arXiv:1301.2642v1 [math.GN] 12 Jan 2013

# NEIGHBORHOOD BASE AT THE IDENTITY OF FREE PARATOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

ALI SAYED ELFARD

Abstract. V. G. Pestov described a neighborhood base at the identity of the Markov free topological group $F(X)$ on a Tychonoff space $X$ in terms of the fine uniformity on $X$. In this paper, an analogue of Pestov's description for the Markov free paratopological group $FP(X)$ on a space $X$ in terms of the fine quasi-uniformity on $X$ is found and some properties of the Markov and Graev free paratopological groups are presented.

## 1. Introduction

The existence of the Graev free paratopological groups $FP_G(X)$ and $AP_G(X)$ on a topological space $X$ were proved by Romaguera, Sanchis and Tkachenko [11]. An analogous proof of [11] was used by Elfard [4] to prove the existence of the Markov free paratopological groups $FP(X)$ and $AP(X)$ on a topological space $X$. In addition, Elfard [4] provided another proof for the existence of $FP(X)$ and $AP(X)$ on a topological space $X$ by embedding the space $X$ in an infinite direct product of paratopological groups (similar to the argument in the proof of Theorem 8.8 of [7]).

Tkachenko [12] described a neighborhood base at the identity of free topological group $F(X)$ on a Tychonoff space $X$ in terms of the fine uniformity on the finite powers of the space $X$. However, Pestov [10] suggested a neighborhood base at the identity of the free topological group $F(X,\mathcal{U})$ on a uniform space $(X,\mathcal{U})$. This description applies for the free topological group $F(X)$ on a Tychonoff space $X$ when we use the fine uniformity on $X$. In this paper, we rely heavily on the results in Theorem 3.1 of [3] to introduce the extended version of the Pestov's description to the free paratopological groups $FP(X)$ and $AP(X)$ on a space $X$ in terms of the fine quasi-uniformity on $X$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 22A30; secondary 54D10, 54E99, 54H99.

*Key words and phrases.* Paratopological group, free paratopological group, neighborhood base at the identity, quasi-uniformity, fine quasi-uniformity, locally invariant property, quasi-pseudometric, Graev paratopological group topology.

Finally, we introduce the Graev paratopological group topology on the underlying set of the free paratopological group $FP(X)$ on a topological space $X$ and then we study the locally invariant property of the free paratopological groups .

The author wishes to thank associate professor Peter Nickolas for helpful comments.

## 2. Preliminaries

We assume that the reader is familiar with paratopological groups and free paratopological groups.

The next result is from Marin and Romaguera [8].

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let $G$ be a group and let $\mathscr{N}$ be a collection of subsets of $G$, where each member of $\mathscr{N}$ contains the identity element $e$ of $G$. Then the collection $\mathscr{N}$ is a base at $e$ for a paratopological group topology on $G$ if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

1. *for all $U, V \in \mathscr{N}$, there exists $W \in \mathscr{N}$ such that $W \subseteq U \cap V$;*
2. *for each $U \in \mathscr{N}$, there exists $V \in \mathscr{N}$ such that $V^2 \subseteq U$;*
3. *for each $U \in \mathscr{N}$ and for each $x \in U$, there exists $V \in \mathscr{N}$ such that $xV \subseteq U$ and $Vx \subseteq U$; and*
4. *for each $U \in \mathscr{N}$ and each $x \in G$, there exists $V \in \mathscr{N}$ such that $xVx^{-1} \subseteq U$.*

Our main reference for the theory of quasi-uniform spaces is Fletcher and Lindgren [6].

Let $(G, \mathcal{T})$ be a paratopological group. Then it admits two natural quasi-uniformities, the left quasi-uniformity $\mathcal{L}_G$ and the right quasi-uniformity $\mathcal{R}_G$, which can be described in terms of a neighborhood base $\mathscr{N}$ at the identity $e$ of $G$. For every $U \in \mathscr{N}$, put $L_U = \{(x, y) \in G \times G : x^{-1}y \in U\}$ and $R_U = \{(x, y) \in G \times G : yx^{-1} \in U\}$; then the families $\{L_U : U \in \mathscr{N}\}$ and $\{R_U : U \in \mathscr{N}\}$ are bases for the left quasi-uniformity $\mathcal{L}_G$ and the right quasi-uniformity $\mathcal{R}_G$, respectively. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_G) = \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R}_G) = \mathcal{T}$.

**Remark 2.2.** If $G$ is a paratopological group and $g$ is a fixed element in $G$, then the translations $r_g \colon x \mapsto xg$ and $l_g \colon x \mapsto gx$ of $G$ onto $G$ are homeomorphisms of $G$.

