Effective Field Theory for Supercooled Liquids

Sho Yaida[1]

[1] *Center for Theoretical Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

Starting from a microscopic model of liquids, we construct an effective theory of an overlap field through duplication of the system and coarse-graining. We then propose a recipe to extract a relaxation time and two characteristic length scales of a supercooled liquid from this effective field theory. Appealing to the Ginzburg-Landau-Wilson paradigm near the putative critical point, we further conclude that this effective field theory resides within the Ising universality class.

arXiv:1212.0857v3 [cond-mat.dis-nn] 26 Aug 2013

When a liquid is supercooled, its relaxation time τ_α increases enormously within a modest temperature range. The increase is so steep that it becomes practically impossible to equilibrate the system, resulting in the omnipresent glass transition. Conventional wisdom envisions emergent length scales diverging at a putative critical temperature T_0, underlying this dramatic dynamical slowdown observed in supercooled liquids [1, 2]. Despite the difficulty in identifying these length scales, recent advances both in experiments and numerical simulations are starting to expose them, especially in the form of dynamical heterogeneities [3, 4]. In this paper, we embrace the assumption of diverging emergent length scales as an organizing principle and construct a quantitative theory of supercooled liquids.

Let us first sketch the physics of supercooled liquids, to be fortified by our formalism. When a liquid is sufficiently cooled, each constituent particle is caged by its neighbors and vibrates around its itinerant center, forming a transient amorphous structure [5]. The configurational entropy density $s_{\rm config}$ defines a length scale $\xi_{\rm config} \equiv s_{\rm config}^{-1/d}$, where $\exp\left[s_{\rm config}(T)V\right]$ counts the number of amorphous structures explored at a given temperature T. In essence a block of linear size $\xi_{\rm config}(T)$, when we keep all the particles outside it intact, has a few distinct amorphous structures available in the statistical ensemble [1]. At scale larger than $\xi_{\rm config}$ comes $\xi_{\rm DH}$, the typical size of dynamically heterogeneous patches. Given the abundant choices of amorphous structures, orchestrated rearrangements at this scale relax the system by wiping out its coarse memory, namely, significant resemblance to its initial configuration [2]. We presume that the lower the temperature, the fewer the accessible amorphous structures, the larger the cooperatively rearranging regions, and the longer the relaxation time.

Aiming for a universal description of critical phenomena in supercooled liquids, we construct an effective theory by duplicating the system and defining a coarse-grained overlap field. We then argue that the length scale $\xi_{\rm config}$ is imprinted on it as the standard correlation length. We further propose that the length scale $\xi_{\rm DH}$ and the relaxation time τ_α of the supercooled liquid are encoded in this effective field theory as the size and leading lifetime of thermal instantons, respectively. Finally, when $\xi_{\rm config}$ diverges, the effective theory of the overlap field lies in the subspace of the Ising universality class (cf. Fig.3). In the companion paper [6], we compute critical exponents governing the divergences of aforementioned characteristic scales in the supercooled liquid, based on the formalism developed herein.

For concreteness, let us consider s species of classical particles governed by a many-body Hamiltonian

$$H_{\rm o}\left[\{\mathbf{x}_i^a\},\{\mathbf{p}_i^a\}\right] = \sum_{a=1}^{s}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{N_a}\frac{\left(\mathbf{p}_i^a\right)^2}{2m_a}\right\} + v\left[\{\mathbf{x}_i^a\}\right], \qquad (1)$$

confined in a box of d-dimensional volume V. We then introduce an exact replica governed by

$$H_{\rm r}\left[\{\mathbf{y}_i^a\},\{\mathbf{q}_i^a\}\right] = \sum_{a=1}^{s}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{N_a}\frac{\left(\mathbf{q}_i^a\right)^2}{2m_a}\right\} + v\left[\{\mathbf{y}_i^a\}\right] \qquad (2)$$

