

Generalising Bogomolov's Inequality to Unstable Sheaves

Boris Lerner

University of New South Wales
e-mail address: boris@unsw.edu.au

March 6, 2013

Abstract

We generalise Bogomolov's inequality to all coherent torsion-free sheaves on a smooth projective surface.

1 Introduction

Unstable sheaves arise naturally even if one is interested in studying only the stable ones. For example, given a finite morphism of projective schemes $f: Y \rightarrow Z$, f_*F can be unstable as a sheaf on Z even when F is stable on Y (for example when F is a line bundle). However, often a bound for the instability of a sheaf F , which is the difference in slopes between the first and last pieces of its Harder-Narasimhan filtration, is known. For example in [Bea], a bound is derived for the instability in the case where Y and Z are smooth projective curves. In the case of surfaces, a natural question then arises: is there a Bogomolov type inequality for unstable sheaves on a smooth projective surface? In this paper we will derive such an inequality.

Let Y be a smooth projective surface defined over an algebraically closed field k , of characteristic 0. Fix, once and for all an ample divisor H . Given a coherent, torsion-free sheaf F , of rank r and Chern classes c_1, c_2 , on Y its slope is defined to be

$$\mu(F) := \frac{c_1 \cdot H}{r}.$$

We say that F is H -semi-stable if for all coherent subsheaves $F' \subset F$, $\mu(F') \leq \mu(F)$. Bogomolov's inequality states that given such a H -semi-stable sheaf,

its discriminant, which is defined as

$$\Delta(F) := \frac{1}{2r^2}(2rc_2 - (r-1)c_1^2)$$

is always greater than or equal to zero. See [LP97] Chapter 12 for details. We now generalise the notion of H -semi-stability.

Definition 1.1. *Let F be a coherent sheaf on Y and $e \in \mathbb{R}^+$. We say that it is H_e -almost-semi-stable if*

1. *it is torsion free,*
2. *for each coherent subsheaf $F' \subset F$ we have $\mu(F') \leq \mu(F) + e$.*

If $e = 0$ this is just the usual H -semi-stability condition. Also, if F_1 is the maximal destabilizing subsheaf of F , then F is H_e -almost-semi-stable with $e = \mu(F) - \mu(F_1)$. We are now ready to state the main theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *Let F be a rank r H_e -almost-semi-stable sheaf on Y . Then*

$$\Delta(F) \geq -\frac{e^2(r-1)(r+1)}{6H^2}.$$

2 The Proof

In this section we prove the above theorem. First we need some preliminary results.

Lemma 2.1. *Let F be an H_e -almost-semi-stable sheaf on Y with Harder-Narasimhan filtration given by $0 \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_n = F$. Then F_i is H_e -almost-semi-stable for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. Suppose $F' \subset F_i$. Then $F' \subset F$ and so $\mu(F') \leq \mu(F) + e \leq \mu(F_i) + e$. $\square$

Proposition 2.2. *Let*

$$0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of coherent sheaves on Y , of ranks r', r, r'' and slopes μ', μ, μ'' respectively. Then:

1. $\mu = \frac{r'}{r}\mu' + \frac{r''}{r}\mu''$.

2. If F is H_e -almost-semi-stable with $\mu' > \mu$ then

$$0 \leq r''c_1(F').H - r'c_1(F'').H \leq r're.$$

Proof. 1. Well known.

2. Using part (1) and the fact that F is H_e -almost-semi-stable we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &< \mu' \leq \mu + e \\ \frac{r'}{r}\mu' + \frac{r''}{r}\mu'' &< \mu' \leq \frac{r'}{r}\mu' + \frac{r''}{r}\mu'' + e \\ 0 &< \mu' \left(1 - \frac{r'}{r}\right) - \frac{r''}{r}\mu'' \leq e \\ 0 &< \frac{r''(\mu' - \mu'')}{r} \leq e \\ 0 &< \frac{c_1(F').H}{r'} - \frac{c_1(F'').H}{r''} \leq \frac{re}{r''} \\ 0 &< r''c_1(F').H - r'c_1(F'').H \leq r're. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume F is not H -semi-stable, otherwise we are done by Bogomolov's inequality. Note that if $r = 1$ then F is H -semi-stable and so we assume $r > 1$.