Let $X$ be a set. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define the subset $Z_k(X) = \{x_1^{\epsilon_1} x_2^{\epsilon_2} \dots x_n^{\epsilon_n} \in F_a(X) : \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i = k\}$ of the free group $F_a(X)$ and the subset $Z_k^A(X) = \{\epsilon_1 x_1 + \epsilon_2 x_2 + \dots + \epsilon_n x_n \in A_a(X) : \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i = k\}$ of the free abelian group $A_a(X)$. For every $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, the sets $Z_{k_1}(X)$ and

$Z_{k_2}(X)$ are disjoint whenever $k_1 \neq k_2$ and the sets $Z^A_{k_1}(X)$ and $Z^A_{k_2}(X)$ are disjoint whenever $k_1 \neq k_2$. The set $Z_0(X)$ is the smallest normal subgroup containing the set $Z_F = \bigcup_{x\in X} x^{-1}X$ and the set $Z^A_0(X)$ is the smallest subgroup containing the set $Z_A = \bigcup_{x\in X} X - x$.

Let $f : X \to \mathbb{Z}$ be the map defined by $f(x) = 1$ for all $x \in X$. Then $f$ is continuous and hence extends to a continuous homomorphism $\hat{f} : FP(X) \to \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that the collection of sets $Z_k(X) = \hat{f}^{-1}(\{k\})$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ forms a partition of $FP(X)$ into homeomorphic clopen subspaces.

## 3. Markov and Graev free paratopological groups

For the definitions of Graev free paratopological groups $FP_G(X)$ and $AP_G(X)$ and Markov free paratopological groups $FP(X)$ and $AP(X)$ on a space $X$ see [2]. We denote the free topology of $FP(X)$ and $FP_G(X)$ by $\mathcal{T}_{FP}$ and the free topology of $AP(X)$ and $AP_G(X)$ by $\mathcal{T}_{AP}$. We remark that if $X$ is a topological space, then in both senses the free topologies $\mathcal{T}_{FP}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{AP}$ are the strongest paratopological group topologies on the abstract free groups $F_a(X)$ and $A_a(X)$, respectively, that induce the original topology on $X$.

The relation between Markov and Graev free paratopological groups on a topological space $X$ is determined by the fact that the Markov free paratopological $FP(X)$ is the Graev free paratopological group $FP_G(X \oplus \{e\})$ on the space $X \oplus \{e\}$, where '$\oplus$' denotes the topological sum. Graev's concept is more general than Markov's concept, in the sense that every Markov free paratopological group is a Graev free paratopological group. The same result is true for the abelian case.

Now let us start by two propositions and then we show in the next theorem that the free paratopological group $FP_G(X)$ on an indiscrete space $X$ is indiscrete.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let $X$ be a topological space. Then the subgroup $Z_0(X)$ of the Markov free paratopological group $FP(X)$ can be identified with the Graev free paratopological group $FP_G(X)$.*

*Proof.* Fix $x_0 \in X$. Let $f\colon x_0^{-1}X \to G$ be a continuous mapping of the subspace $x_0^{-1}X$ of $Z_0(X)$ to a paratopological group $G$ such that $f(e) = e_G$. Let $\theta\colon X \to G$ defined by $\theta(x) = f(x_0^{-1}x)$ for all $x \in X$. Then $\theta$ is a continuous mapping, because it is the composition of two continuous mappings, the translation mapping and $f$. So we have $\theta(x_0) = e_G$. We extend $\theta$ to a continuous homomorphism $\hat{\theta}\colon FP(X) \to G$.

Now if $x \in X$, then $\hat{\theta}(x_0^{-1}x) = (\hat{\theta}(x_0))^{-1}\hat{\theta}(x) = e_G\theta(x) = f(x_0^{-1}x)$, which implies that $\hat{\theta}|_{(x_0^{-1}X)} = f$. Thus we have $\hat{\theta}|_{Z_0(X)} = \hat{f}\colon Z_0(X) \to G$ is the extension of $f$. Therefore, by Remark 2.2, $Z_0(X)$ is the Graev free group $FP_G(X)$ on $X$.

Also, by the same proof we can prove that $Z_0^A(X) \cong AP_G(X)$. □

Let $X$ be a topological space and let $\mathcal{T}_A$ be the topology of the subspace $X^{-1}$ of the Markov free group $FP(X)$, where $X$ be any topological space. Then by Theorem 4.2 of [2], the topology $\mathcal{T}_A$ has as an open base the collection $\{C^{-1} : C \text{ closed in } X\}$. Let $X_A^{-1} = (X^{-1}, \mathcal{T}_A)$.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let $X$ be an indiscrete space. Then the subspace $X_A^{-1}$ of $FP(X)$ and the subspace $X_A^{-1}$ of $FP_G(X)$ are indiscrete.*

*Proof.* Since the subspace $X_A^{-1}$ of $FP(X)$ has the collection $\{\emptyset, X^{-1}\}$ as a base, $X_A^{-1}$ is an indiscrete subspace of $FP(X)$.

