Noname manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

On the projective algebra of Einstein Matsumoto metrics

M. Rafie-Rad

arXiv:1109.2727v1 [math.DG] 13 Sep 2011

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract The projective algebra $p(M,F)$ (i.e the collection of all projective vector fields) of a Finsler space (M,F) is a finite-dimensional Lie algebra with respect to the usual Lie bracket. The projective algebra of Einstein metrics has been perpetually studied from physical and geometrical approaches. Here, the projective algebra of Einstein Matsumoto space of dimension $n \geq 3$ is characterized. Moreover, Einstein Matsumoto metrics with maximum projective symmetry are studied and characterized.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 53B405 · 58J05

1 Introduction

The mathematical formulation of the weak principle of relativity comprises a projective connection or an equivalence class of symmetric affine connections all enjoying the same (unparameterized) geodesic curves. Any gravitational theory is a metric theory if it can be formulated so that free particles moves along geodesics of an appropriate Riemannian space [7]. Any two such metric structures have the same (unparameterized) geodesic curves and Weyl projective tensor.

The locally anisotropic space-times can also be considerable Finslerian candidate for gravitational theories, see [20]. Any two Finsler metrics possessing the same (unparameterized) geodesics have the same Weyl projective tensor. The projective vector fields (and the Weyl vector fields) uncover the projective symmetries and provide some conservation laws for physical applications. A substantial essay of the projective symmetries is usually considerable in Einstein and constant curvature spaces [3,4,5,6,21,22], etc, both in differential geometry and metric-affine gravity and cosmology lookout. The projective algebra of the Randers metrics of constant flag curvature has been recently studied in [16] where

M. Rafie-Rad
School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM),
P.O. Box: 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran.
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Mazandaran,
P.O.Box 47416-1467, Babolsar, Iran.
Tel.: +98-112-5342460
Fax: +98-112-5342460
E-mail: rafie-rad@umz.ac.ir

the projective vector fields are characterized as well. The Matsumoto metric is a so-called (α,β)-metric introduced by M. Matsumoto in [9] and has been studied and applied historically in [8,14,15,19,25], etc. It is known as the time measure metric. The interesting result bout Einstein Matsumoto metrics is just recently deduced in [15] on the manifolds of dimension $n \geq 3$: *Every Einstein Matsumoto metric is Ricci-flat*. Denote the complete lift to TM_0 of any vector field V by $\hat{V}$. One can benefit [23] for an extensive discussion on the theory of Lie derivatives of various geometric objects in Finsler spaces. Traditionally, we use the notations for the so-called (α,β)-metric disposal in [17], thereby $s^i{}_\circ = a^{ik}s_{kj}y^j$, where, $s_{kj} = (\frac{\partial b_k}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial b_j}{\partial x^k})$. We characterize the projective vector fields on an Einstein Matsumoto metric in the following theorem:

Theorem 1 *Let $F = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-\beta}$ be a (pure) Einstein Matsumoto metric $F = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-\beta}$ on a manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$.*
(a) If $s^i{}_\circ = 0$ then the projective algebra $p(M,F)$ of F coincides with the projective algebra $p(M,\alpha)$ of α.
(b) If $s^i{}_\circ \neq 0$ then the projective algebra $p(M,F)$ of F coincides with the Killing algebra $k(M,\alpha)$ of α. Moreover, a vector field V on M is a Killing vector field in (M,F) if and only if it is a Killing vector field in (M,α) satisfying $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\beta = 0$.

It is well-known that the dimension the Killing algebra of any n-dimensional Riemannian space is maximally $n(n+1)/2$ and equality holds if and only if it is a Riemannian space of constant sectional curvature, moreover, the dimension the projective algebra of any n-dimensional Riemannian space is maximally $n(n+2)$ and equality holds if and only if it is a Riemannian space of constant sectional curvature, provided that $n \geq 3$, see [23]. Theorem 1 establishes a relation between the projective algebra (Killing algebra) $p(M,F)$ of an Einstein Matsumoto metric $F = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-\beta}$ and the Killing algebra $k(M,\alpha)$ of α, i.e, the projective algebra (Killing algebra) of F is a Lie sub-algebra of the Killing algebra of α. More generally, Theorem 1 would state that the dimension of the projective algebra of an Einstein Matsumoto metric equals $n(n+2)$ or at at most is $n(n+1)/2$. This what is established also for Randers metrics of constant flag curvature in an analogy in [16]. We prove the following theorem for the case of maximally projective symmetry Einstein Matsumoto metric:

Theorem 2 *Let $F = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-\beta}$ be an Einstein Matsumoto metric on a manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. Then, F has a maximum projective symmetry if and only if F is locally Minkowskian.*

