arXiv:1308.2667v1 [math.FA] 10 Aug 2013

Some Paranormed Difference Sequence Spaces of Order m Derived by Generalized Means and Compact Operators

Amit Maji*, P. D. Srivastava †
Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur
Kharagpur 721 302, West Bengal, India

Abstract

We have introduced a new sequence space $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ combining by using generalized means and difference operator of order m. We have shown that the space $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ is complete under some suitable paranorm and it has Schauder basis. Furthermore, the α-, β-, γ- duals of this space is computed and also obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for some matrix transformations from $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ to l_∞, l_1. Finally, we obtained some identities or estimates for the operator norms and the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness of some matrix operators on the BK space $l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})$ by applying the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46A45; 46B15; 46B50.
Keywords: Difference operator; Generalized means; Matrix transformation; Hausdorff measure of noncompactness; Compact operators.

1 Introduction

Let w be the space of all real or complex sequences $x=(x_n)$, $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$. For an infinite matrix A and a sequence space λ, the matrix domain of A, which is denoted by λ_A and defined as $\lambda_A=\{x\in w: Ax\in\lambda\}$ [27]. Basic methods, which are used to determine the topologies, matrix transformations and inclusion relations on sequence spaces can also be applied to study the matrix domain λ_A. In recent times, there is an approach of forming new sequence spaces by using matrix domain of a suitable matrix and characterize the matrix mappings between these sequence spaces.

Let (p_k) be a bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers such that $H=\sup_k p_k$ and $M=\max\{1,H\}$. The linear spaces $c(p), c_0(p)$, $l_\infty(p)$ and $l(p)$ are introduced and studied by Maddox [17], where

$$c(p)=\Big\{x=(x_k)\in w:\lim_{k\to\infty}|x_k-l|^{p_k}=0 \text{ for some scalar } l\Big\},$$
$$c_0(p)=\Big\{x=(x_k)\in w:\lim_{k\to\infty}|x_k|^{p_k}=0\Big\},$$
$$l_\infty(p)=\Big\{x=(x_k)\in w:\sup_k|x_k|^{p_k}<\infty\Big\} \text{ and}$$
$$l(p)=\Big\{x=(x_k)\in w:\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|x_k|^{p_k}<\infty\Big\}.$$

*Corresponding author, e-mail: amit.iitm07@gmail.com
†Author's e-mail: pds@maths.iitkgp.ernet.in

The linear spaces $c(p), c_0(p)$, $l_\infty(p)$ are complete with the paranorm $g(x) = \sup_k |x_k|^{\frac{p_k}{M}}$ if and only if $\inf p_k > 0$ for all k while $l(p)$ is complete with the paranorm $\tilde{g}(x) = \Big(\sum_k |x_k|^{p_k}\Big)^{\frac{1}{M}}$. Recently, several authors introduced new sequence spaces by using matrix domain. For example, Başar et al. [5] studied the space $bs(p) = [l_\infty(p)]_S$, where S is the summation matrix. Altay and Başar [2] studied the sequence spaces $r^t(p)$ and $r^t_\infty(p)$, which consist of all sequences whose Riesz transform are in the spaces $l(p)$ and $l_\infty(p)$ respectively, i.e., $r^t(p) = [l(p)]_{R^t}$ and $r^t_\infty(p) = [l_\infty(p)]_{R^t}$. Altay and Başar also studied the sequence spaces $r^t_c(p) = [c(p)]_{R^t}$ and $r^t_0(p) = [c_0(p)]_{R^t}$ in [3].

Kizmaz first introduced and studied the difference sequence space in [16]. Later on, several authors including Ahmad and Mursaleen [1], Çolak and Et [7], Başar and Altay [3], Polat and Başar [25], Aydin and Başar [4] etc. have introduced and studied new sequence spaces defined by using difference operator.

On the other hand, sequence spaces are also defined by using generalized weighted mean. Some of them can be viewed in Malkowsky and Savaş [20], Altay and Başar [2]. Mursaleen and Noman [24] also introduced a sequence space of generalized means, which includes most of the earlier known sequence spaces. In 2011, Polat et al. [26] first introduced and studied a new sequence space which is generated by combining both the weighted mean and difference operator. Later on, Başarir and Kara [6] generalized the sequence spaces of Polat et al. [26] to an mth-order paranormed difference sequence space $l(u, v, p; \Delta^{(m)})$ defined as

$$l(u, v, p; \Delta^{(m)}) = \Big\{x = (x_n) \in w : \big((G(u, v).\Delta^{(m)}x)_n\big) \in l(p)\Big\},$$

where $p = (p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of strictly positive reals numbers, $u, v \in w$ such that $u_n, v_n \neq 0$ for all n, $\Delta^{(m)} = \Delta^{(m-1)} \circ \Delta^{(1)}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and the matrices $G(u, v) = (g_{nk})$, $\Delta^{(1)} = (\delta_{nk})$ are defined by

$$g_{nk} = \begin{cases} u_n v_k & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > n \end{cases} \qquad \delta_{nk} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq k < n-1 \\ (-1)^{n-k} & \text{if } n-1 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > n. \end{cases}$$

respectively.

The aim of this present paper is to introduce a new sequence space $l(r, s, t, p; \Delta^{(m)})$ defined by using both the generalized means and the difference operator of order m. We investigate some topological properties, the α-, β-, γ- duals, bases of the new sequence space and also we characterize some matrix mappings on the new sequence space. Finally, we obtained some identities or estimates for the operator norms and the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness of some matrix operators on the BK space $l_p(r, s, t; \Delta^{(m)})$ by using the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness.

2 Preliminaries

Let l_∞, c and c_0 be the spaces of all bounded, convergent and null sequences $x = (x_n)$ respectively with norm $\|x\|_\infty = \sup_n |x_n|$. Let bs, cs and l_p be the sequence spaces of all bounded, convergent series and p-absolutely summable series respectively and bv be the space of bounded variation sequences. We denote by $e = (1, 1, \cdots)$ and e_n for the sequence whose n-th term is 1 and others are zero and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, where $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of all natural numbers. A sequence (b_n) in a normed linear space $(X, \|.\|)$ is called a Schauder basis for X if for every $x \in X$ there is a unique sequence of scalars (μ_n) such that

$$\Big\|x - \sum_{n=0}^{k} \mu_n b_n\Big\| \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty,$$

i.e., $x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_n b_n$ [27].

For any subsets U and V of w, the multiplier space $M(U, V)$ of U and V is defined as

$$M(U,V)=\{a=(a_n)\in w : au=(a_nu_n)\in V \text{ for all } u\in U\}.$$

In particular,

$$U^{\alpha}=M(U,l_1),\ U^{\beta}=M(U,cs) \text{ and } U^{\gamma}=M(U,bs)$$

are called the α-, β- and γ- duals of U respectively [19].

Let $A=(a_{nk})_{n,k}$ be an infinite matrix with real or complex entries a_{nk}. We write A_n as the sequence of the n-th row of A, i.e., $A_n=(a_{nk})_k$ for every n. For $x=(x_n)\in w$, the A-transform of x is defined as the sequence $Ax=((Ax)_n)$, where

$$A_n(x)=(Ax)_n=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}a_{nk}x_k,$$

provided the series on the right side converges for each n. For any two sequence spaces U and V, we denote by (U,V), the class of all infinite matrices A that map from U into V. Therefore $A\in(U,V)$ if and only if $Ax=((Ax)_n)\in V$ for all $x\in U$. In other words, $A\in(U,V)$ if and only if $A_n\in U^{\beta}$ for all n [27].

The theory of BK spaces is the most powerful tool in the characterization of matrix transformations between sequence spaces. A sequence space X is called a BK space if it is a Banach space with continuous coordinates $p_n : X\to\mathbb{K}$, where $\mathbb{K}$ denotes the real or complex field and $p_n(x)=x_n$ for all $x=(x_n)\in X$ and each $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$. The space l_1 is a BK space with the usual norm defined by $\|x\|_1=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|x_k|$. An infinite matrix $T=(t_{nk})_{n,k}$ is called a triangle if $t_{nn}\neq 0$ and $t_{nk}=0$ for all $k>n$. Let T be a triangle and X be a BK space. Then X_T is also a BK space with the norm given by $\|x\|_{X_T}=\|Tx\|_X$ for all $x\in X_T$ [27].

