arXiv:1304.4351v1 [nlin.SI] 16 Apr 2013

A LAX PAIR FOR THE COMPLETE QRT MAPPING

P. HOWES, N. JOSHI, AND P. KASSOTAKIS

Abstract. QRT maps are translations on smooth biquadratic curves, also known as elliptic curves. Special cases of QRT maps are known to arise as compatibility conditions for an associated system of linear equations, known as a Lax pair. Here, we provide 2×2 Lax pairs explicitly for the whole 18-parameter family of QRT maps.

1. Introduction

Let $P(x, y)$ be a biquadratic polynomial, that is, a quadratic function of each variable. Then the level set $P(x, y) = 0$ defines a biquadratic curve $\mathcal{P}$ and any given horizontal line or vertical line intersects $\mathcal{P}$ at two points. A QRT map is the composition of two actions on this curve: one switching the x-coordinates of two intersection points with a given horizontal line, and the other switching the y-coordinates of two intersections with a vertical line.

Each curve $\mathcal{P}$ is generically an elliptic curve. The study of iterations along elliptic curves is a very active area, being important for applications to cryptography, as well as many number-theoretic problems in mathematics cf. [22]. Another major application occurs in the study of Poincaré sections of classical integrable Hamiltonian systems.

The level sets of $P(x, y)$ are indexed by a free parameter and the corresponding one-parameter family of curves $\mathcal{P}$ is called a *pencil* of biquadratic curves. Each curve in this pencil can be regarded as a fibre in a two-dimensional surface, which forms the phase space of the dynamical system given by the QRT map. Since the mapping leaves the pencil (and thereby its free parameter) invariant, it has a conserved quantity and is completely integrable in the sense of Liouville [14, 24, 2] in dynamical systems theory. By resolving the singularities of this phase space, Tsuda [23] and Duistermaat [6] have shown that the resulting (two-complex-dimensional) space is a rational elliptic surface fibered by the pencil $\mathcal{P}$. This paper shows that there is always a linear system of equations associated to QRT maps and, therefore, with this rational elliptic surface.

The name QRT arises from the second order mapping introduced by Quispel, Roberts and Thompson [19, 20] in 1988. However, the iteration of maps on elliptic curves has a long history. Second-order maps arise in the context of finite order groups in the results of Burnside in 1911 [3]. Burnside's map is also mentioned by Baker [1] and it reads

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1 - x_{n-1} - x_n}{1 - x_{n-1}}. \tag{1.1}$$

It is a period-5 symplectic map whose iterates lie on the elliptic curve

$$(1 - x_{n-1})(1 - x_n) - t\, x_{n-1}x_n(x_{n-1} + x_n - 1) = 0$$

PH was supported by an Australian Postgraduate Award.

This research was supported in part by the Australian Research Council through the grant # DP110102001 and Georgina Sweet Australian Laureate Fellowship #FL120100094.

where t is a free (constant) parameter. Under the translation $x_n \mapsto u_n + 1$ (1.1) becomes a special case of the Lyness map

$$u_{n+1}u_{n-1} - \alpha^2 = \alpha\, u_n$$

with $\alpha = 1$ [12, 13]. The Lyness map with $\alpha = 1$ also appears in the context of frieze patterns introduced by Coxeter [5]. Another example was introduced in 1959 by Mulholland and Smith [16] and independently by Scheuer and Mandel [21] in the context of mathematical genetics. These results together with those of Lyness were generalised by Penrose and Smith [18] where they introduced a family of quadratic maps in $\mathbb{CP}^2$ that leave invariant a cubic curve. Finally, in 1971, McMillan introduced a four-parameter family of such maps [15] along with their invariants, which is symplectic, non-periodic and has iterates that lie on a pencil of elliptic curves. We consider one of these, well known as the McMillan map (3.1), as an example below.

An eighteen-parameter family of rational maps generalising that of McMillan was provided by Quispel, Roberts and Thompson[19, 20]. Moreover, they showed that special cases of their mapping arise as stationary reductions of completely integrable differential-difference equations. The latter are differential-difference versions of soliton equations such as Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation for which Lax pairs, or associated linear problems, arise as an essential part of the theory. Lax pairs provide the framework for the inverse scattering transform method, the method used to solve the KdV for large classes of initial data.

