arXiv:0904.1590v3 [physics.gen-ph] 26 Oct 2010

A homogeneous and isotropic universe must have a time varying light speed.

Robert C. Fletcher*
Bell Telephone Laboratories (ret)
Murray Hill, New Jersey.

Abstract

This paper presents a compelling argument for the physical light speed in the Friedman-Lemaitre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) universe to vary with the cosmic time coordinate t of FLRW. It must be variable when the radial comoving differential coordinates of FLRW is interpreted as physical and therefor transformable by a Lorentz transform locally to differentials of stationary physical coordinates. Because the FLRW differential radial distance has a time varying coefficient $a(t)$, integration of the transformed differentials to obtain stationary coordinates for a short radial distance requires the light speed to be time varying as $c(t) \propto \sqrt{da/dt}$.

Since we assume homogeneity of space, this derived $c(t)$ is the physical light speed on all points of the FLRW universe. This impacts the interpretation of all astronomical observations of distant phenomena that are sensitive to light speed.

A world transform from FLRW that has a Minkowski metric close to the origin is shown to have a physical radius out to all points of the visible universe.

In order to obtain numerical values for $c(t)$, the general relativity (GR) field equation is extended by using a variable gravitational constant and rest mass that keeps constant the gravitational and particle rest energies. This also keeps constant the proportionality constant between the GR tensors of the field equation and conserves the rest stress-energy tensor of the ideal fluid used in the FLRW GR field equation.

In the same way all of special and general relativity is extended to include a variable light speed.

*Mailing address: 1000 Oak Hills Way, Salt Lake City, UT 84108. robert.c.fletcher@utah.edu

keywords: cosmology theory—variable light speed—elementary particles—distances and redshifts—physical constants—relativity

Contents

1 Introduction **3**

2 The derivation of c(t) **5**
2.1 Assumptions 5
2.2 Variable light speed c(t) derived from the Lorentz transform from FLRW coordinates 7
2.2.1 Extended Lorentz transform from galactic points to the stationary inertial frame using the velocity V between them 7
2.2.2 Power series in χ determines c(t) 8
2.3 Variable light speed c(t) derived from radial AP transforms (defined in sect 2.1) 11
2.3.1 Procedure for finding radial AP transforms using the velocity V 11
2.3.2 Diagonal radial AP transformed coordinates have physical c(t) close to the origin 15

3 Extension of GR to incorporate c(t) **15**

4 Paths of galactic points and received light **17**

5 Underlying physics **19**

6 To observe c(t) **19**

7 Conclusions **20**

Appendix **23**

A AP (almost physical) coordinates with diagonal metrics **23**
A.1 AP coordinates with Physical Time 23
A.1.1 Partial differential equation for $\hat{V} = V/c(t)$ 23
A.1.2 The general solution for $\hat{V}$, $R.$ and T for all a 23
A.1.3 Independent determination of $c(t)$ 25
A.1.4 Zero density universe $\Omega = 0$ 26

A.2 AP coordinates with Physical Distance 26
A.2.1 Partial differential equation for $\hat{V}$. 26
A.2.2 General solution for $\hat{V}$ 27
A.2.3 Obtaining T, R from $\hat{V}$ 28
A.3 Similarity solutions for flat universe, $\Omega = 1$ 29
A.3.1 Physical Distance 29
A.3.2 Physical Time 32

B Gravitational field in the FLRW and AP coordinates 33

C Special and General relativity extended to include a variable light speed 35
C.1 Introduction 35
C.2 The extended Lorentz transform and Minkowski metric . . . 36
C.3 Extended SR particle kinematics using contravariant vectors . 39
C.4 Extended analytical mechanics 40
C.5 Extended stress-energy tensor for ideal fluid 41
C.6 Extended electromagnetic vectors and tensors 42
C.7 The extended metric for a homogeneous and isotropic universe 46
C.8 Extended GR field equation 47
C.9 Extended GR for homogeneous and isotropic universe 48

Acknowledgments 49

References 49

Figures 51

1 Introduction

Here I will use a significantly different approach than other attempts in the literature to investigate a variable speed of light. Those mostly tried to find a new cosmology to provide alternatives to inflation in order to resolve horizon and flatness problems[1][2].

I derive a variable light speed using only the same three assumptions used in almost a century, except that I allow for a variable light speed: (1) that light speed (even though variable) is independent of the velocity of the observers, (2) that the universe is homogeneous and isotropic, and (3) that the radial FLRW differential variables derivable for this universe represent

physical time and distance. The first assumption leads to a Lorentz transform between moving observers, extended to allow a variable light speed; the second leads to the FLRW metric[3][4][5] that allows for a variable light speed; and the third allows us to locally apply the extended Lorentz transform from the FLRW time dt and radial distance $a(t)d\chi$ to the stationary time dT and distance dR. We show that this requires a variable physical light speed to be $c(t) \propto \sqrt{da/dt}$ in order to be consistent with the time varying distance differential of FLRW. This is done by expanding the physical time and distance along a stationary rod in a power series of the FLRW comoving coordinate χ and extrapolating to zero χ.

The reasonableness of this limiting process is confirmed by full radial transformed coordinates from FLRW, good for all distances, whose differentials become physical close to the origin where they have a Minkowski metric. These transforms all have the same variable light speed at the origin as the power series expansion.

For a homogeneous universe, since the origin can be placed on any galactic point, this means that this variable physical light speed enters all our physical laws throughout the universe.

In order to calculate $c(t)$ we need to find $a(t)$ from the field equation of general relativity extended to allow for a variable light speed. For this, we allow the gravitational "constant" G to be time varying so as to keep constant the proportionality function between the GR tensors of the field equation. We also allow particle mass to vary in order to keep the particle rest energy constant. This conserves the stress energy tensor of an ideal fluid used in the GR field equation for an FLRW universe.

We can express the gravitational field in transformed stationary coordinates using Riemannian geometry. In the region near the origin for a flat universe this field increases linearly with distance just like the Newtonian field for a spherical distribution of uniform mass density.

A surprise bonus from this endeavor is that one of the radial transforms has a physical distance to all parts of the universe. Even though three rigid accelerated axes are inadequate to describe three dimensional motion, it is apparently possible to find one rigid axis to measure radial distance, at least for a homogeneous FLRW universe, although the transformed time on this axis becomes non-physical at large distances.

I also outline in the Appendix how not only Lorentz, but all of Special and General Relativity can be extended to include any variable light speed.

2 The derivation of c(t)

2.1 Assumptions

Only three assumptions are needed for the derivation of $c(t)$. These are the same assumptions for special relativity and for the universe that have been made for almost a century. What is new is the allowance for the possibility that the physical light speed is variable.

i. "The physical light speed is the same for all colocated observers who may be moving at various velocities in an accelerating field."

From this we can derive the extended Lorentz transform ($\hat{L}$) between such observers, even when the light speed and velocities are variable (App C.2). Each observer will have an extended Minkowski line element ($\hat{M}$).

ii. "The universe is isotropic and homogeneous in space."

From this we can derive an extended FLRW metric (eq C.7) that allows for a variable light speed $c(t)$, where t is the physical time on the comoving galactic points of the FLRW solution. This derivation depends only on the assumed symmetry and not on the general relativistic field equation.

iii."The usual interpretation of the FLRW coordinates applies to the extended FLRW coordinates."

The line element ds for the FLRW metric has time and radial distance differentials of dt, $a(t)d\chi$, that represent physical quantities, measurable by clock and ruler differentials or their technological equivalents, so that the radial physical light speed is $a(\partial\chi/\partial t)_s$, and the physical radial velocity of a moving object, labeled R, located at t, χ is $a(\partial\chi/\partial t)_R$. A galactic point is denoted by χ, so χ is a co-moving radial coordinate with which a galactic point stays constant. t is the time on a clock co-moving with each galactic point, including the origin at $\chi = 0$. Each galactic point is on a finite inertial frame of limited extent, which moves with it. For radial motion the FLRW metric becomes Minkowski-like with a variable radial differential.

Definitions: We will use AP (almost physical) to describe spherically symmetric coordinate systems x^μ that are transforms from the FLRW coordinates that have the same line element ds as FLRW with a metric that ap-

proaches $\hat{M}$ as χ approaches zero. They will have contravariant vectors like the velocity $U^\mu = dx^\mu/ds$ (dimensionless) and acceleration $A^\mu = DU^\mu/Ds$, whose components transform like the coordinate differentials.

We will consider a radial world line measured with an isotropic radial AP transform (Sect 2.3) from FLRW. whose time and radial coordinates are $T(t,\chi)$ and $R(t,\chi)$ and whose space origin is attached to the same galactic point as $\chi = 0$, at which point there is no motion between them, which we will therefore call a stationary frame. We define a generalized Hubble ratio as $H(\hat{t}) = \dot{a}/a$, where the dot is the $d\hat{t} = c(t)dt$ derivative (eq 194).

With these assumptions and definitions, we will show that the light speed must be variable and proportional to $\dot{a} = aH$ (or equivalently to $\sqrt{da/dt}$) by two different procedures:

1) Integrate $\hat{L}$ transformed physical differentials dT, dR for small χ. (Section 2.2)

2) Find full diagonal radial AP transforms T, R for all t, χ (Sections 2.3).

Each of these has the same variable light speed $c(t)$ in the limit of $\chi \to 0$. The first shows this for any and all AP transforms that are physical close to the origin for an expansion of T to the second power of χ, the first order vanishing. The second shows this for a large number of full radial AP transforms which have a $\hat{M}$ metric close to the origin. Thus, the first is a completeness proof that if there are such transforms, they must have this $c(t)$, and the second is an existence proof that there are such transforms with $c(t)$ at the origin, and that the expansion of the first is further justified for being carried out to second power of χ.

Additional assumptions are needed to apply this variable physical light speed to physical laws. We will use the following:

(*iv*) "We assume the Bernal criteria[12] that two observers will be using the same units of measure when each measures the other's differential units at the same space-time point compared to their own and finds these cross measurements to be equal."

We will find a radial AP transform (T, R) called physical distance coordinates whose differentials dR are all physical by virtue of this assumption (Section 2.3 and Appendix A.2). If we assume that thereby the integrated

R is a rigid physical distance, this R becomes a global reference axis.

(v) "We assume that the Einstein's field equations can be extended for $c(t)$ in the FLRW metric by using a gravitation "constant" that varies so as to keep the Newtonian gravitational energy from varying and by assuming that the rest mass energy of particles is constant. These keep constant the proportionality function between the GR field tensors (eq 205) and conserves the stress-energy tensor in its rest frame (App C.5)."

The solution of this extended GR field equations allows us to calculate $a(t)$ and $c(t)$ and galactic and photon paths for a homogeneous and isotropic universe with a variable light speed (Sects 3, 4).

(vi) "We assume that the vacuum electric and magnetic 'constants' vary inversely with c(t)"

This keeps constant the fine structure constant and Rydberg frequency and allows us to define electro-magnetic field vectors that maintain Maxwells equations (App C.6).

2.2 Variable light speed c(t) derived from the Lorentz transform from FLRW coordinates

2.2.1 Extended Lorentz transform from galactic points to the stationary inertial frame using the velocity V between them

We will consider only radial world lines with physical coordinates T and R on the stationary inertial frame. We would like these to describe the same events as t and χ, so $T = T(t, \chi)$ and $R = R(t, \chi)$ with $R = 0$ at $\chi = 0$. So

$$\begin{aligned} dT &= T_t dt + T_\chi d\chi = \tfrac{1}{c} T_t d\hat{t} + T_\chi d\chi, \\ dR &= R_t dt + R_\chi d\chi = \tfrac{1}{c} R_t d\hat{t} + R_\chi d\chi, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the subscripts indicate partial derivatives with respect to the subscript variable, and where we use $d\hat{t} = c(t)dt$ (SectC). We will find $T = T(t, \chi)$ and $R = R(t, \chi)$ by integrating the differentials of the Lorentz transform for a short distance. We assume the $\hat{M}$ metric applies to physical differential times and distances of limited size anywhere and anytime. The FLRW metric in eq 192 has a radial Minkowski-like metric with $dT^* \to dt$ and $dR^* \to a d\chi$ that we have assumed are physical. If a point on the stationary frame is moving at a radial velocity $V(t, \chi)$ when measured with the FLRW coordinates, the

$\hat{L}$ transform of dt, adx to dT, dR for a radial path keeps the line element ds invariant (eq 152):

$$\begin{aligned} dT &= \gamma(t,\chi)(dt - \tfrac{V(t,\chi)}{c(t)^2}a(t)d\chi), \\ dR &= \gamma(t,\chi)(-V(t,\chi)dt + a(t)d\chi), \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

If we compare eq 2 with eq 1, we get

$$T_t = \gamma, \tag{3}$$

$$T_\chi = -\gamma a V/c^2 = -\gamma a \hat{V}/c, \tag{4}$$

$$R_t = -\gamma V = -\gamma c \hat{V}, \tag{5}$$

$$R_\chi = \gamma a, \tag{6}$$

where for simplification we have introduced $\hat{V} \equiv V/c$. These relations are exact for differentials as $\chi \to 0$, and therefor are approximately correct when the differentials are integrated for small χ at constant t. We can rearrange the two expressions for $\hat{V}$ to give

$$\hat{V} = -\frac{aR_t}{cR_\chi} = -\frac{cT_\chi}{aT_t}. \tag{7}$$

With eqs 3, 6, and 7 this gives two relations each for dT and dR in terms of $\hat{V}$. When we integrate these partial differential equations, we integrate dT, dR along the R frame but integrate the $dt, d\chi$ along a radial connection between the comoving galactic points χ. Because the radial differential changes with time, $V(t,\chi)$ changes with time and distance. We will find this combination requires $c(t)$ to vary with t in a determined way, at least for the short distance from the origin where a power series is valid. When there is no acceleration, and V is a function only of χ in an expanding universe, $c(t)$ will be constant (see Appendix A.5).