3 The paranormed difference sequence space $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$

In this section, we first begin with the notion of generalized means given by Mursaleen et al. [24]. We denote the sets $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}_0$ as

$$\mathcal{U}=\Big\{u=(u_n)\in w : u_n\neq 0 \ \text{ for all } \ n\Big\} \text{ and } \mathcal{U}_0=\Big\{u=(u_n)\in w : u_0\neq 0\Big\}.$$

Let $r,t\in\mathcal{U}$ and $s\in\mathcal{U}_0$. The sequence $y=(y_n)$ of generalized means of a sequence $x=(x_n)$ is defined by

$$y_n=\frac{1}{r_n}\sum_{k=0}^{n}s_{n-k}t_kx_k \qquad (n\in\mathbb{N}_0).$$

The infinite matrix $A(r,s,t)$ of generalized means is defined by

$$(A(r,s,t))_{nk}=\begin{cases}\frac{s_{n-k}t_k}{r_n} & 0\le k\le n,\\ 0 & k>n.\end{cases}$$

Since $A(r,s,t)$ is a triangle, it has a unique inverse and the inverse is also a triangle [15]. Take $D_0^{(s)}=\frac{1}{s_0}$ and

$$D_n^{(s)}=\frac{1}{s_0^{n+1}}\begin{vmatrix} s_1 & s_0 & 0 & 0\cdots & 0\\ s_2 & s_1 & s_0 & 0\cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ s_{n-1} & s_{n-2} & s_{n-3} & s_{n-4}\cdots & s_0\\ s_n & s_{n-1} & s_{n-2} & s_{n-3}\cdots & s_1\end{vmatrix} \qquad \text{for } n=1,2,3,\cdots$$

Then the inverse of $A(r,s,t)$ is the triangle $B=(b_{nk})_{n,k}$, which is defined as

$$b_{nk}=\begin{cases}(-1)^{n-k}\ \frac{D^{(s)}_{n-k}}{t_n}r_k & 0\le k\le n,\\ 0 & k>n.\end{cases}$$

Throughout this paper, we consider $p=(p_k)$ be a bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers such that $H=\sup\limits_k p_k$ and $M=\max\{1,H\}$.

We now introduce the sequence space $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ which is defined as

$$l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})=\Big\{x=(x_n)\in w:((A(r,s,t).\Delta^{(m)})x)_n)\in l(p)\Big\},$$

which is a combination of the generalized means and the difference operator of order m. By using matrix domain, we can write $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})=l(p)_{A(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})}=\{x\in w:A(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})x\in l(p)\}$, where $A(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})=A(r,s,t).\Delta^{(m)}$, product of two triangles $A(r,s,t)$ and $\Delta^{(m)}$. The sequence $y=(y_n)$ is $A(r,s,t).\Delta^{(m)}$-transform of a sequence $x=(x_n)$, i.e.,

$$y_n=\frac{1}{r_n}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\Bigg[\sum_{i=j}^{n}(-1)^{i-j}\binom{m}{i-j}s_{n-i}t_i\Bigg]x_j. \tag{3.1}$$

In particular if $p_k=p$ $(1\le p<\infty)$ then we write the space as $l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})$ instead of $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$.

This sequence space includes many known sequence spaces studied by several authors. For examples,

I. if $r_n=\frac{1}{u_n}$, $t_n=v_n$, $s_n=1\ \forall n$, then the sequence spaces $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ reduces to $l(u,v,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ studied by Başarir and Kara [6] and in particular for $m=1$, the sequence space $l(u,v,p;\Delta)$ introduced by Demiriz and Çakan [10].

II. if $r_n=n+1$, $t_n=1+\alpha^n$, where $0<\alpha<1$, $s_n=1\ \forall\ n$ and $m=1$, then the sequence space $l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})$ reduces to the sequence space $a^{\alpha}_p(\Delta)$ introduced in [9].

III. if $\lambda=(\lambda_k)$ be a strictly increasing sequence of positive real numbers tending to infinity such that $r_n=\lambda_n$ $t_n=\lambda_n-\lambda_{n-1}$, $s_n=1$ and $m=1$ then the space $l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})$ reduces to $l^{\lambda}_p(\Delta^{(m)})$, where the sequence space l^{λ}_p is studied by Mursaleen and Noman [21].

4 Main results

In this section, we begin with some topological results of the newly defined sequence space.

Theorem 4.1. *(a) The sequence space $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ is a complete linear metric space paranormed by $\tilde{h}$ defined as*

$$\tilde{h}(x)=\Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big|\frac{1}{r_n}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\Bigg[\sum_{i=j}^{n}(-1)^{i-j}\binom{m}{i-j}s_{n-i}t_i\Bigg]x_j\Big|^{p_n}\Big)^{\frac{1}{M}}.$$

(b) The sequence space $l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})$, $1\le p<\infty$ is a BK space with the norm given by

$$\|x\|_{l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})}=\|y\|_{l_p},$$

where $y=(y_n)$ is defined in (3.1).

Proof. Let $x,\ y\in l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$. Using Minkowski's inequality

$$\Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big|\frac{1}{r_n}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\Big[\sum_{i=j}^{n}(-1)^{i-j}\binom{m}{i-j}s_{n-i}t_i\Big](x_j+y_j)\Big|^{p_n}\Big)^{\frac{1}{M}}$$
$$\leq \Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big|\frac{1}{r_n}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\Big[\sum_{i=j}^{n}(-1)^{i-j}\binom{m}{i-j}s_{n-i}t_i\Big]x_j\Big|^{p_n}\Big)^{\frac{1}{M}}$$
$$+\Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big|\frac{1}{r_n}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\Big[\sum_{i=j}^{n}(-1)^{i-j}\binom{m}{i-j}s_{n-i}t_i\Big]y_j\Big|^{p_n}\Big)^{\frac{1}{M}}<\infty, \tag{4.1}$$

so we have $x+y\in l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$.
Let α be any scalar. Since $|\alpha|^{p_k}\leq \max\{1,|\alpha|^M\}$ for any scalar α, we have $\tilde{h}(\alpha x)\leq \max\{1,|\alpha|\}\tilde{h}(x)$. Hence $\alpha x\in l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$. It is trivial to show that $\tilde{h}(\theta)=0$, $\tilde{h}(-x)=\tilde{h}(x)$ for all $x\in l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ and subadditivity of $\tilde{h}$, i.e., $\tilde{h}(x+y)\leq \tilde{h}(x)+\tilde{h}(y)$ follows from (4.1).
Next we show that the scalar multiplication is continuous. Let (x^k) be a sequence in $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$, where $x^k=(x_j^k)=(x_0^k,x_1^k,x_2^k,\ldots)\in l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ for each $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\tilde{h}(x^k-x)\to 0$ as $k\to\infty$ and (α_k) be a sequence of scalars such that $\alpha_k\to\alpha$ as $k\to\infty$. Then $\tilde{h}(x^k)$ is bounded that follows from the following inequality

$$\tilde{h}(x^k)\leq \tilde{h}(x)+\tilde{h}(x-x^k).$$

Now consider

$$\tilde{h}(\alpha_k x^k-\alpha x)=\Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big|\frac{1}{r_n}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\Big[\sum_{i=j}^{n}(-1)^{i-j}\binom{m}{i-j}s_{n-i}t_i\Big](\alpha_k x_j^k-\alpha x_j)\Big|^{p_n}\Big)^{\frac{1}{M}}$$
$$=\Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big|\frac{1}{r_n}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\Big[\sum_{i=j}^{n}(-1)^{i-j}\binom{m}{i-j}s_{n-i}t_i\Big]\Big((\alpha_k-\alpha)x_j^k+\alpha(x_j^k-x_j)\Big)\Big|^{p_n}\Big)^{\frac{1}{M}}$$
$$\leq |\alpha_k-\alpha|\tilde{h}(x^k)+|\alpha|\tilde{h}(x^k-x)\to 0 \text{ as } k\to\infty.$$

This shows that the scalar multiplication is continuous. Hence $\tilde{h}$ is a paranorm on the space $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$.
Now we show that the completeness of the space $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ with respect to the paranorm $\tilde{h}$. Let (x^k) be a Cauchy sequence in $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$. So for every $\epsilon>0$ there exists $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\tilde{h}(x^k-x^l)<\tfrac{\epsilon}{2}\quad \text{for all } k,l\geq n_0.$$

Then by definition of $\tilde{h}$, we have for each n

$$\Big|(A(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})x^k)_n-(A(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})x^l)_n\Big|\leq\Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big|(A(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})x^k)_n-(A(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})x^l)_n\Big|^{p_n}\Big)^{\frac{1}{M}}<\frac{\epsilon}{2} \tag{4.2}$$

for all $k,l\geq n_0$, which implies that the sequence $((A(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})x^k)_n)$ is a Cauchy sequence of scalars for each fixed n and hence converges for each n. We write

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}(A(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})x^k)_n=(A(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})x)_n\quad(n\in\mathbb{N}_0).$$

Now taking $l\to\infty$ in (4.2), we obtain

$$\Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big|(A(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})x^k)_n-(A(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})x)_n\Big|^{p_n}\Big)^{\frac{1}{M}}<\epsilon$$

for all $k\geq n_0$. Thus (x^k) converges to x in $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ with respect to $\tilde{h}$.