Now for a fixed point $x_0 \in X$, by Remark 2.2, we have $x_0X_A^{-1}$ is an indiscrete subspace of $FP(X)$ and also $x_0X_A^{-1}$ is an indiscrete subspace of $Z_0(X)$, which is the Graev free group $FP_G(X)$ on $X$ by Proposition 3.1. Therefore, again by Remark 2.2, $X_A^{-1}$ is an indiscrete subspace of $FP_G(X)$. □

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let $X$ be a topological space. Then the free paratopological group $FP_G(X)$ is indiscrete if and only if the space $X$ is indiscrete.*

*Proof.* $\Longrightarrow$: It is clear.

$\Longleftarrow$: Assume that $X$ is indiscrete. Let $U$ be a neighborhood of $e$ in $FP_G(X)$ and let $w = x_1^{\epsilon_1}x_2^{\epsilon_2}\cdots x_n^{\epsilon_n} \in F_a(X)$. Then there exists a neighborhood $V$ of $e$ in $FP_G(X)$ such that $V^n \subseteq U$. Since $X$ and $X_A^{-1}$ are indiscrete and contain $e$, $V \cap X = X$ and $V \cap X^{-1} = X^{-1}$. Thus $w = x_1^{\epsilon_1}x_2^{\epsilon_2}\cdots x_n^{\epsilon_n} \in \underbrace{V\cdots V}_{n-times} = V^n \subseteq U$, which means that $U = F_a(X)$. Therefore, $FP_G(X)$ is indiscrete. □

If a space $X$ is indiscrete, then by Theorem 3.3 and Proposition 3.1, the subspace $Z_0(X)$ of the Markov free paratopological group $FP(X)$ is indiscrete. Therefore, the Markov free paratopological group on an indiscrete space $X$ is the union of disjoint indiscrete subspaces $Z_k(X)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The same result is true for the subspace $Z_0^A(X)$ of the Markov free abelian group $AP(X)$.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let $X$ be a topological space. Then $X$ is discrete if and only if $FP(X)$ ( $AP(X)$) is discrete.*

*Proof.* $\Longrightarrow$: Assume that $X$ is discrete. Since the free topology of the free topological group $F(X)$ is discrete and it is a paratopological group

topology induces the original topology on $X$ so it is the free topology $\mathcal{T}_{FP}$ of $FP(X)$.

$\Longleftarrow$: Assume that $FP(X)$ is discrete. Since $X$ is a subspace of $FP(X)$, it is clear that $X$ is discrete.

Similarly, we can prove the statement of the theorem for $AP(X)$. $\square$

Since the Graev free group $FP_G(X)$ on a space $X$ is a subgroup of the Markov free group $FP(X)$, then as a corollary of Theorem 3.4 we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.5.** *A space $X$ is discrete if and only if the Graev free paratopological group $FP_G(X)$ is discrete.*

## 4. Neighborhood base at the identity

Let $X$ be a set. Then we define $j_2, k_2 \colon X \times X \to F_a(X)$ by $j_2(x,y) = x^{-1}y$ and $k_2(x,y) = yx^{-1}$ for all $(x,y) \in X \times X$. For the abelian case we define $j_2^* \colon X \times X \to A_a(X)$ by $j_2^*(x,y) = y - x$ for all $(x,y) \in X \times X$.

Pestov [10] described a neighborhood base at the identity of the free topological group $F(X)$ on a Tychonoff space $X$. Now we start to introduce an analogous of the Pestov's description for the free paratopological group $FP(X)$ on a space $X$.

Let $X$ be a fixed topological space and let $\mathcal{U}^*$ be the fine quasi-uniformity on $X$. Set $\mathscr{M}$ be the family of all countable sequences of mappings $F_a(X) \to \mathcal{U}^*$. For any $\psi \colon F_a(X) \to \mathcal{U}^*$, we define

$$E(\psi) = \bigcup_{g \in F_a(X)} g\big(j_2(\psi(g)) \cup k_2(\psi(g))\big)g^{-1}. \tag{1}$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $S_n$ be the group of permutations of the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Then for each countable sequence $\Psi \in \mathscr{M}$, where $\Psi = (\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, let

$$E_n(\Psi) = \bigcup_{\pi \in S_n} E(\psi_{\pi(1)})E(\psi_{\pi(2)}) \cdots E(\psi_{\pi(n)}).$$

Put $W(\Psi) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} E_n(\Psi)$ and then define $\mathscr{W}_F = \{W(\Psi) : \Psi \in \mathscr{M}\}$.

