

Bonnet pairs of surfaces in Minkowski space

Robert Salom

1 Introduction

A pair of surfaces in Euclidean 3-dimensional space is called a Bonnet pair if they are isometric with the same mean curvature, but are not congruent via Euclidean motions. The problem of describing Bonnet pairs had been solved first by Bianchi [1]. In [2] a modern solution in terms of quaternions and quaternionic analysis is given.

In the present note, we adapt the methods in [2] to the problem of classification of all local Bonnet pairs for space-like and time-like surfaces in the 3-dimensional Minkowski space. The approach is via the indefinite analog of the quaternions - the algebra of split quaternions $\mathbb{H}'$. The split quaternions are related to 4-dimensional spaces equipped with indefinite metrics of signature $(2, 2)$ just like the quaternions are related to the 4-spaces with positive definite metric. One of the reasons behind many of the applications of split quaternions is their relation to theory of the integrable systems. The Bonnet pairs problem is one instance of this relation.

We follow closely [2] and notice that the results are exact mirror of the original one as long as the more degenerate case of surfaces with first fundamental form of rank 1 is excluded. We treat simultaneously the time-like and the space-like surfaces.

2 Split Quaternions

The split quaternions $\mathbb{H}'$, are a four dimensional algebra over the real numbers with a basis of elements $\{1, i, s, t\}$, satisfying the multiplicative relations;

$$\begin{aligned} is &= t, & st &= -i, & ti &= s, \\ i^2 &= -1, & s^2 &= t^2 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

For $q = q_0 + q_1i + q_2s + q_3t$ denote $Re(q) = q_0$ and $Im(q) = q_1i + q_2s + q_3t$ the real and imaginary part of q and by $\bar{q} = Re(q) - Im(q)$ the conjugate of q . So in particular

$$Im\mathbb{H}' = \{q \in \mathbb{H}' | Re(q) = 0\}$$

When $q, p \in Im\mathbb{H}'$ we have that;

$$pq = Re(pq) + Im(pq) = - \langle p, q \rangle + p \times_m q \quad (2.1)$$

Here $\langle p, q \rangle := a_1b_1 - a_2b_2 - a_3b_3$ is minus the inner product in Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ and,

$$p \times_m q = \begin{vmatrix} -i & s & t \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{vmatrix}.$$

is the corresponding cross product. For an imaginary quaternion $p \in Im\mathbb{H}'$, we have $-p^2 = |p|^2 = \bar{p}p$. Here $|p|^2$ is actually minus the norm of Minkowski three-space. Sometimes to denote this explicitly we write $-|p|^2 = |p|_m^2 = p^2$.

An $\mathbb{H}'$ valued k -form is the object;

$$\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1i + \alpha_2s + \alpha_3t$$

where each $\alpha_i \in \bigwedge^k(V^*, \mathbb{R})$ are usual real k -forms. For the purpose of this note we need $k = 1$ or 2 .

The set of all $\mathbb{H}'$ valued 1-forms is denoted analogously by, $\bigwedge^1(V^*, \mathbb{H}')$. As in the real valued case, there is a wedge product defined on $\mathbb{H}'$ valued 1-forms given by,

$$\alpha \wedge \beta(x, y) := \alpha(x)\beta(y) - \alpha(y)\beta(x) \quad (2.2)$$

satisfying the following identities;

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\alpha \wedge \beta} &= -\bar{\beta} \wedge \bar{\alpha} \\ \alpha \wedge h\beta &= \alpha h \wedge \beta \\ d(h\alpha) &= dh \wedge \alpha + h d\alpha \\ d(\alpha h) &= d\alpha h - \alpha \wedge d(h) \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $\mathbb{H}'_*$ the set,

$$\mathbb{H}' \setminus \{q \in \mathbb{H}' | q_o^2 - Im(q)^2 = 0\}$$

Then every rotation ρ in $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ can be represented via split quaternions as

$$\rho(v) = \lambda^{-1}v\lambda$$

where $v \in Im(\mathbb{H}') \cong \mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}'_*$ such that;

$$\lambda = \cos(\theta) + u \sin(\theta)$$

for a rotation about a time-like axis given by the imaginary quaternion u , with $u^2 = -1$ and angle 2θ . Similarly

$$\lambda = \cosh(\theta) + u \sinh(\theta)$$

for a rotation about a space-like axis u with $u^2 = 1$ and angle 2θ . In either case, $\lambda\bar{\lambda} = 1$.

