

Perturbation-assisted laser-induced atomic fragment fluorescence spectroscopy: A facile route to spin-forbidden states

Qun Zhang^{1,2,a)}, Yang Chen^{1,2}, Mark Keil^{3,b)}

¹*Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at the Microscale, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, People's Republic of China.*

²*Department of Chemical Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, People's Republic of China.*

³*Department of Physics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Be'er Sheva 84105, Israel.*

^{a)}Electronic mail: qunzh@ustc.edu.cn, ^{b)}Electronic mail: keil@bgu.ac.il.

Abstract

We exploit perturbation-assisted laser-induced atomic fragment fluorescence (LIAFF) spectroscopy for probing a spin-forbidden state perturbed by nearby spin-allowed state(s). The robustness of this experimentally facile technique is demonstrated for the well-known $b^3\Pi_{\Omega_u} \sim A^1\Sigma_u^+ - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ inter-combination band system of the sodium dimer molecule Na_2 . Due to its detectability with high sensitivity of the "forbidden" spectrum, the perturbation-assisted LIAFF technique provides straightforward access to subtle effects such as the interference between spin-orbit and spin-rotation interactions, thereby showing promise as a general vehicle for investigating perturbation-related physics pertinent to the spin-forbidden states.

PACS numbers: 33.90.+h, 32.50.+d, 33.20.Vq, 33.20.Wr

I. INTRODUCTION

The well-known $b^3\Pi_u \sim A^1\Sigma_u^+ - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ inter-combination band system of the Na_2 molecule has long served as a prototype for developing new spectroscopic techniques¹⁻³ and for rigorous spectroscopic analysis.⁴ Since the triplet $b^3\Pi_u$ state is not accessible by direct optical excitation from the singlet $X^1\Sigma_g^+$ ground state, it is a spin-forbidden state. The perturbation between this state and the energetically nearby spin-allowed $A^1\Sigma_u^+$ state has been known for almost a century from the observation of a magnetic rotation spectrum for the red band of the $A^1\Sigma_u^+ - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ transition.⁵⁻⁷ Through absorption spectroscopy, Kusch and Hessel⁸ observed the $A^1\Sigma_u^+$ state to be perturbed by the $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}$ state, with $\Omega = 0, 1, 2$ representing the projections of electronic angular momenta along the molecular axis, according to Hund's case (a).⁹

Although the spin-forbidden $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u} - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ transition is partially allowed by perturbations between the $A^1\Sigma_u^+$ and $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}$ states, fully resolving the three Ω components of the $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}$ state is by no means a trivial task. As estimated by Krämer *et al.*,³ the Franck-Condon (FC) factor for the strongest vibrational band ($v^b = 0 \leftarrow v'' = 0$) of the "forbidden" $b^3\Pi_{\Omega=0,u} - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ transition is at least two orders of magnitude smaller than that for the corresponding band ($v^A = 0 \leftarrow v'' = 0$) of the "allowed" $A^1\Sigma_u^+ - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ transition, and FC factors for the $\Omega = 1, 2$ components are respectively ~ 20 and ~ 60 times smaller even than that of the $\Omega = 0$ component. The absorption intensity of the higher vibrational bands falls strongly with increasing v^b which makes them even harder to detect.

The inaccessibility to the spin-forbidden $b^3\Pi_u$ state via traditional spectroscopic methods has called for many modern techniques since 1975,^{1-3,10-18} amongst which the most recent and sophisticated are perturbation-facilitated all-optical triple resonance (PFAOTR) spectroscopy¹⁸ and high-resolution resonant two-photon ionization spectroscopy.³ To date, the three Ω components of the $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}$ state have been fully resolved only by the continuous wave (cw) PFAOTR technique which demands three cw lasers, all of which must be "locked" on the transitions.^{18,19}

We report here a newly developed and simple technique of perturbation-assisted laser-induced atomic fragment fluorescence (LIAFF) spectroscopy. Although its spectral resolution is poorer than for the high-resolution techniques mentioned above, we demonstrate its robustness and experimental utility for easily obtaining information on spin-forbidden states. We expect that the technique will be widely applicable, and we apply it herein to the $b^3\Pi_u \sim A^1\Sigma_u^+ - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ inter-combination band system of the Na_2 molecule.

