arXiv:1305.2943v1 [math.PR] 13 May 2013

ON THE VARIATIONAL REGULARITY OF CAMERON–MARTIN PATHS

PETER K. FRIZ, BENJAMIN GESS, AND SEBASTIAN RIEDEL

ABSTRACT. It is a well-known fact that finite ρ-variation of the covariance (in 2D sense) of a general Gaussian process implies finite ρ -variation of Cameron–Martin paths. In the special case of fractional Brownian motion (think: $2H = 1/\rho$), in the rougher than Brownian regime, a sharper result holds thanks to a Besov-type embedding; [Friz–Victoir, JFA 2006]. In the present note we give a general result which closes this gap. We comment on the importance of this result for various applications.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [FV06] the following embedding from fractional Sobolev (or Besov) spaces into q -variation spaces

$$\|h\|_{q-var;[0,T]} := \left(\sup_{(t_i)\subset[0,T]} \sum_i |h_{i+1} - h_{t_i}|^q \right)^{1/q} \lesssim \left(\int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{|h_v - h_u|^p}{|v-u|^{p+p/q}} \right)^{1/p} du\, dv = |h|_{W^{\frac{p}{q},p}}, \quad 1 \le q < p \tag{1.1}$$

has been shown, based on localized Garcia–Rodemich–Rumsey estimates. Due to its Volterra structure, the Cameron–Martin space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^H$ of fractional Brownian motion (fBM) B^H (in the interesting regime $H \le \frac{1}{2}$) consists of indefinite, fractional integrals of $L^2([0,T])$-functions. Thereby, the above Besov regularity may be verified for suitable p and from (1.1) one concludes

$$\mathcal{H}^H \hookrightarrow C^{q\text{-var}} \text{ for any } q > \frac{1}{H+\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{1.2}$$

This variational regularity is better than finite $\frac{1}{H}$-variation, which trivially follows from the embedding $\mathcal{H}^H \hookrightarrow C^H([0,T])$, the space of Hölder paths with exponent H.

The importance of this result is that it implies the so-called *complementary Young regularity condition* in the case of fBm for $H > \frac{1}{4}$. This condition means that a.e. sample path $X(\omega)$ (resp. every $h \in \mathcal{H}$) has p- (resp. q-) variation regularity such that Young's condition $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} > 1$ is satisfied. It follows, and

Date: May 15, 2013.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 60G17, Secondary: 46E35,46N30,60H10.

Key words and phrases. rho-variation, Cameron-Martin paths, rough paths, Gaussian processes, pathwise stochastic calculus.

Acknowledgements: P.K.F. has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) / ERC grant agreement nr. 258237. S.R. is supported by a scholarship from the Berlin Mathematical School (BMS).

this has been crucial in many recent applications, notably in the context of non-Markovian Hörmander theory (cf. [CF10, CHLT12] and also [Ina13, CLL13, FR13, BH07, HP11]), that perturbation in Cameron–Martin directions, such as

$$\int\int dX \otimes dX \rightsquigarrow \int\int d\left(X+h\right) \otimes d\left(X+h\right),$$

can be done for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$ at once on a set of full measure.

While the above Besov-variation embedding settles decisively the case of fractional Brownian motion[1] it is too specific to apply in a general Gaussian context. In this case, under the assumption of finite ρ-variation of the covariance it has been shown in [FV10a] that

$$\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow C^{\rho\text{-var}}.$$

However, in view of (almost) finite 2ρ-variation of the sample paths, we are led to

$$\frac{1}{2\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} > 1 \Longleftrightarrow \rho < \frac{3}{2},$$

which does not cover the (general) rough regime $\rho \in [\frac{3}{2}, 2)$. In particular, in case of fBM with $H < \frac{1}{2}$ the previous Sobolev embedding is strictly better. Indeed, application of the general (Gaussian) result to fBM would only yield complementary Young regularity for $H > \frac{1}{3}$. It is thus a repeated remark in the afore-mentioned literature that the main results hold in the general setting of $\rho < \frac{3}{2}$ (which also covers fBM with $H > \frac{1}{3}$) and then also in the special case of fBM with $H > \frac{1}{4}$. Our purpose here is to give a general result that bridges this gap[2].

