

# Generalized Fermat point <sup>\*</sup>

Yao Xiao <sup>† +</sup>, Zhang Zhao <sup>‡ \*</sup>, Zhang Han <sup>\*</sup>, and Zeng Yong <sup>\*</sup>

<sup>+</sup>Tsinghua University

<sup>\*</sup>Nankai University

## Abstract

In this paper, we generalized the classical Fermat point, proved the sufficient and necessary condition of uniqueness for the generalized Fermat point(GFP) theorem, and discuss some interesting geometric property of the generalized Fermat point. Here  $N$  is the number of points.

Fermat point problem was proposed by Fermat about 300 hundred years ago, **“For a triangle  $ABC$ , find a point  $P$ , such that  $PA + PB + PC$  is minimal”**.

Since then there has been many methods dealing with this interesting problem, and also many generalizations (in norm space or sphere etc.) of this problem, here we first generalize it into following type.

**“For a triangle  $ABC$ , find a point  $P$ , such that  $(|PA|^r + |PB|^r + |PC|^r)^{\frac{1}{r}}$  is minimal”**, and we called it  $(3, r)$  type generalized Fermat point problem. Similarly, we can define a  $(N, r)$  type generalized Fermat point problem in finite dimensional Euclidean space.

**Theorem 1.**  *$ABC$  is a triangle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .  $P(x, y)$  is a moving point in the plane, Define:*

$$f(P, \alpha) = (|AP|^\alpha + |BP|^\alpha + |CP|^\alpha)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \quad \alpha \geq 1$$

*$\forall 1 \leq \alpha < \infty$ , there exists a **unique**  $P$  s.t.  $f(P, \alpha)$  reaches the minimal value.*

*when  $\alpha > 1$*

$$|AP|^{\alpha-2} \overrightarrow{AP} + |BP|^{\alpha-2} \overrightarrow{BP} + |CP|^{\alpha-2} \overrightarrow{CP} = \vec{0} \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\sin \angle APB}{|CP|^{\alpha-1}} = \frac{\sin \angle BPC}{|AP|^{\alpha-1}} = \frac{\sin \angle APC}{|BP|^{\alpha-1}} \quad (2)$$

---

<sup>\*</sup>The authors are supported by NSFC(grant 11271215) and Nankai Baixiang project.

<sup>†</sup>yaoxiao0710107@163.com

<sup>‡</sup>zhangdroid,zhanghan zengyong@mail.nankai.edu.cn

when  $\alpha = 1$ , and each angle of the triangle  $ABC$  is smaller than  $\frac{2}{3}\pi$  we also have

$$|AP|^{\alpha-2}\overrightarrow{AP} + |BP|^{\alpha-2}\overrightarrow{BP} + |CP|^{\alpha-2}\overrightarrow{CP} = \overrightarrow{0} \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\sin \angle APB}{|CP|^{\alpha-1}} = \frac{\sin \angle BPC}{|AP|^{\alpha-1}} = \frac{\sin \angle APC}{|BP|^{\alpha-1}} \quad (4)$$

*Proof.*  $\alpha = 1$  is corresponded to the classical result. We just need to consider the cases  $\alpha > 1$ . The existence of  $P$  is obvious, and the minimal point must lie in a compact set  $E \subset ABC$ . And we have more when  $\alpha > 1$ , the minimal point can not lie in its boundary.

$\alpha > 1$ ,  $f(P, \alpha)$  is differentiable in the whole plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , gradient of  $f$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla f &= \nabla |AP|^\alpha + \nabla |BP|^\alpha + \nabla |CP|^\alpha \\ &= \alpha |AP|^{\alpha-1} \nabla |AP| + \alpha |BP|^{\alpha-1} \nabla |BP| + \alpha |CP|^{\alpha-1} \nabla |CP| \\ &= \alpha |AP|^{\alpha-2}\overrightarrow{AP} + \alpha |BP|^{\alpha-2}\overrightarrow{BP} + \alpha |CP|^{\alpha-2}\overrightarrow{CP} \end{aligned}$$

When  $f$  gets its minimal, we have  $\nabla f = \overrightarrow{0}$

$$|AP|^{\alpha-2}\overrightarrow{AP} + |BP|^{\alpha-2}\overrightarrow{BP} + |CP|^{\alpha-2}\overrightarrow{CP} = \overrightarrow{0}$$

This is just (1), and (2) is directly by (1) and sine theorem.

$$|AP|^{\alpha-2}\overrightarrow{AP} \times \overrightarrow{AP} + |BP|^{\alpha-2}\overrightarrow{BP} \times \overrightarrow{AP} + |CP|^{\alpha-2}\overrightarrow{CP} \times \overrightarrow{AP} = \overrightarrow{0}$$

directly implies

$$\frac{\sin \angle APB}{|CP|^{\alpha-1}} = \frac{\sin \angle APC}{|BP|^{\alpha-1}}$$

Now we will prove  $f$  can not reach the minimal on the boundary. If  $P = A$  or  $(B, C)$ ,

$$|BA|^{\alpha-2}\overrightarrow{BA} + |CA|^{\alpha-2}\overrightarrow{CA} = \overrightarrow{0}$$

which implies  $A, B, C$  are in a line, contradiction. Similar proof can be given when  $P$  is on the boundary (not the vertex). The uniqueness is related to strict convex fact. If  $P, Q$  are two distinct minimal points, define  $M_t$  in the segment of  $P, Q$  s.t.