2 Preliminaries.

Let M be a n-dimensional C^∞ connected manifold. T_xM denotes the tangent space of M at x. The tangent bundle of M is the union of tangent spaces $TM := \bigcup_{x\in M} T_xM$. We will denote the elements of TM by (x,y) where $y \in T_xM$. Let $TM_0 = TM \setminus \{0\}$. The natural projection $\pi : TM_0 \to M$ is given by $\pi(x,y) := x$. A *Finsler metric* on M is a function $F : TM \to [0,\infty)$ with the following properties: (i) F is C^∞ on TM_0, (ii) F is positively 1-homogeneous on the fibers of tangent bundle TM, and (iii) the Hessian of F^2 with elements $g_{ij}(x,y) := \frac{1}{2}[F^2(x,y)]_{y^iy^j}$ is positive definite matrix on TM_0. The pair (M,F) is then called a *Finsler space*. Throughout this paper, we denote a Riemannian metric by $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x)y^iy^j}$ and a 1-form by $\beta = b_i(x)y^i$. A globally defined vector field $\mathbf{G}$ is induced by F on TM_0, which in a standard coordinate (x^i,y^i) for TM_0 is given by $\mathbf{G} = y^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - 2G^i(x,y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$, where $G^i(x,y)$ are local functions on

TM_0 satisfying $G^i(x,\lambda y)=\lambda^2 G^i(x,y)\ ,\lambda>0$. Assume the following conventions:

$$G^i{}_j=\frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^i},\quad G^i{}_{jk}=\frac{\partial G^i{}_j}{\partial y^k},\quad G^i{}_{jkl}=\frac{\partial G^i{}_{jk}}{\partial y^l}.$$

The local functions $G^i{}_{jk}$ give rise to a torsion-free connection in π^*TM called *the Berwald connection* which is used in this paper, see [17]. Throughout this paper, we denote the horizontal and vertical covariant derivation of the Berwald connection of F respectively by "$|$" and "$.$". A Finsler metric is called a *Berwald metric* if $G^i{}_{jk}(x,y)$ are functions of x only at every point $x\in M$, equivalently, F is a Berwald metric if and only if $G^i{}_{jkl}=0$. For a Finsler metric F on an n-dimensional manifold M, *the Busemann-Hausdorff volume form* $dV_F=\sigma_F(x)dx^1\cdots dx^n$ is defined by

$$\sigma_F(x):=\frac{\mathrm{Vol}(\mathbb{B}^n(1))}{\mathrm{Vol}\{(y^i)\in\mathbb{R}^n\mid F(y^i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_x)<1\}}.$$

Assume $\underline{g}=\det(g_{ij}(x,y))$ and define $\tau(x,y):=\ln\frac{\sqrt{\underline{g}}}{\sigma_F(x)}$. $\tau=\tau(x,y)$ is a scalar function on TM_0, which is called the *distortion* [17]. For a vector $y\in T_xM$, let $c(t),-\varepsilon<t<\varepsilon$, denote the geodesic with $c(0)=x$ and $\dot{c}(0)=y$. The function $\mathbf{S}(y):=\frac{d}{dt}[\tau(\dot{c}(t))]_{|_{t=0}}$ is called the **S**-curvature with respect to Busemann-Hausdorff volume form. A Finsler space is said to be *of isotropic* **S***-curvature* if there is a function $\sigma=\sigma(x)$ defined on M such that $\mathbf{S}=(n+1)\sigma(x)F$. It is called a Finsler space *of constant* **S***-curvature* once σ is a constant. Every Berwald space is of vanishing **S**-curvature [17]. The **E**-curvature of the Finsler space (M,F) is defined by $\mathbf{E}_y=\mathbf{E}_{ij}(y)dx^i\otimes dx^j$, where, $\mathbf{E}_{ij}=\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2\mathbf{S}}{\partial y^i\partial y^j}$. (M,F) is called a weakly-Berwald space if $\mathbf{E}=0$. It is easy to see that we have $\mathbf{E}_{ij}=\frac{1}{2}G^r{}_{irj}$. The quit nouvelle non-Riemannian quantity $\mathbf{H}_y=\mathbf{H}_{ij}(y)dx^i\otimes dx^j$ is simply defined by $\mathbf{H}_{ij}=\mathbf{E}_{ij|k}y^k$, see [2,11,12].

The wealthy class of (α,β)-metrics is were first introduced by Matsumoto [10]. An (α,β)-metric is a scalar function F on TM defined by $F:=\alpha\phi(\frac{\beta}{\alpha})$, where $\phi=\phi(s)$ is a C^∞ on $(-b_0,b_0)$ with certain regularity, $\alpha=\sqrt{a_{ij}(x)y^iy^j}$ is a Riemannian metric and $\beta=b_i(x)y^i$ is a 1-form on M. Denote the Levi-Civita connection of α by ∇. The Matsumoto metric is an (α,β)-metrics with $\phi(s)=\frac{1}{1-s}$. Denote the Levi-Civita connection of α by ∇ and define $b_{i|j}$ by $(b_{i|j})\theta^j:=db_i-b_j\theta_i{}^j$, where $\theta^i:=dx^i$ and $\theta_i{}^j:=\Gamma^j_{ik}dx^k$. Recall the notations for (α,β)-metrics: $r_{ij}=\Big(\nabla_jb_i+\nabla_ib_j\Big)/2$, $s_{ij}=\Big(\nabla_jb_i-\nabla_ib_j\Big)/2$, $r^i{}_j:=a^{ik}r_{kj}$, $r_{\circ\circ}:=r_{ij}y^iy^j$, $r_{i\circ}:=r_{ij}y^j$, $s^i{}_j:=a^{ik}s_{kj}$, $s_j:=b^is_{ij}$, $s_\circ:=s_iy^i$, $s_{i\circ}:=s_{ij}y^j$. The spray coefficients G^i of F and G^i_α of α are related as follows [24]:

