arXiv:0910.5093v1 [math.FA] 27 Oct 2009

COMPLETE PICK POSITIVITY AND UNITARY INVARIANCE

ANGSHUMAN BHATTACHARYA AND TIRTHANKAR BHATTACHARYYA

Abstract. The characteristic function for a contraction is a classical complete unitary invariant devised by Sz.-Nagy and Foias. Just as a contraction is related to the Szego kernel $k_S(z,w) = (1 - z\overline{w})^{-1}$ for $|z|, \quad |w| < 1$, by means of $(1/k_S)(T, T^*) \geq 0$, we consider an arbitrary open connected domain Ω in $\mathbb{C}^n$, a complete Nevanilinna-Pick kernel k on Ω and a tuple $T = (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ of commuting bounded operators on a complex separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that $(1/k)(T, T^*) \geq 0$. For a complete Pick kernel the $1/k$ functional calculus makes sense in a beautiful way. It turns out that the model theory works very well and a characteristic function can be associated with T. Moreover, the characteristic function then is a complete unitary invariant for a suitable class of tuples T.

1. Introduction

Dilation of a contraction to an isometry and further to a unitary operator is a classical result of Sz.-Nagy [18]. Attempts to generalize the results to higher dimensions beyond a pair quickly run into difficulty, see Varopoulos [19], and hence dilation of a tuple of bounded operators has needed new approaches. While the theory is highly successful for the Euclidean unit ball, little has been done for other domains.

For a contractive tuple or a row contraction, commuting or not, dilation theory has been worked out in great detail in the last two decades, see Arveson [6], Popescu [15] and [14], Muller and Vasilescu [13], and [8] and [9]. For other domains, Ambrosie and Englis and Muller in [4] and Arazy and Englis in [5] construct dilations under several conditions on the kernel function. The aim of this note is to show that the theory goes through smoothly for certain complete Pick kernels.

1.1. **Kernels and multipliers.** Consider a domain Ω in $\mathbb{C}^m$. Let $k(z,w)$ be a positive definite kernel in Ω which is a holomorphic function in z and an anti-holomorphic function in w, and let $\mathbb{H}_k$ be the corresponding Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on Ω. A kernel k is called *irreducible* if

(1) the functions k_w, defined by $k_w(z) = k(z,w)$ for $w \in \Omega$ are independent, and
(2) $k(x,y)$ is never zero for all $x, y \in \Omega$ with $x \neq y$.

All the reproducing kernels will be assumed to be *irreducible*.

If $\mathcal{E}$ is a Banach space, denote by $\mathcal{O}(\Omega, \mathcal{E})$ to be the class of all $\mathcal{E}$-valued holomorphic functions on Ω and by $\mathbb{H}_k(\mathcal{E})$ the Hilbert space of $\mathcal{E}$ valued holomorphic functions on Ω that has the operator valued reproducing kernel $k(z,w)I_{\mathcal{E}}$. For two Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{E}_*$, the multiplier

Date: September 9, 2014.

space $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_*)$ consists of those $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}_*))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} M_\varphi : \mathbb{H}_k(\mathcal{E}) &\to \mathbb{H}_k(\mathcal{E}_*) \\ f &\to \varphi f \end{aligned}$$

is a continuous linear operator. With the operator norm, the space of multipliers becomes a Banach space and a Banach algebra if $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_*$. If $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_* = \mathbb{C}$, then we just write $\mathcal{M}_k$

1.2. **Pick kernels.** The *Pick problem* for the reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}_k$ is the following. Given $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in \Omega$ and numbers $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$, is there a $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_k$ of norm at most one which interpolates the data, i.e., satisfies $\varphi(w_i) = \lambda_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$? It is easy to see that a necessary condition for the Pick problem to be solvable is that

$$(1 - \lambda_i \overline{\lambda_j}) k(w_i, w_j) \tag{1.1}$$

is a non-negative definite matrix.

Definition 1.1. *The kernel k is called a* Pick kernel *if the non-negativity of (1.1) is also sufficient to solve the Pick Problem.*

For the Pick kernels, irreducibility can be assumed without loss of generality by virtue of Lemma 7.2 of [2].

1.3. **Complete Pick kernels.** Let α and β be natural numbers. Given n points $w_1, \ldots, w_n$ in Ω and n matrices $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_n$ of order $\alpha \times \beta$, the *matrix Pick problem (of order $\alpha \times \beta$)* is that of finding $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C}^\beta, \mathbb{C}^\alpha)$ of norm at most 1 which satisfies $\varphi(w_i) = \Lambda_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Again a necessary condition for solvability of the matrix Pick problem is that the Pick matrix

$$\left[(I - \Lambda_i \Lambda_j^*) k(w_i, w_j)\right] \tag{1.2}$$

is positive, see Theorem 5.8 in [2].