To show $x \in l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$, we take

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big|\frac{1}{r_n}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\Big[\sum_{i=j}^{n}(-1)^{i-j}\binom{m}{i-j}s_{n-i}t_i\Big]x_j\Big|^{p_n}\Big)^{\frac{1}{M}}\\
&\quad\le\Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big|\frac{1}{r_n}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\Big[\sum_{i=j}^{n}(-1)^{i-j}\binom{m}{i-j}s_{n-i}t_i\Big](x_j-x_j^k)\Big|^{p_n}\Big)^{\frac{1}{M}}\\
&\qquad+\Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big|\frac{1}{r_n}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\Big[\sum_{i=j}^{n}(-1)^{i-j}\binom{m}{i-j}s_{n-i}t_i\Big]x_j^k\Big|^{p_n}\Big)^{\frac{1}{M}}\\
&\quad=\tilde{h}(x-x^k)+\tilde{h}(x^k)<\infty\quad\text{for all } k\ge n_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $x \in l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 4.2. *The sequence space $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ is linearly isomorphic to the space $l(p)$, i.e., $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)}) \cong l(p)$, where $0 < p_k \le H < \infty$ for all k.*

Proof. Here we first show that there exists a bijective linear map from $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ to $l(p)$.
We define a map $T : l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)}) \to l(p)$ by $x \longmapsto Tx = y = (y_n)$, where

$$y_n = \frac{1}{r_n}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\Big[\sum_{i=j}^{n}(-1)^{i-j}\binom{m}{i-j}s_{n-i}t_i\Big]x_j.$$

Since $\Delta^{(m)}$ is a linear operator, so the linearity of T is trivial. It is clear from the definition that $Tx = 0$ implies $x = 0$. Thus T is injective. To prove T is surjective, let $y = (y_n) \in l(p)$. Since $y = (A(r,s,t).\Delta^{(m)})x$, i.e.,

$$x = (A(r,s,t).\Delta^{(m)})^{-1}y = (\Delta^{(m)})^{-1}.A(r,s,t)^{-1}y.$$

So we can get a sequence $x = (x_n)$ as

$$x_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n}\sum_{k=j}^{n}(-1)^{k-j}\binom{m+n-k-1}{n-k}\frac{D_{k-j}^{(s)}}{t_k}r_j y_j, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \tag{4.3}$$

Then

$$\|x\|_{l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})} = \Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big|\frac{1}{r_n}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\Big[\sum_{i=j}^{n}(-1)^{i-j}\binom{m}{i-j}s_{n-i}t_i\Big]x_j\Big|^{p_n}\Big)^{\frac{1}{M}} = \Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|y_n|^{p_n}\Big)^{\frac{1}{M}} = \|y\|_{l(p)} < \infty.$$

Thus $x \in l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ and this shows that T is surjective. Hence T is a linear bijection from $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ to $l(p)$. Also T is norm preserving. So $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)}) \cong l(p)$. This completes the proof. □

Since $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)}) \cong l(p)$, the Schauder basis of the sequence space $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ is the inverse image of the basis of $l(p)$. So, we have the following theorem without proof.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mu_k = (A(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})x)_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. For each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$, define the sequence $b^{(j)} = (b_n^{(j)})_n$ as*

$$b_n^{(j)} = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{k=j}^{n}(-1)^{k-j}\binom{m+n-k-1}{n-k}\frac{D_{k-j}^{(s)}}{t_k}r_j & \text{if } 0 \le j \le n\\ 0 & \text{if } j > n. \end{cases}$$

The sequence $(b^{(j)})_{j=0}^{\infty}$ is a basis for the space $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ and any $x \in l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ has a unique representation of the form

$$x = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mu_j b^{(j)}.$$

Remark 4.1. *In particular, if we choose* $r_n = \frac{1}{u_n}$, $t_n = v_n$, $s_n = 1 \ \forall \ n$, *then the sequence space* $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ *reduces to* $l(u,v,p;\Delta^{(m)})$. *With this choice of* s_n, *we have* $D_0^{(s)} = D_1^{(s)} = 1$ *and* $D_n^{(s)} = 0$ *for* $n \geq 2$. *Then the sequence* $b^{(j)} = (b_n^{(j)})$ *for* $j = 0, 1, \ldots$ *reduces to*

$$b_n^{(j)} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=j}^{j+1} (-1)^{k-j} \binom{m+n-k-1}{n-k} \frac{1}{u_j v_k} & \text{if } \ 0 \leq j \leq n \\ 0 & \text{if } \ j > n. \end{cases}$$

The sequence $(b^{(j)})_{j=0}^{\infty}$ *is the basis for the space* $l(u,v,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ *[6].*

4.1 The α-, β-, γ-dual of $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$

In 1999, K. G. Grosse-Erdmann [14] has characterized the matrix transformations between the sequence spaces of Maddox, namely, $l(p)$, $c(p), c_0(p)$ and $l_\infty(p)$. To compute the α-, β-, γ-duals of $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ and to characterize the classes of matrix mappings on the space, we list the following conditions.

Let L be any natural number, F denotes finite subset of $\mathbb{N}_0$ and α, α_k are complex numbers. Let $p = (p_k)$ be bounded sequence of strictly positive real numbers and $A = (a_{nk})_{n,k}$ be an infinite matrix. We put $K_1 = \{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : p_k \leq 1\}$ and $K_2 = \{k \in \mathbb{N}_0 : p_k > 1\}$ and $p_k' = \frac{p_k}{p_k - 1}$ for $k \in K_2$.

$$\sup_F \sup_{k \in K_1} \Big| \sum_{n \in F} a_{nk} \Big|^{p_k} < \infty \tag{4.4}$$

$$\exists L \ \sup_F \sum_{k \in K_2} \Big| \sum_{n \in F} a_{nk} L^{-1} \Big|^{p_k'} < \infty \tag{4.5}$$

$$\lim_n a_{nk} = 0 \text{ for all } k \tag{4.6}$$

$$\forall L \ \sup_n \sup_{k \in K_1} \Big| a_{nk} L \Big|^{p_k} < \infty \tag{4.7}$$

$$\forall L \ \sup_n \sum_{k \in K_2} \Big| a_{nk} L \Big|^{p_k'} < \infty \tag{4.8}$$

$$\sup_n \sup_{k \in K_1} |a_{nk}|^{p_k} < \infty \tag{4.9}$$

$$\exists L \sup_n \sum_{k \in K_2} \Big| a_{nk} L^{-1} \Big|^{p_k'} < \infty \tag{4.10}$$

$$\exists (\alpha_k) \ \lim_n |a_{nk} - \alpha_k| = 0 \text{ for all } k \tag{4.11}$$

$$\exists (\alpha_k) \ \forall L \ \sup_n \sup_{k \in K_1} \Big(|a_{nk} - \alpha_k| L \Big)^{p_k} < \infty \tag{4.12}$$

$$\exists (\alpha_k) \ \forall L \ \sup_n \sum_{k \in K_2} \big(|a_{nk} - \alpha_k| L \big)^{p_k'} < \infty \tag{4.13}$$

$$\exists L \ \sup_n \sup_{k \in K_1} \Big| a_{nk} L^{-1} \Big|^{p_k} < \infty \tag{4.14}$$

$$\exists L \ \sup_n \sum_{k \in K_2} \Big| a_{nk} L^{-1} \Big|^{p_k'} < \infty \tag{4.15}$$

Lemma 4.1. *[14] (i)* $A \in (l(p), l_1)$ *if and only if* (4.4) *and* (4.5) *hold.*

(ii) $A \in (l(p), c_0)$ *if and only if* (4.6), (4.7) *and* (4.8) *hold.*
(iii) $A \in (l(p), c)$ *if and only if* (4.9), (4.10), (4.11), (4.12) *and* (4.13) *hold.*
(iv) $A \in (l(p), l_\infty)$ *if and only if* (4.14) *and* (4.15) *hold.*

Now we compute the α-, γ-dual of $l(r, s, t, p; \Delta^{(m)})$. Consider the sets

$$H_1(p) = \Big\{a = (a_n) \in w : \sup_F \sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} \Big| \sum_{n\in F} \sum_{k=j}^{n} (-1)^{k-j} \binom{m+n-k-1}{n-k} \frac{D_{k-j}^{(s)}}{t_k} r_j a_n \Big|^{p_j} < \infty \Big\}$$

$$H_2(p) = \bigcup_{L\in\mathbb{N}} \Big\{a = (a_n) \in w : \sup_F \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Big| \sum_{n\in F} \sum_{k=j}^{n} (-1)^{k-j} \binom{m+n-k-1}{n-k} \frac{D_{k-j}^{(s)}}{t_k} r_j a_n L^{-1} \Big|^{p_j'} < \infty \Big\}.$$

Theorem 4.4. (*a*) *If* $p_k > 1$, *then* $[l(r, s, t, p; \Delta^{(m)})]^\alpha = H_2(p)$ *and* $[l(r, s, t, p; \Delta^{(m)})]^\alpha = H_1(p)$ *for* $0 < p_k \leq 1$.