3 Conformal immersions of surfaces in $\mathbb{H}'$

An immersion $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ where M is a domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$, is said to be conformal if its first fundamental form is of the type;

$$I = \lambda(u, v) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

or of the type;

$$I = \lambda(u, v) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for $\lambda : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ where, the first type of immersion is named space-like, and the second time-like. So for the partial derivatives of f , f_u , f_v at a point, $p \in M$, we have the following;

If f is space-like, then

$$\langle f_u, f_u \rangle = f_u^2 = |f_u|_m^2 = |f_v|_m^2 = f_v^2 < f_v, f_v \rangle$$

If f is time-like then

$$\langle f_u, f_u \rangle = f_u^2 = |f_u|_m^2 = -|f_v|_m^2 = -f_v^2 = -\langle f_v, f_v \rangle$$

and for both space- and time-like f

$$\langle f_u, f_v \rangle = 0$$

On forms operating over a two dimensional space with a given volume 2-form dV , the Hodge star operator

$$* : \bigwedge^1(V^*, Im\mathbb{H}') \rightarrow \bigwedge^1(V^*, Im\mathbb{H}')$$

is defined by linearity $*(\alpha_0 + i\alpha_1 + s\alpha_2 + t\alpha_3) = *\alpha_0 + i*\alpha_1 + s*\alpha_2 + t*\alpha_3$ and for any real-valued 1-forms α, β

$$\alpha \wedge \beta = \langle *\alpha, \beta \rangle dV$$

Then it is known that $** = * \circ * = -1$ in the space-like case (positive definite $\langle, \rangle$) and $* \circ * = 1$ in the time-like case ($\langle, \rangle$ has signature $(1, 1)$). Using $*$ one can define an endomorphism $J \in End(V)$ via $\alpha(JX) = *\alpha(X)$ for any real-valued 1-form. Then in the space-like case J is a complex structure, while in the time-like $J^2 = 1$. For an immersed surface locally there is always a canonical choice of an orientation given by the normal vector.

The Hodge star and the identification of $\mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ with $Im\mathbb{H}'$ allows to identify 2-forms on M with $Im\mathbb{H}'$ -valued functions on T_pM . The identification for every wedge product between two 1-forms is;

$$(\alpha \wedge \beta)(x) = \alpha(x) * \beta(x) - *\alpha(x)\beta(x) \quad (3.1)$$

Then bilinear forms are assumed to be expressed as their quadratic counterparts as;

$$\omega(x) = \omega(x, Jx)$$

The differential of a conformal immersion at a point $p \in M$ can be thought of as the linear map;

$$df : T_pM \rightarrow T_p\mathbb{R}^3 \simeq T_pIm\mathbb{H}'$$

or alternatively $df \in \bigwedge^1(T_pM, Im\mathbb{H}')$. For a tangent vector $x \in T_pM$, with $x = f_*(a\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + b\frac{\partial}{\partial v})$,

$$df(x) = (f_u du + f_v dv)(x) = f_u a + f_v b$$

and by abuse of notations we can identify x with the vector $[a, b]^T$. In this notation,

If f is space-like, then

$$*df(x) = df \circ J \circ x = -af_v + bf_u$$

If f is time-like, then

$$*df(x) = df \circ J \circ x = af_v + bf_u$$

It will be convenient to introduce the following notation in performing the calculations.

Notation: Let e be a number such that $e = -1$ for time-like f , and $e = 1$ for space-like f .

Then the above identity can be shortly written as;

$$*df(x) = -ae f_v + bf_u$$

The form $*df := -ef_v du + f_u dv$ and $df \circ J$ have the same domain and image so they are equal. Also since,

$$df(f_*(\frac{\partial}{\partial u})) = f_u$$

and,

$$df(f_*(\frac{\partial}{\partial v})) = f_v$$

then,

$$*df(f_*(\frac{\partial}{\partial u})) = -ef_v \quad \text{and} \quad *df(f_*(\frac{\partial}{\partial v})) = f_u$$

So by an abuse of notation we will sometimes write,

$$*f_u = ef_v \quad \text{and} \quad *f_v = f_u$$

with the $*$ having the property,

$$** = -e$$

Given a conformal immersion $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2,1}$, pointwise $f_u, f_v \in Im\mathbb{H}'$ and together with (2.1), we have that the Gauss map is expressed as;

$$N := \frac{f_u f_v}{|f_u|^2} = \frac{f_u f_v}{f_v^2} \quad (3.2)$$

It is easy to see now that for a space-like surface;

$$|N|_m^2 = N^2 = -1$$

and for a time-like surface;

$$|N|_m^2 = N^2 = 1$$

So that the Gauss map simply has the property;

$$|N|_m^2 = N^2 = -e$$

With this in place we can characterize conformal immersions through the relationship between the $*$ map and the Gauss map N encoded in the following lemma;