The next result is Lemma 1.3 of Tkachenko [12].

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let $G$ be a group with the identity element $e$ and let $\{V_n : n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$ be a countable collection of subsets of $G$ such that $e \in V_n$ and $V_{n+1}^3 \subseteq V_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, p, k_1, \ldots, k_p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{p} 2^{-k_i} < 2^{-k}$. Then $V_{k_1}V_{k_2} \cdots V_{k_p} \subseteq V_k$.*

**Lemma 4.2.** *If $V$ is a neighborhood of $e$ in $FP(X)$, then there exists $\psi : F_a(X) \to \mathcal{U}$ such that $E(\psi) \subseteq V$.*

*Proof.* Let $V$ be a neighborhood of $e$ in $FP(X)$. Given $g \in F_a(X)$, there exists a neighborhood $W$ of $e$ in $FP(X)$ such that $W \subseteq g^{-1}Vg$. Then $W \cap FP_2(X)$ is a neighborhood of $e$ in $FP_2(X)$. By Theorem 3.1 of [3], there exists an entourage $U_g \in \mathcal{U}^*$ such that $j_2(U_g) \cup k_2(U_g) \subseteq W \cap FP_2(X)$, so we have $g\big(j_2(U_g) \cup k_2(U_g)\big)g^{-1} \subseteq V$. Define $\psi : F_a(X) \to \mathcal{U}$ by setting $\psi(g) = U_g$ for all $g \in F_a(X)$. It follows that $E(\psi) \subseteq V$ and therefore, the lemma is proved. □

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let $\psi, \gamma \colon F_a(X) \to \mathcal{U}^*$ and let $\psi(g) \subseteq \gamma(g)$ for all $g \in F_a(X)$. Then $E(\psi) \subseteq E(\gamma)$.*

**Theorem 4.4.** *The collection $\mathscr{W}_F$ as defined above is a neighborhood base at $e$ for the topology of $FP(X)$.*

*Proof.* We show first that $\mathscr{W}_F$ is a neighborhood base at $e$ for a paratopological group topology $\mathscr{O}_F$ on $F_a(X)$ by showing that $\mathscr{W}_F$ satisfies the axioms of Proposition 2.1.

(1) Let $W(\Psi), W(\Gamma) \in \mathscr{W}_F$, where $\Psi, \Gamma \in \mathscr{M}$ such that $\Psi = (\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\Gamma = (\gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let $\xi_n : F_a(X) \to \mathcal{U}^*$ defined by $\xi_n(g) = \psi_n(g) \cap \gamma_n(g)$ for all $g \in F_a(X)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $\Xi = (\xi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathscr{M}$ and by Lemma 4.3, we have $E(\xi_n) \subseteq E(\psi_n) \cap E(\gamma_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\pi \in S_n$, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(\xi_{\pi(1)}) \dots E(\xi_{\pi(n)}) &\subseteq (E(\psi_{\pi(1)}) \cap E(\gamma_{\pi(1)})) \cdots (E(\psi_{\pi(n)}) \cap E(\gamma_{\pi(n)})) \\ &\subseteq E(\psi_{\pi(1)}) \cdots E(\psi_{\pi(n)}) \cap E(\gamma_{\pi(1)}) \cdots E(\gamma_{\pi(n)}). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\bigcup_{\pi\in S_n} E(\xi_{\pi(1)}) \cdots E(\xi_{\pi(n)}) \\ &\subseteq \bigcup_{\pi\in S_n} \Big( \big(E(\psi_{\pi(1)}) \cdots E(\psi_{\pi(n)})\big) \cap \big(E(\gamma_{\pi(1)}) \cdots E(\gamma_{\pi(n)})\big) \Big) \\ &\subseteq \Big( \bigcup_{\pi\in S_n} E(\psi_{\pi(1)}) \cdots E(\psi_{\pi(n)}) \Big) \cap \Big( \bigcup_{\pi\in S_n} E(\gamma_{\pi(1)}) \cdots E(\gamma_{\pi(n)}) \Big) \end{aligned}$$

and so $E_n(\Xi) \subseteq E_n(\Psi) \cap E_n(\Gamma)$. Since $n$ is an arbitrary element in $\mathbb{N}$, therefore, $W(\Xi) \subseteq W(\Psi) \cap W(\Gamma)$.