2.2.2 Power series in χ determines c(t)

To obtain $T(t,\chi)$ and $R(t,\chi)$ near the origin, we need to integrate the differentials dT and dR for small χ. We will do this by expanding these physical coordinates in a power series in χ out to the lowest power that will give a non-trivial $c(t)$ in the limit of zero χ. We will use the two relations for dR

to determine the expansion coefficients of R and $\hat{V}$, then use the resultant expansion of $\hat{V}$ in the two relations for dT to expand T and determine the requirement for $c(t)$.

Since $\hat{V}$ will vanish at the origin (see definitions, Sect 2.1), the constant in the power series for $\hat{V}$ is zero; so let

$$-\hat{V} = w_1(t)\chi + w_2(t)\chi^2 + w_3(t)\chi^3 + \bigcirc(\chi^4)... \tag{8}$$

where the $w_i(t)$ are unknown functions to be determined. From $R_\chi = a\gamma$ (eq 6) we get

$$R_\chi = a(1 + \frac{1}{2}\hat{V}^2 + \frac{3}{8}\hat{V}^4 + ...) = a(1 + \frac{1}{2}w_1^2\chi^2 + w_1 w_2\chi^3 + \bigcirc(\chi^4))... \tag{9}$$

If we integrate eq 9 at constant t, noting that R vanishes at $\chi = 0$ (see definitions, Sect C.1), we obtain

$$R = a\chi + \frac{1}{6}aw_1^2\chi^3 + \frac{1}{4}aw_1 w_2\chi^4 + \bigcirc(\chi^5)... \tag{10}$$

$R(t, \chi)$ is the physical differential dR summed over all the galactic points up to χ, and is thus the physical distance to χ at time t. The first term of eq 10 is the "proper" distance to which all measurements of distance reduce close to the origin [5].

Partial differentiation of eq 10 by t at constant χ gives

$$R_t = c\dot{a}\chi + \frac{1}{6}\chi^3\frac{d}{dt}(aw_1^2) + \frac{1}{4}\chi^4\frac{d}{dt}(aw_1 w_2) + \bigcirc(\chi^5)... \tag{11}$$

where the dot represents the derivative with respect to $\hat{t}$. We can then find $\hat{V}$ from eqs 7, 9, and 11:

$$-\hat{V} = \frac{aR_t}{cR_\chi} = \dot{a}\chi + f(t)\chi^3 + \bigcirc(\chi^4)..., \tag{12}$$

where

$$f(t) = -\frac{1}{2}w_1^2\dot{a} + \frac{1}{6c}\frac{d}{dt}(aw_1^2) \tag{13}$$

By comparison of eq 12 with eq 8, we see that $w_1 = \dot{a}$, $w_2 = 0$, and $w_3 = f(t)$.

We will now use this expression for $\hat{V}$ to find two relations for T_t. The first comes from $T_t = \gamma$ (eq 3):

$$T_t = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\hat{V}^2 = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\dot{a}^2\chi^2 + \bigcirc(\chi^4)..., \tag{14}$$

Even though dT and dt are both measured on standard physical clocks, we note that the galactic clocks t measured at constant χ run slower than the stationary clocks T as they move away from the origin (dilation). When measured at constant R, the stationary clocks run slower, $t_T = 1+\hat{V}^2/2$, in accordance with the Lorentz transform. Of course the distance contraction is also consistent with Lorentz, $R_\chi/a = a\chi_R = 1 + \hat{V}^2/2$ (eq 9).

We can find an expression for T_χ, using eqs 7, 14 and 12:

$$T_\chi = -\frac{a}{c}T_t\hat{V} = \frac{a}{c}[1 + \frac{1}{2}\dot{a}^2\chi^2 + \bigcirc(\chi^4)...][\dot{a}\chi + f(t)\chi^3 + \bigcirc(\chi^4)...], \quad (15)$$

and multiplying the brackets gives

$$T_\chi = \frac{a}{c}[\dot{a}\chi + \frac{1}{2}\dot{a}^3\chi^3 + f(t)\chi^3 + \bigcirc(\chi^4)...]. \quad (16)$$

By integration with χ at constant t with $T = t$ at $\chi = 0$ we find

$$T = t + \frac{1}{2}\frac{a\dot{a}}{c}\chi^2 + \bigcirc(\chi^4)... \quad (17)$$

We have thus $T(t, \chi)$ at $R(t, \chi)$ requiring a synchronization of the physical stationary clocks that changes with time either at constant χ or constant R. This change in clock rate should not be surprising for a rigid rod in a time varying gravitational field that is zero at the origin and increases with distance along the rod.

If we partially differentiate eq 17 by t, we get a second expression for T_t:

$$T_t = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\chi^2\frac{d}{dt}(\frac{a\dot{a}}{c}) + \bigcirc(\chi^4)... \quad (18)$$

The equivalence of the two expressions for T_t (eqs 14 and 18) out to the lowest non-trivial term in χ requires that there be a variable $c(t)$ given by the differential equation:

$$\dot{a}^2 = \frac{d}{dt}(\frac{a\dot{a}}{c(t)}). \quad (19)$$

Mathematically, when we regard $c(t)$ as a variable to be determined by the limiting process of $\chi \to 0$, we must keep the term in χ^2 since it is the lowest term that determines $c(t)$, which we have therefor called non-trivial.

To get an explicit expression for $c(t)$, multiply eq 19 by a, change the variable dt to $da = \dot{a}c(t)dt$ to yield

$$\frac{da}{a} = \frac{c}{a\dot{a}}d(\frac{a\dot{a}}{c}). \quad (20)$$

One can see that $c \propto \dot{a}$ is a solution, so

$$\frac{c(t)}{c_0} = \frac{\dot{a}(t)}{\dot{a}(t_0)} = \alpha E, \tag{21}$$

where α is the normalized scale factor

$$\alpha \equiv a/a_0, \tag{22}$$

and E is the normalized Hubble ratio $H(\hat{t})$

$$E \equiv \frac{H}{H_0} = \frac{1}{H_0}\frac{\dot{a}}{a}. \tag{23}$$

The subscript 0 denotes the value at $t = t_0$, the present time. We can take c_0 to be unity, so that $c(t)$ would be measured in units of c_0, but for most equations in this paper I will retain c_0 for clarity. The field equation (sect 3) will enable us to evaluate α and E and thus $c(t)$.

2.3 Variable light speed c(t) derived from radial AP transforms (defined in sect 2.1)

2.3.1 Procedure for finding radial AP transforms using the velocity V

We would now like to find radial AP transforms that will hold for all values of the FLRW coordinates and reduce to the physical coordinates for small distances from the origin. The most general line element for a time dependent spherically symmetric (i.e., isotropic) line element (Weinberg, p335) is

$$ds^2 = c^2A^2dT^2 - B^2d/R^2 - 2cCdTdR - F^2(d\theta^2 + sin^2\theta d\phi^2) \tag{24}$$

where A, B, C, and F are implicit function of T and R, but explicit functions of t and χ. We are using the same notation for time and distance as we did for the physical coordinates, but understand that they may be physical only for small distances from the origin. We have included the physical light speed $c(t)$ in the definition of the coefficients of dT.

We will look for transformed coordinates which have their origins on the same galactic point as $\chi = 0$, so $R = 0$ when $\chi = 0$, where there will be no motion between them, and where T is t, since the time on clocks attached to every galactic point is t, including the origin. We will make $F = ar$ to correspond to the FLRW metric, but will find only radial transforms where

the angular differentials are zero. Of course, full four dimensional transforms to time and three rigid axes have not been found, nor are they required to determine $c(t)$. They have only to meet the requirement of becoming $\hat{L}$ close to the origin. By definition radial AP transforms do this. Then R and T will be functions of only t and χ: $T = T(t, \chi)$ and $R = R(t, \chi)$, and we will still have eq 1. Let us consider a radial point at R in the transformed system. When measured from the FLRW system, it will be moving at a velocity given by

$$V = a(t) \left(\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t} \right)_R = c\hat{V}, \tag{25}$$

This velocity will be the key variable that will enable us to obtain radial AP transforms of the full radial coordinates. To get the time component of the contravariant velocity vector $U^{\hat{t}} = d\hat{t}/ds$, we divide eq 195 by $d\hat{t}^2$ with $d\omega = 0$ to obtain

$$(\frac{ds}{d\hat{t}})^2 = 1 - a(t)^2 (\frac{d\chi}{d\hat{t}})^2 = (1 - \hat{V}^2) \equiv \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \tag{26}$$

We can make this substitution of $d\chi/d\hat{t} = \hat{V}/a$ from eq 25 because we are calculating the transform for the point R. To get the spatial component, we use the chain rule applied to eqs 25 and 26:

$$\frac{d\chi}{ds} = \frac{d\chi}{d\hat{t}} \frac{d\hat{t}}{ds} = \frac{\hat{V}}{a} \gamma. \tag{27}$$

The spatial components of the contravariant velocity vector of a point in the transformed system are zero because we will assume the point is not moving in those coordinates. This assumption means that a test particle attached to the radial coordinate will feel a force caused by the gravitational field, but will be constrained not to move relative to the coordinate. Alternatively, a colocated free particle at rest relative to the radial point will be accelerated, but will thereafter not stay colocated. This means that the radial point is not inertial. However, it also means that the radius will be an axis of simultaneity since there is no motion between its points. (By simultaneity I mean that any two events that are simultaneous at one point on R are seen as simultaneous at all points via light signals).

The time component of the AP vector is $dT/ds = 1/cA$. This makes the vector $U^\mu = \frac{dx^\mu}{ds}$ in the transformed coordinates

$$U^\mu = (0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{cA}) \tag{28}$$

and in the FLRW coordinates

$$U^{\mu} = (\frac{\gamma \hat{V}}{a}, 0, 0, \gamma). \tag{29}$$

Since it is contravariant, its components will transform the same as dT, dR in eq 1:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{1}{cA} & = & \frac{1}{c} T_t \gamma + \frac{1}{a} T_\chi \gamma \hat{V}, \\ 0 & = & \frac{1}{c} R_t \gamma + \frac{1}{a} R_\chi \gamma \hat{V}. \end{array} . \tag{30}$$

Manipulating the second line of eq 30 gives

$$\hat{V} = -\frac{a R_t}{c R_\chi}. \tag{31}$$

If we invert eq 1, we get

$$\begin{array}{l} d\hat{t} = \frac{1}{D}(\frac{1}{c} R_\chi dT - T_\chi dR), \\ d\chi = \frac{1}{D}(-\frac{1}{c} R_t dT + T_t dR), \end{array} \tag{32}$$

where

$$D = \frac{1}{c} T_t R_\chi - \frac{1}{c} R_t T_\chi = \frac{1}{c} T_t R_\chi (1 + \hat{V} \frac{c T_\chi}{a T_t}), \tag{33}$$

using eq 31. Because of the invariance of ds^2, we can enter $d\hat{t}$ and $d\chi$ of eq 32 into the FLRW metric (eq 192) to find the following expressions for the coefficients of eq 24:

$$A^2 = \frac{1}{T_t^2} \left[\frac{1 - \hat{V}^2}{(1 + \hat{V} \frac{c T_\chi}{a T_t})^2} \right], \tag{34}$$

$$B^2 = \frac{a^2}{R_\chi^2} \left[\frac{1 - (\frac{c T_\chi}{a T_t})^2}{(1 + \hat{V} \frac{c T_\chi}{a T_t})^2} \right], \tag{35}$$

and

$$C = -\frac{a}{T_t R_\chi} \left[\frac{\hat{V} + \frac{c T_\chi}{a T_t}}{(1 + \hat{V} \frac{c T_\chi}{a T_t})^2} \right]. \tag{36}$$

If we put $ds = 0$ in eq 24, we obtain a coordinate velocity of light v_p:

$$\frac{v_p}{c} = \left[\frac{\partial R}{\partial \hat{T}} \right]_s = -\frac{C}{B^2} \pm \sqrt{(\frac{C}{B^2})^2 + \frac{A^2}{B^2}} \tag{37}$$

We need to remember that the $c(t)$ in these equations is the physical light speed assumed for the FLRW metric. The equations for A, B, and v_p simplify for a diagonal metric ($C = 0$):

$$A = \frac{\gamma}{T_t} = \frac{t_T}{\gamma} \tag{38}$$

$$B = \frac{a\gamma}{R_\chi} = \frac{a\chi_R}{\gamma} \tag{39}$$

$$\frac{v_p}{c} = \frac{A}{B}, \tag{40}$$

where we have used eq 32 with $C = 0$ to obtain the inverse partials.

This metric becomes $\hat{M}$ when $A \to 1, B \to 1, C \to 0$ and $ar \to R$, and we get the relations in eqs 3-6 so that the transform becomes $\hat{L}$ in four dimensions. Even when these conditions are not met, we can say something about the physicality of the coordinates with the use of criteria (iv) developed by Bernal et al[12]. They developed a theory of fundamental units based on the postulate that two observers will be using the same units of measure when each measures the other's differential units at the same space-time point compared to their own and finds these cross measurements to be equal. Thus, even if $A \neq 1$, dR will be physical if $C = 0$ and $B = 1$ because then $R_\chi/a = a\chi_R = \gamma$ and dR uses the same measure of distance as $ad\chi$, which FLRW assumes are physical. If we assume R is physical when physical dR is integrated indefinitely out to the visible horizon, since there is no movement between any two points on R, it becomes the radius of a rigid global reference frame of simultaneity. (By simultaneity we mean that if two events are simultaneous when observed at any point, they are seen simultaneous at all points). Although it is not possible to find physical global coordinates in four dimensional frames in a gravitational field ([9], it apparently is possible to find a global physical radius.