Lemma 3.1 *$f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2,1}$ is a conformal immersion if and only if there exists $N : M \rightarrow \mathbb{H}'$ such that*

$$* df = N df \quad (3.3)$$

To do this we first show that for a conformal immersion (3.3) characterizes the Gauss map, that is:

Lemma 3.2 *Let f be a conformal immersion for which (3.3) holds. Then $N : M \rightarrow Im\mathbb{H}'$ and it is the Gauss map.*

Proof: First, suppose (3.3) holds. Then pointwise,

$$\begin{aligned} ** df &= *(N df) = N(* df) \\ &= N N df = N^2 df = -e df \end{aligned}$$

since df is pointwise injective, $** = -e$ implies $N^2 = -e$. In general for $N = n_0 + Im(N)$, $N^2 = (n_0^2 + 2n_0 Im(N) + Im(N)^2) = -e$. So,

$N \in \mathbb{R}$ or $N \in Im\mathbb{H}'$. If $N \in \mathbb{R}$ then, e must be -1 and $N = \pm 1$. But then we have,

$$*df = \pm df$$

so $df \circ J = \pm df$ and $J = \pm Id$, a contradiction. Then $N \in Im\mathbb{H}'$. Now taking the conjugate of $*df = Ndf$ we have $-*df = \overline{Ndf}$ and

$$Ndf = *df = -\overline{Ndf}$$

so

$$2Re(Ndf) = Ndf + \overline{Ndf} = 0$$

Then

$$\langle N, df \rangle = 0$$

By uniqueness of the Gauss map, N is the unit normal field to f . Notice that in this direction we did not require the assumption that f be conformal.

Now, assuming f to be conformal and N to be the Gauss map we have;

$$Nf_u = \frac{(f_u f_v)}{f_v^2} f_u$$

anti-comutation of the cross product and associativity of quaternions yields;

$$-\frac{f_v f_u^2}{f_v^2} = -ef_v$$

and similarly;

$$Nf_v = \frac{(f_u f_v)}{f_v^2} f_v = \frac{f_u f_v^2}{f_v^2} = \frac{f_u f_v^2}{f_v^2} = f_u$$

Hence at a point p ,

$$Ndf = N(f_u du + f_v dv) = Nf_u du + Nf_v dv = -ef_v du + f_u dv = *df = df \circ J$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1:

Again suppose (3.3) holds. Since we know N is the Gauss map, point-wise we can consider $N, f_u, f_v \in Im\mathbb{H}'$, so we have on one hand that $Nf_u = N \times_m f_u$ by perpendicularity (same for f_v), so that,

$$(Nf_u)(Nf_v) = N(f_u N)f_v = -N^2(f_u f_v) = ef_u f_v$$

Continuing through the use of (2.1) on the leftmost and rightmost sides of the previous equation,

$$- \langle Nf_u, Nf_v \rangle + Nf_u \times Nf_v = e(- \langle f_u, f_v \rangle + f_u \times f_v)$$

Note also that $Nf_u = -ef_v$ and $Nf_v = f_u$ so that,

$$- \langle -ef_v, f_u \rangle + -ef_v \times f_u = e(- \langle f_u, f_v \rangle + f_u \times f_v)$$

Then by bilinearity

$$e(\langle f_u, f_v \rangle + f_u \times f_v) = e(- \langle f_u, f_v \rangle + f_u \times f_v)$$

and

$$2 \langle f_u, f_v \rangle = 0$$

which gives one property of the conformality. For the second, remembering the anti commutativity between N and f_u, f_v , we have,

$$\langle f_u, f_u \rangle = -eN^2 \langle f_u, f_u \rangle = -e \langle -Nf_u, Nf_u \rangle = -e \langle ef_v, -ef_v \rangle$$

So

$$\langle f_u, f_u \rangle = e^3 \langle f_v, f_v \rangle = e \langle f_v, f_v \rangle$$

and f is a conformal immersion. If we assume conformality we have by lemma 3.2 that indeed equation (3.3) holds. $\square$

4 Conformal decomposition and mean curvature

For any $\mathbb{H}'$ valued 1-form on M we can decompose it into its conformal and anti-conformal parts via;

$$\alpha_+ = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - eN * \alpha)$$

and,

$$\alpha_- = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha + eN * \alpha)$$

