arXiv:1307.1012v1 [math.AP] 3 Jul 2013

EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF GLOBAL SMOOTH SOLUTION OF INCOMPRESSIBLE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATION

YONGQIAN HAN

Abstract. The Cauchy problem and spatially periodic problem of incompressible Navier-Stokes equation are considered. The existence and uniqueness of global solution for these two problem with infinite smooth initial data u_0, i.e. $u_0,\ (u_0\cdot\nabla)u_0\in H^\infty$, are established. Moreover these two problem with initial data $u_0\in H^m$ $(m\geq 1)$ are globally well-posed provided the Fourier frequency of u_0 is contained in a bounded compact set.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider well-posedness of global smooth solution of the incompressible Navier-Stokes (NS) equation in $\mathbb{R}^3$ or $\mathbb{R}^3/\mathbb{Z}^3$. The Navier-Stokes equation are given by

$$u_t-\nu\Delta u+(u\cdot\nabla)u+\nabla P=0, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\nabla\cdot u=0, \tag{1.2}$$

with initial data

$$u|_{t=0}=u_0, \tag{1.3}$$

where $u=u(t,x)=\big(u^1(t,x),u^2(t,x),u^3(t,x)\big)$ and $P=P(t,x)$ stand for the unknown velocity vector field of the fluid and its pressure, $u_0=u_0(x)=\big(u_0^1(x),u_0^2(x),u_0^3(x)\big)$ is the given initial velocity vector field satisfying $\nabla\cdot u_0=0$, $\nu>0$ is the coefficient of viscosity. Here ∂_{x_j} denotes by ∂_j $(j=1,2,3)$.

Besides considering the problem (1.1)–(1.3), we also assume that u and u_0 satisfy spatially periodic boundary condition

$$u(t,x+a_je_j)=u(t,x),\ \ \forall x\in\mathbb{R}^3,\ t\geq 0,\ j=1,2,3, \tag{1.4}$$

and look for spatially periodic solutions u of Navier-Stokes equations (1.1)–(1.3) and (1.4), where e_j is the basis vector of $\mathbb{R}^3$, constant $a_j>0$ $(j=1,2,3)$.

Taking the divergence of the equation (1.1), we get

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{3}\partial_j\partial_k\{u^ju^k\}+\Delta P=0. \tag{1.5}$$

This equation (1.5) will be used in the energy estimates.

For the mathematical setting of the problem, we introduce the Hilbert space

$$H(\mathbb{R}^3)=\big\{u\in\big(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\big)^3\big|\nabla\cdot u=0\big\}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q30, 76D03, 76D05.

Key words and phrases. Global Smooth Solution, Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equation, Three-dimensional Space.

endowed with the $\big(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\big)^3$ norm (resp. scalar product denoted by $(\cdot,\cdot)$). For simplicity of presentation, $\big(L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)\big)^3$ (resp. $\big(H^m(\mathbb{R}^3)\big)^3$) denotes by L^q (reps. H^m), where $q \geq 1$ and $m \geq 0$. Let $H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be the completion of $\cap_{m\geq 0} H^m(\mathbb{R}^3)$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^\infty} = \max_{m\geq 0} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \|\partial_j^m u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad \forall u \in H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \tag{1.6}$$

i.e.

$$H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) = \Big\{ u \Big| u \in \cap_{m\geq 0} H^m(\mathbb{R}^3), \ \ \|u\|_{H^\infty} < \infty \Big\}. \tag{1.7}$$

If u satisfies (1.4), the corresponding spaces denote by $H_{per}(Q)$, $L^2_{per}(Q)$, $H^m(Q)$ and $H^\infty_{per}(Q)$, respectively. Let $H^\infty_{per}(Q)$ be endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^\infty_{per}} = \max_{m\geq 0} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \|\partial_j^m u\|_{L^2(Q)}, \quad \forall u \in H^\infty_{per}(Q), \tag{1.8}$$

where cube $Q = (0, a_1) \times (0, a_2) \times (0, a_3)$.

There is a large literature studying the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations: existence of weak solutions [9], regularity of weak solutions [2, 10, 14], local solutions [3, 5, 6, 7], solutions with small data [3, 6, 7], self-similar solutions [3, 12, 17] and global solutions in $\mathbb{R}^2$ [1, 8], etc. Besides the articles quoted above, there are more papers cited in [3, 4, 8, 11, 15, 16]. The goal of this paper is to construct a unique global solution of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation with any given initial data $u_0 \in H^\infty \cap H$ and $(u_0 \cdot \nabla)u_0 \in H^\infty$.

Theorem 1.1 (Global Solution)**.** *Assume that $u_0 \in H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $(u_0 \cdot \nabla)u_0 \in H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then for any $T > 0$ there exists a unique solution u of the problem* (1.1)–(1.3) *such that*

$$u, \ u_t \in C\big([0,T]; H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)\big), \ \ (u \cdot \nabla)u, \ \nabla P \in C\big([0,T]; H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\big).$$

Let $u_0 \in H(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\operatorname{supp} \hat{u}_0 \subset \{\xi \big| \ |\xi| \leq 1/3\}$ and $\hat{u}_0$ be the Fourier transformation of u_0. Then $u_0 \in H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $(u_0 \cdot \nabla)u_0 \in H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. By Theorem 1.1, there exits a unique global solution u of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) with initial data u_0.