II. EXPERIMENT

The perturbation-assisted LIAFF technique is illustrated schematically in Fig. 1. A pump laser is used to excite the ground level ($X^1\Sigma_g^+$, $v''=0$) to the spin-forbidden level ($b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}$, v^b) which mixes with the nearby spin-allowed state ($A^1\Sigma_u^+$) via perturbation effects. The same laser also excites the mixed $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u} \sim A^1\Sigma_u^+$ levels to the continuum, dissociating the molecule into $\text{Na}(3s) + \text{Na}^*(3p)$ atomic fragments. The $\text{Na}^*(3p)$ then

decays spontaneously, giving rise to very intense *D*-line fluorescence at ~ 589.6 nm.²⁰ Spectra are obtained explicitly by measuring the atomic fluorescence intensity as a function of the laser frequency. Since detecting fluorescence from a single atomic state is far more efficient than collecting fluorescence from myriad vibrational-rotational molecular states, and can be made very selective by simple optical filters, the perturbation-assisted LIAFF spectroscopy provides much higher sensitivity for directly and fully observing a spin-forbidden state. Such high sensitivity usually is not attainable by conventional methods (e.g., molecular laser-induced fluorescence spectroscopy²¹), or it requires more sophisticated techniques such as the three-cw-laser PFAOTR spectroscopy¹⁸ mentioned above. The present technique requires only a single conventional tunable pulsed dye laser.

The experiment was conducted in a supersonic jet environment. The Na₂ molecules were formed during the adiabatic expansion of pure sodium vapor from a conventional two-chamber oven heated to 900 K. The beam of cold Na₂ molecules was directed into a differentially pumped observation chamber through a collimating skimmer, and was then intersected perpendicularly with a tunable dye laser (Continuum TDL-60) pumped by a conventional 10-Hz pulsed Nd:YAG laser (Continuum 682-10). The dye laser (5 ns pulse duration) used DCM and LDS698 dyes and its linewidth was 0.1 cm^{-1} ; wavelengths were calibrated by a pulsed Fabry-Perot wavemeter. The fluorescence was collected by an $f/4$ optical collimating lens system onto a photomultiplier tube. A narrow-band interference filter (3 nm full-width half-maximum) was placed between the lenses to

transmit only the fluorescence of interest, i.e., Na *D*-line in this proof-of-principle experiment.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Because of its far smaller transition probability compared to that of the allowed $A^1\Sigma_u^+ - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ singlet-singlet transition, the spin-forbidden $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u} - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ triplet-singlet transition demands a sufficiently intense laser.³ This is easily accomplished with our conventional pulsed dye laser, operating as both pump and probe, which we operate at a peak intensity of ~ 2 MW/cm². Vibrationally well-resolved perturbation-assisted LIAFF spectra are shown in Fig.2; based on the data reported in Refs. 13 and 18, we can unambiguously assign the two spectra as being due to the $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}(v^b = 9) - X^1\Sigma_g^+(v'' = 0)$ and $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}(v^b = 13) - X^1\Sigma_g^+(v'' = 0)$ transitions of the Na₂ molecule, respectively.²² Rotational P and R branches for each $\Omega = 0, 1, 2$ component of the $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}$ state are also resolved clearly (except for the P branch of $\Omega = 2$), as depicted within the dotted boxes in Fig. 2.^{23,24} Despite much lower FC factors for direct excitation of the $\Omega = 1, 2$ components compared to $\Omega = 0$, the perturbation-assisted LIAFF spectra exhibit comparable intensities for all three components, demonstrating the power of this technique in fully accessing the spin-forbidden $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}$ state.

The $\Omega = 0$ component of the $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}$ state can be readily understood in terms of

"intensity borrowing"²⁵ from the nearby singlet $A^1\Sigma_u^+$ state via a direct spin-orbit coupling ($\Delta\Omega = 0$), while the $\Omega = 1, 2$ components must borrow intensity from the triplet $\Omega = 0$ component via an indirect spin-rotation coupling ($\Delta\Omega = 1, 2$).^{3,25} This implies that if the $A^1\Sigma_u^+$ state is the only perturber, the intensities of transitions into the $\Omega = 1, 2$ components should be much weaker than that for the $\Omega = 0$ component. Our observation of anomalous intensities for these two components, as shown in Fig. 2, indicates that there exists some other perturbing state(s) than the $A^1\Sigma_u^+$ state. The most likely candidate is the singlet $B^1\Pi_u$ state which lies close to the $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}$ state. The $B^1\Pi_u$ state correlates asymptotically to the same $(3s + 3p)$ configuration as the $A^1\Sigma_u^+$ and $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}$ states do (see Fig. 1).

