arXiv:1111.3825v2 [math.DG] 17 Nov 2011

L^2 AND INTERSECTION COHOMOLOGIES FOR THE REDUCTIVE REPRESENTATION OF THE FUNDAMENTAL GROUPS OF QUASIPROJECTIVE MANIFOLDS WITH UNIPOTENT LOCAL MONODROMY

XUANMING YE AND KANG ZUO

Abstract. Let X be a projective manifold, and D be a normal crossing divisor of X. By Jost-Zuo's theorem [3] that if we have a reductive representation ρ of the fundamental group $\pi_1(X^*)$ with unipotent local monodromy, where $X^* = X - D$, then there exists a tame pluriharmonic metric h on the flat bundle $\mathcal{V}$ associated to the local system $\mathbb{V}$ obtain from ρ over X^*. Therefore, we get a harmonic bundle (E, θ, h), where θ is the Higgs field, i.e. a holomorphic section of $End(E) \otimes \Omega^{1,0}_{X^*}$ satisfying $\theta^2 = 0$. In this paper, we study the harmonic bundle (E, θ, h) over X^*. We are going to prove that the intersection cohomology $IH^k(X; \mathbb{V})$ is isomorphic to the L^2-cohomology $H^k(X, (\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}_{(2)}(X, \mathcal{V}), \mathbb{D}))$.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to generalized the work of Cattani-Kaplan-Schmid [1] and Kashiwara-Kawai [4]. Let us have a briefly recall of their results at first.

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold, and D be a normal crossing divisor of X. Let $(H; F(H), \bar{F}(H); S)$ be a polarized variation of Hodge structure over $X^* = X - D$ of weight w. Let H^π be the minimal extension of H.

Here the minimal extension H^π of H is, by definition, a unique perverse sheaf on X such that it is an extension of H and that is does not have either non-zero sub-perverse sheaf or a perverse quotient supported in D.

Denote the L^2 DeRham complex by $(\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}_{(2)}(X, \mathcal{V}), \mathbb{D})$. Cattani-Kaplan-Schmid and Kashiwara-Kawai have proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. [1] [4] *The complex $(\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}_{(2)}(X, \mathcal{V}), \mathbb{D})$ is quasi-isomorphic to H^π. In particular, the L^2-cohomology group $H^k(X; (\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}_{(2)}(X, \mathcal{V}), \mathbb{D}))$ conincides with the intersection cohomology group $IH^k(X; H_{\mathbb{C}})$.*

[1]This work was supported by SFB/Transregio 45 Periods, Moduli Spaces and Arithmetic of Algebraic Varieties of the DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft).

Now we consider a more general case: let X be a projective manifold, and D be a normal crossing divisor of X and $X^* = X - D$. Let $\rho : \pi_1(X^*) \to GL(V)$ be a reductive representation of the fundamental group of X^* with unipotent local monodromy, where V is a complex vector space (for the definition of reductive, see [3], definition 1.1, for the definition of unipotent local monodromy, we mean that the local monodromy of the local systems $\mathbb{V}$ associated to ρ is unipotent). By a result obtained by J. Jost and K. Zuo [3], which says there exists a tame pluri-harmonic metric h on $\mathcal{V}$, where $\mathcal{V}$ is the flat bundle associated to the local system $\mathbb{V}$ obtain from ρ over X^*, we obtain a tame and nilpotent harmonic bundle (E, θ, h) with real structure and trivial parabolic structure over X^*.