By scaling $u_\lambda(t,x) = \lambda u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)$, we transform u_0 into $u_{0\lambda}$ as follows

$$u_{0\lambda}(x) = \lambda u_0(\lambda x), \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

Then $u_{0\lambda} \in H^m(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for any $m \geq 0$, $\operatorname{supp} \hat{u}_{0\lambda} \subset \{\xi \big| \ |\xi| \leq \lambda/3\}$, and $u_\lambda(t,x) = \lambda u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)$ is the global solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) with initial data $u_{0\lambda}$.

On the other hand, there exists $T > 0$ and a unique solution u_λ of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) with initial data $u_{0\lambda}$ such that [7]

$$u_\lambda \in C\big([0,T]; H^m(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)\big), \quad \forall m \geq 1.$$

Therefore, combining the above facts and noting that $\lambda > 0$ is arbitrary, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 (H^m Global Solution)**.** *Assume that $u_0 \in H_{comp}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, where*

$$H_{comp} = \{u \big| u \in H(\mathbb{R}^3), \ \textit{supp}\, \hat{u} \ \textit{is a bounded compact set}\},$$

$\hat{u}$ is the Fourier transformation of u. Then for any $T > 0$ there exists a unique solution u of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) *such that*

$$u \in C\big([0,T]; H^m(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)\big), \quad \forall m \geq 1.$$

The entire argument in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 carries over, line-by-line, to the spatially periodic problem (1.1)–(1.4). We need only replace $\mathbb{R}^3$ by Q, replace spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $H(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $H^m(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by the corresponding spaces $L^2_{per}(Q)$, $H_{per}(Q)$, $H^m_{per}(Q)$, $H^\infty_{per}(Q)$, respectively. Therefore we derive the following results.

Theorem 1.3 (Global Spatially Periodic Solution)**.** *Assume that $u_0 \in H^\infty_{per}(Q) \cap H_{per}(Q)$ and $(u_0 \cdot \nabla)u_0 \in H^\infty_{per}(Q)$. Then for any $T > 0$ there exists a unique spatially periodic solution u of the problem* (1.1)–(1.4) *such that*

$$u,\ u_t \in C\big([0,T]; H^\infty_{per}(Q) \cap H_{per}(Q)\big),\ \ (u \cdot \nabla)u,\ \nabla P \in C\big([0,T]; H^\infty_{per}(Q)\big).$$

Theorem 1.4 (H^m Global SP Solution)**.** *Assume that $u_0 \in H_{per,comp}(Q)$, where*

$$H_{per,comp} = \{u \big|\ u \in H(Q), \textit{the Fourier frequency of } u \textit{ is finite}\}.$$

Then for any $T > 0$ there exists a unique solution u of the spatially periodic problem (1.1)–(1.4) *such that*

$$u \in C\big([0,T]; H^m_{per}(Q) \cap H_{per}(Q)\big), \quad \forall m \geq 1.$$

Indeed, let $u_0 = (u_0^1, u_0^2, u_0^3) \in H_{per,comp}$. Then

$$u_0^l = \sum_{j=1}^{J} c_j^l e^{ik_j \cdot x}, \qquad l = 1,2,3,$$

$$k_j = \big(2\pi k_{j1}/a_1, 2\pi k_{j2}/a_2, 2\pi k_{j3}/a_3\big),\ \ \sum_{l=1}^{3} c_j^l k_{jl}/a_l = 0,\ \ j = 1, \cdots, J,$$

where c_j^l is any constant, k_{jl} is any integer.

It is obvious that $u_0 \in H^m_{per}(Q)$ for any $m \geq 0$. By the argument as in [7], we can prove that there exit $T > 0$ and a unique solution u of the problem (1.1)–(1.4) with initial data u_0 such that

$$u \in C\big([0,T]; H^m_{per}(Q) \cap H_{per}(Q)\big), \quad \forall m \geq 1.$$

On the other hand, by scaling $u_\lambda(t,x) = \lambda u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)$, we transform u_0 into $u_{0\lambda}$ as follows

$$u_{0\lambda}(x) = \lambda^{-1} u_0(x/\lambda),\ \ \lambda = 2\prod_{j=1}^{J} \prod_{l=1, k_{jl} \neq 0}^{3} 2\pi |k_{jl}| / \min\{1, a_l\} > 2.$$

Then $u_{0\lambda} \in H^\infty_{per}(Q_\lambda) \cap H_{per}(Q_\lambda)$ and $(u_{0\lambda} \cdot \nabla)u_{0\lambda} \in H^\infty_{per}(Q_\lambda)$, where $Q_\lambda = \prod_{j=1}^3 (0, \lambda a_j)$. By Theorem 1.3, there exists a unique global solution U of the problem (1.1)–(1.4) with initial data $u_{0\lambda}$. By scaling $u(t,x) = \lambda U(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)$, we derive a global solution u of the problem (1.1)–(1.4) with initial data u_0.

Combined the above facts, Theorem 1.4 is proved.

For example, it is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} v_0 =&\Big(c_1 \sin(\frac{1}{2}x_2)\sin(\frac{1}{2}x_3), c_2 \sin(\frac{1}{2}x_3)\cos(\frac{1}{2}x_1), c_3 \cos(\frac{1}{2}x_1)\cos(\frac{1}{2}x_2)\Big) \\ &\in H^\infty_{per}([0,4\pi]\times[0,4\pi]\times[0,4\pi]) \cap H_{per}([0,4\pi]\times[0,4\pi]\times[0,4\pi]), \end{aligned}$$

$$(v_0\cdot\nabla)v_0 \in H^\infty_{per}([0,4\pi]\times[0,4\pi]\times[0,4\pi]),$$

where c_1, c_2 and c_3 are any constants. By Theorem 1.3, there exists a unique global spatially periodic solution u of the problem (1.1)–(1.4) with this initial data $u_0 = v_0$ such that

$$u,\ u_t \in C\big([0,T]; H^\infty_{per}([0,4\pi]\times[0,4\pi]\times[0,4\pi]) \cap H_{per}([0,4\pi]\times[0,4\pi]\times[0,4\pi])\big),$$

$$(u\cdot\nabla)u,\ \nabla P \in C\big([0,T]; H^\infty_{per}([0,4\pi]\times[0,4\pi]\times[0,4\pi])\big), \qquad \forall T>0.$$

