

ON EXTENDING L^2 HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS FROM COMPLEX HYPERPLANES

EMIL J. STRAUBE AND GIUSEPPE ZAMPIERI

ABSTRACT. The key to the proof of the Ohsawa-Takegoshi Extension Theorem is a certain $\bar{\partial}$ -estimate. The purpose of this note is to show that the ‘curvature term’ that arises in the Kohn-Morrey-Hörmander inequality (or the Bochner-Kodaira technique) is sufficient to produce such an estimate. We exploit self boundedness of the gradients of the weight functions to change the weight with respect to which the adjoint is taken. The weights, on the other hand, are the usual ones used in this context.

The Ohsawa-Takegoshi Extension Theorem ([9]) asserts that a function that is holomorphic on a hyperplane section of a bounded pseudoconvex domain can be extended to the whole domain, with an estimate in (weighted) L^2 -norms. The original proof ([9]), written within the framework of Kähler geometry, was simplified by several authors; in particular, it was realized that the Kähler framework is not needed ([1, 5, 10, 3, 8, 7]). The theorem has proved very useful both in several complex variables and in algebraic geometry. We refer the reader to the recent survey [2] and to [11], section 2.13, and to their references for further information.

The key to the proof of the extension theorem is a certain L^2 -estimate for $\bar{\partial}$ (see Theorem 1 below, and estimate (3) which follows from it.). In this note, we give a new proof of this estimate. Our proof differs from the proofs in the references mentioned above in that we show that the ‘curvature term’ that arises in the Kohn-Morrey-Hörmander inequality (or the Bochner-Kodaira technique) is sufficient to produce the desired estimates. We exploit self boundedness of the gradients of the weight functions to change the weight with respect to which the adjoint is taken (while the weight functions themselves are the usual ones). Thus the Ohsawa-Takegoshi Extension Theorem can be proved entirely within the framework of [4].

Theorem 1. *Let Ω be a bounded pseudoconvex domain, $0 \in \Omega$, $\max_{z \in \bar{\Omega}} |z_n| \leq 1/2$, and $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ a plurisubharmonic function. There exists a constant C that depends neither on Ω nor on ψ , with the following property. If α is a $\bar{\partial}$ -closed $(0, q)$ -form ($1 \leq q \leq n$) with $\alpha_J = 0$ if $n \notin J$, then for every δ , $0 < \delta \leq 1/2$, there exists a solution u_δ to the equation*

Date: April 21, 2011.

Key words and phrases. L^2 holomorphic functions, L^2 -extension, Ohsawa-Takegoshi Theorem, pseudoconvex domains, estimates for $\bar{\partial}$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 32D15, 32W05, 32A10.

Research supported in part by NSF grant DMS 0758534.

$\bar{\partial}u_\delta = \alpha$ that satisfies the estimate

$$(1) \quad \left\| \frac{u_\delta}{|\log(|z_n|^2 + \delta^2)|} \right\|_\psi \leq C \left\| (|z_n|^2 + \delta^2)^{1/2} \alpha \right\|_\psi .$$

Here, $\|\cdot\|_\psi$ denotes the usual weighted L^2 -norm on functions and forms, respectively. If the support of α is contained in $\{z \mid |z_n| \leq \delta\}$, then on this support,

$$(2) \quad |z_n| |\log(|z_n|^2 + \delta^2)| \leq \delta |\log(\delta^2)| = 2\delta |\log \delta| .$$

Consequently, (1) implies

$$(3) \quad \|z_n u_\delta\|_\psi = \left\| |z_n| |\log(|z_n|^2 + \delta^2)| \frac{u_\delta}{|\log(|z_n|^2 + \delta^2)|} \right\|_\psi \leq C\delta \|\alpha\|_\psi , \quad \text{supp}(\alpha) \subseteq \{|z_n| \leq \delta\} ,$$

where the (new) constant C does not depend on δ . It is this latter estimate, with $q = 1$, that is used in the proof of the Ohsawa-Takegoshi Extension Theorem (see for example [11], pages 48–50, for details; the proof there follows [7, 8, 10]).

Remark 1: The assumption that $\max_{z \in \bar{\Omega}} \leq 1/2$ is for convenience. It is clear that via scaling, both in z_n and in δ , one gets an estimate corresponding to (1) for the general case; there will be an additional term in the denominator on the left hand side of the form $2 \log M$ for $M := 2 \max_{z \in \bar{\Omega}} |z_n|$, and C will depend on M .