(2) Let $W(\Psi) \in \mathscr{W}_F$, where $\Psi = (\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathscr{M}$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g \in FP(X)$, define $\gamma_n \colon F_a(X) \to \mathcal{U}^*$ by $\gamma_n(g) = \psi_{2n-1}(g) \cap \psi_{2n}(g)$. Let $\Gamma = (\gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Hence $\Gamma \in \mathscr{M}$. Fix $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, \pi \in S_m$ and $\rho \in S_n$. We claim that

$$E(\gamma_{\pi(1)}) \cdots E(\gamma_{\pi(m)}) E(\gamma_{\rho(1)}) \cdots E(\gamma_{\rho(n)}) \subseteq W(\Psi).$$

In fact, if $m = n$, then define $\sigma \in S_{2n}$ by

$$\sigma(i) = \begin{cases} 2\pi(i) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ 2\rho(i-n) - 1 & \text{if } n < i \leq 2n. \end{cases}$$

From Lemma 4.3 and the definition of $\sigma$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &E(\gamma_{\pi(1)}) \cdots E(\gamma_{\pi(n)}) E(\gamma_{\rho(1)}) \cdots E(\gamma_{\rho(n)}) \\ &\subseteq E(\psi_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots E(\psi_{\sigma(n)}) E(\psi_{\sigma(n+1)}) \cdots E(\psi_{\sigma(2n)}) \\ &\subseteq W(\Psi). \end{aligned}$$

If $m \neq n$, then assume that $m < n$. We define $\sigma \in S_{m+n}$ by

$$\sigma(i) = \begin{cases} 2\pi(i) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq m, \\ 2\rho(i-m) - 1 & \text{if } m < i \leq m+n. \end{cases}$$

Then from Lemma 4.3 and the definition of $\sigma$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} &E(\gamma_{\pi(1)}) \cdots E(\gamma_{\pi(m)}) E(\gamma_{\rho(1)}) \cdots E(\gamma_{\rho(n)}) \\ &\subseteq E(\psi_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots E(\psi_{\sigma(m)}) E(\psi_{\sigma(m+1)}) \cdots E(\psi_{\sigma(m+n)}) \\ &\subseteq W(\Psi). \end{aligned}$$

If $n < m$, then we can do a similar proof for $m < n$. Since $m, n$ are arbitrary in $\mathbb{N}$, therefore, $W(\Gamma)W(\Gamma) \subseteq W(\Psi)$.

(3) Let $W(\Psi) \in \mathscr{W}_F$, where $\Psi = (\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathscr{M}$. If $w \in W(\Psi)$, then fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\pi \in S_k$ such that $w \in E(\psi_{\pi(1)})E(\psi_{\pi(2)}) \cdots E(\psi_{\pi(k)})$. Put $\gamma_n = \psi_{k+n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\Gamma = (\gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Then $\Gamma \in \mathscr{M}$ and then $W(\Gamma) \in \mathscr{W}_F$.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sigma \in S_n$ and define $\rho \in S_{k+n}$ by;

$$\rho(i) = \begin{cases} \pi(i) & \text{if } i \leq k, \\ \sigma(i-k) + k & \text{if } k+1 \leq i \leq k+n. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &wE(\gamma_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots E(\gamma_{\sigma(n)}) \\ &\subseteq E(\psi_{\pi(1)}) \cdots E(\psi_{\pi(k)}) E(\gamma_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots E(\gamma_{\sigma(n)}) \\ &= E(\psi_{\rho(1)}) \cdots E(\psi_{\rho(k)}) E(\psi_{\rho(k+1)}) \cdots E(\psi_{\rho(k+n)}) \\ &\subseteq W(\Psi). \end{aligned}$$

Since $n, \sigma$ are arbitrary, therefore, $wW(\Gamma) \subseteq W(\Psi)$. Also, by the same way we can prove that $W(\Gamma)w \subseteq W(\Psi)$.

(4) Let $W(\Psi) \in \mathscr{W}_F$, where $\Psi = (\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathscr{M}$. Given $w \in F_a(X)$, define $\gamma_n(g) = \psi_n(wg)$ for all $g \in F_a(X)$. Then $\Gamma = (\gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathscr{M}$ and

then $W(\Gamma) \in \mathscr{W}_F$. If $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} wE(\gamma_m)w^{-1} &= w\Big( \bigcup_{g\in F_a(X)} g\big(j_2(\gamma_m(g)) \cup k_2(\gamma_m(g))\big)g^{-1}\Big)w^{-1} \\ &= w\Big( \bigcup_{g\in F_a(X)} g\big(j_2(\psi_m(wg)) \cup k_2(\psi_m(wg))\big)g^{-1}\Big)w^{-1} \\ &= \bigcup_{g\in F_a(X)} (wg)\Big(j_2(\psi_m(wg)) \cup k_2(\psi_m(wg))\Big)(wg)^{-1} \\ &= \bigcup_{g\in F_a(X)} g\Big(j_2(\psi_m(g)) \cup k_2(\psi_m(g))\Big)g^{-1} \\ &= E(\psi_m). \end{aligned}$$