$$G^i=G^i_\alpha+\alpha Qs^i{}_\circ+\alpha^{-1}\Theta\{r_{\circ\circ}-2\alpha Qs_\circ\}y^i+\Psi\{r_{\circ\circ}-2\alpha Qs_\circ\}b^i,\qquad(1)$$

where, $Q=\phi'/(\phi-s\phi')$, $\Theta=\Big(\phi\phi'-s(\phi\phi''-\phi'\phi')\Big)/\Big(2\{(\phi-s\phi')+(b^2-s^2)\phi''\}\Big)$ and $\Psi=\phi''/\Big(2\{(\phi-s\phi')+(b^2-s^2)\phi''\}\Big)$.

Let F be a Finsler metric on an n-manifold and G^i denote the geodesic coefficients of F. Define $\mathbf{R}_y=K^i{}_k(x,y)dx^k\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_x:T_xM\to T_xM$ by

$$K^i{}_k:=2\frac{\partial G^i}{\partial x^k}-y^j\frac{\partial^2G^i}{\partial x^j\partial y^k}+2G^j\frac{\partial^2G^i}{\partial y^j\partial y^k}-\frac{\partial G^i}{\partial y^j}\frac{\partial G^j}{\partial y^k}.$$

The family $\mathbf{R} := \{\mathbf{R}_y\}_{y\in TM_0}$ is called the Riemann curvature [17]. The *Ricci scalar* is denoted by **Ric** it is defined by $\mathbf{Ric} := K^k{}_k$. The Ricci scalar **Ric** is a generalization of the Ricci tensor in Riemannian geometry. A Finsler space (M,F) is called an *Einstein space* if there is function c defined on M such that $\mathbf{Ric} = \sigma(x)F^2$.

The Berwald-Riemannian curvature tensor $\mathbf{K}_y = K^i{}_{jkl}(y)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\otimes dx^j\otimes dx^k\otimes dx^l$ and the Berwald-Ricci tensor $K_{jl}(y)dx^j\otimes dx^l$ are respectively defined defined by

$$K^i{}_{jkl} := \frac{1}{3}\Big\{\frac{\partial^2 K^i{}_k}{\partial y^j\partial y^l} - \frac{\partial^2 K^i{}_l}{\partial y^j\partial y^k}\Big\}, \quad K_{jl} := K^i{}_{jil}.$$

The general forms of the Riemann and the Ricci curvatures of (α,β)-metrics are largely discussed in [24] to establish some good classifications of (α,β)-metrics of constant flag curvature. Thereby, one may find a valuable source of significant formulae linking Riemann and Ricci curvature to those of α containing β. Just recently, these stuffs are used in [15] to conclude that Einstein Matsumoto metrics on manifolds of dimension $n\geq 3$ are Ricci-flat. The relevant procedure is tough computational but carries some other outcomes about Einstein Matsumoto metrics. Regarding to [15], one may emboss its main results in the following leading theorem.

Theorem 3 *[15] Let $F = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-\beta}$ be a (non-Riemannian) Matsumoto metric on a manifold M od dimension $n\geq 3$. If F is an Einstein metrics, then we have:*
(a) $\mathbf{Ric} = 0$, $\widetilde{\mathbf{Ric}} = 0$, *where,* $\widetilde{\mathbf{Ric}} = 0$ *denotes the Ricci scalar of* α,
(b) $\mathbf{S} = 0$,
(c) $r_{\circ\circ} = 0$, $\nabla_k s^k{}_j = 0$, $s_\circ = 0$,
(d) $s^i{}_\circ s_{\circ i} = 0$, $s^{ij}s_{ij} = 0$.

Remark 1 As any Finsler metric with vanishing **S**-curvature is a weakly-Berwald, Theorem 3 it provides that any Einstein Matsumoto metric is weakly-Berwald.

3 Projectively equivalent metrics and Projectively invariants

Two Finsler metrics F and $\widetilde{F}$ on a manifold M are said to be *(pointwise) projectively equivalent* if they have the same geodesics as point sets. Hereby, there is a function $P(x,y)$ defined on TM_0 such that $\widetilde{G}^i = G^i + Py^i$ on coordinates (x^i,y^i) on TM_0, where, $\widetilde{G}^i$ and G^i are the geodesic spray coefficients of $\widetilde{F}$ and F, respectively. A Finsler metric F on an open subset $U\subseteq\mathbb{R}^n$ is called *projectively flat* if all geodesics are straight in U. In this case, F and the Euclidean metric on U are projectively equivalent. A Finsler metric is called *locally projectively* flat if at any point $x\in M$, there is a local coordinate (x^i,U) in which F is projectively flat. We consider projectively equivalent Finsler metrics, namely those having the same geodesics as set points. Let $\widetilde{F}$ and F be two projectively equivalent Finsler metrics. Consider a natural coordinate system $((x^i,y^i),\pi^{-1}(U))$. There is function P defined on TM_0 such that $\widetilde{G}^i = G^i + Py^i$. Let us put $P_i = P_{.i}$ and $P_{ij} = P_{.j}$. Observe that we have

