arXiv:0906.1631v1 [astro-ph.CO] 9 Jun 2009

Detection of Large-Scale Cosmic Magnetic Fields

Jounghun Lee[1], Ue-Li Pen[2], A.Russell Taylor[3], Jeroen M. Stil[3], & Caleb Sunstrum[3]

ABSTRACT

Observational evidence for the existence of cosmic magnetic fields in intergalactic space coherent over Mpc scales is presented. Using an unprecedentedly large sample of Faraday rotation measures of radio sources from the NRAO VLA Sky Survey data and the photometric redshift galaxy catalog from the sixth Data Release of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS), we measure the cross-correlations between the rotation measures and the galaxy density field distributed along the source sightlines. It is shown that the rotation measures for sightlines passing through high density regions at separation $r \geq 1h^{-1}$Mpc from the locations of background radio sources are significantly enhanced. We discuss possible generators of this enhancement and interpret it to be intergalactic magnetic fields coherent over $1h^{-1}$Mpc with mean field strength $B \approx 30$ nG.

Subject headings: intergalactic:medium — magnetic fields — Faraday rotation — large scale structure

1. INTRODUCTION

The origin of ordered cosmic magnetic fields is one of the open enigmas of modern astrophysics. Because resistive time scales are much longer than the age of the universe, its generation has so far challenged physical theory (Kulsrud et al. 1997; Davies & Widrow 2000; Gnedin et al. 2000; Ichiki et al. 2006). Furthermore, due to the difficulty in observing cosmic magnetic fields, there are few observational constraints on its true nature and intrinsic properties such as the mean field strength, coherence length scale, and cosmic evolution (see for a review and references therein Widrow 2002). To fully understand the structure formation in the universe, however, it is important to address the issue of cosmic magnetic

[1]Department of Physics and Astronomy, FPRD, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-747, Korea

[2]Canadian Institute for Theoretical Astrophysics, Toronto, ON M5S 3H8, Canada

[3]Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta T2N 1N4, Canada

fields since the evolution of structure formation should be closely related to the generation and amplification of the cosmic magnetic fields.

Faraday rotation of distant radio sources provides one of the most robust way to study the cosmic magnetic fields (Kronberg et al. 1992). A polarized radio source whose emission propagates through a region permeated by a magnetic field and free electrons will experience Faraday Rotation whose degree depend sensitively on the properties of the magnetic fields. Very recently, Bernet et al. (2008) have found a correlation between the faraday rotation measures (RM) of 71 polarized quasars and the MgII absorption lines along the source sightlines. Since the MgII absorption lines trace the galaxies, their result suggests that the RMs of distant quasars are affected by the cosmic magnetic fields distributed along the source sightlines. In other words, their result supports the scenario of an *intervening origin*, that the RMs of radio sources increase due to the intervening magnetic fields (Kronberg & Perry 1982; Kronberg et al. 1992; Nestor et al. 2005; Oren & Wolfe 1995; Gaensler et al. 2005; Han et al. 2006; Xu et al. 2006; Kronberg et al. 2008).

Nevertheless, it is still unclear whether the fields are in the intervening galaxies themselves or in the intergalactic media. To answer this question, it is necessary to determine the intrinsic properties of the associated magnetic fields such as mean field strength and coherence length scale, which should be different between the two cases. If |RM| (absolute value of RM) of radio emission is indeed enhanced by the intervening cosmic magnetic fields, then the spatial structure of |RM| is expected to be correlated with the galaxy density field. Here our goal is to use the most recent observational data to measure the cross-correlation between |RM| and the galaxy density field, and to determine its physical properties. The outline of this Letter is as follows. In §2, the observational data is described and the analysis of it is presented. In §3, a physical interpretation of the result is provided. In §4, a final conclusion is drawn.

2. DATA AND ANALYSIS

We use a large catalogue of RM values (Taylor et al. 2009) created by a re-analysis of the NRAO VLA Sky Survey data (Condon et al. 1998). The source density of the RM catalogue is greater than one per square degree. To remove the large-scale RM structure of the Galactic foreground, the median value RM_{med} is subtracted from each RM, where RM_{med} was obtained by using all sources within a radius of 3° from the location of each sources with the exception of the source itself. On average 33 RM values were used to calculate each median RM. For a detailed description of the RM catalogue and an image of the large-scale structure of the Galactic foreground, see (Taylor et al. 2009).

