arXiv:1011.2409v1 [math.CO] 10 Nov 2010

A UNIFIED COMBINATORIAL APPROACH TO SEVERAL POINCARÉ SERIES IDENTITIES.

PAUL LEVANDE
PLEVANDE@MATH.UPENN.EDU

Abstract. Mendes recently conjectured an identity simplifying the Poincaré series of the space of equivariant polynomial maps from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to a subrepresentation of $Sym^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We show how to prove this identity using a fairly simple integer partition bijection. First, we give a bijective proof of a similar, well-known identity from representation theory. We then show that this bijection can be generalized to prove other Poincaré series identities, including a version of the identity conjectured by Mendes as well as refinements of it.

1. Introduction

Recently, Mendes [Men10] conjectured the following identity simplifying the Poincaré series of the space of equivariant polynomial maps from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to a subrepresentation of $Sym^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

Equation 1.

$$\frac{(1-t)(1-t^2)}{(1-t^n)(1-t^{n-1})}\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\pi\in S_n}\frac{\binom{k_1(\pi)}{2}+k_2(\pi)}{\prod_{i=1}^n(1-t^i)^{k_i(\pi)}}=\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n(1-t^i)},$$

where $k_i(\pi)$ is the number of i-cycles in the unique decomposition of π into disjoint cycles.

This conjectured identity is similar to the following two identities from representation theory simplifying other Poincaré series:

Equation 2.

$$\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\pi\in S_n}\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n(1-t^i)^{k_i(\pi)}}=\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n(1-t^i)},$$

proven using Molien's theorem (see [Kan01], Ch.18); and

Equation 3.

$$\frac{(1-t)}{(1-t^n)}\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\pi\in S_n}\frac{k_1(\pi)}{\prod_{i=1}^n(1-t^i)^{k_i(\pi)}}=\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n(1-t^i)},$$

proven using Solomon's theorem (see [Kan01], Ch. 22).

In the following note, we will give a unified combinatorial approach to these and similar identities: We will begin by proving a rewritten version of the well-known Equation 2 using a direct bijection. We will then show how this proof can be slightly generalized to prove both the known Equation 2 and the conjectured Equation 1. Finally, we will discuss how this bijective approach suggests other identities with possible representation-theoretic applications.

Key words and phrases. Poincaré series, partition, generating function.

2. The Main Proof

In this section we will prove the following slight rewrite of Equation 2:

Theorem 4.

$$\sum_{\pi \in S_n} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1-t^i)^{k_i(\pi)}} = \frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-t^i)}.$$

Proof. Let A be the set of ordered pairs (π, h) such that:

- $\pi \in S_n$,
- $h : [n] \to \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$, and
- $h(i) = h(j)$ for all $i, j \in [n]$ such that for some k, $\pi^{(k)}(i) = j$.

Equivalently, A consists of ordered pairs (π, h), where h is a function from the cycles of π to the set of non-negative integers. For a fixed $\pi \in S_n$, let $A_\pi = \{h : (\pi, h) \in A\}$. From standard generating functions, we have that

$$\sum_{h \in A_\pi} t^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} h(i)} = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-t^i)^{k_i(\pi)}},$$

and, therefore, that

$$\sum_{(\pi,h) \in A} t^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} h(i)} = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-t^i)^{k_i(\pi)}}.$$

This gives a generating-function interpretation of the left-hand-side of Theorem 4.

Let B be the set of ordered pairs (ω, λ), such that $\omega \in S_n$ and λ is a partition with at most n parts, i.e., $\ell(\lambda) \leq n$. Using the standard generating function for partitions with bounded length, we see that

$$\sum_{(\omega,\lambda) \in B} t^{|\lambda|} = \frac{n!}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-t^i)}.$$

This gives a generating-function interpretation of the right-hand-side of Theorem 4. Equivalently, we can think of B as the set of ordered pairs (ω, λ) where $\ell(\lambda) = n$ and some of the parts of λ may equal zero.

To prove Theorem 4, we therefore need only define a bijection $\phi : A \to B$ such that, if $(\pi, h) \in A$ and $\phi(\pi, h) = (\omega, \lambda) \in B$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} h(i) = |\lambda|$.