and define a total overlap

$$O\left[\{\mathbf{x}_i^a\},\{\mathbf{y}_i^a\}\right] = \sum_{a=1}^{s}\left\{\sum_{i,j=1}^{N_a} w\left(\frac{|\mathbf{x}_i^a-\mathbf{y}_j^a|}{l_a}\right)\right\} \qquad (3)$$

to characterize its configurational resemblance to the original system. Here we take l_a to be of the order of the average interatomic distance around a particle of a-species and $w(z)$ to be a monotonically decreasing short-ranged function with $w(0)=1$: we expect details of these choices to be washed away in the spirit of universality. The next step is to consider the partition function [7–11]

$$Z\left(\beta, g_{\rm r}\right) = {\rm Tr}\left[e^{-\beta(H_{\rm o}+H_{\rm r})+g_{\rm r}O}\right] \qquad (4)$$

as a mathematical construct from which we extract physics. As usual the trace is the integration over positions and momenta [divided by $\prod_a \left(N_a!\right)^2$] and we tacitly exclude the crystalline phase space from it [22]. When the replica coupling $g_{\rm r}$ is turned on, the two systems prefer to choose similar amorphous structures. Here, in contrast to its customary use, we utilize $g_{\rm r}$ only in identifying our effective field theory with the Ising universality class and extract all the physical quantities of interest from the theory with $g_{\rm r}=0$.

We now coarse-grain the system à la Kadanoff by dividing the whole volume into hypercubic blocks $b(\mathbf{l})$ of

linear size $l_{\rm cut}$, labeled by a lattice variable $\mathbf{l}$. To each block we associate an overlap field

$$\begin{aligned}&\check{\phi}_{\mathbf{l}}\left[\{\mathbf{x}_i^a\},\{\mathbf{y}_i^a\}\right]\\ &=\frac{1}{2\rho l_{\rm cut}^d}\sum_{a=1}^{s}\left[\left\{\sum_{\mathbf{x}_i^a\in b(\mathbf{l})}\sum_{j=1}^{N_a}w\left(\frac{|\mathbf{x}_i^a-\mathbf{y}_j^a|}{l_a}\right)\right\}\right.\\ &\left.+\left\{\sum_{\mathbf{y}_i^a\in b(\mathbf{l})}\sum_{j=1}^{N_a}w\left(\frac{|\mathbf{y}_i^a-\mathbf{x}_j^a|}{l_a}\right)\right\}\right]\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

where the overall density $\rho=\sum_{a=1}^{s}N_a/V$. Note that $O=\rho\, l_{\rm cut}^d\sum_{\mathbf{l}}\check{\phi}_{\mathbf{l}}$. Regarding the overlap field as the only order parameter to monitor, we integrate out all the other microscopic degrees of freedom. Inserting $1=\int\prod_{\mathbf{l}}d\phi_{\mathbf{l}}\delta\left(\phi_{\mathbf{l}}-\check{\phi}_{\mathbf{l}}\right)$ and interchanging the order of the integrations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}Z\left(\beta,g_{\rm r}\right)&=\mathrm{Tr}\left[\int\prod_{\mathbf{l}}d\phi_{\mathbf{l}}\delta\left(\phi_{\mathbf{l}}-\check{\phi}_{\mathbf{l}}\right)e^{-\beta(H_{\rm o}+H_{\rm r})+g_{\rm r}O}\right]\\ &=\int\prod_{\mathbf{l}}d\phi_{\mathbf{l}}\,\exp\left(-\beta\mathcal{H}_{\beta}^{\Lambda}\left[\{\phi_{\mathbf{l}}\}\right]+g_{\rm r}^{\Lambda}\sum_{\mathbf{l}}\phi_{\mathbf{l}}\right)\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

with $g_{\rm r}^{\Lambda}=\rho l_{\rm cut}^d g_{\rm r}$ and

$$e^{-\beta\mathcal{H}_{\beta}^{\Lambda}[\{\phi_{\mathbf{l}}\}]}=\mathrm{Tr}\left[\left\{\prod_{\mathbf{l}}\delta\left(\phi_{\mathbf{l}}-\check{\phi}_{\mathbf{l}}\right)\right\}e^{-\beta(H_{\rm o}+H_{\rm r})}\right].\tag{7}$$

Changes in the cutoff scale $\Lambda=1/l_{\rm cut}$ induce the renormalization group flow for this effective field theory.

