arXiv:1111.3385v2 [math.AP] 17 Nov 2011

Global solutions of coupled Klein-Gordon equations with different velocities in four space-time dimensions

Yue MA *

March 22, 2014

Abstract

In this article one will discuss the system of coupled nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations with different velocities and different masses. The nonlinearity considered is a general quadratic nonlinearity without any restriction. The method is a classical boot-strap argument combined with a serious of techniques including conformal energy estimate, global sobolev's lemma and Hardy type inequalities.

1 Introduction

In this article one will treat the system of coupled Klein-Gordon equations with different mass and velocities, and with general quadratic nonlinearity. The precise description of the problem is given in section 3. A simple example is as follows[1],

$$\begin{cases} \Box u + u = \partial_t v \partial_t u + v^2, \\ \Box_c v + 2v = \partial_t u \partial_t v + u^2, \\ u(1,x) = u_0, \quad \partial_t u(1,x) = u_1, \\ v(1,x) = v_0, \quad \partial_t v(1,x) = v_1. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

The initial data u_i and v_i are supposed to be in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Different from the result of S. Klainerman [5] or L. Hörmander [3], the initial data are not supposed to be supported in a disc.

A natural question is that when u_i and v_i are "small" in a right sense, can one extend the local solution of (1.1) on the hole time interval $[0, +\infty)$? Or more physically, is the "null state" stable?

In [2], P Germain has discussed the semilinear case.

There are two main difficulties. First is the lack of symmetry. It is well know that for the d'Alembert's operator there are nine Killing vector fields $\{H_j, \Omega_{ij}, \partial_\alpha\}$[2] and one conformal Killing vector field $\{S\}$. These vector fields have good commutative proprieties with d'Alembert's operator which are crucial for obtaining the decay estimates when treating quasilinear wave equations (see for [4]). When treating the coupled wave equations with different velocities (see for example section 5, chapter II of [8]), the "Lorentzian rotations" are no longer conformal killing vector fields which could not be used any longer. When treating the scaler Klein-Gordon equations (see for example [5], chapter VII of [3]), the scaling vector field $\{S\}$ is no longer conformal killing vector field which could not be used any longer. But in the case of (1.1), the situation is even worse. Only the rotation vector fields $\{\Omega_{ij}\}$ and translation vector fields $\{\partial_\alpha\}$ are left available. This makes the techniques of [5], [3] no-applicable in this case.

The second difficulty is that the initial data is not supposed to be compactly supported in a fixe disc. Both Klainerman and Hörmander have supposed that the initial data are C_c^∞ functions

*Laboratoir Jacques-Louis Lions. Email: ma@ann.jussieu.fr

[1] By translation, one supposes that the initial data is posed on $\{t = 1\}$.

[2] All the notation in this section will be precisely defined in the next section.

in the unique disc because their method can only supply decay estimates in the light cone. This assumption on initial data guarantees (by Hyugens' principle) that the solution is always contained in a (translated) light cone. In the case of (1.1) the solution is not contained in any light cone. One needs to get decay estimates out of the light cone. An exeption is the method of J. Shatah in [7], where he also managed to treat the initial data non-compactly-supported.

The techniques that one will introduce is also a kind of commuting vector field method. One will apply the classical conformal energy estimate combined with a type of global Sobolev inequality and Hardy type inequality. These will be introduced in the next section.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Notation

Firs of all one makes the following convention of index. The Latin index a, b, c represent one of the positive integer $\{1, 2, 3\}$. The Greek index α, β, γ represent one of the integer $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. One denotes by ∂_0 the derivative ∂_t. The Latin index i, j, k, l represent on of the integer $1, 2, 3, \dots, n_0 \geq 1$ which are used as the foot index of different component of the solution.

The Einstein's summation is used. To avoid possible confusion, the dummy index are printed in red. When the dummy index is one of a, b, c, that means the summation from 1 to 3. When the dummy index is one of α, β, γ, the summation is from 0 to 4. When the dummy index is one of i, j, k, l, the summation is from 1 to n_0. For example, let $\xi, \psi \in \mathbb{R}^4$, and $M \in \mathbb{R}^{4\times 4}$ be a matrix.

$$M^{ab}\xi_{a}\psi_{b} = \sum_{a,b=1}^{3} M^{ab}\xi_a\psi_b,$$

but

$$M^{\alpha\beta}\xi_{\alpha}\psi_{\beta} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=0}^{3} M^{\alpha\beta}\xi_\alpha\psi_\beta.$$

One regards $\mathbb{R}^4$ as $\mathbb{R}^{1+3}$ equipped with a Minkowski metric with signature $(+,-,-,-)$. So $x_0 = x^0 := t$ and $-x_a = x^a$. One introduces the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\omega_a = \omega^a = x^a/r = \frac{x^a}{\sqrt{\sum_{b=1}^{3}\left|x^b\right|^2}},\\
&\partial_r := \omega^{a}\partial_{a},\\
&S := t\partial_0 + r\partial_r,\\
&\Omega_{0a} = H_a := x_0\partial_a - x_a\partial_0 = t\partial_a + x^a\partial_t,\\
&H_{c_i,a} := c_i t\partial_a - (x_a/c_i)\partial_0 = c_i t\partial_a + (x^a/c_i)\partial_0, \quad c_i > 0,\\
&\Omega_{ab} := x_b\partial_a - x_a\partial_b,\\
&\Box := \partial_0\partial_0 - \sum_{a=0}^{3}\partial_a\partial_a,\\
&\Box_{c_i} := \partial_0\partial_0 - c_i^2\sum_{a=0}^{3}\partial_a\partial_a,\\
&K := \left(t^2 + r^2\right)\partial_t + 2rt\partial_r,\\
&K_{c_i} := \left(t^2 + (r/c_i)^2\right)\partial_t + 2tr\partial_r.
\end{aligned}$$

One denotes by $\mathscr{Z}$ the family of vector field consists of the following vector fields,

$$\mathscr{Z} := \{\Omega_{ab}, \partial_\alpha\}.$$

One denotes by $Z_h,\ h=1,2,\dots,7$ the elements of $\mathscr{Z}$. More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned}&Z_\alpha=\partial_\alpha,\\&Z_5=\Omega_{12},\quad Z_6=\Omega_{13}\quad Z_7=\Omega_{23}.\end{aligned}$$

One denotes by $\mathscr{Y}$ the family of vector fields consists of the following vector fields,

$$\mathscr{Y}_{c_i}=\{\Omega_{ab},H_{c_i,a},S,\partial_\alpha\}.$$

One denotes by $Y_{c_i,h},\ h=1,2,\cdots,11$ the elements of $\mathscr{Y}_{c_i}$. More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned}&Y_{c_i,\alpha}=\partial_\alpha,\\&Y_{c_i,\alpha+a}=H_{c_i,a},\\&Y_{c_i,8}=\Omega_{12},\quad Y_{c_i,9}=\Omega_{13},\quad Y_{c_i,10}=\Omega_{23},\\&Y_{c_i,11}=S.\end{aligned}$$

Let I be a n order multi-index and one denotes by Z^I a n order differential operator such that

$$Z^I=Z_{I_1}\cdot Z_{I_2}\cdots Z_{I_n}.$$

Similarly

$$Y_{c_i}^I=Y_{c_i,I_1}\cdots Y_{c_i,I_n}.$$

2.2 Conformal energy estimate

One consider the following differential system

$$\begin{cases}\Box_{c_i}v_i+G_i^{j\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha\beta}v_j+D_i^2w_i=F_i,\\v_i(1,x)=v_{i0},\quad \partial_tv_i(1,x)=w_{i1},\end{cases}\tag{2.1}$$

with $G_i^{j\alpha\beta}=G_j^{i\beta\alpha}$. One takes $K_{c_i}v_i+2tv_i$ as multiplier, follow the calculations of section 6.17 of [1],

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(K_{c_i}v_i\big)\cdot\big(\Box_{c_i}v_i\big)\\=&\frac{1}{2}\partial_t\bigg(\big((r/c_i)^2+t^2\big)\Big(\big(\partial_tv_i\big)^2+\sum_{a=1}^3(c_i\partial_av_i)^2\Big)+4rt\partial_tv_i\partial_rv_i\bigg)\\&+\sum_{a=1}^3c_i\partial_a\bigg((tx^a/c_i)\Big(\sum_{b=1}^3\big(c_i\partial_bv_i\big)^2-(\partial_tv_i)^2\Big)-\big((r/c_i)^2+t^2\big)(\partial_tv_i)(c_i\partial_av_i)+2rtc_i\partial_rv_i\partial_av_i\bigg)\\&+2t\bigg((\partial_tv_i)^2-\sum_{a=1}^3(c_i\partial_av_i)^2\bigg),\end{aligned}$$

$$\big(2tv_i\big)\cdot\big(\Box_{c_i}v_i\big)=\partial_t\Big(2tv_i(\partial_tv_i)-v_i^2\Big)-\sum_{a=1}^3c_i^2\partial_a(2tv_i\partial_av_i)-2t\bigg((\partial_tv_i)^2-\sum_{a=1}^3(c_i\partial_av_i)^2\bigg).$$

