arXiv:1210.2956v1 [math.CO] 10 Oct 2012

Infinitary Hales-Jewett theorem. Another proof.

JESÚS E. NIETO*
Departamento de Formación General
y Ciencias Básicas
Universidad Simón Bolívar

October 11, 2012

Abstract

We give a proof of an infinitary version of the well known Hales-Jewett theorem on finite words avoiding the use of ultrafilters.

1 Introduction

Let Λ be a finite aphabet. Without a loss of generality, we assume that $\Lambda = \{1, 2, \ldots, k-1\}$ for a fixed integer k. Denote by W the set of finite words on Λ. As usual, the *concatenation* $w^\frown u$ of the words $w = l_1 l_2 \ldots l_n$ and $u = t_1 t_2 \ldots t_m$ is

$$w^\frown u = l_1 l_2 \ldots l_n t_1 t_2 \ldots t_m$$

If $l \in \Lambda$ we write l^n to denote the word $ll \ldots l$ (with length n). If $x \notin \Lambda$ we say that w is a *variable word* if $w = l_1 l_2 \ldots l_m$ with every l_j in $\Lambda \cup \{x\}$ and at least one l_j is x. Without a loss of generality we assume $x = k$. Denote W_k the set of finite variable words. For every $n \in \Lambda$ the *evaluation in* n is the mapping $T_n \colon W_k \to W$ defined as follows: if $w = l_1 l_2 \ldots l_m$ then

$$(T_n(w))_j = \begin{cases} l_j & \text{if } l_j \neq k \\ n & \text{if } l_j = k \end{cases}$$

We denote by T_0 the identity map on W_k, $T_0(w) = w$. For an infinite sequence of variable words $A = (w_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ define the set $[A]$ of words

$$T_{n_1}(w_{m_1})^\frown T_{n_2}(w_{m_2})^\frown \cdots ^\frown T_{n_s}(w_{m_s}) \tag{1}$$

with $m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_s$, and every n_j in Λ. Denote by $[A]_k$ the set of words as in 1 with $m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_s$, every n_j in $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, k-1\}$ and $n_j = 0$, at least for one j. Also, denote by $[A]_0$ the set of words as in 1 with $m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_s$ and $n_j = 0$ for every j.

*jnieto@usb.ve

The next result is an infinitary version of the well known Hales-Jewett theorem and was proved by Carlson and Simpson (see [1], [6]) by using methods of topological dynamics on $\beta\mathbb{N}$, the space of ultrafilters on $\mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 1 (Infinitary Hales-Jewett theorem)**.** *Given $f\colon W_k \cup W \to \{0,1\}$ there exists $X = (w_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that f is constant on $[X] \cup [X]_k$.*

We follow the ideas used in [5] to give a simple proof of theorem 1 (without using ultrafilters). Theorem 1 for $k = 1$ is the Hindman theorem for finite sums (see [4] and for a simplified proof see e. g., [2]). It can be stated as follows:

Theorem 2 (Hindman)**.** *Given $f\colon \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \to \{0,1\}$ there exists an infinite sequence $I = (i_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that f is constant on the set $FS(I)$ of finite sums (without repetitions) of terms of I.*

□

Recall that FIN is the set of finite nonempty subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. As usual, denote $FIN^{[2]} = \{\{a,b\}\colon a,\ b \in FIN\}$. We say that $A = (a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with every a_n in FIN, is a *block sequence in FIN* if for every j we have $max(a_j) < min(a_{j+1})$. $FIN^{[\infty]}$ denotes the set of infinite block sequences in FIN. For $A \in FIN^{[\infty]}$, $[A]$ is the set of finite unions of terms of A and $[A]^{[2]} = \{\{a,b\}\colon a,b \in [A]\}$. The following is a well known version of Ramsey's theorem and a purely combinatorial proof of it is given in [3].

Theorem 3. *Let n be a positive integer. For every $g\colon FIN^{[2]} \to \{0,1,\dots,n-1\}$ there exists $H \in FIN^{[\infty]}$ such that g is constant on $[H]^{[2]}$.*

□

2 Proof of theorem 1

We proof theorem 1 by induction on the cardinality of Λ. Suppose $k = 2$, i. e., $\Lambda = \{1\}$. Let $f\colon W_2 \cup W \to \{0,1\}$. Consider the sequence of variable words $E = (2,2,\dots)$. Then $f \restriction [E]_0$ induces $f_1\colon \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \to \{0,1\}$ given by $f_1(m) = f(2^m)$. By theorem 2, there exists $I = (i_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that f_1 is, say, zero on $FS(I)$. Hence f is zero on $[(2^{i_m})_{m\in\mathbb{N}}]_0$.

Define $g\colon FIN^{[2]} \to \{0,1,2,3\}$ in the following way: given $\{a,b\} \in FIN^{[2]}$

1. If $a \cap b = \emptyset$, $min(a) < min(b)$, $a \cup b = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s\}$, $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_s$ and $w = T_{n_1}(2^{i_{m_1}})^\frown T_{n_2}(2^{i_{m_2}})^\frown \dots ^\frown T_{n_s}(2^{i_{m_s}})$ then

   - If $f(w) = 1$ whenever $n_j = 0$ for $m_j \in a$ and $m_j = 1$ for $m_j \in b$, let $g(\{a,b\}) = 3$.
   - If $f(w) = 0$ whenever $n_j = 0$ for $m_j \in a$ and $m_j = 1$ for $m_j \in b$, and $f(w) = 1$ whenever $n_j = 0$ for $m_j \in b$ and $m_j = 1$ for $m_j \in a$, let $g(\{a,b\}) = 2$.
   - If $f(w) = 0$ whenever

i) $n_j = 0$ for $m_j \in a$ and $m_j = 1$ for $m_j \in b$ or
ii) $n_j = 0$ for $m_j \in b$ and $m_j = 1$ for $m_j \in a$,
and $f(2^{i_{m_1}} \frown 2^{i_{m_2}} \frown \dots \frown 2^{i_{m_s}}) = 1$, let $g(\{a,b\}) = 1$.

