arXiv:1307.7320v1 [math.AP] 27 Jul 2013

On Schrödinger equations with periodic potentials and with nonperiodic nonlinearities *

Fei Fang†
School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University
Beijing, 100871, China

Abstract: We consider the Schrödinger equation $-\Delta u + V(x)u = f(x,u)$, where V is periodic and f is non-periodic, 0 is a boundary point of the continuous spectrum of $A := -\Delta + V(x)$. We use M. Willem and W. M. Zou's linking theorem and M. Schechter's method to establish an existence result for this problem in weak superlinear cases. In a sense, we enrich a recent result of M. Willem and W. M. Zou [M. Willem and W. M. Zou, On a Schrödinger Equation with Periodic Potential and Spectrum Point Zero, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 2003].
Keywords:Schrödinger equation, linking theorem, periodic potential

1 Introduction

In this work, we shall be concerned with the existence of nontrivial solutions of the semilinear Schrödinger equation

$$(P) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u + V(x)u = f(x,u), & \text{in } x \in R^N, \\ u \to 0, & |x| \to +\infty, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where V and f are continuous real functions and satisfy
(V_1) $V \in C(R^N, R)$ is 1-periodic in x_i, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, N$;
(V_2) $0 \in \sigma_{cont}(-\Delta + V)$ and there exists $\beta > 0$ such that

$$(0, \beta] \cap \sigma_{cont}(-\Delta + V) = \emptyset;$$

(f_1) There exist constants c_1, c_2 and $2 < \mu < 2^*$, $2^* := \frac{2N}{N-2}$ such that

$$c_1|u|^\mu \le f(x,u)u \le c_2|u|^\mu \ \forall x \in R^N, u \in R\backslash\{0\};$$

(f_2) Assume that

$$2F(x,t+s) - 2F(x,t) - (2rs - (r-1)^2 t)f(x,t) \ge W(x), \tag{1.2}$$

for all $x \in R^N, s, t \in R, r \in [0,1]$, where $F(x,t) = \int_0^t f(x,s)ds$, $W \in L^1(R^N)$.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that (V_1), (V_2), (f_1) and (f_2) are satisfied, then problem (P) has a nontrivial solution $u \in H^2_{loc}(R^N) \cap L^s(R^N)$ $(\mu \le s \le 2^*)$. If M denotes the collection of*

*Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China- NSAF (Grant No. 10976026) and by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11271305)
†Corresponding author. E-mail address: fangfei68@163.com

solutions of problem (P), then the energy functional Φ *(see* (2.1)*) has a notrivial minimizer over* M*.*

The Schrödinger equation with periodic potentials has found a great deal of interest in last years because not only it is important in applications but it provides a good model for developing mathematical methods, see, e.g., [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 20, 17, 22, 23, 24, 26] and the references therein. It is known that for periodic potentials the Schrödinger operator $A := -\Delta + V$ (on $L^2(R^N)$) has purely continuous spectrum $\sigma(A)$ which is bounded below and consists of closed disjoint intervals (see [18, Theorem XIII. 100]). For $0 < \min \sigma(A)$, Coti-Zelati and Rabinowitz in [9] obtained the existence of infinitely many solutions for problem (P) with various growth conditions on f. If 0 lies in a gap of $\sigma(A)$ and F is strictly convex Alama and Li [2, 3], Buffoni et al. [5] and Jeanjean [13] found solutions using variational methods. Without the convexity condition, the negative space E of the quadratic form in (1.2) is infinite dimensional. For this reason, we say that the problem is strongly indefinite. In [23], the authors obtained one nontrivial solution by establishing a new degree theory. Their result has been improved by Kryszewski and Szulkin [14] who found one solution under weaker conditions on f. When 0 is a boundary point of $\sigma(A)$, problem (P) has been studied by M. Willem and W. M.Zou [25] and T. Bartsch and Y. Ding [4]. Recently, under strictly monotone superlinear conitions, A.Szulkin and T. Weth [22] by taking a direct and simple reduction of the indefinite problem to a definite one proved the existence of ground state solutions for problem. Their main result is as follow

Theorem 1.2 ([22])**.** *Assume that* (V_1), $0 \in \sigma_{cont}(-\Delta + V)$ *and*
(f_3) $f(x,t)$ *is 1-periodic in* x_i *and there exists* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$|f(x,t)| \leq C(1 + |t|^{p-1}), p \in (2, 2^*);$$

(f_4) $f(x,t) = o(t)$ *uniformly in* x *as* $t \to \infty$*;*
(f_5) $F(x,t)/t^2 = \infty$ *uniformly in* x *as* $t^2 \to \infty$*;*
(f_6) $f(x,t)/|t|$ *is strictly increasing in* t *on* $(-\infty, 0)$ *and* $(0, +\infty)$*, for a.e.* $x \in R^N$*;*
are satisfied, then problem (P) has a nontrivial ground solution.