Theorem 1 puts forward the notion of mixed $(1,\rho)$-variation under which this improved embedding holds true. In order to illustrate how to work with mixed variation, we formulate a sufficient condition for finite mixed $(1,\rho)$-variation for processes with stationary increments (Theorem 6). Let us remark that finite mixed $(1,\rho)$ implies finite ρ-variation (in 2D sense), an essentially sharp condition for the existence of the stochastic area (resp. iterated integrals and then rough paths) for zero-mean, Gaussian processes with independent components, each having covariance of finite ρ-variation.

In summary, the practical value of our results is to replace two standard (but hard-to-check) conditions in (Gaussian) rough path theory (namely: finite ρ-variation of the covariance and complementary Young regularity) by one simpler condition: mixed $(1,\rho)$-condition. Let us conclude the introduction with a non-complete list of recent works where the these conditions are crucial: support theorem for Gaussian rough paths (cf. [FV10b, Ch. 19] and the references therein); Malliavin calculus for rough differential equations (RDE) driven by Gaussian rough paths and non-Markovian Hörmander theory (cf. [CF10, CHLT12] and the references therein); Laplace method for RDE [Ina13]; every use of Borell's inequality in the (Gaussian) rough path context, notably non-linear Fernique theory (cf. [FO10]) and the integrability estimates for RDE driven by Gaussian rough paths (cf. [CLL13, FR13]), [FR13] also discusses applications relatied to rough SPDE; strong rates and multilevel Monte Carlo for RDE driven by Gaussian rough paths (forthcoming work by Bayer et al.).

[1] Complementary Young regularity always holds since $H + \left(H + \frac{1}{2}\right) > 1 \Longleftrightarrow H > \frac{1}{4}$.

[2] We even slightly improve (1.2) by allowing equality $q = \frac{1}{H+\frac{1}{2}}$.

2. Results

Let $X : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a real valued, centered, continuous Gaussian process with covariance

$$R_X(s,t) = \mathbb{E}X_s X_t.$$

We will denote the associated Cameron-Martin space by $\mathcal{H}$. It is well-known that $\mathcal{H} \subset C([0,T],\mathbb{R})$ and each $h \in \mathcal{H}$ is of the form $h_t = \mathbb{E}ZX_t$ with Z being an element of the L^2-closure of $\text{span}\{X_t | t \in [0,T]\}$, a Gaussian random variable. If $h_t = \mathbb{E}ZX_t$, $h'_t = \mathbb{E}Z'X_t$, $< h, h' >_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathbb{E}ZZ'$.

For any function $h : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$ we define $h_{s,t} := h_t - h_s$ for all $s,t \in [0,T]$. We recall the definition of mixed right (γ,ρ)-variation given in [Tow02]: For $\gamma,\rho \geq 1$ let

$$V_{\gamma,\rho}(R_X;[s,t]\times[u,v]) := \sup_{\substack{(t_i)\in\mathcal{D}([s,t])\\(t'_j)\in\mathcal{D}([u,v])}} \left(\sum_{t'_j} \left(\sum_{t_i} \left| R_X \begin{pmatrix} t_i, t_{i+1} \\ t'_j, t'_{j+1} \end{pmatrix} \right|^{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{\rho}{\gamma}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathcal{D}([s,t])$ denotes the set of all dissections of $[s,t]$ and

$$R_X \begin{pmatrix} t_i, t_{i+1} \\ t'_j, t'_{j+1} \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{E}X_{t_i,t_{i+1}} X_{t'_j,t'_{j+1}}.$$

The notion of the 2D ρ-variation is recovered as $V_\rho = V_{\rho,\rho}$. Recall that V_ρ-regularity plays a key role in Gaussian rough path theory [FV10b, FV10a, FH13] and in particular yields a stochastic integration theory for large classes of multidimensional Gaussian processes. Clearly, $V_{\gamma\vee\rho}(R;A) \leq V_{\gamma,\rho}(R;A) \leq V_{\gamma\wedge\rho}(R;A)$ for all rectangles $A \subseteq [0,T]^2$. As the main result of this paper we present the following embedding theorem for the Cameron-Martin space.