Now consider $\big(K_{c_i}v_i+2tv_i\big)\cdot D_i^2v_i$,

$$\big(K_{c_i}v_i+2tv_i\big)\cdot D_i^2v_i=\frac{1}{2}D_i^2\partial_t\Big(\big((r/c_i)^2+t^2\big)v_i^2\Big)+D_i^2\partial_r\big(trv_i^2\big).$$

So one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\big(K_{c_i}v_i+2tv_i\big)\cdot\big(\Box_{c_i}v_i+D_i^2v_i\big)\\
=&\frac{1}{2}\partial_t\Big(\big((r/c_i)^2+t^2\big)\Big(\big(\partial_tv_i\big)^2+\sum_{a=1}^{3}(c_i\partial_av_i)^2\Big)+4rt\partial_tv_i\partial_rv_i+4tv_i(\partial_tv_i)-2v_i^2\Big)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}D_i^2\partial_t\Big(\big((r/c_i)^2+t^2\big)v_i^2\Big)+Div.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.2}
$$

Here Div represents the (spacial) divergence terms. For the perturbation terms, after a trivial but tedious calculation one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_i\big(K_{c_i}v_i+2tv_i\big)\cdot\big(G_i^{j\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha\beta}v_j\big)\\
=&\partial_t\sum_i\big(G_i^{j0\beta}\partial_\beta v_j(K_{c_i}+2t)v_i\big)-\frac{1}{2}\partial_t\sum_i\big(G_i^{j\alpha\beta}(t^2+(r/c_i)^2)\partial_\alpha v_i\partial_\beta v_j\big)\\
&+\partial_a\sum_i\big(G_i^{ja\beta}\partial_\beta v_j(K_{c_i}+2t)v_i\big)-\frac{1}{2}\partial_r\sum_i\big(G_i^{j\alpha\beta}2rt\partial_\alpha v_i\partial_\beta v_j\big)\\
&+\sum_i\Big(-\partial_\alpha G_i^{j\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta v_j\big(K_{c_i}+2t\big)v_i+\frac{1}{2}K_{c_i}G_i^{j\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha v_i\partial_\beta v_j+2tG_i^{j\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha v_i\partial_\beta v_j\\
&-G_i^{j\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta v_j\partial_\alpha(2tv_i)-G_i^{j0\beta}\partial_\beta v_j(2t\partial_t+2r\partial_r)v_i+G_i^{ja\beta}\partial_\beta v_j\big(2(x_a/c_i)\partial_t+2t\omega_a\partial_r\big)v_i\Big)\\
=&\partial_t\sum_i\big(G_i^{j0\beta}\partial_\beta v_j(K_{c_i}+2t)v_i\big)-\frac{1}{2}\partial_t\sum_i\big(G_i^{j\alpha\beta}(t^2+(r/c_i)^2)\partial_\alpha v_i\partial_\beta v_j\big)\\
&+\partial_a\sum_i\big(G_i^{ja\beta}\partial_\beta v_j(K_{c_i}+2t)v_i\big)-\frac{1}{2}\partial_r\sum_i\big(G_i^{j\alpha\beta}2rt\partial_\alpha v_i\partial_\beta v_j\big)+\sum_i\widetilde{M}_i(v,\partial v)\\
=&\partial_t\sum_i N_i+Div-\frac{1}{2}\partial_r\sum_i\big(G_i^{j\alpha\beta}2rt\partial_\alpha v_i\partial_\beta v_j\big)+\sum_i\widetilde{M}_i(v,\partial v).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.3}
$$

with $\widetilde{M}_i$ denoting the "rest" terms.

Now by (2.2) and (2.3), notice that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_r\big(G_i^{j\alpha\beta}2rt\partial_\alpha v_i\partial_\beta v_j\big)dx=0,
$$

one gets by integrating,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_i\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\big(K_{c_i}v_i+2tv_i\big)\cdot\big(\Box_{c_i}v_i+G_i^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha\beta}v_i\big)dx\\
=&\frac{1}{2}\sum_i\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t\Big(\big((r/c_i)^2+t^2\big)\Big(\big(\partial_tv_i\big)^2+\sum_{a=1}^{3}(c_i\partial_av_i)^2\Big)+4rt\partial_tv_i\partial_rv_i+4tv_i(\partial_tv_i)-2v_i^2\Big)dx\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\sum_i\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_t\big(D_i^2((r/c_i)^2+t^2)v_i^2\big)dx+\sum_i\int_{\mathbb{R}}\partial_tN_idx+\sum_i\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\widetilde{M}_i(v,\partial v)dx\\
:=&\sum_i\frac{d}{2dt}E_{c_i}(v_i,t)+\sum_i\frac{d}{2dt}E_{D_i}(v_i,t)+\sum_i\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{R}_i(v_i,t)+\sum_i\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\widetilde{M}_i(v,\partial v)dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the energy is divided into three parts. E_{c_i} represents the classical conformal energy with velocity c_i. E_{D_i} represents the additional energy with mass D_i^2. The term $\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}N_idx$ is a term of perturbation. When $D_i=0$, this energy estimate coincides to the classical conformal energy estimate of the wave equation. By section 6.7 of [1], There exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
CE_{c_i}(v_i,t)\geq\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\big|Sv_i\big|^2+\sum_{a=1}^{3}\big|H_{c_i,a}v_i\big|^2+\sum_{a,b=1}^{3}\big|\Omega_{ab}v_i\big|^2+|v_i|^2dx.
$$

If one makes the assumptions,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widetilde{M_i}(v,\partial v)dx \le M_i(t)\big(E_{c_i}+E_{D_i}\big)^{1/2}(v_i,t), \tag{2.4}$$

$$\sum_i |\mathcal{R}_i(v_i,t)| \le 4/9\Big|\sum_i (E_{D_i}+E_{c_i})\Big|, \tag{2.5}$$

then by standard procedure of energy estimate, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_i \big(E_{c_i}(v_i,t)+E_{D_i}(v_i,t)\big)^{1/2}\\ \le& C\sum_i \big(E_{c_i}(v_i,1)+E_{D_i}(v_i,1)\big)^{1/2} + C\sum_i \int_1^t ||\sqrt{s^2+(|\cdot|/c_i)^2}F_i(\cdot,s)||_{L^2}+M_i(s)ds.\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

So one concludes by the following lemma

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\{v_i\}$ be C^∞ solution of (2.1) such that for any $t\ge 0$, $v_i(t,\cdot)\in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Suppose that (2.4) and (2.5) hold, then the energy estimate (2.6) holds.*

2.3 Commutators

In this subsection one will develops some estimates on commutators, which are crucial in the proof of decay estiamtes. First one notices that

$$\begin{aligned}&[S,\Omega_{ab}]=0,\\ &[\partial_c,\Omega_{ab}]=\delta_c^a\partial_b+\delta_c^b\partial_a,\\ &[H_{c_i,c},\Omega_{ab}]=-\delta_{ac}H_{c_i,b}+\delta_{bc}H_{c_i,a},\\ &[S,\partial_\alpha]=-\partial_\alpha,\\ &[H_{c_i,a},\partial_b]=-(\delta_{ba}/c_i)\partial_t,\\ &[H_{c_i,a},\partial_t]=-\partial_a,\\ &[\Omega_{ab},\partial_c]=-\delta_{ca}\partial_b+\delta_{cb}\partial_a.\end{aligned}$$

Then by induction, one can prove the following estimates. Let u be a function of calss $C^{|I|+1}(\mathbb{R}^4)$,

$$\sum_{Y\in\mathscr{Y}} |YZ^Iu| \le C(|I|)\sum_{\substack{|J|\le|I|\\ Y\in\mathscr{Y}}} |Z^JYu|. \tag{2.7}$$

For any $Y\in\mathscr{Y}_{c_i}$, and

$$|\partial_\alpha Z^Iu| \le C(|I|)\sum_{\substack{\beta\\ |J|\le|I|}} Z^I\partial_\beta u. \tag{2.8}$$

One also notices that for any $Z\in\mathscr{Z}$,

$$\big|Z\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}\big| \le C\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}.$$

Then by induction one gets

$$\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}Z^Iu\big| \le C(|I|)\sum_{|J|\le|I|}\big|Z^I\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}u\big|. \tag{2.9}$$

2.4 Hardy type inequalities

The following Hardy type inequality is a specail case of Theorem 1.72 of (cite Ba)

Lemma 2.2. *Let u be a function of class $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $r_0 \geq 0$, then there exists a universal constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left|\left|(1+r)^{-1}u\right|\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C||\nabla u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \tag{2.10}$$

2.5 Global Sobolev's inequalities

The following lemma belongs to S. Klainerman [6].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $u(x)$ be a C^∞ function defined on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then*

$$(1+r)|u(x)| \leq C \sum_{|I|\leq 2} ||Z^I u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