In a recent paper [26]], M.B. Yang used a general linking theorem which was developed by M. Schechter and W. M. Zou (see [21]) to give a different proof of the above mentioned result of Szulkin and Weth. But S.B. Liu in [16] obtained the similar result by modifying the condition (f_6) to

(f_6') is increasing in t on $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(0, +\infty)$, for a.e. $x \in R^N$.

Compared to the results of [22] and [26], S. B. Liu's result is more widespread and his method is more straightforward. It should be noted that, in above-mentioned papers [2, 3, 4, 5, 9, 13, 14, 16, 22, 23, 25, 26], the condition that $f(x,t)$ is 1-periodic in x_i is assumed for problem (P). Without the condition that $f(x,t)$ is 1-periodic in x_i, M. Schechter [20] obtained a nontrivial ground solution.

Theorem 1.3 ([20])**.** *Assume that* $0 \in \sigma_{cont}(-\Delta + V)$, (V_1), (f_1), (f_4) *and*
(f_7) *There is a* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$|f(x,t)| \leq C(1 + |t|^{p-1}), p \in (2, 2^*);$$

(f_8) *There exists positive constants* $a, b, \delta > 0$ *such that*

$$2F(x,t) \geq at^2, \ x \in R^N, t \in R$$

and

$$2F(x,t) \le bt^2,\ x \in R^N, |t| \le \delta;$$

are satisfied, then proble (P) has a nontrivial ground solution.

It is easy to show that the condition (f_6') leads to

$$2F(x,t+s) - 2F(x,t) - (2rs - (r-1)^2 t) f(x,t) \ge 0$$

(see[20]). So, M. Schechter promoted the results of [22], [21] and [26]. In [4, 25, 27], the condition (V_2) is assumed and f satisfies various growth conditions, the existence of ground state solutions for problem was proved. It is important to note that the condition (V_2) implies $0 \in \sigma_{cont}(-\Delta + V)$, which is different from the case $0 \notin \sigma_{cont}(-\Delta + V)$. The following theorem are from [25].

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that* (V_1), (V_2), (f_1) *and*
(f_9) $f(x,t)$ *is continuous, 1-periodic in* x_i *and*

$$f(x,t)t - 2F(x,t) > 0,$$

for all $x \in R^N, t \in R \setminus \{0\}$;
(f_{10}) *There exists a* $\gamma_0 > 2$ *such that*

$$\liminf_{t\to 0} \frac{f(x,t)t}{F(x,t)} \ge \gamma_0.$$

uniformly in $x \in R^N$;
(f_{11}) *There exists a* $c_0 > 0$ *such that*

$$\liminf_{t\to \infty} \frac{f(x,t)t - 2F(x,t)}{|t|^\alpha} \ge c_0,$$

uniformly in $x \in R^N$, *where, if* $2^* < \infty$, $\alpha > \mu^* := \frac{2^*\mu(\mu-2)}{2^*\mu - 2^* - \mu}$; *if* $2^* = \infty$, $\alpha > \frac{\mu(\mu-2)}{\mu-1}$; *then (P) has a nontrivial solution.*

It is easy to see that the conditions which Theorem 1.1 needs are different form the conditions of Theorem 1.4. In Theorem 1.4, they need assume that $f(x,t)$ is 1-periodic in x_i, but we don't have to impose this assumption on f in Theorem 1.1. Moreover, the following example can be used to explain that our result is very meaningful.

Example 1.1. *Choose* $\mu = \frac{5}{2}$, $N = 100$, $f(x,t) = |t|^{\mu-2}t$, *then* $\mu^* = 4.54$, $\alpha > 4.54$, *then we get*

$$\liminf_{t\to \infty} \frac{f(x,t)t - 2F(x,t)}{|t|^\alpha} = 0.$$

So, the function $f(x,t)$ *does not satisfy* (f_{11}), *but satisfies the condition* (f_1) *and* (f_2).

2 Main results and its proofs

Let $(\hat{E}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a reflexive Banach space with an orthogonal decomposition $\hat{E} = Y \oplus Z$ and suppose that Y have Schauder basis $\{e_1, e_2, \cdots\}$. For $u = y + z \in \hat{E}, y \in Y, z \in Z$ and

$y = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j(y)e_j$, we define a new norm on $\hat{E}$,

$$|||u||| := \max\left\{\|z\|, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j}|c_j(y)|\right\},$$

The topology generated by $|||\cdot|||$ will be denoted by τ. Assume that $T > 0, R > r > 0, z_0 \in Z$ and $\|z_0\| = 1$, and set

(1) $M := \{u = y + sz_0 : \|u\| \le R, s \ge 0, y \in Y\}$,
(2) $N := \{u \in Z : \|u\| = r\}$,
(3) $\Gamma := h : [0,T] \times M \to \hat{E}$ is τ continuous(i.e., with respect to the norm $|||\cdot|||$). For each $(s_0, u_0) \in [0,T] \times M$, in $\hat{E}$, there exists a neighborhood $\mathcal{N}(s_0, u_0)$ of (s_0, u_0) such that

$$\{u - h(s,u) : (s,u) \in \mathcal{N}(s_0,u_0) \cap ([0,T] \times M)\}$$

is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace of $\hat{E}$. Furthermore, $h(0,u) = u, \Phi(h(s,u)) \le \Phi(u)$ for each $(s,u) \in [0,T] \times M$.