Let $h \in W(\Gamma)$. Then there are $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n$ such that $h \in E(\gamma_{\pi(1)}) \cdots E(\gamma_{\pi(n)})$ and then

$$\begin{aligned} whw^{-1} &\in w(E(\gamma_{\pi(1)}) \cdots E(\gamma_{\pi(n)}))w^{-1} \\ &= wE(\gamma_{\pi(1)})w^{-1} \cdots wE(\gamma_{\pi(n)})w^{-1} \\ &= E(\psi_{\pi(1)}) \cdots E(\psi_{\pi(n)}) \\ &\subseteq W(\Psi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we satisfied conditions (1)-(4) of Proposition 2.1, therefore, $\mathscr{W}_F$ is a base for a paratopological group topology $\mathscr{O}_F$ on $F_a(X)$.

We show second that the topology $\mathscr{O}_F$ is finer than the free topology $\mathcal{T}_{FP}$ of $FP(X)$. Let $U$ be a neighborhood of $e$ in $FP(X)$. Choose a sequence $(V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of open neighborhoods of $e$ in $FP(X)$ such that $V_0 \subseteq U$ and $V_{n+1}^3 \subseteq V_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 4.2, there exists $\Xi = (\xi_n) \in \mathscr{M}$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $E(\xi_n) \subseteq V_n$. Also, if $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\pi \in S_n$, then by Lemma 4.1, we have $V_{\pi(1)} \cdots V_{\pi(n)} \subseteq V_0$, which implies that $E(\xi_{\pi(1)}) \cdots E(\xi_{\pi(n)}) \subseteq V_{\pi(1)} \cdots V_{\pi(n)} \subseteq V_0$. Thus $W(\Xi) \subseteq V_0 \subseteq U$. This proves that the topology $\mathscr{O}_F$ generated by the family $\mathscr{W}_F$ is finer than the topology $\mathcal{T}_{FP}$.

We show third that the topology $\mathscr{O}_F|_X$ is coarser than the original topology on $X$. Let $\Psi = (\psi_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathscr{M}$. Since $\mathcal{U}^*$ is compatible with the topology of $X$, for all $g \in F_a(X)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$, $\psi_n(g)[x]$ is a neighborhood of $x$ in $X$. Fix $g \in F_a(X)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in X$. Now $x \in xW(\Psi) \cap X$, so if $a \in \psi_n(g)[x]$, then $(x, a) \in \psi_n(g)$ and then $x^{-1}a \in E(\psi_n) \subseteq W(\Psi)$, which implies that $a \in xW(\Psi) \cap X$. Hence $\psi_n(g)[x] \subseteq xW(\Psi) \cap X$ and therefore, $\mathscr{O}_F|_X$ is coarser thatn the original topology on $X$. It follows that $\mathscr{W}_F$ is a neighborhood base at $e$ for $FP(X)$. □

Now we describe a neighborhood base at the identity $0_A$ of the free abelian paratopological group $AP(X)$. This description is similar to the Yamada's description [13] for the free abelian topological group $A(X)$ on a Tychonoff space $X$.

Let $\mathscr{K}$ be the collection of all countable sequences of elements of $\mathcal{U}^*$. For each $\mathcal{K} = (U_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathscr{K}$, we define the set

$$W(\mathcal{K}) = \{\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{n} j_2^*(U_n) \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \tag{2}$$

and the set

$$\mathscr{W}_A = \{W(\mathcal{K}) : \mathcal{K} \in \mathscr{K}\}.$$

Since $\Delta \subseteq U$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}^*$, $0_A \in W(\mathcal{K})$ for all $\mathcal{K} \in \mathscr{K}$. So we have the next result and it is easy to prove.

**Theorem 4.5.** *The collection $\mathscr{W}_A$ as defined above is a neighborhood base at $0_A$ for the topology of $AP(X)$.*

Elfard [5] introduced neighborhood bases at the identity for the Markov free paratopological groups $FP(X)$ and $AP(X)$ on an Alexandroff space $X$. We show how the neighborhood base at the identity $e$ of $FP(X)$ on Alexandroff space $X$ which is described in [5] can be obtained as a corollary of Theorem 4.4. The same ideas can be applied for the abelian case.

Now let $X$ be a fixed Alexandroff space. Let $N_F$ be the smallest normal submonoid of $F_a(X)$ containing the set $U_F = \bigcup_{x\in X} x^{-1}U(x)$, where $U(x)$ is the smallest open set containing $x$ and then define $\mathcal{N}_F = \{N_F\}$. Let $U_0 = \bigcap_{U\in\mathcal{U}^*} U$. Then for all $x \in X$, $U_0[x] = \bigcap_{U\in\mathcal{U}^*} U[x]$. Since $X$ is an Alexandroff space, $U_0[x]$ is the minimal neighborhood of $x$ for all $x \in X$. It is not hard to show that $U_0[x]$ is a normal neighbornet of $X$ (for the definition of a normal neighbornet see [6]). Since the fine quasi-uniformity $\mathcal{U}^*$ consists of all normal neighbornets (see [6]), then we have $U_0 \in \mathcal{U}^*$.