Theorem 1. *Assume that the covariance R_X has finite mixed $(1,\rho)$-variation in 2D sense. Then there is a continuous embedding*

$$\mathcal{H} \hookrightarrow C^{q\text{-}var} \text{ with } q = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2\rho} + \frac{1}{2}} < 2.$$

More precisely,

$$\|h\|_{q-var;[s,t]} \leq \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \sqrt{V_{1,\rho}(R_X;[s,t]^2)}, \quad \forall [s,t] \subseteq [0,T].$$

Remark 2.

(1) As explained in the introduction, this embedding is crucial in applications of rough paths to Malliavin calculus. In the particular example of fBM with $H \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we see that Cameron-Martin paths enjoy finite $q = \frac{1}{H+\frac{1}{2}}$-variational regularity. The case $q > \frac{1}{H+\frac{1}{2}}$ was previously obtained in [FV06].

(2) Note that for $\rho > 1$ we have $q < \rho$ in Theorem 1, which improves [FV10a, Proposition 17] where it has been shown

$$\|h\|_{\rho-\text{var};[s,t]} \leq \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \sqrt{V_\rho(R_X;[s,t]^2)}.$$

(3) Strictly speaking, for the sole purpose of Theorem 1, it would have been enough to consider identical dissections $(t_i) \equiv (t'_j)$ in the definition of mixed variation $V_{\gamma,\rho}$ in 2.1. The criteria in Theorem 6 below would then allow for a mildly simplified proof. On the other hand, this criteria derived in Theorem 6 below are also sufficient (and interesting) for finite ρ-variation

$V_\rho = V_{\rho,\rho}$ as needed in Gaussian rough path theory [FV10b]; hence the additional generality of different vertical and horizontal dissections.

Remark 3. Let $X\colon [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^d$ be a multidimensional centered Gaussian process. Then every path h in the associated Cameron-Martin space $\mathcal{H}$ is of the form $h_t = \mathbb{E}ZX_t$ with Z being an element of the L^2-closure of $\mathrm{span}\{X_t^i|\ t \in I,\ i = 1,\dots,d\}$ and $\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|Z\|_{L^2}$. The q-variation of h is finite if and only if the q-variation of every $h_\cdot^i = \mathbb{E}ZX_\cdot^i$ is finite and we obtain the bound

$$\|h\|_{q-\mathrm{var};[s,t]} \le C\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \max_{i=1,\dots,d} \sqrt{V_{1,\rho}(R_{X^i};[s,t]^2)}$$

where C is a constant depending only on the dimension d.

Theorem 4. *Consider a centered, continuous Gaussian process X with covariance of finite ρ-variation, $\rho < 2$. Then X has vanishing compensated quadratic variation, i.e.*

$$\sum_{[s,t]\in D^n} \left(X_{s,t}^2 - \mathbb{E}X_{s,t}^2\right) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

in probability and in $L^q(\Omega)$ for all $q \in [1,\infty)$, for every sequence of dissections (D^n) whose mesh-size tends to zero.

Remark 5. Let us discuss briefly a consequence of Theorem 4 when $\rho = 1$. If one assumes in addition the existence of a continuous mean quadratic variation, in the sense that for some sequence of dissections (D^n) whose mesh tends to zero,

$$\varphi(t) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{\substack{[u,v]\in D^n,\\ u\le t}} \mathbb{E}X_{u,v}^2 \tag{2.2}$$

exists and depends continuously on t, then it already follows that the pathwise quadratic variation of X in the sense of Föllmer exists, along a suitable subsequence[3] of (D^n), and indeed is given by $t \mapsto \varphi(t)$. Typically, (2.2) is easy to check. For instance, if $\mathbb{E}X_{u,u+h}^2 = f(u)h + o(h)$ for some continuous f then φ is precisely the indefinite integral of f. The interest here is the possibility of a pathwise Itô calculus (cf. [Föl81]). In particular, Itô integrals of gradient 1-forms are defined in a pathwise manner through the Itô-formula. Remark that the Föllmer theory - and in particular the integration of gradient 1-forms - extends without problem to the multidimensional setting via polarization. On the other hand, the integration of general 1-forms is impossible in the (pathwise) Föllmer setting and the starting point of integration against rough paths.