Obviously, the identity $h(s,u) = u \in \Gamma$ holds.

Proposition 2.1 (See [25]). *Let $\Phi \in (\hat{E}, R)$ be such that*

(1) *Φ is τ-upper semicontinuous and Φ' is weakly sequentially continuous (i.e., if $u_n \rightharpoonup u$, $\Phi'(u_n) \rightharpoonup \Phi'(u)$);*
(2) *$b := \inf_{u\in N} \Phi(u) > 0, \sup_{u\in\partial M} \Phi(u) = 0, d := \sup_{u\in M} \Phi(u) < \infty$.*

Then there exists a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset \hat{E}$ such that

$$\Phi'(u_n) \to 0, \Phi(u_n) \to c_0,$$

where $c_0 = \inf_{h\in\Gamma} \sup_{u\in M} \Phi(h(T,u)) \in [b, \infty)$.

Proposition 2.2 (See[25]). *Let $\hat{E} = Y + Z$ be a reflexive Banach space, $J = [1,2]$, $\Phi \in C^1(\hat{E}, R)$. For a family of functionals $\{\Phi_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in[1,2]} \subset C(\hat{E}, R)$ with $\Phi_1 = \Phi$ which has the form*

$$\Phi_\lambda(u) = A(u) - \lambda B(u), \lambda \in [1,2],$$

we assume that

(1) $B(u) \ge 0$, for each $u \in \hat{E}$,
(2) When $\|u\| \to \infty$, $A(u) \to \infty$ or $B(u) \to \infty$;
(3) Φ_λ is τ-upper semicontinuous, Φ'_λ is weakly sequentially continuous;
(4) There exist $R > r > 0, b > 0, d > 0, z_0 \in Z$ and $\|z_0\| = 1$ such that

$$\Phi_\lambda|_N \ge b > 0 = \sup_{\partial M} \quad \sup_M \Phi_\lambda \le d < \infty, \ \forall \lambda \in [1,2],$$

where,

$$N := \{u \in Z : \|u\| = r\},$$
$$M := \{u = y + sz_0 : y \in Y, \|u\| \le R, s \ge 0\}.$$

Then for almost all $\lambda \in [1,2]$, there exists a bounded sequence $\{u_n\}$ such that $\Phi'_\lambda(u_n) \to 0$, $\Phi_\lambda(u_n) \to C_\lambda$ and

$$d \ge C_\lambda := \inf_{h\in\Gamma} \sup_{u\in M} \Phi_\lambda(h(T,u)) \ge b > 0.$$

Let $X := H^1(R^N)$ be a usual Hilbert space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ which can induce the inner product $\langle u, v\rangle = \int_{R^N}(\nabla u \nabla v + uv)dx$. Then define the functional with respect to problem

(P) as follow

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{R^N}(|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2)dx - \int_{R^N} F(x,u)dx. \tag{2.1}$$

One easily prove that the critical points of $\Phi \in C^1(H^1(R^N), R)$ correspond to the solutions of problem (P). According to the condition (V_2), corresponding to the decomposition of $\sigma_{cont}(-\Delta+V)\cap(-\infty,0]$ and $\sigma_{cont}(-\Delta+V)\cap[\beta,\infty)$, X can be expressed as $X = X^- + X^+$. If we consider a new norm on $X^{\pm}$

$$\|u\|_E^2 = \|u^+\|_E^2 + \|u^-\|_E^2,$$

$$\|u^{\pm}\|_E^2 := \int_{R^N}(|\nabla u^{\pm}|^2 + V(x)|u^{\pm}|^2)dx, u = u^+ + u^-, u^{\pm} \in X^{\pm},$$

then the functional Φ can be denoted by

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u^+\|_E^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|u^-\|_E^2 - \int_{R^N} F(x,u)dx, u = u^+ + u^-, u^{\pm} \in X^{\pm}$$

Since $0 \in \sigma_{cont}(-\Delta + V)$, the norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ is not equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|$, so we let E be the completion of $H^1(R^N)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_E$. One easily know E is a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle u, v\rangle_E = \langle |A|^{\frac{1}{2}}u, |A|^{\frac{1}{2}}v\rangle_{L^2}$ (see [4]), where $A := -\Delta + V$, $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{L^2}$ is the usual inner product of $H := L^2(R^N)$. Corresponding to the decomposition of $\sigma(A)$, we have the orthogonal decomposition $E = E^- \oplus E^+$. Let $(P_\lambda : H \to H)_{\lambda\in R}$ denote the spectrum of the operator A, and $H^- := P_0 H$, $H^+ := (id - P_0)H$, then $H = H^- \oplus H^+$ and $H^- \subset E^-$. By the condition (V_2), the norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ is equivalent to H^1 norm on E^+ and $E^+ = H^1(R^N) \cap H^+$, but this is not true on E^-. So $H^1(R^N) \cap H^- = H^-$is not complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|_E$.