Let $\psi_0(g) = U_0$ for all $g \in F_a(X)$ and let $\Psi_0 = (\psi_0, \psi_0, \ldots) \in \mathscr{M}$, where $\mathscr{M}$ as defined above. Thus by (1), we have

$$E(\psi_0) = \bigcup_{g\in F_a(X)} g\big(j_2(U_0) \cup k_2(U_0)\big)g^{-1}.$$

Let $E'(\psi_0) = \bigcup_{g\in F_a(X)} g j_2(U_0) g^{-1}$. It is easy to show that $E'(\psi_0) = E(\psi_0)$ and then we have

$$W(\Psi_0) = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} (E'(\psi_0))^n.$$

For all $g \in F_a(X)$ and $\Psi \in \mathscr{M}$, we have $\psi_0(g) \subseteq \psi(g)$. Hence by Lemma 4.3, $W(\Psi_0) \subseteq W(\Psi)$ for all $\Psi \in \mathscr{M}$, which implies that $\{W(\Psi_0)\}$

is a base at $e$ in $FP(X)$. It is not hard to show that $W(\Psi_0)$ is a normal submonoid of $FP(X)$.

**Corollary 4.6.** *The sets $W(\Psi_0)$ and $N_F$ are equal.*

## 5. Local invariance of free paratopological groups

If $d$ is a quasi-pseudometric on a space $X$, then for each $x \in X$ we define $d_x(y) = d(x, y)$ for all $y \in X$.

Our main reference for the following is Elfard and Nickolas [2].

Let $X$ be a topological space ($e \notin X$) and let $\mathcal{D}_1$ be the family of all quasi-pseudometrics $d$ on $X$, which are bounded by 1 and are such that $d_x$ is upper semi-continuous for all $x \in X$. For every $d \in \mathcal{D}_1$ let $\hat{d}$ be the Graev extension of $d$ to the abstract free group $F_a(X)$ and let $\mathcal{T}_d$ be the paratopological group topology generated by $\hat{d}$ on $F_a(X)$. Let $\mathcal{T}_{GFP}$ be the supremum of all the topologies $\mathcal{T}_d$ on $F_a(X)$ for all $d \in \mathcal{D}_1$. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}_{GFP}$ is a paratopological group topology on $F_a(X)$.

For the free abelian abstract group $A_a(X)$ on $X$ ($0_A \notin X$), we define the topology $\mathcal{T}_{GAP}$ to be the supremum of all the topologies $\mathcal{T}_d^A$ on $A_a(X)$ for all $d \in \mathcal{D}_1$, where $\mathcal{T}_d^A$ is the paratopological group topology generated by $\hat{d}_A$ on $A_a(X)$ and $\hat{d}_A$ is the extension of $d$ on $A_a(X)$ (for more details on this see [4]). We refer to the topologies $\mathcal{T}_{GFP}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{GAP}$ as the *Graev paratopological group topologies* on the groups $F_a(X)$ and $A_a(X)$, respectively.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let $X$ be a topological space and let $d \in \mathcal{D}_1$. Then for all $w \in FP(X)$, $(\hat{d})_w$ is upper semi-continuous on $FP(X)$.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.8 of [2] and the fact that the free topology $\mathcal{T}_{FP}$ of $FP(X)$ is finer than the Graev topology $\mathcal{T}_{GFP}$, the balls $B_{\hat{d}}(e, \epsilon)$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ are in the free topology $\mathcal{T}_{FP}$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.2 of [3], $(\hat{d})_w$ is upper semi-continuous for all $w \in FP(X)$. □

The same result is true for the extension $\hat{d}_A$ on $AP(X)$ of the quasi-pseudometric $d$.

A paratopological group $G$ is *locally invariant* if it has a base at $e$ of neighborhoods $U$ with the property that $xUx^{-1} = U$ for all $x \in G$.