In the following we give a sufficient criterion for finite mixed $(1,\rho)$-variation of stochastic processes. Let X be a centered, continuous stochastic process with *stationary increments* in the sense that

$$\mathbb{E}X_{t,t+u}^2 = \mathbb{E}X_{0,u}^2, \quad \forall t,u \ge 0.$$

We set

$$\sigma^2(u) := \mathbb{E}X_{0,u}^2 = \mathbb{E}(X_u - X_0)^2.$$

[3]In fact, if the ρ-variation of the covariance is Hölder dominated in the sense of [FV10b] (a sufficient condition for this is given in Theorem 6 below) and (D^n) is dyadic, then a Borel–Cantelli argument may be used to see that there is no need to pass to a subsequence.

Theorem 6. *Let X be a centered, continuous stochastic process on $[0,T]$ with stationary increments. Assume that σ^2 is concave and that $|\sigma^2(\cdot)| \le C_\sigma |\cdot|^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$ for some $\rho \ge 1$. Then there is an $h > 0$ such that the covariance of X is of finite mixed $(1,\rho)$-variation on every square $[s,t]^2 \subseteq [0,T]^2$ with $|t-s| \le h$. More precisely,*

$$V_{1,\rho}(R;[s,t]^2) \le 5C_\sigma |t-s|,$$

for all $[s,t]^2 \subseteq [0,T]^2, |t-s| \le h$.

Remark that the "stationary increment" assumption is by no means crucial, and it is not hard to envision generalizations. In essence, one needs to assume that the covariance of non-overlapping increments of the process has a sign. Note also that $V_{1,\rho}$ regularity implies finite ρ-variation in the 2D sense, the key condition for existence of Gaussian rough paths, as put forward in [FV10a, FV10b, FV11]. We have

Corollary 7. *Every Gaussian process X with independent components, each of which is assumed to meet the assumptions put forward in the above theorem satisfies complementary Young regularity and if $\rho < 2$ may be lifted to a Gaussian rough path.*

Fractional Brownian motion with $H \in (1/4, 1/2]$ is clearly covered with $\rho = 1/(2H)$. But even the Brownian regularity regime ($\rho = 1$) contains new and interesting examples: for instance, the "spatial" Gaussian rough path constructed in Hairer's recent work on rough SPDE [Hai11] falls in the present framework, as do various fractional SPDE (to be discussed in forthcoming work).

3. Proofs

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.

Proof. Let $h = \mathbb{E}ZX_\cdot \in \mathcal{H}$. Fix a dissection $D = (t_j) \subset [s,t]$, write $h_j \equiv h_{t_j,t_{j+1}}, X_j = X_{t_j,t_{j+1}}$ and also $\|h\|_q^q := \sum_j |h_j|^q$. Let q' and ρ' be the conjugate exponents of q and ρ. An easy calculation shows that $\rho' = q'/2$. By duality,

$$\|h\|_q = \sup_{\beta:\|\beta\|_{q'}\le 1} \sum \beta_j h_j = \sup_{\beta:\|\beta\|_{q'}\le 1} \mathbb{E}\left(Z \sum_j \beta_j X_j \right)$$

and so by Cauchy–Schwarz

$$\|h\|_q^2 \le \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \sup_{\beta:\|\beta\|_{q'}\le 1} \sum_{j,k} \beta_j \beta_k \mathbb{E} X_j X_k.$$

