arXiv:1309.0304v3 [math.RT] 10 Oct 2013

A proof of extension conjecture

Yang Han

KLMM, ISS, AMSS, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, P.R. China.
E-mail: hany@iss.ac.cn

Dedicated to professor Shaoxue Liu on the occasion of his eighty-fifth birthday

Abstract

Extension conjecture states that if a simple module over an artin algebra has nonzero first self-extension group then it has nonzero i-th self-extension group for infinitely many positive integers i. It is shown by recollement of triangulated categories and differential graded homological algebra approach that extension conjecture is true for finite-dimensional elementary algebras over a field, particularly, for finite-dimensional algebras over an algebraically closed field. Moreover, bimodule approach is introduced to strong no loop conjecture, which provides two new proofs of Igusa-Liu-Paquette theorem.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 16G10, 16E30, 16E45, 18E30, 18G10

Keywords : extension group, Hattori-Stallings trace, recollement, dg algebra.

1 Introduction

Global dimension is a quite important homological invariant of an algebra or a ring. For example, the famous Hilbert syzygy theorem says that the global dimension of the polynomial algebra over a field in n variables is n. Sometimes we pay much attention not to the precise value of the global dimension but its finiteness. In fact, the finiteness of global dimension plays an important role in representation theory of algebras. For instance, the bounded derived category of a finite-dimensional algebra has Auslander-Reiten triangles if and only if the algebra is of finite global dimension [16, 17].

Moreover, there are some well-known conjectures related to the finiteness of global dimension such as no loop conjecture which will be stated in the following very soon, Cartan determinant conjecture — the determinant of the Cartan matrix of an artin ring of finite global dimension is 1 (Ref. [11]), Hochschild homology dimension conjecture — a finite-dimensional algebra is of finite global dimension if its Hochschild homology dimension is finite [14]. Associated to a finite-dimensional elementary algebra is its quiver [2, Theorem III.1.9]. The finiteness of the global dimension of a finite-dimensional elementary algebra or a bounded quiver algebra is closely related to the combinatoric of its quiver. A bounded quiver algebra without oriented cycles in its quiver must be of finite global dimension [10]. Obviously, its converse is not true in general. Nevertheless, a bounded quiver algebra of finite global dimension must have no loops and 2-truncated cycles [6]. The former is due to the following no loop conjecture:

No loop conjecture. *Let A be an artin algebra of finite global dimension. Then $\mathrm{Ext}^1_A(S,S)=0$ for all simple A-module S.*

No loop conjecture was first explicitly established for artin algebras of global dimension two [12, Proposition]. For finite-dimensional elementary algebras, which is just the most interesting case because in this case loop has its real geometric meaning, as shown in [19], this can be easily derived from an earlier result of Lenzing [26]. A stronger version of no loop conjecture is the following strong no loop conjecture:

Strong no loop conjecture. *Let A be an artin algebra and S a simple A-module of finite projective dimension. Then $\mathrm{Ext}^1_A(S,S)=0$.*

Strong no loop conjecture is due to Zacharia [19], which is also listed as a conjecture in Auslander-Reiten-Smalø's book [2, p.410, Conjecture (7)]. For finite-dimensional elementary algebras, particularly, finite-dimensional algebras over an algebraically closed field, it was proved by Igusa, Liu and Paquette in [20]. Before it, some special cases had been solved in [13, 21, 27, 28, 33, 36]. A much stronger version of no loop conjecture is the following extension conjecture:

Extension conjecture. *Let A be an artin algebra and S a simple A-module. If $\mathrm{Ext}^1_A(S,S)\neq 0$ then $\mathrm{Ext}^i_A(S,S)\neq 0$ for infinitely many $i\in\mathbb{N}$.*

The slogan of extension conjecture is "$1\Rightarrow\infty$". Extension conjecture was posed originally by Liu and Morin in [27, 2.8 Conjecture], again by Igusa, Liu and Paquette in [20, 2.6], and also in the plenary report of Paquette on

ICRA XV, Bielefeld, 2012. It remains open except for monomial algebras and special biserial algebras [13, 27]. This is a very elementary statement, nonetheless, we did not find an elementary proof even for finite-dimensional elementary algebras.