We will now define such a ϕ. Given $(\pi, h) \in A$, let $K_{\pi,i}$ be the unique cycle of π with largest element i. Arrange each cycle $K_{\pi,i}$ so i is first. Order the cycles $K_{\pi,i}$ such that, if $i \neq j$, $K_{\pi,i}$ is before $K_{\pi,j}$ if and only if either

- $h(i) > h(j)$, or
- $h(i) = h(j)$ and $i < j$.

Let $\omega = \omega_1\omega_2 \ldots \omega_n \in S_n$ be the permutation of $[n]$ formed by reading the resulting sequence from left to right. Note that, because h is constant on the cycles of π, $h(\omega_1) \geq h(\omega_2) \geq \ldots \geq h(\omega_n)$. Define a partition λ by $\lambda_i = h(\omega_i)$ for all i. Define $\phi(\pi, h) = (\omega, \lambda) \in B$. Clearly, by construction, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} h(i) = |\lambda|$.

It remains only to define an inverse map $\phi^{-1} : B \to A$. Given $(\omega, \lambda) \in B$, consider the sequence $\omega_1\omega_2 \ldots \omega_n$. Place a parenthesis at the beginning and the end of the sequence, as well as between ω_i and ω_{i+1}, if

- $\lambda_i > \lambda_{i+1}$, or
- ω_{i+1} is a RTL maximum of the sequence $\omega_j\omega_{j+1}\ldots\omega_i$, where j is the unique integer less than i such that $\lambda_{j-1} > \lambda_j = \lambda_{j+1} = \ldots = \lambda_{i+1}$.

Let π be the permutation given by the resulting disjoint union of cycles. Define a function $h : [n] \to \mathbb{Z}$ by $h(\omega_i) = \lambda_i$. Note that, by construction, $h(i) = h(j)$ if i and j are in the same cycle of π. Define $\phi^{-1}(\omega, \lambda) = (\pi, h)$. It should be clear that ϕ^{-1} is, in fact, the inverse of ϕ, using the well-known bijection between permutations written in list form and permutations written as a product of disjoint cycles. This proves Theorem 4. □

2.1. **Example.** Let $\pi = (1,4)(3)(2,7)(5,9,8)(6) \in S_9$, with $h(1) = h(4) = h(3) = 2$, $h(6) = 3$, $h(2) = h(7) = 0$, and $h(5) = h(8) = h(9) = 5$. We order the cycles of π $(9,8,5)(6)(3)(4,1)(7,2)$. Then $(\pi, h) \in A$, and $\phi(\pi, h) = (\omega, \lambda) \in B$, where $\omega = 985634172$ and $\lambda = (5,5,5,3,2,2,2,0,0)$.

3. Generalizing the Main Proof to the Other Two Identities

In this section, we will discuss how to generalize the above proof of Theorem 4 to prove Equations 3 and 1. We will begin by proving the following slight rewrite of Equation 3:

Theorem 5.

$$\sum_{\pi\in S_n} \frac{k_1(\pi)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-t^i)^{k_i(\pi)}} = \frac{n!}{(1-t)\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(1-t^i)}.$$

Proof. Let A' be the set of ordered triples (π, c, h), where $\pi \in S_n$, $\pi(c) = c$, $h : [n] \to \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$, and $h(i) = h(j)$ if, for some k, $\pi^{(k)}(i) = j$. Let $A'_\pi = \{(c,h) : (\pi, c, h)\} \in A'$, and let $A'_{(\pi,c)} = \{h : (\pi, c, h) \in A'\}$. As above, we have that

$$\sum_{h\in A'_{(\pi,c)}} t^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} h(i)} = \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-t^i)^{k_i(\pi)}}.$$

Since there are $k_1(\pi)$ fixed points of π, we also have that

$$\sum_{(c,h)\in A'_\pi} t^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} h(i)} = \frac{k_1(\pi)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-t^i)^{k_i(\pi)}},$$

and, as a result,

$$\sum_{(\pi,c,h)\in A'} t^{\sum_{i=1}^{n} h(i)} = \sum_{\pi\in S_n} \frac{k_1(\pi)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-t^i)^{k_i(\pi)}}.$$

This gives a generating-function interpretation of the left-hand-side of Theorem 5.