Definition 1.2. *The kernel k is called a complete Pick kernel if positivity of the Pick matrix (1.2) is a sufficient condition to solve the matrix Pick problem for every α and β.*

1.4. **Examples.**

(1) *Drury-Arveson space.* This space, denoted by H_d^2 is defined to be the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions on the Euclidean unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^d$ with reproducing kernel

$$k_d(z, w) = (1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{-1}.$$

For more on the space H_d^2 and the multipliers, see the seminal paper of Arveson [6]. The space H_d^2 was first used by Drury [12] who generalized von Neumann's inequality to operator tuples. This kernel is universal among the complete Pick kernels, see [2], chapter 8.

(2) *Weighted ℓ^2 spaces.* Consider a weight sequence $(w_n)_{n \geq 0}$ satisfying

$$w_{n+1}^2 \geq w_n w_{n+2}$$

and the space of functions $f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n z^n$ on $\mathbb{D}$ with

$$\|f\|^2 := \sum_{n \geq 0} |a_n|^2 w_n < \infty.$$

Thus Dirichlet space is a special case, corresponding to $w_n = n + 1$. These spaces have complete NP kernels.

(3) *Dirichlet type spaces.* Let μ be a finite positive measure supported in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Define

$$D(\mu) = \left\{ f \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} f \text{ is holomorphic in } \mathbb{D} \text{ and} \\ \|f\|^2 := \|f\|^2_{H^2} + \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'(\zeta)|^2 U_\mu(\zeta) dm_2(\zeta) < \infty \end{array} \right\}$$

where dm_2 is the normalized Lebesgue area measure in $\mathbb{D}$, and U_μ is defined as

$$U_\mu(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \log \left| \frac{1 - \underline{z}\zeta}{\zeta - z} \right|^2 \frac{d\mu(z)}{1 - |z|^2} + \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |\zeta|^2}{\zeta - z|^2} d\mu(z), \ \zeta \in \mathbb{D}.$$

These spaces were introduced by Richter [16] and Aleman [3] and were shown to have complete Pick kernel by Shimorin [17].

(4) *Weighted Sobolev spaces.* Given positive functions $w_0 \in C[x_0, x_1]$ and $w_1 \in C^1[x_0, x_1]$, consider the space

$$W^1_2 = \{ f : [x_0, x_1] \to \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is absolutely continuous with } |f'|^2 \text{ integrable } \}$$

with the norm

$$\|f\|^2 := \int_{x_0}^{x_1} |f(x)|^2 w_0(x) dx + \int_{x_0}^{x_1} |f'(x)|^2 w_1(x) dx.$$

Then W^1_2 with this norm has a complete NP kernel.

In this note, we shall deal only with complete Pick kernels.

1.5. **A complete unitary invariant.** In [8], an operator valued holomorphic function on the open Euclidean unit ball $\mathbb{B}_m$ in $\mathbb{C}^m$ was constructed. This function, called the characteristic function, corresponded to a commuting row contraction, i.e., a tuple of bounded operators $T = (T_1, \ldots, T_m)$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\sum T_i T_i^* \leq I$. The function has the property that if two commuting row contractions are unitarily equivalent then their characteristic functions coincide. The converse holds for a class of commuting row contractions. Although, in this note we shall consider analogues of what Sz.-Nagy and Foias called $C_{\cdot 0}$ contractions, in full generality, the converse mentioned above holds for what are called the completely non-coisometric commuting row contractions as was shown in [9].

The aim of this note is to find such a complete unitary invariant as a holomorphic function on Ω for a much larger class of commuting tuples, viz., those for which $(1/k)(T, T^*) \geq 0$. These will be called the $(1/k)$-positive tuples. For how to define $(1/k)(T, T^*)$, see Section 2.

2. The space $\mathbb{H}_k$ and $(1/k)$-positive tuples

Let $\Omega \subset \overline{\Omega} \subset \Omega' \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ be a domain in $\mathbb{C}^m$ and $k : \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic complete Pick kernel on Ω. By virtue of general theory of holomorphic complete Pick kernels, there is a positive integer d (which is not the same as m in general) and a holomorphic function $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_d) : \Omega \to \mathbb{B}_d$ such that

$$k(z, w) = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^d b_i(z) \overline{b_i(w)}}, z, w \in \Omega.$$

In general, d need not be finite, i.e., the number of b_i could be infinite. However, we make the following assumptions:

- (A1) The cardinal d is finite and each b_i is holomorphic on Ω' and belongs to the closure of polynomials on Ω' in the compact open topology.
- (A2) The co-ordinate functions $z_1, \ldots, z_m$ are multipliers on $\mathbb{H}_k$.
- (A3) The Taylor spectrum $\sigma(M_z) \subset \Omega'$ where $M_z = (M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_m})$ is the multiplication tuple on $\mathbb{H}_k$.