By scaling $u_\lambda(t,x) = \lambda u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x)$, we transform v_0 into

$$\begin{aligned} &v_{0k}(x) = 2kv_0(2kx) \\ =&2k\Big(c_1\sin(kx_2)\sin(kx_3), c_2\sin(kx_3)\cos(kx_1), c_3\cos(kx_1)\cos(kx_2)\Big), \ \ \forall k>0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote the solution u with initial data $u_0 = v_0$ by U^0, and denote the solution u with initial data $u_0 = v_{0k}$ by U^k. Then U^k can be derive by scaling of U^0, i.e.,

$$U^k(t,x) = 2kU^0(4k^2t, 2kx).$$

On the other hand, by the method of semi-group [7, 6, 13], we can prove that there exist $T>0$ and a unique local solution u of the problem (1.1)–(1.4) with any initial data $u_0 \in H^m_{per}([0,2\pi/k]\times[0,2\pi/k]\times[0,2\pi/k])$ and $m \geq 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u \in C\big([0,T]; &H^m_{per}([0,2\pi/k]\times[0,2\pi/k]\times[0,2\pi/k]) \cap \\ &H_{per}([0,2\pi/k]\times[0,2\pi/k]\times[0,2\pi/k])\big). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore there exists a unique global spatially periodic solution $u = U^k$ of the problem (1.1)–(1.4) with initial data $u_0 = v_{0k}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u \in C\big([0,T]; &H^m_{per}([0,2\pi/k]\times[0,2\pi/k]\times[0,2\pi/k]) \cap \\ &H_{per}([0,2\pi/k]\times[0,2\pi/k]\times[0,2\pi/k])\big), \qquad \forall T>0, \ \ \forall m\geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Throughout this paper, different positive constants are all denoted by the same letter C.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we consider the existence and uniqueness of the global solution of linear incompressible Navier-Stokes equation, and derive some estimates to be used in next section. In Section 3, we study the existence and uniqueness of the local solution of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation. In Section 4, we investigate the existence and uniqueness of the global solution of the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation.

2. Linear Estimates

In this section, we consider global well-posedness of solution of the linear Navier-Stokes equations

$$u_t - \nu\Delta u + \nabla P = f(t,x), \tag{2.1}$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

with initial data

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0. \tag{2.3}$$

Taking the divergence of the equation (2.1), we get

$$-\nabla \cdot f + \Delta P = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

This equation (2.4) will be used in the energy estimates.

Let us transform the system (2.1)–(2.3) into the operator equation

$$u_t - \nu A u = \mathbb{P} f, \tag{2.5}$$

$$u|_{t=0} = u_0, \tag{2.6}$$

where A is defined as the operator $A = \mathbb{P}\Delta$ and $\mathbb{P}$ is the orthogonal projection operator onto the divergence free vector field. νA is the infinitesimal generator of the C_0 semigroup $S(t) = \exp(t\nu A)$ on space $H(\mathbb{R}^3)$ [13]. The solution of (2.5) (2.6) is as follows

$$u(t) = S(t)u_0 + \int_0^t S(t-\tau)\mathbb{P}f(\tau)d\tau. \tag{2.7}$$

We are going to establish some estimates of the solution of the problem (2.1)–(2.3), which will be used to prove existence and uniqueness of local solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.3).

Lemma 2.1 (Energy Estimates of LNS)**.** *Assume that $u_0 \in H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $(u_0 \cdot \nabla)u_0 \in H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $f \in L^\infty\big(0,T;H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\big)$ for any $T \geq 0$. Then there exits a unique global solution u of the problem (2.1)–(2.3) such that*

$$\begin{aligned} u \in& C\big([0,T];H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)\big),\ \ (u\cdot\nabla)u \in C\big([0,T];H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\big),\\ u_t \in& L^\infty\big(0,T;H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)\big), \qquad \forall T \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

and the following estimates are valid:

$$\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{H^\infty_x} \leq \|u_0\|_{H^\infty_x} + \int_0^t \|f(\tau,\cdot)\|_{H^\infty_x} d\tau, \qquad \forall t \geq 0, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\|(u\cdot\nabla)u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{H^\infty_x} \leq \{\|(u_0\cdot\nabla)u_0\|^2_{H^\infty_x} + C\|u_0\|^4_{H^\infty_x}t + F(t)\}e^t,\ \ \forall t \geq 0, \tag{2.10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F(t) =& C\int_0^t \Big(\int_0^s \|f(\tau,\cdot)\|_{H^\infty_x}d\tau\Big)^4 ds\\ &+ C\int_0^t \|f(\tau,\cdot)\|^2_{H^\infty_x}\Big(\|u_0\|_{H^\infty_x} + \int_0^\tau \|f(s,\cdot)\|_{H^\infty_x}ds\Big)^2 d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

$$\|S(t)\nabla u_0\|_{L^2_x} \leq Ct^{-1/2}\|u_0\|_{L^2_x}, \qquad \forall t > 0. \tag{2.12}$$

Here positive constant C only depends on ν.