At this point we would like to examine quantitatively how far from the $\hat{M}$ metric our transformed metric is allowed to be in order for its coordinates to reasonably represent physical measurements. We can consider the coefficients A, B, and C one at a time departing from their value in the $\hat{M}$ metric. For example, let us consider the physical distance case $B = 1, C = 0$ and examine the possible departure of the time rate in the transform from that physically measured. Then, from eqs 38: $T_t = \gamma/A, t_T = \gamma A$. Thus, $1 - A$ represents a fractional increase from γ in the transformed time rate T_t, and thus the fractional increase from physical (v) of an inertial rod at that point. We can make a contour of constant A on our world map to give

a limit for a desired physicality of the transform.

2.3.2 Diagonal radial AP transformed coordinates have physical c(t) close to the origin

We show in Appendix A that there exist an infinite number of radial AP transformed coordinate systems which satisfy the $\hat{M}$ requirements close to the origin. Appendix A.1 derives diagonal transforms ($C = 0$) using physical time ($A = 1$) for all distances R, which all show that the light speed becomes $c(t) \propto \dot{a}$ when the transforms becomes Lorentz. Appendix A.2 shows the diagonal transforms for physical distance ($B = 1, C = 0$) for all times T, which also show that the light speed becomes $c(t) \propto \dot{a}$ when the transforms becomes Lorentz.. Appendix A.3 describes similarity solutions for both types for a flat universe ($\Omega = 1$). Because the equations in A.1 and A.2 are different from each other, they show, as we would expect, that it is not possible to have diagonal transforms with physical R and physical T simultaneously for all values of t, χ (except for an empty universe).

At all distances for $A = 1$, the AP time T can be measured on stationary physical clocks, but the AP distance R cannot be measured on physical rulers for all distances. For $B = 1$, the AP distance R can be measured by physical rulers on a stationary frame for all distances, but the AP time T cannot be measured by physical clocks (except for small R). We can calculate an acceleration (Appendix B) for a flat universe that is zero at the origin, and increases with distance; the physical distance R acts like you might expect for a rigid ruler on whom the surrounding masses balance their gravitational force to zero at the origin, but develop an inward pull as the distance increases.

3 Extension of GR to incorporate c(t)

We can accommodate the variable light speed $c(t)$ in the field equation of general relativity for FLRW by allowing the gravitational "constant" G to be time varying so as to keep constant the proportionality function between the GR tensors (eq 205). We avoid taking derivatives of $c(t)$ by using $\hat{t}$, where $d\hat{t} = c(t)dt$. The dependence on real time t is found by transforming the resultant solution back to t from $\hat{t}$. This is described in App C.8. This enables us to calculate $a(t)$, $c(t)$, and trajectories in the time and distance of stationary coordinates.

In eqs 200,201 of the Appendix are the two significant field equations of the extended GR applied to an ideal fluid:

$$\frac{3\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{3k}{a^2} - \Lambda = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\rho c^2, \tag{41}$$

and

$$+2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{k}{a^2} - \Lambda = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^4}p, \tag{42}$$

where the dots represent derivatives with respect to $\hat{t}$. Following Peebles [10, p312], we define

$$\Omega \equiv \rho_0 \frac{8\pi G_0}{3c_0^2 H_0^2} \tag{43}$$

and

$$\Omega_r \equiv \frac{-k}{H_0^2 a_0^2} \tag{44}$$

and

$$\Omega_\Lambda \equiv \frac{\Lambda}{3H_0^2}. \tag{45}$$

For very small a there will also be radiation energy density which will not be considered in this paper.

The normalized Hubble ratio E in eq 23 is determined by eq 41:

$$\frac{1}{H_0}\frac{\dot{a}}{a} = E = \sqrt{\frac{\Omega}{\alpha^3} + \frac{\Omega_r}{\alpha^2} + \Omega_\Lambda}. \tag{46}$$

which allows us to evaluate $c(t)/c_0 = \alpha E$. The Ωs are defined so that

$$\Omega + \Omega_r + \Omega_\Lambda = 1. \tag{47}$$

At $t = t_0$: $\alpha = 1$, $E = 1$, and $c/c_0 = 1$.

The cosmic time t measured from the beginning of the FLRW universe (Big Bang) becomes

$$c_0 H_0 t = \int_0^\alpha \frac{c_0 d\alpha}{c\alpha E} = \int_0^\alpha \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha^2 E^2}. \tag{48}$$

For a flat universe with $\Omega = 1$ and $\Omega_b = \Omega_r = \Omega_\Lambda = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 H_0 t &= \alpha^2/2, \\ c_0 H_0 t_0 &= 1/2, \\ \alpha &= (t/t_0)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

$$c/c_0 = \alpha E = \alpha^{-1/2} = (t_0/t)^{1/4}. \tag{50}$$

For other densities with $\Omega_b = \Omega_\Lambda = 0$,

$$c_0 H_0 t = \frac{\Omega}{(1-\Omega)^2}[y - \ln(1+y)], \tag{51}$$

where

$$y = \frac{1-\Omega}{\Omega}\alpha. \tag{52}$$

There is no periodicity of α with t for $\Omega > 1$, . The higher density decreases the time $t_0 \to \ln\Omega/c_0 H_0 \Omega$, and the universe scale factor α continues to expand, asymptotically approaching a maximum at $\Omega/(\Omega-1)$. As $\Omega \to 0, a \to t, c \to c_0$, the universe becomes Minkowski (see Appendix A.5).

For experiments attempting to measure the variation of the light speed at the present time, the derivative of $c(t)$ (eq 21 with $\Omega_b/\alpha^4 << 1$) will be more useful:

$$\frac{1}{c_0 H_0}\left[\frac{1}{c}\frac{dc}{dt}\right]_{t=t_0} = 1 - \frac{3}{2}\Omega - \Omega_r = -\frac{\Omega}{2} + \Omega_\Lambda. \tag{53}$$

Notice that this fraction is negative when matter dominates, and goes from zero at zero density to $-1/2$ at the critical universe density. A vacuum energy density opposes the gravitational effect of matter; when it dominates, the slope is an increasing function of time.

4 Paths of galactic points and received light

Because there is a special interest in having a physical description for distance in the universe, we display the physical distance transforms. The physical distance results for flat space (Appendix A.3) are shown in Figs. 1 and 2. Here we have used the field equations with the generalized time (Sect 3) to derive the equations for $a(t) = a_0(t/t_0)^{1/2}$ and $c(t) = c_0(t_0/t)^{1/4}$.

Fig 1 plots distance R against the time at the origin (cosmic time t) for galaxies (constant χ) and for incoming light reaching the origin at $t/t_0 = 1$. The galactic paths are labeled with their red shift z, determined by the time t of the intersection of the photon path with the galactic path $z = -1 + c/\alpha = -1 + (t_0/t)^{3/4}$, assuming the frequency of the emitted light does not change with $c(t)$. Notice that light comes monotonically towards the origin from all galactic points. This photon path has a slope of $c_0 = 1$ close to the origin where the distance R and time t are both physical, but

decreases as the distance increases and the time decreases, different from $c(t)$.

Although the distance uses physical rulers, the coordinate system as a whole may not be physical for times shorter than some limit. A reasonable limit (sect 2.3.2) might be $A = 0.95$, $R = 2.3(t/t_0)^{3/4}$, shown by the heavy dotted line in the figures. Together with $B = 1$,$C = 0$ for these physical distance coordinates, this shows that the assumption that T and R represent a physical stationary coordinate system inside this limit is very good, with distances accurately represented, and time rates T_t within 5% of physical measurements on adjacent inertial rods.

Fig 2 plots these distances vs the transform time T at R. At the emission of the photons, T is finite (even for $t = 0$), presumably the transformed time it takes for the galactic point to get out to the point of emission. At $T/t_0 = 1$ the slope of the light path is $c_0 = 1$, and at the physicality limit $T/t_0 = 0.40$ the slope is only 5% less than $c(t) = 1.50$. At the intersection of this physicality limit with the photon path that arrives at the origin at t_0, the time $t/t_0 = 0.2$ and the red shift $z = 2.4$. Thus, if we have a flat universe with $\Omega = 1$, the last 80% of the universe history out to a z of 2.4 can be treated with physical coordinates T and R. This z is as large as any of the Supernova Ia whose measurements have suggested an accelerating universe. Even out to $z = 7$, $A - 1 = 0.2, \hat{V}^2/2 = .411, B = 1, C = 0$, $v_p/c = A = .8$, $c(t) = 2$, and $t/t_0 = .06$, so the approximation to $\hat{M}$ is not too bad for a universe 6% of its present age, with a light speed at twice its present value. The locus of points where $t = 0$, labeled horizon, is interesting, but does not represent physical coordinates (see Sect 5).

Actually, the coordinates of the transform are closer to $\hat{M}$ than keeping only the lowest order of the expansion. Thus, for the plotted physicality limit, $z = 2.4$, keeping the lowest order of the expansion of T_t gives $A = \gamma/T_t = \gamma/(1 + \hat{V}^2/2) = 1.14$, because the lowest order of the expansion is less than γ; whereas for the transform, $A = 0.95$, making the transformed T_t a slight overcorrection, but much closer to γ.

I have also included three additional figures, also using the generalized field equation of section 3 (and sect C.8). Fig 3 is for a density of $\Omega = 1/2$ (Appendix A.2), which has paths intermediate between $\Omega = 1$ and $\Omega = 0$. Fig 4 shows the effect of dark energy (Appendix A.2 for $\Omega_\Lambda = 3/4$), where all the curves tend to have inflection points when the dark energy becomes dominant. The empty universe ($\Omega = 0$ in Appendix A.1.4) shown in Fig 5 is physical for all space-time, undistorted by gravitational curvature; galactic points and light travel in straight lines. Figs 1-2 are from the numerically integrated similarity solution, Figs 3-4 are from the numerically integrated

initial value solution, and Fig 5 is an analytic function solution. These illustrate complete coverage of $0 \leq \Omega \leq 1$.

5 Underlying physics

It really should not surprise us that gravity acting in concert with the cosmological principle should cause a change in the light speed. It is well known that a rod stretching a short distance along the x direction in a gravitational field g acquires a light speed of $c_0(1 + gx/c_0^2)$ (see MTW[9]p173).

The effect of gravitational potential on light speed is also demonstrated by the Schwarzschild coordinates, where the coordinate light speed as well as the time on clocks are changed by the gravitational potential at a distance from a central mass M.

In Appendix C for FLRW we show that for a flat universe ($\Omega = 1$) with the presently derived variable light speed, there is a gravitational field in the physicality region that increases linearly with distance from the origin. If we insert into eq 103 the mass of the universe inside the radius R, $M_0 = 4\pi\rho_0 R^3/3$ at time t_o, we obtain

$$g = -\frac{G_0 M_0}{R^2} \tag{54}$$

the Newtonian expression for gravitational field at a radius R inside a sphere of uniform density. This is another indication that the T,R coordinates are obeying special relativity laws near the origin because an accelerated particle in the rest frame of SR has the Newtonian acceleration[11]. Note that $g < 0$ indicates an inward pull on the galactic points towards the origin of the stationary axis, which we can interpret as the cause for the universe expansion to slow down (for $\Lambda = 0$).

Thus, just as the assumption of homogeneity requires the universe to be either expanding or contracting, it seems to require the physical light speed to depend on this rate of expansion or contraction.

6 To observe c(t)

The most straight forward way to observe $c(t)$ is to find a way to directly measure the light speed or the atomic spectra wavelengths with the same precision and stability that we can now measure spectra frequency. A fractional change in speed or wavelength should be $6x10^{-17}$ in 100 secs or $2x10^{-11}$ in

a year if $c/c_0 = (t/t_0)^{1/4}$. With this much sensitivity, however, an observation would have to separate out the possible effects on light speed of the gravitational forces of local masses like the earth, the moon, and the sun.

$c(t)$ might affect all of distant observations. For instance in the measurement of supernova Ia[16] it will affect the measurement of acceleration of galaxies. It's possible that the whole apparent acceleration may be explainable by $c(t)$ instead of dark energy (Λ). This would require the luminosity of the super novae Ia to decrease with increasing c. For a flat universe the apparent distance d_L is given by

$$d_L = \frac{2}{H_0}(1+z)[1-(1+z)^{-1/3}][L(c)]^{1/2} \tag{55}$$

where L is the fraction by which the luminosity is changed by $c(t)/c_0$. (Note that if H_0' is the reciprocal of the measured slope of d_L vs z for small z, then $H_0' = 3H_0/2$ and $c_0 t_0 = 1/(2H_0) = 3/(4H_0')$. In general, $H_0' = H_0[1+\Omega/2-\Omega_\Lambda])$. For the observations to be entirely explained by $c(t)$ instead of dark energy would require the luminosity to vary as $c^{-5} = (1+z)^{-5/3}$ for a flat universe without dark energy. Recently, this apparent acceleration has been confirmed using distant clusters as a standard candle[17]. For this to be explained by $c(t)$ would require it to have the same $c(t)$ dependence. Even if $c(t)$ does not explain all the apparent acceleration, the calibration of the standard candles and therefor the amount of dark energy will be affected.

Other astronomical observations that might be affected by $c(t)$ are cosmic background radiation, gravitational lensing, and dynamical estimates of galactic cluster masses.

Unfortunately, the $c(t)$ calculated herein solves neither the flatness nor the horizon problem without inflation: The flatness problem changes little because the Hubble ratio has the same dependence on the universe scale factor $a(t)$. The horizon problem remains because $c(t)$ enters both the transverse speed of light and the radial speed of matter. At the time of the release of the CBR photons, without inflation light could have traveled laterally only $\int_0^t c\partial_\chi t/ra = 1/(1+z)^{1/4} = .04$ radians for $\Omega = 1, z = 3000$, and so could not have interacted with matter separated by more than this angle. This would allow only .002 of the universe to have interacted.