For the conformal part we see,

$$\begin{aligned} * \alpha_+ &= \frac{1}{2}(*\alpha - eN * *\alpha) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(-eN^2 * \alpha - eN(-e)\alpha) \\ &= N\frac{1}{2}((-e)^2\alpha - eN^2 * \alpha) \\ &= N\alpha_+ \end{aligned}$$

and a similar calculation gives us, $*\alpha_- = -N\alpha_-$ for the anti-conformal part. So together we have;

$$\alpha = \alpha_+ + \alpha_- \quad * \alpha_{\pm} = \pm N\alpha \quad (4.1)$$

Now from equation (3.3) we can see that;

$$d * df = dNdf = dN \wedge df + Nddf$$

by (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} &= dN * df - *dNdf = dNNdf - *dNdf = (dNN - *dN)df \\ &= (dNN - *dN(-eN^2)df) = (dN + e * dNN)Ndf \end{aligned}$$

Since N^2 is a real number then,

$$d(N^2) = 0$$

hence

$$dNN = -NdN$$

Continuing from above,

$$d * df = (dN - e * NdN)Ndf = 2(dN_+)Ndf \quad (4.2)$$

The left hand side is $Im\mathbb{H}'$ valued, then so is the right, which implies,

$$Re((dN_+)Ndf) = 0 = \langle dN_+, Ndf \rangle \quad (4.3)$$

Now at a point p of M , and for a given vector v in T_pM , we can think of df as simply an imaginary quaternion. We can represent it as $\alpha f_u + \beta f_v$ where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and depend on p and v . Noting this we observe,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle df, Ndf \rangle &= -\text{Rel}(df Ndf) \\ &= -\text{Rel}(Ndf^2) \end{aligned}$$

since df^2 is a real number and N is imaginary,

$$\langle df, Ndf \rangle = 0$$

At a point p in M and vector v in T_pM , Ndf , dN_+ and df yield forms in the cotangent plane of f . Thus the previous calculation and (4.3) imply, dN_+ and df are parallel, or there exists a function $H : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that;

$$dN_+ = Hdf$$

We can check that the function H is the mean curvature of f . To see this note that N_u and N_v are tangent vectors and

$$\begin{aligned} N_u &= \alpha f_u + \beta f_v \\ N_v &= \gamma f_u + \sigma f_v \end{aligned}$$

by standard arguments

$$\langle N_v, f_u \rangle = - \langle N, f_{uv} \rangle = \langle N_u, f_v \rangle$$

so together with

$$\begin{aligned} \langle N_u, f_v \rangle &= \beta \langle f_v, f_v \rangle \\ \langle N_v, f_u \rangle &= \gamma \langle f_u, f_u \rangle \end{aligned}$$

we arrive at,

$$\beta \langle f_u, f_u \rangle = \gamma \langle f_v, f_v \rangle$$

and

$$\beta f_u^2 = \gamma e f_u^2$$

so,

$$\beta = e\gamma$$

Applying this to the 1-form dN_+ , component-wise we have,

$$2dN_+ = dN - eN * dN$$

and since $*dN = *(N_u du + N_v dv) = (N_u du + N_v dv) \circ J = -eN_v du + N_u dv$ then,

$$\begin{aligned} 2dN_+ &= (N_u + N_v)du - eN(-eN_v + N_u)dv \\ &= (N_u + NN_v)du + (N_v - eNN_u)dv \end{aligned}$$

which in terms of the vectors, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ from above simplifies to,

$$2dN_+ = ((\alpha + \sigma)f_u + (\beta - e\gamma)f_v)du + ((\beta - e\gamma)f_u + (\alpha + \sigma)f_v)dv$$

so from above we have,

$$2dN_+ = ((\alpha + \sigma)f_u du + ((\alpha + \sigma)f_v dv$$

or,

$$dN_+ = \frac{(\alpha + \sigma)}{2} df$$

and $H = \frac{(\alpha + \sigma)}{2}$.

The expression for mean curvature in terms of the partial derivatives of f and N for conformal immersion is ([3] pg 74):

$$\begin{aligned} H' &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle N_u, f_u \rangle \langle f_v, f_v \rangle + \langle N_v, f_v \rangle \langle f_u, f_u \rangle}{\langle f_u, f_u \rangle \langle f_v, f_v \rangle} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\langle N_u, f_u \rangle (f_v^2) + \langle N_v, f_v \rangle (f_u^2)}{f_u^2 f_v^2} \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\begin{aligned} \langle N_u, f_u \rangle &= \alpha \langle f_u, f_u \rangle \\ \langle N_v, f_v \rangle &= \sigma \langle f_v, f_v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

this will simplify to,

$$H' = \frac{(\alpha + \sigma)}{2}$$

so $H = H'$ and

$$dN_+ = Hdf \tag{4.4}$$

where H is the mean curvature.