2.6 Vector fields

One considers the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t &= \frac{tS - c_i^{-1}x^a H_{c_i,a}}{t^2 - (r/c_i)^2}, \\
\partial_a &= \frac{-x^b \Omega_{ab} + c_i t H_{c_i,a} + x_a S}{(c_i t)^2 - r^2}, \\
\underline{\partial}_{c_i,a} &= t^{-1} H_{c_i,a}.
\end{aligned}$$

So the following estimates hold

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_\alpha u| &\leq (|c_i t - r| + 1)^{-1} \sum_{I=1} \left|Y^I u\right|, \\
|\underline{\partial}_{c_i,a} u| &\leq t^{-1} \sum_{I=1} \left|Y^I u\right|.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Similarly, one has the following estimates on their L^2 norms,

$$||(1+|t-r/c_i|)\partial_\alpha u(\cdot,t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + ||t\underline{\partial}_{c_i,a} u(\cdot,t)||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C E_{c_i}(u,t). \tag{2.12}$$

$$\left|\left|\left(t^2 + (r/c_i)^2\right)^{1/2} u(\cdot,t)\right|\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C E_{D_i}(u,t). \tag{2.13}$$

One notices the following identity:

$$\partial_a = -\frac{x^a}{c_i t}\partial_t + (c_i t)^{-1} H_{c_i,a}. \tag{2.14}$$

And

$$K_{c_i} = tS + (r/c_i)\omega^a H_{c_i,a}. \tag{2.15}$$

2.7 Decay estimates

With the preparation of previous sections, one will establish the crucial decay estimates. Recall the global sobolev inequality, one has

$$(1+r)|Yu(t,x)| \leq C \sum_{|I|\leq 2} ||Z^I Y u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Then by (2.11),

$$(1+r)(1+|t-r/c_i|)|\partial_\alpha u(t,x)| \le C \sum_{\substack{|I|\le 2\\ Y\in\mathscr{Y}_{c_i}}} ||YZ^I u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C \sum_{|I|\le 2} E_{c_i}^{1/2}(Z^I u,t). \tag{2.16}$$

Notice that when $t \ge 1$, $t^{-1} \le 2(1+t)^{-1}$, so by the second inequality of (2.11),

$$(1+t)(1+r)|\underline{\partial}_{c_i,a} u(t,x)| \le C \sum_{|I|\le 2} E_{c_i}^{1/2}(Z^I u,t). \tag{2.17}$$

Now consider the following estimate. by (2.9)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|(1+r)\sqrt{t^2+(r/c_i)^2}u(t,x)\right| \\
\le& C\sum_{|I|\le 2} ||Z^I\left(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\right)^{1/2}u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C\sum_{|I|\le 2} ||\left(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\right)^{1/2}Z^I u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \\
\le& C\sum_{|I|\le 2} E_{D_i}^{1/2}(Z^I u,t).
\end{aligned}$$

That is

$$(1+r)\sqrt{t^2+(r/c_i)^2}|u(t,x)| \le C \sum_{|I|\le 2} E_{D_i}^{1/2}(Z^I u,t). \tag{2.18}$$

3 Main result

One considers the following Cauchy problem,

$$\begin{cases} \Box_{c_i} v_i + G_i^{\alpha\beta}(v,\partial v)\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta v_i + D_i^2 v_i = F_i(v,\partial v), \\ v_i(1,x) = \varepsilon v_{i0}, \quad \partial_t v_i(1,x) = \varepsilon v_{i1}. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Here $i = 1,2,\cdots,n_0$ and $D_i > 0$ are different constants represent the mass. Furthermore,

$$G_i^{\alpha\beta}(v,\partial v) = A_i^{\alpha\beta\gamma k}\partial_\gamma v_k + B_i^{\alpha\beta k} v_k.$$

$A_i^{\alpha\beta\gamma k}, B_i^{\alpha\beta k}$ are constants such that

$$\left|A_i^{\alpha\beta\gamma k}\right| \le \mathcal{K}, \quad \left|B_i^{\alpha\beta k}\right| \le \mathcal{K}.$$

$F_i(v,\partial v)$ are quadratic source terms. More precisely,

$$F_i(v,\partial v) = P_i^{\alpha\beta jk}\partial_\alpha v_j \partial_\beta v_k + Q_i^{\alpha jk} v_k \partial_\alpha v_j + R_i^{jk} v_j v_k.$$

$P_i^{\alpha\beta jk}, Q_i^{\alpha jk}, R_i^{jk}$ are constants,

$$\left|P_i^{\alpha\beta jk}\right| \le \mathcal{K}, \quad \left|Q_i^{\alpha jk}\right| \le \mathcal{K}, \quad \left|R_i^{jk}\right| \le \mathcal{K}.$$

Furthermore, one supposes that v_{i0} and v_{i1} are in the class of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Now one is ready to state the main result.

Theorem 3.1. *There exists a constant ε_0 depending only on v_{i0}, v_{i1},c_i, D_i, $\mathcal{K}$ and n_0, such that for any $0 \le \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$, (3.1) has a unique global-in-time regular solution.*

4 Proof of main result

4.1 Structure of the proof

The proof is boot-strap argument. First, one supposes that the some energies $E^{1/2}(v_i,t)$ are bounded by a small constant ε on a interval $[1,T^*]$. Then by global Sobolev's inequalities one obtains decay estimates. Then by energy estimates one will conclude that for an ε small enough, on $[1,T^*]$, $E^{1/2}(v_i,t)\leq \varepsilon/2$. This indicates that for ε small enough, $E^{1/2}(v_i,t)<\varepsilon$ on $[0,+\infty)$.

The proof is divided into three parts. The first parts consists of the energy assumption and decay estimates provided by global Sobolev's inequalities. In the second part is devoted to the energy estimates. The second part is the boot-strap argument.

4.2 Energy assumption and decay estimates

One supposes that on a time interval $[1,T^*]$,

$$\sum_i E^{1/2}(Z^{I^*}v_i,t)\leq C_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta},\quad |I^*|\leq 6, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\sum_i E^{1/2}(Z^{I}v_i,t)\leq C_1\varepsilon,\quad |I|\leq 4, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\sum_{i,a} E^{1/2}(H_{c_i,a}Z^{I}v_i,t)\leq C_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta},\quad |I|\leq 4, \tag{4.3}$$

where $1/6\geq\delta>0$.

Then by (2.12) and (2.13), the following L^2 estimates hold,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big|(1+|t-r/c_i|)\partial_\alpha Z^{I^*}v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}+\big|\big|\sqrt{t^2+(r/c_i)^2}Z^{I^*}v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta},\\
&\big|\big|(1+|t-r/c_i|)\partial_\alpha Z^{I}v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}+\big|\big|\sqrt{t^2+(r/c_i)^2}Z^{I}v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq CC_1\varepsilon,\\
&\big|\big|(1+|t-r/c_i|)\partial_\alpha H_{c_i,a}Z^{I}v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}+\big|\big|\sqrt{t^2+(r/c_i)^2}H_{c_i,a}Z^{I}v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

By (2.8), one also have the following estimates,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big|(1+|t-r/c_i|)Z^{I^*}\partial_\alpha v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}+\big|\big|(1+|t-r/c_i|)H_{c_i,a}Z^{I}\partial_\alpha v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta},\\
&\big|\big|(1+|t-r/c_i|)Z^{I}\partial_\alpha v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq CC_1\varepsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

One notices that

$$\big|\big|\sqrt{t^2+(r/c_i)^2}Z^{I}\partial_\alpha v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}. \tag{4.6}$$

By (2.16), one has the following decay estimates. For any $|J|\leq 2$ and $|J^*|\leq 4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_\alpha Z^J v_i|&\leq C\varepsilon(1+|t-r/c_i|)^{-1}(r+1)^{-1},\\
|\partial_\alpha H_{c_i,a}Z^J v_i|&\leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}(1+|t-r/c_i|)^{-1}(r+1)^{-1},\\
|\partial_\alpha Z^{J^*} v_i|&\leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}(|t-r/c_i|+1)^{-1}(r+1)^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$|\partial_\alpha Z^J v_i|\leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{-1/2}(r+1)^{-1}. \tag{4.8}$$

Then by (2.8), for any $J \leq 2$, $|J^*| \leq 4$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|Z^J \partial_\alpha v_i| \leq C\varepsilon(1+|t-r/c_i|)^{-1}(r+1)^{-1},\\
&|H_{c_i,a} Z^J \partial_\alpha v_i| \leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}(1+|t-r/c_i|)^{-1}(r+1)^{-1},\\
&|Z^{J^*} \partial_\alpha v_i| \leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}(|t-r/c_i|+1)^{-1}(r+1)^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}
$$