7 Conclusions

From the cosmological principle of spatial homogeneity and isotropy we can obtain the FLRW metric, which may have a variable light speed, that de-

scribes a universe of inertial frames attached to expanding galactic points with FLRW differential comoving coordinate times the scale factor $a(t)$ interpreted as a physical differential distance. The FLRW metric is Minkowski-like in its radial derivative. Locally, SR applies, so a stationary rigid frame attached to the origin has a Minkowski metric. Thus, for a radial world line we can use a Lorentz transform from FLRW to the stationary frame that keeps the two Minkowski world line elements invariant in order to obtain time and distance coordinates to describe radial movement in the universe close to the origin. Because the FLRW metric has a time varying coefficient multiplying the space differential, this produces a velocity between the galactic points and the stationary frame that is a function of time and distance. A consistent limiting process to zero distance from the origin requires a variable light speed $c(t) \propto \sqrt{da/dt}$), the square root of the rate of change of the scale factor of the FLRW universe.

By homogeneity, the origin can be placed on any galactic point, so that this variable light speed enters physical laws throughout the universe.

We extend the field equation by allowing the gravitation "constant" to vary and the rest masses of particles to vary in such a way as to keep constant the rest mass energy and the Newtonian gravitational energy. We have shown that this results in a constant relating the tensors of the field equation, like the field equation with a non-variable light speed. This yields a new function of cosmological time for the scale factor of the FLRW universe and thus values for $c(t)$. These enable the calculation of physical distance vs physical time for galactic and received light paths in the universe.

Although three orthogonal rigid axes are inadequate to describe three dimensional motion in accelerating fields, it is possible to describe one dimensional motion on a single axis. We have done this for the FLRW universe by finding radial AP transforms from FLRW for all distances whose differentials remain close to SR Minkowski with this same variable light speed out to a red shift of 2 for a flat universe.

I have shown that the physical coordinates on the stationary frame near the origin have a gravitational field for a flat universe that increases linearly with radius just like the Newtonian field for a spherical distribution of uniform mass density. Like Schwarzschild, a gravitational red shift is predicted for a distant stationary light source observed at the origin of the FLRW universe.

To summarize, I am persuaded that the physical light speed throughout the FLRW universe is proportional to $\sqrt{da/dt}$ because (1) in the limit of zero distance from the origin a radial Lorentz transform from FLRW to a stationary rigid frame requires it; (2) all radial AP transforms from

FLRW coordinates that I have investigated that are SR Minkowski close to the origin have this same variable light speed; (3) we can use an extended Einstein field equation to calculate the transformed distance vs time for galactic points and light that behave in a physically sensible way; (4) the transformed gravitational field in the physicality region for a flat universe is Newtonian for a spherical distribution of uniform mass density and can be considered the cause of the deceleration of the universe (when dark energy can be neglected).

Just as the assumption of homogeneity requires the universe to be either expanding or contracting, it seems to require the light speed to depend on this rate of expansion or contraction under the influence of gravity.

One of the radial AP transforms from FLRW has a distance coordinate that remains physical for all distances. We can interpret this to be a global reference distance (used in Figs 1-5), although the time of this transform becomes unphysical at large distances.

Some other physical "constants" that depend on the light speed must also be changing with cosmic time. I have suggested some constraints on this variability: (1) retaining the conservation of the stress energy tensor, including keeping constant the rest mass energy, the gravitational energy, and the Schwarzschild radius, and (2) keeping frequency of atomic spectra constant, which means the fine structure constant, and the Rydberg frequency. These still make possible the geometrization of relativity with an adaptation of vectors and tensors such as the energy-momentum vector, the stress-energy tensor, and the electromagnetic field tensor.

This $c(t)$ should be observable by direct measurement of light speed or spectral wavelength if they could be measured to the same precision as frequency, and if the possible effects on light speed of the gravitational forces of nearby masses like the earth, the moon, and the sun could be isolated. It should have an impact on understanding distant cosmic observations. Perhaps it will provide an alternative to dark energy to explain the apparent acceleration of galaxies via supernova Ia. Analysis of cosmic background radiation, gravitational lensing, and dynamical estimates of galactic cluster masses could also be affected. But the recognition of this $c(t)$ does not solve the flatness nor horizon problems without inflation.

I have outlined in Appendix C how a variable light speed can be included in an extended special and general relativity by keeping constant the rest energy of particles and the energy of Newtonian gravity acting between them.

Appendix

A AP (almost physical) coordinates with diagonal metrics

A.1 AP coordinates with Physical Time

A.1.1 Partial differential equation for $\hat{V} = V/c(t)$

We will be considering radial AP transforms for diagonal coordinates that eq 36 makes

$$\hat{V} = -\frac{cT_\chi}{aT_t}. \tag{56}$$

For diagonal coordinates with physical time at all t and χ, $A = 1$. Thus, eq 34 becomes

$$T_t = \gamma. \tag{57}$$

This automatically guarantees the Lorentz time dilation $(\partial T/\partial t)_R = 1/t_T = 1/\gamma$ (eq 32). We need only find a transform for which $B \to 1$ close to the origin to make it AP.

We proceed by finding a differential equation with $\hat{V}$ as the only dependent variable. Thus, we can write a formula for T, using eq 57 and eq 56:

$$T = t + \int_0^\chi T_\chi \partial\chi_t = t + \int_0^\chi (-\frac{a}{c}\gamma\hat{V})\partial\chi_t, \tag{58}$$

where we have used the boundary condition that at $\chi = 0$, $T = t$, and the symbol ∂x_t signifies integration with χ at constant t. It can be partially differentiated with respect to t (giving γ) and then with respect to χ and with the use of eq 25, noting that $d\gamma = \gamma^3\hat{V}d\hat{V}$ and $1 + \hat{V}^2\gamma^2 = \gamma^2$, we obtain a PDE for $\hat{V}$:

$$\hat{V}_t + \hat{V}_\chi \left(\frac{\partial\chi}{\partial t}\right)_R = \left(\frac{\partial\hat{V}}{\partial t}\right)_R = -\hat{V}(1-\hat{V}^2)\frac{c}{a}\frac{d}{dt}(\frac{a}{c}). \tag{59}$$

A.1.2 The general solution for $\hat{V}$, R. and T for all a

Eq 59 can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial\hat{V}_R}{\hat{V}(1-\hat{V}^2)} = -\frac{\partial(\frac{a}{c})_R}{\frac{a}{c}}, \tag{60}$$

where the subscript on the partial differential indicates the variable to be held constant. This can be integrated with an integration constant $\ln \kappa$. Since the integration is done at constant R, then $\kappa = \kappa(R)$, and inversely, $R = R(\kappa)$. Integrating eq 60, we get

$$\hat{V} = -\frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{\frac{a^2}{c^2} + \kappa^2}}, \tag{61}$$

where the sign of κ will be positive for an expanding universe, where the χ points will stream out radially past a point at R.

At this point, R is an unknown function of κ. The various possible coordinate systems which solve our PDEs are characterized, in large part, by the function $R(\kappa)$. But for all, in order for $\hat{V}$ to vanish when $R = 0$ (see definitions sect C.1), κ must also; so always

$$\kappa(0) = 0. \tag{62}$$

We note that as long as $\kappa(R)$ remains finite, $\hat{V}$ goes to -1, and V goes to $-c(t)$, for $\hat{a}(t) = 0$, i.e. for $t = 0$, the horizon.

Let us now look at lines of constant $\kappa(R)$, i.e. constant R, in t, χ space. Eq 25 can be integrated for χ with use of eq 61 at constant κ to give the following:

$$\chi(t, \kappa) = \int_t^\infty \frac{c\kappa \partial s_\kappa}{a(s)\sqrt{\frac{a^2}{c^2} + \kappa^2}}. \tag{63}$$

For an expanding universe, we have set the upper limit at ∞, because we expect that if R is kept constant the galactic point χ that will be passing any given R will eventually approach zero as FLRW time t approaches infinity.

At this point, we have obtained $\hat{V} = \hat{V}^*(t, \kappa)$ from eq 61 and have also obtained the function $\chi(t, \kappa)$. We can in principle invert eq 63 to obtain κ in terms of t and χ: $\kappa = K(t, \chi)$. This gives us the velocity function $\hat{V}(t, \chi) = \hat{V}^*(t, K(t, \chi)$. If the function $R(\kappa)$ were known, we would then also have $R(t, \chi) = R(K(t, \chi))$.

$T(t, \chi)$ can be found by noting from eqs 56 that

$$T_\chi = -\frac{a\hat{V}}{c} T_t = -\frac{a\hat{V}}{c}\gamma = \kappa. \tag{64}$$

By substituting eq 64 into eq 58, and integrating over κ instead of χ by dividing the integrand by the partial of eq 63 with respect to κ, we find an

expression for $T(t,\chi)$:

$$T(t,\chi) = t + \int_t^\infty \left[1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{c^2\kappa^2}{a^2}}}\right] \partial s_\kappa, \tag{65}$$

where κ is put equal to $K(t,\chi)$ after integration at constant κ in order to get $T(t,\chi)$.

This completes the solution. Since $\kappa(R)$ can be any function that vanishes at the origin, there thus exist an infinite number of solutions for our transformed coordinates with $A = 1, C = 0$.

A.1.3 Independent determination of $c(t)$

To determine physicality, we will next find $1/R_\chi$ close to the origin. $R_\chi = \kappa_\chi/\kappa'(R)$ can be written in an inverted form by taking the derivative of eq 63 with respect to κ at constant t:

$$\frac{1}{R_\chi} = \kappa' \left[\left(\frac{\partial K}{\partial \chi}\right)_t\right]^{-1} = \kappa' \left(\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \kappa}\right)_t = \kappa'(R) \int_t^\infty \frac{c^2 \partial s_\kappa}{a^2(1+\frac{c^2\kappa^2}{a^2})^{3/2}}. \tag{66}$$

To be physical $B = \gamma a/R_\chi \to 1$ as R approaches 0. Putting $\gamma = 1$, $\kappa(0) = 0$, and $R_\chi = a$ in eq 66, and changing the integration variable from t to $a(t)$ gives

$$\frac{1}{a} = \kappa'(0) \int_a^\infty \frac{c da}{a^2 \dot{a}}, \tag{67}$$

remembering that the dot indicates differentiation by $\hat{t}$. $\kappa'(0)$ is a constant to be determined by $c(t_0) = 1$. Note that the integral of eq 67 is independent of the functional form of $\kappa(R)$, and is therefor the same for all $\kappa(R)$. It was Eq 66 that gave me the first indication that the light speed ($cR_\chi/\gamma a$) was variable, and that it was the same near the origin for all $\kappa(R)$, which would be a requirement that it was indeed the physical light speed.

Eq 67 is an integral equation for $c(t)$. By differentiation of both sides of eq 67 by a, we can obtain

$$c(t) = \frac{1}{\kappa'(0)} \dot{a}, \tag{68}$$

which, as we should expect, is the same $c(t)$ (see eq 21) we showed for all physical coordinate systems for $\kappa'(0) = \dot{a}(t_0)/c_0 = a_0 H_0/c_0$. This independent derivation of $c(t)$ confirms the validity of carrying the series expansion to second order since these complete transforms give the same $c(t)$.

A.1.4 Zero density universe $\Omega = 0$

It is interesting to consider the limiting case of a zero density universe: $\Omega = 0, \Omega_r = 1$, $a_0 H_0 = 1$ (eq 44). Eq 21 makes $c = 1$. Eq 46 makes $\dot{\alpha} = H_0$ for all t, χ. Integrating gives $a = t$. Eq 63 gives $\chi = \text{csch}^{-1} t/\kappa$, or $\kappa = K(t, \chi) = t \sinh \chi$. We can then find from eq 61 that $V(t, \chi) = -\tanh \chi$ and from eq 26 that $\gamma = \cosh \chi$ so that

$$c = 1 = \frac{R_\chi}{\gamma a} = \frac{dR}{d\kappa} \frac{K_\chi}{\gamma t} = \frac{dR}{d\kappa}. \tag{69}$$

Thus the physicality condition is met for all R with $R = K$ and $A = 1, B = 1$, so that the complete transform with eq 65 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} R &= t \sinh \chi, \\ T &= t \cosh \chi. \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

These coordinates have been known ever since Robertson [18] showed that this transformation from the FLRW co-moving coordinates at zero density obeyed the Minkowski metric. What is new is that this solution was derived from the equations we obtained for our physical time transforms with $A = 1$. It can also be obtained from the physical distance transforms ($B = 1$) since eqs 59 and 75 for $\hat{V}$ become identical with $\hat{V}_t = 0$ and $a/c = a = t$. It is the only known rigid physical coordinate system for all times and distances in a homogeneous and isotropic universe. R is plotted vs T in Fig. 5 to show how similar it is to the physicality region of Figs. 2-4.

A.2 AP coordinates with Physical Distance

A.2.1 Partial differential equation for $\hat{V}$.

For diagonal coordinates with physical dR for all t and χ, $B = 1$, so eq 35 becomes

$$R_\chi = a\gamma \tag{71}$$

By integration we find

$$R = a \int_0^\chi \gamma \partial \chi_t, \tag{72}$$

and partial differentiation with respect to t gives

$$R_t = c\dot{a} \int_0^\chi \gamma \partial \chi_t + a \int_0^\chi \gamma_t \partial \chi_t. \tag{73}$$

We can then find $\hat{V}$ from eqs 31, 71, and 72 as

$$\hat{V} = -\frac{R_t}{c\gamma} = -\frac{1}{c\gamma}\left[c\dot{a}\int_0^\chi \gamma\partial\chi_t + a\int_0^\chi \gamma_t\partial\chi_t\right]. \tag{74}$$

This is an integral equation for $\hat{V}$. It can be converted into a partial differential equation by multiplying both sides by γ and partial differentiating by χ:

$$\gamma^2\left[\hat{V}_x + \frac{a}{c}\hat{V}\hat{V}_t\right] = -\dot{a} = -\frac{1}{c}\frac{da}{dt}. \tag{75}$$

Note that this is substantially different from the eq 59 for $\hat{V}$ that we obtained for physical time This means that it is not possible to find diagonal transforms with both physical time and physical distance for all values of t and χ (except for $\Omega = 0$). It is possible to have either one or the other be physical at all t and χ with the other being physical only close to the origin.