In this paper, we shall introduce bimodule approach to strong no loop conjecture, and differential graded algebra approach to extension conjecture. Firstly, we shall give a bimodule characterization of the projective dimension of a simple module. Secondly, we shall generalize the Hattori-Stallings trace from finitely generated projective modules to perfect complexes. This generalization is trivial, however, it can provide a simpler proof of Igusa-Liu-Paquette theorem, i.e., strong no loop conjecture for finite-dimensional elementary algebras, on the level of complexes. Thirdly, we shall study the Hattori-Stallings traces of projective bimodules and one-sided projective bimodules, which provide a much simpler proof of Igusa-Liu-Paquette theorem on the level of modules. Fourthly, we shall characterize when the Yoneda algebra of a simple module is finite-dimensional, which explains why we cannot prove extension conjecture by using the Hattori-Stallings trace approach as before. Finally, we shall apply recollement of triangulated categories and differential graded homological algebra to show that extension conjecture is true for finite-dimensional elementary algebras over a field, particularly, for finite-dimensional algebras over an algebraically closed field.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we shall introduce three main tools used in this paper, including Hattori-Stallings trace, recollement of triangulated categories, and Apassov's construction of the minimal semi-free resolution of a dg module over a local dg algebra. Throughout this paper, k is a fixed field.

2.1 Hattori-Stallings traces

Let A be a ring with identity. Denote by $\mathrm{Mod}A$ the category of right A-modules, and by $\mathrm{proj}A$ the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}A$ consisting of all finitely generated projective right A-modules.

For each $P \in \mathrm{proj}A$, there is an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$\phi_P : P \otimes_A \mathrm{Hom}_A(P, A) \to \mathrm{End}_A(P)$$

defined by $\phi_P(p \otimes f)(p') = pf(p')$ for all $p, p' \in P$ and $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(P, A)$. There is also a homomorphism of abelian groups

$$\psi_P : P \otimes_A \mathrm{Hom}_A(P, A) \to A/[A, A]$$

defined by $\psi_P(p \otimes f) = \overline{f(p)}$ for all $p \in P$ and $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(P, A)$. Here, $[A, A]$ is the additive subgroup of A generated by all commutators $[a, b] := ab - ba$ with $a, b \in A$. It is well-known that the abelian group $A/[A, A]$ is isomorphic to the zero-th Hochschild homology group $HH_0(A)$ of A. The homomorphism of abelian groups

$$\mathrm{tr}_P := \psi_P \phi_P^{-1} : \mathrm{End}_A(P) \to A/[A, A]$$

is called *the Hattori-Stallings trace of* P.

Hattori-Stallings trace has the following properties:

Proposition 1. (See Hattori [18]; Stallings [34]; Lenzing [26].) *Let* $P, P', P'' \in \mathrm{proj}A$.

(HS1) *If* $f \in \mathrm{End}_A(P)$ *and* $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(P, P')$ *is an isomorphism then* $\mathrm{tr}_P(f) = \mathrm{tr}_{P'}(gfg^{-1})$.

(HS2) *If* $f, f' \in \mathrm{End}_A(P)$ *then* $\mathrm{tr}_P(f + f') = \mathrm{tr}_P(f) + \mathrm{tr}_P(f')$.

(HS3) *If* $f = \begin{bmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} \\ f_{21} & f_{22} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{End}_A(P \oplus P')$ *then* $\mathrm{tr}_{P \oplus P'}(f) = \mathrm{tr}_P(f_{11}) + \mathrm{tr}_{P'}(f_{22})$.

(HS4) *If* $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(P, P')$ *and* $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(P', P)$ *then* $\mathrm{tr}_P(gf) = \mathrm{tr}_{P'}(fg)$.

(HS5) *If*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & P' & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & P'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f''} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & P' & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & P'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram with exact rows then $\mathrm{tr}_P(f) = \mathrm{tr}_{P'}(f') + \mathrm{tr}_{P''}(f'')$.

(HS6) *If* $l_a \in \mathrm{End}_A(A)$ *is the left multiplication by* $a \in A$ *then* $\mathrm{tr}_A(l_a) = \bar{a}$, *the equivalence class of* a *in* $A/[A, A]$.

