Optimality of the Heisenberg limit for continuous-variable quantum metrology

Marcin Zwierz, Carlos A. Pérez-Delgado, and Pieter Kok*
Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Hounsfield Road, Sheffield, S3 7RH, UK
(Dated: March 24, 2014)

We address the open question of the optimality of the Heisenberg limit for continuous-variable quantum parameter estimation. To this end, we construct general quantum networks in terms of a linear black-box operator, where each black-box query plays the role of sampling the parameter. The limits to quantum metrology are then related to the query complexity of the quantum network, which is well-established for discrete quantum systems via the Polynomial Theorem. For general quantum networks, we show that the Polynomial Theorem implies that the Heisenberg limit is optimal for quantum metrology. We prove a Polynomial Theorem for general Gaussian continuous-variable quantum networks, and for general (non-Gaussian) parallel networks. This means that the Heisenberg limit is optimal for general linear optical interferometers that include squeezing and feed-forward.

PACS numbers: 03.67.-a, 03.67.Ac, 42.50.Ex

arXiv:1004.1990v1 [quant-ph] 12 Apr 2010

Parameter estimation is one of the fundamental pillars of science and technology. Improved measurement techniques for parameter estimation have often led to scientific breakthroughs and technological advancement. For example, we believe that the detection of gravitational waves has so far eluded us only because of the limits in our interferometric sensitivity. It has long been known that quantum metrology promises improved sensitivity in parameter estimation over classical procedures [1]. The fundamental limit for parameter estimation with classical procedures is generally called the *standard quantum limit*, which is determined by repeated independent measurements. By contrast, quantum mechanical procedures can reach the so-called *Heisenberg limit* by employing a collective measurement, often involving quantum entanglement.

Recently, there have been several reports of exotic systems that may be used to beat the Heisenberg limit for parameter estimation [2–5]. These systems achieve their improvement in sensitivity by engineering increasingly complicated interactions, or by increasing the energy density of the probe system without bound. While both approaches give mathematically well-defined and meaningful scaling behaviour, they are problematic from a physical perspective: Having to increase the complexity of the interaction will become increasingly more difficult, and it is questionable whether this can be extended without bound (as required for a scaling law). Similarly, increasing the energy density of the probe system cannot be scaled asymptotically, because the probe will lose coherence via unwanted interactions with the environment. In the extreme case, the energy density will become so large that relativistic effects become important, potentially resulting in gravitational collapse. Instead of expressing the sensitivity of a parameter estimation procedure in terms of the energy resources, or the coupling strength between the probe system and the parameter system, the physically meaningful quantity is the number of times the parameter system is sampled. This quantity can be increased without running into fundamental physical limitations. In many cases, this number is directly related to the energy resources.

Mathematically, the number of times a system is sampled in a quantum mechanical measurement procedure in order to reach a certain sensitivity is captured by the *query complexity*. We consider a general black-box operator, which in practice can be any quantum system whose interaction performs a displacement φ on the state of the probing system. Then we consider the number of black-box queries N and measure the information obtained about φ as a function of N. For general discrete quantum systems (consisting of qubits, qudits, etc.) it has been proved that the sensitivity of parameter estimation, expressed as a query complexity, leads to the Heisenberg limit [6, 7]. However, the optimality of the Heisenberg limit for parameter estimation with continuous-variable quantum systems is still an open question. Moreover, it is an important question, because continuous-variable systems are natural candidates for optical implementations of quantum metrology protocols, due to the unconditional and efficient character of state preparation, manipulation and detection techniques [8, 9].