As in [4], we can make a new norm

$$\|u\|_\mu := (\|u^+\|_E^2 + |u^-|_\mu^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let E_μ^- be a complete space of H^- with respect to $\|u\|_\mu$, then $E_\mu := E_\mu^- \oplus E^+$ is a complete space of $H^1(R^N)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_\mu$, furthermore E_μ is a reflexive Banach space. So, we easily obtain $H^1(R^N) \subset E_\mu \subset E$. $\|\cdot\|_\mu$, $\|\cdot\|_E$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H^1}$ are equivalent on E_μ^+. So , based above discussion , we get $E_\mu^- \subset E^-$ and $\langle u, v\rangle_E = 0$ for all $u \in E_\mu^-, v \in E^+$.

Lemma 2.1 ([4]). *E_μ^- embeds continuously into $H^2_{loc}(R^N)$, hence compactly into $L^t_{loc}(R^N)$, for $2 \le t < 2^*$. Moreover, E_μ^- embeds continuously into $L^t(R^N)$, for $\mu \le t \le 2^*$. Au $\in$ $L^2(R^N)$, for $u \in E^\mu$. On the other hand,if $u \in E_\mu$ satisfies $-\Delta u + V(x)u = f(x,u)$, then $u(x) \to 0$, as $|x| \to \infty$.*

Next, using Lemma 2.1, we will seek the critical points of the Φ in E_μ. We need some auxiliary results. For $u = u^- + u^+ \in E_\mu = E_\mu^+ \oplus E^+$, consider

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u^+\|_E^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|u^-\|_E^2 - \int_{R^N} F(x,u)dx.$$

From Lemma 2.1 and the condition (V_2), one has $\Phi \in C^1(E_\mu, R)$. If we assume that

$$\Phi_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2}\|u^+\|_E^2 - \lambda\left(\frac{1}{2}\|u^-\|_E^2 + \int_{R^N} F(x,u)dx\right) := A(u) - \lambda B(u), 1 \le \lambda \le 2,$$

then $\Phi_1 \equiv \Phi$ and $B(u) \geq 0$. Since $F(x,u) = \int_0^1 f(x,su)uds$, the condition (f_1) leads to

$$\frac{c_1}{\mu}|u|^\mu \leq F(x,u) \leq \frac{c_2}{\mu}|u|^\mu.$$

So, $A(u) \to \infty$ or $B(u) \to \infty$, as $\|u\|_\mu^2 = \|u^+\|_E^2 + \|u^-\|_E^2 + |u|_\mu^2 \to +\infty$.

Lemma 2.2 (See [4]). *Under the condition (f_1), Φ_λ is τ-upper semicontinuous, Φ'_λ is weakly sequentially continuous.*

Lemma 2.3 (See [20]). *Under the condition (f_1), there exist two constants $b, r > 0$ such that*

$$\inf\{\Phi_\lambda(u) | u \in E^+, \|u\|_\mu = r\} \geq b > 0, \forall \lambda \in [1,2].$$

Lemma 2.4 (See [20]). *Under the condition (f_1), there exist three constants $R > r > 0, d > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{\partial M} \Phi_\lambda = 0 \quad \sup_{M} \Phi_\lambda < d < \infty, \forall \lambda \in [1,2],$$

where,

$$M := \{u = y + sz_0 : y \in E_\mu^-, \|u\|_\mu \leq R, s \geq 0\}, \ z_0 \in E^+, \ \|z_0\|_\mu = 1.$$

Combining Lemma 2.2–2.4 and Proposition 2.2, we obtain the following result,

Corollary 2.1 ([20]). *Under the conditions (V_1), (V_2), and (f_1), for each $\lambda \in [1,2]$, there exists $\{u_n\} \subset E_\mu$ such that $\sup \|u_n\|_\mu < \infty$, $\Phi'_\lambda(u_n) \to 0$ and $\Phi_\lambda(u_n) \to c_\lambda \in [b,d]$.*

Lemma 2.5. *Assume that (V_1), (V_2), (f_1) are satisfied and $\lambda \in [1,2]$. If there is a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E_\mu$ satisfying*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \Phi_\lambda(u_n) \to c_\lambda \in [b,d] \text{ and } \lim_{n\to\infty} \Phi'_\lambda(u_n) = 0,$$

then there exists $u_\lambda \neq 0$ such that $\Phi'_\lambda(u_\lambda) = 0, \Phi_\lambda(u_\lambda) = c_\lambda \leq d$