2.2 Recollements of triangulated categories

Let $\mathcal{T}_1$, $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}_2$ be triangulated categories. A *recollement* of $\mathcal{T}$ relative to $\mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_2$ is given by

$$\mathcal{T}_1 \;\underset{i^!}{\overset{i^*}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longleftarrow}{\underset{i_*=i_!}{\longrightarrow}}}}}\; \mathcal{T} \;\underset{j_*}{\overset{j_!}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{\longleftarrow}{\underset{j^!=j^*}{\longrightarrow}}}}}\; \mathcal{T}_2$$

such that

(R1) $(i^*, i_*), (i_!, i^!), (j_!, j^!)$ and (j^*, j_*) are adjoint pairs of triangle functors;

(R2) i_*, $j_!$ and j_* are full embeddings;

(R3) $j^! i_* = 0$ (and thus also $i^! j_* = 0$ and $i^* j_! = 0$);

(R4) for each $X \in \mathcal{T}$, there are triangles

$$j_! j^! X \to X \to i_* i^* X \to$$
$$i_! i^! X \to X \to j_* j^* X \to$$

where the arrows to and from X are the counits and the units of the adjoint pairs respectively [5].

An important recollement of derived categories of algebras is given by stratifying ideals:

Proposition 2. (See Cline, Parshall and Scott [9]) Let A be a k-algebra, e an idempotent of A, and AeA a *stratifying ideal* of A, i.e., the multiplication in A induces an isomorphism $Ae \otimes_{eAe} eA \cong AeA$ and $\mathrm{Tor}_n^{eAe}(Ae, eA) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then there is a recollement

$$D(\bar{A}) \underset{\mathrm{RHom}_A(\bar{A},-)}{\overset{-\otimes^L_A \bar{A}_{\bar{A}}}{\overset{-\otimes^L_{\bar{A}} \bar{A}_A}{\rightleftarrows}}} D(A) \underset{\mathrm{RHom}_{eAe}(Ae,-)}{\overset{-\otimes^L_{eAe} eA}{\overset{-\otimes^L_A Ae}{\rightleftarrows}}} D(eAe)$$

where $\bar{A} := A/AeA$.

Even if the idempotent ideal AeA is not stratifying, the projective right A-module eA still can induce a recollement, however, differential graded algebras have to be involved. Namely, we have the following result (Ref. [35, Theorem 1] or [4, Lemma 2.14] or [31, Theorem 4]):

Proposition 3. *Let A be a k-algebra and e an idempotent of A. Then there are a dg k-algebra B, a homological epimorphism of dg algebras $A \to B$, and a recollement of derived categories of dg algebras:*

$$D(B) \underset{\mathrm{RHom}_A(B,-)}{\overset{-\otimes^L_A B_B}{\overset{-\otimes^L_B B_A}{\rightleftarrows}}} D(A) \underset{\mathrm{RHom}_{eAe}(Ae,-)}{\overset{-\otimes^L_{eAe} eA}{\overset{-\otimes^L_A Ae}{\rightleftarrows}}} D(eAe).$$

2.3 Apassov's construction of minimal semi-free resolutions

In order to analyze the Hom sets in the derived category of a dg algebra, one usually need to replace a dg module with its homotopically projective resolution, sometimes, minimal semi-free resolution. For a nicer dg module over a local dg algebra, Apassov provided a very explicit construction of its minimal semi-free resolution. Note that we consider *cochain* dg algebras and *right* dg modules here. We refer to [3] for the knowledge on differential graded homological algebra.

Let A be a *local dg k-algebra*, i.e., a dg k-algebra satisfying:

(1) $A = (A^i)_{i\leq 0}$ is non-positively graded;

(2) $H^0(A)$ is a Noether local k-algebra with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}$ and the residue field k;

(3) $H^i(A)$ is a finitely generated $H^0(A)$-module for all $i \leq 0$.

Then A is augmented to k by $\epsilon_A : A \twoheadrightarrow H^0(A) \twoheadrightarrow k$. The augmentation ideal $\mathfrak{m}_A = \mathrm{Ker}\epsilon_A = \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_A^{-2}} A^{-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_A^{-1}} \mathfrak{m}^0 \longrightarrow 0$, where $\mathfrak{m}^0$ is a maximal ideal in A^0. Clearly, $\mathfrak{m}^0 \supseteq B^0(A)$, the zeroth coboundary of A, and $\partial_A(A) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_A$.