$$\overrightarrow{OM_t} = t\overrightarrow{OP} + (1-t)\overrightarrow{OQ} \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(M_t, \alpha) &= |\overrightarrow{M_tA}|^\alpha + |\overrightarrow{M_tB}|^\alpha + |\overrightarrow{M_tC}|^\alpha \quad (\alpha > 1) \\ &= t|\overrightarrow{PA}| + (1-t)|\overrightarrow{QA}|^\alpha + t|\overrightarrow{PB}| + (1-t)|\overrightarrow{QB}|^\alpha + t|\overrightarrow{PC}| + (1-t)|\overrightarrow{QC}|^\alpha \\ &\leq (t|\overrightarrow{PA}| + (1-t)|\overrightarrow{QA}|)^\alpha + (t|\overrightarrow{PB}| + (1-t)|\overrightarrow{QB}|)^\alpha + (t|\overrightarrow{PC}| + (1-t)|\overrightarrow{QC}|)^\alpha \\ &\leq \alpha f(P, \alpha) + (1-\alpha)f(Q, \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

By minimality of  $f(P, \alpha)$  and  $f(Q, \alpha)$ , we get  $f(M_t, \alpha) = f(P, \alpha)$ , the equality is satisfied if and only if  $P = Q$ .

□

When  $\alpha$  varies, it is interesting to discuss the locus of  $P_\alpha$ , we can call it generalized Fermat curve, and it is well defined. For a general triangle, the generalized Fermat curve will not self-intersect.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $\exists \alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ , s.t.  $P_{\alpha_1} = P_{\alpha_2}$ , then  $\triangle ABC$  is an equilateral triangle. For an equilateral triangle, the generalized Fermat curve will degenerate to a point (its center).*

**Corollary 1.** *If  $\triangle ABC$  is not an equilateral triangle, then the Fermat curve will not self-intersect.*

*Proof.*  $P_{\alpha_1} = P_{\alpha_2}$ .  $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$ . Assume  $\alpha_2 \neq 1$ , by **Theorem 2** above,  $P_{\alpha_2}$  can not be a vertex or lie on a side of the triangle, hence  $P_{\alpha_1}, P_{\alpha_2}$  are both in the interior of the triangle.  $P_{\alpha_1}, P_{\alpha_2}$  satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} |P_{\alpha_1}A|^{\alpha_1-2}\overrightarrow{P_{\alpha_1}A} + |P_{\alpha_1}B|^{\alpha_1-2}\overrightarrow{P_{\alpha_1}B} + |P_{\alpha_1}C|^{\alpha_1-2}\overrightarrow{P_{\alpha_1}C} &= \vec{0} \\ |P_{\alpha_2}A|^{\alpha_2-2}\overrightarrow{P_{\alpha_2}A} + |P_{\alpha_2}B|^{\alpha_2-2}\overrightarrow{P_{\alpha_2}B} + |P_{\alpha_2}C|^{\alpha_2-2}\overrightarrow{P_{\alpha_2}C} &= \vec{0} \end{aligned}$$

replace  $P_{\alpha_1}$  and  $P_{\alpha_2}$  with  $P$ :

$$\begin{aligned} |PA|^{\alpha_1-2}\overrightarrow{PA} + |PB|^{\alpha_1-2}\overrightarrow{PB} + |PC|^{\alpha_1-2}\overrightarrow{PC} &= \vec{0} \\ |PA|^{\alpha_2-2}\overrightarrow{PA} + |PB|^{\alpha_2-2}\overrightarrow{PB} + |PC|^{\alpha_2-2}\overrightarrow{PC} &= \vec{0} \end{aligned}$$

hence we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|PA|^{\alpha_1-2}}{|PA|^{\alpha_2-2}} &= \frac{|PB|^{\alpha_1-2}}{|PB|^{\alpha_2-2}} = \frac{|PC|^{\alpha_1-2}}{|PC|^{\alpha_2-2}} = k \\ \frac{|PA|}{|PB|} &= \frac{|PB|}{|PC|} = \frac{|PC|}{|PA|} = k^{\alpha_1-2/\alpha_2-2} \end{aligned}$$

$|PA| = |PB| = |PC|$  and  $\overrightarrow{PA} + \overrightarrow{PB} + \overrightarrow{PC} = \vec{0}$ .  $P$  is the barycenter and circumcenter, we get  $\triangle ABC$  is an equilateral triangle. □

**Remark 1.** *Fermat points, barycenter are on the Fermat curve. when  $\alpha = 2$*

$$\overrightarrow{AP_2} + \overrightarrow{BP_2} + \overrightarrow{CP_2} = \vec{0}$$

The coordinate of  $P_2$  is  $\left(\frac{x_A + x_B + x_C}{3}, \frac{y_A + y_B + y_C}{3}\right)$  which implies  $P_2$  is the barycenter of  $\triangle ABC$ .

Also we can generalize it to  $(N, r)$  type generalized Fermat points, using the idea above, we can prove the following uniqueness theorem.

**Theorem 3.**  *$(N, r)$ -type generalized Fermat point is not unique iff  $r = 1$ ,  $N$  is even, and all of the  $N$  points are on a line.*

**Corollary 2.** *For any polygons, the classical Fermat point is unique.*

This is an interesting result, the proof is just similar to the proof of 1.

## **acknowledgement**

The authors are indebted for Prof. Gu Pei, Zhang Weiping and Long Yimin's help for discussions in this topic.

## **References**

- [1] Shay Gueron and Ran Tessler, The Fermat-Steiner Problem, The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol.109, pp.443-451, 2002
- [2] R.Durier, C. Michelot, "Geometrical properties of the FermatCWeber problem" European J. Oper. Res. pp. 332C343,1985