Proof. Write $u_n = u_n^+ + u_n^-$, where $u_n^+ \in E^+, u_n^- \in E_\mu^-$. Since $\sup \|u_n\|_\mu < \infty$, $\sup \|u_n^+\|_E < \infty$. So there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ which we still denote by $\{u_n\}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &u_n \rightharpoonup u_\lambda, \text{in } E_\mu \ , \\ &u_n \to u_\lambda, \text{in } L^t_{loc}(R^N), \\ &u_n \to u_\lambda \text{ a.e. } R^N. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\psi \in C_0^\infty(R^N)$, we have

$$(\Phi'_\lambda(u_n), \psi) = \langle u_n^+, \psi\rangle_E - \lambda\langle u_n^-, \psi\rangle_E - \lambda \int_{R^N} f(x,u_n)\psi dx \to 0. \tag{2.2}$$

So this implies $\Phi'_\lambda(u_\lambda) = 0$.

Choose $\psi = \hat{u}_n := u_n^+ - u_n^-$, and plug it into (2.2). Then

$$(\Phi'_\lambda(u_n), \hat{u}_n) = \langle u_n^+, \hat{u}_n\rangle_E - \lambda\langle u_n^-, \hat{u}_n\rangle_E - \lambda \int_{R^N} f(x,u_n)\hat{u}_n dx \to 0, \tag{2.3}$$

Therefore,

$$\langle u_n^+, u_n^+\rangle_E + \lambda\langle u_n^-, u_n^-\rangle_E = \lambda \int_{R^N} f(x,u_n)\hat{u}_n dx + o(1). \tag{2.4}$$

Furthermore,

$$\langle u_n^+, u_n^+\rangle_E + \lambda\langle u_n^-, u_n^-\rangle_E + |u_n|_\mu^2 = \lambda\int_{R^N} f(x,u_n)\hat{u}_n dx + |u_n|_\mu^2 + o(1). \tag{2.5}$$

Using similar argument in [19, p.64–p.65], we easily know that $u_n \to u_\lambda$ in E_μ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_\lambda(u_\lambda) &= \Phi_\lambda(u_\lambda) - \frac{1}{2}\Phi'_\lambda(u_\lambda)u_\lambda \\
&= \lambda\int_{R^N}\left(\frac{1}{2}f(x,u_\lambda)u_\lambda - F(x,u_\lambda)\right)dx \\
&= \lambda\int_{R^N}\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2}f(x,u_n)u_n - F(x,u_n)\right)dx \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\Phi_\lambda(u_n) - \frac{1}{2}\Phi'_\lambda(u_n)u_n\right) \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty}\Phi_\lambda(u_n) = c_\lambda \in [c,d].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 2.6. *For each $\lambda \in [1,2]$, there exist two sequences $\lambda_n \to \lambda$ and $\{u_n\} \subset E_\mu$ such that $\Phi'_{\lambda_n}(u_n) = 0, \Phi_{\lambda_n}(u_n) = c_{\lambda_n} \in [c,d]$.*

Proof. Apply Lemma 2.5. □

Lemma 2.7.

$$\int_{R^N}\left[F(x,u) - F(x,ru^+) + \left(r^2u^+ - \frac{1+r^2}{2}u\right)f(x,u)\right] \le C,$$

$$u \in E_\mu, u^+ \in E^+, r \in [0,1], \|u^+\|_E \le \|u\|_{E_\mu},$$

where the constant C does not depend on u, u^+, r.

Proof. This follows from (1.2) if we choose $t = u$ and $s = ru^+ - u$. □

Lemma 2.8. *For each $\lambda \in [1,2]$, if u satisfy $\Phi'_\lambda(u) = 0$, then there exists a constant C which does not depend on u, λ such that*

$$\Phi_\lambda(ru^+) - \Phi_\lambda(u) \le C$$

for all $r \in [0,1]$, where u^+ is the projection of u onto E^+.

Proof. For the reader's convenience, we repeat the proof which is given in [20].