Finally we conclude that,

$$dN = Hd f + \omega \quad (4.5)$$

where we set $\omega = dN_-$ the anti-conformal part of dN . Also recalling (4.2) we can write,

$$d * df = 2(Hdf)Ndf = -2HN|df|^2 \quad (4.6)$$

Differentiating (4.5) we get,

$$0 = dH \wedge df + dw$$

and by (3.1)

$$0 = dH * df - *dHdf + dw$$

hence

$$dw = (*dH - dHN)df \quad (4.7)$$

which in a similar way one can see that it is the Codazzi equation.

5 Spin equivalence

Two conformal immersions $f, \tilde{f} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are called *spin-equivalent* if there exists $\lambda : M \rightarrow \mathbb{H}'_*$ such that,

$$d\tilde{f} = \bar{\lambda}df\lambda \quad (5.1)$$

Here $\mathbb{H}'_*$ is the set of split quaternions with non-vanishing norm.

Note that for a simply connected domain any two conformal immersions are spin-equivalent: since $d\tilde{f}$ and df are conformal 1-forms pointwise they can be mapped into each other by a rotation and scaling. The description of rotations in Section 2 automatically makes them a special case of spin transform. In fact the spin transform consists of a rotation and a scaling, or simply a real multiple of one of the above rotations. So that for a general spin transform about a time-like or space-like axis;

$$\lambda\bar{\lambda} = |\lambda|^2 > 0$$

and λ is constant if and only if $\tilde{f}$ can be obtained from f by a Euclidean motion and scaling. If in addition $|\lambda| = 1$, then f and $\tilde{f}$ are congruent immersions.

Locally, one can start with a given *reference* immersion $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and obtain new spin equivalent immersions by solving,

$$dd\tilde{f} = 0 = d(\bar{\lambda}df\lambda) = d\bar{\lambda} \wedge df\lambda - \bar{\lambda}df \wedge d\lambda$$

by identity 1) of the wedge product,

$$= d\bar{\lambda} \wedge df\lambda + \overline{d\bar{\lambda} \wedge df\lambda}$$

since $\overline{d\bar{\lambda}} = d\bar{\lambda}$ and because df is $Im\mathbb{H}'$ valued we have,

$$0 = d\bar{\lambda} \wedge df\lambda - \overline{d\bar{\lambda} \wedge df\lambda}$$

so $d\bar{\lambda} \wedge df\lambda$ is real valued and $d\bar{\lambda} \wedge df\lambda \in \bigwedge^2(M, \mathbb{R})$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} df \wedge *df(x, Jx) &= df * *df - *df * df \\ &= -edf^2 - (*df)^2 = -edf^2 - (NdfNdf) \\ &= -edf^2 + NNdfdf \\ &= -edf^2 - edf^2 = -2e|df|^2 \end{aligned}$$

is also in $\bigwedge^2(M, \mathbb{R})$. Because $\dim(M)=2$, $\dim(\bigwedge^2(M, \mathbb{R})) = 1$, so for any $\alpha, \beta \in \bigwedge^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ there exists $\rho' : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha = \rho'\beta$. This provides us with the equation,

$$d\bar{\lambda} \wedge df\lambda = \rho'(-2e|df|^2)$$

or

$$d\bar{\lambda} \wedge df\lambda \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|\bar{\lambda}|^2} \right) = -2\rho' e|df|^2 \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|\bar{\lambda}|^2}$$

and

$$d\bar{\lambda} \wedge df = -2\rho' 2e|df|^2 \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|\bar{\lambda}|^2}$$

If we let $\rho = -2\rho'/|\bar{\lambda}|^2$,

$$d\bar{\lambda} \wedge df = e\rho|df|^2\bar{\lambda}$$

now if we conjugate,

$$df \wedge d\lambda = e\rho df^2\lambda \tag{5.2}$$

Identifying the right hand side of (5.2) with its quadratic form via (3.1) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} e\rho df^2\lambda &= df * d\lambda - Ndf d\lambda = \\ &= df * d\lambda + dfNd\lambda = df(*d\lambda + Nd\lambda) = e\rho df^2\lambda \end{aligned}$$

for $|df|^2 \neq 0$ we may divide by df point-wise as a quaternion, and we have,

$$(*d\lambda + Nd\lambda) = e\rho df \quad (5.3)$$

This can be stated as,

Lemma 5.1 *If $f, \tilde{f} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are spin-equivalent via $d\tilde{f} = \bar{\lambda}df\lambda$ then $\lambda : M \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_*$ satisfies (5.3).*

Next we see how the Gauss map, N , the induced metric and the mean curvature, H , are related through spin transformations of conformal maps.