For a given multi-index $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_d)$, let us consider the analogues of the monomial. Denote by $b^s(z)$ the function $b_1^{s_1}(z) \ldots b_d^{s_d}(z)$. On the vector space spanned by finite linear combinations of these monomials, we give an inner product by setting

$$\langle b^s(z), b^{s'}(z) \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ if } s \neq s' \\ \frac{s!}{|s|!} \end{cases}$$

It is then clear that the completion of this linear space with this inner product is $\mathbb{H}_k$. Any element g of $\mathbb{H}_k$ is of the form $\sum a_s b^s$ and has the norm $(\sum |a_s|^2/\gamma_s)^{1/2}$ where γ_s is the multinomial coefficient for the multi-index s. Note that this has the conclusion that any element g of $\mathbb{H}_k$ is of the form

$$g = f \circ b \tag{2.3}$$

for some $f \in H_d^2$. A straightforward norm computation immediately shows that the b_i are contractive multipliers. However, more is true.

LEMMA 2.1. $I_H - \sum_{i=1}^d M_{b_i} M_{b_i}^* \geq 0$.

Proof. Since the linear span of the functions $\{k_w : w \in \Omega\}$ is the whole space, so it is enough to show the above on just k_w. But then

$$\begin{aligned} (\sum M_{b_i} M_{b_i}^* k_w)(z) &= \sum b_i(z)\overline{b_i}(w) \frac{1}{1 - \sum b_i(z)\overline{b_i}(w)} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \sum b_i(z)\overline{b_i}(w)} - 1 \\ &= (k_w - P_0 k_w)(z), \end{aligned}$$

where P_0 is the one-dimensional projection onto the constants, thus showing that $I_H - \sum_{i=1}^d M_{b_i} M_{b_i}^*$ is actually a projection and hence the result. ∎

LEMMA 2.2. $M_{b_i} = b_i(M_z)$.

Proof. We shall show that $M_{b_i}^* = (b_i(M_z))^*$ by showing that each member k_w of the total set is an eigenvector for $M_{b_i}^*$ as well as $(b_i(M_z))^*$ with the eigenvalue $\overline{b_i(w)}$. Choose for each i, a sequence $\{p_n^i\}$ which converges to b_i uniformly on compact subsets of Ω' as $n \to \infty$. The holomorphic functional calculus is a continuous homomorphism, see Theorem 5.18 of Curto [10]. Thus $(p_n^i(M_z))^* k_w \to (b_i(M_z))^* k_w$ in $\mathbb{H}_k$. But $p_n^i(M_z) = M_{p_n}$. So

$$M_{b_i}^* k_w = \overline{b_i}(w) k_w = \lim_{n\to\infty} \overline{p_n^i}(w) k_w = \lim_{n\to\infty} M_{p_n^i}^* k_w = \lim_{n\to\infty} (p_n^i(M_z))^* k_w = (b_i(M_z))^* k_w$$

and that completes the proof. ∎

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let $M_z = (M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_m})$ be the multiplication tuple on $\mathbb{H}_k$. Then*

$$I_H - \sum_{i=1}^d b_i(M_z)\ (b_i(M_z))^* \geq 0.$$

Proof. This corollary follows from the two lemmas above. ∎

Let $T = (T_1, \ldots, T_m)$ denote a commuting m-tuple of bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\sigma(T) \subset \Omega$. Define the following tuple via the holomorphic functional calculus of Taylor:

$$b(T) = (b_1(T), \ldots, b_d(T)).$$

In this note, we shall be concerned with those tuples T for which $b(T)$ is a d-contraction in the sense of Arveson [6]. In other words,

$$I_H - \sum b_i(T)(b_i(T))^* \geq 0.$$

Since $1/k$ is a function which is holomorphic in z and anti-holomorphic in w on Ω', there is a well-known method of making sense of $\frac{1}{k}(T, T^*)$ using holomorphic functional calculus, see [11], [4], which is also related to hereditary functional calculus of Agler [1]. In our case, $\frac{1}{k}(T, T^*) = I_H - \sum b_i(T)(b_i(T))^*$ and hence $\frac{1}{k}(T, T^*) \geq 0$. We call such a tuple T a $(1/k)$-positive tuple. To summarize, our tuple T is assumed to satisfy two conditions:

- C1: $\sigma(T) \subset \Omega$,
- C2: T is $(1/k)$-positive.

It is clear that the kernel k can be expanded as

$$k(z, w) = \sum \gamma_s b^s(z)\overline{b^s(w)}$$

and hence if we define

$$F_s(z, w) = \gamma_s \frac{b^s(z)\overline{b^s(w)}}{k(z, w)} \text{ for } z, w \in \Omega$$

then

$$\sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}^d} F_s(z, w) = 1 \tag{2.4}$$

where the sum converges uniformly over compact subsets of $\Omega \times \Omega$. Note that

$$F_s(T, T^*) = \gamma_s b^s(T)\frac{1}{k}(T, T^*)(b^s(T))^* = \gamma_s b^s(T)(I - \sum_{i=1}^{d} b_i(T)(b_i(T))^*)(b^s(T))^*.$$

By virtue of (2.4) and functional calculus, we have

$$\sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}^d} F_s(T, T^*) = I. \tag{2.5}$$