A.2.2 General solution for $\hat{V}$

Eq 75 can be solved as a standard initial-value problem. Let $W = -\hat{V}$. Eq 75 becomes

$$W_\chi - \frac{a}{c}WW_t = \frac{1}{c}\frac{da}{dt}(1 - W^2) \tag{76}$$

Define a characteristic for $W(t, \chi)$ by

$$(\frac{\partial t}{\partial \chi})_c = -\frac{a}{c}W \tag{77}$$

so

$$(\frac{\partial W}{\partial \chi})_c = \frac{1}{c}\frac{da}{dt}(1 - W^2) \tag{78}$$

(The subscript c here indicates differentiation along the characteristic). If we divide eq 78 by eq 77 we get

$$(\frac{\partial W}{\partial t})_c = -\frac{1}{a}\frac{da}{dt}\frac{(1 - W^2)}{W} \tag{79}$$

This can be rearranged to give

$$\frac{W(\partial W)_c}{W^2 - 1} = \frac{(\partial a)_c}{a} \tag{80}$$

This can be integrated along the characteristic with the boundary condition at $\chi = 0$ that $W = 0$ and $a = a_c$:

$$1 - W^2 = \frac{a^2}{a_c^2} = \frac{1}{\gamma^2}. \tag{81}$$

This value for W (assumed positive for expanding universe) can be inserted into eq 77 to give

$$(\frac{\partial t}{\partial \chi})_c = -\frac{a}{c}\sqrt{1-\frac{a^2}{a_c^2}} \tag{82}$$

We can convert this to a differential equation for a by noting that $cdt_c = d\hat{t}_c = \frac{1}{\dot{a}}da_c$

$$(\frac{\partial a}{\partial \chi})_c = -a\dot{a}\sqrt{1-\frac{a^2}{a_c^2}}. \tag{83}$$

We can provide an integrand containing functions of only α by using the GR relation for $\dot{a}$ in eq 46, which does not assume that $\dot{a} \propto c$. Eq 83 then becomes

$$(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \chi})_c = -a_0 H_0 \alpha^2 E(\alpha)\sqrt{1-\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha_c^2}}. \tag{84}$$

This can be integrated along the characteristic with constant α_c, starting with $\alpha = \alpha_c$ at $\chi = 0$. This will give $\chi = X(\alpha, \alpha_c)$. This can be inverted to obtain $\alpha_c(\alpha, \chi)$. When this is inserted into eq 81, we have a solution to eq 76 for $W(\alpha, \chi)$.

I will now assume that $c \propto \dot{\alpha}$, then later show numerically that this makes $A \to 1$ as $R \to 0$ to prove physicality. (For $\Omega = 1$ in Sect A.3.1, $c \propto \dot{\alpha}$ is shown explictly). Then $W(t, \chi)$ can be found from $W(\alpha, \chi)$ by using $c/c_0 = \alpha E(\alpha)$ in eq 21 to get $t(\alpha)$:

$$t = \int_0^\alpha \frac{d\alpha}{c\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{c_0 H_0}\int_0^\alpha \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha^2 E^2}. \tag{85}$$

A.2.3 Obtaining T, R from $\hat{V}$

Eqs 25, 31, and 56 show that

$$W = -\frac{a}{c}(\frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t})_R = \frac{a}{c}\frac{R_t}{R_\chi} = \frac{c}{a}\frac{T_\chi}{T_t} \tag{86}$$

so

$$T_\chi - \frac{a}{c}WT_t = 0. \tag{87}$$

Thus T has the same characteristic as W (eq 77), so that $(\partial T/\partial \chi)_c = 0$, and T is constant along this characteristic:

$$T(t, \chi) = T(t_c, 0) = t_c \equiv t(\alpha_c(t, \chi)) \tag{88}$$

where $t(\alpha)$ is given in eq 85 and $\alpha_c(\alpha(t), \chi)$ is found by inverting the integration of eq 84. This gives us the solution for $T(t, \chi)$ and A.

$$A = \frac{\gamma}{T_t} = \frac{a_c}{a}(\frac{\partial t}{\partial t_c})\chi = \frac{a_c}{a}\frac{da_c/dt_c}{da/dt}(\frac{\partial a}{\partial a_c})_\chi. \tag{89}$$

The solution for R can be obtained by integrating eq 72, using γ from eq 81 and $a_c(t, \chi)$ from eq 84:

$$R(t, \chi) = a\int_0^\chi \gamma \partial\eta_t = \int_0^\chi a_c(t, \eta)\partial\eta_t. \tag{90}$$

Alternatively, for ease of numerical integration we would like to integrate dR along the same characteristic as T and W. This can be obtained from the PDE

$$(\partial R/\partial\chi)_c = R_\chi + R_t(\partial t/\partial\chi)_c \tag{91}$$

If we insert the values for these three quantities from eqs 71, 86, and 77 , we get

$$(\partial R/\partial\chi)_c = \gamma a + (cW/a)\gamma a(-aW/c) = a/\gamma = a^2/a_c. \tag{92}$$

It is interesting that this solution for the physical distance coordinates (PD) is unique for each $a(t)$, whereas for the physical time coordinates (PT), there are an infinite number of solutions. This is because to obtain a solution for PD, we had to provide an additional relation, viz, for $\dot{a}$ (eq 84), whereas for PT no additional relation was needed. Possibly we could use the same relation in PT to make $\kappa(R) \propto R$ as for the similarity solution for a flat universe (Sect A.3.2). This would make PT unique as well, but I haven't been able to show this.

A.3 Similarity solutions for flat universe, $\Omega = 1$

I have found similarity integrations for the special case of $\Omega = 1$ where the GR solution is $a = a_0(t/t_0)^{1/2}$ and $c = c_0(t_0/t)^{1/4}$ (Sect 3). To simplify notation let us normalize time to $t/t_0 \to t$, $a/a_0 \to a$, and $\chi a_0/c_0t_0 \to x$, $T/t_0 \to T$, $R/c_0t_0 \to R$, and let $W = -\hat{V}$.

A.3.1 Physical Distance

Eq 75 then becomes

$$W_x - t^{3/4}WW_t = \frac{1}{2}t^{-1/4}(1 - W^2) \tag{93}$$

This can be converted into an ordinary differential equation (ODE) by letting

$$u = x/t^{1/4} \tag{94}$$

so that eq 93 becomes

$$W'(1 + \frac{uW}{4}) = \frac{1}{2}(1 - W^2), \tag{95}$$

where the prime denotes differentiation by u.

Similarly we can find ODE's for T and R by defining:

$$T/t \equiv q(u), \tag{96}$$

and

$$R/t^{3/4} \equiv s(u), \tag{97}$$

where $q(u)$ and $s(u)$, from eqs 56 and 31, are given by the coupled ODE's:

$$q'(1 + \frac{uW}{4}) = qW, \tag{98}$$

and

$$s'(W + \frac{u}{4}) = \frac{3}{4}s \tag{99}$$

It is useful to find that $q = \gamma^2$, $s' = \gamma$, $s = \gamma(u + 4W)/3$, and $A = \gamma/T_t = (1 + uW/4)/\gamma$; so $T = \gamma^2 t$, and $R = t^{3/4}\gamma(u + 4W)/3$.

For small values of u, $W = u/2$, $q = 1 + u^2/4$, $s = u$, and $R = t^{1/2}x = ax$. We also note that $T_t \to 1 + W^2/2$, confirming that these coordinates have physical time close to the origin, justifying $c(t) = t^{-1/4}$. An alternate approach would be to start with $c(t)$ unknown, but of the form $c = t^{-b}$. This will also yield a similarity integration of eq 75 with $T_t = 1 + 2bW^2$ for small u. In order to make the time physical close to the origin, T_t has to equal $1 + W^2/2$ so that $b = 1/4$, confirming that for these diagonal coordinates to be physical at the origin $c \propto \sqrt{da/dt}$.

As $t \to 0$, $u \to \infty$, and $W^2 \to 1 - 760/(u + 4)^4$, $q \to (u + 4)^4/760$, and $s \to .0121(u + 4)^3$. T and R both remain finite at this limit with $T \to .00132x^4$, and $R \to .0121x^3$. The fact that T does not go to zero when t goes to zero results from synchronizing T with t at $t = 1$ and not at $t = 0$.

The distance R and time T can be found from the numerical integration of the coupled ODE's. The paths of galactic points are those for constant x. The path photons have taken reaching the origin at t_1 is found by calculating x_p vs t and using the transform to T, R. Thus, for $\Omega = 1$

$$x_p = \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{c}{a} dt = 4(t_1^{1/4} - t^{1/4}) \tag{100}$$

For light arriving now, $t_1 = 1$, the value of u_p becomes

$$u_p = 4(\frac{1}{t^{1/4}} - 1) = 4(c - 1), \tag{101}$$

where we inserted $c = 1/t^{1/4}$ to obtain the relation of c to u_p.

Galactic and photon paths are shown in Fig. 1 and 2. An approximate upper limit of physicality is shown by the heavy dotted line: $A = .953$, $W^2/2 = 0.253$, $u = 2.0$, $R = 2.30t^{3/4} = 1.35T^{3/4}$. R vs T at $t = 0$ provides a non-physical horizon: $R_h = 1.747T_h^{3/4}$.

It is also interesting to calculate the acceleration g. If we insert the values of V, R, and a/c in eq 126, we obtain

$$-g = \frac{1}{8\gamma t^{5/4}} \left[\frac{u}{1 + uW/4}\right], \tag{102}$$

where the units of g are c_0/t_0. For small u close to $t = t_0$, g goes to zero as $-u/8$. Since this is the region with physical coordinates, it is interesting to express this in unnormalized coordinates:

$$-g = \frac{1}{8}\frac{c_0}{t_0}\frac{R}{c_o t_0} = G_0\rho_0\frac{4\pi}{3}R, \tag{103}$$

where we have used $\Omega = 1$ in eq 43. For small t, $-g$ goes to ∞ as $1/(2\gamma t^{5/4}) = 1.2t^{-3/4}$ along the light path. At the physicality limit, $-g = .69c_0/t_0 = 12.5 \times 10^{-9}\mathrm{m/sec}^2$.

g can be obtained from a gravitational potential using $g = -d\phi/dR$, which for close distances is:

$$\phi = G_0\rho_0\frac{2\pi}{3}R^2 = \frac{R^2}{16t_0^2} = c_0^2\frac{u^2}{16}. \tag{104}$$

The slope of the light path in Fig. 1, a coordinate velocity of light, can be shown in normalized units for this incoming light path to be

$$v_L = (\frac{dR}{dt})_L = -(1 + u/4)\left[\frac{1 - W}{1 + W}\right]^{1/2}. \tag{105}$$

For small u ,

$$v_L \rightarrow -(1 - u/4). \tag{106}$$

For the outgoing light path

$$v_L = (\frac{dR}{dt})_L = (1 - u/4)\left[\frac{1 + W}{1 - W}\right]^{1/2} \tag{107}$$

For small u,

$$v_L \rightarrow (1 + u/4), \tag{108}$$

Thus, the coordinate light speed has a different u dependence on R for incoming and outgoing light paths because the slope is dependent on t, not R. This differs from the Schwarzschild solution that has the same R dependence of the coordinate light speed for both directions of the light path.

The observed light at the origin ν that is emitted from a stationary source at R as ν_0 is also smaller than the same light emitted at the origin. This can be shown to be

$$\frac{\nu}{\nu_0} = (\frac{\partial T}{\partial t})_R = \frac{1}{\gamma A} = q\frac{1 - W^2}{1 + \frac{uW}{4}} \tag{109}$$

Close to the origin it is:

$$\frac{\nu}{\nu_0} = 1 - \frac{\hat{V}^2}{2} = 1 - \frac{u^2}{8} = 1 - \frac{2\phi}{c_o^2}. \tag{110}$$

This, of course, is the same as a dilation effect for a co-located galactic point at R that shows up as a gravitation red shift at the origin due to homogeneity of t.

A.3.2 Physical Time

There is also a similarity solution for physical time, $A = 1$, for $\Omega = 1$. With the same normalizations as above, using eq 59, the ODE for W is

$$W'(W + \frac{u}{4}) = \frac{3}{4}W(1 - W^2), \tag{111}$$

with the ODE's for $T, R, q, s, x_p)$ the same. This is the same as physical distance for small u, but differs numerically at large u. Useful relations for physical time are obtained from the general solution in Appendix A.1: $R = 2\kappa$, $q = \gamma(1 + uW/4)$, $s = 2\gamma W$, and $B = \gamma(u + 4W)/3s = 2(1 + u/4W)/3$. These can be used to find the gravitational field from eq 126:

$$g = -\frac{3}{2t^{5/4}}\frac{\gamma W^2}{u + 4W} \tag{112}$$

and the coordinate velocity for an incoming light path:

$$v_L = -\frac{3}{2}\left[\frac{(1 + u/4)}{1 + u/4W}\right]\left[\frac{1 - W}{1 + W}\right]^{1/2} \tag{113}$$

Eqs 112 and 113 approach the same values as physical distance for small u.