For QRT maps, the search for Lax pairs was initiated and shown to be useful for the calculation of invariants by Papageorgiou, Nijhoff and Capel [17]. However, in this and subsequent papers in the literature, Lax pairs were obtained only for special cases of the symmetric or asymmetric QRT maps [17, 9, 10, 11, 8]. In this paper we provide a Lax pair for the full (asymmetric and symmetric) QRT map.

Our approach was inspired by an example provided by Hone [8], who introduced a 2×2 Lax pair for a particular case of the symmetric QRT family. Hone's linear system is

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{L_n}(\mu)\mathbf{\Psi_n} & = \lambda\mathbf{\Psi_n} \\ \mathbf{\Psi_{n+1}} & = \mathbf{M_n}(\mu)\mathbf{\Psi_n} \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathbf{L_n}$, $\mathbf{M_n}$ are 2×2 matrices given by

$$\mathbf{L_n}(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} u_n u_{n+1} & -\alpha \\ \mu - u_n - u_{n+1} & \alpha(\dfrac{1}{u_n} + \dfrac{1}{u_{n+1}}) + \dfrac{\beta}{u_n u_{n+1}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.3a}$$

$$\mathbf{M_n}(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\alpha \\ \mu - u_n - u_{n+1} & \dfrac{\alpha}{u_n} \end{pmatrix} \tag{1.3b}$$

Here Ψ_n is a two-component eigenvector corresponding to the spectral parameter λ and μ plays the role of an additional parameter. Note that we assume the isospectrality condition, that is, that λ is independent of n. The compatibility condition of Equations (1.2) implies $\mathbf{L_{n+1}}(\mu)\mathbf{M_n}(\mu) = \mathbf{M_n}(\mu)\mathbf{L_n}(\mu)$ and gives rise to the mapping

$$u_{n+2}u_{n+1}^2 u_n = \alpha u_{n+1} + \beta \tag{1.4}$$

which is a special case of a QRT mapping. The spectrum of $\mathbf{L_n}(\mu)$ is defined by the zeroes of the characteristic polynomial $C_\lambda = \lambda^2 - Tr(\mathbf{L_n}\mu)\lambda + det(\mathbf{L_n}(\mu))$. By the isospectrality condition, Equation (1.4) must keep this spectrum invariant. Hence it preserves the coefficients of C_λ and so we find $Tr(\mathbf{L_n}\mu) = u_n u_{n+1} + a(1/u_n + 1/u_{n+1}) + b/(u_n u_{n+1})$ remains invariant under the iteration of Equation (1.4). In other words, it is a conserved quantity for the dynamical system defined by this nonlinear mapping.

Our main result provides such linear problems for the full set of all QRT mappings. We provide two forms, suitable either for the first-order system form of QRT maps or for the three-point scalar form that is often used in the symmetric case. Our general result in Theorem 2.1 provides a Lax pair whose compatibility condition is the complete QRT map, whilst our symmetric result in Theorem 2.3 leads directly to the three-point QRT mapping for the symmetric case.

In the literature, a question that often arises is whether a Lax pair may be transformable to a trivially consistent form, without a spectral parameter [4, 7]. Since our results contain non-trivial Lax pairs already found in the literature as special cases, we claim that our general Lax pair cannot be trivial. In particular, note that Equations (1.2) arise as a special case of our results. Moreover, our results provide an alternative Lax pair of the McMillan map, which was already considered in [17].

The structure of this paper is as follows. In §2, we define the full QRT map and state our main result, i.e., its Lax pair. In §3, we provide examples for the McMillan map and an asymmetric case of the QRT map. In section §4, we provide a conclusion.

2. Main Result

In this section, we describe the full QRT mapping (for completeness) and provide our main result: its associated Lax pair. In accompanying remarks, we also provide the explicit relationship between the Lax matrices and the QRT invariant.