Proof. (*a*) Let $p_k > 1\ \forall k$, $a = (a_n) \in w$, $x \in l(r, s, t, p; \Delta^{(m)})$ and $y \in l(p)$. Then for each n, we have

$$a_n x_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{k=j}^{n} (-1)^{k-j} \binom{m+n-k-1}{n-k} \frac{D_{k-j}^{(s)}}{t_k} r_j a_n y_j = (Cy)_n,$$

where the matrix $C = (c_{nj})_{n,j}$ is defined as

$$c_{nj} = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{k=j}^{n} (-1)^{k-j} \binom{m+n-k-1}{n-k} \frac{D_{k-j}^{(s)}}{t_k} r_j a_n & \text{if } \ 0 \leq j \leq n \\ 0 & \text{if } \ k > n \end{cases}$$

and x_n is given in (4.3). Thus for each $x \in l_p(r, s, t, p; \Delta^{(m)})$, $(a_n x_n)_n \in l_1$ if and only if $(Cy)_n \in l_1$, where $y \in l(p)$. Therefore $a = (a_n) \in [l(r, s, t, p; \Delta^{(m)})]^\alpha$ if and only if $C \in (l(p), l_1)$. By using Lemma 4.1 (*i*), there exists $L \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sup_F \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Big| \sum_{n\in F} \sum_{k=j}^{n} (-1)^{k-j} \binom{m+n-k-1}{n-k} \frac{D_{k-j}^{(s)}}{t_k} r_j a_n L^{-1} \Big|^{p_j'} < \infty.$$

Hence $[l(r, s, t, p; \Delta)]^\alpha = H_2(p)$.
If $0 < p_k \leq 1\ \forall k$, then by using Lemma 4.1 (*i*), we have

$$\sup_F \sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}_0} \Big| \sum_{n\in F} \sum_{k=j}^{n} (-1)^{k-j} \binom{m+n-k-1}{n-k} \frac{D_{k-j}^{(s)}}{t_k} r_j a_n \Big|^{p_j} < \infty.$$

Thus $[l(r, s, t, p; \Delta^{(m)})]^\alpha = H_1(p)$. This completes the proof. □

We consider

$$\Gamma_1(p) = \bigcup_{L\in\mathbb{N}} \Big\{a = (a_k) \in w : \sup_{l\in\mathbb{N}_0} \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} |e_{ln} L^{-1}|^{p_n} < \infty \Big\}$$

$$\Gamma_2(p) = \bigcup_{L\in\mathbb{N}} \Big\{a = (a_k) \in w : \sup_{l\in\mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |e_{ln} L^{-1}|^{p_n'} < \infty \Big\},$$

where the matrix $E = (e_{ln})$ is defined by

$$e_{ln} = \begin{cases} r_n\Big[\dfrac{a_n}{s_0 t_n} + \displaystyle\sum_{k=n}^{n+1}(-1)^{k-n}\frac{D^{(s)}_{k-n}}{t_k}\sum_{j=n+1}^{l}\binom{m+j-k-1}{j-k}a_j + \\ \qquad\qquad \displaystyle\sum_{k=n+2}^{l}(-1)^{k-n}\frac{D^{(s)}_{k-n}}{t_k}\sum_{j=k}^{l}\binom{m+j-k-1}{j-k}a_j\Big] & 0 \le n \le l, \\ 0 & n > l. \end{cases} \tag{4.16}$$

Note: We mean $\sum_{j=n}^{l} = 0$ if $n > l$.

Theorem 4.5. *If $p_k > 1$, then $[l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})]^{\gamma} = \Gamma_2(p)$ and $[l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})]^{\gamma} = \Gamma_1(p)$ if $0 < p_k \le 1$.*

Proof. (a) Let $p_k > 1\ \forall k$, $a = (a_k) \in w$, $x \in l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ and $y \in l(p)$. Then by using (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{l} a_n x_n &= \sum_{n=0}^{l}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\sum_{k=j}^{n}(-1)^{k-j}\binom{m+n-k-1}{n-k}\frac{D^{(s)}_{k-j}}{t_k}r_j a_n y_j \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{l-1}\sum_{j=0}^{n}\sum_{k=j}^{n}(-1)^{k-j}\binom{m+n-k-1}{n-k}\frac{D^{(s)}_{k-j}}{t_k}r_j y_j a_n + \sum_{j=0}^{l}\sum_{k=j}^{l}(-1)^{k-j}\binom{m+l-k-1}{l-k}\frac{D^{(s)}_{k-j}}{t_k}r_j y_j a_l \\
&= \Big[\frac{D^{(s)}_0}{t_0}a_0 + \sum_{k=0}^{1}(-1)^k\frac{D^{(s)}_k}{t_k}\sum_{j=1}^{l}\binom{m+j-k-1}{j-k}a_j + \sum_{k=2}^{l}(-1)^k\frac{D^{(s)}_k}{t_k}\sum_{j=k}^{l}\binom{m+j-k-1}{j-k}a_j\Big]r_0 y_0 \\
&+ \Big[\frac{D^{(s)}_0}{t_1}a_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{2}(-1)^{k-1}\frac{D^{(s)}_{k-1}}{t_k}\sum_{j=2}^{l}\binom{m+j-k-1}{j-k}a_j + \sum_{k=3}^{l}(-1)^{k-1}\frac{D^{(s)}_{k-1}}{t_k}\sum_{j=k}^{l}\binom{m+j-k-1}{j-k}a_j\Big]r_1 y_1 \\
&+ \cdots + \frac{D^{(s)}_0}{t_l}a_l r_l y_l \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{l} r_n\Big[\frac{a_n}{s_0 t_n} + \sum_{k=n}^{n+1}(-1)^{k-n}\frac{D^{(s)}_{k-n}}{t_k}\sum_{j=n+1}^{l}\binom{m+j-k-1}{j-k}a_j \\
&\qquad + \sum_{k=n+2}^{l}(-1)^{k-n}\frac{D^{(s)}_{k-n}}{t_k}\sum_{j=k}^{l}\binom{m+j-k-1}{j-k}a_j\Big]y_n \\
&= (Ey)_l,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

where the matrix E is defined in (4.16).
Thus $a \in \big[l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})\big]^{\gamma}$ if and only if $ax = (a_n x_n) \in bs$, where $x \in l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ if and only if $\Big(\sum_{n=0}^{l} a_n x_n\Big)_l \in l_\infty$, i.e., $(Ey)_l \in l_\infty$, where $y \in l(p)$. Hence by using Lemma 4.1(iv),

$$\sup_{l\in\mathbb{N}_0}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|e_{ln}L^{-1}|^{p'_n} < \infty,$$

for some $L \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\big[l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})\big]^{\gamma} = \Gamma_2(p)$.
If $0 < p_k \le 1\ \forall k$, then using Lemma 4.1 (iv), there exists $L \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sup_{l\in\mathbb{N}_0}\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}|e_{ln}L^{-1}|^{p_n} < \infty.$$

Thus $[l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})]^{\gamma} = \Gamma_1(p)$. This completes the proof. □

To find the β-dual of $l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$, we define the following sets:

$$B_1 = \Big\{a=(a_n)\in w : \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty}\binom{m+j-k-1}{j-k}a_j \text{ exists for all } k\Big\},$$

$$B_2 = \Big\{a=(a_n)\in w : \sum_{k=n+2}^{\infty}(-1)^{k-n}\frac{D_{k-n}^{(s)}}{t_k}\sum_{j=k}^{\infty}\binom{m+j-k-1}{j-k}a_j \text{ exists for all } k\Big\},$$

$$B_3 = \Big\{a=(a_n)\in w : \Big(\frac{r_n a_n}{t_n}\Big)\in l_\infty(p)\Big\},$$

$$B_4 = \bigcup_{L\in\mathbb{N}}\Big\{a=(a_n)\in w : \sup_{l\in\mathbb{N}_0}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big|e_{ln}L^{-1}\Big|^{p_n'}<\infty\Big\},$$

$$B_5 = \Big\{a=(a_n)\in w : \sup_{l,n\in\mathbb{N}_0}|e_{ln}|^{p_n}<\infty\Big\},$$

$$B_6 = \Big\{a=(a_n)\in w : \exists(\alpha_n)\ \lim_{l\to\infty}e_{ln}=\alpha_n\ \forall\ n\Big\},$$

$$B_7 = \bigcap_{L\in\mathbb{N}}\Big\{a=(a_n)\in w : \exists(\alpha_n)\ \sup_{l,n\in\mathbb{N}_0}\Big(|e_{ln}-\alpha_n|L\Big)^{p_n}<\infty\Big\},$$

$$B_8 = \bigcap_{L\in\mathbb{N}}\Big\{a=(a_n)\in w : \exists(\alpha_n)\ \sup_{l\in\mathbb{N}_0}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big(|e_{ln}-\alpha_n|L\Big)^{p_n'}<\infty\Big\},$$