B Gravitational field in the FLRW and AP coordinates

We wish to find the components of the radial acceleration of a test particle located at R in the AP transformed system. We will do this by calculating the FLRW components of the acceleration vector and find the transformed components by using the known diagonal transforms. For the FLRW components, we will use the metric

$$ds^2 = d\hat{t}^2 - a^2 d\chi^2 - a^2 r^2 d\theta^2 - a^2 r^2 \sin^2\theta d\phi^2. \tag{114}$$

Let

$$x^1 = \chi,\ x^2 = \hat{r},\ x^3\ = \phi,\ x^4 = \hat{t}, \tag{115}$$

and the corresponding metric coefficients become

$$g_{44} = 1,\ g_{11} = -a^2,\ g_{22} = -a^2 r^2,\ g_{33} = -a^2 r^2 \sin^2\hat{r}. \tag{116}$$

For any metric, the acceleration vector for a test particle is

$$A^\lambda = \frac{dU^\lambda}{ds} + \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} U^\mu U^\nu, \tag{117}$$

where the Γ's are the affine connections and U^λ is the velocity vector of the test particle. In our case the test particle is at the point R on the transformed coordinate, but not attached to the frame so that it can acquire an acceleration. Instantaneously, it will have the same velocity as the point on the transformed coordinate, and its velocity vector will therefor transform the same as the point (eq 30).

We will be considering accelerations only in the radial direction so that we need find affine connections only for indices 1,4. The only non-zero partial derivative with these indices is

$$\frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial x^4} = -2a\dot{a}. \tag{118}$$

The general expression for an affine connection for a diagonal metric is

$$\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2g_{\lambda\lambda}}\left[\frac{\partial g_{\lambda\mu}}{\partial x^\nu} + \frac{\partial g_{\lambda\nu}}{\partial x^\mu} - \frac{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}{\partial x^\lambda}\right]. \tag{119}$$

The only three non-zero affine connections with 1,4 indices are

$$\Gamma^4_{11} = a\dot{a},\ \Gamma^1_{41} = \Gamma^1_{14} = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}. \tag{120}$$

The acceleration vector in FLRW coordinates of our test particle moving at the same velocity as a point on the transformed frame becomes

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\hat{t}} &= \tfrac{dU^4}{ds} + \Gamma^4_{11} U^1 U^1, \\ A^{\chi} &= \tfrac{dU^1}{ds} + \Gamma^1_{41}(U^4 U^1 + U^1 U^4), \end{aligned} \tag{121}$$

Using U^4 and U^1 in eq 29 we find

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\hat{t}} &= \gamma \left(\tfrac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \hat{t}}\right)_R + a\dot{a}\tfrac{\gamma^2 \hat{V}^2}{a^2} = \gamma^4 \hat{V} \left(\tfrac{\partial \hat{V}}{\partial \hat{t}}\right)_R + \tfrac{\dot{a}}{a}\gamma^2 \hat{V}^2, \\ A^{\chi} &= \gamma \left(\tfrac{\partial}{\partial \hat{t}}(\gamma \hat{V}/a)\right)_R + 2\tfrac{\dot{a}}{a}\tfrac{\gamma^2 \hat{V}}{a} = \tfrac{\gamma^4}{a} \left(\tfrac{\partial \hat{V}}{\partial \hat{t}}\right)_R + \tfrac{\dot{a}}{a^2}\gamma^2 \hat{V}. \end{aligned} \tag{122}$$

Since the acceleration vector of the test particle at R in the transformed coordinates will be orthogonal to the velocity vector, it becomes

$$\begin{aligned} A^T &= 0, \\ A^R &= \tfrac{DU^R}{Ds} \equiv -g/c^2. \end{aligned} \tag{123}$$

A^R is the acceleration of a point on the R axis, so the gravitational field affecting objects like the galactic points is the negative of this. g is defined so that mg is the force acting on an object whose mass is m. For a range of time in which $c(t)$ is reasonably constant, $g = \frac{d^2 R}{dT^2}$, the normal acceleration. Since the vector A^λ will transform like dT, dR (eq 1):

$$A^R = \frac{1}{c} R_t A^{\hat{t}} + R_\chi A^\chi \tag{124}$$

so that

$$-g/c^2 = \left[\gamma^4 \hat{V} \left(\frac{\partial \hat{V}}{\partial \hat{t}}\right)_R + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\gamma^2 \hat{V}^2\right] \frac{1}{c} R_t + \left[\frac{\gamma^4}{a} \left(\frac{\partial \hat{V}}{\partial \hat{t}}\right)_R + \frac{\dot{a}}{a^2}\gamma^2 \hat{V}\right] R_\chi \tag{125}$$

With the use of eq 31, this can be simplified to

$$-g/c^2 = \frac{R_\chi}{a} \left[\gamma^2 \left(\frac{\partial \hat{V}}{\partial \hat{t}}\right)_R + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\hat{V}\right] \tag{126}$$

In terms of the normalized coordinates for a flat universe (Appendix A.3), this becomes

$$g = -\frac{s'}{t^{5/4}} \left[\gamma^2 W' (\frac{u}{4} + W) - \frac{W}{2}\right] \tag{127}$$

The acceleration g can be thought of as the gravitational field caused by the mass of the surrounding galactic points, which balances to zero at the origin, where the frame is inertial, but goes to infinity at the horizon. It is the field which is slowing down the galactic points (for $\Lambda = 0$). It is also the field that can be thought of as causing the gravitational red shift (App. A.3).

C Special and General relativity extended to include a variable light speed

C.1 Introduction

The aim of this section is to outline a way that not only Lorentz, but all of special (SR) and general relativity (GR) can be extended to allow a variable light speed with minimal changes from standard theory. The extended Lorentz transform for local coordinates is derived from the basic assumption of relativity that the light speed c is the same for all moving observers at the same space-time point even though the light speed and their relative velocity V may vary. To form SR vectors and tensors we use a differential construct $d\hat{T} = cdT$ from physical time T[6] and a dimensionless velocity $\hat{V} = V/c$. In addition we propose that the rest mass of a particle varies so as to keep its rest energy constant. This seems reasonable in order to eliminate the need for an external source or sink of energy for the rest mass. These assumptions simplify the construction of SR vectors and conserves the stress-energy tensor of an ideal fluid. For GR, we propose the standard GR Action, but use the extended stress-energy tensor and allow the gravitational constant G to vary with c. The variable light speed is introduced in the line element that determines the space-time curvature.

We will use the notation t for time when the light speed is $c(t)$, as it must be for a uniform and isotropic universe if it is to be variable. Then $\hat{t}$ can be a transform from t: $\hat{t} = \int c(t)dt$. The GR curvature tensor is derived from a line element that typically has the time t appearing in the combination of $c(t)dt$ that would require the tensor to contain the derivatives of c. The use of $\hat{t}$ instead of t eliminates these derivatives without changing the relations of the components of the tensors, and also allows all the relations of curvelinear coordinates used for constant $c = 1$ to be retained. Then, from a solution with $\hat{t}$ the observable physical t can be found with a transform from $\hat{t}$ to t.

C.2 The extended Lorentz transform and Minkowski metric

Let us consider two physical frames moving with respect to each other. The first frame (S) will have clocks and rulers whose readings we will represent by T and x. The second frame (S^*) will move in the x direction at a velocity of $V = (\partial x/\partial T)_{x^*}$ as measured by T and x and will have clocks and rulers whose coordinates we will represent by T^* and x^*. The velocity of the first frame will be V^* as measured by T^* and x^*. We assume that the light speed, even though variable, is the same as measured on both frames at the same space-time point. We also allow V to be variable.

In order for S to measure the small separation of points Δx^* on S^*, S^* sends two simultaneous ($\Delta T^* = 0$) signals as measured on its clocks, one at the beginning of Δx^* and the other at the end. S measures the space between the signals as Δx, but does not see these signals as simultaneous. The far end signal is delayed by ΔT over the near end signal for this reason. S measures Δx^* to be the distance Δx reduced by the distance that S^* has traveled in the time ΔT after S's simultaneity ($\Delta T = 0$) with the near end, i.e., $\Delta x - V\Delta T$. Since we are looking for linear relationships, we assume that the S^* measure of Δx^* is proportional to the S measure:

$$\Delta x^* = \alpha(\Delta x - V\Delta T) \tag{128}$$

where we have allowed α and V to be varying, but approach a constant value for small Δ 's. We also assume that for the two Cartesian directions Δy and Δz perpendicular to the motion along x that the S^* and S coordinates are the same

$$\Delta y = \Delta y^*, \Delta z = \Delta z^* \tag{129}$$

and that the time T does not depend on y or z. α will be determined from the assumption that the light speed is the same on all moving frames. We will adapt the analysis of Bergmann[15, pp33-36] to a variable light speed. Choosing the point of origin so that ΔT and ΔT^* vanish when Δx and Δx^* vanish, we expect that ΔT^* will be a linear function of ΔT and Δx:

$$\Delta T^* = \gamma\Delta T + \zeta\Delta x \tag{130}$$

where α, γ, and ζ are slowly varying functions that approach a constant for small Δ's. We will now determine their values.

We assume that the light speed can be variable, but in small intervals of time and distance it will be almost constant. It will have the same values in S^* as in S at the same space-time point. For light moving in an arbitrary

direction, each measures the light speed c as the change in distance divided by the change in time of its own coordinates:

$$\Delta x^2 + \Delta y^2 + \Delta z^2 = c^2 \Delta T^2, \tag{131}$$

$$\Delta x^{*2} + \Delta y^{*2} + \Delta z^{*2} = c^2 \Delta T^{*2}, \tag{132}$$

where we have chosen an origin where all the Δ's vanish. By using Eqs 129 and 128 in Eq 132, we can eliminate the starred items to get

$$\alpha^2(\Delta x - V\Delta T)^2 + \Delta y^2 + \Delta z^2 = c^2(\gamma \Delta T + \zeta \Delta x)^2. \tag{133}$$

We can rearrange the terms to obtain

$$(\alpha^2 - c^2\zeta^2)\Delta x^2 - 2(V\alpha^2 + c^2\gamma\zeta)\Delta x \Delta T + \Delta y^2 + \Delta z^2 = (c^2\gamma^2 - V^2\alpha^2)\Delta T^2. \tag{134}$$

If we compare this to eq 131 we get

$$c^2\gamma^2 - V^2\alpha^2 = c^2 \tag{135}$$

$$\alpha^2 - c^2\zeta^2 = 1 \tag{136}$$

$$V\alpha^2 + c^2\gamma\zeta = 0 \tag{137}$$

We can solve these three equations for the three unknowns α, γ, and ζ:

$$\gamma^2 = \frac{1}{1 - V^2/c^2} \tag{138}$$

$$\zeta = \frac{1 - \gamma^2}{\gamma V} = -\frac{\gamma V}{c^2} \tag{139}$$

$$\alpha^2 = -\frac{c^2\gamma\zeta}{V} = \gamma^2 \tag{140}$$

Thus in the differential limit of $\Delta's$ going to zero, we write them as differentials, so the relation of differentials becomes

$$dT^* = \gamma(dT - V dx/c^2), \tag{141}$$

$$dx^* = \gamma(dx - V dT), \tag{142}$$

By inverting this we get

$$dT = \gamma(dT^* + V dx^*/c^2), \tag{143}$$

$$dx = \gamma(dx^* + V dT^*). \tag{144}$$

so $V^* = -V$ as you would expect.

This is the same as for a constant c, except here c has been allowed to vary.

We define a line element ds by the relation

$$ds^2 \equiv c^2 dT^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2 \tag{145}$$

If we substitute eqs 129, 143 and 144 into eq 145, the form is the same:

$$ds^2 = c^2 dT^{*2} - dx^{*2} - dy^{*2} - dz^{*2} \tag{146}$$

That is, the extended world line is invariant in form to changes in coordinates on frames moving at different velocities. The line element is symmetric in the spatial coordinates, so it is valid for motion in any direction. In polar coordinates this becomes

$$ds^2 = c^2 dT^2 - dR^2 - R^2 d\theta^2 - R^2 sin^2\theta d\phi^2 \tag{147}$$

This is the Minkowski line element ($\hat{M}$) extended to allow for a variable light speed. Both $\hat{L}$ and $\hat{M}$ are valid in the two dimensions T and R even if the metrics of S^* and S did not have equal transverse differentials, but had no transverse events ($d\theta = d\phi = d\theta^* = d\phi^* = 0$).

Notice that if we divide eqs 145 and 146 by c^2 the two equations still have identical forms, so that the differential time $d\tau \equiv ds/c$ is also invariant in form to $\hat{L}$ transforms. Since $d\tau = dT$ for constant spatial coordinates, τ is the time on a clock moving with the frame.

This derivation has depended on a physical visualization so that we assume that differentials that represent physical time and radial distance must have a $\hat{M}$ metric for their time and distance differentials in at least two dimensions and an extended Lorentz transform $\hat{L}$ to other colocated physical differentials of time and distance on a frame moving at a velocity V. We will call such differentials physical coordinates. Time and distance coordinates that do not have these relations will not be physical; one or the other may be physical, but not both unless they have a $\hat{M}$ metric.

The extended Lorentz transform $\hat{L}$ can be written in a symmetric form using $d\hat{T} \equiv cdT$ and $\hat{V} \equiv V/c$ with the velocity in the R direction (as it will be in a homogeneous and isotropic (FLRW) universe):

$$d\hat{T}^* = \gamma(+d\hat{T} - \hat{V}dR), \tag{148}$$

$$dR^* = \gamma(-\hat{V}d\hat{T} + dR). \tag{149}$$

In general for a varying c, $\hat{T}$ is not a transform from T alone, although, as we have shown in eq 148, we can use the construct $d\hat{T} = cdT$ to describe

the $\hat{L}$ transform. In a FLRW universe for events in the radial direction measured by the variables (t, χ), if c is variable, it is a simple function of t since homogeneity in space makes it independent of χ. In this case $\hat{t}$ is a transform from t alone (e.g., eq 194).