The QRT mapping is defined by the composition of two non-commuting involutions ι_1, ι_2, which each preserve the same conserved quantity (also called an integral). This integral is constructed as a ratio of two biquadratic polynomials, namely

$$I(x_n, y_n) = \frac{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_n}}{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n}},\ \mathbf{X_n} = \begin{pmatrix} x_n^2 \\ x_n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},\ \mathbf{Y_n} = \begin{pmatrix} y_n^2 \\ y_n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.1}$$

where A_0, A_1 are two 3×3 matrices, given by

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_i & \beta_i & \gamma_i \\ \delta_i & \epsilon_i & \zeta_i \\ \pi_i & \rho_i & \sigma_i \end{pmatrix},\ i = 1, 2 \tag{2.2}$$

If these matrices are symmetric, i.e., $A_i = A_i^T$, the invariant $I(x_n, y_n)$ is symmetric under the interchange of x_n and y_n and so the corresponding QRT mapping is called symmetric. Otherwise, it is called asymmetric.

The mappings ι_1, ι_2, whose composition provides the QRT mapping, correspond to the respective equations $I(x_{n+1}, y_n) - I(x_n, y_n) = 0$ and $I(x_n, y_{n+1}) - I(x_n, y_n) = 0$. That is, we have

$$\iota_1 : \begin{cases} x_{n+1} = \dfrac{f_1(y_n) - f_2(y_n)x_n}{f_2(y_n) - f_3(y_n)x_n} \\ y_{n+1} = y_n \end{cases},\ \iota_2 : \begin{cases} x_{n+1} = x_n \\ y_{n+1} = \dfrac{g_1(x_n) - g_2(x_n)y_n}{g_2(x_n) - g_3(x_n)y_n} \end{cases}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_1(y_n) \\ f_2(y_n) \\ f_3(y_n) \end{pmatrix} = (A_0 \mathbf{Y_n}) \times (A_1 \mathbf{Y_n}),\ \begin{pmatrix} g_1(x_n) \\ g_2(x_n) \\ g_3(x_n) \end{pmatrix} = (A_0^T \mathbf{X_n}) \times (A_1^T \mathbf{X_n})$$

The QRT map, in general, is given by the composition

$$\iota_2 \circ \iota_1 :\ x_{n+1} = \frac{f_1(y_n) - f_2(y_n)x_n}{f_2(y_n) - f_3(y_n)x_n},\quad y_{n+1} = \frac{g_1(x_{n+1}) - g_2(x_{n+1})y_n}{g_2(x_{n+1}) - g_3(x_{n+1})y_n}. \tag{2.4}$$

In the symmetric case, since the quartic polynomials f_i, g_i have the same functional form, under the identification $(x_n, y_n) \mapsto (x_{2n}, x_{2n+1})$ the QRT mapping (2.4) becomes the three-point map

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{f_1(x_n) - f_2(x_n)x_{n-1}}{f_2(x_n) - f_3(x_n)x_{n-1}}, \tag{2.5}$$

which is called the symmetric QRT map in the literature.

In the following theorem, we show that this system has a Lax pair given by

$$\mathbf{L_n}(\mu)\mathbf{\Psi_n} = \lambda\mathbf{\Psi_n}, \quad \mathbf{\Psi_{n+1}} = \mathbf{M_n}(\mu)\mathbf{\Psi_n}, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\mathbf{L_n}(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_n} - 1}{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n}} & -1 \\ \mu - \dfrac{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_n} - 1}{(\mathbf{X_n}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n})^2} & \dfrac{1}{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n}} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.7a}$$

$$\mathbf{M_n}(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ \mu - \dfrac{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_n} - 1}{(\mathbf{X_n}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n})^2} & P \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.7b}$$

where P is given by (2.8) for the general case or by (2.11) for the symmetric case.

Theorem 2.1. *The QRT map (2.4) arises as the compatibility condition* $\mathbf{L_{n+1}}(\mu)\mathbf{M_n}(\mu) = \mathbf{M_n}(\mu)\mathbf{L_n}(\mu)$ *of the linear system* (2.6) *with* $L_n(\mu)$ *and* $M_n(\mu)$ *given by Equations* (2.7) *and* P *given by*

$$P = I(x_n, y_n) - I(x_{n+1}, y_n) + \frac{1}{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n}} - \frac{\mathbf{X_{n+1}}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_{n+1}} - 1}{\mathbf{X_{n+1}}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_{n+1}}}. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. The expression $\mathbf{L_{n+1}}(\mu)\mathbf{M_n}(\mu) - \mathbf{M_n}(\mu)\mathbf{L_n}(\mu)$ is a 2×2 matrix, whose $(1,1)$ entry is equal to zero. The remaining entries are given by