We measure the galaxy density field distributed along the sightline of each RM value by using the photometric redshift (photo-z) galaxy catalogue (Oyaizu et al. 2008) from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) Data Release six (Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2008) and investigate a possible cross-correlation between the galaxy density field and the $|RM|$ values. The investigation is limited to the area of equatorial right ascension $120^\circ < \alpha < 240^\circ$ and declination $0^\circ < \delta < 60^\circ$, which the SDSS galaxies populate most densely. Decomposing the area into 2048×2048 small cells and counting the number of galaxies belonging to each cell, we mask the empty cells and their nearest neighbors as gap regions that are not covered by SDSS.

Discarding the radio sources located in the masked cells, we end up with a final sample of 7244 RM values. The RM catalogue does not contain information on the redshift of each RM source, but most of the radio sources are expected to have redshifts above $z = 0.5$. To ensure that primarily those galaxies located in the *foreground* of the RM sources are used to construct the density field, we consider only those galaxies with photo-$z \leq 0.5$. To minimize the uncertainty in the measurement of the photo-z values, we exclude those SDSS galaxies which are less bright than dereddened magnitude $M_r = 22$ (Oyaizu et al. 2008). In addition, the galaxies with photo-$z < 0.1$ are also excluded since they are likely to suffer from large fractional errors in the distance measurements. The selected galaxies at $0.1 \leq$photo-$z < 0.5$ are separated into four photo-z slices of width $\Delta z = 0.1$.

We count the number of the neighbor galaxies with separation radius in a range $(r, r + \Delta r)$ from the location of each radio source on the plane of the sky in each photo-z slice separately. For the determination of the separation radius, a spatially flat universe with low matter density $\Omega_m = 0.23$ is assumed. The density contributions from each photo-z slice is calculated by dividing the galaxy number counts by the number of all galaxies belonging to a given photo-z slice and weighting it by a factor of $(1 + z_m)^{-2}$ with median photo-z, z_m. The weighting factor, $(1 + z_m)^{-2}$ accounts for the fact that the RM contribution of a non-evolving population is weaker at higher redshift (RM $\propto (1 + z)^2$) when it sees a higher restframe frequency. The galaxy density field at a distance r from line-of-sight of each radio sources, $\rho(r)$ is determined by summing up all the photo-z contributions as a function of the separation distance r for each source. The cross-correlations between $\rho(r)$ and |RM| is defined as

$$\xi(r) \equiv \frac{\langle \Delta\rho(r) \cdot \Delta|\mathrm{RM}| \rangle}{\bar{\rho}(r)}, \tag{1}$$

where The ensemble average $\langle \Delta\rho(r) \cdot \Delta|\mathrm{RM}| \rangle$ is taken over the 7244 radio sources. Here $\Delta\rho \equiv \rho - \bar{\rho}$, $\Delta|\mathrm{RM}| \equiv |\mathrm{RM}| - \overline{|\mathrm{RM}|}$ when $\bar{\rho}(r)$ represent the mean of $\rho(r)$ averaged over the 7244 radio sources and $\overline{|\mathrm{RM}|}$ is the average of 7244 |RM| values.

To measure the effects of errors in the measurements on $\xi(r)$, we determined $\xi(r)$ for

1000 cases in which the $|RM|$ values were randomly shuffled, and calculated the average value and one standard deviation (σ) from the 1000 resamples. Figure 1 plots the cross-correlation $\xi(r)$ as dots. The dotted line corresponds to the mean of $\xi(r)$ averaged over the 1000 randomly shuffled samples and the dashed line represents $\pm 1\sigma$ between the 1000 resamples. As can be seen, a clear signal of correlation is detected around $r > 100h^{-1}$kpc and extending to $r > 1h^{-1}$Mpc . The signal is shown to be as significant as 4σ per point at $r \approx 1h^{-1}$Mpc with an RM excess of $\Delta|\mathrm{RM}| \approx 0.4$. Our result implies the existence of large-scale magnetic fields coherent over scales of $1h^{-1}$Mpc, as we will explain in more detail below.