Proof of Theorem 1. In order to more clearly exhibit the idea in our argument, we first give the proof for $\psi = 0$. Let $\varphi \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfy $\left| \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z_j}(z) w_j \right|^2 \leq \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k}(z) w_j \overline{w_k}$, for all $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and all $z \in \Omega$; that is, φ has self bounded gradient in the terminology of [6]. The Kohn-Morrey-Hörmander inequality, applied to 4φ , says

$$(4) \quad \sum'_{|K|=q-1} \int_{\Omega} e^{-4\varphi} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2(4\varphi)}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} u_{jK} \overline{u_{kK}} dV \leq \|\bar{\partial}u\|_{4\varphi}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}_{4\varphi}^* u\|_{4\varphi}^2 .$$

To see that (4) remains valid without any boundary smoothness assumption on Ω ([4] assumes C^2 boundary), one can argue exactly as in the proof of Corollary 2.13 in [11]. (The argument there uses the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator on exhausting subdomains to deal with the problem that restrictions of forms in $\text{dom}(\bar{\partial}^*)$ on Ω need not be in $\text{dom}(\bar{\partial}^*)$ on these subdomains.) We remark that the proof of the Ohsawa-Takegoshi theorem only requires Theorem 1 for smooth domains. Observe now that $\bar{\partial}_{4\varphi}^* u = \bar{\partial}_\varphi^* u + \sum_{|K|=q-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial(3\varphi)}{\partial z_j} u_{jK}$, with the domain of the two adjoints being the same. Expanding the squared norm, using the inequality $|2ab| \leq \varepsilon a^2 + (1/\varepsilon)b^2$ and then the self boundedness of the gradient of φ gives

$$(5) \quad \|\bar{\partial}_{4\varphi}^* u\|_{4\varphi}^2 \leq 5\|\bar{\partial}_\varphi^* u\|_{4\varphi}^2 + \frac{9}{4} \sum'_{|K|=q-1} \int_{\Omega} e^{-4\varphi} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} u_{jK} \overline{u_{kK}} dV .$$

Inserting (5) into the right hand side of (4), absorbing the term containing the Hessian, and dividing by 5 implies

$$(6) \quad \frac{7}{20} \sum'_{|K|=q-1} \int_{\Omega} e^{-4\varphi} \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k} u_{jK} \overline{u_{kK}} dV$$

$$\leq \|\bar{\partial} u\|_{4\varphi}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}_{\varphi}^* u\|_{4\varphi}^2 = \|e^{-(3\varphi/2)} \bar{\partial} u\|_{\varphi}^2 + \|(\bar{\partial} e^{-(3\varphi/2)})^*_{\varphi} u\|_{\varphi}^2.$$

For $0 < \delta \leq 1/2$, set $\varphi_{\delta} = -\log(-\log(|z_n|^2 + \delta^2))$. (Note that $\log(|z_n|^2 + \delta^2) < 0$ on Ω .) Then

$$(7) \quad \frac{\partial \varphi_{\delta}}{\partial \bar{z}_n} = \frac{-z_n}{\log(|z_n|^2 + \delta^2)(|z_n|^2 + \delta^2)} ; \quad \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_{\delta}}{\partial z_n \partial \bar{z}_n} = \frac{|z_n|^2 - \delta^2 \log(|z_n|^2 + \delta^2)}{(\log(|z_n|^2 + \delta^2))^2 (|z_n|^2 + \delta^2)^2},$$

while all other entries in the gradient and in the Hessian of φ_{δ} vanish. (7) shows that φ_{δ} has self bounded gradient (note again that $\log(|z_n|^2 + \delta^2) < 0$). (6) for φ_{δ} becomes

$$(8) \quad \frac{7}{20} \sum'_{|K|=q-1} \int_{\Omega} \left| e^{-(3\varphi_{\delta}/2)} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \varphi_{\delta}}{\partial z_n \partial \bar{z}_n} \right)^{1/2} u_{Kn} \right|^2 e^{-\varphi_{\delta}} dV$$

$$\leq \|e^{-(3\varphi_{\delta}/2)} \bar{\partial} u\|_{\varphi_{\delta}}^2 + \|(\bar{\partial} e^{-(3\varphi_{\delta}/2)})^*_{\varphi_{\delta}} u\|_{\varphi_{\delta}}^2.$$

We rewrite (8) as

$$(9) \quad \|Au\|_{\varphi_{\delta}}^2 \leq \|Su\|_{\varphi_{\delta}}^2 + \|T^*u\|_{\varphi_{\delta}}^2,$$

where

$$(10) \quad A \left(\sum'_{|J|=q} u_J d\bar{z}_J \right) = \left(\frac{7}{20} e^{-3\varphi_{\delta}} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_{\delta}}{\partial z_n \partial \bar{z}_n} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum'_{|K|=q-1} u_{Kn} d\bar{z}_K \wedge d\bar{z}_n \right),$$

and S and T have their obvious meaning. Then $ST = 0$. The form α in Theorem 1 is in the range of the adjoint $A^* = A$, namely $\alpha = A^* \left(\left(\frac{7}{20} e^{-3\varphi_{\delta}} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_{\delta}}{\partial z_n \partial \bar{z}_n} \right)^{-1/2} \alpha \right)$. By assumption, α is also in the kernel of S (since it is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed). Theorem 1.1.4 in [4] now applies: the equation

$$(11) \quad Tu = \bar{\partial}(e^{-(3\varphi_{\delta}/2)} \tilde{u}) = \alpha$$

has a solution $\tilde{u}_{\delta}$ satisfying the estimate

$$(12) \quad \|\tilde{u}_{\delta}\|_{\varphi_{\delta}} \leq \left\| \left(\frac{7}{20} e^{-3\varphi_{\delta}} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_{\delta}}{\partial z_n \partial \bar{z}_n} \right)^{-1/2} \alpha \right\|_{\varphi_{\delta}}$$