Since one of our aims here is to produce the dilation of a $(1/k)$-positive tuple as a functional model, it is natural to look for the smallest space. If $v \in \mathbb{H}_k$ denotes the constant function 1, then $b^s(M_z)v$ is orthogonal to v for all multi-indices s. The following lemma shows that the space $\mathbb{H}_k$ is the smallest in the sense that it is contractively contained in other suitable spaces.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let T be a $(1/k)$-positive tuple on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let $v \in \mathcal{H}$ be such that $\| v \| = 1$ and $b^s(T)v \perp v$ for all $s \in \mathbb{N}^d$. Then there exists a contraction $R : \mathbb{H}_k \to \mathcal{H}$ such that $Rb^s(z) = b^s(T)v$.*

PROOF For $s \in \mathbb{N}^d$, we know that $\| b^s(z) \|^2 = s!/|s|!$. Consider a polynomial $p(z) = \sum_{|s|\leq r} a_s z^s$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. We need to prove that

$$p(b(z)) \longmapsto p(b(T))v$$

is a contraction. In other words

$$\| \sum_{|s|\leq r} a_s b^s(z) \|^2 \geq \| \sum_{|s|\leq r} a_s b^s(T)v \|^2$$

Now,

$$\| \sum_{|s|\leq r} a_s b^s(z) \|^2 = \sum_{|s|\leq r} | a_s |^2 \| b^s(z) \|^2,$$

so, replacing a_s by $a_s / \| b^s(z) \|^2$, we need to prove that

$$\| \sum_{|s|\leq r} \frac{a_s}{\| b^s(z) \|} b^s(T)v \|^2 \leq \sum_{|s|\leq r} |a_s|^2$$

Let E_0 denote the projection onto the one dimensional subspace spanned by the vector $v \in \mathcal{H}$. Thus, our contractivity condition boils down to proving that

$$\left\{ \frac{a_s}{\| b^s(z) \|} b^s(T)E_0 \right\}_s$$

is a contractive tuple. By virtue of the fact that T is a $(1/k)$-positive tuple, we get that the completely positive map

$$C_{b(T)}(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} b_i(T)X(b_i(T))^*$$

is contractive, i.e., $\| C_{b(T)}(I) \| \leq 1$. Thus

$$C_{b(T)}(E_0) \leq C_{b(T)}(I) \leq I.$$

However, by assumption, $\langle b_i(T)E_0 b_i(T)^* v, v \rangle_H = 0$ so that $\langle C_{b(T)}(E_0)v, v \rangle_H = 0$. This implies that

$$C_{b(T)}(E_0) + E_0 \leq I$$

Repeated application of $C_{b(T)}(\cdot)$ on above yields:

$$E_0 + C_{b(T)}(E_0) + \ldots + C^n_{b(T)}(E_0) \leq I$$

Now, $C^n_{b(T)} = \sum_{|s|=n} \frac{1}{\|b^s(z)\|} b^s(T)E_0 b^s(T)^*$ because $\| b^s(z) \|^2$ is the multinomial co-efficient for the multi-index $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_d)$ with $|s| = n$. Therefore we have

$$\sum_{|s|\leq n} \frac{|a_s|^2}{\| b^s(z) \|^2} b^s(T)E_0^2 b^s(T)^* \leq I,$$

and our claim is proved. ∎

3. The Characteristic Function

From now, we shall work with only those tuples which satisfy the assumptions C1 and C2.

The d-contraction $b(T)$, canonically associated with a commuting $(1/k)$-positive tuple T has its own characteristic function defined by

$$\theta_{b(T)}(\lambda) = -b(T) + D_{b(T)^*}(I_{\mathcal{H}} - \lambda b(T)^*)\lambda D_{b(T)}$$

as a $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}_{b(T)}, \mathcal{D}_{b(T)^*})$ valued holomorphic function defined on $\mathbb{B}_d$. We define the characteristic function of the tuple T to be the following $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{D}_{b(T)}, \mathcal{D}_{b(T)^*})$ valued holomorphic function on Ω:

$$\theta_T(z) = -b(T) + D_{b(T)^*}(I_{\mathcal{H}} - b(z)b(T)^*)b(z)D_{b(T)}.$$

Note that $\theta_T = \theta_{b(T)}|_{b(\Omega)}$.

Lemma 3.1. *The characteristic function θ_T is a contractive multiplier from $\mathbb{H}_k \otimes \mathcal{D}_{b(T)}$ into $\mathbb{H}_k \otimes \mathcal{D}_{b(T)^*}$. Also, for $z, w \in \Omega$, one has the relation*

$$I - \theta_T(z)\theta(w)^* = (I - \sum b_i(z)\overline{b_i(w)})D_{b(T)^*}(I - \sum b_i(z)b_i(T)^*)(I - \sum b_i(T)\overline{b_i(w)})D_{b(T)^*}.$$

Proof. We know that the characteristic function $\theta_{b(T)}$ of the d-contraction $b(T)$ is a contractive multiplier from $H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{D}_{b(T)}$ into $H^2_d \otimes \mathcal{D}_{b(T)^*}$. Also, we have that $\theta_T = \theta_{b(T)} \circ b$. Thus the first assertion follows from [7], Theorem 3.1.