It is natural to have the following question: can the above results be generalized to this case? When X is a curve, this has been proved to be true by Jost-Yang-Zuo in their paper [2] and Sabbah [6]. In this paper, we will give a positive answer to this question in general. More precisely, we have prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a projective manifold, and D be a normal crossing divisor of X and $X^* = X - D$. Let $\rho : \pi_1(X^*) \to GL(V)$ be a reductive representation of the fundamental group of X^* with unipotent local monodromy, where V is a complex vector space. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the flat bundle and $\mathbb{V}$ the local system associated to ρ over X^*. Then the The complex $(\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}_{(2)}(X, \mathcal{V}), \mathbb{D})$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathbb{V}^{\pi}$. In particular, the L^2-cohomology group $H^k(X; (\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}_{(2)}(X, \mathcal{V}), \mathbb{D}))$ conincides with the intersection cohomology group $IH^k(X; \mathbb{V})$.*

The outline of the paper is the following, in section 2, we will give some preliminaries on harmonic bundle and the meaning of tame, nilpotent, real structure and parabolic structure. We will also give some preliminaries on L^2 cohomology and the partial Koszul complex. We will give the proof of the main theorem on section 3.

2. Prelimiaries

2.1. **harmonic bundles.**

All of the contents of this subsection can be found in Mochizuki's paper [5].

Let X be a complex manifold. Let $(E, \bar{\partial}_E)$ be a holomorphic bundle. Here E denotes a C^∞-vector bundle and $\bar{\partial}_E$ denotes an operator $\bar{\partial}_E : C^\infty(X, E) \to C^\infty(X, E \otimes \Omega_X^{0,1})$, such that $(\bar{\partial}_E)^2 = 0$ and that $\bar{\partial}_E(fv) = \bar{\partial}(f) \cdot v + f \cdot \bar{\partial}_E(v)$ for any $f \in C^\infty(X)$ and $v \in C^\infty(X, E)$. Let h be a hermitian metric of E. We denote the inner product of h by $(\cdot, \cdot)_h$. We often omit h if there is no confusion. For a holomorphic vector bundle $(E, \bar{\partial}_E)$ with a hermitian metric, we obtain $\partial_E : C^\infty(X, E) \to C^\infty(X, E \otimes \Omega^{1,0})$ satisfying $\bar{\partial}(f, g)_h = (\bar{\partial}_E(f), g)_h + (f, \partial(g))_h$. We denote the curvature of the unitary connection $\partial_E + \bar{\partial}_E$ by $R(\partial_E + \bar{\partial}_E)$.

Let θ be a section of $C^\infty(X, End(E) \otimes \Omega^{1,0})$. It is called a (holomorphic) Higgs field if $\bar{\partial}_E\theta = 0$ and $\theta \wedge \theta = 0$. The tuple $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, h)$ is called a Higgs bundle.

We have the adjoint of θ with respect to h, which we denote by $\theta^\dagger$, namely $(\theta f, g)_h = (f, \theta^\dagger g)_h$. Then $\theta^\dagger$ is an element of $C^\infty(X, End(E) \otimes \Omega^{0,1})$ satisfying $\partial_E(\theta^\dagger) = 0$ and $\theta^\dagger \wedge \theta^\dagger = 0$.

From a Higgs bundle $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \theta)$ with a hermitian metric h, we obtain the following connection:

$$\mathbb{D} := \bar{\partial}_E + \partial_E + \theta + \theta^\dagger : C^\infty(X, E) \to C^\infty(X, E \otimes \Omega^1_X).$$

Definition 2.1. A tuple $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, h, \theta)$ is called a harmonic bundle, if $\mathbb{D}$ is flat, namely $\mathbb{D} \circ \mathbb{D} = 0$. □

Let X be an n-dimensional compact complex manifold, and $D = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_i$ be a simple normal crossing divisor.

Definition 2.2. Let P be a point of X, and D_{i_j} $(j = 1, \dots, l)$ be components of D containing P. An admissible coordinate around P is the tuple $(\mathcal{U}, \varphi)$:

- $\mathcal{U}$ is an open subset of X containing P.
- φ is a holomorphic isomorphism $\mathcal{U} \to \Delta^n = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \,|\, |z_i| < 1\}$ such that $\varphi(P) = (0, \dots, 0)$, and $\varphi(D_{i_j}) = \{z_j = 0\}$ for any $j = 1, \dots, l$. □

Let (E, θ, h) be a harmonic bundle of rank r defined over $X - D$.