If the $B^1\Pi_u$ state is indeed a "remote perturber", the P and R branches belonging to the $\Omega = 1$ component may result from spin-orbit interaction ($\Delta\Omega = 0$) with the $B^1\Pi_u$ state as well as from spin-rotation interaction ($\Delta\Omega = 1$) with the mixed $A^1\Sigma_u^+/b^3\Pi_{\Omega=0,u}$ levels, as stated in Ref. 3. As such, the interference between these two effects may dictate the intensity pattern of the P and R branches, since the transition amplitude phases for P and R branches of parallel transitions ($\Delta\Lambda = 0$, here $A^1\Sigma_u^+ \leftarrow X^1\Sigma_g^+$) are identical while those for P and R branches of perpendicular transitions ($\Delta\Lambda = \pm 1$, here $B^1\Pi_u \leftarrow X^1\Sigma_g^+$) are opposite.²⁵ From the observed spectra shown in Fig. 2, we can infer that such interference is constructive for R branch transitions and destructive for P branch transitions in both the (9, 0) and (13, 0) vibrational bands of the

$b^3\Pi_{\Omega=1,u} - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ transition. Although such an observation differs from the weak (0, 0) vibrational band reported previously,³ both cases can be valid^{25,26}: if such parallel-versus-perpendicular interference is constructive for P branch transitions, it will inevitably be destructive for R branch transitions, and *vice versa*; whether constructive or destructive depends on the intrinsic nature of the rovibronic transition under investigation.

The P and R branches belonging to the $\Omega = 2$ component may originate from spin-rotation interaction ($\Delta\Omega = 1$) with the mixed $A^1\Sigma_u^+/b^3\Pi_{\Omega=1,u}$ levels as well as from spin-rotation interaction ($\Delta\Omega = 2$) with the mixed $A^1\Sigma_u^+/b^3\Pi_{\Omega=0,u}$ levels. Similar to the case for the $\Omega = 1$ component, interference between these two perturbation effects are observed to be constructive for the R branch transitions, while it is quite remarkable that the P branch transitions are observed to be suppressed almost completely.

It is worth noting that, apart from the $\Omega = 0, 1, 2$ components observed for the $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}$ state, there remain several unassigned spectral features, including sharp peaks and broad structures (denoted by asterisks in Fig. 2) that extend to the lower energy region of both spectra. These features cannot reasonably be interpreted using the four-state scheme shown in Fig. 1. Additional interactions involving other "remote perturber" states may be needed to explain these features, which would require further investigations that lie outside the focus of this paper. Nevertheless, the rich information unveiled by the perturbation-assisted LIAFF spectra demonstrates that the technique can provide not only easy access to a spin-forbidden state, but also insight into

perturbation-related physics in molecular systems.

Another intriguing feature of the technique presented in this paper is that it can easily be used to "switch" between accurate and sensitive observation of "allowed" and "forbidden" states with only minor apparatus changes. By lowering the pump laser intensity from $\sim 2 \text{ MW/cm}^2$ to $\sim 8 \text{ kW/cm}^2$, the "forbidden" triplet state spectrum is completely lost. Excitation to the "allowed" $A^1\Sigma_u^+$ state in the same spectral region of the Na_2 molecule is then recovered, completely free of triplet excitation, using the same LIAFF technique depicted in Fig. 1 by simply adding an independent probe laser. Only this second laser operates at the high intensity ($\sim 1 \text{ MW/cm}^2$) required for efficient photodissociation. The probe laser is conveniently obtained by mixing another dye laser with the YAG fundamental (1064 nm); its wavelength of 408 nm ensures sufficient energy for the atomic fragment fluorescence but it does not yield any such fluorescence without the pump laser. Using very low power for the pump laser to avoid power broadening beyond the laser linewidth, we obtain rotationally well-resolved LIAFF spectra of the (6, 0) and (7, 0) vibrational bands of the $A^1\Sigma_u^+ - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ transition in the expected single-photon energy range between 15 300 and 15 500 cm^{-1} .²⁷⁻²⁹ This implies that the LIAFF technique can be extended to separately and easily study allowed and forbidden states of many other molecules, provided that they have detectable fluorescence from their excited atomic fragment(s).