In this Letter, we prove that the Heisenberg limit is optimal for a broad class of continuous-variable quantum networks, encompassing most important optical implementations. We extend a general result for discrete quantum networks, called the Polynomial Theorem [10–12], that relates the information gained about a quantum black-box and the number of queries made to it. We show that in general, a Polynomial Theorem for a class of quantum networks implies that the Heisenberg limit is optimal for parameter estimation procedures based on these networks. We then prove Polynomial Theorems for a general Gaussian network of continuous-variable quantum systems, and for a general non-Gaussian parallel network. This means that the Heisenberg limit is optimal for general linear optical interferometers that include squeezing and feed-forward. The only remaining possibility of surpassing the Heisenberg limit is by employing networks where the information flow from one black box to a subsequent one involves a non-Gaussian operation.

In general, any parameter estimation procedure can be separated into the following three parts: (i) preparation of the probe system (sensitive to the parameter φ in question) in initial state $\rho(0)$, (ii) evolution to $\rho(\varphi)$, (iii) measurement in an appropriate basis. This is then repeated N times. The usual

way one quantifies the amount of information about φ extracted by measuring the probe system is by evaluating the Fisher information, given by


$$F(\varphi) = \int dx \frac{1}{p(x|\varphi)} \left(\frac{\partial p(x|\varphi)}{\partial \varphi} \right)^2 , \tag{1}$$

where $p(x|\varphi) = \mathrm{Tr}[\hat{E}_x \rho(\varphi)]$ is the probability of outcome x given the parameter φ, and $\hat{E}_x$ is the Positive Operator Valued Measure (POVM) element that models the measurement process associated with outcome x [13]. The integral becomes a summation in the case of discrete measurement outcomes. The minimum value $\delta\varphi$ of the uncertainty in φ is given by the Cramér-Rao bound

$$NF(\varphi)\delta\varphi^2 \geq 1 . \tag{2}$$

This bound leads to two important limits. First, when the Fisher information is constant ($F(\varphi) = c$), the minimum uncertainty in φ is bounded by the standard quantum limit $\delta\varphi \geq 1/\sqrt{cN}$. In other words, each independent sampling (or *query*) of the unknown parameter φ yields a constant amount of information, and the uncertainty in φ scales with the square root of the number of queries. Second, the N queries may be made in a collective way, for example using an entangled probe state $\rho(0)$. It is well-known that this can lead to the Heisenberg limit $\delta\varphi \gtrsim 1/N$ [6].

In order to study the query complexity of parameter estimation procedures, we construct general quantum networks that involve the black-box operator O. The most general quantum network $\mathscr{G}$ is then represented by the unitary transformation

$U_{\mathscr{G}} =$  (3)

For general quantum networks, the black box (or *oracle*) O can take a very general (potentially non-computable) form. In the case of parameter estimation, however, O imprints the parameter φ on the state of the n quantum systems. This can be implemented with a unitary transformation $O = \exp(i\varphi H)$, where H is some Hermitian operator on the n quantum systems. We can interpret this as a linear displacement proportional to φ.

The relation between quantum networks and black-boxes is well established for discrete quantum systems via the Polynomial Theorem [10, 11]:

Theorem. (The discrete Polynomial Theorem) *Let $\mathscr{G}$ be a quantum network that makes N queries to a black box O. Then there exist complex-valued multilinear polynomials $p_0, \ldots, p_{2^n-1}$, each of degree at most N, such that the final state of the network is the superposition $|\Psi_{\mathrm{out}}\rangle = \sum_j p_j(O)\,|j\rangle$ for any black box O.*

A corollary of this theorem is that the probability of any measurement outcome is a polynomial of degree at most $2N$:

Corollary. *Let $\mathscr{G}$ be a quantum network that makes N queries to a black box O, and $\mathcal{B}$ a set of basis states. Then there exist a real-valued multilinear polynomial $p(O)$ of degree at most $2N$, which equals the probability that observing the final state of the network $\mathscr{G}$ yields a state from $\mathcal{B}$.*

The proofs of both the theorem and its corollary are given by Beals *et al*. [10]. Next, we prove the main result of this Letter:

Theorem. *A Polynomial Theorem (and its Corollary) for a class of quantum networks implies that the Heisenberg limit is optimal for parameter estimation protocols described by these networks.*