By (2.18), the following decay estimates hold,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|Z^J v_i| \leq C\varepsilon\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{-1/2}(r+1)^{-1},\\
&|H_{c_i,a} Z^J v_i| \leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{-1/2}(r+1)^{-1},\\
&|Z^{J^*} v_i| \leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{-1/2}(r+1)^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}
$$

One notices that

$$
|Z^J \partial_\alpha v_i| \leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{-1/2}(r+1)^{-1}. \tag{4.11}
$$

For the convenience of proof, one regroups the above estimates, for $|I^*| \leq 6$, $|I| \leq 4$, $|J^*| \leq 4$, $|J| \leq 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big|(1+|t-r/c_i|)\partial_\alpha Z^{I^*} v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \big|\big|\sqrt{t^2+(r/c_i)^2}\, Z^{I^*} v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta},\\
&\big|\big|(1+|t-r/c_i|) Z^{I^*} \partial_\alpha v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta},\\
&|Z^{J^*} \partial_\alpha v_i| \leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}(|t-r/c_i|+1)^{-1}(r+1)^{-1},\\
&|\partial_\alpha Z^{J^*} v_i| \leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}(|t-r/c_i|+1)^{-1}(r+1)^{-1},\\
&|Z^{J^*} v_i| \leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{-1/2}(r+1)^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big|(1+|t-r/c_i|)\partial_\alpha Z^{I} v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \big|\big|\sqrt{t^2+(r/c_i)^2}\, Z^{I} v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq CC_1\varepsilon,\\
&\big|\big|(1+|t-r/c_i|) Z^{I} \partial_\alpha v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq CC_1\varepsilon,\\
&\big|\big|\sqrt{t^2+(r/c_i)^2}\, Z^{I} \partial_\alpha v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}\\
&|Z^J \partial_\alpha v_i| \leq C\varepsilon(1+|t-r/c_i|)^{-1}(r+1)^{-1},\\
&|Z^J \partial_\alpha v_i| \leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{-1/2}(r+1)^{-1},\\
&|\partial_\alpha Z^J v_i| \leq C\varepsilon(1+|t-r/c_i|)^{-1}(r+1)^{-1},\\
&|\partial_\alpha Z^J v_i| \leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{-1/2}(r+1)^{-1},\\
&|Z^J v_i| \leq C\varepsilon\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{-1/2}(r+1)^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big|(1+|t-r/c_i|)\partial_\alpha H_{c_i,a} Z^{I} v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \big|\big|\sqrt{t^2+(r/c_i)^2}\, H_{c_i,a} Z^{I} v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta},\\
&\big|\big|(1+|t-r/c_i|) H_{c_i,a} Z^{I} \partial_\alpha v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta},\\
&|H_{c_i,a} Z^J \partial_\alpha v_i| \leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}(1+|t-r/c_i|)^{-1}(r+1)^{-1},\\
&|\partial_\alpha H_{c_i,a} Z^J v_i| \leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}(1+|t-r/c_i|)^{-1}(r+1)^{-1},\\
&|H_{c_i,a} Z^J v_i| \leq C\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{-1/2}(r+1)^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}
$$

4.3 Estimates on source terms

One makes the following convention of notation. In the rest parts, one will use $\mathscr{A}, \mathscr{B}, \mathscr{C}$ for denoting different terms in different place. Their definitions varies in different context. For any C^∞ function w, one has

$$[Z^I, w\partial_{\alpha\beta}] = \sum_{\substack{|I_1|>1\\ I_1+I_2=I}} Z^{I_1} w Z^{I_2}\partial_{\alpha\beta} + w[Z^I, \partial_{\alpha\beta}] \tag{4.15}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose* (4.12) *hold, then the following estimates hold,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&||(t^2+(r/c_i)^2)^{1/2} Z^{I^*} F_i||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta},\\
&||(t^2+(r/c_i)^2)^{1/2} [Z^{I^*}, G_i^{j\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha\beta}]v_j||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta},\\
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widetilde{M}_i(Z^{I^*}v, \partial Z^{I^*}v)dx \le C\mathcal{K}C_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-1+\delta}\big(\sum_i E_{c_i}(Z^{I^*}v_i,t) + E_{D_i}(Z^{I^*}v_i,t)\big)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. First one considers the first inequality of (4.16).

$$Z^{I^*}F_i = Z^{I^*}\big(P_i^{\alpha\beta jk}\partial_\alpha v_j\partial_\beta v_k\big) + Z^{I^*}\big(Q_i^{\alpha jk}\partial_\alpha v_j v_k\big) + Z^{I^*}\big(R_i^{jk}v_j v_k\big).$$

One considers the following estimates,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|Z^{I^*}\big(\partial_\alpha v_j\partial_\beta v_k\big)\big|\\
\le &\sum_{I_1^*+I_2^*=I^*} \big|Z^{I_1^*}\partial_\alpha v_j Z^{I_2^*}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\\
\le &\sum_{\substack{|I_1^*|\le 2\\ I_1^*+I_2^*=I^*}} + \sum_{\substack{|I_2^*|\le 2\\ I_1^*+I_2^*=I^*}} + \sum_{\substack{|I_2^*|=3\\ I_1^*+I_2^*=I^*}} \big|Z^{I_1^*}\partial_\alpha v_j Z^{I_2^*}\partial_\beta v_k\big|.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall the decay estimates in (4.16), for the first term, one has,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big|(t^2+(r/c_i)^2)^{1/2} Z^{I_1^*}\partial_\alpha v_j Z^{I_2^*}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\le &CC_1\varepsilon||Z^{I_1^*}\partial_\alpha v_j(t^2+(r/c_j)^2)^{1/2}Z^{I_2^*}\partial_\beta v_k||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\le &CC_1\varepsilon||(1+|t-r/c_i|)^{-1}(1+r)^{-1}(t^2+(r/c_j)^2)^{1/2}Z^{I_2^*}\partial_\beta v_k||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\le &CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-1}CC_1\varepsilon||(t^2+(r/c_j)^2)^{1/2}Z^{I_2^*}\partial_\beta v_k||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\le &C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

For $|I_2^*|\le 2$, the same estimate holds.

For $|I_1^*| = |I_2^*| = 3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big|(t^2+(r/c_i)^2)^{1/2} Z^{I_1^*}\partial_\alpha v_j Z^{I_2^*}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\le &CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}\big|\big|(1+|t-r/c_i|)^{-1}(1+r)^{-1}(t^2+(r/c_i)^2)^{1/2}Z^{I_2^*}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\le &C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

So one concludes by

$$||(t^2+(r/c_i)^2)^{1/2}Z^{I^*}P_i^{\alpha\beta jk}\partial_\alpha v_j\partial_\beta v_k|| \le C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}.$$

The estimates on terms of $Q_i^{\alpha jk}v_j v_k$ and $R_i^{jk}v_j v_k$ are the same. One omits the details. So one concludes by

$$\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}Z^{I^*}F_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})^3} \le C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}.$$

To proof the second inequality of (4.16), one recalls

$$G_i^{j\alpha\beta}(v,\partial v)=A_i^{j\alpha\beta\gamma k}\partial_\gamma v_k+B_i^{j\alpha\beta k}v_k$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&[Z^{I^*},G_i^{j\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha\beta}]\\
=&[Z^{I^*},A_i^{j\alpha\beta\gamma k}\partial_\gamma v_k]+[Z^{I^*},B_i^{j\alpha\beta k}v_k]\\
=&\sum_{\substack{|I_1^*|\geq 1\\ I_1^*+I_2^*=I^*}}\big(A_i^{j\alpha\beta\gamma k}Z^{I_1^*}\partial_\gamma v_k+B_i^{j\alpha k}Z^{I_1^*}v_k\big)Z^{I_2^*}\partial_{\alpha\beta}v_j+\big(A_i^{j\alpha\beta\gamma k}\partial_\gamma v_k+B_i^{j\alpha\beta k}v_k\big)[Z^{I^*},\partial_{\alpha\beta}].
\end{aligned}$$

The second term is easier to estimate. One notices that

$$\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}\big(|A_i^{j\alpha\beta\gamma k}\partial_\gamma v_k|+|B_i^{j\alpha\beta k}v_k|\big)\leq C\mathcal{K}C_1\varepsilon(1+r)^{-1}.$$

$$|[Z^{I^*},\partial_{\alpha\beta}]v_j|\leq C\sum_{\substack{\alpha,\beta\\ |I^{*\prime}|<|I^*|}}\big|\partial_{\alpha\beta}Z^{I^{*\prime}}v_j\big|.$$

