

Abstract

This article is meant to provide an additional point of view, applying known knowledge, to supply keys that have a series of non-repeating digits, in a manner that is not usually thought of. Traditionally, prime numbers are used in encryption as keys that have non-repeating sequences. Usually, non-repetition, especially of digits in a key, is very sought after in encryption. Uniqueness in a digit sequence defeats decryption. In searching for methods of non-decryptable encryption as well as ways to provide unique sequences, other than using prime numbers [5], the idea of using repeating decimals came to me. Applied correctly, a repeating decimal series of sufficient length will stand in as well for a prime number. This is so, because only numbers prime to each other will produce repeating decimals and; within the repeating sequence there is uniqueness of digit sequence.

Using Repeating Decimals As An Alternative To Prime Numbers In Encryption

Givon Zirkind

June 22, 2010

1 Using Repeating Decimals As An Alternative To Prime Numbers In Encryption

Prime numbers are often used and sought after, in encryption, because they make unique keys. [2] This is because they are a string of non-repeating digits. An alternative to using prime numbers for encryption is to use repeating decimals. Like prime numbers, repeating decimals, do not have repeating (sub)sequences. However, this is limited to the digits within the repeating sequence. Ex. $1/7 = 0.142857142857$ within the repeating sequence 142857, there is uniqueness. Similar to a prime number. [3]

Furthermore, for any given message, of any size, we have a formula, Fermats Little Theorem, to select a denominator that will produce a repeating sequence with a specific number of digits. $[10^{(p-1)} - 1 \bmod p]$ [3] [4] All one has to do, is select a denominator, that will produce a repeating sequence with at least as many digits as there are characters in the message to encrypt. Then, an appropriate unique key has been generated.

We also know the rules to producing a repeating decimal. Such as, the denominator can not have a power of 2 and/or 5. [2] Also, the numerator and denominator must be prime to each other. They must have no common factors. They need not necessarily be prime. Ex. $4/9=0.444$ While neither 4 nor 9 is prime, they are prime to each other. Hence, they can never fully divide each other. [1]

So, although we wish we could generate prime numbers and can not; we can generate repeating decimals and choose their size. All we have to do, is choose an appropriate size.

True, the number of repeating sequences is finite and known. Yet, there are enough to provide quality encryption. Also, it adds another possibility to check for, when deciphering. (Presumably, decryption methods worth their salt, automatically check for prime numbers.) For individual and low grade traffic, using a repeating sequence as a key, would be an acceptable, secure method of encryption.

2 Bibliography

[1] Euclid, Book VII, Proposition 1 & 29

[2] Kahn, David; The Codebreakers: The Story of Secret Writing; Scribner, New York, 1996; ISBN 0684831309

[3] Wikidepia.Org; Repeating Decimal

[4] Carl Friedrich Gauss, On The Congruence of Numbers, Translated by Ralph G. Archibald, From Disquisitiones Arithmeticae; Reprinted in Treasury of Mathematics, Edited by Henrietta O. Midonick, Philosophical Library Inc., 1965

[5] Givon Zirkind, Windtalking Computers: Frequency Normalization, Binary Coding Systems and Encryption; Computing Research Repository, Cornell University Library, arXiv, Article-id: 0912.4080v1 [cs.CR]; <http://arxiv.org/abs/0912.4080>