Lemma 5.2 *Let $f, \tilde{f} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be spin-equivalent via $d\tilde{f} = \bar{\lambda}df\lambda$. Then,*

$$1) \tilde{N} = \lambda^{-1}N\lambda \text{ where } \tilde{N} \text{ is the oriented normal to } \tilde{f}$$

$$2) |d\tilde{f}|^2 = |\lambda|^4 |df|^2$$

$$3) \tilde{H} = \frac{H+\rho}{|\lambda|^2} \text{ where } \rho : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is given by (5.3)}$$

Proof: For 1) we have $*d\tilde{f} = *(\bar{\lambda}df\lambda)$ and

$$\tilde{N}d\tilde{f} = \bar{\lambda} * df\lambda = \bar{\lambda}Nd\lambda$$

$$\tilde{N}\bar{\lambda}df\lambda = \bar{\lambda}Nd\lambda$$

Since $\bar{\lambda}$ and λ are invertible and for $|df|^2 \neq 0$ we have,

$$\tilde{N}\bar{\lambda} = \lambda N$$

From here by multiplication with $\bar{\lambda}^{-1} = \frac{\lambda}{|\lambda|^2}$ on the right,

$$\tilde{N} = \tilde{N}\bar{\lambda}\frac{\lambda}{|\lambda|^2} = \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|\lambda|^2}N\lambda = \lambda^{-1}N\lambda$$

For part 2) again a calculation gives us,

$$\begin{aligned} |d\tilde{f}|^2 &= |\bar{\lambda}df\lambda|^2 \\ &= (\bar{\lambda}df\lambda\bar{\lambda}df\lambda) = |\lambda|^4|df|^2 \end{aligned}$$

We can easily see that projection onto the conformal part is linear:

$$(a\alpha + b\beta)_+ = a\alpha_+ + b\beta_+$$

and that the spin transform preserves the conformal part

$$\bar{\lambda}(\alpha_+)\lambda = (\bar{\lambda}\alpha\lambda)_+$$

Then:

$$(\tilde{H}d\tilde{f} + \tilde{\omega})_+ = (d\tilde{N})_+ = (d(\lambda^{-1}N\lambda))_+$$

so that,

$$\tilde{H}d\tilde{f} = (d\lambda^{-1}N\lambda + \lambda^{-1}dN\lambda + \lambda^{-1}Nd\lambda)_+$$

If we note that since $d(\lambda^{-1}\lambda) = d(1) = 0$, then $d\lambda^{-1}\lambda = -\lambda^{-1}d\lambda$, we can simplify the above as,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}d\tilde{f} &= (-\lambda^{-1}d\lambda\lambda^{-1}N\lambda + \lambda^{-1}dN\lambda + \lambda^{-1}Nd\lambda)_+ = \\ &= \lambda^{-1}(-d\lambda\lambda^{-1}N + Nd\lambda\lambda^{-1})_+\lambda + \frac{1}{|\lambda|^2}(\bar{\lambda}dN_+\lambda) \\ &+ \tilde{H}d\tilde{f} = \lambda^{-1}(-d\lambda\lambda^{-1}N + Nd\lambda\lambda^{-1})_+\lambda + \frac{1}{|\lambda|^2}(H\bar{\lambda}df\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

By (4.4) on the right we must find the conformal part of $(Nd\lambda\lambda^{-1} - d\lambda\lambda^{-1}N)$, so,

$$2(Nd\lambda\lambda^{-1} - d\lambda\lambda^{-1}N)_+ = Nd\lambda\lambda^{-1} - d\lambda\lambda^{-1}N - eN^2 * d\lambda\lambda^{-1} + eN * d\lambda\lambda^{-1}N$$

$$= Nd\lambda\lambda^{-1} - d\lambda\lambda^{-1}N + *d\lambda\lambda^{-1} + eN * d\lambda\lambda^{-1}N$$

employing equation (5.3),

$$\begin{aligned} &= Nd\lambda\lambda^{-1} - d\lambda\lambda^{-1}N + (epdf - Nd\lambda\lambda^{-1}) + eN(epdf - Nd\lambda\lambda^{-1})N \\ &= Nd\lambda\lambda^{-1} - d\lambda\lambda^{-1}N + (epdf - Nd\lambda\lambda^{-1}) + eNpdf + d\lambda\lambda^{-1})N \\ &= 2epdf \end{aligned}$$