So one concludes by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}\big(A_i^{j\alpha\beta\gamma k}\partial_\gamma v_k+B_i^{j\alpha\beta k}v_k\big)[Z^{I^*},\partial_{\alpha\beta}]v_j\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq&CC_1\varepsilon\sum_{\substack{\alpha,\beta\\ |I^{*\prime}|<|I^*|}}\big|\big|(1+r)^{-1}(1+|t-r/c_i|)^{-1}(1+|t-r/c_i|)\partial_{\alpha\beta}Z^{I^{*\prime}}v_j\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq&C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now considers the rest terms of the commutator,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\sum_{\substack{|I_1^*|\geq 1\\ I_1^*+I_2^*=I^*}}A_i^{j\alpha\beta\gamma k}Z^{I_1^*}\partial_\gamma v_k Z^{I_2^*}\partial_{\alpha\beta}v_j\Big|\\
\leq&\sum_{\substack{1\leq|I_1^*|\leq 2\\ I_1^*+I_2^*=I^*}}+\sum_{\substack{|I_2^*|\leq 1\\ I_1^*+I_2^*=I^*}}+\sum_{\substack{|I_1^*|=3\\ I_1^*+I_2^*=I^*}}\big|A_i^{j\alpha\beta\gamma k}Z^{I_1^*}\partial_\gamma v_k Z^{I_2^*}\partial_{\alpha\beta}v_j\big|\\
=&\mathscr{A}_1+\mathscr{A}_2+\mathscr{A}_3.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}\mathscr{A}_1\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq&C\mathcal{K}C_1\varepsilon\sum_{|I_2^*\leq 5|}||(1+r)^{-1}(1+|t-r/c_i|)^{-1}(1+|t-r/c_i|)Z^{I_2^*}\partial_{\alpha\beta}v_j||\\
\leq&C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

The same method proves that

$$\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}\mathscr{A}_2\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}.$$

The term of $\mathscr{A}_3$ is bounded as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}\mathscr{A}_3\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq&\sum_{|I_2^*|=4}C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon(1+t)^\delta)||(1+r)^{-1}(1+|t-r/c_i|)^{-1}(1+|t-r/c_i|)Z^{I_2^*}\partial_{\alpha\beta}v_j||\\
\leq&C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

One concludes by

$$\sum_{\substack{|I_1^*|\geq 1\\ I_1^*+I_2^*=I^*}} \big|\big|\big(t^2+(t/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2} A_i^{j\alpha\beta\gamma k} Z^{I_1^*}\partial_\gamma v_k Z^{I_2^*}\partial_{\alpha\beta} v_j\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}.$$

The same method proves that

$$\sum_{\substack{|I_1^*|\geq |1\\ I_1^*+I_2^*=I^*}} \big|\big|\big(t^2+(t/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2} B_i^{j\alpha\beta k} Z^{I_1^*} v_k Z^{I_2^*}\partial_{\alpha\beta} v_j\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}.$$

So one concludes by

$$\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}[Z^{I^*}, G_i^{j\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha\beta}]v_j\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})^3} \leq C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}.$$

Finally one proves the last estimates of (4.16). From the definition of $\widetilde{M}_i(Z^{I^*v}, \partial Z^{I^*})$ in (2.3), $\widetilde{M}_i(Z^{I^*v}, \partial Z^{I^*})$ is composed by six terms which are classified in two groups. The first group consists of the following two terms:

$$-\partial_\alpha G_i^{j\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta Z^{I^*} v_j K_{c_i} Z^{I^*} v_i, \quad \frac{1}{2} K_{c_i} G_i^{j\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha Z^{I^*} v_i \partial_\alpha Z^{I^*} v_j.$$

Notice that the following estimates hold,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big|tSZ^{I^*} v_i \partial_\beta Z^{I^*} v_j \partial_{\gamma\gamma'} v_k)\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq& CC_1\varepsilon\big|\big|t(1+r)^{-1}(1+|t-r/c_i|)^{-1}\big(t^2+(r/c_k)^2\big)^{-1/2} SZ^{I^*} v_i(1+|t-r/c_i|)\partial_\beta Z^{I^*} v_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq& CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-1}\big|\big|SZ^{I^*} v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}(E_{c_j}+E_{D_j})^{1/2}(Z^{I^*} v_j, t)\\
\leq& C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}(E_{c_j}+E_{D_j})^{1/2}(Z^{I^*} v_j, t).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big|rH_{c_i,a} Z^{I^*} v_i \partial_\beta Z^{I^*} v_j \partial_{\gamma\gamma'} v_k)\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq& CC_1\varepsilon\big|\big|r(1+r)^{-1}(1+|t-r/c_i|)^{-1}\big(t^2+(r/c_k)^2\big)^{-1/2} SZ^{I^*} v_i(1+|t-r/c_i|)\partial_\beta Z^{I^*} v_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq& C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1}\big|\big|SZ^{I^*} v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}(E_{c_j}+E_{D_j})^{1/2}(Z^{I^*} v_j, t)\\
\leq& C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}(E_{c_j}+E_{D_j})^{1/2}(Z^{I^*} v_j, t).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\big|\big|tSZ^{I^*} v_i \partial_\beta Z^{I^*} v_j \partial_\gamma v_k\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}(E_{c_j}+E_{D_j})^{1/2}(Z^{I^*} v_j, t),$$

and

$$\big|\big|rH_{c_i,a} Z^{I^*} v_i \partial_\beta Z^{I^*} v_j \partial_\gamma v_k\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}(E_{c_j}+E_{D_j})^{1/2}(Z^{I^*} v_j, t).$$

With these estimates and recalling (2.15), one concludes by

$$||-\partial_\alpha G_i^{j\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta Z^{I^*} v_j K_{c_i} Z^{I^*} v_i||_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}\sum_j (E_{c_j}+E_{D_j})^{1/2}(Z^{I^*} v_j, t).$$

Notice that

$$\big|K_{c_i} G_i^{j\alpha\beta}\big| \leq (t+r)(1+r)^{-1}(t^2+r^2)^{-1/2},$$

so one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big|K_{c_i} G_i^{j\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha Z^{I^*} v_i \partial_\alpha Z^{I^*} v_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})^3}\\
\leq& C\mathcal{K}C_1\varepsilon(t+r)(1+r)^{-1}(t^2+r^2)^{-1/2}(1+|t-r/c_i|)^{-1}(1+|t-r/c_j|)^{-1}\\
&\cdot\big|\big|(1+|t-r/c_i|)\partial_\alpha Z^{I^*} v_i||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \times \big|\big|(1+|t-r/c_j|)\partial_\beta Z^{I^*} v_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq& C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}\sum_j (E_{c_i}+E_{D_i})^{1/2}(Z^{I^*} v, t).
\end{aligned}$$

The second group consists of the rest terms of $\widetilde{M}_i$. One considers the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big|(t+r+1)\partial_\alpha Z^{I^*}v_i\partial_\beta Z^{I^*}v_j\big(|\partial_\gamma v_k|+|v_{k'}|\big)\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq& CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-2}\big|\big|(1+r)^{-1}\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}\partial_\alpha Z^{I^*}v_i\big(t^2+(r/c_j)^2\big)^{1/2}\partial_\beta Z^{I^*}v_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq& CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-2}\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}\partial_\alpha Z^{I^*}v_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\cdot\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_j)^2\big)^{1/2}\partial_\beta Z^{I^*}v_j\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq& C(C_1\varepsilon)^{-2+\delta}(E_{c_j}+E_{D_j})^{1/2}(Z^{I^*}v_j,t).
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the terms of the second group are bounded by $\big|(t+r+1)\partial_\alpha Z^{I^*}v_i\partial_\beta Z^{I^*}v_j\partial_\gamma v_k\big|$. So finally one concludes by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\widetilde{M}_i(Z^{I^*}v,\partial Z^{I^*}v)dx\leq C\mathcal{K}C_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}\big(\sum_i E_{c_i}(Z^{I^*}v_i,t)+E_{D_i}(Z^{I^*}v_i,t)\big)^{1/2}.$$

□

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that* (4.13) *hold, then the following estimates hold,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&||\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}Z^IF_i||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-3/2+2\delta},\\
&||\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}[Z^I,G_i^{j\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha\beta}]v_j||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-3/2+2\delta},\\
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\widetilde{M}_i(Z^Iv,\partial Z^Iv)dx\leq C\mathcal{K}C_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-3/2+\delta}\big(\sum_i E_{c_i}(Z^Iv_i,t)+E_{D_i}(Z^Iv_i,t)\big)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.17}$$

Proof. Define the following cut-off function $\mathbb{R}^+\to\mathbb{R}$

$$\chi(x)=\begin{cases}1, & 0\leq x\leq 1/3,\\ 0, & 1/2\leq x.\end{cases}$$

One notices the following estimates. Suppose that $I_1+I_2=I$, and $|I_1|\leq 2$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}Z^{I_1}\partial_\alpha v_jZ^{I_2}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq&\big|\big|\chi(r^2/t)\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}Z^{I_1}\partial_\alpha v_jZ^{I_2}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
&+\big|\big|\big(1-\chi(r^2/t)\big)\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}Z^{I_1}\partial_\alpha v_jZ^{I_2}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq&\mathscr{A}_1+\mathscr{A}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{A}_2\leq& CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}\big|\big|\big(1-\chi(r^2/t)\big)(1+r)^{-1}Z^{I_2}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq& CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-1+\delta}\big|\big|\big(1-\chi(r^2/t)\big)(1+r)^{-1}\big(t^2+(r/c_k)^2\big)^{1/2}Z^{I_2}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq& CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-3/2+2\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