Proposition 4. (See Apassov [1, p.32, Proposition 2]) *Let A be a local dg k-algebra, and $M = (M^i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ a dg A-module such that $H^i(M)$ is finitely generated over $H^0(A)$ for all $i \leq 0$ and zero for all $i > 0$. Then M admits a semi-free resolution P such that $P^\natural = \coprod_{i\geq 0} \Sigma^i(A^\natural)^{m_i}$ for some $m_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\partial_P(P) \subseteq P \cdot \mathfrak{m}_A$.*

Proof. (Sketch for future application). We shall construct inductively a chain of dg A-modules:

$$0 = L_{-1} \subseteq L_0 \subseteq L_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq L_i \subseteq \cdots$$

such that $L_i/L_{i-1} \cong \Sigma^i A^{m_i}$ for some $m_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $H^j(L_i) \cong H^j(M)$ for all $-i < j \leq 0$, and $\partial_{L_i}(L_i) \subseteq L_i \cdot \mathfrak{m}_A$. Then $P := \bigcup_{i\geq 0} L_i$ will be as required.

(1) The base "$i = 0$":

Choose a finite set of cocycles $X_0 \subseteq Z^0(M)$, the zeroth cocycle of M, such that the set of cohomology classes $[X_0]$ minimally generates the $H^0(A)$-module $H^0(M)$. Define a dg A-module $L_0 = A^{(X_0)}$ and a morphism of dg A-modules $\alpha_0 : L_0 \to M$ by $\alpha_0(e_{x_0}) = x_0$ for all $x_0 \in X_0$. Then $\partial_{L_0}(e_{x_0}a) = e_{x_0}\partial_A(a) \in L_0 \cdot \mathfrak{m}_A$ and the map $H^0(\alpha_0)$ is surjective.

Choose a finite set of cocycles $Y_0 \subseteq Z^0(L_0)$ such that the set of cohomology classes $[Y_0]$ minimally generates the $H^0(A)$-module $\mathrm{Ker}H^0(\alpha_0)$. Define

a morphism of dg A-modules $\beta_0 : A^{(Y_0)} \to L_0$ by $\beta_0(e_{y_0}) = y_0$ for all $y_0 \in Y_0$, and a dg A-module $\widetilde{L_0} = \mathrm{Cone}(\beta_0)$. Then every element of $\widetilde{L_0}$ is of the form $l_0 + \sum_{y_0 \in Y_0} \sigma e_{y_0} \cdot a_{y_0}$ where $|\sigma e_{y_0}| = -1$ and $\partial_{\widetilde{L_0}}(\sigma e_{y_0}) = y_0$. The morphism of dg A-modules α_0 can extend to a morphism of dg A-modules $\widetilde{\alpha_0} : \widetilde{L_0} \to M$ such that the map $H^0(\widetilde{\alpha_0}) : H^0(\widetilde{L_0}) \to H^0(M)$ is an isomorphism [1, p.31, Lemma]. Moreover, Y_0 can be chosen inside $Z^0(L_0) \cdot \mathfrak{m}^0$ such that $\partial_{\widetilde{L_0}}(\widetilde{L_0}) \subseteq \widetilde{L_0} \cdot \mathfrak{m}_A$, due to the minimality of $[X_0]$.

(2) The inductive step "from $i-1$ to i":

Assume that for $i \geq 1$ we have constructed a dg A-module L_{i-1} with $\partial_{L_{i-1}}(L_{i-1}) \subseteq L_{i-1} \cdot \mathfrak{m}_A$, a morphism of dg A-modules $\alpha_{i-1} : L_{i-1} \to M$, a finite set of cocycles $Y_{i-1} \subseteq Z^{-i+1}(L_{i-1})$ such that the set of cohomology classes $[Y_{i-1}]$ minimally generates the $H^0(A)$-module $\mathrm{Ker}H^{-i+1}(\alpha_{i-1})$, a morphism of dg A-modules $\beta_{i-1} : A^{(Y_{i-1})} \to L_{i-1}$, and a dg A-module $\widetilde{L_{i-1}} = \mathrm{Cone}(\beta_{i-1})$ with $\partial_{\widetilde{L_{i-1}}}(\widetilde{L_{i-1}}) \subseteq \widetilde{L_{i-1}} \cdot \mathfrak{m}_A$. Moreover, the morphism of dg A-modules α_{i-1} extends to a morphism of dg A-modules $\widetilde{\alpha_{i-1}} : \widetilde{L_{i-1}} \to M$ such that the map $H^j(\widetilde{\alpha_{i-1}}) : H^j(\widetilde{L_{i-1}}) \to H^j(M)$ is an isomorphism for all $-i+1 \leq j \leq 0$.