In the present experiment, laser power broadening precluded us from obtaining

rotationally well-resolved spectra for the spin-forbidden $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}$ state.²⁴ The experimental sensitivity may easily be improved however, for example by using faster optics (we have built an $f/1$ optical system instead of the $f/4$ system used in this study) and/or more sensitive and faster fluorescence detection. Such easy improvements should allow detecting even weaker features in the “forbidden” spectrum, or alternatively, improving spectral resolution by lowering the laser power and thereby, rotationally resolving e.g., the P and R spectral features with different Ω components of the $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}$ state.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have presented a newly developed technique of perturbation-assisted laser-induced atomic fragment fluorescence (LIAFF) spectroscopy for probing a spin-forbidden state perturbed by nearby spin-allowed state(s). The robustness of this experimentally facile technique (which requires only a single conventional pulsed dye laser and simple fluorescence collection) has been demonstrated for the $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u} \sim A^1\Sigma_u^+ - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ inter-combination band system of the Na_2 molecule. The spin-forbidden $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}$ state is directly observed and all three fine structure components ($\Omega = 0, 1, 2$) are fully resolved and easily detected in the perturbation-assisted LIAFF spectra, goals that are much more difficult to achieve using other spectroscopic methods. Due to this facile detectability of the spin-forbidden $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}$ state, the perturbation-assisted LIAFF technique is expected to become a general and simple tool

for investigating perturbation-related physics pertinent to the spin-forbidden states. In addition, the LIAFF technique can be extended to separately and easily study allowed and forbidden states with only minor apparatus changes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge M. Shapiro at the Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel for inspiration and support, as well as all the apparatus for these experiments. Q. Z. thanks the University of Science and Technology of China for awarding a start-up fund for the returned overseas Chinese scholars (Grant No. ZC9850290026) and a USTC Youth Fund (Grant No. KA2060030001). M. K. also thanks the Israeli Ministry of Immigrant Absorption for a Gileadi Fellowship.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

¹M. E. Kaminsky, R. T. Hawkins, F. V. Kowalshi, and A. L. Schawlow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **36**, 671 (1976).

²A. M. Lyyra, H. Wang, T.-J. Whang, W. C. Stwalley, and L. Li, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 2724 (1991).

³H.-G. Krämer, M. Keil, J. Wang, R. A. Bernheim, and W. Demtröder, Chem. Phys. Lett. **272**, 391 (1997).

⁴P. Qi, J. Bai, E. Ahmed, A. M. Lyyra, S. Kotochigova, A. J. Ross, C. Effantin, P. Zalicki, J. Vigué, G. Chawla, R. W. Field, T.-J. Whang, W. C. Stwalley, H. Knöckel, E. Tiemann,

- J. Shang, L. Li, and T. Bergeman, J. Chem. Phys. **127**, 044301 (2007).
- ⁵R. W. Wood and F. E. Hackett, Astrophys. J. **30**, 339 (1909).
- ⁶W. R. Fredrickson and C. R. Stannard, Phys. Rev. **44**, 632 (1933).
- ⁷T. Carroll, Phys. Rev. **52**, 822 (1937).
- ⁸P. Kusch and M. M. Hessel, J. Chem. Phys. **63**, 4087 (1975).
- ⁹G. Herzberg, *Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure I. Spectra of Diatomic Molecules* (D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., Princeton, N. J., 1950).
- ¹⁰F. Engelke, H. Hage, and C. D. Caldwell, Chem. Phys. **64**, 221 (1982).
- ¹¹J. B. Atkinson, J. Becker, and W. Demtröder, Chem. Phys. Lett. **87**, 92 (1982); **87**, 128 (1982).
- ¹²F. Shimizu, K. Shimizu, and H. Takuma, Phys. Rev. A **26**, 2707 (1982).
- ¹³K. Shimizu and F. Shimizu, J. Chem. Phys. **78**, 1126 (1983).
- ¹⁴L. Li, S. F. Rice, and R. W. Field, J. Mol. Spectrosc. **105**, 344 (1984).
- ¹⁵C. Effantin, O. Babaky, K. Hussein, J. d’Incan, and R. F. Barrow, J. Phys. B **18**, 4077 (1985).
- ¹⁶M. Li, C. Wang, Y. Wang, and L. Li, J. Mol. Spectrosc. **123**, 161 (1987).
- ¹⁷H. Katô, M. Otani, and M. Baba, J. Chem. Phys. **89**, 653 (1988); **91**, 5124 (1989).
- ¹⁸T.-J. Whang, W. C. Stwalley, L. Li, and A. M. Lyyra, J. Chem. Phys. **97**, 7211 (1992).
- ¹⁹According to Ref. 4, in the thesis of Atkinson (J. B. Atkinson, Ph.D. thesis, Universität Kaiserslautern, 1982), data for the $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}(v^b=17, 21, 25) - X^1\Sigma_g^+(v''=0)$ transition bands, each with all three Ω components, are given for J values from 0 to 40.

²⁰NIST Atomic Spectra Database (version 3.1.3), available online: <http://physics.nist.gov/asd3> (2008, January 6). National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, M. D.