Figure 2 plots the same as Figure 1 but in logarithmic scale. The errors bars represent one standard deviation derived from the 1000 shuffled data sets. The scatter (dashed line) corresponds to the upper bound (i.e., one standard deviation plus the average over the 1000 shuffled resamples). At small separations, the data results mostly in upper bounds (arrows), drawn at the 2σ limit.

There are about 40 million SDSS galaxies over $10,000$ square degrees (Adelman-McCarthy et al. 2008), so it is expected that the characteristic nearest approach of line of sight to an intervenor is approximately 300 kpc. Our sample has a mean RM value, $\langle|\mathrm{RM}|\rangle \approx 13$, then a correlation excess of $\Delta|RM| = 0.4$ corresponds to a RMS contribution of $\sqrt{\langle \Delta \mathrm{RM}^2 \rangle} \approx 3.8$ from the correlated sources. This value is derived from a direct Monte Carlo simulation of uniformly distributed RMs that are correlated to each intervening galaxy. It is consistent with a perturbative estimate: $\langle (RM + \Delta RM)^2 \rangle = \langle RM^2[1 + (\Delta RM)^2/RM^2] \rangle \sim (\sqrt{\langle RM^2 \rangle} + \xi)^2$. So $\xi = \Delta|RM| \sim (\Delta RM)^2/(2|RM|)$, which implies that $\sqrt{\langle \Delta \mathrm{RM}^2 \rangle} \approx \sqrt{2\xi|RM|}$. The actual estimators use absolute values instead of RMS, so a Monte-Carlo estimate was used. But the scaling of $\xi \sim \Delta RM^2$ still holds, so we expect ξ to be a steep function of the actual correlation amplitude.

3. PHYSICAL INTERPRETATION

At face value, the enhanced RM at $\sim$ Mpc impact parameter could be due to a weak coherent fields, or strong localized fields, perhaps in the dense disks of physically neighboring galaxies. We present three indications that neighboring galaxies are not the source of the signal. The first is the slope of the correlation function, which isn't nearly steep enough to arise from the known scaling of neighbor counts. The second is the absence of a dichotomous RM distribution. And the third is the difficulty in matching the large emission sizes of galaxies to the short interarm distance of intervenors.

We start with the first and strongest argument. The projected galaxy-galaxy correlation function tends to scale as a power law, which in projection is $w \propto r^{-0.8}$. Since we are measuring the absolute value —RM—, in the noise dominated regime it would scale as $w^2 \propto r^{-1.6}$. The upper bounds of $\xi \lesssim 0.5$ at 100 kpc is inconsistent with the minimum extrapolated scaling of the signal at 1 Mpc: $\xi \sim 0.3 \pm 0.1$, while the extrapolated upper bound at 100 Mpc would require $\xi < 0.02$.

The second estimate involves the bimodal RM distributions. If the occasional intervening disks contribute the majority of the signal, as opposed to the inferred coherent intergalactic field, then one might expect to see the signal strongly when an intervenor is present, with an absence otherwise. The typical galaxy-galaxy correlation strength is about 60 (Maddox et al. 1990) and the density of galaxies is about 0.02 Mpc^{-3}, one neighbor within separation $1h^{-1}$Mpc on average would have to contribute at least $|\mathrm{RM}| = 80$. We have remeasured the correlation with excluding galaxies with $|\mathrm{RM}| \geq 40$ (see. Fig. 3), and the signal changes only mildly, whereas one might expect it to vanish.

The third argument is geometric. Magnetic fields in galaxies tend to reverse sign on a scale of spiral arm separations, which is $\sim$ kpc. This is small compared to the expected typical source sizes, so one expects the net observed rotation measure to be substantially reduced. This argument is the weakest, since it depends on scales that are not known, and galaxies could also have substantial mean fields.