Choose a finite set of cocycles $X_i \subseteq Z^{-i}(M)$ such that the image $\pi_i([X_i])$ of the set of cohomology classes $[X_i]$ under the projection $\pi_i : H^{-i}(M) \to \mathrm{Coker}H^{-i}(\widetilde{\alpha_{i-1}})$ minimally generates the $H^0(A)$-module $\mathrm{Coker}H^{-i}(\widetilde{\alpha_{i-1}})$.

Define a dg A-module $L_i = \widetilde{L_{i-1}} \oplus A^{(X_i)}$ and a morphism of dg A-modules $\alpha_i : L_i \to M$ by $\alpha_i(\tilde{l}_{i-1} + \sum_{x_i \in X_i} e_{x_i} \cdot a_{x_i}) = \widetilde{\alpha_{i-1}}(\tilde{l}_{i-1}) + \sum_{x_i \in X_i} x_i a_{x_i}$. Then $\partial_{L_i}(L_i) \subseteq L_i \cdot \mathfrak{m}_A$ and the map $H^{-i}(\alpha_i) : H^{-i}(L_i) \to H^{-i}(M)$ is surjective.

Choose a finite set of cocycles $Y_i \subseteq Z^{-i}(L_i)$ such that the set of cohomology classes $[Y_i]$ minimally generates the $H^0(A)$-module $\mathrm{Ker}H^{-i}(\alpha_i)$. Define a morphism of dg A-modules $\beta_i : A^{(Y_i)} \to L_i$ by $\beta_i(e_{y_i}) = y_i$ for all $y_i \in Y_i$, and a dg A-module $\widetilde{L_i} = \mathrm{Cone}(\beta_i)$. Then every element of $\widetilde{L_i}$ is of the form $l_i + \sum_{y_i \in Y_i} \sigma e_{y_i} \cdot a_{y_i}$ where $|\sigma e_{y_i}| = -i-1$ and $\partial_{\widetilde{L_i}}(\sigma e_{y_i}) = y_i$.

The morphism of dg A-modules α_i can be extended to a morphism of dg A-modules $\widetilde{\alpha_i} : \widetilde{L_i} \to M$ such that the map $H^j(\widetilde{\alpha_i}) : H^j(\widetilde{L_i}) \to H^j(M)$ is an isomorphism for all $-i \leq j \leq 0$ (Ref. [1, p.31, Lemma]). Moreover, Y_i can be chosen inside $Z^{-i}(L_i) \cdot \mathfrak{m}^0$ such that $\partial_{\widetilde{L_i}}(\widetilde{L_i}) \subseteq \widetilde{L_i} \cdot \mathfrak{m}_A$, due to the minimality of $[Y_{i-1}]$ and $\pi_i([X_i])$. $\square$

3 Bimodule approach to strong no loop conjecture

3.1 Projective dimension of a simple module

Some homological properties on modules can be characterized by those of bimodules. For instance, Happel showed that for a finite-dimensional k-algebra A, $\mathrm{gl.dim}A = \mathrm{pd}_{A^e}A$ (Ref. [15]). In this subsection, we shall give a bimodule characterization of the projective dimension of a simple module. For this, we need the following well-known result, which implies that $\mathrm{top}A = A/J$ is a "testing module" of the projective dimension of an A-module:

Lemma 1. *Let A be an artin algebra with Jacobson radical J, and $M \neq 0$ a finitely generated left A-module. Then* $\mathrm{pd}_A M = \sup\{i \mid \mathrm{Ext}^i_A(M, A/J) \neq 0\} = \sup\{i \mid \mathrm{Tor}^A_i(A/J, M) \neq 0\}$.

Proof. Let P be a minimal projective resolution of the left A-module M. Then all the differentials of the complex $\mathrm{Hom}_A(P, A/J)$ are zero. Thus $\mathrm{Ext}^i_A(M, A/J) = \mathrm{Hom}_A(P^{-i}, A/J)$. Hence, $\mathrm{pd}_A M = \sup\{i \mid P^{-i} \neq 0\} = \sup\{i \mid \mathrm{Hom}_A(P^{-i}, A/J) \neq 0\} = \sup\{i \mid \mathrm{Ext}^i_A(M, A/J) \neq 0\}$.

