 2 will lead to another proof of the Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture.

REFERENCES

- [BHY1] B. Bakalov, E. Horozov, and M. Yakimov, *Bäcklund–Darboux transformations in Sato’s Grassmannian*, Serdica Math. J. **22** (1996), 571–586.
- [BHY2] B. Bakalov, E. Horozov, and M. Yakimov, *Bispectral algebras of commuting ordinary differential operators*, Comm. Math. Phys. **190** (1997), 331–373.
- [BW] Yu. Berest and G. Wilson, *Automorphisms and ideals of the Weyl algebra*, Math. Ann. **318** (2000), 127–147.
- [Ch] I. Cherednik, *Double affine Hecke algebras, Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equations, and Macdonald polynomials*, Int. Math. Res. Notices **9** (1992), 171–180.
- [CB] W. Crawley-Boevey, *Representations of quivers, preprojective algebras, and deformations of quotient singularities*, lectures at the workshop on “Quantization of Kleinian singularities”, Oberwolfach, May 1999.
- [CBH] W. Crawley-Boevey and M. P. Holland, *Noncommutative deformations of Kleinian singularities*, Duke Math. J. **92** (1998), 605–635.
- [FG] M. Finkelberg and V. Ginzburg, *Calogero–Moser space and Kostka polynomials*, Adv. Math. **172** (2002), 137–150.
- [ESS] T. Ekedahl, B. Shapiro, and M. Shapiro, *First steps towards total reality of meromorphic functions*, Preprint (2005), 1–11.
- [EG1] A. Eremenko and A. Gabrielov, *Rational functions with real critical points and the B. and M. Shapiro conjecture in real enumerative geometry*, Ann. of Math. (2) **155** (2002), 105–129.

- [EG2] A. Eremenko and A. Gabrielov, *Elementary proof of the B. and M. Shapiro conjecture for rational functions*, preprint [math.AG/0512370](#).
- [EG] P. Etingof and V. Ginzburg, *Symplectic reflection algebras, Calogero–Moser space, and deformed Harish-Chandra homomorphism*, *Invent. Math.* **147** (2002), 243–348.
- [G] I. Gordon, *Baby Verma modules for rational Cherednik algebras*, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **35** (2003), 321–336.
- [K] V. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [KKS] D. Kazhdan, B. Kostant, S. Sternberg, *Hamiltonian group actions and dynamical systems of Calogero type*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **31** (1978), 481–507.
- [KS] V. Kharlamov and F. Sottile, *Maximally inflected real rational curves*, *Moscow Math. J.* **3** (2003), 947–987.
- [MTV1] E. Mukhin, V. Tarasov, and A. Varchenko, *The B. and M. Shapiro conjecture in real algebraic geometry and the Bethe ansatz*, preprint [math.AG/0512299](#).
- [MTV2] E. Mukhin, V. Tarasov, and A. Varchenko, *On reality of Wronsky maps*, preprint 2007.
- [SW] G. Segal and G. Wilson, *Loop groups and equations of KdV type*, *IHES Publ. Math.* **61** (1985), 5–65.
- [S1] F. Sottile, *Enumerative geometry for the real Grassmannian of lines in projective space*, *Duke Math. J.* **87** (1997), no. 1, 59–85.
- [S2] F. Sottile, *Real Schubert Calculus: Polynomial systems and a conjecture of Shapiro and Shapiro*, *Experiment. Math.* **9** (2000), 161–182.
- [S3] F. Sottile, *Shapiro and Shapiro conjecture*, www.expmath.org/extra/9.2/sottile
- [vM] P. van Moerbeke, *Integrable foundations of string theory*. CIMPA–Summer school at Sophia–Antipolis (1991), in: *Lectures on integrable systems*, 163–267, O. Babelon et al. (eds.), Singapore: World Scientific, 1994.
- [W1] Wilson, G.: *Bispectral commutative ordinary differential operators*. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **442** (1993), 177–204.
- [W2] Wilson, G.: *Collisions of Calogero–Moser particles and an adelic Grassmannian (with an appendix by I. G. Macdonald)*. *Invent. Math.* **133** (1998), 1–44.

E.H.: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, SOFIA UNIVERSITY, 5 J. BOURCHIER BLVD., SOFIA 1126, BULGARIA, AND

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, BULG. ACAD. OF SCI., ACAD. G. BONCHEV STR., BLOCK 8, 1113 SOFIA, BULGARIA
E-mail address: horozov@fmi.uni-sofia.bg

M.Y.: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA, CA 93106, U.S.A.
E-mail address: yakimov@math.ucsb.edu