Set $R_{j,k} = \mathbb{E}X_j X_k$. Then, using the symmetry of R and Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k,j} \beta_j \beta_k R_{k,j} &\le \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k} \beta_j^2 |R_{j,k}| + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,k} \beta_k^2 |R_{j,k}| \\
&= \sum_j \beta_j^2 \sum_k |R_{k,j}| \\
&\le \|\beta\|_{2\rho'}^2 \left(\sum_j \left(\sum_k |R_{i,k}| \right)^\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \\
&\le V_{1,\rho}(R;[s,t]^2)
\end{aligned}$$

when $\|\beta\|_{2\rho'} = \|\beta\|_{q'} \le 1$ which shows the claim. □

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 4.**

Proof. Using the Wick product rule

$$\mathbb{E}ABCD = \mathbb{E}\,(AB)\mathbb{E}(CD) + \mathbb{E}(AC)\mathbb{E}(BD) + \mathbb{E}(AD)\mathbb{E}(BC),$$

we observe

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left|\sum_{[s,t]\in D^n} X_{s,t}^2 - \mathbb{E}X_{s,t}^2\right|^2 &= \sum_{[s,t]\in D^n}\sum_{[s',t']\in D^n} \mathbb{E}X_{s,t}^2 X_{s',t'}^2 - \mathbb{E}X_{s,t}^2\mathbb{E}X_{s',t'}^2 \\
&= \sum_{[s,t]\in D^n}\sum_{[s',t']\in D^n} 2\mathbb{E}(X_{s,t}X_{s',t'})\mathbb{E}(X_{s,t}X_{s',t'}) \\
&= 2\sum_{[s,t]\in D^n}\sum_{[s',t']\in D^n} \left|R\begin{pmatrix} s,t \\ s',t' \end{pmatrix}\right|^2 \\
&\le \left\{\sup_{\substack{t-s\le|D^n| \\ t'-s'\le|D^n|}} \left|R\begin{pmatrix} s,t \\ s',t' \end{pmatrix}\right|^{2-\rho}\right\} \|R\|^{\rho}_{\rho\text{-var};[0,T]^2}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\{...\} \to 0$ with mesh-size $|D^n| \to 0$. □

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 6 and Corollary 7.**

Lemma 8. *Assume that σ^2 is concave and σ^2 restricted to $[0,h]$ is non-decreasing, for some $h > 0$. Then,*

$$0 \le \mathbb{E}X_{s,t}X_{u,v} \le \mathbb{E}X_{u,v}^2 = \sigma^2(v-u), \quad \forall [u,v] \subseteq [s,t] \subseteq [0,h].$$

Proof. Note $X_{s,t}X_{u,v} = (a+b+c)\,b$ where $a = X_{s,u}$, $b = X_{u,v}$, $c = X_{v,t}$. Applying the algebraic identity

$$2(a+b+c)\,b = (a+b)^2 - a^2 + (c+b)^2 - c^2$$

and taking expectations yields

$$2\mathbb{E}X_{s,t}X_{u,v} = \left(\sigma^2(v-s) - \sigma^2(u-s)\right) + \left(\sigma^2(v-s) - \sigma^2(u-s)\right) \ge 0 + 0,$$

where we used that σ^2 is non-decreasing. We thus see

$$0 \le \mathbb{E}X_{s,t}X_{u,v}.$$

From [MR06, Lemma 7.2.7] we know that due to concavity of σ^2, non-overlapping increments are non-positively correlated, in the sense that

$$\mathbb{E}X_{s,t}X_{u,v} = R_X\begin{pmatrix} s,t \\ u,v \end{pmatrix} \le 0, \quad \forall 0 \le s \le t \le u \le v \le h. \tag{3.1}$$

Using this and $(a+b+c)\,b = b^2 + ab + cb$, yields

$$\mathbb{E}X_{s,t}X_{u,v} = \mathbb{E}X_{u,v}^2 + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}X_{s,u}X_{u,v} + \mathbb{E}X_{v,t}X_{u,v}}_{\le 0} \le \mathbb{E}X_{u,v}^2.$$

This concludes the proof. □

The following elementary Lemma explains the occurrence of the restriction to squares of size $h > 0$ in Theorem 6.