Let B' be the set of ordered triples (ω, p, λ), where $\omega \in S_n$, λ is a partition with $\ell(\lambda) = n-1$, and $p \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$. Then

$$\sum_{(\omega,p,\lambda)\in B'} t^{p+|\lambda|} = \frac{n!}{(1-t)\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(1-t^i)}.$$

This gives a generating-function interpretation of the right-hand-side of Theorem 5.

To prove Theorem 5, we therefore need only a bijection $\phi' : A' \to B'$ such that, if $(\pi, c, h) \in A'$ and $\phi'(\pi, c, h) = (\omega, p, \lambda)$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} h(i) = p + |\lambda|$.

We will now define such a ϕ'. Given $(\pi, c, h) \in A'$, note that $\pi|_{[n]-c} : [n] - c \to [n] - c$ is a bijection, and that $h|_{[n]-c} : [n] - c \to \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ is constant on the cycles of $\pi|_{[n]-c}$. Therefore, ϕ can be applied to $(\pi|_{[n]-c}, h|_{[n]-c})$. Let $\phi(\pi|_{[n]-c}, h|_{[n]-c}) = (\omega', \lambda)$, so $\omega' = \omega'_1\omega'_2 \dots \omega'_{n-1}$ is a rearrangement of $[n] - c$, λ is a partition, and $\ell(\lambda) = n - 1$. As before, $\sum_{i \neq c} h(i) = |\lambda|$. Define $\phi'(\pi, c, h) = (c\omega', h(c), \lambda) \in B'$, where $c\omega'$ denotes the concatenation of c and ω', so $c\omega' \in S_n$. Clearly $\sum_{i=1}^n h(i) = h(c) + |\lambda|$, and inverse to ϕ' can easily be constructed using the inverse of ϕ. This proves Theorem 5. □

Note that, if ϕ is applied to the ordered pair $(c, h|_c)$, the result is $(c, h(c))$.

It is now easy to see how to extend this proof slightly further to prove a rewritten version of Equation 1:

Theorem 6.

$$\sum_{\pi \in S_n} \frac{\binom{k_1(\pi)}{2} + k_2(\pi)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1-t^i)^{k_i(\pi)}} = \frac{n!}{(1-t)(1-t^2)\prod_{i=1}^{n-2}(1-t^i)}.$$

Proof. Let A'' be the set of ordered triples (π, D, h), where $\pi \in S_n$, $D \subset [n]$, $|D| = 2$, $\pi(D) = D$, and $h(i) = h(j)$ if, for some k, $\pi^{(k)}(i) = j$. For a fixed π, there are $\binom{k_1(\pi)}{2} + k_2(\pi)$ choices for D, and therefore

$$\sum_{(\pi,D,h) \in A''} t^{\sum_{i=1}^n h(i)} = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \frac{\binom{k_1(\pi)}{2} + k_2(\pi)}{\prod_{i=1}^n (1-t^i)^{k_i(\pi)}}.$$

Let B'' be the set of ordered triples (ω, λ, μ), where $\omega \in S_n$, λ, μ are partitions, and $\ell(\lambda) = n - 2$, $\ell(\mu) = 2$. Then

$$\sum_{(\omega,\lambda,\mu) \in B''} t^{|\lambda|+|\mu|} = \frac{n!}{(1-t)(1-t^2)\prod_{i=1}^{n-2}(1-t^i)}.$$

We therefore need only find a bijection $\phi'' : A'' \to B''$ such, if $(\pi, D, h) \in A''$ and $\phi''(\pi, D, h) = (\omega, \lambda, \mu) \in B''$, $\sum_{i=1}^n h(i) = |\lambda| + |\mu|$.