Proof. Based on the corollary of the Polynomial Theorem, the probability of the measurement outcome x is a polynomial of degree at most $2N$, or $p(x|\varphi) = O(q^{2N})$ where $q = q(x,\varphi)$. To leading order, the probability can be written as $p(x|\varphi) = kq^{2N}$, where k is some constant independent of φ and N. In addition, $p(x|\varphi)$ must be normalized:

$$\int dx\, p(x|\varphi) = \int dx\, k q^{2N} = 1 . \tag{4}$$

Given this probability distribution, we can bound the Fisher information to a quadratic function of N:

$$\begin{aligned} F(\varphi) &= \int dx \frac{1}{p(x|\varphi)} \left(\frac{\partial p(x|\varphi)}{\partial \varphi} \right)^2 \\ &= \int dx\, \frac{1}{kq^{2N}} \left(2Nkq^{2N-1} \frac{\partial q}{\partial \varphi} \right)^2 \\ &= 4N^2 \int dx\, kq^{2N} \frac{1}{q^2} \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial \varphi} \right)^2 \\ &\leq cN^2 \int dx\, kq^{2N} = cN^2, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where c is independent of N. In the last line, we used that

$$\frac{1}{q^2} \left(\frac{\partial q}{\partial \varphi} \right)^2 \leq c . \tag{6}$$

This inequality holds since $0 \leq q \leq 1$, and therefore $\partial q/\partial\varphi \to 0$ when $q \to 0$. Second, the very existence of the Fisher information requires that q is differentiable with respect to φ and can therefore not diverge in the domain of interest. Polynomials of lower order give a correspondingly lower Fisher information. The maximum Fisher information leads to the Cramér-Rao bound

$$\delta\varphi \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{cN}} . \tag{7}$$

When each query requires an average number of photons m, this bound corresponds to the Heisenberg limit. However, since the bound is fundamentally a query complexity, it does not suffer from diverging energy densities. The Polynomial Theorem for a class of quantum networks therefore implies that the Heisenberg limit (in the sense of a query complexity) is optimal for parameter estimation procedures that can be implemented with these quantum networks. In general, it remains to be proved that the Heisenberg limit is attained for networks in a given class. □

The above proof elucidates the general mechanism of recent reports that claim an improved sensitivity over the Heisenberg limit [2–5]. It turns out that the improved sensitivity appears in the proportionality constant $\sqrt{c}$ of the error, rather than in the asymptotic scaling of the number of black-box queries N. While the precision can be improved without bound, the Fisher information remains a quadratic function of the number of black-box queries, and consequently, the Heisenberg limit remains valid. Since the Polynomial Theorem and its Corollary hold for networks of discrete quantum systems, the Heisenberg limit is optimal for such networks. This proof agrees with, but is independent from the proofs by Giovannetti, Lloyd, and Maccone [6], and Van Dam *et al*. [7]. The question remains whether a polynomial theorem can be derived for networks of continuous-variable quantum systems. Here, we prove a Polynomial Theorem for two important classes: (1) general Gaussian networks on arbitrary quantum states, and (2) general non-Gaussian parallel networks.

Continuous-variable systems can be described by position and canonical momentum operators $\hat{q}$ and $\hat{p}$, and their quantum states can be represented by quasi-probability distributions (Wigner functions) in phase space. An important example of such systems in the context of parameter estimation are optical modes, where the role of position and momentum operators are played by the field quadratures. Gaussian operations correspond to linear transformations of the position and momentum operators, and in quantum optics these are represented by passive linear optical elements such as beam splitters and phase shifters, squeezing, and changes in the field amplitude. For n continuous-variable systems, the position and momentum operators can be written as a $2n$-tuple $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}} = (\hat{q}_1, \ldots, \hat{q}_n, \hat{p}_1, \ldots, \hat{p}_n)$, and a general Gaussian transformation U_j can be written as