$$\widetilde{G}^i{}_j = G^i{}_j + P_j y^i + P\delta^i{}_j, \quad \widetilde{G}^i{}_{jk} = G^i{}_{jk} + P_{jk}y^i + P_k\delta^i{}_j + P_j\delta^i{}_k. \tag{2}$$

The Berwald-Riemannian curvature and the Berwald-Ricci tensors of $\widetilde{F}$ and F are related as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{K}^i_{hjk} &= K^i_{hjk} + y^i(P_{jh|k} - P_{kh|j}) + \delta^i_h(P_{j|k} - P_{k|j}) \\ &\quad + \delta^i_j(P_{h|k} - P_hP_k - PP_{hk}) - \delta^i_k(P_{h|j} - P_hP_j - PP_{hj}), \\ \widetilde{K}_{hk} &= K_{hk} + (P_{h|k} - P_{k|h}) + (n-1)(P_{h|k} - P_hP_k - PP_{hk}) - P_{hk|\circ}. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The non-Riemannian quantity **H** was introduced in [1] and developed in [11]. We would like to consider projectively equivalent Finsler metrics with the same **E**- and **H**-curvatures. The **E**- and **H**-curvatures of $\widetilde{F}$ and F are related by (2) as follows [14]:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_{ij} = \mathbf{E}_{ij} + \frac{(n+1)}{2}P_{ij}. \tag{4}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{ij} = \mathbf{H}_{ij} + \frac{(n+1)}{2}P_{ij|\circ}. \tag{5}$$

The equations (4) and (5) provide the following lemma.

Lemma 1 *Suppose that $\widetilde{F}$ and F are projectively equivalent with projective factor P. Then,*
(b) $\widetilde{F}$ and F have the same **E***-curvature if and only if $P_{ij} = 0$.*
(b) $\widetilde{F}$ and F have the same **H***-curvature if and only if $P_{ij|\circ} = 0$.*

Remark 2 It is quite easy to get successive vertical derivations in the equation $P_{jl|\circ} = 0$ to derive that, $P_{jk|l} = P_{jl|k}$ if and only if $P_{jl|\circ} = 0$.

The Finsler metrics $\widetilde{F}$ and F are said to be **H**-*projectively equivalent* if they are projectively equivalent and have the same **H**-curvature. From (4) it results that, if given any $x \in M$ the function $P(x,y)$ is linear with respect to y, in other words $P_{ij} = 0$, then F and $\widetilde{F}$ are **H**-projectively equivalent. Hereby, the Finsler metrics $\widetilde{F}$ and F are said to be *specially projectively equivalent* if $P(x,y)$ is linear with respect to y. One may construct various **H**-projectively equivalent Finsler metrics. Interested readers are advised to see [16] for two such examples and to [18] to acquire many Finsler metrics for constructing such examples.

3.1 Projectively invariants

Any geometric object which is identitical between to projectively equivalent metrics is called a *projective invariant*. There are many projectively invariant tensors in Finsler geometry such as the *Douglas* tensor $\mathbf{D}_y = D^i{}_{jkl}(y)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes dx^j \otimes dx^k \otimes dx^l$ and *Weyl* curvature $\mathbf{W}_y = W^i{}_{jkl}(y)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \otimes dx^j \otimes dx^k \otimes dx^l$. However, the notion of the projective connection in Finsler geometry encounters some difficulties to be globally projectively invariant. The tensors **D** and **W** are defined as follows

$$D^i{}_{jkl} = \frac{\partial^3}{\partial y^j \partial y^k \partial y^l}\Big\{G^i - \frac{1}{n+1}G^m{}_m y^i\Big\}, \tag{6}$$

$$W^i{}_{jkl} = K^i{}_{jkl} - \frac{1}{n^2-1}\Big\{\delta^i{}_j(\hat{K}_{kl} - \hat{K}_{lk}) + (\delta^i_k\hat{K}_{jl} - \delta^i_l\hat{K}_{jk}) + y^i(\hat{K}_{kl} - \hat{K}_{lk})_{.j}\Big\},$$

where, $\hat{K}_{jk} = nK_{jk} + K_{kj} + y^r K_{kr.j}$. In 1986, H.Akbar-Zadeh introduced a tensor which is just invariant by a sub-group of projective transformations, not all of them [2]. In fact, this is a

non-Riemannian generalization of Weyl's curvature. It is denoted by $\overset{*}{W}{}^{i}{}_{jkl}$ and is defined by:

$$\overset{*}{W}{}^{i}{}_{jkl} = K^{i}{}_{jkl} - \frac{1}{n^2-1}\Big\{\delta^{i}_{k}(nK_{jl}+K_{lj}) - \delta^{i}_{l}(nK_{jk}+K_{kj}) + (n-1)\delta^{i}_{j}(K_{kl}-K_{lk})\Big\}.$$