The $\mathscr{A}_1$ is more difficult to estimate.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{A}_1=&\big|\big|\chi(r^2/t)\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}Z^{I_1}\partial_\alpha v_jZ^{I_2}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq& CC_1\varepsilon\big|\big|(1+r)^{-1}\chi(r^2/t)Z^{I_1}\partial_\alpha v_jZ^{I_2}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq& CC_1\varepsilon\big|\big|\chi(r^2/t)\partial_rZ^I\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}+CC_1\varepsilon\big|\big|\chi'(r^2/t)(2r/t)Z^I\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
:=&\mathscr{B}_1+\mathscr{B}_2.
\end{aligned}$$

$\mathscr{B}_2$ is easier to estimate.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{B}_2 \leq & CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-1/2}\big|\big|Z^I\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ \leq & CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-1/2}\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_k)^2\big)^{-1/2}\big(t^2+(r/c_k)^2\big)^{1/2}Z^I\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ \leq & CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-3/2}\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_k)^2\big)^{1/2}Z^I\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ \leq & C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-3/2+\delta}.\end{aligned}$$

To estimate $\mathscr{B}_1$, one recalls (2.14), so that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{B}_1 \leq & CC_1\varepsilon\big|\big|\chi(r^2/t)(r/t)\partial_t Z^I\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}+CC_1\varepsilon\sum_a\big|\big|\chi(r^2/t)t^{-1}H_{c_k,a}Z^I\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ :=& CC_1\varepsilon\mathscr{C}_1+CC_1\varepsilon\mathscr{C}_2.\end{aligned}$$

$\mathscr{C}_1$ is bounded easily

$$\mathscr{C}_1\leq(1+t)^{-1/2}\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_k)^2\big)^{-1/2}\big(t^2+(r/c_k)^2\big)^{1/2}Z^I\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-3/2+\delta}.$$

$\mathscr{C}_2$ is bounded as follows,

$$\mathscr{C}_2\leq\big|\big|t^{-1}(1+|t-r/c_k|)^{-1}\chi(r^2/t)(1+|t-r/c_k|)H_{c_k,a}Z^I\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-2+\delta}.$$

So one concludes by

$$\mathscr{B}_1\leq C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-3/2+\delta}.$$

So finally one gets for $I_1+I_2=I$, $|I_1|\leq 2$,

$$\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}Z^{I_1}\partial_\alpha v_j Z^{I_2}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-3/2+2\delta}. \tag{4.18}$$

Similarly, for $I_1+I_2=I$, $|I_1|\leq 2$,

$$\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}Z^{I_1}v_j Z^{I_2}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-3/2+2\delta}. \tag{4.19}$$

and

$$\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}Z^{I_1}v_j Z^{I_2}v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-3/2+2\delta}. \tag{4.20}$$

Now by (4.18), (4.19) and (4.20), one concludes by

$$\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}Z^I F_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-3/2+2\delta}.$$

That is because $Z^I F_i$ is a finite linear combination of those terms bounded by (4.18), (4.19) and (4.20).

To proof the second inequality of (4.17), by (4.15), one notices that the left-hand-side is also a finite linear combination of the terms bounded by (4.18), (4.19) and (4.20). So that the second inequality of (4.17) holds.

Now one will prove the last inequality. As in the proof of lemma 4.1, one notices the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|\big|tSZ^I v_i\partial_\beta Z^I v_j\partial_{\gamma\gamma'}v_k\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ \leq&\big|\big|\chi(r^2/t)tSZ^I v_i\partial_\beta Z^I v_j\partial_{\gamma\gamma'}v_k\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}+\big|\big|\big(1-\chi(r^2/t)\big)tSZ^I v_i\partial_\beta Z^I v_j\partial_{\gamma\gamma'}v_k\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ :=&\mathscr{A}_1+\mathscr{A}_2.\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{A}_1 \leq & CC_1\varepsilon\big|\big|(1+r)^{-1}\big(t^2+(r/c_k)^2\big)^{-1/2}\chi(r^2/t)tSZ^Iv_i\partial_\beta Z^Iv_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq & CC_1\varepsilon\big|\big|\chi'(r^2/t)(2r/t)SZ^Iv_i\partial_\beta Z^Iv_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}+CC_1\varepsilon\big|\big|\chi(r^2/t)\partial_r\big(SZ^Iv_i\partial_\beta Z^Iv_j\big)\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
:= & CC_1\varepsilon\mathscr{B}_1+CC_1\varepsilon\mathscr{B}_2
\end{aligned}
$$

By the same method which has been used in the proof of (4.18), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{B}_1 \leq & (1+t)^{-3/2}\big|\big|SZ^Iv_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_j)^2\big)^{1/2}\partial_\beta Z^Iv_j\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq & CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-3/2+\delta}(E_{c_i}+E_{D_i})^{1/2}(Z^Iv_i,t).
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall (2.14), one has,

$$
\big|\partial_r SZ^Iv_i\big| \leq C\big|(r/t)\partial_t SZ^Iv_i\big|+C\big|t^{-1}H_{c_i,a}S^Iv_i\big|.
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \big|\big|\chi(r^2/t)(r/t)\partial_t SZ^Iv_i\cdot Z^I\partial_\beta v_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
= & \big|\big|\chi(r^2/t)(r/t)\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{-1/2}\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}\partial_t SZ^Iv_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\cdot\big|\big|Z^I\partial_\beta v_j\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq & (1+t)^{-3/2}\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}\partial_t SZ^Iv_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\cdot\big|\big|Z^I\partial_\beta v_j\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq & CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-3/2+\delta}(E_{c_j}+E_{D_i})^{1/2}(Z^Iv_j,t).
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\big|\big|t^{-1}H_{c_i,a}S^Iv_i\cdot\partial_\beta Z^Iv_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-3/2+\delta}(E_{c_j}+E_{D_i})^{1/2}(Z^Iv_j,t).
$$

The estimate of $\mathscr{A}_2$ is much easier,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{A}_2 \leq & \big|\big|\big(1-\chi(r^2/t)\big)tSZ^Iv_i\partial_\beta Z^Iv_j\partial_{\gamma\gamma'}v_k\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq & CC_1\varepsilon\big|\big|\big(1-\chi(r^2/t)\big)t\big(t^2+(r/c_j)^2\big)^{-1}(1+r)^{-1}SZ^Iv_i\big(t^2+(r/c_j)^2\big)^{1/2}\partial_\beta Z^Iv_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq & CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-3/2}\big|\big|SZ^Iv_i\big(t^2+(r/c_j)^2\big)^{1/2}\partial_\beta Z^Iv_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq & CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-3/2+\delta}(E_{c_i}+E_{D_i})^{1/2}(Z^Iv_j,t).
\end{aligned}
$$

So one concludes by

(4.21)
$$
\begin{aligned}
\big|\big|tSZ^Iv_i\partial_\beta Z^Iv_j\partial_{\gamma\gamma'}v_k\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq & C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-3/2+\delta}\sum_j(E_{c_j}+E_{D_j})^{1/2}(Z^Iv_j,t)\\
\leq & C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-3/2+\delta}\big(\sum_j E_{c_j}(Z^Iv_j,t)+E_{D_j}(Z^Iv_j,t)\big)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now one consider the following estimates,

(4.22)
$$
\begin{aligned}
& \big|\big|rH_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i\partial_\beta Z^Iv_j\partial_{\gamma\gamma'}v_k\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq & CC_1\varepsilon\big|\big|r(r+1)^{-1}\big(t^2+(r/c_k)^2\big)^{-1/2}H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i\partial_\beta Z^Iv_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq & CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-1}\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_j)^2\big)^{-1/2}H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i\big(t^2+(r/c_j)^2\big)^{1/2}\partial_\beta Z^Iv_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq & C(C_1\varepsilon)^{-2+\delta}\big|\big|H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq & C(C_1\varepsilon)^{-2+\delta}(E_{c_i}+E_{D_i})^{1/2}(Z^Iv_i,t).
\end{aligned}
$$

(4.23)
$$
\begin{aligned}
& \big|\big|(1+t+r)\partial_\alpha Z^Iv_i\partial_\beta Z^Iv_j\big(|\partial_\gamma v_k|+|v_{k'}|\big)\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq & CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-2}\big|\big|(1+r)^{-1}\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}Z^Iv_i\partial_\alpha\big(t^2+(r/c_j)^2\big)^{1/2}\partial_\beta Z^Iv_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq & C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-2+\delta}(E_{c_i}+E_{D_i})^{1/2}(Z^Iv_i,t).
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, as one has done in the proof of lemma 4.1, one concludes by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\widetilde{M}_i(Z^Iv,\partial Z^Iv)dx\leq C\mathcal{K}C_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-3/2+\delta}\big(\sum_i E_{c_i}(Z^Iv_i,t)+E_{D_i}(Z^Iv_i,t)\big)^{1/2}.$$