(the proof is a simple duality argument). Then $u_{\delta} = e^{-(3\varphi_{\delta}/2)} \tilde{u}_{\delta}$ solves $\bar{\partial} u = \alpha$, and we have the estimate (note that $e^{-\varphi_{\delta}} = |\log(|z_n|^2 + \delta^2)|$)

$$(13) \quad \left\| \frac{u_{\delta}}{|\log(|z_n|^2 + \delta^2)|} \right\| = \left\| \frac{e^{-(3\varphi_{\delta}/2)} \tilde{u}_{\delta} e^{(\varphi_{\delta}/2)}}{|\log(|z_n|^2 + \delta^2)|} \right\|_{\varphi_{\delta}} = \|\tilde{u}_{\delta}\|_{\varphi_{\delta}}$$

$$\lesssim \left\| e^{(3\varphi_{\delta}/2)} |\log(|z_n|^2 + \delta^2)| (|z_n|^2 + \delta^2)^{1/2} e^{-\varphi_{\delta}/2} \alpha \right\| = \left\| (|z_n|^2 + \delta^2)^{1/2} \alpha \right\|,$$

with a constant that is independent of δ . The inequality follows from (12), together with the estimate $(|\log(|z_n|^2 + \delta^2)|^{-2}(|z_n|^2 + \delta^2))^{-1} \lesssim (\partial^2 \varphi_\delta / \partial z_n \partial \bar{z}_n)$ (see (7); use that $-\log(|z_n|^2 + \delta^2) \geq -\log(1/2) = \log 2$, so that the numerator in the rightmost expression in (7) is bounded from below by $(\log 2)(|z_n|^2 + \delta^2)$).

When $\psi \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$, the above proof works verbatim, starting out with the Kohn-Morrey-Hörmander inequality applied to $4\phi + \psi$. Because ψ is plurisubharmonic, we can then replace the Hessian of $4\phi + \psi$ on the left hand side by just the Hessian of 4ϕ . Now the argument is identical to the one above. For general plurisubharmonic ψ , we use a standard procedure. First, one approximates ψ from above by smooth plurisubharmonic functions. Then, one exhausts Ω by pseudoconvex domains (on whose closure the approximating functions are smooth). A weak limit of the solutions on these subdomains gives the desired solution on Ω . This concludes the proof of Theorem 1. $\square$

Remark 2: ‘Twisting’ of the $\bar{\partial}$ -complex is still present in (11). However, it is not used to produce a curvature term in estimate (4), so that our proof of Theorem 1 stays within Hörmander’s framework in [4].

REFERENCES

- [1] Berndtsson, B., The extension theorem of Ohsawa-Takegoshi and the theorem of Donnelly-Fefferman, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **46**, no.4 (1996), 1083–1094.
- [2] Blocki, Zbigniew, Some applications of the Ohsawa-Takegoshi extension theorem, *Expo. Math.* **27**, no. 2 (2009), 125–135.
- [3] Diederich, K. and Herbort, G., An alternative proof of an extension theorem of T. Ohsawa, *Michigan Math. J.* **46**, no.2 (1999), 347–360.
- [4] Hörmander, L., L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator, *Acta Math.* **113** (1965), 89–152.
- [5] McNeal, Jeffery D., On large values of $\mathcal{L}^2$ holomorphic functions, *Math. Res. Lett.* **3** (1996), 247–259.
- [6] ———, A sufficient condition for compactness of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann operator, *J. Funct. Anal.* **195** (2002), Nr. 1, 190–205.
- [7] ———, $\mathcal{L}^2$ estimates on twisted Cauchy-Riemann complexes. In *150 Years of Mathematics at Washington University in St. Louis*, Contemp. Math. **395**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 2006, 83–103.
- [8] Ohsawa, T., *Analysis of Several Complex Variables*. Translations of Mathematical Monographs 211, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2002.
- [9] Ohsawa, T. and Takegoshi, K., On the extension of $\mathcal{L}^2$ holomorphic functions, *Math. Z.* **195** (1987), 197–204.
- [10] Siu, Yum-Tong, The Fujita conjecture and the extension theorem of Ohsawa-Takegoshi. In *Geometric Complex Analysis* (Hayama 1995). World Scientific, Singapore, 1996, 577–592.
- [11] Straube, Emil J., *Lectures on the $\mathcal{L}^2$ -Sobolev Theory of the $\bar{\partial}$ -Neumann Problem*, ESI Lectures in Mathematics and Physics, European Mathematical Society, Zürich, 2010.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS, 77843

E-mail address: `straube@math.tamu.edu`

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA PURA E APPLICATA, UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI PADOVA, PADOVA

E-mail address: `zampieri@math.unipd.it`