Lemma 9. *Let $\sigma^2 : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a continuous, concave function with $\sigma^2(0) = 0$ for some $T > 0$. Then there is an $h \in (0,T]$ such that σ^2 is non-decreasing on $[0,h]$.*

Proof. Since $\sigma^2 : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a continuous, concave function, each local maximum is a global maximum. Let $h := \inf\left\{t \in [0,T] |\ \sigma^2(t) = \max_{r\in[0,T]} \sigma^2(r)\right\}$. Without loss of generality we may assume $\sigma^2 \not\equiv 0$. Thus, $h > 0$ and σ^2 is non-decreasing on $[0,h]$, since otherwise σ^2 would have a local maximum in $[0,h)$, thus attaining $\max_{r\in[0,T]} \sigma^2(r)$ in $[0,h)$ in contradiction to the definition of h. □

Proof of Theorem 6. Let $[s,t]^2 \subseteq [0,T]^2$ with $|t-s| \le h$, where h is as in Lemma 9. For any $t_i < t_{i+1} \in [s,t]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{t'_j} \left|\mathbb{E}X_{t_i,t_{i+1}} X_{t'_j,t'_{j+1}}\right| \le& |\mathbb{E}X_{t_i,t_{i+1}} X_\cdot|_{1-var;[s,t]} \\ \le& |\mathbb{E}X_{t_i,t_{i+1}} X_\cdot|_{1-var;[s,t_i]} \\ &+ |\mathbb{E}X_{t_i,t_{i+1}} X_\cdot|_{1-var;[t_i,t_{i+1}]} \\ &+ |\mathbb{E}X_{t_i,t_{i+1}} X_\cdot|_{1-var;[t_{i+1},t]} \\ =& (I) + (II) + (III).\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

(I): Recall that by [MR06, Lemma 7.2.7] non-overlapping increments are non-positively correlated (cf. (3.1)). Therefore, for any dissection t'_j of $[s,t_i]$ we have

$$\sum_{t'_j} \left|\mathbb{E}X_{t_i,t_{i+1}} X_{t'_j,t'_{j+1}}\right| = |\mathbb{E}X_{t_i,t_{i+1}} X_{s,t_i}|.$$

By Lemma 8 we have

$$|\mathbb{E}X_{t_i,t_{i+1}} X_{s,t_i}| \le |\mathbb{E}X_{t_i,t_{i+1}} X_{s,t_{i+1}}| + |\mathbb{E}X_{t_i,t_{i+1}} X_{t_i,t_{i+1}}| \le 2\sigma^2(t_{i+1} - t_i).$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{t'_j} \left|\mathbb{E}X_{t_i,t_{i+1}} X_{t'_j,t'_{j+1}}\right| \le 2\sigma^2(t_{i+1} - t_i) \le 2C_\sigma |t_{i+1} - t_i|^{\frac{1}{\rho}},$$

which yields

$$(I) = |\mathbb{E}X_{t_i,t_{i+1}} X_\cdot|_{1-var;[s,t_i]} \le 2C_\sigma |t_{i+1} - t_i|^{\frac{1}{\rho}}.$$

(II): Using Lemma 8, for any dissection t'_j of $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ we have

$$\sum_{t'_j} |\mathbb{E}X_{t_i,t_{i+1}} X_{t'_j,t'_{j+1}}| = |\mathbb{E}X^2_{t_i,t_{i+1}}| = \sigma^2(t_{i+1} - t_i) \le C_\sigma |t_{i+1} - t_i|^{\frac{1}{\rho}}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}(II) = |\mathbb{E}X_{t_i,t_{i+1}} X_\cdot|_{1-var;[t_i,t_{i+1}]} =& \sup_{\mathcal{D}'\subset[t_i,t_{i+1}]} \sum_{t'_j\in\mathcal{D}'} |\mathbb{E}X_{t_i,t_{i+1}} X_{t'_j,t'_{j+1}}| \\ \le& C_\sigma |t_{i+1} - t_i|^{\frac{1}{\rho}}.\end{aligned}$$

(III): As for (I).
From (3.2) we conclude

$$\sum_{t'_j} \left| \mathbb{E} X_{t_i,t_{i+1}} X_{t'_j,t'_{j+1}} \right| \leq 5 C_\sigma |t_{i+1} - t_i|^{\frac{1}{\rho}}.$$