Since $\pi|_{[n]-D}$ is a bijection from $[n] - D$ to itself, and $h|_{[n]-D}$ is constant on the cycles of $\pi|_{[n]-D}$, we can apply ϕ to $(\pi|_{[n]-D}, h|_{[n]-D})$. Let $\phi(\pi|_{[n]-D}, h|_{[n]-D}) = (\omega'', \lambda)$, where ω'' is a rearrangement of $[n] - D$, λ is a partition with $\ell(\lambda) = n - 2$, and $\sum_{i \in [n]-D} h(i) = |\lambda|$. Similarly, ϕ can be applied to $(\pi|_D, h|_D)$. Let $\phi(\pi|_D, h|_D) = (\omega''_1\omega''_2, \mu)$, where $\omega''_1\omega''_2$ is a rearrangement of D, μ is a partition with $\ell(\mu) = 2$, and $\sum_{i \in D} h(i) = |\mu|$. Finally, define $\phi''(\pi, D, h) = (\omega''_1\omega''_2\omega'', \lambda, \mu) \in B''$, where $\omega''_1\omega''_2\omega''$ denotes concatenation. Then ϕ'' is a bijection with the necessary properties. □

3.1. **Example.** Let $\pi = (1, 3, 10)(5, 7)(6)(9)(2, 4)(8) \in S_{10}$, $D = \{6, 9\}$, and h be a function defined by $h(1) = h(3) = h(10) = 2$, $h(8) = 2$, $h(2) = h(4) = 3$, $h(5) = h(7) = 0$, $h(6) = 4$, and $h(9) = 5$. Then $(\pi, D, h) \in A''$. When ϕ is applied to $((1, 3, 10)(5, 7)(2, 4)(8), h|_{[n]-D})$, the cycles are ordered $(4, 2)(8)(10, 1, 3)(7, 5)$. When ϕ is applied to $((6)(9), h|_D)$, the cycles are ordered $(9)(6)$. Therefore, $\phi''(\pi, D, h) = (\omega, \lambda, \mu) \in B''$, where $\omega = 96428(10)1375 \in S_{10}$, $\lambda = (3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0)$ and $\mu = (5, 4)$.

4. Further Generalizations and Refinements

It is easy to see that similar Poincaré-style identities follow easily from further generalizations of this argument, for example, the following equation:

Equation 7.

$$\sum_{\pi \in S_n} \frac{\binom{k_1(\pi)}{3} + k_1(\pi)k_2(\pi) + k_3(\pi)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-t^i)^{k_i(\pi)}} = \frac{n!}{(1-t)(1-t^2)(1-t^3)\prod_{i=1}^{n-3}(1-t^i)}.$$

Also, we can prove further refinements of the above identities, which may have their own applications in representation theory, using the above proofs. For example, consider the subset of A'' consisting of ordered triples (π, D, h) where π exchanges the elements of D. We can see that this subset maps, under ϕ'', to precisely the subset of B'' consisting of ordered triples (ω, λ, μ), where μ consists of two parts of the same size and, if $\omega = \omega_1\omega_2 \ldots \omega_n$, $\omega_1 > \omega_2$. Since there are $\frac{n!}{2}$ such ω, we have the following identity:

Equation 8.

$$\sum_{\pi \in S_n} \frac{k_2(\pi)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-t^i)^{k_i(\pi)}} = \frac{\frac{n!}{2}}{(1-t^2)\prod_{i=1}^{n-2}(1-t^i)}.$$

Alternately, if we want to use a combinatorial argument similar to the above (as opposed to algebraic manipulation of the identities proven earlier in this paper) to simplify the usual sum but with numerator $\binom{k_1(\pi)}{2} - k_2(\pi)$, we can see that adding this negative sign cancels out, in B'', ordered triples (ω, λ, μ) where μ consists of two parts of the same size. Therefore we have the following identity:

Equation 9.

$$\sum_{\pi \in S_n} \frac{\binom{k_1(\pi)}{2} - k_2(\pi)}{\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1-t^i)^{k_i(\pi)}} = \frac{n!t}{(1-t)(1-t^2)\prod_{i=1}^{n-2}(1-t^i)},$$

since the generating function for partitions with at most two parts that must be distinct is $\frac{t}{(1-t)(1-t^2)}$.

5. Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Ricardo Mendes for bringing the identity to his attention and Herbert Wilf for his input.

References

[Kan01] Richard Kane. *Reflection groups and invariant theory.* CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC, 5. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.

[Men10] R. Mendes. private communication, 2010.

Department of Mathematics, University of Pennsylvania, David Rittenhouse Lab., 209 South 33rd Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6395,