Theorem 4.6. (*a*) *If $p_k>1$ for all k, then $[l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})]^{\beta} = B_1\bigcap B_2\bigcap B_3\bigcap B_4\bigcap B_6\bigcap B_8$ and if $0<p_k\le 1$ for all k, then $[l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})]^{\beta} = B_1\bigcap B_2\bigcap B_3\bigcap B_5\bigcap B_6\bigcap B_7$.*

Proof. (*a*) Let $p_k>1$ for all k. We have from (4.17)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{l}a_n x_n = (Ey)_l,$$

where the matrix E is defined in (4.16). Thus $a\in\big[l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})\big]^{\beta}$ if and only if $ax=(a_nx_n)\in cs$, where $x\in l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$ if and only if $(Ey)_l\in c$, where $y\in l(p)$, i.e., $E\in(l(p),c)$. Hence by Lemma 4.1(*iii*),

$$\exists L\in\mathbb{N}, \sup_{l\in\mathbb{N}_0}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big|e_{ln}L^{-1}\Big|^{p_n'}<\infty,$$
$$\exists(\alpha_n)\ \lim_{l\to\infty}e_{ln}=\alpha_n \text{ for all } n,$$
$$\exists(\alpha_n) \text{ and } \forall L\in\mathbb{N}\ \sup_{l\in\mathbb{N}_0}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\Big(|e_{ln}-\alpha_n|L\Big)^{p_n'}<\infty.$$

Therefore $[l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})]^{\beta} = B_1\bigcap B_2\bigcap B_3\bigcap B_4\bigcap B_6\bigcap B_8$.
If $0<p_k\le 1\ \forall k$, then using Lemma 4.1(*iii*), we have

$$\sup_{l,n\in\mathbb{N}_0}|e_{ln}|^{p_n}<\infty,$$
$$\exists(\alpha_n)\ \lim_{l\to\infty}e_{ln}=\alpha_n\ \forall\ n$$
$$\exists(\alpha_n) \text{ and } \forall L\ \sup_{l,n\in\mathbb{N}_0}\Big(|e_{ln}-\alpha_n|L\Big)^{p_n}<\infty.$$

Thus $[l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})]^{\beta} = B_1\bigcap B_2\bigcap B_3\bigcap B_5\bigcap B_6\bigcap B_7$.

□

4.2 Matrix mappings

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\tilde{E} = (\tilde{e}_{ln})$ be the matrix which is same as the matrix $E = (e_{ln})$ defined in (4.16), where a_n and a_j are replaced by a_{ln} and a_{lj} respectively.*
(a) Let $p_n > 1$ for all n. Then $A \in (l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)}), l_\infty)$ if and only if there exists $L \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sup_l \sum_n \left|\tilde{e}_{ln}L^{-1}\right|^{p_n'} < \infty \quad \text{and } (a_{ln})_n \in B_1 \bigcap B_2 \bigcap B_3 \bigcap B_4 \bigcap B_6 \bigcap B_8.$$

(b) Let $0 < p_n \leq 1$ for all n. Then $A \in (l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)}), l_\infty)$ if and only if

$$\sup_l \sup_n \left|\tilde{e}_{ln}\right|^{p_n} < \infty \quad \text{and } (a_{ln})_n \in B_1 \bigcap B_2 \bigcap B_3 \bigcap B_5 \bigcap B_6 \bigcap B_7.$$

Proof. (a) Let $p_n > 1$ for all n and $A \in (l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)}), l_\infty)$. Then $(a_{ln})_n \in \left[l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})\right]^\beta$ for each fixed l. Thus Ax exists for all $x \in l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$. Now for each l, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{q} a_{ln}x_n = \sum_{n=0}^{q} r_n \Bigg[\frac{a_n}{s_0 t_n} + \sum_{k=n}^{n+1}(-1)^{k-n}\frac{D_{k-n}^{(s)}}{t_k}\sum_{j=n+1}^{l}\binom{m+j-k-1}{j-k}a_j + \sum_{k=n+2}^{l}(-1)^{k-n}\frac{D_{k-n}^{(s)}}{t_k}\sum_{j=k}^{l}\binom{m+j-k-1}{j-k}a_j\Bigg]y_n$$
$$= (Ey)_l,$$

Taking $q \to \infty$, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{ln}x_n = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\tilde{e}_{ln}y_n \quad \text{for all } l.$$

We know that for any $T > 0$ and any complex numbers a, b

$$|ab| \leq T(|aT^{-1}|^{p'} + |b|^p) \tag{4.18}$$

where $p > 1$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. From (4.18), we get

$$\sup_l \left|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{ln}x_n\right| \leq \sup_l \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|\tilde{e}_{ln}\right|\left|y_n\right| \leq T\left[\sup_l \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|\tilde{e}_{ln}T^{-1}|^{p_n'} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}|y_n|^{p_n}\right] < \infty.$$

Thus $Ax \in l_\infty$. This proves that $A \in (l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)}), l_\infty)$.
Conversely, assume that $A \in (l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)}), l_\infty)$ and $p_n > 1$ for all n. Then Ax exists for each $x \in l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})$, which implies that $(a_{ln})_n \in [l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)})]^\beta$ for each l. Thus $(a_{ln})_n \in B_1 \bigcap B_2 \bigcap B_3 \bigcap B_4 \bigcap B_6 \bigcap B_8$. Also from $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{ln}x_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\tilde{e}_{ln}y_n$, we have $\tilde{E} = (\tilde{e}_{ln}) \in (l(p), l_\infty)$. Therefore there exists some $L \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\sup_l \sum_n \left|\tilde{e}_{ln}L^{-1}\right|^{p_n'} < \infty$. This completes the proof.
(b) We omit the proof of this part as it is similar to the previous one. □

Theorem 4.8. *(a) Let $p_n > 1$ for all n, then $A \in (l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)}), l_1)$ if and only if*

$$\sup_F \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{l\in F}\tilde{e}_{ln}L^{-1}\right|^{p_n'} < \infty \quad \text{for some } L \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } (a_{ln})_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} \in B_1 \bigcap B_2 \bigcap B_3 \bigcap B_4 \bigcap B_6 \bigcap B_8.$$

(*b*) *Let* $0 < p_n \leq 1$ *for all* n. *Then* $A \in (l(r,s,t,p;\Delta^{(m)}), l_1)$ *if and only if*

$$\sup_F \sup_n \Big| \sum_{l\in F} \tilde{e}_{ln} \Big|^{p_n} < \infty \text{ and } (a_{ln})_n \in B_1 \bigcap B_2 \bigcap B_3 \bigcap B_5 \bigcap B_6 \bigcap B_7.$$

Proof. We omit the proof as it follows as routine. □

5 Compact operators on the space $l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})$, $1 \leq p < \infty$

As the matrix transformations between BK spaces are continuous, it is natural to find necessary and sufficient conditions for a matrix mapping between BK spaces to be a compact operator. This can be achieved with the help of Hausdorff measure of noncompactness. Here, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for matrix operator to be a compact from the space $l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})$ into c, c_0 and sufficient conditions in case of l_∞.

Recently several authors, namely, Malkowsky and Rakočević [18], Djolović et al. [11], Djolović [13], Mursaleen and Noman ([23], [22]) etc. have established some identities or estimates for the operator norms and the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness of matrix operators from an arbitrary BK space to arbitrary BK space. Let us recall some definitions and well-known results.

Let X, Y be two Banach spaces and S_X denotes the unit sphere in X, i.e., $S_X = \{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(X,Y)$, the set of all bounded (continuous) linear operators $L : X \to Y$, which is a Banach space with the operator norm $\|L\| = \sup_{x\in S_X} \|L(x)\|_Y$ for all $L \in \mathcal{B}(X,Y)$. A linear operator $L : X \to Y$ is said to be compact if the domain of L is all of X and for every bounded sequence $(x_n) \in X$, the sequence $(L(x_n))$ has a subsequence which is convergent in Y. We denote by $\mathcal{C}(X,Y)$, the class of all compact operators in $\mathcal{B}(X,Y)$. An operator $L \in \mathcal{B}(X,Y)$ is said to be finite rank if $\dim R(L) < \infty$, where $R(L)$ is the range space of L. If X is a BK space and $a = (a_k) \in w$, then we consider

$$\|a\|_X^* = \sup_{x\in S_X} \Big| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x_k \Big|, \tag{5.1}$$

provided the expression on the right side exists and is finite which is the case whenever $a \in X^\beta$ [22].
Let (X,d) be a metric space and $\mathcal{M}_X$ be the class of all bounded subsets of X. Let $B(x,r) = \{y \in X : d(x,y) < r\}$ denotes the open ball of radius $r > 0$ with centre at x. The Hausdorff measure of noncompactness of a set $Q \in \mathcal{M}_X$, denoted by $\chi(Q)$, is defined as

$$\chi(Q) = \inf \Big\{ \epsilon > 0 : Q \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^{n} B(x_i, r_i), x_i \in X, r_i < \epsilon, n \in \mathbb{N} \Big\}.$$

The function $\chi : \mathcal{M}_X \to [0,\infty)$ is called the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness. The basic properties of the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness can be found in ([12], [18], [11]). For example if Q, Q_1 and Q_2 are bounded subsets of a metric space (X,d) then

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi(Q) = 0 \text{ if and only if } Q \text{ is totally bounded and} \\ &\text{if } Q_1 \subset Q_2 \text{ then } \chi(Q_1) \leq \chi(Q_2). \end{aligned}$$

Also if X is a normed space, the function χ has some additional properties due to linear structure, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi(Q_1 + Q_2) \leq \chi(Q_1) + \chi(Q_2), \\ &\chi(\alpha Q) = |\alpha|\chi(Q) \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{K}. \end{aligned}$$

Let ϕ denotes the set of all finite sequences, i.e., that terminate in zeros. Throughout we denote p' as the conjugate of p for $1 \leq p < \infty$, i.e., $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ for $p > 1$ and $p' = \infty$ for $p = 1$. The following known results are fundamental for our investigation.