In passing, we mention two observations from previous work [9, 11] on the potential term in the effective Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{\beta}^{\Lambda}$ for the overlap field ϕ and take them as assumptions henceforth. First, when $g_{\rm r}=0$, the potential has a double-well structure at sufficiently low temperature (cf. Fig.2): in a metastable state – call this a replica-locking state – two configurations essentially share the same amorphous structure to vibrate around, whereas in a stable state – call this a free-sampling state – they have totally distinct amorphous structures. Second, turning on the replica coupling $g_{\rm r}$ tilts the potential and induces a first-order phase transition at $g_{\rm r}=g_{{\rm r},1}(T)>0$ for $T>T_0$, beyond which the replica-locking state becomes stable.

Having constructed the effective theory of the overlap field, let us analyze how it encodes the length scale $\xi_{\rm config}$, envisaged by Adam and Gibbs [1]. Below this scale, a distribution of particles around a block essentially pins down the centers of particles inside the block; above this scale, particles in a block have distinct amorphous structures available in the statistical ensemble with nonnegligible probability while keeping particles outside the block intact. Now, within a given block in the duplicated system, particles of the original system and those of the replica feel virtually the same external force so long as the block



FIG. 1: A block surrounded by blocks with high overlap. Dots indicate particles of the original system and triangles indicate those of the replica. (a) Below the scale $\xi_{\rm config}$, the pinning effect is dominant and we expect high overlap in the middle block. (b) Above the scale $\xi_{\rm config}$, the centers of particles in the middle block are statistically unpinned and we expect low overlap on average.



FIG. 2: The potential term in the effective Hamiltonian with a metastable minimum. Also depicted is a typical trajectory starting with high overlap and ending with low overlap.

of interest is surrounded by blocks with high overlap between the two systems, measured by the overlap field (see Fig.1). We expect to observe high overlap in the block of interest below the scale $\xi_{\rm config}$ and low overlap above it, in the absence of the replica coupling $g_{\rm r}$. The resulting shift from high to low overlap is also what we expect on the correlation length scale of the overlap field. We thus take the correlation length of the effective field theory at $g_{\rm r}=0$ as a proxy for $\xi_{\rm config}$.

We further reason that this effective field theory encodes the length scale $\xi_{\rm DH}$ and the relaxation time τ_α of the supercooled liquid, assuming the double-well structure. Let us keep a snapshot of all the particles at time t_0 and compare it to the configuration at time $t>t_0$. This defines a time-evolving overlap field ϕ_{t,t_0} with the following characteristics (see Fig.2). On the one hand, for $t-t_0\ll\tau_\alpha$ two configurations share the same amorphous structure almost everywhere and thus the field oscillates

around the metastable "replica-locking" minimum. On the other hand, for $t - t_0 \gg \tau_\alpha$ two configurations are statistically independent with coarse memory erased and thus the field oscillates around the stable "free-sampling" minimum. In the intermediate time scale $t - t_0 \sim \tau_\alpha$ the field jumps over the potential barrier via thermal activation, orchestrated motions of particles needed to penetrate through statistically rare regions [23]. Therefore we identify the leading lifetime of these thermal instantons with the relaxation time τ_α. Furthermore, at this time scale particles involved in such orchestrated motions appear to have moved faster than those not involved. This naturally results in dynamical heterogeneities and we in turn identify the size of the thermal instantons with the size of dynamically heterogeneous patches $\xi_{\rm DH}$.