W can be written in terms of **H**-curvature in the following form [16]:

$$W^{i}{}_{jkl} = \overset{*}{W}{}^{i}{}_{jkl} - \frac{2}{n^2-1}\Big\{\delta^{i}_{l}\mathbf{H}_{jk} - \delta^{i}_{k}\mathbf{H}_{jl}\Big\} - \frac{y^{i}}{n+1}(K_{kl}-K_{lk})_{.j}. \tag{7}$$

Assume that $W^{i}_{k} = y^{j}y^{l}W^{i}{}_{jkl}$. One may easily check from (3) and (5) that every two specially projectively equivalent metrics $\widetilde{F}$ and F have the same tensors W, **H** and $(K_{hk}-K_{kh})_{.j}$ [16]. Observe from (7) that, they have the same tensor $\overset{*}{W}$. There is the following identity for W given in [1,17]:

$$W^{i}{}_{jkl} = \frac{1}{3}\Big\{W^{i}_{k.l.j} - W^{i}_{l.k.j}\Big\}. \tag{8}$$

Theorem B. *[17] Let (M,F) be an n-dimensional Finsler manifold ($n \geq 3$). $W = 0$ if and only if F is of scalar flag curvature.*

Theorem C. *[1,16] Let (M,F) be an n-dimensional Finsler manifold ($n \geq 3$). $\overset{*}{W} = 0$ if and only if F is of constant flag curvature.*

4 Projective vector fields on Finsler spaces

Every vector field X on M induces naturally a transformation under the following infinitesimal coordinate transformations on TM, $(x^{i},y^{i}) \longrightarrow (\bar{x}^{i},\bar{y}^{i})$ given by

$$\bar{x}^{i} = x^{i} + V^{i}dt, \quad \bar{y}^{i} = y^{i} + y^{k}\frac{\partial V^{i}}{\partial x^{k}}dt.$$

This leads us to the notion of *the complete lift* $\hat{V}$ (see [23]) of V to a vector field on TM_0 given by

$$\hat{V} = V^{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} + y^{k}\frac{\partial V^{i}}{\partial x^{k}}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}}.$$

Almost any geometric object in Finsler geometry depend on the both points and velocities, hence the Lie derivatives of such geometric objects ratherly should be regarded with respect to $\hat{V}$. The reference [23] is classical source to get familiar to the theory of Lie derivatives in Finsler geometry. For computational use, it is known $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}y^{i} = 0$, $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}dx^{i} = 0$ and the differential operators $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}$, exterior differential operator d and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}}$ commute as well. The vector field V is called a projective vector field, if there is a function P on TM_0 such that $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}G^{i}_{k} = P\delta^{i}_{k} + P_{k}y^{i}$, where $P_{k} = P_{.k}$, see [1]. Thereby, given any appropriate t, the local

flow $\{\phi_t\}$ associated to V is projective transformation. If V is a projective vector field, then [1]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}G^i &= Py^i,\\
\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}G^i{}_{jk} &= \delta^i{}_j P_k + \delta^i{}_k P_j + y^i P_{k.j},\\
\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}G^i{}_{jkl} &= \delta^i{}_j P_{k.l} + \delta^i{}_k P_{j.l} + \delta^i{}_l P_{k.j} + y^i P_{k.j.l},\\
2\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\mathbf{E}_{jl} &= (n+1)P_{j.l}, \qquad (9)\\
2\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\mathbf{H}_{jl} &= (n+1)P_{j.l|0}, \qquad (10)\\
\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}K^i{}_{jkl} &= \delta^i{}_j(P_{l|k} - P_{k|l}) + \delta^i{}_l P_{j|k} - \delta^i{}_k P_{j|l} + y^i(P_{l|k} - P_{k|l})_{.j},\\
\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}K_{\ jl} &= P_{l|j} - nP_{j|l} + P_{l.j|0}. \qquad (11)\\
\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\mathbf{Ric} &= (1-n)P_{|\circ}.
\end{aligned}$$

A projective vector field is called *affine* if $P = 0$. Every Killing vector field is affine. Notice that, on the Riemannian spaces, given any projective vector field V the function $P = P(x,y)$ is linear with respect to y, while in the Finslerian setting the mentioned linearity is a non-Riemannian feature. A projective vector field V is called a *special projective vector field* if $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\mathbf{E} = 0$, equivalently, $P(x,y) = P_i(x)y^i$. Let us for a while suppose that (M,F) is a Riemannian space. Hence, the projective factor P of a projective vector field V is the lift (to TM_0) of a closed 1-form $\omega_i(x)dx^i$ on M and $P(x,y) = \omega_i(x)y^i$, thus $P_{j|k} = P_{k|j}$. The projective vector field satisfying $P_{j|k} = P_{k|j}$ are called *C-projective*, see [13]. Notice that, the condition $P_{j|k} = P_{k|j}$ results $P_{jk|0} = 0$. Any projective vector field satisfying $P_{jk|\circ} = 0$ is called an **H**-*projective vector field*.