$$(1,2): \quad \frac{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_n}}{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n}} - \frac{\mathbf{X_{n+1}}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_n}}{\mathbf{X_{n+1}}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n}} \tag{2.9a}$$

$$(2,1): \quad \frac{1 + \mu(\mathbf{X_n}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n})^2 - \mathbf{X_n}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_n}}{(\mathbf{X_n}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n})^2} \times \times \left(-2\frac{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_n}}{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n}} + \frac{\mathbf{X_{n+1}}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_n}}{\mathbf{X_{n+1}}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n}} + \frac{\mathbf{X_{n+1}}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_{n+1}}}{\mathbf{X_{n+1}}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_{n+1}}} \right), \tag{2.9b}$$

$$(2,2): \quad \frac{1}{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n}} \left(-2\frac{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_n}}{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n}} + \frac{\mathbf{X_{n+1}}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_n}}{\mathbf{X_{n+1}}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n}} + \frac{\mathbf{X_{n+1}}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_{n+1}}}{\mathbf{X_{n+1}}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_{n+1}}} \right) + \frac{1}{\mathbf{X_{n+1}}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_{n+1}}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_n}}{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n}} - \frac{\mathbf{X_{n+1}}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_n}}{\mathbf{X_{n+1}}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n}} \right) \tag{2.9c}$$

which each vanish when

$$\frac{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_n}}{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n}} = \frac{\mathbf{X_{n+1}}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_n}}{\mathbf{X_{n+1}}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n}}, \quad \frac{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_n}}{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n}} = \frac{\mathbf{X_{n+1}}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_{n+1}}}{\mathbf{X_{n+1}}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_{n+1}}}$$

However, these are equivalent to

$$I(x_n, y_n) = I(x_{n+1}, y_n), \quad I(x_n, y_n) = I(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}). \tag{2.10}$$

Solving the equations above for (x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}), and setting aside the identity transformation $(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) = (x_n, y_n)$, we obtain ι_1, ι_2 and the full QRT map (2.4) $\iota_2 \circ \iota_1$. □

Remark 2.2. *Note that the trace and the determinant of the Lax matrix* $\mathbf{L_n}(\mu)$ *are respectively*

$$Tr(\mathbf{L_n}(\mu)) = \frac{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_0 \mathbf{Y_n}}{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_1 \mathbf{Y_n}} = I(x_n, y_n), \quad det(\mathbf{L_n}(\mu)) = \mu,$$

and the characteristic polynomial C_λ *of* $\mathbf{L_n}(\mu)$ *reads*

$$C_\lambda = \lambda^2 - Tr(\mathbf{L_n}\mu)\lambda + det(\mathbf{L_n}(\mu)) = \lambda^2 - I(x_n, y_n)\lambda + \mu = 0.$$

The above theorem provides a Lax pair for all cases of the QRT map, whether symmetric or not. For explicitness, we provide a separate statement of the Lax pair for the symmetric case when the QRT map is written as a three-point scalar map.

Theorem 2.3. *The QRT map (2.4) arises as the compatibility condition* $\mathbf{L_{n+1}}(\mu)\mathbf{M_n}(\mu) = \mathbf{M_n}(\mu)\mathbf{L_n}(\mu)$ *of the linear system* (2.6) *with* $L_n(\mu)$ *and* $M_n(\mu)$ *given by Equations* (2.7)*, where* $A_i = A_i^T$*,* $i = 0, 1$*,* $\mathbf{Y_n} \equiv \mathbf{X_{n-1}}$ *and* P *given by*

$$P = \frac{1}{\mathbf{X_{n-1}}^T A_1 \mathbf{X_n}} - \frac{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_0 \mathbf{X_{n+1}} - 1}{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_1 \mathbf{X_{n+1}}}. \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. In this case the expression $\mathbf{L_{n+1}}(\mu)\mathbf{M_n}(\mu) - \mathbf{M_n}(\mu)\mathbf{L_n}(\mu)$ is a 2×2 matrix, whose entries in the first row are equal to zero. The remaining entries are given by