Given the dictionary between the single supercooled liquid and the effective theory of the overlap field for the duplicated system, our remaining task is to develop the latter machinery to the point where we can perform calculations. To this end, recall that the number of accessible amorphous structures decreases as we lower the temperature and gets depleted near the Kauzmann temperature [17, 24]. This phenomenology is reflected in the field theory with $g_{\rm r} = 0$ when the correlation length – an avatar of the length scale $\xi_{\rm config} = s_{\rm config}^{-1/d}$ – diverges. Therefore we presume that the correlation length diverges at $(T, g_{\rm r}) = (T_0, 0)$, the putative critical point. Given that the effective field theory has the one scalar field ϕ, the two relevant intensive variables $(T, g_{\rm r})$, and the diverging correlation length $\xi_{\rm config}$, the Ginzburg-Landau-Wilson principle then leads us to conclude that the theory lies in the Ising universality class. In particular its universal features are captured by the Ising model with the near critical temperature $r \sim T^{\rm Ising} - T_{\rm c}^{\rm Ising}$ and the external magnetic field h. Under this identification, the parameters $r = r\,(T, g_{\rm r})$ and $h = h\,(T, g_{\rm r})$ are analytic functions of the intensive variables $(T, g_{\rm r})$ [18] and vanish at the critical point.

Moreover we can identify where the effective field theory with $g_{\rm r} = 0$, from which we extract observables of the supercooled liquid, lies in the relevant parameter space of the Ising universality class. With the anticipation of the diverging correlation length at $(T, g_{\rm r}) = (T_0, 0)$ and of the first-order phase transitions at $g_{\rm r} = g_{{\rm r},1}(T) > 0$ for $T > T_0$, we arrive at the schematic picture depicted in Fig.3. To determine the shape of the $g_{\rm r} = 0$ line, first note that the variable $g_{\rm r}$ linearly couples to the overlap field [cf. Eq.(6)]. In turn it affects only $h\,(T, g_{\rm r})$ and thus we set $r\,(T, g_{\rm r}) = r\,(T)$. Second we stipulate, as is customary, that the change of variables from the intensive variables $(T, g_{\rm r})$ to the parameters (r, h) is nonsingular at the critical point $(T, g_{\rm r}) = (T_0, 0)$. From these two requirements, combined with the analyticity, it then follows that $r\big|_{g_{\rm r}=0} \sim -\Delta T$ and $h\big|_{g_{\rm r}=0} \sim (\Delta T)^n$ with $\Delta T = T - T_0$ and a positive integer n. Detailed analysis [6] shows that the potential has the double-well structure if and only if we set $n \geq 2$ [25].



FIG. 3: A schematic picture of the $g_{\rm r} = 0$ line projected into the relevant parameter space of the Ising universality class, valid near the critical point. The dot denotes the unstable Ising fixed point where $(r, h) = (0, 0)$ and the zigzag line marks the first-order phase transitions.

In the companion paper [6], for each value of $n \geq 2$, we compute the critical exponents defined via $\xi_{\rm config} \sim \Delta T^{-\nu_{\rm c}}$, $\xi_{\rm DH} \sim \Delta T^{-\nu_{\rm D}}$, and $\log(\tau_\alpha) \sim \Delta T^{-\zeta}$. At the end of the day, choices of n and the whole formalism developed herein are justified (or falsified) a posteriori when we turn the crank of the machinery and compare to experiments and simulations.

We elucidated a systematic way to cast the physics of supercooled liquids under the framework of effective field theory. We have improved upon previous work [7–11] in two ways. First we defined the coarse-grained overlap field to go beyond the mean-field description which corresponds to the $\Lambda = 1/l_{\rm cut} \to 0$ limit. Second we regarded the replica coupling $g_{\rm r}$ as a genuine intensive variable, rather than an infinitesimal constant set to zero at the end of computations, to navigate us through the map of the Ising universality class and identify where the effective field theory of interest resides. With the assumption of the double-well structure on the potential term [9, 11], as in the random first-order transition theory [12, 13], there then emerged two characteristic length scales: the one $\xi_{\rm config}$ at which a few amorphous structures become available in the thermal ensemble and the other $\xi_{\rm DH}$ at which orchestrated rearrangements of particles erase memory.