Lemma 5.1. [22] *Let X denote any of the sequence spaces c_0 or l_∞. If $A \in (X, c)$, then we have*

$$(i)\ \alpha_k = \lim_{n\to\infty} a_{nk} \text{ exists for all } k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$
$$(ii)\ \alpha = (\alpha_k) \in l_1,$$
$$(iii)\ \sup_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk} - \alpha_k| < \infty,$$
$$(iv)\ \lim_{n\to\infty} A_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k x_k \text{ for all } x = (x_k) \in X.$$

Lemma 5.2. *(*[18], Theorem 1.29) *Let $1 \le p < \infty$. Then we have $l_p^\beta = l_{p'}$ and $\|a\|_{l_p}^* = \|a\|_{l_{p'}}$ for all $a \in l_{p'}$.*

Lemma 5.3. [22] *Let $X \supset \phi$ and Y be BK spaces. Then we have $(X, Y) \subset \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$, i.e., every matrix $A \in (X, Y)$ defines an operator $L_A \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$, where $L_A(x) = Ax$ for all $x \in X$.*

Lemma 5.4. [13] *Let $X \supset \phi$ be a BK space and Y be any of the spaces c_0, c or l_∞. If $A \in (X, Y)$, then we have*

$$\|L_A\| = \|A\|_{(X,l_\infty)} = \sup_n \|A_n\|_X^* < \infty.$$

Lemma 5.5. [18] *Let $Q \in \mathcal{M}_{c_0}$ and $P_l : c_0 \to c_0$ $(l \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ be the operator defined by $P_l(x) = (x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_l, 0, 0, \cdots)$ for all $x = (x_k) \in c_0$. Then we have*

$$\chi(Q) = \lim_{l\to\infty} \Big(\sup_{x\in Q} \|(I - P_l)(x)\|_\infty \Big),$$

where I is the identity operator on c_0.

Let $z = (z_n) \in c$. Then z has a unique representation $z = \hat{\ell}e + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(z_n - \hat{\ell})e_n$, where $\hat{\ell} = \lim_{n\to\infty} z_n$. We now define the projections P_l $(l \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ from c onto the linear span of $\{e, e_0, e_1, \cdots, e_l\}$ as

$$P_l(z) = \hat{\ell}e + \sum_{n=0}^{l}(z_n - \hat{\ell})e_n,$$

for all $z \in c$ and $\hat{\ell} = \lim_{n\to\infty} z_n$.
Then the following result gives an estimate for the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness in the BK space c.

Lemma 5.6. [18] *Let $Q \in \mathcal{M}_c$ and $P_l : c \to c$ be the projector from c onto the linear span of $\{e, e_0, e_1, \dots e_l\}$. Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{2} \lim_{l\to\infty} \Big(\sup_{x\in Q} \|(I - P_l)(x)\|_\infty \Big) \le \chi(Q) \le \lim_{l\to\infty} \Big(\sup_{x\in Q} \|(I - P_l)(x)\|_\infty \Big),$$

where I is the identity operator on c.

Lemma 5.7. [18] *Let X, Y be two Banach spaces and $L \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$. Then*

$$\|L\|_\chi = \chi(L(S_X))$$

and

$$L \in \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \text{ if and only if } \|L\|_\chi = 0.$$

Theorem 5.1. *[8](Pitt's compactness theorem) Let $1 \le q < p \le \infty$ and put $X_p = l_p$ for $1 < p < \infty$ and $X_\infty = c_0$. Then every bounded linear operator from X_p into l_q is compact.*

We establish the following lemmas which are required to characterize the classes of compact operators with the help of Hausdorff measure of noncompactness.

Lemma 5.8. *If $a=(a_k)\in[l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})]^\beta$ then $\tilde{a}=(\tilde{a}_k)\in l_p^\beta=l_{p'}$ and the equality*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}a_kx_k=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\tilde{a}_ky_k$$

holds for every $x=(x_k)\in l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})$ and $y=(y_k)\in l_p$, where $y=(A(r,s,t).\Delta^{(m)})x$. In addition

$$\tilde{a}_k=r_k\left[\frac{a_k}{s_0t_k}+\sum_{i=k}^{k+1}(-1)^{i-k}\frac{D_{i-k}^{(s)}}{t_i}\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty}\binom{m+j-i-1}{j-i}a_j+\sum_{l=2}^{\infty}(-1)^l\frac{D_l^{(s)}}{t_{l+k}}\sum_{j=k+l}^{\infty}\binom{m+j-k-l-1}{j-k-l}a_j\right]. \tag{5.2}$$

Proof. Let $a=(a_k)\in[l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})]^\beta$. Then by ([19], Theorem 3.2), we have $R(a)=(R_k(a))\in l_p^\beta=l_{p'}$ and also

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}a_kx_k=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}R_k(a)T_k(x)\quad\forall\ x\in l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}R_k(a)&=\sum_{j=k}^{\infty}\sum_{i=k}^{j}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{m+j-i-1}{j-i}\frac{D_{i-k}^{(s)}}{t_i}r_ka_j\\&=\frac{D_0^{(s)}}{t_k}r_ka_k+\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty}\sum_{i=k}^{j}(-1)^{i-k}\binom{m+j-i-1}{j-i}\frac{D_{i-k}^{(s)}}{t_i}r_ka_j\\&=r_k\left[\frac{a_k}{s_0t_k}+\sum_{i=k}^{k+1}(-1)^{i-k}\frac{D_{i-k}^{(s)}}{t_i}\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty}\binom{m+j-i-1}{j-i}a_j+\sum_{l=2}^{\infty}(-1)^l\frac{D_l^{(s)}}{t_{l+k}}\sum_{j=k+l}^{\infty}\binom{m+j-k-l-1}{j-k-l}a_j\right]\end{aligned}$$

and $y=T(x)=(A(r,s,t).\Delta^{(m)})x$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 5.9. *Let $1\le p<\infty$. Then we have*

$$\|a\|^*_{l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})}=\|\tilde{a}\|_{l_{p'}}=\begin{cases}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\tilde{a_k}|^{p'}\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} & 1<p<\infty\\ \sup_k|\tilde{a}_k| & p=1\end{cases}$$

for all $a=(a_k)\in[l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})]^\beta$, where $\tilde{a}=(\tilde{a}_k)$ is defined in (5.2).

Proof. Let $a=(a_k)\in[l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})]^\beta$. Then from Lemma 5.8, we have $\tilde{a}=(\tilde{a}_k)\in l_{p'}$. Also $x\in S_{l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})}$ if and only if $y=T(x)\in S_{l_p}$ as $\|x\|_{l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})}=\|y\|_{l_p}$. From (5.1), we have

$$\|a\|^*_{l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})}=\sup_{x\in S_{l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})}}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}a_kx_k\right|=\sup_{y\in S_{l_p}}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\tilde{a}_ky_k\right|=\|\tilde{a}\|^*_{l_p}.$$

Using Lemma 5.2, we have $\|a\|^*_{l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})}=\|\tilde{a}\|^*_{l_p}=\|\tilde{a}\|_{l_{p'}}$, which is finite as $\tilde{a}\in l_{p'}$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 5.10. *Let Y be any sequence space, $A=(a_{nk})_{n,k}$ be an infinite matrix and $1\le p<\infty$. If $A\in(l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}),Y)$ then $\tilde{A}\in(l_p,Y)$ such that $Ax=\tilde{A}y$ for all $x\in l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})$ and $y\in l_p$, which*

are connected by the relation $y = (A(r,s,t).\Delta^{(m)})x$ *and* $\tilde{A} = (\tilde{a}_{nk})_{n,k}$ *is given by*

$$\tilde{a}_{nk} = r_k\Bigg[\frac{a_{nk}}{s_0 t_k} + \sum_{i=k}^{k+1}(-1)^{i-k}\frac{D_{i-k}^{(s)}}{t_i}\sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty}\binom{m+j-i-1}{j-i}a_{nj} + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty}(-1)^l\frac{D_l^{(s)}}{t_{l+k}}\sum_{j=k+l}^{\infty}\binom{m+j-k-l-1}{j-k-l}a_{nj}\Bigg], \tag{5.3}$$

provided the series on the right side converges for all n, k.