Definition 2.3. Let P be any point of X, and $(\mathcal{U}, \varphi)$ be an admissible coordinate around P. On $\mathcal{U}$, we have the description:

$$\theta = \sum_{j=1}^{l} f_j \cdot \frac{dz_j}{z_j} + \sum_{j=l+1}^{n} g_j \cdot dz_j.$$

(Tameness): Let t be a formal variable. We have the polynomials $\det(t - f_j)$ and $\det(t - g_j)$ of t, whose coefficients are holomorphic functions defined over $\mathcal{U} - \bigcup_{j=1}^{l} D_{i_j}$. When the functions are extended to the holomorphic functions over $\mathcal{U}$, the harmonic bundle is called tame at P.

(Nilpotentness): Assume that the harmonic bundle is tame at P. When $\det(t - f_j)|_{\mathcal{U} \cap D_{i_j}} = t^r$, then the harmonic bundle is called nilpotent at P.

When (E, h, θ) is a tame nilpotent at any point $P \in X$, then it is called a tame nilpotent harmonic bundle. □

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the flat bundle associated to (E, θ, h).

Definition 2.4. We say that the parabolic structure of (E, θ, h) is trivial, if for any s be a multiple-flat section. Let $(\mathcal{U}, \varphi)$ be an admissible coordinate around P. Then we have $C_1 \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{l} |z_i|^\epsilon \leq |s|_h \leq C_2 \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{l} |z_i|^{-\epsilon}$. (Precisely, we need only the estimate on curves.)

Definition 2.5. Let $\iota : E \to E$ be an anti-linear isomorphism. We say that ι is a real structure of (E, θ, h), if the following holds:

- ι^2 is the identity map.
- ι preserves the metric h.
- ι replaces ∂_E and $\bar{\partial}_E$. Namely we have $\iota(\partial_E f) = \bar{\partial}_E \iota(f)$ and $\iota(\bar{\partial}_E f) = \partial_E \iota(f)$.
- ι replaces θ and $\theta^\dagger$. □

2.2. L^2-Cohomology.

Let X be a compact complex manifold, D a normal crossing divisor. Set $X^* := X - D$. Let (E, θ, h) be a tame nilpotent harmonic bundle with trivial parabolic structure over X^*. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the flat bundle associated to (E, θ, h).

We can equip X^* with a Poincare like metric ([7], §3). More precisely: let P be a point of D and choose an admissible coordinate system $(z_1, ..., z_n)$ around P. Then

$$g \sim \sum_{j<=l} \frac{\sqrt{-1} dz_j d\bar{z}_j}{(|z_j| \log |z_j|)^2} + \sum_{j>l} \sqrt{-1} dz_j d\bar{z}_j.$$

Here, and in what follows the symbol $\sim$ means that each of the two metrics is bounded by a constant mulitiple of the other on a neighborhood of the point in question.

As we have the above metric on X^* and a harmonic metric on $\mathcal{V}$, we are now ready to define the L^2-cohomology groups. Let $\mathcal{A}^p(X^*, \mathcal{V})$ be the sheaf of C^∞ p forms with valued in $\mathcal{V}$. Let j be the inclusion map $j : X^* \hookrightarrow X$. Then the sheaf $\mathcal{A}^p_{(2)}(X, \mathcal{V})$ is given by the following: for any open subset $\mathcal{U}$ of X,

$$\mathcal{A}^p_{(2)}(X, \mathcal{V})(U) = \{s \in \mathcal{A}^p(X^*, \mathcal{V})(U - U \bigcap D) | s \ \ and \ \ \mathbb{D}s \ \ are \ \ square - intergrable\}.$$