$$U_j = \exp\left(i\hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot \Omega_j \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} + i\boldsymbol{b}_j \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}\right), \tag{8}$$

where Ω_j is a Hermitian $2n \times 2n$ matrix and $\boldsymbol{b}_j$ a real displacement vector in phase space. The matrix Ω_j must be chosen such that U_j leaves the canonical commutation relations between $\hat{q}_j$ and $\hat{p}_j$ invariant. A general parameter estimation procedure with a Gaussian quantum network over n continuous-variable systems can then be written in the form of Eq. (3), where the black box operator is given by a linear displacement

$$O = \exp(i\varphi\, \boldsymbol{a}_0 \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}), \tag{9}$$

and the real vector $\boldsymbol{a}_0$ determines the direction in phase space of the displacement. The Polynomial Theorem for Gaussian networks is then given by

Theorem. (The Polynomial Theorem for Gaussian networks) *Let $\mathscr{G}$ be a Gaussian network on n continuous-variable quantum systems that makes N queries to a black-box O. Then there exist complex-valued multilinear polynomials $p_{\boldsymbol{y}}(O)$, each of degree at most N, such that the final state of the network is the superposition*

$$|\Psi_{\text{out}}\rangle = \int p_{\boldsymbol{y}}(O)\, |\boldsymbol{y}\rangle\, d\boldsymbol{y}, \tag{10}$$

for any linear displacement operator O, and $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. Consider a general Gaussian network $\mathscr{G}$ on n modes with N black box queries O given by Eq. (9):

$$|\Psi_{\text{out}}\rangle = U_{\mathscr{G}}\, |\Psi_{\text{in}}\rangle \quad \text{with} \quad U_{\mathscr{G}} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N} U_j O\right) U_0\,, \tag{11}$$

where the product is ordered such that the indices increase from right to left. We define

$$O_j \equiv U_j O U_j^\dagger = \exp\left(i\varphi\, \boldsymbol{a}_j \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} + i\varphi\, b_j\right), \tag{12}$$

where $\boldsymbol{a}_j$ is determined by the commutation relations between $\hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \cdot \Omega_j \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}$ and $\boldsymbol{a}_0 \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}$, and b_j is a real function of the *maximum* size of the displacement $\boldsymbol{b}_j$. Furthermore, we establish that $O_j O_k = \exp[i\varphi(\boldsymbol{a}_j \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} + b_j + \boldsymbol{a}_k \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} + b_k)]$, up to a global phase. In quantum optical language, each U_j can include a squeezing operator and a displacement, which potentially adds to the energy density in the modes. Consequently, the energy density can increase without bound as N increases. However, this is exactly the situation we wanted to avoid by defining the Heisenberg limit as a query complexity, and we therefore have to fix the total amount of squeezing r and the total displacement α that can be used in the entire network.

Recalling the product order, the unitary operator $U_{\mathscr{G}}$ associated with the Gaussian network $\mathscr{G}$ can be written as

$$U_{\mathscr{G}} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{N} \tilde{O}_j\right) \left(\prod_{j=0}^{N} U_j\right), \tag{13}$$

where all the unitary operators U_j have been commuted through the black boxes towards the right. We defined the transformed black boxes as $\tilde{O}_j \equiv U_N \ldots U_j O U_j^\dagger \ldots U_N^\dagger$. Each $\tilde{O}_j$ can be written as an exponential $\tilde{O}_j = \exp[i\varphi\,(\boldsymbol{a}_{N,\ldots,j} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} + b_{N,\ldots,j})]$, where $\boldsymbol{a}_{N,\ldots,j} \leq \xi(r,\alpha)\boldsymbol{a}$ and $b_{N,\ldots,j} \leq \xi(r,\alpha)b$ for some suitably large $\boldsymbol{a}$ and b, and $\xi(r,\alpha)$ is a constant independent of N related to the overall squeezing r and displacement α in the network. It is clear that b contributes only to a global phase, and will henceforth be dropped. The first product in $U_{\mathscr{G}}$ (i.e., the black box product) can then be written up to a global phase as