$$(2,1): \quad \frac{1 + \mu(\mathbf{X_{n-1}}^T A_1 \mathbf{X_n})^2 - \mathbf{X_{n-1}}^T A_0 \mathbf{X_n}}{(\mathbf{X_{n-1}}^T A_1 \mathbf{X_n})^2} \left(\frac{\mathbf{X_{n-1}}^T A_0 \mathbf{X_n}}{\mathbf{X_{n-1}}^T A_1 \mathbf{X_n}} - \frac{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_0 \mathbf{X_{n+1}}}{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_1 \mathbf{X_{n+1}}} \right) \tag{2.12a}$$

$$(2,2): \quad \frac{1}{\mathbf{X_{n-1}}^T A_1 \mathbf{X_n}} \left(\frac{\mathbf{X_{n-1}}^T A_0 \mathbf{X_n}}{\mathbf{X_{n-1}}^T A_1 \mathbf{X_n}} - \frac{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_0 \mathbf{X_{n+1}}}{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_1 \mathbf{X_{n+1}}} \right) \tag{2.12b}$$

These both vanish when

$$\frac{\mathbf{X_{n-1}}^T A_0 \mathbf{X_n}}{\mathbf{X_{n-1}}^T A_1 \mathbf{X_n}} = \frac{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_0 \mathbf{X_{n+1}}}{\mathbf{X_n}^T A_1 \mathbf{X_{n+1}}}$$

which is equivalent to

$$I(x_{n-1}, x_n) = I(x_n, x_{n+1}). \tag{2.13}$$

Solving the above equation for x_{n+1}, and setting aside the trivial map $x_{n+1} = x_{n-1}$, we obtain the full symmetric QRT map (2.5). □

Remark 2.4. *The characteristic polynomial* C_λ *of* $\mathbf{L_n}(\mu)$ *reads*

$$C_\lambda = \lambda^2 - Tr(\mathbf{L_n}\mu)\lambda + det(\mathbf{L_n}(\mu)) = \lambda^2 - I(x_{n-1}, x_n)\lambda + \mu = 0.$$

3. Examples

In this section, we present two examples of the application of our Lax pair. The first is a Lax pair for the well known McMillan map as an application of Theorem 2.3. Secondly, we provide an application of Theorem 2.1 to find the Lax pair of an interesting member of the asymmetric QRT map.

3.1. **The McMillan Map.**

The McMillan map

$$x_{n+1} + x_{n-1} = \frac{2\alpha x_n}{1 - x_n^2} \tag{3.1}$$

preserves the integral $I(x_{n-1}, x_n) = (1 - x_n^2)(1 - x_{n-1}^2) + 2\alpha x_n x_{n-1}$. It arises as a special case of the QRT map with the choice

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -2\alpha & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \; A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The Lax pair can be deduced by using these parameter matrices A_i in Equations (2.7) and using the form of P given in Theorem 2.3. For convenience, we state these Lax matrices here:

$$\mathbf{L_n}(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{n-1}^2\left(x_n^2-1\right) - x_n\left(x_n + 2\alpha x_{n-1}\right) & -1 \\ \mu - x_{n-1}^2\left(x_n^2-1\right) + x_n\left(x_n + 2\alpha x_{n-1}\right) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{M_n}(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ \mu - x_{n-1}^2\left(x_n^2-1\right) + x_n\left(x_n + 2\alpha x_{n-1}\right) & -(1-x_n^2)(1-x_{n+1}^2) + 2\alpha x_n x_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that this Lax pair differs from the existing one in the literature [17], since $\mathbf{L_n}(\mu)$ does not depend explicitly on the spectral parameter λ.