We proceed by induction on r . Suppose the result is true for all H_e -almost-semi-stable sheaves on Y with ranks $\leq r - 1$. Let

$$0 \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_{n-1} \subset F_n = F$$

be the Harder-Narasimhan filtration of F . Take $F' := F_{n-1}$ and call the quotient F'' . Thus we have

$$0 \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0$$

with F' being H_e -almost-semi-stable by Lemma 2.1 and F'' being semi-stable. Let r', r, r'' be the ranks of F', F, F'' and $(c'_1, c'_2), (c_1, c_2), (c''_1, c''_2)$ be the first and second Chern classes of F', F and F'' respectively. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2r^2\Delta &= 2rc_1 - (r-1)c_2^2 \\ &= 2r(c'_1c''_1 + c'_2 + c''_2) - (r-1)(c'_1 + c''_1)^2 \\ &= 2rc'_1c''_1 + 2rc'_2 + 2rc''_2 - (r-1)c_1'^2 - 2(r-1)c'_1c''_1 - (r-1)c_1''^2 \\ &= 2c'_1c''_1 + 2rc'_2 + 2rc''_2 - (r-1)c_1'^2 - (r-1)c_1''^2. \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

Now, by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\frac{1}{2r'^2} (2r'c'_2 - (r' - 1)c_1'^2) \geq \Delta_{r'}$$

where

$$\Delta_{r'} := -\frac{e^2(r' - 1)(r' + 1)}{6H^2}.$$

Thus

$$c'_2 \geq \frac{r' - 1}{2r'} c_1'^2 + r' \Delta_{r'}.$$

Similarly, because F'' is semi-stable, we know $\Delta(F'') \geq 0$ and so we get

$$c''_2 \geq \frac{r'' - 1}{2r''} c_1''^2.$$

Substituting these two inequalities in for c'_2 and c''_2 in (*) together with the fact that $r = r' + r''$ gives:

$$\begin{aligned} 2r^2\Delta &\geq 2c'_1c''_1 + 2(r' + r'')\frac{r' - 1}{2r'}c_1'^2 + 2(r' + r'')\frac{r'' - 1}{2r''}c_1''^2 \\ &\quad - (r' + r'' - 1)c_1'^2 - (r' + r'' - 1)c_1''^2 + 2rr'\Delta_{r'}. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides by $r'r''$ and expanding it all out gives:

$$\begin{aligned} 2r^2r'r''\Delta &\geq -(r''c'_1 - r'c''_1)^2 + 2rr'^2r''\Delta_{r'} \\ &\geq -\frac{((r''c'_1 - r'c''_1) \cdot H)^2}{H^2} + 2rr'^2r''\Delta_{r'} \quad ([\text{Har77}] \text{ Chapter 5, ex 1.9 (a)}) \\ &\geq -\frac{r'^2r^2e^2}{H^2} + 2rr'^2r''\Delta_{r'} \quad (\text{Proposition 2.2}) \\ \therefore \Delta &\geq -\frac{r'e^2}{2r''H^2} + \frac{r'\Delta_{r'}}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $r' \geq 1$ we have $\Delta_{r'} \leq 0$ and it is also a decreasing function in r' and so:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &\geq -\frac{(r - 1)e^2}{2H^2} + \frac{r - 1}{r}\Delta_{r-1} \\ &\geq -\frac{(r - 1)e^2}{2H^2} - \frac{r - 1}{r} \cdot \frac{e^2(r - 2)r}{6H^2} \\ &= -\frac{e^2(3r - 3 + r^2 - 3r + 2)}{6H^2} \\ &= -\frac{e^2(r - 1)(r + 1)}{6H^2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: I would like to thank Dr Daniel Chan for many helpful discussions during the writing of this paper. Thank you also to Dr Catherine Greenhill for solving the recurrence relation that arises in the proof. She used The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, published electronically at <http://oeis.org>, 2010 to help her.

References

- [Bea] A Beauville. On the stability of the direct image of a generic vector bundle, preprint available at math.unice.fr/~beauvill/pubs/indir.pdf.
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [LP97] J. Le Potier. *Lectures on vector bundles*, volume 54 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997. Translated by A. Maciocia.