Proof. Since $u_0 \in H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $f \in L^\infty\big(0,T;H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\big)$ for any $T \geq 0$, C_0 semigroup $S(t)$ and (2.7) imply

$$u \in C\big([0,T];H^m(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)\big), \quad \forall T \geq 0, \ \ \forall m \geq 0.$$

Applying the equations (2.1) and (2.4), we have

$$u_t \in L^\infty\big(0,T;H^m(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)\big), \quad \forall T \geq 0, \ \ \forall m \geq 0.$$

Using equation (2.7) and the estimate [6, 13]

$$\|S(t)v\|_{L^2_x} \leq \|v\|_{L^2_x}, \qquad \forall t \geq 0, \tag{2.13}$$

we derive

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_j^m u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2_x} \leq& \|\partial_j^m u_0\|_{L^2_x} + \int_0^t \|\partial_j^m f(\tau,\cdot)\|_{L^2_x} d\tau \\ \leq& \max_{m\geq 0} \sum_{j=1}^3 \|\partial_j^m u_0\|_{L^2_x} + \int_0^t \max_{m\geq 0} \sum_{j=1}^3 \|\partial_j^m f(\tau,\cdot)\|_{L^2_x} d\tau \\ =& \|u_0\|_{H^\infty_x} + \int_0^t \|f(\tau,\cdot)\|_{H^\infty_x} d\tau, \qquad \forall t \geq 0.\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

On the left-hand side of (2.14), summing with respect to j from 1 to 3 and taking maximum with respect to $m \geq 0$, we derive (2.9) and

$$u \in C\big([0,T];H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)\big), \quad \forall T \geq 0.$$

Applying the equations (2.1) and (2.4), we have

$$u_t \in L^\infty\big(0,T;H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)\big), \quad \forall T \geq 0.$$

Let ∂_j^m denote by D^m. Using equation (2.1), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t D^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\} - \nu\Delta D^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\} + 2\nu D^m\{\sum_{k=1}^3 (\partial_k u\cdot\nabla)\partial_k u\} \\ &+ D^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)\nabla P\} + D^m\{(\nabla P\cdot\nabla)u\} \\ =& D^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)f\} + D^m\{(f\cdot\nabla)u\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Taking the scalar product of $D^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}$ and the equation (2.15) and then integrating the result over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\|D^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x} + 2\nu\|\nabla D^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x} \\ =&(-1)^{m+1}2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big\{2\nu\sum_{k=1}^3(\partial_k u\cdot\nabla)\partial_k u + (u\cdot\nabla)\nabla P + (\nabla P\cdot\nabla)u \\ &\qquad - (u\cdot\nabla)f - (f\cdot\nabla)u\Big\}D^{2m}\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}dx.\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

The righthand side of (2.16) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&\|D^{2m}\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x} + C\big\{\|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^4_x}\|\nabla^2 u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^4_x} \\ &+ \|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^\infty_x}\|\nabla f(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x} + \|f(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}\|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^\infty_x} \\ &+ \|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^\infty_x}\|\nabla^2 P(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x} + \|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^\infty_x}\|\nabla P(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}\big\},\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

where constant C is independent of m. Applying the equation (2.4), we get

$$\|\nabla P(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2_x} \le \|f(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2_x}, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\|\nabla^2 P(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2_x} \le \|\nabla\cdot f(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2_x}. \tag{2.19}$$

Applying Sobolev imbedding theorems $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)\subset L^4(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the estimates (2.16)– (2.19) imply

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\|D^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}\\
\le&\|D^{2m}\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}+C\big\{\|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{H^1_x}\|\nabla^2u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{H^1_x}\\
&+\|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{H^2_x}\|\nabla f(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}+\|f(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}\|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{H^2_x}\big\}\\
\le&\max_{m\ge0}\sum_{j=1}^3\|\partial_j^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}+C\big\{\max_{m\ge0}\sum_{j=1}^3\|\partial_j^m u(t,\cdot)\|^4_{L^2_x}\\
&+\max_{m\ge0}\sum_{j=1}^3\|\partial_j^m u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}\max_{m\ge0}\sum_{j=1}^3\|\partial_j^m f(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}\big\}\\
\le&\|\{(u\cdot\nabla)u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{H^\infty_x}+C\big\{\|u(t,\cdot)\|^4_{H^\infty_x}+\|f(t,\cdot)\|^2_{H^\infty_x}\|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{H^\infty_x}\big\},\qquad\forall m\ge0,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

where constant C is independent of m. Using the estimate (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|D^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}\\
\le&\int_0^t\|\{(u\cdot\nabla)u(\tau,\cdot)\|^2_{H^\infty_x}d\tau+\|(u_0\cdot\nabla)u_0\|^2_{H^\infty_x}+C\|u_0\|^4_{H^\infty_x}t\\
&+C\int_0^t\Big(\int_0^s\|f(\tau,\cdot)\|_{H^\infty_x}d\tau\Big)^4ds\\
&+C\int_0^t\|f(\tau,\cdot)\|^2_{H^\infty_x}\Big(\|u_0\|_{H^\infty_x}+\int_0^\tau\|f(s,\cdot)\|_{H^\infty_x}ds\Big)^2d\tau,\qquad\forall m\ge0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

On the left-hand side of (2.21), let us set $D^m=\partial_j^m$, sum with respect to j from 1 to 3 and take the maximum with respect to $m\ge0$. Then by using Gronwall inequality, we derive the estimate (2.10) and

$$(u\cdot\nabla)u\in C\big([0,T];H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\big),\quad\forall T\ge0.$$

The proof of the estimate (2.12) can be found in [3, 7, 5]. Here we give another proof which still works for the corresponding spatially periodic problem.