Note that $(\mathcal{A}^\cdot_{(2)}(X, \mathcal{V}), \mathbb{D})$ is a complex of sheaves and we call this the L^2 DeRham complex of $\mathcal{V}$ which is a subcomplex of $(j_* \mathcal{A}^\cdot(X, \mathcal{V}), \mathbb{D})$. And we called the cohomology groups $H^k(X; (\mathcal{A}^\cdot_{(2)}(X, \mathcal{V}), \mathbb{D}))$ compute by the L^2 DeRham complex $(\mathcal{A}^\cdot_{(2)}(X, \mathcal{V}), \mathbb{D})$ the L^2-cohomology groups of $\mathcal{V}$.

2.3. Partial Koszul Complex.

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space and $(N_1, \ldots, N_n)$ be a commuting tuple of nilpotent maps. Let $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ be the standard base of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. We have the partial Koszul complex $\Pi(N_1, \ldots, N_n)$. The k-th part $\Pi(N_1, \ldots, N_n)^k$ is defined as follows:

$$\Pi(N_1, \ldots, N_n)^k = \bigoplus_{\substack{|J|=k, \\ J \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}}} Im N_J \otimes (\mathbb{C} \cdot e_J).$$

Here we put $N_J = \prod_{j\in J} N_j$ and $e_J = \bigwedge_{j\in J} e_j$. The differential d is given by $\sum_{j=1}^n N_j \wedge e_j$. Namely we put as follows:

$$d(v \otimes e_J) := \sum_{j=1}^{n} N_j(v) \otimes (e_j \wedge e_J).$$

.

3. MAIN THEOREM

We are ready to state the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a compact complex manifold, and D be a normal crossing divisor of X. Let (E, θ, h) be a tame and nilpotent harmonic bundle with real structure and trivial parabolic structure over $X - D$. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the flat bundle and $\mathbb{V}$ the local system over $X - D$ associated to (E, θ, h). Then the The complex $(\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}_{(2)}(X, \mathcal{V}), \mathbb{D})$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathbb{V}^{\pi}$. In particular, the L^2-cohomology group $H^k(X; (\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}_{(2)}(X, \mathcal{V}), \mathbb{D}))$ conincides with the intersection cohomology group $IH^k(X; \mathbb{V})$.*

Remark 3.2. We can get the proof of theorem 1.2 directly if we combine Jost and Zuo's results in [3] and the above theorem.

Before the proof of this theorem, we need to do some preparation as Kashiwara and Kawai did in their paper [4]. For any point $P \in X$, there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of P where we can choose an admissible coordinate $\{z_1, ..., z_n\}$ where $n = \dim X$. For $l \geq 0$, We define X_l so that

$$X_l \bigcap \mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{\substack{|J|=j, \\ J\subset\{1,\ldots,n\}}} \bigcap_{j\in J} z_j^{-1}(0)$$

and we set

$$X_l^* = X_l - X_{l+1}.$$

Then X_l^* is an l-codimensional submaniofld of X and $X = \bigsqcup_l X_l^*$ is a Whitney stratification of X.

By an induction argument see [4] §5.4, if we want to prove the theorem, we only need to prove the following claim:

Claim 3.3. If we assume

$$(\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}_{(2)}(X, \mathcal{V}, \mathbb{D}))|_{X-X_l} \cong \mathbb{V}^{\pi}|_{X-X_l}, l \geq 0$$

then

- For $P \in X_l^*$, $\mathcal{H}^{\nu}((\mathcal{A}^{\nu}_{(2)}(X, \mathcal{V}), \mathbb{D}))_P = 0$ for $\nu \geq l$.

- For $P \in X_l^*$, we have
  $\mathcal{H}^\nu((\mathcal{A}^\nu_{(2)}(X,\mathcal{V}),\mathbb{D}))_P \cong lim_{\overrightarrow{U \ni P}} \mathcal{H}^\nu((\mathcal{A}^\nu_{(2)}(X,\mathcal{V}),\mathbb{D}))$ for $\nu \leq l-1$,

where $\mathcal{U}$ ranges over a neighborhood system of P.