We argue that the plausible explanation is an intergalactic magnetic field of coherence length $L_c \approx 1h^{-1}$Mpc that is a small fraction of the equipartition energy. To test this possibility, one can estimate quantitatively the mean field strength and the expected correlation signal. With the baryon number density $\Omega_b = 0.045$, the mean cosmological electron density is given as $n_e = 2.6\times10^{-7}(\rho/\bar{\rho})\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$. Using a cosmic thermal temperature is $kT = 1$ keV(Pen 1999) the maximal plausible magnetic pressure at equipartition is $B^2_{\mathrm{max}}/(4\pi) = n_e kT$, the mean field strength is $B_{\mathrm{max}} = 72\,(\rho/\bar{\rho})^{1/2}$nG The expected rotation measure enhanced by this magnetic field is $|\mathrm{RM}| = 2.6 \times 10^{-13} B \times L_c$ where B and L_c are in unit of Tesla and meter, respectively. Setting $L_c = 1h^{-1}$Mpc gives

$$|\mathrm{RM}| = 0.087\epsilon \left(\frac{\rho}{\bar{\rho}}\right)^{1.5}, \tag{2}$$

where ϵ is the magnetic strength as a fraction of equipartition B_{max}. Because $L_c = 1h^{-1}$Mpc is the typical size of a virialized dark matter halo (Bardeen et al. 1986) whose overdensity at the virial radius (Warren et al. 1992) could be $\rho/\bar{\rho} \approx 100$, the efficiency factor will be $\epsilon \approx 0.044$. That is, the coherent magnetic fields are at approximately 4.4% of their maximal equipartition value. To examine the contribution to the total RMs through the universe, there are 10^4 patches of L_c along the line of sight at $z = 1$. Thus, it is expected that

$|\mathrm{RM}| = 44\epsilon$, or about 2 from the intergalactic media, which is consistent with the observed signal. The fact that the RM does not increase rapidly at small radii requires that the fields are coherent, and that the density field is not strongly centrally peaked, as predicted by the feedback models (Pen 1999). If plasma is transported by a distance $r \sim 1$Mpc, it might result in coherent magnetic fields over such large scales.

This initial result could be substantially improved by larger RM catalogs, and going to larger physical separations. At larger scales, our filter will affect the results, so a galactic model would need to be built, and subtracted from the data. An order of magnitude more bandwidth available at the EVLA, together with the enhanced frequency separation would allow at least an order of magnitude fainter sensitivity limits. GMRT observes at lower frequencies, which are quadratically more sensitive to RM, so again an order of magnitude gain in sensitivity could be achieved.

4. CONCLUSION

The new large RM catalogue from the NVSS and a dense galaxy sample from the SDSS have enabled us to detect a cross-correlation between the faraday rotation measures of the radio sources and the galaxy density field with high statistical significance. We have detected an excess RM signal in NVSS sources at a separation of $\sim$ Mpc. We interpret this signal as arising from an intergalactic magnetic field of mean strength 30 nG coherent over $\sim 1h^{-1}$Mpc. Several lines of reasoning are discussed which lead to this conclusion, the strongest of which is the shape of the correlation function. The cross correlation is based on a three degree highpass filtered RM field, which rejects plausible correlations with galactic structure.

The large inferred correlation lengths are broadly consistent with expectations in feedback models (Pen 1999), where feedback moves plasma by $\sim$ Mpc. The data combined with this model predicts a generic —RM— ~ 2 from IGM fields on random lines of sight. This signal would be difficult to measure directly against an order of magnitude larger galactic foreground.

It is the first detection of cosmic magnetic fields in intergalactic space correlated over such large scales. Our result is consistent with the recent report of Bernet et al. (2008), providing compelling observational evidence for the scenario that the RM's are enhanced by the intervening magnetic fields. This detection challenges magnetic field generation theories and also substantially impacts the interpretation of galactic dynamo theory (Parker 1992; Kulsrud 1999). We have discussed how future surveys with existing facilities could

substantially improve on the details of the results.

J.L. acknowledges the financial support from the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation (KOSEF) grant funded by the Korean Government (MOST, NO. R01-2007-000-10246-0).