Let $U=S(t)u_0$. Since $u_0\in H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

$$U\in C\big([0,T];H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)\big),\qquad\forall T\ge0.$$

Then U is the solution of the following problem

$$U_t-\nu\Delta U+\nabla P=0, \tag{2.22}$$

$$\nabla\cdot U=0, \tag{2.23}$$

$$U|_{t=0}=u_0. \tag{2.24}$$

Taking the scalar product of $U - 2t\nu\Delta U$ and the equation (2.22) and then integrating the result over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\{\frac{1}{2}|U|^2 + t\nu|\nabla U|^2\}dx + 2t\nu^2\|\Delta U(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x} = 0. \tag{2.25}$$

The estimate (2.12) is the consequence of (2.25).

□

We also consider the spatially periodic solution of linear Navier-Stokes equations (2.1)–(2.3) with periodic boundary condition

$$u(t, x + a_j e_j) = u(t,x), \ \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \ t \geq 0, \ j = 1,2,3. \tag{2.26}$$

The entire argument in the proof of Lemma 2.1 carries over, line-by-line, to the spatially periodic problem (2.1)– (2.3) and (2.26). We need only replace $\mathbb{R}^3$ by Q, replace spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3),\ H(\mathbb{R}^3),\ H^m(\mathbb{R}^3),\ H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by the corresponding spaces $L^2_{per}(Q),\ H_{per}(Q)$, $H^m_{per}(Q),\ H^\infty_{per}(Q)$, respectively. Therefore we derive the following results.

Lemma 2.2 (Energy Estimates of LNS-SP)**.** *Assume that $u_0 \in H^\infty_{per}(Q) \cap H_{per}(Q)$, $(u_0\cdot\nabla)u_0 \in H^\infty_{per}(Q)$ and $f \in L^\infty\big(0,T;H^\infty_{per}(Q)\big)$ for any $T \geq 0$. Then there exists a unique global spatially periodic solution u of the problem (2.1)–(2.3) (2.26) such that*

$$\begin{aligned} u \in& C\big([0,T];H^\infty_{per}(Q)\cap H_{per}(Q)\big), \ \ (u\cdot\nabla)u \in C\big([0,T];H^\infty_{per}(Q)\big),\\ u_t \in& L^\infty\big(0,T;H^\infty_{per}(Q)\cap H_{per}(Q)\big), \qquad \forall T \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

and the following estimates are valid:

$$\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{H^\infty_{per}} \leq \|u_0\|_{H^\infty_{per}} + \int_0^t \|f(\tau,\cdot)\|_{H^\infty_{per}}d\tau, \qquad \forall t \geq 0, \tag{2.28}$$

$$\|(u\cdot\nabla)u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{H^\infty_{per}} \leq \{\|(u_0\cdot\nabla)u_0\|^2_{H^\infty_{per}} + C\|u_0\|^4_{H^\infty_{per}}t + G(t)\}e^t, \ \ \forall t \geq 0, \tag{2.29}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G(t) =& C\int_0^t \Big(\int_0^s \|f(\tau,\cdot)\|_{H^\infty_{per}}d\tau\Big)^4 ds\\ &+ C\int_0^t \|f(\tau,\cdot)\|^2_{H^\infty_{per}}\Big(\|u_0\|_{H^\infty_{per}} + \int_0^\tau \|f(s,\cdot)\|_{H^\infty_{per}}ds\Big)^2 d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

$$\|S(t)\nabla u_0\|_{L^2_{per}} \leq Ct^{-1/2}\|u_0\|_{L^2_{per}}, \qquad \forall t > 0. \tag{2.31}$$

Here positive constant C only depends on ν.

3. Local Solution

In this section, we consider the existence and uniqueness of local solution of incompressible Navier-Stokes equation (1.1) (1.2).

Let us reduce the problem (1.1)–(1.3) into the following integral equation

$$u(t) = S(t)u_0 - \int_0^t S(t-s)\mathbb{P}(u\cdot\nabla)u(s)ds, \tag{3.1}$$

where C_0 semi-group $S(t) = e^{t\nu\mathbb{P}\Delta}$, $\mathbb{P}$ is the orthogonal projection operator onto the divergence free vector field.

We will use equation (3.1) to establish existence and uniqueness of local solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.3).

Theorem 3.1 (Local Solution)**.** *Assume that $u_0 \in H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $(u_0 \cdot \nabla)u_0 \in H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then there exist $T = T\big(\|u_0\|_{H^\infty}, \|(u_0 \cdot \nabla)u_0\|_{H^\infty}\big) > 0$ and a unique solution u of the problem* (1.1)–(1.3) *such that*

$$u,\ u_t \in C\big([0,T]; H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)\big), \quad (u \cdot \nabla)u,\ \nabla P \in C\big([0,T]; H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\big).$$

Proof. We use the the principle of contraction mapping to prove the existence and uniqueness of solution.

Let $T > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} B = \Big\{ v \Big|\ & v \in L^\infty\big([0,T]; H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)\big),\ \ (v \cdot \nabla)v \in L^\infty\big([0,T]; H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\big), \\ & \|v\|_{L^\infty_T H^\infty_x} + \|(v \cdot \nabla)v\|_{L^\infty_T H^\infty_x} \le 2e\{\|u_0\|_{H^\infty_x} + \|(u_0 \cdot \nabla)u_0\|_{H^\infty_x}\} \Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Note that B is weakly$*$ compact. Endowed with the metric

$$d(u,v) = \|u - v\|_{L^\infty_T L^2_x},$$

B is a complete metric space.