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N} \tilde{O}_j = \exp\left[i\varphi(\boldsymbol{a}_N + \boldsymbol{a}_{N,N-1} + \cdots + \boldsymbol{a}_{N,\ldots,1}) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}\right], \tag{14}$$

and the argument is bounded by $\varphi(\boldsymbol{a}_N + \boldsymbol{a}_{N,N-1} + \cdots + \boldsymbol{a}_{N,\ldots,1}) \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}} \leq N\xi(r)\,\varphi\,\boldsymbol{a} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}}$. The important feature of this bound is that it is linear in N and proportional to φ. The product of unitary operators U_j does not depend on φ. Consequently, the amplitudes of the output state $|\Psi_{\text{out}}\rangle$ can be expressed as a polynomial of at most degree N in the parameter $e^{i\varphi}$, and the Polynomial Theorem holds for Gaussian networks. □

Corollary. *Let $\mathscr{G}$ be a quantum network that makes N queries to a black box O, and $\mathcal{B}$ a continuous set of basis states. Then there exists a real-valued multilinear polynomial $p(O)$ of degree at most 2N, which equals the probability that observing the final state of the network $\mathscr{G}$ yields a state from $\mathcal{B}$.*

Proof. According to the Polynomial Theorem for Gaussian networks, the final state of the quantum network is given by Eq. (10). The probability of finding a state in a subspace $\mathcal{B}$ of the Hilbert space is then given by

$$p(O) = \int_{\mathcal{B}} d\boldsymbol{y}\, |p_{\boldsymbol{y}}(O)|^2 . \tag{15}$$

We can split $p_{\boldsymbol{y}}(O)$ into its real and imaginary parts, which are each polynomials of order at most N, and we obtain

$$p(O) = \int_{\mathcal{B}} d\boldsymbol{y}\, |\mathrm{Re}[p_{\boldsymbol{y}}(O)]|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{B}} d\boldsymbol{y}\, |\mathrm{Im}[p_{\boldsymbol{y}}(O)]|^2 . \tag{16}$$

This is a polynomial of order at most $2N$. □

Consequently, the Heisenberg limit is optimal for parameter estimation procedures using general Gaussian networks. Since any feed-forward procedure can be rewritten as a controlled unitary operation, these networks include feed-forward based on Gaussian (e.g., homodyne) measurements. Photon number measurements can be regarded as nonlinear operations [14].

The second class of quantum networks for which we prove a Polynomial Theorem is that of non-Gaussian parallel networks of n continuous-variable systems with $n \geq N$. We can write the network as a quantum circuit $U_{\mathcal{N}}$

$$U_{\mathcal{N}} = \quad -\boxed{U}-\boxed{O^{\otimes N}}-\boxed{V}- \tag{17}$$

where all black box operations occur in parallel on different systems. The unitary operators U and V are general non-Gaussian operators. The black box is given by a single-mode linear displacement $O = \exp[i\varphi(a_q\hat{q} + a_p\hat{p})]$ with $a_q^2 + a_p^2 = 1$, and the N parallel black boxes can be written as $O^{\otimes N} = \exp(i\varphi\, \boldsymbol{a}_N \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}})$, where the components of $\boldsymbol{a}_N$ are chosen appropriately. The network transformation then becomes

$$U_{\mathcal{N}} = UO^{\otimes N}V = UO^{\otimes N}U^\dagger UV \equiv \tilde{O}^{\otimes N}UV , \tag{18}$$

where

$$\tilde{O}^{\otimes N} = U \exp(i\varphi\, \boldsymbol{a}_N \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{v}})U^\dagger = \exp(i\varphi\, \boldsymbol{a}_N \cdot U\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}U^\dagger) . \tag{19}$$