For such u

$$(\Phi'_\lambda, \psi) = \langle u^+, \psi\rangle_E - \lambda\langle u^-, \psi\rangle_E - \lambda\int_{R^N} f(x,u)\psi dx = 0$$

for all $\psi \in E_\mu$. Set

$$\psi = \psi^+ + \psi^-, \psi^+ \in E^+, \psi^- \in E_\mu^-,$$

and

$$u = u^+ + u^-, u \in E^+, u \in E_\mu^-.$$

So we have

$$\Phi_\lambda(ru^+) - \Phi_\lambda(u) = \left(\frac{1}{2}r^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right)\|u^+\|_E^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2}\|u^-\|_E^2 + \langle u^+, \psi^+\rangle_E - \lambda\langle u^-, \psi^-\rangle_E + \lambda\int_{R^N}[F(x,u) - F(x,ru^+) - f(x,u)\psi]dx. \tag{2.7}$$

Taking $\psi = \frac{1}{2}(r^2+1)u^- - \frac{1}{2}(r^2-1)u^+ = \frac{1}{2}(r^2+1)u - r^2u^+$ and substituting ψ into (2.7), from Lemma 2.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_\lambda(ru^+) - \Phi_\lambda(u) &= -\frac{1}{2}\lambda r^2\|u^-\|_E^2 \\ &\quad + \lambda\int_{R^N}[F(x,u) - F(x,ru^+) - (\frac{1}{2}(r^2+1)u - r^2u^+)f(x,u)]dx \\ &\le C.\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

□

Lemma 2.9. *The sequence given in Lemma 2.6 is bounded.*

Proof. Set $u_n = u_n^+ + u_n^-, u_n \in E^+, u_n \in E_\mu$. Since $\Phi'_{\lambda_n}(u_n)\cdot u_n = 0$, one has

$$\|u_n^+\|_E^2 - \lambda_n\|u_n^+\|_E^2 = \lambda_n\int_{R^n} f(x,u_n)u_n dx \ge c|z_n|_\mu^\mu.$$

This shows that $\|u_n^-\|_E \le c\|u_n^+\|_E$, $|u_n|_\mu^\mu \le c\|u_n^+\|_E^2$, $|u_n^-|_\mu \le c\|u_n^+\|_E + c\|u_n^+\|_E^{2/\mu}$, So we only need to show that the sequence $\{\|u_n^+\|_E\}$ is bounded. Let $\rho_n = \|u_n^+\|_E \to \infty$ and $\tilde{u}_n^+ = u_n^+/\rho_n$, then $\|\tilde{u}_n^+\|_E = 1$. So there exists a subsequence of $\tilde{u}_n^+$ which we still denote by $\tilde{u}_n^+$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{u}_n^+ \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}^+, \text{ in } E,\\ &\tilde{u}_n^+ \to \tilde{u}^+, \text{ in } L^2_{loc}(R^N),\\ &\tilde{u}_n^+ \to \tilde{u}^+, \text{ a.e. } R^N.\end{aligned}$$

Let c be a fixed constant and take $r_n = c/\rho_n \to 0$. Then by Lemma 2.8

$$\Phi_{\lambda_n}(r_n u_n^+) - \Phi_{\lambda_n}(u_n) \le C,$$

we get

$$\Phi_{\lambda_n}(c\tilde{u}_n^+) \le C'. \tag{2.9}$$

Using similar argument in [19, p.64–p.65], we easily know that

$$\Phi_{\lambda_n}(c\tilde{u}_n^+) = \frac{1}{2}c^2 - \lambda_n\int_{R^N}F(x,c\tilde{u}_n^+)dx \to \frac{1}{2}c^2 - \lambda\int_{R^N}F(x,c\tilde{u}^+)dx \ge \frac{1}{2}c^2 - C''. \tag{2.10}$$

This implies that

$$\Phi_{\lambda_n}(c\tilde{u}_n^+) \to \infty \text{ as } c\to\infty,$$

contrary to (2.9), Hence the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 2.6, there are two sequences $1 < \lambda_n \to 1$ and $\{u_n\} \subset E_\mu$ such that $\Phi'_{\lambda_n}(u_n) = 0, \Phi_{\lambda_n}(u_n) = c_{\lambda_n} \le d$. By Lemma 2.9, the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded

in E_μ. So there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ which we still denote by $\{u_n\}$) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &u_n \rightharpoonup u, \text{in } E_\mu \ , \\ &u_n \to u, \text{in } L^t_{loc}(R^N), \\ &u_n \to u \text{ a.e. } R^N. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\psi \in C_0^\infty(R^N)$, one has

$$(\Phi'_{\lambda_n}(u_n), \psi) = \langle u_n^+, \psi\rangle_E - \lambda_n \langle u_n^-, \psi\rangle_E - \lambda_n \int_{R^N} f(x, u_n)\psi dx \to 0 \tag{2.11}$$

This implies that $\Phi'(u_\lambda) = 0$.