3.2. **An asymmetric QRT map.** Here we consider an asymmetric case of the QRT map that is given by parameter matrices

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p-q & q(1-p) \\ 0 & 2p(q-1) & 0 \\ p(1-p) & p(p-q) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & q(1-q) \\ q-p & 2q(p-1) & q(q-p) \\ p(1-q) & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The Lax pair can be deduced by using these matrices in Equations (2.7) and using the form of P given in Theorem 2.1. Explicitly it reads:

$$\mathbf{L_n}(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{n(x_n,y_n)-1}{d(x_n,y_n)} & -1 \\ \mu - \dfrac{n(x_n,y_n)-1}{d(x_n,y_n)^2} & \dfrac{1}{d(x_n,y_n)} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{M_n}(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ \mu - \dfrac{n(x_n,y_n)-1}{d(x_n,y_n)^2} & \dfrac{n(x_n,y_n)}{d(x_n,y_n)} - \dfrac{n(x_{n+1},y_n)}{d(x_{n+1},y_n)} + \dfrac{1}{d(x_n,y_n)} - \dfrac{n(x_{n+1},y_{n+1})-1}{d(x_{n+1},y_{n+1})} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$n(x_n,y_n) = \left((p-q)y_n + q(1-p)\right)x_n^2 + 2p(q-1)x_n y_n + p(1-p)y_n^2 + p(p-q)y_n$$
$$d(x_n,y_n) = \left((q-p)x_n + p(1-q)\right)y_n^2 + 2q(p-1)x_n y_n + q(1-q)x_n^2 + q(q-p)x_n.$$

The corresponding QRT map $\phi = \iota_2 \circ \iota_1$, is given by the composition of ι_i defined by Equations (2.3), where the functions f_j, g_j, $j = 1, 2, 3$ are given by

$$f_1(y_n) = -\,p\,y_n\left(-p\,q + q^2 + (-3q+3pq)y_n + (2-p+q-2pq)y_n^2 + (-1+p)y_n^3\right)$$
$$f_2(y_n) = p\,y_n\left(q - q^2 + (-1+q)y_n^2\right)$$
$$f_3(y_n) = -\left(q^2 - pq^2 + (-2q+pq-q^2+2pq^2)y_n + (3q-3pq)y_n^2 + (p-q)y_n^3\right)$$

$$g_1(x_n) = -\,q\,x_n\left(-pq + p^2 + (-3p+3pq)x_n + (2-q+p-2pq)x_n^2 + (-1+q)x_n^3\right)$$
$$g_2(x_n) = q\,x_n\left(p - p^2 + (-1+p)x_n^2\right)$$
$$g_3(x_n) = -\left(p^2 - qp^2 + (-2p+pq-p^2+2qp^2)x_n + (3p-3pq)x_n^2 + (q-p)x_n^3\right)$$

Clearly, the mapping ϕ is an isospectrality condition of $\mathbf{L_n}(\mu)$, because the QRT integral $I(x,y) = Tr\left(\mathbf{L_n}(\mu)\right)$ is preserved.

It is interesting to note that the mapping ϕ admits a further factorisation $\phi = k_1 \circ k_2 \circ j_1 \circ j_2$, where $j_i, k_i, i = 1, 2$ are the respective involutions

$$j_1 : \quad \begin{cases} x_{n+1} = \dfrac{py_n\left(q-p+(p-1)y_n+(1-q)x_n\right)}{p(q-1)y_n+\left(q(1-p)+(p-q)y_n\right)x_n} \\ y_{n+1} = y_n \end{cases}$$

$$j_2 : \quad \begin{cases} x_{n+1} = \dfrac{2p(q-1)y_n^2+(q(p-q)+2q(1-p)y_n+(p-q)y_n^2)x_n}{q(q-p)-2q(1-p)y_n+(q-p)y_n^2+2(1-q)qx_n} \\ y_{n+1} = y_n, \end{cases}$$

$$k_1 : \quad \begin{cases} x_{n+1} = x_n \\ y_{n+1} = \dfrac{2q(p-1)x_n^2+(p(q-p)+2p(1-q)x_n+(q-p)x_n^2)y_n}{p(p-q)-2p(1-q)x_n+(p-q)x_n^2+2(1-p)py_n}. \end{cases}$$

$$k_2 : \quad \begin{cases} x_{n+1} = x_n \\ y_{n+1} = \dfrac{qx_n\left(p-q+(q-1)x_n+(1-p)y_n\right)}{q(p-1)x_n+\left(p(1-q)+(q-p)x_n\right)y_n} \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.1. *Note that from these involutions, we can build the intertwined map* $\psi = k_2 \circ j_1$ *which turns out to be exactly the* F_I *Yang-Baxter map.*

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we presented a full Lax pair of the complete QRT map for the first time. Our 2×2 Lax pair (2.6) is given in terms of the parameter matrices A_0, A_1, which are used to define the QRT map. Given these two matrices, the compatibility condition of the Lax pair under consideration gives rise to the QRT map. Moreover, by construction, the conservation of the well known integral of the QRT map guarantees the isospectrality of the Lax matrix $\mathbf{L_n}(\mu)$, given in Equation (2.7a).