Remark 3 The non-Riemannian quantities **E** and **H** are invariant objects in the following invoice:

- **E** is invariant under every special projective vector field, due to (9),
- **H** is invariant under every C-projective vector field, following (10).

Therefore, the collection of **H**-projective vector fields comprises the collection of special and the C-projective vector fields, as well. Notice that, on a weakly-Berwald space, i.e $\mathbf{E} = 0$, every projective vector field is special by (9). Moreover, on a Finsler space of constant flag curvature, the Berwald-Ricci tensor K_{jl} is symmetric with respect to j and l and by (12), it follows immediately that, $P_{j|l} = P_{l|j}$, namely,

Corollary 1 *On a weakly-Berwald space, every projective vector field is special. on a Finsler space of constant flag curvature, every projective vector field is C-projective.*

4.1 Projective vector fields of Einstein Matsumoto metrics

We do not need to study projective vector fields on general Matsumoto metrics here, preferably, we only consider Einstein Matsumoto metric which is the coral subject of the present work. Let $F = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-\beta}$ be a Matsumoto metric on a manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. Theorem 3 entails that $\mathbf{Ric} = 0$, $\mathbf{S} = 0$, $r_{\circ\circ} = 0$ and $s_\circ = 0$. Plugging $r_{\circ\circ} = 0$ and $s_\circ = 0$ in (1), the spray coefficients of F reads as $G^i = G^i_\alpha + \alpha Q s^i{}_\circ$. Observe that for the Matsumoto metric we have $Q = -\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-2\beta}$. Therefore, the spray coefficients of F are the following form:

$$G^i = G^i_\alpha - \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-2\beta}s^i{}_\circ. \qquad (12)$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let V be a vector field on M. If V is a Killing vector field on (M,α) and $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\beta=0$, then $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}G^i_\alpha=0$ and $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-2\beta}=0$. On the other hand, $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\beta=0$ (i.e $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}b_i=0$) entails $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}s_{ij}=0$, $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}s^i{}_j=0$ and $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}s^i{}_\circ=0$. Plugging the resultant in (12), it results that $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}G^i=0$ and V is an affine and projective vector field on (M,F), subsequently.

Conversely, let us suppose that V is a projective vector field on $(M,F=\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-\beta})$. Recall that F is a weakly-Berwald metric and by Corollary 1, V is a special vector field, that is to exist a function P of the form $P(x,y)=\omega_i(x)dx^i$ such that

$$\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}G^i=\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\Big(G^i_\alpha-\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-2\beta}s^i{}_\circ\Big)=\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}G^i_\alpha-\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\Big\{\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-2\beta}s^i{}_\circ\Big\}=Py^i. \tag{13}$$

The equation (13) implies that if $s^i{}_\circ=0$, then V is a projective vector field on (M,F) if and only if it is a projective vector field on (M,α) and this proves (a). Let us suppose the, $s^i{}_\circ\neq 0$. By a changing all the terms y to $-y$ in (13) taking into invariance of $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}G^i_\alpha$ and Py^i, we obtain:

$$\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}G^i=\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\Big(G^i_\alpha+\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha+2\beta}s^i{}_\circ\Big)=\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}G^i_\alpha+\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\Big\{\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha+2\beta}s^i{}_\circ\Big\}=Py^i. \tag{14}$$

Observe that, subtracting (14) from (13) yields

$$\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\Big\{\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-2\beta}s^i{}_\circ\Big\}+\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\Big\{\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha+2\beta}s^i{}_\circ\Big\}=0 \tag{15}$$

Let us denote $t_{\circ\circ}=\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\alpha^2$. Operating the Lie derivations and resorting the resultant, the equation (15) is equivalent to following polynomial equation:

$$a_{10}\alpha^{10}+a_9\alpha^9+...+a_1\alpha+a_0=0, \tag{16}$$

where, the coefficients a_i are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_{10}=4\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}s^i{}_\circ\beta,\quad a_9=0,\quad a_8=a_{10},\quad a_7=0,\\
&a_6=-4\beta^2s^i{}_\circ t_{\circ\circ}-16\beta^2s^i{}_\circ\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\beta-32\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}s^i{}_\circ\beta^3,\\
&a_5=4\beta s^i{}_\circ t_{\circ\circ},\ \ a_4=16\beta^2s^i{}_\circ\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\beta+16\beta^3s^i{}_\circ t_{\circ\circ},\\
&a_3=-16\beta^3s^i{}_\circ t_{\circ\circ},\quad a_2=-16s^i{}_\circ t_{\circ\circ}\beta^3-64\beta^4s^i{}_\circ\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\beta+64\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}s^i{}_\circ\beta^5,\\
&a_1=0,\quad a_0=64s^i{}_\circ t_{\circ\circ}\beta^5.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us rewrite the equation (16) in the following so-called Rat-Irrat form:

$$\Big(\alpha_{10}\alpha^{10}+a_8\alpha^8+...+a_2\alpha^2+a_0\Big)+\alpha\Big(a_9\alpha^8+a_7\alpha^6+...+a_1\Big)=0 \tag{17}$$