Proof. We assume that $A \in (l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}), Y)$, then $A_n \in [l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})]^\beta$ for all n. Thus it follows from Lemma 5.8, we have $\tilde{A}_n \in l_p^\beta = l_{p'}$ for all n and $Ax = \tilde{A}y$ holds for every $x \in l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})$, $y \in l_p$, which are connected by the relation $y = (A(r,s,t).\Delta^{(m)})x$. Hence $\tilde{A}y \in Y$. Since $x = (\Delta^{(m)})^{-1}(A(r,s,t))^{-1}y$, for every $y \in l_p$, we get some $x \in l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})$ and hence $\tilde{A} \in (l_p, Y)$. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 5.11. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, $A = (a_{nk})_{n,k}$ *be an infinite matrix and* $\tilde{A} = (\tilde{a}_{nk})_{n,k}$ *be the associate matrix defined in (5.3). If* $A \in (l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}), Y)$, *where* $Y \in \{c_0, c, l_\infty\}$, *then*

$$\|L_A\| = \|A\|_{(l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}),l_\infty)} = \sup_n\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\tilde{a}_{nk}|^{p'}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}} < \infty.$$

Proof. We write $X = l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})$. Since X is a BK space, by using Lemma 5.4, we have

$$\|L_A\| = \|A\|_{(X,l_\infty)} = \sup_n \|A_n\|_X^* < \infty.$$

Now from Lemma 5.9, we have

$$\|A_n\|_X^* = \|\tilde{A_n}\|_{l_{p'}} = \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\tilde{a}_{nk}|^{p'}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}},$$

which is finite as $\tilde{A_n} \in l_{p'}$ for all n. This completes the proof. □

Now we give the main results.

Theorem 5.2. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$. *We have*
(*a*) *if* $A \in (l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}), c_0)$ *then*

$$\|L_A\|_\chi = \limsup_{n\to\infty}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\tilde{a}_{nk}|^{p'}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \tag{5.4}$$

(*b*) *if* $A \in (l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}), c)$ *then*

$$\frac{1}{2}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\tilde{a}_{nk}-\tilde{\alpha}_k|^{p'}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \le \|L_A\|_\chi \le \limsup_{n\to\infty}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\tilde{a}_{nk}-\tilde{\alpha}_k|^{p'}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}}, \tag{5.5}$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}_k = \lim_{n\to\infty}\tilde{a}_{nk}$ *for all* k.
(*c*) *if* $A \in (l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}), l_\infty)$ *then*

$$0 \le \|L_A\|_\chi \le \limsup_{n\to\infty}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\tilde{a}_{nk}|^{p'}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}}. \tag{5.6}$$

Proof. (*a*) Let us first observe that the expressions in (5.4) and in (5.6) exist by Lemma 5.11. Also by using the Lemmas 5.10 & 5.1, we can deduce that the expressions in (5.5) exists.
We write $S = S_{l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})}$ in short. Then by Lemma 5.7, we have $\|L_A\|_\chi = \chi(AS)$. Since $l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})$

and c_0 are BK spaces, A induces a continuous map L_A from $l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})$ to c_0 by Lemma 5.3. Thus AS is bounded in c_0, i.e., $AS \in \mathcal{M}_{c_0}$. Now by Lemma 5.5,

$$\chi(AS) = \lim_{l\to\infty}\Big(\sup_{x\in S}\|(I-P_l)(Ax)\|_\infty\Big),$$

where the projection $P_l : c_0 \to c_0$ is defined by $P_l(x) = (x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_l, 0, 0, \cdots)$ for all $x = (x_k) \in c_0$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Therefore $\|(I-P_l)(Ax)\|_\infty = \sup_{n>l}|A_n(x)|$ for all $x \in l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})$. Using (5.1) and Lemma 5.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x\in S}\|(I-P_l)(Ax)\|_\infty &= \sup_{n>l}\|A_n\|^*_{l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})}\\ &= \sup_{n>l}\|\tilde{A_n}\|_{l_{p'}}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\chi(AS) = \lim_{l\to\infty}\Big(\sup_{n>l}\|\tilde{A_n}\|_{l_{p'}}\Big) = \limsup_{n\to\infty}\|\tilde{A_n}\|_{l_{p'}} = \limsup_{n\to\infty}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\tilde{a}_{nk}|^{p'}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}}$. This completes the proof.

(b) We have $AS \in \mathcal{M}_c$. Let $P_l : c \to c$ be the projection from c onto the span of $\{e, e_0, e_1, \cdots, e_l\}$ defined as

$$P_l(z) = \hat{\ell}e + \sum_{k=0}^{l}(z_k - \hat{\ell})e_k,$$

where $\hat{\ell} = \lim_{k\to\infty} z_k$. Thus for every $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$(I-P_l)(z) = \sum_{k=l+1}^{\infty}(z_k - \hat{\ell})e_k.$$

Therefore $\|(I-P_l)(z)\|_\infty = \sup_{k>l}|z_k - \hat{\ell}|$ for all $z = (z_k) \in c$. Applying Lemma 5.6, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\lim_{l\to\infty}\Big(\sup_{x\in S}\|(I-P_l)(Ax)\|_\infty\Big) \le \|L_A\|_\chi \le \lim_{l\to\infty}\Big(\sup_{x\in S}\|(I-P_l)(Ax)\|_\infty\Big). \tag{5.7}$$

Since $A \in (l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}), c)$, we have by Lemma 5.10, $\tilde{A} \in (l_p, c)$ and $Ax = \tilde{A}y$ for every $x \in l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})$ and $y \in l_p$, which are connected by the relation $y = (A(r,s,t).\Delta^{(m)})x$. Using Lemma 5.1, we have $\tilde{\alpha}_k = \lim_{n\to\infty}\tilde{a}_{nk}$ exists for all k, $\tilde{\alpha} = (\tilde{\alpha}_k) \in l_p^\beta = l_{p'}$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty}\tilde{A}_n(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\tilde{\alpha}_k y_k$. Since $\|(I-P_l)(z)\|_\infty = \sup_{k>l}|z_k - \hat{\ell}|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|(I-P_l)(Ax)\|_\infty &= \|(I-P_l)(\tilde{A}y)\|_\infty\\ &= \sup_{n>l}\Big|\tilde{A}_n(y) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\tilde{\alpha}_k y_k\Big|\\ &= \sup_{n>l}\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(\tilde{a}_{nk} - \tilde{\alpha}_k)y_k\Big|.\end{aligned}$$

Also we know that $x \in S = S_{l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}))}$ if and only if $y \in S_{l_p}$. From (5.1) and Lemma 5.2, we

deduce

$$\sup_{x\in S}\|(I-P_l)(Ax)\|_\infty = \sup_{n>l}\Big(\sup_{y\in S_X}\Big|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(\tilde{a}_{nk}-\tilde{\alpha}_k)y_k\Big|\Big)$$
$$= \sup_{n>l}\|\tilde{A}_n-\tilde{\alpha}\|_{l_p}^* = \sup_{n>l}\|\tilde{A}_n-\tilde{\alpha}\|_{l_{p'}}.$$

Hence from (5.7), we have

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\limsup_{n\to\infty}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\tilde{a}_{nk}-\tilde{\alpha}_k|^{p'}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \le \|L_A\|_\chi \le \limsup_{n\to\infty}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\tilde{a}_{nk}-\tilde{\alpha}_k|^{p'}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

(*c*) We first define a projection $P_l : l_\infty \to l_\infty$, as $P_l(x) = (x_0, x_1, \cdots, x_l, 0, 0, \cdots)$ for all $x = (x_k) \in l_\infty$, $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We have

$$AS \subset P_l(AS) + (I-P_l)(AS).$$

By the property of χ, we have

$$0 \le \chi(AS) \le \chi(P_l(AS)) + \chi((I-P_l)(AS))$$
$$= \chi((I-P_l)(AS))$$
$$\le \sup_{x\in S}\|(I-P_l)(Ax)\|_\infty$$
$$= \sup_{n>l}\|\tilde{A}_n\|_{l_{p'}}.$$

Hence

$$0 \le \chi(AS) \le \limsup_{n\to\infty}\|\tilde{A}_n\|_{l_{p'}} = \limsup_{n\to\infty}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\tilde{a}_{nk}|^{p'}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 5.1. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$.