Since the question is local, we consider the problem locally on X so that we may assume $X_{l+1} = \phi$. Thus on a neighborhood of P, we may assume

$$X = \triangle^l \times \triangle^{n-l}, X^* = \triangle^{*l} \times \triangle^{n-l}, \tag{3.3.1}$$

where $\triangle = z; |z| < 1$ is the unit disc and $\triangle^*$ is the punctured disc $\triangle - \{0\}$. Thanks to the following lemma, we may assume $l = n$, i.e.,

$$X = \triangle^n, X^* = \triangle^{*n} \ \ and \ \ g = \sum_{j \leq n} \frac{\sqrt{-1} dz_j d\bar{z}_j}{(|z_j| \log |z_j|)^2}.$$

Lemma 3.4 (see [4] §5.6)**.** *Let M be a Riemannian manifold equipped with a metric g_0. Let I be an open interval $\{t \in \mathbb{R}; -1 < t < 1\}$. Suppose that $I \times M$ is equipped with a Riemannian metric g. Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a local system on M and let p be the projection $I \times M \to M$. Suppose that the C^∞-vector bundle associated with $p^{-1}\mathbb{V}$ is equipped with a Hermitian metric $||*||_{(t,x)}$. Suppose*

$$g \sim dt^2 + g_0.$$

Suppose also that

$$||*||_{(t,x)} \sim ||*||_{(0,x)}.$$

Then we have

$$H^k_{(2)}(M;\mathbb{V}) \cong H^k_{(2)}(I \times M; p^{-1}\mathbb{V}).$$

As Kashiwara-Kawai did in [4], we take a coordinate system $(t,x,y) = (t, x_1, ..., x_n, y_1, ..., y_n)$ of X^*, with $\sum y_j = 1$, by

$$z_j = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}x_j - (ty_j)^{-1}).$$

Then X^* is isomorphic to

$$\{(t,x,y) \in \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n; t, y_1, ..., y_n > 0, \sum y_j = 1\}.$$

Note that in this coordinate system (t,x,y) the g has computed by Kashiwara-Kawai in [4]:

Lemma 3.5 (see [4] §5.8)**.** *The Riemannian metric g is equivalent to*

$$\frac{dt^2}{t^2} + \sum_{j=1}^n t^2 y_j^2 dx_j^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{dy_j^2}{y_j^2}$$

on X^*.

Definition 3.6. Let $X^*(t_0)$ denote

$$\{(t,x,y) \in X^*; t = t_0\}$$

for $t_0 > 0$.

We equip $X^*(t_0)$ with the following Riemannian metric $g(t_0)$:

$$g(t_0) = t_0^2(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j^2 dx_j^2) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} y_j^{-2} dy_j^2.$$

Next let us study the Hermitian metric on the fiber bundle $\mathcal{V}$. Let N_j denote the $Res_{\mathcal{D}_j}(\mathbb{D})$. Then we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.7. *There exists an endomorphism K of $\mathcal{V}$ which satisfies the following two conditions:*

- $[K, N_j] = -2N_j$, $j = 1, ..., n$.
- $K|_{Gr_k^W} = k$, *where* $W = W(N_1, ..., N_n)$.