Hence, the equation (16) is equivalent to the following system of equations:

$$\alpha_{10}\alpha^{10}+a_8\alpha^8+...+a_2\alpha^2+a_0=0, \tag{18}$$
$$a_9\alpha^8+a_7\alpha^6+...+a_1=0. \tag{19}$$

The equation (19) can be read as $a_5\alpha^4+a_3\alpha^2=0$, since $a_9=a_7=a_1=0$. Thus,

$$0=a_5\alpha^2+a_3=4\beta s^i{}_\circ t_{\circ\circ}\alpha^2-16\beta^3s^i{}_\circ t_{\circ\circ}=4s^i{}_\circ t_{\circ\circ}\beta\Big(\alpha^2-4\beta^2\Big)$$

From which it follows that $t_{\circ\circ}=0$, since $s^i{}_\circ\neq 0$. With applying the issue $t_{\circ\circ}=0$ follows that a_2 reads as $a_2=-64\Big(s^i{}_\circ\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\beta+64\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}s^i{}_\circ\beta\Big)\beta^4$. Now, rewrite the equation (18) with $a_0=0$ as

$$\alpha^2\Big(\alpha_{10}\alpha^8+a_8\alpha^6+...+a_4\alpha^2\Big)+a_2=0, \tag{20}$$

and conclude that α^2 must divide a_2, hence there is a class of functions $\mu^i=\mu^i(x)$ on M such that,

$$s^i{}_\circ\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\beta+64\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}s^i{}_\circ\beta=\mu^i(x)\alpha^2 \tag{21}$$

Convecting the two sides of (21) with y_i and taking into account the facts that $y_i=a_{ij}y^j$, $y_is^i{}_\circ=0$ and $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}y_i=0$, the equation (21) reads as $\mu^i(x)y_i\alpha^2=0$. After a derivation with respect to y^k, we have $2\mu^i(x)a_{ik}=0$ and $\mu^i=0$, for any index i. Plugging $\mu^i=0$ in (21) follows that $s^i{}_\circ\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\beta+64\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}s^i{}_\circ\beta=0$ and thus, $a_2=-64\Big(s^i{}_\circ\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\beta+64\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}s^i{}_\circ\beta\Big)\beta^4=0$. Plugging $a_2=0$ in (20) changes it into the following equation:

$$\alpha_{10}\alpha^6+a_8\alpha^4+a_6\alpha^2+a_4=0,$$

Hence, α^2 must divide $a_4=16\beta^2s^i{}_\circ\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\beta$. Taking into account the non-degeneracy of α^2, $\beta\neq 0$ and $s^i{}_\circ\neq 0$ yields $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\beta=0$ and this proves (b) and completes the proof. □

Remark 4 From the proof of Theorem 1 we know that given any projective vector field V on M with $s^i{}_\circ\neq 0$, we have $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\alpha^2=0$ and $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\beta=0$. We notice that, V must be a Killing vector field on (M,F) as well as $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\Big\{\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-\beta}\Big\}=0$.

Remark 5 From Theorem 1 it follows immediately that the projective algebra $p(M,F)$ of an Einstein Matsumoto metric $F=\frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha-\beta}$ on a manifold of dimension $n\geq 3$ is a Lie sub-algebra of the Killing algebra $k(M,\alpha)$ of α, see [23].

Proof of Theorem 2. Let us suppose that F is an Einstein Mtsumoto metric on a manifold M is of dimension $n\geq 3$ and first assume $s^i{}_\circ=0$. This implies by (12) that $G^i=G^i_\alpha$ and F is a Berwald metric. Moreover, $p(M,F)=p(M,\alpha)$ and thus, maximum projective symmetry property of F implies that $p(M,\alpha)$ has maximum projective symmetry dimension $n(n+2)$. This classically provides that α has constant sectional curvature and locally projectively flat as well as F by Beltrami's theorem in Riemannian geometry. Hence, F is of scalar flag curvature $\mathbf{K}=0$ by Theorem A. and Theorem 3 (a). Summarize that F is a Berwald metric with vanishing flag curvature. In this case F is locally Minkowski.
Now, let us suppose that $s^i{}_\circ\neq 0$. It is well-known as a classical result that the Killing algebra any Riemannian metric α on M has a dimension less than or equal to $n(n+1)/2$ and the equality holds if and only if α has constant sectional curvature. Now, let us suppose that the dimension of the projective algebra of F is $n(n+1)/2$, that is $\dim\Big(p(M,F)\Big)=n(n+1)/2$. On the other hand, from Remark 5, it is clear that $n(n+1)/2=\dim\Big(p(M,F)\Big)\leq\dim\Big(k(M,F)\Big)\leq n(n+1)/2$. Hence, $\dim\Big(k(M,F)\Big)=n(n+1)/2$, $p(M,F)=k(M,\alpha)$ and α has constant flag curvature $\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}=cte$. Following (a) in Theorem 3, $\widetilde{\mathbf{K}}=0$. It is also a classical and traditional result that asserts that any Killing vector field on a Riemannian manifold of vanishing sectional curvature can be locally representing by $V^i=Q^i{}_jx^j+C^i$, where, where $(Q^i{}_j)$ is a constant skew-symmetric matrix and (C^i) is a constant column

vector. Moreover, by Theorem 1 every projective vector field on (M,F) satisfies $\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}}\beta = 0$ or equivalently,

$$\mathscr{L}_{\hat{V}} b_i = V^k \frac{\partial b_i}{\partial x^k} + b_k \frac{\partial V^k}{\partial x^i} = 0. \tag{22}$$