The second assertion is a straightforward direct computation. ∎

There is a natural unitary map

$$U : \mathbb{H}_k \to H^2_d$$

which sends $b^s(z)$ to λ^s for every multi-index s. This U also intertwines M_{b_i} on $\mathbb{H}_k$ with M_{z_i} on H^2_d. So the module $\mathbb{H}_k$ formed by the operator tuple $(M_{b_1}, \ldots, M_{b_d})$ is isomorphic to the module H^2_d formed by the tuple $(M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_d})$ of multiplication by the co-ordinate functions. Our aim in this note is to study, on $\mathbb{H}_k$, the m-tuple $(M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_m})$ of multiplication by the co-ordinate functions.

Theorem 3.2. *The multiplier M_{θ_T} is a partial isometry.*

Proof. We shall show that $M_{\theta_T} M^*_{\theta_T}$ is a projection. To begin with, define a linear operator $j : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{H}_k \otimes \mathcal{D}_{b(T)^*}$ by

$$j(h) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{N}^d} \gamma_s b^s(z) \otimes D_{b(T)^*} b^s(T)^* h.$$

Using the unitary equivalence as above, it can be seen that this j is the same as the corresponding operator in case of the Drury-Arveson space and from there it easily follows that $L = j^* : \mathbb{H}_k \otimes \mathcal{D}_{b(T)^*} \to \mathcal{H}$ is the unique bounded operator satisfying

$$L(p \circ b \otimes \xi) = p(b(T))D_{b(T)^*}\xi$$

for any polynomial p in d variables.

Any f in $\mathbb{H}_k$ has an orthonormal expansion $f = \sum a_s b^s$. If $p_n = \sum_{|s| \leq n} a_s b^s$, then p_n converges to f in the topology of $\mathbb{H}_k$. On any compact subset of Ω, the modulus of the

kernel function k is bounded above and bounded away from zero. It follows that $p_n \to f$ uniformly on compact subsets of Ω. Indeed, using the fact that

$$|p_n(z) - f(z)| \leq \frac{\|f - p_n\|_{\mathbb{H}_k}}{1 - |b_1(z)|^2 - \cdots - |b_d(z)|^2}$$

for all z in the compact set, and the fact that the image of a compact set under b is a compact subset of $\mathbb{B}_d$, we have uniform convergence of p_n to f. Since the Taylor spectrum of T is contained in Ω, functional calculus implies that $\|p_n(T) - f(T)\| \to 0$. Thus we have

$$L(f \otimes h) = L(\lim_n p_n \otimes h) = \lim_n L(p_n \otimes h) = \lim p_n(T) D_{b(T)^*} h = f(T) D_{b(T)^*} h. \tag{3.6}$$

This operator is clearly unique. The equation (3.6) immediately gives us that the operator L intertwines $M_{z_i} \otimes I$ with T_i for every i.

There are two important properties of this operator that will be useful. First note that

$$L(k(\cdot, w) \otimes \eta) = (I - \sum_i b_i(T)\overline{b_i(w)})^{-1} D_{b(T)^*}\eta \text{ for all } w \in \Omega \text{ and } \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{b(T)^*}$$

Indeed, this is obvious from (3.6) by choosing f to be $k(\cdot, w)$.

The second property is that L^* is an isometry. This is a consequence of the Taylor spectrum being contained in Ω. In detail, let $\{\eta_j\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{D}_{b(T)^*}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|L^*h\|^2 &= \sum_{s,j} |\langle L^*h, \sqrt{\gamma_s} b^s \otimes \eta_j \rangle|^2 \\
&= \sum_{s,j} |\langle h, \sqrt{\gamma_s} L(b^s \otimes \eta_j) \rangle|^2 \\
&= \sum_{s,j} |\langle h, \sqrt{\gamma_s} b^s(T) D_{b(T)^*} \eta_j \rangle|^2 \\
&= \sum_{s,j} |\langle \sqrt{\gamma_s} D_{b(T)^*} b^s(T)^* h, \eta_j \rangle|^2 \\
&= \sum_{s} \|\sqrt{\gamma_s} D_{b(T)^*} b^s(T)^* h\|^2 \\
&= \sum_{s} \langle \gamma_s b^s(T) D^2_{b(T)^*} b^s(T)^* h, h \rangle \\
&= \sum_{s} \langle \gamma_s b^s(T) (I - \sum_i b_i(T) b_i(T)^*) b^s(T)^* h, h \rangle \\
&= \sum_{s} \langle F_s(T, T^*) h, h \rangle = \|h\|^2 \text{ by (2.5)}
\end{aligned}$$