2. Otherwise, let $g(\{a,b\}) = 0$.

It is clear that if $H \in FIN^{[\infty]}$ there exist a, $b \in [H]$ such that $g(\{a,b\}) = 0$ (e. g., any a, b with $a \cap b \neq \emptyset$). If $H_1 = (m_0, m_1, \dots)$ is as in theorem 3 define $H = (j_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ by $j_n = m_{2n} + m_{2n+1}$. Then $X = (2^{j_n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is as required. This proves the case $k = 2$.

Inductive hypothesis: Let V be the set of finite words in the alphabet $\{1, 2, \dots, k-2\}$ and denote by V_{k-1} the corresponding set of variable words. For every $h\colon V_{k-1} \cup V \to \{0,1\}$ there exists an infinite sequence of variable words Y such that h is constant on $[Y] \cup [Y]_{k-1}$.

Fix $f\colon W_k \cup W \to \{0,1\}$ and define $\varphi\colon V_{k-1} \to W_k$ by

$$\varphi(l_1 l_2 \dots l_m) = (l_1 + 1)(l_2 + 1) \cdots (l_m + 1)$$

Then f induces $f_1\colon V_{k-1} \cup V \to \{0,1\}$ defined by $f_1(v) = f(\varphi(v))$. Consider $Y = (y_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$ as in the inductive hypothesis and assume that f_1 is zero on $[Y]_{k-1} \cup [Y]$. Then f is zero on every word

$$T_{n_1}(\varphi(y_{m_1})) \frown T_{n_2}(\varphi(y_{m_2})) \frown \cdots \frown T_{n_s}(\varphi(y_{m_s}))$$

where $m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_s$ and every n_j is in $\{0, 2, 3, \dots, k-1\}$.

Define $g\colon FIN^{[2]} \to \{0,1,2,3\}$ in the following way: given $\{a,b\} \in FIN^{[2]}$

1. If $a \cap b = \emptyset$, $min(a) < min(b)$, $a \cup b = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s\}$, $m_1 < m_2 < \cdots < m_s$ and $w = T_{n_1}(\varphi(y_{m_1})) \frown T_{n_2}(\varphi(y_{m_2})) \frown \cdots \frown T_{n_s}(\varphi(y_{m_s}))$ then
   - If $f(w) = 1$ whenever $n_j \in \{0, 2, 3, \dots, k-1\}$ for $m_j \in b$ and $n_j = 1$ for $m_j \in a$, let $g(\{a,b\}) = 3$.
   - If $f(w) = 0$ whenever $n_j \in \{0, 2, 3, \dots, k-1\}$ for $m_j \in b$ and $n_j = 1$ for $m_j \in a$, and $f(w) = 1$ whenever $n_j \in \{0, 2, 3, \dots, k-1\}$ for $m_j \in a$ and $n_j = 1$ for $m_j \in b$, let $g(\{a,b\}) = 2$.
   - If $f(w) = 0$ whenever
     i) $n_j \in \{0, 2, 3, \dots, k-1\}$ for $m_j \in b$ and $n_j = 1$ for $m_j \in a$ or
     ii) $n_j \in \{0, 2, 3, \dots, k-1\}$ for $m_j \in a$ and $n_j = 1$ for $m_j \in b$,
     and $f(\varphi(y_{m_1}) \frown \varphi(y_{m_2}) \frown \cdots \frown \varphi(y_{m_s})) = 1$, let $g(\{a,b\}) = 1$.
2. Otherwise, let $g(\{a,b\}) = 0$.

It is clear that if $H \in FIN^{[\infty]}$ there exist a, $b \in [H]$ such that $g(\{a,b\}) = 0$. If $H_1 = (m_0, m_1, \dots)$ is as in theorem 3 define $x_n = \varphi(y_{m_{2n}}) \frown \varphi(y_{m_{2n+1}})$ for every n. Then $X = (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is as required. □

References

[1] T. J. Carlson and S. G. Simpson, Topological Ramsey theory. In Mathematics of Ramsey theory, Algorithms Combin., 5 (1990)172–183.

[2] C.A. DiPrisco, Combinatoria. Un panorama de la teoría de Ramsey, Editorial Equinoccio, Universidad Simón Bolívar, 2009.

[3] J.G. Mijares, A notion of selective ultrafilter corresponding to topological Ramsey spaces, Math. Log. Q. 43 (2007), 255–267.

[4] N. Hindman, The existence of certain ultrafilters on $\mathbb{N}$ and a conjecture of Graham and Rothschild, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 36 (1973), 341–346.

[5] J.E. Nieto, Another proof of a Gowers theorem, arXiv:1209.6103v2.

[6] S. Todorcevic, Introduction to Ramsey spaces, Ann. Math. studies, 174 (2010).