Thus,

$$V_{1,\rho}(R_X; [s,t]^2)^\rho = \sup_{(t_i),(t'_j)\in\mathcal{D}([s,t])} \sum_{t_i} \left(\sum_{t'_j} \left| \mathbb{E} X_{t_i,t_{i+1}} X_{t'_j,t'_{j+1}} \right| \right)^\rho \leq 5^\rho C_\sigma^\rho |t-s|.$$

□

Proof of Corollary 7. Let $[s,t] \subseteq [0,T]$. Choosing some dissection $s = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq ... \leq t_N = t$ with mesh-size $\max_{i=1,...,N} |t_i - t_{i-1}| \leq h$, where h is as in Theorem 6, we note

$$\|h\|_{q-var;[s,t]} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^N \|h\|_{q-var;[t_{i-1},t_i]},$$

for some constant $C = C(N,q)$. We conclude the proof by applying Theorem 6 and Theorem 1 on each interval $[t_{i-1}, t_i]$. □

References

[BH07] Fabrice Baudoin and Martin Hairer, *A version of Hörmander's theorem for the fractional Brownian motion*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **139** (2007), no. 3-4, 373–395.

[CF10] Thomas Cass and Peter Friz, *Densities for rough differential equations under Hörmander's condition*, Ann. of Math. (2) **171** (2010), no. 3, 2115–2141.

[CHLT12] Thomas Cass, Martin Hairer, Christian Litterer, and Samy Tindel, *Smoothness of the density for solutions to gaussian rough differential equations*, arXiv:1209.3100 (2012), 1–40.

[CLL13] Thomas Cass, Christian Litterer, and Terry J. Lyons, *Integrability estimates for gaussian rough differential equations*, to appear in: Ann. Probab. (2013), 1–20.

[FH13] Peter K. Friz and Martin Hairer, *A short course in rough paths*, Lecture notes in preparation (2013).

[FO10] Peter Friz and Harald Oberhauser, *A generalized Fernique theorem and applications*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138** (2010), no. 10, 3679–3688.

[Föl81] H. Föllmer, *Calcul d'Itô sans probabilités*, Seminar on Probability, XV (Univ. Strasbourg, Strasbourg, 1979/1980) (French), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 850, Springer, Berlin, 1981, pp. 143–150.

[FR13] Peter Friz and Sebastian Riedel, *Integrability of (non-)linear rough differential equations and integrals*, Stochastic Anal. Appl. **31** (2013), no. 2, 336–358.

[FV06] Peter Friz and Nicolas Victoir, *A variation embedding theorem and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. **239** (2006), no. 2, 631–637.

[FV10a] Peter K. Friz and Nicolas B. Victoir, *Differential equations driven by Gaussian signals*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. **46** (2010), no. 2, 369–413.

[FV10b] ———, *Multidimensional stochastic processes as rough paths*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 120, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010, Theory and applications.

[FV11] ———, *A note on higher dimensional p-variation*, Electron. J. Probab. **16** (2011), no. 68, 1880–1899.

[Hai11] Martin Hairer, *Rough stochastic PDEs*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **64** (2011), no. 11, 1547–1585.

[HP11] M. Hairer and N. S. Pillai, *Ergodicity of hypoelliptic SDEs driven by fractional Brownian motion*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. **47** (2011), no. 2, 601–628.

[Ina13] Yuzuru Inahama, *Laplace approximation for rough differential equation driven by fractional brownian motion*, Ann. Probab. **41** (2013), no. 1, 170–205.

[MR06] Michael B. Marcus and Jay Rosen, *Markov processes, Gaussian processes, and local times*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 100, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

[Tow02] Nasser Towghi, *Multidimensional extension of L. C. Young's inequality*, JIPAM. J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **3** (2002), no. 2, Article 22, 13 pp. (electronic).

E-mail address: friz@math.tu-berlin.de
E-mail address: gess@math.tu-berlin.de
E-mail address: riedel@math.tu-berlin.de

Peter K. Friz, Technische Universität Berlin, Weierstrass Institute Berlin, Germany

Benjamin Gess, Institut für Mathematik, Technische Universität Berlin, Germany

Sebastian Riedel, Institut für Mathematik, Technische Universität Berlin, Germany