(*a*) *If* $A \in (l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}), c_0)$, *then* L_A *is compact if and only if* $\lim_{n\to\infty}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\tilde{a}_{nk}|^{p'}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}} = 0$

(*b*) *If* $A \in (l_p(r,s,t,\Delta^{(m)}), c)$ *then*

$$L_A \text{ is compact if and only if } \lim_{n\to\infty}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\tilde{a}_{nk}-\tilde{\alpha}_k|^{p'}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}} = 0, \text{ where } \tilde{\alpha}_k = \lim_{n\to\infty}\tilde{a}_{nk} \text{ for all } k.$$

(*c*) *If* $A \in (l_p(r,s,t,\Delta^{(m)}), l_\infty)$ *then* L_A *is compact if* $\lim_{n\to\infty}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\tilde{a}_{nk}|^{p'}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p'}} = 0$.

Proof. The proof is immediate from the Theorem 5.2. □

Theorem 5.3. *Let* $1 \le q < p < \infty$. *If* $A \in (l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}), l_q)$ *then* $\|L_A\|_\chi = 0$.

Proof. Let $1 \le q < p < \infty$. Suppose that $A \in (l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}), l_q)$. Then by Lemma 5.10, we have $\tilde{A} \in (l_p, l_q)$, where $Ax = \tilde{A}y$ for all $x \in l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})$ and $y \in l_p$ and $\tilde{A} = (\tilde{a}_{nk})$ is defined in (5.3). Since l_p, l_q are BK spaces, so $\tilde{A}$ induces a bounded linear operator $L_{\tilde{A}} : l_p \to l_q$, $1 \le q < p < \infty$. Now by Pitt's compactness theorem, Theorem 5.1, we have $L_{\tilde{A}}$ is compact. Again $l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}) \cong l_p$. Let $T : l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}) \to l_p$ be a linear isomorphic. Then the operator L_A induced by the matrix A is nothing but $L_{\tilde{A}} \circ T$, i.e., $L_A = L_{\tilde{A}} \circ T : l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}) \to l_q$ which is a compact operator as $L_{\tilde{A}}$ is so. Hence by Lemma 5.7, $\|L_A\|_\chi = 0$. This completes the proof. □

Corollary 5.2. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$. *If* $A \in (l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}), bv)$, *then* $\|\Delta L_A\|_\chi = 0$.

Proof. Let $A \in (l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}), bv)$. Then $\tilde{A} \in (l_p, bv)$, where $\tilde{A} = (\tilde{a}_{nk})$ is defined in (5.3). Since $bv = (l_1)_\Delta$, the operator $L_{\tilde{A}} : l_p \to bv$ induced by $\tilde{A}$ is nothing but a bounded linear map $\Delta L_{\tilde{A}} : l_p \to l_1$ which is compact by Pitt's compactness theorem Theorem 5.1. Now using Theorem 5.3, we have $\Delta L_A = \Delta L_{\tilde{A}} \circ T : l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}) \to l_1$ is compact. Hence $\|\Delta L_A\|_\chi = 0$. □

Theorem 5.4. *If $A \in (l_1(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}), l_1)$, then $\|L_A\| = \sup_k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\tilde{a}_{nk}|$.*

Proof. Let $A \in (l_1(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}), l_1)$. Then from Lemma 5.10 $\tilde{A} \in (l_1, l_1)$, where $Ax = \tilde{A}y$ for all $x \in l_p(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})$ and $y \in l_p$ and $\tilde{A} = (\tilde{a}_{nk})$ is defined in (5.3). Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\|L_A x\|_1 = \|Ax\|_1 = \|\tilde{A}y\|_1 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\tilde{A}_n y|_1 \\
&\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\tilde{a}_{nk}||y_k| \\
&\leq \sup_k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\tilde{a}_{nk}| \|y\|_1 \\
&= \sup_k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\tilde{a}_{nk}| \|x\|_{l_1(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|L_A\| \leq \sup_k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\tilde{a}_{nk}|.$$

Again let $b^{(k)}$ for $k = 0, 1, 2 \cdots$ is the basis of $l_1(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)})$. Then

$$\|L_A b^{(k)}\|_1 = \|Ab^{(k)}\|_1 = \|\tilde{A}e^{(k)}\|_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\tilde{a}_{nk}| \leq \|L_A\|$$

which is true for each k. Thus $\sup_k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\tilde{a}_{nk}| \leq \|L_A\|$. Hence $\|L_A\| = \sup_k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\tilde{a}_{nk}|$. □

Corollary 5.3. *If $A \in (l_1(r,s,t;\Delta^{(m)}), bv)$, then $\|L_A\| = \sup_k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\tilde{a}_{n-1,k} - \tilde{a}_{n,k}|$.*

Proof. Since $bv = (l_1)_\Delta$. Then $\|\Delta L_A x\|_1 = \|\Delta(Ax)\|_1 = \|\Delta(\tilde{A}y)\|_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\tilde{A}_{n-1}y - \tilde{A}_n y|$. Hence $\|L_A\| = \sup_k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\tilde{a}_{n-1,k} - \tilde{a}_{n,k}|$. □

References

[1] Z. U. Ahmad, M. Mursaleen, *Köthe-Toeplitz duals of some new sequence spaces and their matrix maps,* Publ. Inst. Math.(Beograd), 42(56)(1987) 57-61.

[2] B. Altay, F. Başar, *On the paranormed Riesz sequence spaces of non-absolute type*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 26(5)(2003), 701-715.

[3] B. Altay, F. Başar, *Some paranormed Riesz sequence spaces of non-absolute type*, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 30 (4)(2006), 591-608.

[4] C. Aydin, F. Başar, *Some new difference sequence spaces*, Appl. Math. Comput. 157 (2004), no. 3, 677-693.

[5] F. Başar, B. Altay, *Matrix mappings on the space bs(p) and its α-, β-, γ- duals*, Aligarh Bull. Math. 21(1)(2002), 79-91.

[6] M. Başarir, E.E. Kara, *On the mth order difference sequence space of generalized weighted mean and compact operators*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. 33 (2013), no. 3, 797-813.

[7] R.Çolak, M. Et, On some generalized difference sequence spaces and related matrix transformations, Hokkaido Math. J., 26(3)(1997), 483-492.

[8] S. Delpech, *A short proof of Pitt's compactness theorem*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 137(4)(2009), 1371-1372.

[9] S. Demiriz, C. Çakan, Some topological and geometrical properties of a new difference sequence space, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2011, Art. ID 213878, 14 pp.

[10] S. Demiriz, C. Çakan, *Some new paranormed difference sequence spaces and weighted core*, Comput. Math. Appl. 64 (6)(2012), 1726-1739.

[11] I. Djolović, E. Malkowsky, *Matrix transformations and compact operators on some new mth-order difference sequence spaces*, Appl.Math.Comput. 198(2008), no. 2, 700-714.

[12] I. Djolović, E. Malkowsky, *A note on compact operators on matrix domains*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 340 (2008), no. 1, 291-303.

[13] I. Djolović, *On the space of bounded Euler difference sequences and some classes of compact operators*, Appl. Math. Comput. 182 (2006), no. 2, 1803-1811.

[14] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, Matrix transformations between the sequence spaces of Maddox. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 180 (1),(1993), 223-238.

[15] A. M. Jarrah, E. Malkowsky, *Ordinary, absolute and strong summability and matrix transformations*, Filomat 17(2003), 59-78.

[16] H. Kizmaz, *On certain sequence spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. 24(2)(1981), 169-176.

[17] I. J. Maddox, *Paranormed sequence spaces generated by infinite matrices*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 64 (1968) 335-340.

[18] E. Malkowsky, V. Rakočević, *An introduction into the theory of sequence spaces and measure of noncompactness*, Zb. Rad. (Beogr.), 9 (17) (2000), 143-234.

[19] E. Malkowsky, V. Rakočević, *On matrix domains of triangles*, Appl. Math. Comput. 189(2007), 1146-1163.

[20] E. Malkowsky, E. Savaş, *Matrix transformations between sequence spaces of generalized weighted means*, Appl. Math. Comput., 147(2004), 333-345.

[21] M. Mursaleen, A. K. Noman, *On some new difference sequence spaces of non-absolute type*, Math.Comput.Modelling 52(3-4)(2010) 603-617.

[22] M. Mursaleen, A. K. Noman, *Applications of the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness in some sequence spaces of weighted means*, Comput. Math. Appl., 60(2010), no. 5, 1245-1258.

[23] M. Mursaleen, A. K Noman,*Compactness by the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness*, Nonlinear Anal., 73 (8) (2010), 2541-2557.

[24] M. Mursaleen, A. K. Noman, *On generalized means and some related sequence spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl. 61 (2011), no. 4, 988-999.

[25] H. Polat, F. Başar, *Some Euler spaces of difference sequences of order m*, Acta Mathematica Scientia, 27B(2)(2007), 254-266.

[26] H. Polat, V. Karakaya, N. Simsek, *Difference sequence spaces derived by using a generalized weighted mean*, Appl. Math. Lett. 24 (2011), no. 5, 608-614.

[27] A. Wilansky, *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North-Holland Math. Stud., vol. 85, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1984.