Let us for a while suppose that $V^i = C^i$, for an arbitrary constant column vector (C^i). This provides a renovation of (22) as $C^k \frac{\partial b_i}{\partial x^k} = 0$. Given any index k, choose (C^i) as $C^i = \delta^i_k$. This provides that $\frac{\partial b_i}{\partial x^k} = 0$. Now, choose V as $V^i = Q^i{}_j x^j$ and plug it into (22) with considering $\frac{\partial b_i}{\partial x^k} = 0$, observe that $b_k Q^k{}_j = 0$, for any arbitrary constant skew-symmetric matrix. This provides $\beta = b_k dx^k = 0$ and $F = \alpha$ is a Riemannian metric of constant sectional curvature. □

References

1. Akbar-Zadeh H., Champs de vecteurs projectifs sur le fibré unitaire, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **65** (1986), 47-79
2. Akbar-Zadeh H., Sur les espaces de Finsler à courbures sectionelles constantes, *Acad. Roy. Belg. Bull. Cl. Sci.*, 5ème Série LXXIV, (1988), 281–322.
3. Akbar-Zadeh H., Espaces à tenseurs de Ricci parallèle admettant des transformations projectives, *Rend. Mat. Appl.*, **11** VI (1978).
4. Barnes A., Projective collineations in Einstein spaces, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **10** (1993), 1139-1141.
5. Hall, G.S., Projective symmetry in FRW spacetimes, *Classical Quantum Gravity*, **17** (2000), no. 22, 4637-4644.
6. Hall G. S., Lonie D. P., The principle of equivalence and cosmological metrics, *J. Math. Phys.* **49** (2008), 022502.
7. Israel I., Relativity, Astrophysics and Cosmology, Dordrecht-Holland/Boston-USA, 1973.
8. Li, B., Projectively flat Matsumoto metric and its approximation, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed.*, **27B** (4) (2007), 781-789.
9. Matsumoto, M., A slope of a hill is a Finsler surface with respect to a time measure, *J. Math. Kyoto. Univ.* 29 (1980), 17-25.
10. Matsumoto, M., The Berwald connection of Finsler with an (α,β)-metric, *Tensor (N.S.)*, **50** (1991), 18-21.
11. Mo X., On the non-Riemannian quantity **H** of a Finsler metric, *Differential. Geom. Appl.*, **27** (2009), 7-14.
12. Najafi B., Shen Z., Tayebi A., Finsler metrics of scalar flag curvature with special non-Riemannian curvature properties, *Geom. Dedicata*, **131** (2008), 87-98.
13. Najafi B., Tayebi A., A new quantity in Finsler geometry, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I*, (**349**) 1-2 (2011), 81-83.
14. Rafie-Rad, M., Time-optimal solutions of Parallel navigation and Finsler geodesics, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **11** (2010), 3809-3814.
15. Rafie-Rad, M., Rezaei, B., On Einstein Matsumoto metrics, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, to appear.
16. Rafie-Rad, M., Rezaei, B., On the projective algebra of Randers metrics of constant flag curvature, *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, **7** 085, 12 pages.
17. Shen Z., Differential Geometry of Spray and Finsler Spaces, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.
18. Shen Z., Projectively flat Finsler metrics of constant flag curvature, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc* (**355**) 4 (2002), 1713-1728.
19. H. Shimada, S.V. Sabau, Introduction to Matsumoto metric, *Nonlinear Analysis*, (**63**) 5-7 (2005), e165-e168.
20. Stavrinos P. C., Gravitational and cosmological considerations based on the Finsler and Lagrange metric structures, *Nonlinear Anal.* **71** (2009), e1380-e1392.
21. Tanno S., Some differential equations on Riemannian manifolds, *J. Math. Soc. Japan.*, (**30**) 3 (1978).
22. Yamauchi K., On infinitesimal projective transformations of a Riemannian manifold with constant scalar curvature, *Hokkaido. Math. J.* **3** (1974), 262-270.
23. Yano K., The Theory of Lie Derivatives and its Applications, North Holland, Amsterdam, (1957).
24. Zhou, L., A locall Classification of a class of (α,β) metrics with constant flag curvature, *Differential Geom. Appl.*, **28** (2010), 170-193.
25. Zohrehvand, M., Rezaii, M.M., On projectively related of two special classes of (α,β)-metrics, *Differential Geom. Appl.*, to appear.