For any $v \in B$, we define a map Φ as follows:

$$\Phi(v) = S(t)u_0 - \int_0^t S(t-s)\mathbb{P}(v \cdot \nabla)v(s)ds.$$

Here $u = \Phi(v)$ is the solution of the problem (2.1)– (2.3) with $f = -(v \cdot \nabla)v$. We want to find T such that the map $\Phi :\ B \to B$ is a strict contraction.

For $0 \le t \le T \le 1$, the estimate (2.9) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(v)(t,\cdot)\|_{H^\infty_x} \le & \|u_0\|_{H^\infty_x} + \int_0^t \|(v \cdot \nabla)v(s,\cdot)\|_{H^\infty_x} ds \\ \le & \|u_0\|_{H^\infty_x} + T\|(v \cdot \nabla)v\|_{L^\infty_T H^\infty_x}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

The estimate (2.10) (2.11) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\{\Phi(v) \cdot \nabla\}\Phi(v)(t,\cdot)\|^2_{H^\infty_x} \\ \le & \{\|(u_0 \cdot \nabla)u_0\|^2_{H^\infty_x} + C\|u_0\|^4_{H^\infty_x} T \\ & + C\|u_0\|^2_{H^\infty_x}\|(v \cdot \nabla)v\|^2_{L^\infty_T H^\infty_x} T + C\|(v \cdot \nabla)v\|^4_{L^\infty_T H^\infty_x} T\}e. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Using estimates (3.3) (3.4), we have

$$\|\Phi(v)(t,\cdot)\|_{H^\infty_x} + \|\{\Phi(v) \cdot \nabla\}\Phi(v)(t,\cdot)\|_{H^\infty_x} \le r_0 + \sqrt{T}(2r_0 + C_1 r_0^2), \tag{3.5}$$

where C_1 is a positive constant, $r_0 = e\{\|u_0\|_{H^\infty_x} + \|(u_0 \cdot \nabla)u_0\|_{H^\infty_x}\}$.

Let us take

$$T \le \min\Big\{1, \frac{r_0^2}{(2r_0 + C_1 r_0^2)^2}\Big\},$$

then $\Phi :\ B \to B$.

Let $0 \le t \le T \le 1$. For any $v_1,\ v_2 \in B$, the estimate (2.12) implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\{\Phi(v_1)-\Phi(v_2)\}(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2_x} \\ \le& C\int_0^t s^{-1/2}\|\{v_1\bigotimes v_1 - v_2\bigotimes v_2\}(s,\cdot)\|_{L^2_x}ds \\ \le& Ct^{1/2}\{\|v_1\|_{L^\infty_T L^\infty_x}+\|v_2\|_{L^\infty_T L^\infty_x}\}\|v_1-v_2\|_{L^\infty_T L^2_x} \\ \le& C_2T^{1/2}r_0\|v_1-v_2\|_{L^\infty_T L^2_x}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where C_2 is a positive constant, $v_k\bigotimes v_k$ is the tensor whose ij component equals $v_k^i v_k^j$, $k=1,\ 2$, Sobolev imbedding theorem $H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\subset H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ has been used.

Let

$$T_0=\min\Big\{1,\frac{r_0^2}{(2r_0+C_1r_0^2)^2},\frac{1}{(2C_2r_0)^2}\Big\}$$

and $T\le T_0$. Then $\Phi:\ B\to B$ is a strict contraction. By the principle of contraction mapping, there exists a unique solution $u\in B$ of the problem (1.1)–(1.3). The equation (3.1) and the fact that $S(t)$ is a C_0 semigroup in $H(\mathbb{R}^3)$ imply

$$u\in C\big([0,T];H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)\big),\ \ (u\cdot\nabla)u\in C\big([0,T];H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\big).$$

Using the equations (1.1) and (1.5), we derive

$$u_t\in C\big([0,T];H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)\big),\quad \nabla P\in C\big([0,T];H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\big).$$

□

The entire argument in the proof of Theorem 3.1 carries over, line-by-line, to the spatially periodic problem (1.1)– (1.4). We need only replace $\mathbb{R}^3$ by Q, replace spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3),\ H(\mathbb{R}^3),\ H^m(\mathbb{R}^3),\ H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by the corresponding spaces $L^2_{per}(Q),\ H_{per}(Q),\ H^m_{per}(Q),\ H^\infty_{per}(Q)$, respectively. Therefore we derive the following results.

Theorem 3.2 (Local Spatially Periodic Solution)**.** *Assume that $u_0\in H^\infty_{per}(Q)\cap H_{per}(Q)$ and $(u_0\cdot\nabla)u_0\in H^\infty_{per}(Q)$. Then there exist $T=T\big(\|u_0\|_{H^\infty_{per}},\|(u_0\cdot\nabla)u_0\|_{H^\infty_{per}}\big)>0$ and a unique solution u of the problem (1.1)–(1.4) such that*

$$u,\ u_t\in C\big([0,T];H^\infty_{per}(Q)\cap H_{per}(Q)\big),\quad (u\cdot\nabla)u,\ \nabla P\in C\big([0,T];H^\infty_{per}(Q)\big).$$

4. Global Solution

The local solution of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) has been constructed in Theorem 3.1. Therefore Theorem 1.1, that is the existence and uniqueness of global solution u of the problem (1.1)–(1.3), follows from the energy estimate in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 (Energy Estimate)**.** *Assume that $u_0\in H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $(u_0\cdot\nabla)u_0\in H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then for the solution u of the problem (1.1)–(1.3) established in Theorem 3.1, the following estimate is valid:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{H^\infty_x}+\|(u\cdot\nabla)u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{H^\infty_x} \\ \le&\Big\{\|u_0\|^2_{H^\infty_x}+\|(u_0\cdot\nabla)u_0\|^2_{H^\infty_x}\Big\}\exp\{C(1+\|u_0\|^4_{L^2_x})t\},\qquad \forall t\ge 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

where positive constant C only depends on ν.