□

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that* (4.14)*, then the following estimates hold,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&||\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}H_{c_i,a}Z^IF_i||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^\delta,\\
&||\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}[H_{c_i,a}Z^I,G_i^{j\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha\beta}]v_j||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^\delta\\
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\widetilde{M}_i(H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv,\partial H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv)dx\leq C\mathcal{K}C_1\varepsilon(1+t)^\delta\sum_i\big(E_{c_i}(Z^{I^*}v_i,t)+E_{D_i}(Z^{I^*}v_i,t)\big)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.24}$$

Proof. One begins by the first inequality of (4.3). As one has done in the proof of lemma 4.2, one considers the following estimates. When $I_1+I_2=I$ with $|I_1|\leq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_j)^2\big)^{1/2}H_{c_i,a}Z^{I_1}\partial_\alpha v_jZ^{I_2}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq&CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^\delta\big|\big|(1+r)^{-1}(1+|t-r/c_j|)^{-1}(1+|t-r/c_j|)Z^{I_2}\partial_\beta v_j\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq&C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_j)^2\big)^{1/2}x^a\partial_tZ^{I_1}\partial_\alpha v_jZ^{I_2}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq&CC_1\varepsilon\big|\big|x^a(1+r)^{-1}Z^{I_2}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq&CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-1}\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_k)^2\big)^{1/2}Z^{I_2}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq&C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$H_{c_i,a}=(c_i/c_j)H_{c_j,a}+(c_i^{-1}-c_i(c_j)^{-2})x^a\partial_t.$$

So one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}H_{c_i,a}Z^{I_1}\partial_\alpha v_jZ^{I_2}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq&(c_i/c_j)\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_j)^2\big)^{1/2}H_{c_i,a}Z^{I_1}\partial_\alpha v_jZ^{I_2}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
&+C\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_j)^2\big)^{1/2}x^aZ^{I_1}\partial_\alpha v_jZ^{I_2}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq&C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.25}$$

The same method gives

$$\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}H_{c_i,a}Z^{I_1}v_jZ^{I_2}\partial_\beta v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta},\tag{4.26}$$

and

$$\big|\big|\big(t^2+(r/c_i)^2\big)^{1/2}H_{c_i,a}Z^{I_1}v_jZ^{I_2}v_k\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\leq C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}.\tag{4.27}$$

One notices that $H_{c_i,a}Z^IF_i$ and $[H_{c_i,a},G_i^{j\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha\beta}]v_j$ are both finite linear combinations of the terms bounded by (4.25), (4.26) and (4.27), which prove the first and second inequalities of (4.24).

To prove the third inequality, one recalls the proof the third inequality of (4.16). One considers firstly the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big|tSH_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i\partial_\beta H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_j\partial_{\gamma\gamma'}v_k\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq&C\big|\big|tSH_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i\partial_\beta H_{c_j,a}Z^Iv_j\partial_{\gamma\gamma'}v_k\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}+C\big|\big|tSH_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i\partial_\beta x^a\partial_tZ^Iv_j\partial_{\gamma\gamma'}v_k\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
:=&\mathscr{A}_1+\mathscr{A}_2
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{A}_1 \leq & CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-1}\big|\big|t(1+|t-r/c_j|)^{-1}(1+r)^{-1}SH_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ & \cdot\big|\big|(1+|t-r/c_j|)\partial_\beta H_{c_j,a}Z^Iv_j\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ & \leq C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}(E_{c_i}+E_{D_i})^{1/2}(H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i,t).\\ \mathscr{A}_2 \leq & CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-1}\big|\big|x^a(r+1)^{-1}SH_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i\cdot(1+|t-r/c_j|)\partial_\beta H_{c_j,a}Z^Iv_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ & \leq C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}(E_{c_i}+E_{D_i})^{1/2}(H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i,t).\end{aligned}$$

So one concludes by

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|\big|tSH_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i\partial_\beta H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_j\partial_{\gamma\gamma'}v_k\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ \leq & C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}\sum_j\big(E_{c_j}(H_{c_j,a}Z^Iv_j,t)+E_{D_j}(H_{c_j,a}Z^Iv_j,t)\big)^{1/2}\end{aligned}\tag{4.28}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|\big|rH_{c_i,a'}H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i\partial_\beta H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_j\partial_{\gamma\gamma'}v_k\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ \leq & C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}\sum_j\big(E_{c_j}(H_{c_j,a}Z^Iv_j,t)+E_{D_j}(H_{c_j,a}Z^Iv_j,t)\big)^{1/2}\end{aligned}\tag{4.29}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|\big|tSH_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i\partial_\beta H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_j\partial_{\gamma}v_k\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ \leq & C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}\sum_j\big(E_{c_j}(H_{c_j,a}Z^Iv_j,t)+E_{D_j}(H_{c_j,a}Z^Iv_j,t)\big)^{1/2}\end{aligned}\tag{4.30}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|\big|rH_{c_i,a'}H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i\partial_\beta H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_j\partial_{\gamma}v_k\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ \leq & C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}\sum_j\big(E_{c_j}(H_{c_j,a}Z^Iv_j,t)+E_{D_j}(H_{c_j,a}Z^Iv_j,t)\big)^{1/2}\end{aligned}\tag{4.31}$$

One notices also that

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|\big|(1+t+r)\partial_\alpha H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i\partial_\beta H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_j\big(|\partial_\gamma v_k+|v_{k'}|\big)\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ \leq & CC_1\varepsilon\big|\big|(1+r)^{-1}\partial_\alpha H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i\partial_\beta H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ \leq & CC_1\varepsilon\big|\big|(1+r)^{-1}\partial_\alpha H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i\partial_\beta H_{c_j,a}Z^Iv_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ & + CC_1\varepsilon\big|\big|(1+r)^{-1}\partial_\alpha H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i\partial_\beta x^a\partial_tZ^Iv_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ := & \mathscr{A}_1+\mathscr{A}_2\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathscr{A}_1 \leq CC_1\varepsilon\big|\big|(1+r)^{-1}(1+|t-r/c_j|)^{-1}\partial_\alpha H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i(1+|t-r/c_j|)\partial_\beta H_{c_j,a}Z^Iv_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ \leq & CC_1\varepsilon\big|\big|\partial_\alpha H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\cdot\big|\big|(1+|t-r/c_j|)\partial_\beta H_{c_j,a}Z^Iv_j\big|\big|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ \leq & C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{\delta}(E_{c_i+E_{D_i}})^{1/2}(H_{c_i,a}Z^Iv_i),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathscr{A}_2 \leq CC_1\varepsilon\big|\big|(1+r)^{-1}\partial_\alpha H_{c_i,a}Z^I v_i\partial_\beta x^a\partial_t Z^I v_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq& CC_1\varepsilon\big|\big|(1+r)^{-1}\partial_\alpha H_{c_i,a}Z^I v_i\cdot x^a\partial_\beta\partial_t Z^I v_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
&+CC_1\varepsilon\big|\big|(1+r)^{-1}\partial_\alpha H_{c_i,a}Z^I v_i\cdot\delta^a_\beta\partial_t Z^I v_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq& CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-1}\big|\big|\partial_\alpha H_{c_i,a}Z^I v_i\cdot\big(t^2+(r/c_j)^2\big)^{1/2}\partial_t Z^I v_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
&+CC_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{-1}\big|\big|(1+r)^{-1}\partial_\alpha H_{c_i,a}Z^I v_i\cdot\delta^a_\beta\big(t^2+(r/c_j)^2\big)^{1/2}\partial_t Z^I v_j\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq& C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}(E_{c_i}+E_{D_i})^{1/2}(H_{c_i}Z^I v_i,t).
\end{aligned}$$

So one concludes by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big|\big|(1+t+r)\partial_\alpha H_{c_i,a}Z^I v_i\partial_\beta H_{c_i,a}Z^I v_j\big(|\partial_\gamma v_k+|v_{k'}|\big)\big|\big|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\
\leq& C(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}\sum_j\big(E_{c_j}(H_{c_j,a}Z^I v_j,t)+E_{D_j}(H_{c_j,a}Z^I v_j,t)\big)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.32}$$

As what one has done in the proof of lemma 4.1 and by (4.28)-(4.32), one concludes by the last inequality of (4.24). □

4.4 Boot-strap argument

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that the fist inequality of* (4.7) *and the first inequality of* (4.10) *hold, then*

$$\mathcal{R}(Z^I v)\leq\frac{8}{9}\bigg(\sum_j E_{c_j}(Z^I v_j,t)+E_{D_j}(Z^I v_j,t)\bigg)^{1/2}$$