The topologies $\mathcal{T}_{GFP}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{GAP}$ as defined above are the finest locally invariant paratopological group topologies on $F_a(X)$ and $A_a(X)$, respectively, that induce the original topology on $X$ [2]. Therefore, the Graev topology on the underlying set of a free paratopological group equals their free topology if and only if the free topology is locally invariant. Since the free topology $\mathcal{T}_{AP}$ of $AP(X)$ is always locally

invariant, therefore, the Graev topology $\mathcal{T}_{GAP}$ on the underlying set of $AP(X)$ equals the free topology $\mathcal{T}_{AP}$ of $AP(X)$.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let $X$ be a discrete space. Then the free paratopological groups $FP(X)$ and $FP_G(X)$ are locally invariant.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.4 and Corollary 3.5, the free paratopological groups $FP(X)$ and $FP_G(X)$ are discrete and then locally invariant. □

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let $X$ be an Alexandroff space. Then the free paratopological group $FP(X)$ is locally invariant.*

*Proof.* By Corollary 4.6, the free paratopological group $FP(X)$ has the base $\mathcal{N}_F$ at the identity. It is therefore clear that the free paratopological group $FP(X)$ is locally invariant. □

Next we give a class of spaces $X$ where the free paratopological group $FP(X)$ is not locally invariant. This result is similar to a result in Proposition 1.2.1 of [9] for free topological groups.

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let $X$ be a Tychonoff space. Assume that $X$ contains a (non-trivial) sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converging to a point $x \in X$. Then the free paratopological group $FP(X)$ is not locally invariant.*

*Proof.* Let $d \in \mathcal{D}_1$. Then we have $d(x_n, x) \to 0$. Let $\hat{d}$ be the Graev extension of $d$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{d}(x^n x_n x^{-(n+1)}, e) &= \hat{d}(x^n x_n x^{-1} x^{-n}, e)\\ &= \hat{d}(x_n x^{-1}, e)\\ &= \hat{d}(x_n, x) \to 0.\end{aligned}$$

So the sequence $\{x^n x_n x^{-(n+1)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to $e$ in the Graev topology $\mathcal{T}_{GFP}$ on $F_a(X)$. Now assume that $\mathcal{T}_{FP} = \mathcal{T}_{GFP}$. Let $\hat{\phi}\colon FP(X) \to F(X)$ be the extension of the identity mapping $\phi\colon X \to F(X)$, where $F(X)$ is the free topological group on $X$. Then $\hat{\phi}$ is a continuous homomorphism mapping. Hence the sequence

$$\{[\hat{\phi}(x)]^n \hat{\phi}(x_n)[\hat{\phi}(x)]^{-(n+1)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$$

converges to $e$ in the free topology of $F(X)$. This contradicts a result of Abels [1] that the free topology of $F(X)$ cannot contain a convergent sequence of increasing length. Therefore, $\mathcal{T}_{GFP} \neq \mathcal{T}_{FP}$ and then the free paratopological group $FP(X)$ is not locally invariant. □

## References


[1] Abels, Herbert, *Normen auf freien topologischen Gruppen*, Math. Z., Mathematische Zeitschrift, vol. 129, (1972), pp. 25–42.

[2] Elfard, Ali Sayed and Nickolas, Peter, *On the topology of free paratopological groups*, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, vol. 44, no. 6 (2012), pp. 1103-1115.

[3] ———, *On the topology of free paratopological groups. II*, Topology Appl., vol. 160, no. 1 (2013), pp. 220-229.

[4] Elfard, Ali Sayed, *Free paratopological groups*, PhD Thesis, University of Wollongong, Australia, (2012).

[5] ———, *Free paratopological groups*, (submitted 2012).
(see also http://arxiv.org/abs/1212.5749).

[6] Fletcher, Peter and Lindgren, William, *Quasi-uniform spaces*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 77, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1982.

[7] Hewitt, Edwin and Ross, Kenneth A., *Abstract harmonic analysis vol. I*, second ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], **115**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1979), Structure of topological groups, integration theory, group representations.

[8] Marin, Josefa and Romaguera, Salvador, *A bitopological view of quasi-topological groups*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **27** (1996), 393–405.

[9] Nickolas, Peter, *Free topological groups and free products of topological groups*, Ph.D. thesis, University of New South Wales, Australia, (1976).

[10] Pestov, V. G., *Neighborhoods of identity in free topological groups*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. (1985), no.3, 8–10, 101.

[11] Romaguera, S., Sanchis, M., and Tkačenko, M., *Free paratopological groups*, Proceedings of the 17th Summer Conference on Topology and its Applications, vol. 27, 2003, pp. 613–640.

[12] Tkačenko, M. G., *On topologies of free groups*, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, vol. 34, no. 4, (1984), pp. 541–551,

[13] Yamada, Kohzo, *Characterizations of a metrizable space $X$ such that every $A_n(X)$ is a $k$-space*, Topology Appl., Topology and its Applications, vol. 49, (1993), 1, pp. 75–94.



School of Mathematics and Applied Statistics, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, Australia

*E-mail address*: ae351@uow.edu.au, a.elfard@yahoo.com