Proof. For the solution u of the problem (1.1)– (1.3) established in Theorem 3.1, we have

$$u,\ u_t \in C\big([0,T];H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\cap H(\mathbb{R}^3)\big),\quad (u\cdot\nabla)u,\ \nabla P\in C\big([0,T];H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)\big),\ \ \exists T>0.$$

Taking the scalar product of u and the equation (1.1) and then integrating the result over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}+\nu\|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}=0, \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}+2\nu\int_0^t\|\nabla u(s,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}ds=\|u_0\|^2_{L^2_x},\ \ \forall t\geq 0. \tag{4.3}$$

Let ∂_j^m (j=1,2,3) denote by D^m. Differentiating equation (1.1), we derive

$$D^m u_t-\nu\Delta D^m u+D^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}+\nabla D^m P=0. \tag{4.4}$$

Taking the scalar product of $D^m u_t-\nu\Delta D^m u$ and the equation (4.4) and then integrating the result over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\nu\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla D^m u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}+\|D^m u_t(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}\\&+\nu^2\|\Delta D^m u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}+\|\nabla D^m P(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}\\=&\|D^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x},\qquad \forall m\geq 0,\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where the equation (1.5) is used.

Using equation (1.1), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_t D^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}-\nu\Delta D^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}+2\nu D^m\{\sum_{k=1}^3(\partial_k u\cdot\nabla)\partial_k u\}\\&+D^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)[(u\cdot\nabla)u]\}+D^m\{(\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}\cdot\nabla)u\}\\&+D^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)\nabla P\}+D^m\{(\nabla P\cdot\nabla)u\}=0.\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Taking the scalar product of $D^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}$ and the equation (4.6) and then integrating the result over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\|D^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}+2\nu\|\nabla D^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}\\=&(-1)^{m+1}2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big\{2\nu\sum_{k=1}^3(\partial_k u\cdot\nabla)\partial_k u+(u\cdot\nabla)[(u\cdot\nabla)u]+(\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}\cdot\nabla)u\\&+(u\cdot\nabla)\nabla P+(\nabla P\cdot\nabla)u\Big\}D^{2m}\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}dx.\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

The righthand side of (4.7) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&\|D^{2m}\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}+C\{\|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^4_x}\|\nabla^2 u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^4_x}\\&+\|u(t,\cdot)\|^4_{L^8_x}\|\nabla^2 u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^4_x}+\|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^\infty_x}\|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|^4_{L^4_x}\\&+\|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^\infty_x}\|\nabla^2 P(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}+\|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^\infty_x}\|\nabla P(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}\}\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Applying the equation (1.5), we get

$$\|\nabla P(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2_x}\leq C\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^4_x}\|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^4_x}, \tag{4.9}$$

$$\|\nabla^2 P(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2_x} \le C\|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^4_x}. \tag{4.10}$$

By Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality, we derive

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^4_x}\|\nabla^2 u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^4_x} \le& C\|u(t,\cdot)\|^{1/2}_{H^7_x}\|u(t,\cdot)\|^{1/2}_{H^{11}_x}\|u(t,\cdot)\|^3_{L^2_x}\\ \le& C\{\max_{m\ge 0}\sum_{j=1}^3\|\partial_j^m u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2_x}\}^2\|u_0\|^2_{L^2_x},\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(t,\cdot)\|^4_{L^8_x}\|\nabla^2 u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^4_x} \le& C\|u(t,\cdot)\|^{1/2}_{H^9_x}\|u(t,\cdot)\|^{1/2}_{H^{11}_x}\|u(t,\cdot)\|^5_{L^2_x}\\ \le& C\{\max_{m\ge 0}\sum_{j=1}^3\|\partial_j^m u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2_x}\}^2\|u_0\|^4_{L^2_x},\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^\infty_x}\|\nabla^2 P(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x} \le& C\|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^\infty_x}\|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|^4_{L^4_x}\\ \le& C\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{H^3_x}\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{H^7_x}\|u(t,\cdot)\|^4_{L^2_x}\\ \le& C\{\max_{m\ge 0}\sum_{j=1}^3\|\partial_j^m u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2_x}\}^2\|u_0\|^4_{L^2_x},\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^\infty_x}\|\nabla P(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x} \le& C\|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^\infty_x}\|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^4_x}\|\nabla u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^4_x}\\ \le& C\|u(t,\cdot)\|_{H^5_x}\|u(t,\cdot)\|^{1/2}_{H^3_x}\|u(t,\cdot)\|^{1/2}_{H^7_x}\|u(t,\cdot)\|^4_{L^2_x}\\ \le& C\{\max_{m\ge 0}\sum_{j=1}^3\|\partial_j^m u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2_x}\}^2\|u_0\|^4_{L^2_x}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Here the estimate (4.3) has been used, and the constant C appeared in estimates (4.8)–(4.14) is independent of m. Estimates (4.3) (4.5) and (4.7)–(4.14) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|D^m u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x} + \|D^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}\\ \le&\|D^m u_0\|^2_{L^2_x} + \|D^m\{(u_0\cdot\nabla)u_0\}\|^2_{L^2_x} + C\int_0^t\Big\{\|D^{2m}\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}\\ &+\|D^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x} + (\|u_0\|^2_{L^2_x}+\|u_0\|^4_{L^2_x})\|u(\tau,\cdot)\|^2_{H^\infty_x}\Big\}d\tau\\ \le&\max_{m\ge 0}\sum_{j=1}^3\|\partial_j^m u_0\|^2_{L^2_x} + \max_{m\ge 0}\sum_{j=1}^3\|\partial_j^m\{(u_0\cdot\nabla)u_0\}\|^2_{L^2_x}\\ &+C\int_0^t\Big\{\max_{m\ge 0}\sum_{j=1}^3\|\partial_j^m\{(u\cdot\nabla)u\}(t,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2_x}\\ &+(\|u_0\|^2_{L^2_x}+\|u_0\|^4_{L^2_x})\|u(\tau,\cdot)\|^2_{H^\infty_x}\Big\}d\tau\\ \le&\|u_0\|^2_{H^\infty_x} + \|(u_0\cdot\nabla)u_0\|^2_{H^\infty_x} + C\int_0^t\Big\{\|(u\cdot\nabla)u(\tau,\cdot)\|^2_{H^\infty_x}\\ &+(\|u_0\|^2_{L^2_x}+\|u_0\|^4_{L^2_x})\|u(\tau,\cdot)\|^2_{H^\infty_x}\Big\}d\tau.\end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