Taking $\psi = \hat{u}_n := u_n^+ - u_n^-$, substituting ψ into (2.11), we have

$$(\Phi'_{\lambda_n}(u_n), \hat{u}_n) = \langle u_n^+, \hat{u}_n\rangle_E - \lambda_n \langle u_n^-, \hat{u}_n\rangle_E - \lambda_n \int_{R^N} f(x, u_n)\hat{u}_n dx \to 0. \tag{2.12}$$

Therefore,

$$\langle u_n^+, u_n^+\rangle_E + \lambda_n \langle u_n^-, u_n^-\rangle_E = \lambda_n \int_{R^N} f(x, u_n)\hat{u}_n dx + o(1). \tag{2.13}$$

Moreover,

$$\langle u_n^+, u_n^+\rangle_E + \lambda_n \langle u_n^-, u_n^-\rangle_E + |u_n|^2_\mu = \lambda_n \int_{R^N} f(x, u_n)\hat{u}_n dx + |u_n|^2_\mu + o(1). \tag{2.14}$$

Using similar argument in [19, p.64–p.65], we easily know that $u_n \to u$ in E_μ and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < c(1) = \Phi(u) &= \Phi(u) - \frac{1}{2}\Phi'(u)u \\ &= \int_{R^N} \left(\frac{1}{2}f(x, u)u - F(x, u)\right) dx \\ &= \int_{R^N} \lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n \left(\frac{1}{2}f(x, u_n)u_n - F(x, u_n)\right) dx \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\Phi_{\lambda_n}(u_n) - \frac{1}{2}\Phi'_{\lambda_n}(u_n)u_n\right) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \Phi_{\lambda_n}(u_n) \le d. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

So, $u \neq 0$.

Now if M denotes the collection of solutions of problem (P), we will show that the energy functional Φ (see (2.1)) has a notrivial minimizer over M. Firstly, $M \neq \emptyset$. Let

$$\alpha = \inf_M \Phi.$$

If u is a solution of problem (P), then

$$\Phi(u) = \Phi(u) - \frac{1}{2}\Phi'(u)u = \int_{R^N} \left(\frac{1}{2}f(x, u)u - F(x, u)\right) dx \ge -\frac{1}{2}\int_{R^N} W(x)dx := A. \tag{2.16}$$

So, $\alpha > -\infty$. Suppose that there is a sequence $\{u_n\}$ of M such that

$$\Phi(u_n) \to \alpha.$$

By Lemma 2.9, the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in E_μ. So there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ which we still denote by $\{u_n\}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &u_n \rightharpoonup u, \text{in } E_\mu, \\ &u_n \to u, \text{in } L^t_{loc}(R^N), \\ &u_n \to u \text{ a.e. } R^N. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\psi \in C_0^\infty(R^N)$, one has

$$(\Phi'(u_n), \psi) = \langle u_n^+, \psi\rangle_E - \langle u_n^-, \psi\rangle_E - \int_{R^N} f(x, u_n)\psi dx \to 0, \tag{2.17}$$

This implies $\Phi'(u_\lambda) = 0$.

Taking $\psi = \hat{u}_n := u_n^+ - u_n^-$, substituting ψ into (2.17), we have

$$(\Phi'(u_n), \hat{u}_n) = \langle u_n^+, \hat{u}_n\rangle_E - \langle u_n^-, \hat{u}_n\rangle_E - \int_{R^N} f(x, u_n)\hat{u}_n dx \to 0, \tag{2.18}$$

Therefore,

$$\langle u_n^+, u_n^+\rangle_E + \langle u_n^-, u_n^-\rangle_E = \int_{R^N} f(x, u_n)\hat{u}_n dx + o(1). \tag{2.19}$$

Moreover,

$$\langle u_n^+, u_n^+\rangle_E + \langle u_n^-, u_n^-\rangle_E + |u_n|_\mu^2 = \int_{R^N} f(x, u_n)\hat{u}_n dx + |u_n|_\mu^2 + o(1). \tag{2.20}$$

Using similar argument in [19, p.64–p.65], we easily know that $u_n \to u$ in E_μ and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha = \Phi(u) &= \Phi(u) - \frac{1}{2}\Phi'(u)u \\ &= \int_{R^N} \left(\frac{1}{2}f(x, u)u - F(x, u)\right) dx \\ &= \int_{R^N} \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}f(x, u_n)u_n - F(x, u_n)\right) dx \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\Phi(u_n) - \frac{1}{2}\Phi'(u_n)u_n\right) \\ &= \lim_{n\to\infty} \Phi(u_n). \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

Next we will show that $u \neq 0$. Since

$$(\Phi'(u_n), u_n^+) = \|u_n^+\|_E^2 - \int_{R^N} f(x, u_n)u_n^+ dx \to 0, \tag{2.22}$$

the condition (f_2) implies that there is a constant C_1 such that

$$\|u_n^+\|_E^2 \leq \left|\int_{R^N} f(x, u_n)u_n^+ dx\right| \leq C_1|u_n|_\mu^{\mu-1}|u_n^+|_\mu.$$

Similarly, since

$$(\Phi'(u_n), u_n^-) = -\|u_n^-\|_E^2 - \int_{R^N} f(x, u_n)u_n^- dx \to 0, \tag{2.23}$$

the condition (f_2) implies that there is a constant C_2 such that

$$\|u_n^-\|_E^2 + |u_n|_\mu^2 \le \left| \int_{R^N} f(x,u_n)u_n^- dx \right| + |u_n|_\mu^2 \le C_2 |u_n|_\mu^{\mu-1} |u_n^-|_\mu + |u_n|_\mu^2.$$