Proof. By [5] Theorem 8.2, we know that $(N_1, ..., N_n)$ is strongly sequentially compatible in the sense of Mochizuki(see [5], Definition 2.9). Then we can find a frame $\mathbf{v}$ of $\mathcal{V}$ which is strongly compatible with $(N_1, ..., N_n)$. We define $K : \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$ by:

$$K(v) := deg^{W(N_1,...,N_n)}(v) \cdot v.$$

The second properties can be seen directly from the definition, while the first one can be proved by using the lemma 9.6 in [5]. □

Now we know the following:

Lemma 3.8. *We have the following estimate for any section e of $\mathcal{V}$.*

- $|e|_{X^*}(t,x,y) \sim |t^{-K/2}e|_{X^*}(1,x,y)$.
- *If we write* $e = \sum e_k$ *such that* $Ke_k = ke_k$, *then* $|e|_{X^*} \sim \sum_k |e_k|_{X^*}$.

where $|*|_{X^*}(t,x,y)$ *denotes the Hermitian metric of* $\mathcal{V}$ *at* (t,x,y).

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{S}_n$ be the n-th symmetric group. C be a positive real number, and σ be an element of $\mathfrak{S}_n$. Then we put as follows:

$$Z(\sigma, n, C) := \big\{(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \Delta^{*\,n} \big| \, |z_{\sigma(i-1)}|^C < |z_{\sigma(i)}| \;\; i = 2, \ldots, n\big\}.$$

Let $I_j = \{\sigma(1), ..., \sigma(j)\}$ and $h_j = deg^{W(I_j)}(e)$. Then by theorem 9.3 in [5] there exist positive numbers C_1 and C_2 such that the following equality holds on $Z(\sigma, n, C)$.

$$0 < C_1 \leq |e|^2 \cdot (ty_{\sigma(1)})^{h_1} \times \prod_{i=2}^{n} (ty_{\sigma(i)})^{h_i - h_{i+1}} \leq C_2.$$

Therefore, we have:

$$0 < C_1 \leq |e|^2 \cdot t^{h_n}(y_{\sigma(1)})^{h_1} \times \prod_{i=2}^{n}(y_{\sigma(i)})^{h_i - h_{i+1}} \leq C_2.$$

So we have the estimate $|e|_{X^*}(t,x,y) \sim |t^{-K/2}e|_{X^*}(1,x,y)$, if $(1,x,y) \in Z(\sigma,n,C)$. Union all the $Z(\sigma,n,C)$, then we know for every (t,x,y). We have $|e|_{X^*}(t,x,y) \sim |t^{-K/2}e|_{X^*}(1,x,y)$.

For the second property, we obtain a C^∞-frame $\mathbf{v}^{'}$ as follows:

$$v_j^{'} = v_j \cdot (-log|z_{\sigma(1)}|)^{h_1} \prod_{i=2}^{n}(log|z_{\sigma(i)}|)^{h_i - h_{i-1}}.$$

Then the second property can be seen directly from the adapteness of $\mathbf{v}^{'}$ by theorem 9.1 [5] on every region $Z(\sigma,n,C)$. □

From the above lemma, use the same method of Kashiwara-Kawai, we have the following representation of a cohomology class in $H^k(X;(\mathcal{A}^{\cdot}_{(2)}(X,\mathcal{V}),\mathbb{D}))$.

Proposition 3.9. [[4] proposition 5.10.1] *Let ω be a closed L^2-form on $X^* \bigcap \{t < a\}$. Then we can find $h(t)$ and $h^1(t)$ which are $\mathcal{A}^*_{(2)}(X^*(1),\mathcal{V})$-valued L^2-form on $\{t; t < a\}$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- *$h(t)$ and $h^1(t)$ are harmonic.*
- *$\omega - (h(t) + h^1(t)dt/t)$ is coboundary of a square-integrable form on $X^* \bigcap \{t < a\}$.*
- *$\partial h(t)/\partial t = 0$.*
- *$h^1(t)dt/t$ is a square-integrable form on $X^* \bigcap \{t < a\}$.*

Proposition 3.10. [[4] proposition 5.10.7] *Let h and h^1 be the harmonic forms given in the above proposition. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

- *$h + \frac{dt}{t}h^1$ is a coboundary of an L^2-form on X^*.*
- *(i) $h = 0$*

  and

  (ii) There exists a harmonic form H^1 which is square-integrable on X^* and satisfies $h^1 = t\frac{\partial H^1}{\partial t}$.