Since L^* is an isometry, L^*L is a projection. The final step in the proof is to show that L^*L and $M_{\theta_T}M_{\theta_T}^*$ are orthogonal projections. For $z, w \in \Omega$ and $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{b(T)^*}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle (L^*L + M_{\theta_T}M_{\theta_T}^*)k(\cdot, z) \otimes \xi, k(\cdot, w) \otimes \eta \rangle \\
= \; & \langle L(k(\cdot, z) \otimes \xi), L(k(\cdot, w) \otimes \eta) \rangle + \langle M_{\theta_T}^*(k(\cdot, z) \otimes \xi), M_{\theta_T}^*(k(\cdot, w) \otimes \eta) \rangle \\
= \; & \langle (I - \sum_i b_i(T)\overline{b_i(z)})^{-1} D_{b(T)^*}\xi, (I - \sum_i b_i(T)\overline{b_i(w)})^{-1} D_{b(T)^*}\eta \rangle \\
+ \; & \langle k(\cdot, z) \otimes \theta_T(z)^*\xi, k(\cdot, w) \otimes \theta_T(w)^*\eta \rangle \\
= \; & k(w, z)\langle (I - \theta_T(w)\theta_T(z)^*)\xi, \eta \rangle + k(w, z)\langle \theta_T(w)\theta_T(z)^*\xi, \eta \rangle \\
= \; & k(w, z)\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \langle k(\cdot, z) \otimes \xi, k(\cdot, w) \otimes \eta \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

This, by virtue of the fact that $\{k(\cdot, w) \otimes \eta : w \in \Omega, \eta \in \mathcal{D}_{b(T)^*}\}$ is a total set in $\mathbb{H}_k \otimes \mathcal{D}_{b(T)^*}$, shows that $L^*L + M_{\theta_T}M_{\theta_T}^* = I_{\mathbb{H}_k \otimes \mathcal{D}_{b(T)^*}}$ which of course proves the theorem. ∎

4. Unitary invariance

A functional model for a tuple of commuting bounded operators means a Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ of holomorphic (possibly vector valued) functions and a subspace $\mathcal{M}$ which is invariant under the multiplication operators by the co-ordinate functions such that the compression of the multiplication operators to the orthocomplement of this subspace are simultaneously unitarily equivalent to the given tuple of operators. So let $T = (T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_m)$ be a tuple of commuting bounded operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Establishing a functional model for T will mean finding a $\mathbb{H}$ and an $\mathcal{M}$ as above and a Hilbert space isomorphism U between $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{M}^\perp$ such that

$$UT_iU^* = P_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}M_{z_i}|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp} \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \ldots, m.$$

This can be achieved in the classical case of a contraction T which has the additional property that $(T^*)^n$ strongly goes to zero. This means $m = 1, \Omega =$ the open unit disk and $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}_k$ where k is the kernel $k(z, w) = (1 - z\overline{w})^{-1}$. More generally, there are functional models for completely non-unitary contractions. In that case, the functional model is more complicated than what is described above, but the essence remains the same. For a detailed account of this, see [18]. In several variables, functional models can be successfully constructed for completely non-coisometric commuting contractive tuples, i.e., $m \geq 1$ is an integer, Ω is the open Euclidean unit ball and k is the Drury-Arveson kernel $k(z, w) = (1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{-1}$. The operator tuple under consideration satisfies

$$\sum T_iT_i^* \leq I \tag{4.7}$$

and is called a contractive tuple for obvious reasons. See [8] and [9]. In the non-commuting situation, this has been done by Popescu for a contractive tuple, [14] where the word function is interpreted in a broader sense.

Theorem 4.1. *Consider the space*

$$\mathbb{H}_T = (\mathbb{H}_k \otimes \mathcal{D}_{b(T)^*}) \ominus \textit{ Ran } M_{\theta_T}$$

and the operator tuple on $\mathbb{H}_T$ defined for $i = 1, \ldots, m$ by

$$\tilde{T}_i = P_{\mathbb{H}_T}(M_{z_i} \otimes I)|_{\mathbb{H}_T}.$$

The given tuple T is unitarily equivalent to $\tilde{T}$.

Proof. Since L^* is an isometry, it is clear that T_i is unitarily equivalent to L^*T_iL acting on $L^*\mathcal{H}$. The fact that L^*L and $M_{\theta_T}M_{\theta_T}^*$ are orthogonal projections then finishes the proof. ∎

The notion of coincidence of operator valued holomorphic functions go back to Sz.-Nagy. Given two $(1/k)$-positive tuples T and R on Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$, the characteristic functions of T and R are said to coincide if there exist unitary operators $\tau : \mathcal{D}_{b(T)} \to \mathcal{D}_{b(R)}$ and $\tau_* : \mathcal{D}_{b(T)^*} \to \mathcal{D}_{b(R)^*}$ such that the following diagram commutes for all z in Ω

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}_{b(T)} & \xrightarrow{\theta_T(z)} & \mathcal{D}_{b(T)^*} \\ \tau \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_* \\ \mathcal{D}_{b(R)} & \xrightarrow[\theta_R(z)]{} & \mathcal{D}_{b(R)^*} \end{array}$$

The notion is important because if T and R are unitarily equivalent, i.e., if there is a unitary $U : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{K}$ such that $UT_i = R_iU$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, m$, then $Up(T) = p(R)U$ for all polynomials $p \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_m]$. By approximating each b_i on compact subsets of Ω' by a sequence of polynomials $\{p_n^i\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, say, we have, by functional calculus,

$$Ub_i(T) = U \lim_n p_n^i(T) = \lim_n Up_n^i(T) = \lim_n p_n^i(R)U = b_i(R)U.$$

Thus the d-contractions $b(T)$ and $b(R)$ are unitarily equivalent. Thus their characteristic functions coincide, see [8], Proposition 4.2. Since $\theta_T = \theta_{b(T)} \circ b$, we have that θ_T and θ_R coincide. It is the converse that is non-trivial and uses the assumption C1 crucially.