Proof. One notices that

$$\begin{aligned}
&N_i(Z^I v,\partial Z^I v)\\
\leq& C^*\sum_{j,\alpha,\beta,\alpha',\beta'}|(t^2+r^2)G_i^{j\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha'}Z^I v_k\partial_{\beta'}Z^I v_l|\\
\leq& C^*\mathcal{K}\big|(t^2+r^2)(|\partial v|+|v|)\partial_{\alpha'}Z^I v_k\partial_{\beta'}Z^I v_l\big|\\
\leq& C^*\mathcal{K}C_1\varepsilon\big|(t^2+r^2)(t^2+r^2)^{-1/2}(1+r)^{-1}\partial_{\alpha'}Z^I v_k\partial_{\beta'}Z^I v_l\big|\\
\leq& C^*\mathcal{K}C_1\varepsilon\big|(t^2+r^2)(t^2+r^2)^{-1/2}(1+r)^{-1}(1+|t-r/c_l|)^{-1}(1+|t-r/c_k|)^{-1}\big|\\
&\cdot\big|(1+|t-r/c_k|)\partial_{\alpha'}Z^I v_k(1+|t-r/c_l|)\partial_{\beta'}Z^I v_l\big|\\
\leq& C^*\mathcal{K}C_1\varepsilon\big|(1+|t-r/c_k|)\partial_{\alpha'}Z^I v_k(1+|t-r/c_l|)\partial_{\beta'}Z^I v_l\big|
\end{aligned}$$

So one gets

$$\int|N_i(Z^I v,\partial Z^I v)|dx\leq C^*\mathcal{K}C_1\varepsilon\sum_i E_{c_i}^{1/2}(Z^I v_i,t)\leq C^*C(n_0)\mathcal{K}C_1\varepsilon\bigg(\sum_i E_{c_i}(Z^I v_i,t)\bigg)^{1/2}.$$

One can chose

$$\varepsilon\leq\frac{8}{9C^*C(n_0)\mathcal{K}C_1},\tag{4.33}$$

then the lemma is proved. □

Now one is ready to prove the main result.

Proof of theorem 3.1. One denote by $C_0 > 0$ a constant such that

$$\sum_i (E_{D_i} + E_{c_i})^{1/2}(Z^I v_i, 0) + \sum_i (E_{D_i} + E_{c_i})^{1/2}(Z^{I^*} v_i, 0)$$
$$+ \sum_{i,a} (E_{D_i} + E_{c_i})^{1/2}(H_{c_i,a} Z^I v_i, 0) \leq C_0 \varepsilon.$$

Derive the equation (3.1) with respect to a general product $Y^I_{c_i}$,

$$\Box_{c_i} Y^I_{c_i} v_i + D_i^2 Y^I_{c_i} v_i + G_i^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha\beta} Y^I_{c_i} v_i = [G_i^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha\beta}, Y^I_{c_i}] v_i + Y^I_{c_i} F_i.$$

Then by (2.6),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_i (E_{D_i} + E_{c_i})^{1/2}(Y^I_{c_i} v_i, t) \\
\leq & C \sum_i (E_{D_i} + E_{c_i})^{1/2}(Y^I_{c_i} v_i, 0) \\
& + \sum_i \int_0^t ||\sqrt{s^2 + (|\cdot|/c_i)^2}\big([G_i^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha\beta}, Y^I_{c_i}] v_i + Y^I_{c_i} F_i\big)||_{L^2} + M_i(Y^I_{c_i} v_i, \partial Y^I_{c_i} v_i) ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

Consider the following three cases. When $|I| \leq 4$, by lemma 4.2, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_i (E_{D_i} + E_{c_i})^{1/2}(Z^I v_i, t) \\
\leq & C \sum_i (E_{D_i} + E_{c_i})^{1/2}(Z^I v_i, 0) \\
& + \sum_i \int_0^t ||\sqrt{s^2 + (|\cdot|/c_i)^2}\big([G_i^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha\beta}, Z^I] v_i + Z^I F_i\big)||_{L^2} + M_i(Z^I v_i, \partial Z^I v_i) ds \\
\leq & C C_0 \varepsilon + \int_0^t C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon)^2 (1+t)^{-3/2+2\delta} dt \\
\leq & C C_0 \varepsilon + C\mathcal{K}(1/2 - 2\delta)^{-1} (C_1 \varepsilon)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

When $|I^*| \leq 6$, by lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_i (E_{D_i} + E_{c_i})^{1/2}(Z^{I^*} v_i, t) \\
\leq & C \sum_i (E_{D_i} + E_{c_i})^{1/2}(Z^{I^*} v_i, 0) \\
& + \sum_i \int_0^t ||\sqrt{s^2 + (|\cdot|/c_i)^2}\big([G_i^{\alpha\beta} \partial_{\alpha\beta}, Z^{I^*}] v_i + Z^{I^*} F_i\big)||_{L^2} + M_i(Z^{I^*} v_i, \partial Z^{I^*} v_i) ds \\
\leq & C C_0 \varepsilon + \int_0^t C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon)^2 (1+t)^{-1+\delta} dt \\
\leq & C C_0 \varepsilon + C\mathcal{K}\delta^{-1} (C_1 \varepsilon)^2 (1+t)^{\delta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

When taking the product $H_{c_i,a}Z^I$ with $|I|\leq 4$, by lemma 4.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_i (E_{D_i}+E_{c_i})^{1/2}(H_{c_i,a}Z^I v_i,t)\\
\leq& C\sum_i (E_{D_i}+E_{c_i})^{1/2}(H_{c_i,a}Z^I v_i,0)\\
&+\sum_i \int_0^t ||\sqrt{s^2+(|\cdot|/c_i)^2}\big([G_i^{\alpha\beta}\partial_{\alpha\beta}, H_{c_i,a}Z^I v_i + H_{c_i,a}Z^I F_i\big)||_{L^2}\\
&+\sum_i \int_0^t M_i(H_{c_i,a}Z^I v_i, \partial H_{c_i,a}Z^I v_i)ds\\
\leq& CC_0\varepsilon + \int_0^t C\mathcal{K}(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{-1+\delta}dt\\
\leq& CC_0\varepsilon + C\mathcal{K}\delta^{-1}(C_1\varepsilon)^2(1+t)^{\delta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

One denotes by

$$\begin{cases}
\sum_i E^{1/2}(Z^{I^*}v_i,t)\leq C_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta},\\
\sum_i E^{1/2}(H_{c_i,a}Z^I v_i,t)\leq C_1\varepsilon,\\
\sum_{i,a} E^{1/2}(Z^I v_i,t)\leq C_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}.
\end{cases} \tag{4.38}$$

Now suppose that

$$T' = \sup_t\left\{t>0, (4.38)\text{ holds.}\right\}$$

By continuity, when $C_1 > C_0$, one has $T' > 0$. When $T' < \infty$, at least one of the following equalities holds

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_i E^{1/2}(Z^{I^*}v_i,T') = C_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta},\\
&\sum_{i,a} E^{1/2}(H_{c_i,a}Z^I v_i,T') = C_1\varepsilon,\\
&\sum_{i,a} E^{1/2}(Z^I v_i,T') = C_1\varepsilon(1+t)^{\delta}.
\end{aligned}$$

On can chose that

$$\varepsilon\leq \min\left\{\frac{\delta(C_1/2-CC_0)}{C\mathcal{K}C_1^2}, \frac{(1/2-2\delta)(C_1/2-CC_0)}{C\mathcal{K}C_1^2}, \frac{8}{9C^*C(n_0)\mathcal{K}C_1}\right\}$$

and $\delta = 1/6$, $C_1 > 2CC_0$. Then by (4.33), (4.36), (4.35), (4.37); (4.38) holds on $[1,T']$ with C_1 replaced by $C_1/2$. This indicates that $T' = \infty$. Then by (4.10), one concludes by the desired result. □

References

[1] S. Alinhac, *Hyperbolic Partial Differential Equations*, Springer Science + Business Media, LLC 2009.

[2] P. Germain, *Global existence for coupled Klein-Gordon equations with different velocities*, arXiv:1005.5238v1.

[3] L. Hormander, *Lectures on nonlinear hyperbolic differential equations*, Springer-Verlag 1997.

[4] S. Klainerman, *The null condition and global existence to nonlinear wave equations*, Nonlinear systems of partial differential equations in applied mathematics, Part 1 (Santa Fe, N.M., 1984), pp. 293-326, Lectures in Appl. Math., **23**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.

[5] S. Klainerman, *Global existence of small amplitude solutions to nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations in four space-time dimensions*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics Volume **38**, Issue 5, pages 631-641, September 1985.

[6] S. Klainerman, *Remarkes on the Global Sobolev Inequalities in the Minkowski Space* $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics Volume **40**, Issue 1, pages 111-117, January 1987.

[7] J. Shatah, Normal forms and quadratic nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics Volume **38**, Issue 5, pages 685-696, September 1985.

[8] C. D. Sogge *Lectures on nonlinear wave equations (2nd edition)*, 2008 International Press of Boston, Inc.