On the left-hand side of (4.15), let us set $D^m = \partial_j^m$, sum with respect to j from 1 to 3 and take the maximum with respect to $m \ge 0$. Then by using Gronwall inequality, we derive the estimate (4.1).

□

The entire argument in the proof of Lemma 4.1 carries over, line-by-line, to the spatially periodic problem (1.1)– (1.4). We need only replace $\mathbb{R}^3$ by Q, replace spaces $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $H(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $H^m(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by the corresponding spaces $L^2_{per}(Q)$, $H_{per}(Q)$, $H^m_{per}(Q)$, $H^\infty_{per}(Q)$, respectively. Therefore we derive the following results.

Lemma 4.2 (Energy Estimate of SPS)**.** *Assume that $u_0 \in H^\infty_{per}(Q) \cap H_{per}(Q)$ and $(u_0 \cdot \nabla)u_0 \in H^\infty_{per}(Q)$. Then for the spatially periodic solution u of the problem* (1.1)–(1.4) *established in Theorem 3.2, the following estimate is valid:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{H^\infty_{per}(Q)} + \|(u\cdot\nabla)u(t,\cdot)\|^2_{H^\infty_{per}(Q)} \\ \le&\Big\{\|u_0\|^2_{H^\infty_{per}(Q)} + \|(u_0\cdot\nabla)u_0\|^2_{H^\infty_{per}(Q)}\Big\}\exp\{C(1+\|u_0\|^4_{L^2_{per}(Q)})t\}, \qquad \forall t\ge 0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

where positive constant C only depends on ν.

As a consequence of (4.16), the spatially periodic solution of problem (1.1)–(1.4) established in Theorem 3.2 is global. Theorem 1.3 is proved.

References

[1] M. Ben-Artzi. Global solutions of two-dimensional Navier-Stokes and Euler equation. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* 128 (1994), 329–358.

[2] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn and L. Nirenberg. Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 35 (1982), 771–837.

[3] M. Cannone. Harmonis analysis tools for solving the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Handbook of Mathematical Fluid Dynamics, Vol. 3, Eds. S. Friedlander and D. Serre,* Elsevier, 2003, 161–244.

[4] P. Constantin and C. Foias. *Navier-Stokes Equations.* Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1988.

[5] Y. Giga, K. Inui and S. Matsui. On the Cauchy problem for the Navier- Stokes equations with nondecaying initial data. *Quad. Mat.* 4 (1999), 27–68.

[6] T. Kato. Quasi-linear equations of evolution, with applications to partial differential equations. *Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 448.* pp.25–70, Berlin, Springer, 1975.

[7] T. Kato and G. Ponce. The Navier-Stokes equation with weak initial data. *International Math. Res. Notices* 10 (1994), 435-444.

[8] O. A. Ladyzenskaya. *The Mathematical Theory of Viscous Incompressible Flows (2nd edition).* Gordon and Breach, 1969.

[9] J. Leray. Sur le mouvement d'un liquid visqueux emplissant l'espace. *Acta Math.* 63 (1934), 193–248.

[10] F.-H. Lin. A new proof of the Caffarelli-Kohn-Nirenberg theorem. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 51 (1998), 241–257.

[11] J. L. Lions. *Quelques Methodes de Résolution des Problèmes aux Limites non Linéaires.* Dunod Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.

[12] J. Nečas, M. Ružička and V. Šverák. On Leray's self-similar solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations *Acta Math..* 176(1996), 283–294.

[13] A. Pazy. *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations.* Springer-Verlag, 1983.

[14] J. Serrin. On the interior regularity of weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 9 (1962), 187–195.

[15] R. Temam. *Some Devalopments on Navier-Stokes Equations in the Second Half of the 20th Century.* Développments des Mathématiques au cours de la seconde moitié du XXème siècle, J. P. Pier Editor, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000.

[16] R. Temam. *Navier-Stokes Equations. Theory and numerical analysis. (Reprint of the 1984 edition).* AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2001.

[17] T.-P. Tsai. On Leray's self-similar solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations satisfying local energy estimates. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 143 (1998), 29–51.

Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics, P.O. Box 8009, Beijing 100088, P. R. China

E-mail address: han_yongqian@iapcm.ac.cn