Consequently, by

$$\|u_n\|_{E_\mu}^2 \le (C_1+C_2)|u_n|_\mu^{\mu-1}|u_n|_\mu + |u_n|_\mu^2 \le (C_1+C_2)\|u_n\|_{E_\mu}^\mu + \|u_n\|_{E_\mu}^2,$$

there exists a $c>0$ such that $\|u_n\|_\mu \ge c$. Since $u_n \to u$ in E_μ, $u \ne 0$. □

References

[1] N. Ackermann, On a periodic Schrödinger equation with nonlocal superlinear part, Math. Z. 248 (2004) 423–443.

[2] S. Alama, Y.Y. Li, Existence of solutions for semilinear elliptic equations with indefinite linear part, J. Diff. Equations 96 (1992) 89–115.

[3] S. Alama, Y.Y. Li, On "multibump"bound states for certain semilinear elliptic equations, Indiana Univ.Math. J. 41 (1992) 983–1026.

[4] T. Bartsch, Y. Ding, On a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with periodic potential, Math. Ann., 313 (1) (1999) 15–37.

[5] B. Buffoni, L. Jeanjean, C.A. Stuart, Existence of nontrivial solutions to a strongly indefinite semilinear equation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 119 (1993) 179–186.

[6] J. Chabrowski, A. Szulkin, On a semilinear Schrödinger equation with critical Sobolev exponent, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 130 (2002) 85–93.

[7] J. Chabrowski, J. Yang, On Schrödinger equation with periodic potential and critical Sobolev exponent. Topol. Meth. Nonl. Anal. 12 (1998) 245–261.

[8] D.G. Costa, H. Tehrani, On a class of asymptotically linear elliptic problems in R^n, J. Differential Equations 173 (2001) 470–494.

[9] V. Coti-Zelati, P. H. Rabinowitz, Homoclinic type solutions for a semilinear elliptic PDE on R^n, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 45 (1992) 1217–1269.

[10] Y.H. Ding, S. J. Li, Some existence results of solutions for the semilinear elliptic equations on R^N, J. Differential Equations 119 (1995) 401–425.

[11] Y.H. Ding, S.X. Luan, Multiple solutions for a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, J. Differential Equations 207 (2004) 423–457.

[12] H.P. Heinz, T. Küpper, C.A. Stuart, Existence and bifurcation of solutions for nonlinear perturbations of the periodic Schrödinger equation, J. Differential Equations 100 (1992) 341–354.

[13] L. Jeanjean, Solutions in spectral gaps for a nonlinear equation of Schrödinger type, J. Differential Equations 112 (1994) 53–80.

[14] W. Kryszewski, A. Szulkin, Generalized linking theorem with an application to a semilinear Schrödinger equation, Advances Diff. Equations 3 (1998) 441–472.

[15] G. Li, A. Szulkin, An asymptotically periodic Schrödinger equation with indefinite linear part, Comm. Contemp. Math. 4 (2002) 763–776.

[16] S.B. Liu, On superlinear Schröinger equations with periodic potential, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 45 (2012) 1–9.

[17] A. Pankov, Periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with application to photonic crystals, Milan J. Math. 73 (2005) 259–287.

[18] M. Reed, B. Simon, Methods of modern mathematical physics, vol. IV, Academic Press, 1978.

[19] M. Schechter, Linking Methods in Critical Point Theory, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1999.

[20] M. Schechter, Superlinear Schrödinger operators. J. Funct. Anal., 262 (6) (2012) 2677–2694.

[21] M. Schechter, W. Zou, Weak linking theorems and Schrödinger equations with critical Sobolev exponent, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. 9 (2003) 601–619 (electronic).

[22] A. Szulkin, T. Weth, Ground state solutions for some indefinite variational problems, J. Funct. Anal. 257 (12) (2009) 3802–3822.

[23] C. Troestler, M. Willem, Nontrivial solution of a semilinear Schrödinger equation, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 21 (1996) 1431–1449.

[24] F.A. Van Heerden, Homoclinic solutions for a semilinear elliptic equation with an asymptotically linear nonlinearity, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 20 (2004) 431–455.

[25] M. Willem, W. M.Zou, On a Schrödinger equation with periodic potential and spectrum point zero, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 52 (1) (2003) 109–132.

[26] M. Yang, Ground state solutions for a periodic Schrödinger equation with superlinear nonlinearities, Nonlinear Anal. 72 (5) (2010) 2620–2627.

[27] M. Yang, W. Chen, Y. Ding, Solutions for periodic Schrödinger equation with spectrum zero and general superlinear nonlinearities, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 364 (2) (2010) 404–413.