So we finally arrive at,

$$\tilde{H}d\tilde{f} = \lambda^{-1}(epdf)\lambda + \frac{1}{|\lambda|^2}(Hd\tilde{f}) = \frac{epd\tilde{f}}{|\lambda|^2} + \frac{1}{|\lambda|^2}(Hd\tilde{f})$$

hence

$$\tilde{H} = \frac{H + ep}{|\lambda|^2}$$

From this last theorem we can derive the corollary,

Corollary 5.1 *Let $f, \tilde{f} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be spin-equivalent via $d\tilde{f} = \bar{\lambda}df\lambda$, Then the following are equivalent:*

$$1) \tilde{H}|d\tilde{f}| = H|df|$$

$$2) df \wedge d\lambda = 0, \text{ equivalent to, } *d\lambda + Nd\lambda = 0$$

Proof:

$$\tilde{H}|d\tilde{f}| = H|df| \text{ iff } \tilde{H}(|d\tilde{f}|^2)^{1/2} = H|df| \text{ iff } \tilde{H}(|\lambda|^4|df|^2)^{1/2} = H|df| \text{ iff}$$

$$H + ep = H$$

hence

$$p = 0$$

which is equivalent to,

$$df \wedge d\lambda = epdf^2\lambda = 0$$

6 Isothermic Surfaces and Bonnet Pairs

Definition 1: A conformal immersion is isothermic if there exists a non-zero $Im\mathbb{H}'$ valued anti-conformal 1-form, τ , such that $d\tau = 0$ but $d\tau \neq 0$ and $*\tau + N\tau = 0$ which is equivalent to $df \wedge \tau = 0$. Here actually $\tau = df^*$, where f^* is the dual surface to f .

Definition 2: Two conformal immersions form a Bonnet pair if $|d\tilde{f}|^2 = |df|^2$ and $\tilde{H} = H$ but are not congruent.

Now we state a theorem classifying such Bonnet pairs on a simply connected domain.

Theorem 6.1

Let M be simply connected and $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be isothermic with dual surface $f^ : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$. Choose $r \in \mathbb{R}_*$, $a \in Im\mathbb{H}'$, with $r^2 > (f^* + a)^2$, and let $\lambda_{\pm} = \pm r + f^* + a$. Then the spin transforms $f_{\pm} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ given by $df_{\pm} = \bar{\lambda}_{\pm} df \lambda_{\pm}$ form a Bonnet pair.*

Conversely, every Bonnet pair arises from a 3-parameter family (determined up to scalings) of isothermic surfaces where the three parameters account for Euclidean rotations of the partners in the Bonnet pair.

Proof:

f isothermic implies,

$$\begin{aligned} df \wedge d\lambda_{\pm} &= df \wedge d(\pm r + f^* + a) \\ &= df \wedge df^* \\ &= df \wedge \tau = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which by corollary 5.1 implies,

$$H_{\pm}|df_{\pm}| = H|df|$$

now since,

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_{\pm}|^2 &= (\pm r + f^* + a)\overline{(\pm r + f^* + a)} \\ &= (\pm r + (f^* + a))(\pm r - (f^* + a)) \\ &= r^2 - (f^* + a)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since r is chosen so that $r^2 > (f^* + a)^2$, we have,

$$|\lambda_+| = |\lambda_-|$$

so that,

$$|df_{\pm}|^2 = (\bar{\lambda}_{\pm} df \lambda_{\pm})^2 = |\lambda_{\pm}|^4 |df|^2 = |\lambda_+|^4 |df|^2$$

hence,

$$|df_+|^2 = |df_-|^2$$

since we just saw that, $H_{\pm}|df_{\pm}| = H|df|$, we have that also,

$$H_+ = H_-$$

If f_+, f_- were congruent then there would be a constant $q \in Im\mathbb{H}'$ such that,

$$df_- = \bar{q} df_+ q$$

By $df_{\pm} = \bar{\lambda}_{\pm} df \lambda_{\pm}$ we have, $df_- = \overline{\lambda_+^{-1} \lambda_-} df_+ \lambda_+^{-1} \lambda_-$. This would in turn imply that f^* itself is constant, and so $df^* = 0 = \tau$, which contradicts the fact that f is isothermic. So indeed f_+, f_- form a Bonnet pair.