THEOREM 4.2. *The characteristic functions θ_T and θ_R coincide if and only if the tuples T and R are unitarily equivalent.*

Proof. Let $\tau' : \mathcal{D}_{b(T)} \to \mathcal{D}_{b(R)}$ and $\tau'_* : \mathcal{D}_{b(T)^*} \to \mathcal{D}_{b(R)^*}$ be two unitary operator such that

$$\tau'_*\theta_T(z) = \theta_R(z)\tau' \text{ for all } z \in \Omega.$$

The operators τ' and τ'_* give rise to unitary operators

$$\tau : \mathbb{H}_k \otimes \mathcal{D}_{b(T)} \to \mathbb{H}_k \otimes \mathcal{D}_{b(R)}$$

and

$$\tau_* : \mathbb{H}_k \otimes \mathcal{D}_{b(T)^*} \to \mathbb{H}_k \otimes \mathcal{D}_{b(R)^*}.$$

Checking the action on elementary tensors, we get

$$M_{\theta_R}\tau = \tau_* M_{\theta_T}.$$

This is the key relation which shows that $\tau_*|_{\mathbb{H}_T} : \mathbb{H}_T \to \mathbb{H}_R$ is a unitary operator which intertwines the model tuples $\tilde{T}$ and $\tilde{R}$. But then by Theorem 4.1, we get that T and R are unitarily equivalent. ∎

5. The Toeplitz C^*-algebra

The C^*-algebra generated by the unilateral shift on H^2 of the disk plays a major role in the theory of dilation of a single contraction. Indeed, given a contraction T on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, the canonical completely positive map $\varphi : C^*(S) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ which sends S to T can be thought of as the model. Its Dilation to a representation π gives the dilation of T. This method of proof has the advantage that it is readily applicable to the case of an operator tuple.

The Toeplitz C^*-algebra $\mathcal{T}_m^k$ in m variables dependent on the kernel k is the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H}_k)$ generated by the multiplication operators $M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_m}$ acting on $\mathbb{H}_k$. By virtue of (2.3), we know that there are m functions $f_1, \ldots f_m \in H_d^2$ defined in the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^d$ such that the co-ordinate function z_i in $\mathbb{C}^m$ is given by $z_i = f_i \circ b$.

Lemma 5.1. *The functions f_i are multipliers on H_d^2.*

Proof. Let $g \in H_d^2$. Then $g \circ b \in \mathbb{H}_k$. Since we have assumed that z_i are multipliers on $\mathbb{H}_k$, we have $z_i(g \circ b) \in \mathbb{H}_k$. Now

$$z_i(g \circ b) \in \mathbb{H}_k = f_i \circ b(g \circ b) = (f_i g)(b(z)).$$

It is now clear that $\|z_i(g \circ b)\|_{\mathbb{H}_k}^2 = \|f_i g\|_{H_d^2}^2$ and hence the conclusion. ∎

We end with the following result which is interesting in its own right.

Lemma 5.2. *If $\mathbb{B}_d$ is not the domain of holomorphy for $f_1, \ldots, f_m$, then the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{T}_m^k$ is isomorphic to the Toeplitz C^*-algebra $\mathcal{T}_d^{k_d}$.*

Proof. We first show that there is am isometric embedding of the Toeplitz C^*-algebra $\mathcal{T}_d^{k_d}$ generated by the co-ordinate multipliers on the Drury-Arveson space H_d^2 inside $\mathcal{T}_m^k$. This is true in general, i.e., without the hypothesis of the lemma.

By assumption (A1), for each i, there is a sequence of polynomials $\{p_n^i\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in m variables such that $p_n^i \to b_i$ as $n \to \infty$ uniformly on compact subsets of Ω'. Then applying the continuity of functional calculus and noting that $b_i(M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_m}) = M_{b_i}$, we have that

$$p_n^i \to M_{b_i}$$

as $n \to \infty$ in the norm topology of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H}_k)$. Thus $M_{b_1}, \ldots, M_{b_m}$ are members of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{T}_m^k$. So the C^*-algebra generated by $M_{b_1}, \ldots, M_{b_m}$ is contained in $\mathcal{T}_m^k$. Since the C^*-algebra generated by the b_i is isomorphic to $\mathcal{T}_d^{k_d}$, we get that a copy of $\mathcal{T}_d^{k_d}$ is contained in $\mathcal{T}_m^k$.