C.3 Extended SR particle kinematics using contravariant vectors

In this section I will outline the way vectors and tensors can be defined when the light speed is variable. In Cartesian coordinates, let $dx^1, dx^2, dx^3 = dx, dy, dz$, and $dx^4 = d\hat{T} = cdT$. The $\hat{M}$ metric then becomes

$$ds^2 = \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu, \tag{150}$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu} = (-1, -1, -1, +1)$ for $\mu = \nu$, and zero for $\mu \neq \nu$. The velocity $\dot{x}^\mu$ is $dx^\mu/d\hat{T} = V^\mu/c$ with $\dot{x}^4 = 1$. (The dot represents the derivative with respect to $d\hat{T}$). The world velocity becomes

$$U^\mu = dx^\mu/ds = \gamma \dot{x}^\mu. \tag{151}$$

$\dot{x}^\mu$ and U^μ are therefor dimensionless. In order to make the rest mass energy constant, we define $\hat{m} = mc^2$ and the extended energy-momentum vector as

$$P^\mu = \hat{m} U^\mu = \hat{m} \gamma \dot{x}^\mu, \tag{152}$$

so that $P^4 = \hat{m}\gamma = E$, the particle energy. If p is the magnitude of the physical momentum ($\gamma m V$), the EP vector magnitude is $E^2 - c^2 p^2 = \hat{m}^2$. It has units of energy rather than momentum or mass.

The $\hat{L}$ transform for the components of the EP vector is

$$E^* = \gamma(E - \hat{V} pc). \tag{153}$$

For photons, $\hat{m} = 0$, so $E = h\nu$ and $p = h/\lambda = h\nu/c$, and the $\hat{L}$ transform is

$$\nu^* = \gamma \nu (1 - \hat{V}). \tag{154}$$

This is the familiar relativistic Doppler effect.

The force vector becomes

$$F^\mu = \frac{dP^\mu}{ds} = \hat{m} A^\mu = \hat{m} \frac{dU^\mu}{ds}. \tag{155}$$

The first three components F^i/γ will be the force f^i felt by an object of mass m when the light speed is c; (i represent the three spatial coordinates). In

taking the derivative of P^i, we are implying that $mc\frac{d(\gamma V/c)}{dT}$ is more fundamental in determining the physical force than $m\frac{d(\gamma V)}{dT}$ when the light speed is variable. We can express the gravitation force in the usual way as mg^i, where $g^i = A^i c^2/\gamma$. cF^4/γ is the rate of work $f^i V^i$ required to change the rate of change of energy $d(\gamma \hat{m})/dT$. All these world vectors are invariant to the $\hat{L}$ transform and the $\hat{M}$ line element. They become the usual vectors when c is constant.

C.4 Extended analytical mechanics

We will next show how the Euler-Langrange equations apply to extended particle kinematics[15]. For a mechanical system with conservative forces in (n+1)-dimensional space whose differentials are $(dx^i, d\hat{T})$, the action S is

$$S = \int L_p ds. \tag{156}$$

Minimizing S gives relations for L_p, the particle Lagrangian. With no force acting, we will use

$$L_p = \hat{m}\sqrt{\eta_{\mu\nu} U^\mu U^\nu}, \tag{157}$$

so the momenta are

$$P_\mu = \frac{\partial L_p}{\partial U^\mu} = \frac{\hat{m}\eta_{\mu\nu} U^\nu}{\sqrt{\eta_{\mu\nu} U^\mu U^\nu}}. \tag{158}$$

The root in this equation has the value 1 which makes it possible to solve it for U^μ

$$U^\mu = \frac{\eta^{\mu\nu} P_\nu}{\hat{m}\sqrt{\eta_{\mu\nu} U^\nu U^\nu}} = \frac{P^\mu}{\hat{m}}, \tag{159}$$

consistent with eq 152.

So,

$$U^\mu P_\mu = \frac{\eta^{\mu\nu} P_\mu P_\nu}{\hat{m}}. \tag{160}$$

The Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ becomes

$$\hat{H} = -L_p + U^\mu P_\mu = -\sqrt{\eta^{\mu\nu} P_\mu P_\nu} + \frac{\eta^{\mu\nu} P_\mu P_\nu}{\hat{m}}. \tag{161}$$

Let $p \equiv \sqrt{\eta^{\mu\nu} P_\mu P_\nu} = \hat{m}$, so

$$\hat{H} = \frac{p^2}{\hat{m}} - p \tag{162}$$

Thus $\hat{H}$ vanishes, but its derivative with respect to P_μ does not:

$$U^\mu = \frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial P_\mu} = 2\frac{\eta^{\mu\nu} P_\mu}{\hat{m}} - \frac{\eta^{\mu\nu} P_\mu}{p} = \frac{P^\mu}{\hat{m}} \tag{163}$$

$$\frac{dP_u}{ds} = -\frac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial x^\mu} = 0, \tag{164}$$

P_μ is conserved since we have considered no force acting.

C.5 Extended stress-energy tensor for ideal fluid

An ideal fluid can be treated in a similar way. It is a collection of n particles per unit volume of mass m. We can form a rest energy density function $\hat{\rho} = n\hat{m}$. In this case, $\hat{\rho}$ is not constant because n is a a function of time and distance. We will use t instead of T to indicate that we are initially limiting this analysis to c being a function of t. This can be transformed to other frames by a $\hat{L}$ transform. It turns out that $\hat{\rho}$ using $d\hat{t}$ and $u^\mu = V^\mu/c(t)$ has much the same properties as $\rho = nm$ using dt and V^μ with constant c.

The conservation law for particles in nonrelativistic terms for n flowing at a velocity $V^i = cu^i$ is

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial \hat{t}} + nu^i_{,i} = 0. \tag{165}$$

where we have assumed that the differential of c with distance is zero. For the conservation of energy we must include the stress forces $t^{ij}dA_j$ operating on the area of the differential volume, like the pressure p where $t^{ij} = p\delta^{ij}$. We can convert the area stress forces by Gauss' theorem to a volume change in momentum to give a total 3D energy flux of cP^i, where

$$P^i = \hat{\rho}u^i + u^j t^{ji}. \tag{166}$$

The conservation of the fluid rest energy ($u^i = 0$) then becomes

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\rho}}{\partial t} + \mathrm{div}(cP^i) = 0, \tag{167}$$

or

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\rho}}{\partial \hat{t}} + P^i_{,i} = 0. \tag{168}$$

The Newtonian law linking the rate of change of the generalized velocity $u^i = \frac{dx^i}{d\hat{T}}$ to the force per unit volume f^i in nonrelativistic terms can be written as

$$\hat{\rho}\frac{du^i}{d\hat{t}} = f^i \tag{169}$$

We can follow through the steps in any of the standard texts [15] to obtain the generalized stress-energy tensor of an ideal fluid in its rest frame to be

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \hat{\rho} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is used in Sect C.8.

This can be generalized for a frame moving at a world velocity U^μ:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\hat{\rho} + p)U^\mu U^\nu - p\eta^{\mu\nu}. \tag{170}$$

One can see that this is the same tensor since in the rest frame of the fluid $\hat{T} = \hat{t}$, $U^i = 0$, $U^4 = 1$.

The divergence of the stress-energy tensor is the force per unit volume:

$$T^{\mu\nu}{}_{,\nu} = F^\mu \tag{171}$$

The conservation of rest energy density (eq168) can then be written:

$$F^\mu = T^{\mu\nu}{}_{,\nu} = 0. \tag{172}$$

C.6 Extended electromagnetic vectors and tensors

We will assume that the light speed that appears in electromagnetic theory (E/M) is the same as appears in relativity theory. If it were not so, it would be a remarkable coincidence if they were the same today, but different at other times. The E/M light speed obeys the relation

$$c^2 = 1/\epsilon_0\mu_0, \tag{173}$$

where ϵ_0 and μ_0 are the electric and magnetic "constants" of free space, resp. If c is variable, then either ϵ_0 or μ_0 or both must vary.

Current measurements with atomic clocks ([7], [8]) have achieved an accuracy that indicate the frequency of atomic spectra do not change with time. Of course, when measured on a frame moving at a different velocity or in a gravitational field, frequency does change. There are also astronomical indications of a variation in α_F [22], but these are much smaller than would occur if $c(t)$ changed as calculated here. On an inertial frame, this

means that the fine structure constant α_f and the Rydberg constant $R_\infty c$ (expressed as a frequency) do not change with $c(t)$.

The fine structure constant α_f in SI units [13] is

$$\alpha_f = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c}, \tag{174}$$

and the Rydberg frequency is

$$R_\infty c = \alpha_f^2 \frac{m_e c^2}{4\pi\hbar} = \frac{e^4 m_e}{\epsilon_0^2 (4\pi\hbar)^3}. \tag{175}$$

Because α_F is dimensionless, the $4\pi\epsilon_0$ is often omitted in the fine structure constant since it is unity in Gaussian coordinates, but it is essential here if we are to consider a variable $c(t)$ for the universe.

For these to remain constant while keeping e, $\hbar$ and mc^2 constant requires that

$$\epsilon_0(t)c(t) = \frac{1}{\mu_0(t)c(t)} \equiv k = \epsilon(t_0)c(t_0), \text{ a constant.} \tag{176}$$

$1/k = \sqrt{\mu_0/\epsilon_0}$, the impedance of free space. This assumption means that the electrostatic repulsion f between two electrons will vary:

$$f = -\frac{e^2}{\epsilon_0 R^2}. \tag{177}$$

Maxwell's equations in 3D field vectors in the rest frame of FLRW with a constant speed of light [14] are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{curl}E + \tfrac{\partial B}{\partial t} &= 0, \\ \text{curl}H - \tfrac{\partial D}{\partial t} &= J, \\ \text{div}D &= \sigma, \\ \text{div}B &= 0, \\ \text{div}J + \tfrac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{178}$$

Scaler ϕ and vector A potentials can be introduced such that

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \text{curl}A, \\ E &= -\text{grad}\phi - \tfrac{\partial A}{\partial t}, \end{aligned} \tag{179}$$

and the equation for the force on a particle with charge q, mass m, and velocity V is (see [15, p118])

$$m\frac{d(\gamma V)}{dt} = q(E + V \otimes B) \tag{180}$$

With the use of $\hat{t}$ and eq 176 and with the relations $D = \epsilon_0 E, B = \mu_0 H$ for free space, these can be converted to exactly the same equations by replacing t by $\hat{t}$ and by replacing the field variables by hat variables so that the partial time derivatives of hat variables do not include ϵ_0, μ_0, or c except in combinations equalling k, a constant. This is accomplished by the following: $\hat{B} = kB = \hat{H} = H/c$, $\hat{D} = D = \hat{E} = \epsilon_0 E$, $\hat{\sigma} = \sigma$, $\hat{J} = J/c$, $\hat{A} = kA$, $\hat{\phi} = c\phi/k$, and $\hat{q} = q$. Thus, with hat variables and $\hat{t}$, Maxwell's equations have only two fields $\hat{E}, \hat{H}$ with no varying coefficients.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{curl}\hat{E} + \tfrac{\partial \hat{H}}{\partial \hat{t}} &= 0, \\ \text{curl}\hat{H} - \tfrac{\partial \hat{E}}{\partial \hat{t}} &= \hat{J}, \\ \text{div}\hat{E} &= \hat{\sigma}, \\ \text{div}\hat{H} &= 0, \\ \text{div}\hat{J} + \tfrac{\partial \hat{\sigma}}{\partial \hat{t}} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{181}$$

The potential equations become

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H} &= \text{curl}\hat{A}, \\ \hat{E} &= -\text{grad}\hat{\phi} - \tfrac{\partial \hat{A}}{\partial \hat{t}}, \end{aligned} \tag{182}$$

Since they have no coefficients that vary with time, they are $\hat{L}$ covariant to frames with $d\hat{T}$ replacing $d\hat{t}$ just like the original Maxwell's equations. Thus, they are valid in every moving frame whose physical time is T.

With $\hat{V} = V/c$, and $\hat{m} = mc^2$, The pondermotive equation 180 becomes

$$\hat{m}\frac{d(\gamma \hat{V})}{d\hat{T}} = \frac{q}{\epsilon_0}(\hat{E} + \hat{V} \otimes \hat{H}). \tag{183}$$

These all become the usual expressions when the speed of light is constant $c = 1$.

E/M world vectors and tensors can be constructed in the usual way[15]. Thus, the extended potential vector is $\hat{\phi}_\mu = (\hat{A}_i, -\hat{\phi})$, the extended charge

vector $\hat{\Gamma}^{\mu} = (\hat{J}^{i}, -\hat{\sigma})$, and the extended E/M field tensor is

$$\hat{F}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\hat{H}_3 & +\hat{H}_2 & -\hat{E}_1 \\ +\hat{H}_3 & 0 & -\hat{H}_1 & -\hat{E}_2 \\ -\hat{H}_2 & +\hat{H}_1 & 0 & -\hat{E}_3 \\ +\hat{E}_1 & +\hat{E}_2 & +\hat{E}_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The field tensor can be obtained from the curl of the potential vector

$$\hat{F}_{\mu\nu} = \hat{\phi}_{\mu,\nu} - \hat{\phi}_{\nu,\mu} \ , \tag{184}$$

and Maxwell's equations become the divergence of the field tensor equaling the charge vector [15, p113]:

$$\hat{F}^{\mu\nu}{}_{,\nu} = -\hat{\Gamma}^{\mu}. \tag{185}$$

The pondermotive equation for a particle of charge q and mass m becomes a force vector equalling $\hat{m}$ times an acceleration vector:

$$\frac{q}{\epsilon_0}\hat{F}_{\mu\nu}\hat{U}^{\nu} = -\hat{m}\eta_{\mu\nu}\frac{d\hat{U}^{\nu}}{ds}. \tag{186}$$

The SR stress-energy tensor is

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0}[F^{\mu}_{\lambda}F^{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{4}\eta^{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\sigma}F_{\sigma\nu}] \tag{187}$$

For GR with curvelinear coordinates, the stress-energy tensor is

$$T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\epsilon_0}[F^{\mu}_{\lambda}F^{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{4}g^{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\sigma}F_{\sigma\nu}] \tag{188}$$

The dimensions of $\hat{E}$,$\hat{H}$, and $F^{\mu\nu}$ are electric charge per unit area, whereas for $T^{\mu\nu}$ it is energy per unit volume. Because of ϵ_0, both the force and the energy density are dependent on $c(t)$ just like the force between two electrons (eq 177).