Acknowledgments. The authors express their gratitude to James Atkinson for providing them with reference [18] and to John Roberts for the fruitful correspondence concerning some of the results of [9].

References

[1] H.F Baker. *Principles of Geometry*, volume 5,6. Cambridge University Press, 1933.
[2] M Bruschi, O Ragnisco, P.M Santini and T Gui-Zhang. Integrable symplectic maps. *Physica D*, 49:273–294, 1991.
[3] W Burnside. *Theory of groups of finite order*. Cambridge University Press, 2nd ed. edition, 1911.
[4] F. Calogero and M. C. Nucci. Lax pairs galore. *J. Math. Phys*, 32:72–74, 1991.
[5] H.S.M Coxeter. Frieze patterns. *Acta Arithmetica*, 28:297–310, 1971.
[6] J. J. Duistermaat, *Discrete integrable systems. QRT maps and elliptic surfaces*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2010.
[7] M Hay. *Discrete lax pairs, reductions and hierarchies*. PhD thesis, The University of Sydney, 2008.
[8] A.N.W Hone. Laurent polynomials and superintegrable maps. *SIGMA*, 3:18 pages, 2007.
[9] A. Iatrou and J.A.G. Roberts. Integrable mappings of the plane preserving biquadratic invariant curves. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 34:6617–6626, 2001.
[10] A Iatrou. Higher dimensional integrable mappings. *Physica D*, 179:229–253, 2003.
[11] P.G Kassotakis. *The construction of discrete dynamical systems*. PhD thesis, University of Leeds, 2006.
[12] R.C Lyness. Cycles. *Math. Gaz.*, 26:62, 1942. Note 1581.
[13] R.C Lyness. Cycles. *Math. Gaz.*, 29:231–233, 1945. Note 1847.
[14] S Maeda. Completely integrable symplectic mapping. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 63, Ser. A:198–200, 1987.
[15] E.M McMillan. *A problem in the stability of periodic systems, in: Topics in Modern Physics, a Tribute to E. V. Condon*, pages 219–244. Colorado Assoc. Univ. Press, Boulder, 1971.

[16] H.P Mulholland and C.A.B Smith. An inequality arising in genetic theory. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 66:673–683, 1959.
[17] V.G Papageorgiou, F.W Nijhoff, and H.W Capel. Integrable mappings and nonlinear integrable lattice equations. *Phys. Lett. A*, 147:106–114, 1990.
[18] R Penrose and C.A.B Smith. A quadratic mapping with invariant cubic curve. *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 89:89–105, 1981. doi:10.1017/S0305004100057972.
[19] G.R.W Quispel, J.A.G Roberts, and C.J Thompson. Integrable mappings and solitons equations. *Phys. Lett. A*, 126:419–421, 1988.
[20] G.R.W Quispel, J.A.G Roberts, and C.J Thompson. Integrable mappings and solitons equations ii. *Physica D*, 34:183–192, 1989.
[21] P.A.G Scheuer and S.P.H Mandel. An inequality in population genetics. *Heredity*, 13:519–524, 1959.
[22] J. H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 106. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
[23] T. Tsuda, Integrable mappings via rational elliptic surfaces. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 37:27212730, 2004.
[24] A.P Veselov. Integrable Maps. *Russ. Math. Surv.*, 46:1–51, 1991.

School of Mathematics and Statistics F07, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia, Fax: +61 2 9351 4534
E-mail address: howespt@gmail.com

School of Mathematics and Statistics F07, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia, Tel: +61 2 9351 2172, Fax: +61 2 9351 4534
E-mail address: nalini.joshi@sydney.edu.au

School of Mathematics and Statistics F07, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia, Fax: +61 2 9351 4534
E-mail address: pavlos1978@gmail.com