For the converse inclusion, we need the assumption that $\mathbb{B}_d$ is not the domain of holomorphy for any of the f_i because then we get a bigger ball where the f_i are holomorphic. Thus we have power series expansions for them. For each i, the power series for f_i converges to f_i uniformly on compact subsets of this bigger ball. So we get a polynomial sequence $\{q_n^i\}_{i \geq 1}$ such that $q_n^i \to f_i$ uniformly on compact subsets of this bigger ball. Recall that the Taylor spectrum of the Drury-Arveson shift is contained the closed Euclidean unit ball. So we can apply holomorphic functional calculus (in a way similar to the proof of Lemma 2.2) and get that $M_{q_n^i} \to M_{f_i}$ in operator norm showing that M_{f_i} is in $\mathcal{T}_d^{k_d}$. So the C^*-algebra generated by $M_{f_1}, \ldots, M_{f_m}$ is contained in $\mathcal{T}_d^{k_d}$. But the C^*-algebra generated by $M_{f_1}, \ldots, M_{f_m}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{T}_m^k$. That completes the proof. ∎

Let $\mathcal{A}_m^k$ denote the subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H}_k)$ generated by $M_{z_1}, \ldots, M_{z_m}$. Then it is clear from the lemma above that in the case $\mathbb{B}_d$ is not the domain of holomorphy for $f_1, \ldots, f_m$, we have $\mathcal{T}_m^k = \overline{\text{span}}\mathcal{A}_m^k(\mathcal{A}_m^k)^*$.

Acknowledgement. Work of the second named author is supported by Ramanna Felllowship from DST.

References

[1] J. Agler. The arveson extension theorem and coanalytic models. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, 5:608–631, 1982. **MR 84g:47011**.

[2] J. Agler and J. E. McCarthy. *Pick interpolation and Hilbert function spaces*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. **MR1882259 (2003b:47001)**.

[3] A. Aleman. *The multiplication operator on Hilbert spaces of analytic functions*. Habilitationsschrift, Fernuniversität, Hagen, 1993.

[4] C.-G. Ambrozie, M. Engliš, and V. Müller. Operator tuples and analytic models over general domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$. *J. Operator Theory*, 47:287–302, 2002. **MR1911848 (2004c:47013)**.

[5] J. Arazy and M. Engliš. Analytic models for commuting operator tuples on bounded symmetric domains. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 355:837 – 864, 2003. **MR1932728 (2003k:47019)**.

[6] W. Arveson. Subalgebras of C*-algebras III: multivariable operator theory. *Acta Math.*, 181:159 – 228, 1998. **MR 2000e:47013**.

[7] J.A. Ball, T.T. Trent, and V. Vinnikov. Interpolation and commutant lifting for multipliers on reproducing kernel hilbert spaces. *Operator Theory and Analysis: The M.A. Kaashoek Anniversary Volume (Workshop in Amsterdam, Nov. 1997), Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, 122:89 – 138, 2001. **MR1846055 (2002f:47028)**.

[8] T. Bhattacharyya, J. Eschmeier, and J. Sarkar. Characteristic function of a pure commuting contractive tuple. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 53:23 – 32, 2005. **MR 2006m:47012**.

[9] T. Bhattacharyya, J. Eschmeier, and J. Sarkar. On cnc commuting contractive tuples. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, 116:299 – 316, 2006. **MR 2007f:47009**.

[10] R. E. Curto. Applications of several complex variables to multiparameter spectral theory. In *Surveys of some recent results in operator theory*, volume II of *Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., 192*, pages 25–90. Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1988. **MR0976843 (90d:47007)**.

[11] R. E. Curto and L. Fialkow. The spectral picture of (L_A, R_B). *J. Funct. Anal.*, 71:371 – 392, 1987. **MR0880986 (88c:47006)**.

[12] S. Drury. Remarks on von neumann's inequality. In R. Blei and S. Sydney, editors, *Banach Spaces, Harmonic Analysis and Probability Theory*, volume 995 of *Springer Lecture Notes*, pages 14 – 32. Springer Verlag, 1983. **MR 85b:47006**.

[13] V. Müller and F.-H. Vasilescu. Standard models for some commuting multioperators. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 117:979 – 989, 1993. **MR1112498 (93e:47016)**.

[14] G. Popescu. Characteristic functions for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators. *J. Operator Theory*, 22:51 – 71, 1989. **MR 91m:47012**.

[15] G. Popescu. Isometric dilations for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 316:523 – 536, 1989. **MR 90c:47006**.

[16] S. Richter. A representation theorem for cyclic analytic two-isometries. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 328:325–349, 1991. **MR1013337 (92e:47052)**.

[17] S. Shimorin. Complete nevanlinna-pick property of dirichlet-type spaces. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 191:276–296, 2002. **MR1911187 (2003c:47032)**.

[18] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foias. *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*. North-Holland, 1970. **MR 43 #947**.

[19] N. Varopoulos. On an inequality of von neumann and an application of the metric theory of tensor products to operator theory. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 16:83 – 100, 1974. **MR 50 #8116**.

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India., E-mail: angshu@math.iisc.ernet.in, tirtha@member.ams.org