Conversely given a Bonnet pair $f_{\pm}$ by conformality in a simply connected domain, their exits $\lambda : M \rightarrow \mathbb{H}'_{*}$ such that,

$$df_+ = \bar{\lambda} df_- \lambda$$

Since $|df_+|^2 = |df_-|^2$ and $|\lambda|^2 > 0$, by lemma 5.2 $|\lambda| = 1$. By corollary 5.1 $df_{\pm} \wedge d\lambda = 0$. Now we seek an isothermic surface $f : M \rightarrow Im\mathbb{H}'$ for which $df_{\pm} = \bar{\lambda}_{\pm} df \lambda_{\pm}$ where $\lambda_{\pm} = \pm r + f^* + a$ as before. This would indicate that $\lambda = \lambda_-^{-1} \lambda_+$, since,

$$-\bar{\lambda}_- = r + f^* + a = \lambda_+$$

then we have the equation, $\lambda = -\lambda_-^{-1} \bar{\lambda}_-$, which implies,

$$-\lambda_- \lambda = \bar{\lambda}_-$$

$$-\lambda_- \lambda + \lambda_- = \bar{\lambda}_- + \lambda_- = 2Re(\lambda_-) = -2r$$

$$\lambda_-(1 - \lambda) = -2r$$

$$\lambda_- = 2r(\lambda - 1)^{-1}$$

So that $f^* = r - a + 2r(\lambda - 1)^{-1}$. We can guarantee that $(\lambda - 1)$ vanishes nowhere by multiplying λ by a unit quaternion, inducing a rotation of the Bonnet pair with respect to each other. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\operatorname{Re}(f^*) &= f^* + \bar{f}^* = r - a + 2r(\lambda - 1)^{-1} + r + a + 2r\overline{(\lambda - 1)^{-1}} \\ &= 2r(1 + (\lambda - 1)^{-1} + \overline{(\lambda - 1)^{-1}}) \\ &= \frac{2r}{|1 - \lambda|^2}((\lambda - 1)(\bar{\lambda} - 1) + \bar{\lambda} - 1 + \lambda - 1) \\ &= \frac{2r}{|1 - \lambda|^2}(\lambda\bar{\lambda} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

$|\lambda|^2 = 1 = \lambda\bar{\lambda}$ implies, $\operatorname{Re}(f^*) = 0$, so f^* is purely imaginary. Setting,

$$df = \overline{(\lambda - 1)}df_-(\lambda - 1)$$

lets now compute,

$$df \wedge df^* = 2r df \wedge d(\lambda - 1)^{-1}$$

again using the fact that $(\lambda - 1)^{-1}(\lambda - 1) = 1$ we may write $d(\lambda - 1)^{-1} = -(\lambda - 1)^{-1}d\lambda(\lambda - 1)^{-1}$. So that,

$$df \wedge df^* = \overline{(\lambda - 1)}df_-(\lambda - 1) \wedge (\lambda - 1)^{-1}d\lambda(\lambda - 1)^{-1}$$

since norms of quaternions commute freely in this expression we may write,

$$(\lambda - 1)^{-1}df_-(\lambda - 1) \wedge \overline{(\lambda - 1)}d\lambda(\lambda - 1)^{-1}$$

or,

$$(\lambda - 1)^{-1}[df_-(\lambda - 1) \wedge (\bar{\lambda} - 1)d\lambda](\lambda - 1)^{-1}$$

so lets compute now,

$$df_-(\lambda - 1) \wedge (\bar{\lambda} - 1)d\lambda$$

distribution and bilinearity yield,

$$= df_- \lambda \wedge \bar{\lambda} d\lambda - df_- \wedge \bar{\lambda} d\lambda - df_- \lambda \wedge d\lambda + df_- \wedge d\lambda$$

Using spin equivalence, i.e, $df_- \lambda = \bar{\lambda}^{-1} df_+$, and $d(\lambda \bar{\lambda}) = 0$, together with $df_{\pm} \wedge d\lambda = 0$ yeild,

$$df \wedge df^* = 0$$

as required. So $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is isothermic with dual surface f^* , and $f_{\pm}$ are spin transforms via $\lambda_{\pm} = \pm r + f^* + a$.

References

- [1] L. Bianchi, , *Sulla superficie e linee di curvatura isotherme*. Rend. Accad. Naz. dei Lincei (5)**12** (1903), 511-520.
- [2] G. Kamberov, F. Pedit, U. Pinkall, *Bonnet Pairs and Isothermic Surfaces*, Duke Math.J. ,92 (3), (1998) 637-644.
- [3] W. Kühnel, *Differential geometry. Curves - surfaces - manifolds*, Translated from the 1999 German original by Bruce Hunt. Student Mathematical Library, 16. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, DM 430
 Florida International University
 Miami, Florida 33199
 USA