²¹A rather sophisticated technique of detecting delayed molecular fluorescence by modulating the laser and by gating the photon counter was employed by the authors of Ref. 13 to obtain the molecular laser-induced fluorescence spectra of the $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u} - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ transition. However, only the $\Omega = 0, 1$ components of the $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}$ state were reported.¹³

²²See EPAPS Document No. [number will be inserted by publisher] for the overall survey spectra of the $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}(v^b = 3-17) - X^1\Sigma_g^+(v'' = 0)$ transition bands using the perturbation-assisted LIAFF technique (Fig. 1S in [Part I of the Supplemental Material](#) accompanying this paper).

²³Since the transition moment of the $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u} - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ transition is mostly due to the mixing with the singlet $A^1\Sigma_u^+$ state, the Q branch transitions are much more difficult to observe. This is confirmed by previous observations.^{12,13,17}

²⁴Power broadening, as measured by carefully checking laser power dependence in obtaining the spectra shown in Fig. 2, precluded full rotational resolution of the P and R branches. Possible experimental improvements are discussed at the end of the paper.

²⁵H. Lefebvre-Brion and R. W. Field, *Perturbations in the Spectra of Diatomic Molecules* (Academic Press, Orlando, F. L., 1986).

²⁶L. Klynning, *Atoms, Molecules and Lasers* (International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, 1974).

²⁷A. Herrmann, S. Leutwyler, E. Schumacher, and L. Wöste, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **52**, 418 (1977).


²⁸As an example, see EPAPS Document No. [number will be inserted by publisher] for the rotationally well-resolved LIAFF spectrum of the $A^1\Sigma_u^+(v^A=7) - X^1\Sigma_g^+(v''=0)$ transition band (Fig. 2S in Part II of the *Supplemental Material* accompanying this paper).


²⁹Also motivating us to acquire the rotationally well-resolved LIAFF spectrum of the $A^1\Sigma_u^+ - X^1\Sigma_g^+$ transition is its use as the basis for a four-colour two-pathway coherent-control experiment on Na₂ (see Q. Zhang, M. Keil, and M. Shapiro, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **20**, 2255 (2003)). Here we carefully choose a pair of well-resolved rotational lines from the LIAFF spectrum of the (6, 0) and (7, 0) vibrational bands, respectively, to serve as different intermediate levels on the way to degenerate final levels as required by the coherent control principle — see, e.g., M. Shapiro and P. Brumer, *Principles of the Quantum Control of Molecular Processes* (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, N. J., 2003).

Figure Captions

FIG. 1. Schematic representation of the perturbation-assisted LIAFF technique.

FIG. 2. Perturbation-assisted LIAFF spectra of the Na_2 $b^3\Pi_{\Omega=0,1,2,u}(v^b=9, 13) - X^1\Sigma_g^+(v''=0)$ transitions. Ticks indicate the vibrational band origins of the three Hund's case (a) components, $\Omega = 0, 1, 2$, respectively.^{13,18} The energy region for each Ω component is indicated by a dotted box, with P's and R's labeling the corresponding branches. The spectral features marked by asterisks remain unassigned in this work. arb, arbitrary units.





[The End of the Article; attached in the following two pages are Supplemental Material]

Part I: FIG. 1S & Caption

(related to Ref. 22 in the REFERENCES AND NOTES of the paper)




FIG. 1S. Vibrationally resolved overall survey spectra of the $b^3\Pi_{\Omega u}(v^b = 3 - 17) - X^1\Sigma_g^+(v'' = 0)$ transition bands obtained using the perturbation-assisted laser-induced atomic fragment fluorescence technique reported in the paper. A single tunable pulsed dye laser, operating at a peak intensity of $\sim 1 - 3$ MW/cm², was used as both pump and probe. The spectral intensity was not calibrated against the laser power. The two rovibrational bands marked by asterisks are presented in Fig. 2 of the paper. arb, arbitrary units.

Part II: FIG. 2S & Caption

(related to Ref. 28 in the REFERENCES AND NOTES of the paper)




FIG. 2S. Rotationally well-resolved spectrum of the $A^1\Sigma_u^+(v^A=7) - X^1\Sigma_g^+(v''=0)$ transition band obtained using the laser-induced atomic fragment fluorescence technique reported in the paper. The tunable pump laser was operating at a peak intensity of ~ 8 kW/cm² while the probe laser came from a second laser with wavelength fixed at 408 nm and a peak intensity of ~ 1 MW/cm². arb, arbitrary units.