To obtain the detail-independent description for salient features of supercooled liquids [21], it was essential to assume that the length scale $\xi_{\rm config}$ diverges as we approach the putative critical point. Indeed we could have instead imagined scenarios in which only $\xi_{\rm DH}$, or no length scale, would diverge. In doing so, however, we would essentially give up a notion of nontrivial universality in the physics of supercooled liquids.

The author first would like to thank Ethan S. Dyer and Jaehoon Lee for their zeal in field theories, which

facilitated the conception of this paper and led to our collaboration. He is indebted to Allan W. Adams, Shamit Kachru, and John A. McGreevy for stimulating discussions. He also wishes to express his gratitude to the participants, especially the principle organizer Giulio Biroli, of the 2012 Beg Rohu Summer School "Glass and Jamming Transitions" for their welcoming atmosphere. He finally would like to thank Gilles Tarjus for introducing him to the world of supercooled liquids as a guest speaker for Steven A. Kivelson's group meeting. He is supported by a JSPS Postdoctoral Fellowship for Research Abroad.

[1] G. Adam and J. H. Gibbs, J. Chem. Phys. **43**, 139 (1965).
[2] J.-P. Bouchaud and G. Biroli, J. Chem. Phys. **121**, 7347 (2004).
[3] M. D. Ediger, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. **51**, 99 (2000).
[4] L. Berthier, G. Biroli, J.-P. Bouchaud, L. Cipelletti, and W. van Saarloos, (eds.), *Dynamical Heterogeneities in Glasses, Colloids, and Granular Media* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2011).
[5] For a review, see L. Berthier and G. Biroli, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 587 (2011).
[6] E. Dyer, J. Lee, and S. Yaida, arXiv:1302.2917 [cond-mat.dis-nn].
[7] T. R. Kirkpatrick and D. Thirumalai, J. Phys. A **22**, L149 (1989).
[8] R. Monasson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 2847 (1995).
[9] S. Franz and G. Parisi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2486 (1997).
[10] M. Mézard and G. Parisi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 747 (1999).
[11] For a review, see M. Mézard and G. Parisi, arXiv:0910.2838 [cond-mat.dis-nn].
[12] T. R. Kirkpatrick, D. Thirumalai, and P. G. Wolynes, Phys. Rev. A **40**, 1045 (1989).
[13] For a review, see G. Biroli and J.-P. Bouchaud, arXiv:0912.2542 [cond-mat.dis-nn].
[14] M. Goldstein, J. Chem. Phys. **51**, 3728 (1969).
[15] F. H. Stillinger and T. A. Weber, Phys. Rev. A **25**, 978 (1982).
[16] For a review, see A. Heuer, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **20**, 373101 (2008).
[17] W. Kauzmann, Chem. Rev. **43**, 219 (1948).
[18] K. G. Wilson and J. B. Kogut, Phys. Rept. **12**, 75 (1974).
[19] C. Cammarota and G. Biroli, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **109**, 8850 (2012).
[20] S. Franz, G. Parisi, and F. Ricci-Tersenghi, J. Stat. Mech. (2013) L02001.
[21] S. A. Kivelson and G. Tarjus, Nature Mat. **7**, 831 (2008).
[22] In principle we may construct an effective field theory for supercooled liquids by starting above the melting point, taking the thermodynamic limit to extinguish the crystalline phase space, and then analytically continuing down beyond the melting point.
[23] Without such mega rearrangements, the system keeps revisiting its previous configurations. The same concept is encapsulated as mosaic patches in the random first-order transition theory [2, 12, 13] and as metabasins in the potential-energy landscape picture [14–16].
[24] The temperature T_0, where $s_{\rm config}$ vanishes and $\xi_{\rm config}$ diverges, and the Kauzmann temperature $T_{\rm K}$, where the entropy density difference between the supercooled liquid and the crystal vanishes, do not have to precisely agree.
[25] See [19, 20] for a distinct line of research in which the physics of supercooled liquids is related through random pinning to the random field Ising model with inhomogeneous external magnetic field.