Funding for the SDSS and SDSS-II has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Science Foundation, the U.S. Department of Energy, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the Japanese Monbukagakusho, the Max Planck Society, and the Higher Education Funding Council for England. The SDSS Web Site is http://www.sdss.org/. The SDSS is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium for the Participating Institutions. The Participating Institutions are the American Museum of Natural History, Astrophysical Institute Potsdam, University of Basel, Cambridge University, Case Western Reserve University, University of Chicago, Drexel University, Fermilab, the Institute for Advanced Study, the Japan Participation Group, Johns Hopkins University, the Joint Institute for Nuclear Astrophysics, the Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology, the Korean Scientist Group, the Chinese Academy of Sciences (LAMOST), Los Alamos National Laboratory, the Max-Planck-Institute for Astronomy (MPIA), the Max-Planck-Institute for Astrophysics (MPA), New Mexico State University, Ohio State University, University of Pittsburgh, University of Portsmouth, Princeton University, the United States Naval Observatory, and the University of Washington.

REFERENCES

Adelman-McCarthy, J. K., et al. 2008, ApJS, 175, 297

Bardeen, J. M., Bond, J. R., Kaiser, N. K. & Szalay, A. S. 1986, ApJ, 304, 15

Bernet, M. L., F. Miniati, F., Lilly, S. J., Kronberg, P. P., & Dessauges-Zavadsky, M. 2008, Nature, 454, 302

Condon, J. J., et al. 1998, AJ, 115, 1693

Davies, G., & Widrow, L. M. 2000, ApJ, 540, 755

Gaensler B. M. et al. 2005, Science, 307, 1610

Gnedin, N. Y., Ferrara, A., & Zweibel, E. G. 2000, ApJ, 539, 505

Han, J. L. et al. 2006, ApJ, 642, 868

Ichiki, K., Takahashi, K., Ohno, H., Hanayama, H., & Sugiyama, N. 2006, Science, 311, 827

Kronberg, P. P., Perry, J. J. 1982, ApJ, 263, 518

Kronberg, P. P., Perry, J. J., Zukowski, E. L. H. Z. 1992, ApJ, 387, 528

Kronberg, P. P. et al. 2008, ApJ, 676, 70

Kulsrud, R. M., Cen, R., Ostriker, J. P., & Ryu, D. 1997, ApJ, 480, 481

Kulsrud, R. M. 1999, ARA&A, 37, 37

Maddox, S. J. Efstathiou, G., Sutherland, W. J., & Loveday, J. 1990, MNRAS, 242, 43P

Nestor, D. B., Turnshek, D. A., Rao, S. M. 2005, ApJ, 628, 637

Oren, A. L., & Wolfe, A. M. 1995, ApJ, 445, 624

Oyaizu, H., et al. 2008, ApJ, 674, 768

Parker, E. N. 1992, ApJ, 401, 137

Pen, U.-L. 1999, ApJ, 510, L1

Taylor, A. R., Stil, J. M.,& Sunstrum, C. 2009, ApJ, submitted

Warren, M. S., Quinn, P. J., Salmon, J. K., & Zurek, W. H. 1992, ApJ, 399, 405

Widrow, L. M. 2002, Rev. Mod. Phys., 74, 775

Xu, Y., Kronberg, P. P., Habib, S., & Dufton, Q. W. 2006, ApJ, 637, 19

This preprint was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.




Fig. 1.— Cross-correlations between the absolute values of RMs and the SDSS galaxy density field distributed along the sightlines of 7244 RM sources as dots. The galaxy density field is calculated by counting the number of the neighbor galaxies with separation in range of $(r, r + \Delta r)$ from the line of sight of each source on the plane of the sky at 0.1 $\leq$photo-z$<$ 0.5. The dotted line represent the mean of the cross-correlations averaged over the 1000 randomly shuffled samples while the dashed line corresponds to one standard deviation between the resamples.




Fig. 2.— Same as Figure 1 but in the logarithmic scale. The errors represent one standard deviation (σ) between the 1000 resamples. Only those correlation signals with $\xi(r) > \sigma$ are plotted. The arrows represent the upper bounds of $\xi(r)+2\sigma$ for those points where $\xi(r) \leq \sigma$.




Fig. 3.— Same as Figure 2 but only for those radio sources with $|RM| \leq 40$.