C.7 The extended metric for a homogeneous and isotropic universe

We assume that the concentrated lumps of matter, like stars and galaxies, can be averaged to the extent that the universe matter can be considered continuous, and that the surroundings of every point in space can be assumed isotropic and the same for every point.

By embedding a maximally symmetric (i.e., isotropic and homogeneous) three dimensional sphere, with space dimensions r, θ, and ϕ, in a four dimension space which includes time t, one can obtain a differential line element ds [5, page 403] such that

$$ds^2 = g(t)dt^2 - f(t)\left[\frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 sin^2\theta d\phi^2\right], \tag{189}$$

where

$$r = \begin{cases} \sin\chi, \ k = 1, \\ \chi, \ k = 0, \\ \sinh\chi, \ k = -1, \end{cases} \tag{190}$$

k is a spatial curvature determinant to indicate a closed, flat, or open universe, resp., and

$$d\chi^2 \equiv dr^2/(1-kr^2). \tag{191}$$

We let $a(t) \equiv \sqrt{f(t)}$ be the cosmic scale factor multiplying the three dimensional spatial sphere, so that the differential radial distance is $a(t)d\chi$.

The $g(t)$ has normally been taken as $g(t) = c^2 =$ constant, so that c is the constant physical light speed and t is the physical time on each co-moving point of the embedded sphere. In both cases by physical, we mean that their value can represent measurements by physical means like standard clocks and rulers, or their technological equivalents. In order to accommodate the possibility of the light speed being a function of time, we make $g(t) = c(t)^2$. The resulting equation for the differential line element becomes an extended FLRW metric:

$$ds^2 = c(t)^2 dt^2 - a(t)^2[d\chi^2 + r^2 d\omega^2], \tag{192}$$

where $d\omega^2 \equiv d\theta^2 + sin^2\theta d\phi^2$. For radial world lines this metric becomes Minkowski in form with a differential of physical radius of $a(t)d\chi$.

It will be convenient to introduce the time related quantity $\hat{t}$, which we

will call a generalized cosmic time, defined by

$$\hat{t} \equiv \int_0^t c(t)dt, \tag{193}$$

$$t = \int_0^{\hat{t}} \frac{d\hat{t}}{\hat{c}(\hat{t})}, \tag{194}$$

where $\hat{c}(\hat{t}) = c(t)$, and where the lower limit is arbitrarily chosen as 0.

The line element then becomes

$$ds^2 = d\hat{t}^2 - a^2(d\chi^2 + r^2 d\omega^2). \tag{195}$$

It should be emphasized that $\hat{t}$ itself is a legitimate more general coordinate that makes the metric of FLRW satisfy the Cosmological Principle; the physical time t is a transform from it. $\hat{t}$ and its transform to t allows for the physics to apply to a variable light speed.

C.8 Extended GR field equation

We assume the standard action of GR without any non-standard additions that some have used to produce the variable light speed[6]. We allow the metric that determines the curvature tensor to introduce the varying light speed. This will create a relationship between the varying light speed and the components of the stress-energy tensor. In addition we use the Lagrangian L_{se} of the extended stress-energy tensor, and allow c, G, Λ to vary:

$$S = \int \sqrt{-g}(R - 2\Lambda + \frac{16\pi G}{c^4} L_{se}) d^4\xi. \tag{196}$$

Λ is the cosmological "constant" possibly representing some kind of vacuum energy density; R is the Ricci scalar for the metric

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} d\xi^\mu d\xi^\nu, \tag{197}$$

and g is the determinate of $g_{\mu\nu}$. Minimizing the variation of S with $g_{\mu\nu}$, we get the extended GR field equation:

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}. \tag{198}$$

C.9 Extended GR for homogeneous and isotropic universe

We will now apply this field equation to an ideal fluid of density ρ and pressure p in a homogeneous and isotropic universe for which the extended FLRW line element in the variables t, r, θ, ϕ is (eq 192):

$$ds^2 = c(t)^2 dt^2 - a^2(\frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2 d\theta^2 + r^2 cos^2\theta d\phi^2). \tag{199}$$

As ds is written in eq 199, the components of $G_{\mu\nu}$ will contain first and second derivatives of $c(t)$. In order to find a solution to the field equation we will transform the time variable t to $\xi^4 = \hat{t}$. This will not change the relation of $G_{\mu\nu}$ to $T_{\mu\nu}$, but will eliminate the derivatives of $c(t)$ in $G_{\mu\nu}$ and transform $G_{\mu\nu}$ to a known solution. For a perfect fluid of pressure p and mass density ρ, we can define $\hat{\rho} \equiv \rho c^2$ so that $\hat{\rho}$ obeys the same conservation and acceleration laws using $d\hat{t}$ as does ρ using dt (Sect C.5). Both $G_{\mu\nu}$ and $T_{\mu\nu}$ are $\hat{L}$ covariant, so that the equivalency principle is maintained. We can then write the two significant field equations [9, page 729] for $a(\hat{t})$ as

$$\frac{3\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{3k}{a^2} - \Lambda = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}\hat{\rho}, \tag{200}$$

and

$$+2\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{k}{a^2} - \Lambda = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^4}p, \tag{201}$$

where the dots represent derivatives with respect to $\hat{t}$. All variables (including $\hat{t}$ and a) are in standard units. Eq 200 can be solved to give a as a function of $\hat{t}.\rho, k$, and Λ. When we know $c(\hat{t})$, we can obtain the observables $a(t)$ and $c(t)$ by transforming $\hat{t}$ back to t. Solutions of these equations are carried out in Sect 3 for a particular $c(t)$.

Now, eq 200 can be multiplied by $a^3/3$, differentiated, and subtracted from $\dot{a}a^2$ times eq 201 to give

$$\frac{d}{d\hat{t}}(\frac{G\rho a^3}{c^2}) = -\frac{3G}{c^4}\dot{a}a^2 p - \frac{a^3}{8\pi}\dot{\Lambda}, \tag{202}$$

where we have allowed for the possibility that G, ρ, p, and Λ may be functions of $c(t)$. For small p and $\dot{\Lambda}$,

$$\frac{G\rho a^3}{c^2} = \text{constant} \tag{203}$$

If the energy density consists of n particles per unit volume of mass m, so $\rho = nm$, then the conservation of particles requires na^3 be constant (for

small velocities). This makes

$$\frac{Gm}{c^2} = \text{constant}. \tag{204}$$

Even when p and $\dot{\Lambda}$ may not be negligible and the velocities may not be small, it is reasonable to assume that for matter eq 204 will still remain valid.

In order to obtain a conserved stress energy tensor for $\hat{t}$ we assumed $m \propto 1/c^2$. So, by eq 204, $G \propto c^4$, or $\hat{G} \equiv G/c^4$ is constant. This keeps the Newtonian gravitational energy $Gm_1m_2/R = \hat{G}\hat{m}_1\hat{m}_2/R$ from varying with $c(t)$. It also keeps constant the ratio $8\pi\hat{G}$ between the tensors in the GR field equation:

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi\hat{G}\, T_{\mu\nu}. \tag{205}$$

With constant $\hat{G}$, this has the same form as for $c = 1$ and constant G.

Acknowledgments

I wish to acknowledge the invaluable help given by Paul Fife, University of Utah Mathematics Dept, who showed me how to obtain solutions for all the PDEs. I also wish to thank David W. Bennett, Univ. of Utah Philosophy Dept, for his support and encouragement and the faculty and facilities of the Physics and Astronomy Department of Tufts University for the number of years that I was allowed to visit there. I thank Richard Price, Univ. of Utah Physics Dept, for valuable discussions during the earlier part of this investigation, and Ramez Atiya for insightful discussions earlier drafts of this paper. However, I alone am responsible for any errors of mathematics or interpretation that may be here.

References

[1] B. Bassett et al, "Geometrodynamics of variable-speed-of-light cosmologies," Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 103518.

[2] H. S. Kragh, "Cosmologies with varying light speed: a historical perspective." Studies in History and Philosophy of Modern Physics 37 (2006) 726-737.

[3] H. P. Robertson, "Kinematics and world structure," Astrophys. J. *82*, 248 (1935).

[4] A. G. Walker, "On Milne's theory of world structure," Proc. London Math. Soc. *42*, 90-127 (1936).

[5] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology* (Wiley, New York), (1972).

[6] J. Magueijo, "Covariant and locally Lorentz-invariant varying light speed theories," Phys. Rev.D *62*, 103521 (2000).

[7] E. Peik, et al, "Frequency comparisons and absolute frequency measurements of $171Yb^{+}$ single-ion optical frequency standards," arXiv:physics/0504101 *14* Apr 2005.

[8] S. G. Karshenboim, V. Flambaum, E. Peik, "Atomic clocks and constraints on variations of fundamental constants," arXiv:physics/0410074v1 *12* Oct 2004.

[9] Misner, C. W., Thorne, K. S., Wheeler, J. A. *Gravitation*, (W. H. Freeman Co), (1973).

[10] P. J. E. Peebles, *Principles of Physical Cosmology*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1993)

[11] M. S. Longair, "Theoretical concepts in physics,"Cambridge University Press, (1986)

[12] Bernal, A. N., Lopez, M. P., & Sanchez, M., "Fundamental units of length and time," Found Phys 32 77 2002.

[13] P. J. Mohr and B. N. Taylor, "CODATA recommended values of the fundamental constants: 1988," Review of Modern Physics *72*(2) 351(2000).

[14] Stratton, J. A. "Electromagnetic Theory," McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, 1941.

[15] Bergmann, P. G. "An introduction to the theory of relativity," Prentice-Hall (1946)

[16] Tonry, J. L., et al, "Cosmological results from high-z supernova,"AsJ 594 1 2003 arXiv 0305008.

[17] S.W. Allen, D.A. Rapetti, R.W. Schmidt, H. Ebeling, R.G. Morris and A.C. Fabian, "Improved constraints on dark energy from Chandra X-ray observations of the largest relaxed galaxy clusters," MNRAS 383, 789, (2008)

[18] H. P. Robertson, "Kinematics and world structure," Astrophysics J. *83*, 187 (1936).

[19] I. R. Kenyon, "General relativity,"Oxford Science Pub (1990)

[20] B. O. Pierce,"A short table of integrals," Ginn and Company, 61, (1929).

[21] A. Albrecht and J. Magueijo, "A time varying speed of light as a solution to cosmological puzzles", Phys. Rev.D *59 000 (1999).*

[22] J. K. Webb, M. T. Murphy, V. V. Flambaum, V.A. Dzuba, J. D. Barrow, W. W. Churchill, J. X. Prochaska, and A. M. Wolfe, "Further evidence for cosmological evolution of the fine structure constant," Phys. Rev. Let. 87(9), 09301-1 (27 Aug, 2001).

Figures




Figure 1: Physical distances (R/c_0t_0) for $\Omega = 1$ plotted against the normalized time on clocks at the origin (t/t_0) for various galaxy paths (labeled by their red shift z) and for the light path which the photons take after emission by any galaxy that arrives at the origin at $t/t_0 = 1$. Notice that the slope of this light path close to the origin is $c_0 = 1$, where the coordinates R and t are both physical. The light path starts at the far horizon at $t = 0$, not at $R = 0$, but the transformed time T on the stationary frame remains finite (see Fig. 2). The dashed line in Fig. 1 shows the approximate upper limit of physicality, where both R and the transformed time T are physical.




Figure 2: Physical distances (R/c_0t_0) for $\Omega = 1$ vs the transformed time (T/t_0) on clocks attached at R for various galaxy paths (labeled by their red shift z) and for the light path that arrives at the origin at $T = t_0 = .75(1/c_0H_0')$. The horizon is the locus of points where $t = 0$. The heavy dotted line in Fig. 1 shows the approximate upper limit of physicality for the transformed coordinates ($A = .95$, that is $< 5\%$ error in physical time rate T_t). The slope of the light path is very close to $c(t)$ out to the limit of physicality. Light is emitted at finite T allowing transformed time for galactic points to move out from $R = 0$ before emitting their light.




Figure 3: Physical distance(R/c_0t_0) for lower density universe ($\Omega = 1/2, \Omega_r = 1/2$) plotted against the transformed time (T/t_0) on clocks attached at R for various galaxy paths (labeled by their red shift z) and for the light path that arrives at the origin at $T = t_0 = .767(1/c_0H_0')$. The horizon ($t = 0$) and the physicality line ($A = .96$) occur at later times and shorter distances than for a flat universe (Fig 2), but not as much as for the empty universe (Fig 5). Similarly, the light path is straighter than Fig 2, but not as straight as Fig 5.




Figure 4: Physical distance (R/c_0t_0) vs. transformed time (T/c_0t_0) for dark energy ($\Omega = 1/4, \Omega_\Lambda = 3/4$). The present time $t_0 = .407(1/c_0H_0')$. Notice the inflection points on all curves where the dark energy density becomes larger than the matter density.




Figure 5: Physical distance(R/c_0t_0) for the empty expanding universe ($\Omega = 0, \Omega_r = 1$) plotted against the transformed time (T/t_0) on clocks attached at R for various galaxy paths (labeled by their red shift z) and for the light path that arrives at the origin at $T = t_0$. The horizon is the locus of points where $t = 0$. All lines are straight and physical, since there is no space curvature, and the light speed is $c(t) = c_0$. The remotest galactic point travels from the origin at $T = 0$ out to $c_0t_0/2$ at the light speed c_0.