Since $U\hat{\boldsymbol{v}}U^\dagger$ is independent of N, it is clear that the output state $|\Psi_{\mathrm{out}}\rangle = U_{\mathcal{N}}|\Psi_{\mathrm{in}}\rangle$ is a superposition of states whose amplitude is again a polynomial of order at most N. In addition, the Corollary to this Polynomial Theorem follows immediately. Consequently, parallel quantum networks that include non-Gaussian elements, such as Kerr nonlinearities, cannot be used to beat the Heisenberg limit. The only remaining possibility of reducing the uncertainty $\delta\varphi$ below the Heisenberg limit is to use a sequential network

$$-\boxed{U_0}-\boxed{O}-\boxed{U_1}-\boxed{O}-\cdots-\boxed{O}-\boxed{U_N}- \tag{20}$$

where the U_j include non-Gaussian operations. However, numerical simulations [15] suggest that a polynomial theorem still holds for continuous-variable quantum networks of this kind, and we therefore conjecture that the Heisenberg limit holds for estimation procedures using these most general networks as well. In addition, optical nonlinearities are inherently noisy [16], which means that they are unlikely to play an important role in optical high-precision measurements.

In conclusion, we argued that the Heisenberg limit is properly defined as the query complexity of a black box operator in a quantum network, instead of the scaling behaviour of resources such as the energy of the probe system or the coupling strength between the probe and the system. We showed that the existence of a Polynomial Theorem for quantum networks implies the optimality of the Heisenberg limit for quantum metrology. The Polynomial Theorem was proved for discrete quantum networks by Beals *et al.*, and here we extended the theorem to two broad classes of quantum networks over continuous variables. This allowed us to prove that the Heisenberg limit is optimal for Gaussian networks with feedforward detection and general parallel nonlinear networks. When applied to quantum optics, these two classes encompass almost all realistic interferometers. We conjecture that parameter estimation procedures that employ nonlinear unitary operators are not capable of surpassing the Heisenberg limit.

The authors thank S. L. Braunstein for valuable comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by the White Rose Foundation and the QIP-IRC (GR/S82176/01).

* Electronic address: p.kok@sheffield.ac.uk

[1] C. M. Caves, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 1693 (1981).
[2] J. Beltrán and A. Luis, Phys. Rev. A **72**, 045801 (2005).
[3] S. M. Roy and S. L. Braunstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 220501 (2008).
[4] S. Boixo, S. T. Flammia, C. M. Caves, and J. M. Geremia, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 090401 (2007).
[5] J. Gea-Banacloche and M. Miller, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 032331 (2008).
[6] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd, and L. Maccone, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 010401 (2006).
[7] W. van Dam, G. M. D'Ariano, A. Ekert, C. Macchiavello, and M. Mosca, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 090501 (2007).
[8] S. L. Braunstein and P. van Loock, Rev. Mod. Phys. **77**, 513 (2005).
[9] S. Lloyd and S. L. Braunstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1784 (1999).
[10] R. Beals, H. Buhrman, R. Cleve, M. Mosca, and R. de Wolf, in *Proceedings of 39th FOCS* (1998), p. 352, arXiv:quant-ph/9802049v3.
[11] M. Mosca, Ph.D. thesis, University of Oxford (1999).
[12] H. Buhrman, R. Cleve, R. de Wolf, and C. Zalka, in *Proceedings of 40th FOCS* (1999), p. 358, arXiv:cs/9904019v2.
[13] K. Kraus, in *States, Effects, and Operations Fundamental Notions of Quantum Theory* (Springer-Verlag, 1983), vol. 190 of *Lecture Notes in Physics*, p. 150.
[14] G. G. Lapaire, P. Kok, J. P. Dowling, and J. E. Sipe, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 042314 (2003).
[15] M. Zwierz, C. A. Pérez-Delgado, and P. Kok, in preparation (2010).
[16] J. H. Shapiro, Phys. Rev. A **73**, 062305 (2006).