Let $N_j^{'}$ denote the logarithm of the monodromy of $\mathbb{V}$ around $\{z \in X; z_j = 0\}$. Note that $N_j^{'}$ are nilpotent. Then $\{\exp(\sum_j x_j N_j^{'})e; e \in \mathbb{V}\}$ is a constant sheaf on X^*. Let V denote the sections of this sheaf. Then as we have discussed in subsection 2.3, we have an associated partial Koszul complex $\Pi(N_1,\ldots,N_n)$. And there is a natural weight filtration on this partial Koszul complex, (see [5] §9.2.3) and we also have the following important property of this filtration.

Theorem 3.11. [[5] theorem 9.6] *Assume that (E, θ, h) has a real structure. Then the purity theorem holds for the tuple $(V, N_1, \ldots, N_n)$. Namely we have the following:*

$$H^k(\Pi(N_1, \ldots, N_n)) = W_k(H^k(\Pi(N_1, \ldots, N_n))).$$

In other words, the following morphism is surjective.

$$W_k(\Pi(N_1, \ldots, N_n))^k \cap \ker(d) \to H^k(\Pi(N_1, \ldots, N_n)).$$

Definition 3.12. For u in $\mathcal{A}^k(X^*, \mathcal{V})$, Au is , by definition, $(K + 2p)u$ where p denotes the degree of u with respect to $d_{x_1} ... d_{x_n}$.

Note that if h is a square-integrable harmonic form on $X^*(1)$, then Ah is harmonic(Lemma 5.10.3, [4]). Therefore, the operator A defines an endomorphism of $H^k(X^*(1); \mathbb{V}^\pi|_{X^*(1)})$. By theorem 3.11 and use the same method of Kashiwara-Kawai, we have the following proposition to give a bound on the eigenvalues λ of A.

Proposition 3.13. [[4] Proposition 5.11.1] *Let λ be an eigenvalue of A acting on the space of square-integrable harmonic k-forms on $\mathbb{V}|_{X^*(1)}$. Then we find:*

$$\begin{cases} \lambda \leq k, & if \quad k < n \\ \lambda \geq k + 1, & if \quad k \geq n. \end{cases}$$

We can finish our proof by using proposition 3.13, proposition3.9 and proposition 3.10. The rest of the proof is exactly the same as Kashiwara-Kawai in [4], §5.12.

References

[1] E. Cattani, A. Kaplan and W. Schmid, *L^2 and intersection cohomologies for a polarized variation of Hodge structure,* Invent. Math. **87** (1987), 217–252.

[2] J. Jost, Y. Yang and K. Zuo, *Cohomologies of unipotent harmonic bundles over noncompact curves,* J. Reine Angew. Math. 609 (2007), 137-159.

[3] J. Jost, K. Zuo, *Harmonic maps of infinite energy and rigidity results for representations of fundamental groups of quasiprojective varieties.* J. Differential Geom. 47 (1997), no. 3, 469-503.

[4] M. Kashiwara and T. Kawai, *The Poincaré lemma for variations of polarized Hodge structure.* Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **23** (1987), 345–407.

[5] T. Mochizuki, *Asymptotic behaviour of tame nilpotent harmonic bundles with trivial parabolic structure*, J. Differential Geom. 62 (2002), no. 3, 351-559.

[6] C. Sabbah, *Polarizable twistor D-modules,* Astérisque No. 300 (2005), vi+208 pp.

[7] S. Zucker, *Hodge theory with degenerating coefficients. L_2 cohomology in the Poincar metric*, Ann. of Math. (2) 109 (1979), no. 3, 415-476.

Universität Mainz, Fachbereich 08, Physik Mathematik und Informatik, 55099 Mainz, Germany

E-mail address: ye001@uni-mainz.de

E-mail address: zuok@uni-mainz.de