Similarly, all the differentials of the complex $A/J \otimes_A P$ are zero. Thus $\mathrm{Tor}^A_i(A/J, M) = A/J \otimes_A P^{-i}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{pd}_A M = \sup\{i \mid P^{-i} \neq 0\} = \sup\{i \mid A/J \otimes_A P^{-i} \neq 0\} = \sup\{i \mid \mathrm{Tor}^A_i(A/J, M) \neq 0\}$. □

A key point of this section is the following observation:

Lemma 2. *Let A be a finite-dimensional elementary k-algebra with Jacobson radical J, $S = Ae/Je$ the left simple A-module corresponding to a primitive idempotent e in A, and $\bar{A} := A/A(1-e)A$. Then* $\mathrm{pd}_A S = \mathrm{pd}_{A\otimes_k \bar{A}^{\mathrm{op}}} \bar{A}$.

Proof. We have a series of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tor}^A_i(A/J, S) &\cong H^{-i}((A/J) \otimes^L_A S) \\
&\cong H^{-i}((A/J) \otimes^L_A \bar{A} \otimes^L_{\bar{A}} S) \\
&\cong H^{-i}(((A/J) \otimes_k S) \otimes^L_{A\otimes_k \bar{A}^{\mathrm{op}}} \bar{A}) \\
&\cong \mathrm{Tor}^{A\otimes_k \bar{A}^{\mathrm{op}}}_i((A/J) \otimes_k S, \bar{A}).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 1 twice, we obtain $\mathrm{pd}_A S = \mathrm{pd}_{A\otimes_k \bar{A}^{\mathrm{op}}} \bar{A}$, since $(A/J) \otimes_k S = \mathrm{top}(A \otimes_k \bar{A}^{\mathrm{op}})$. □

3.2 Hattori-Stallings traces of perfect complexes

Let A be a ring with identity. Denote by $C^b(\mathrm{proj}A)$ the category of *perfect complexes*, i.e., the bounded complexes of finitely generated projective right A-modules. For any $P \in C^b(\mathrm{proj}A)$. The map

$$\mathrm{tr}_P : \mathrm{End}_{C^b(\mathrm{proj}A)}(P) \to A/[A,A], \quad f \mapsto \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^i \mathrm{tr}_{P^i}(f^i),$$

is a homomorphism of abelian groups, called *the Hattori-Stallings trace of* P. Since $P \in C^b(\mathrm{proj}A)$, P^i is zero for almost all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus the sum $\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^i \mathrm{tr}_{P^i}(f^i)$ makes sense. The Hattori-Stallings trace of a perfect complex is a natural generalization of that of a finitely generated projective module. It is of the following properties:

Proposition 5. *Let A be a ring with identity and $P, P', P'' \in C^b(\mathrm{proj}A)$.*

(HS1') *If $f \in \mathrm{End}_{C^b(\mathrm{proj}A)}(P)$, and $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{C^b(\mathrm{proj}A)}(P, P')$ is an isomorphism, then $\mathrm{tr}_P(f) = \mathrm{tr}_{P'}(gfg^{-1})$.*

(HS2') *If $f, f' \in \mathrm{End}_{C^b(\mathrm{proj}A)}(P)$ then $\mathrm{tr}_P(f + f') = \mathrm{tr}_P(f) + \mathrm{tr}_P(f')$.*

(HS3') *If $f = \begin{bmatrix} f_{11} & f_{12} \\ f_{21} & f_{22} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{End}_{C^b(\mathrm{proj}A)}(P \oplus P')$ then $\mathrm{tr}_{P\oplus P'}(f) = \mathrm{tr}_P(f_{11}) + \mathrm{tr}_{P'}(f_{22})$.*

(HS4') *If $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{C^b(\mathrm{proj}A)}(P, P')$ and $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{C^b(\mathrm{proj}A)}(P', P)$ then $\mathrm{tr}_P(gf) = \mathrm{tr}_{P'}(fg)$.*

(HS5') *If*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & P' & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & P'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f''} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & P' & \longrightarrow & P & \longrightarrow & P'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram with exact rows then $\mathrm{tr}_P(f) = \mathrm{tr}_{P'}(f') + \mathrm{tr}_{P''}(f'')$.

(HS6') *If $f, f' \in \mathrm{End}_{C^b(\mathrm{proj}A)}(P)$ are homotopically equivalent then $\mathrm{tr}_P(f) = \mathrm{tr}_P(f')$.*

Proof. (HS1')-(HS5') can be obtained directly by Proposition 1, since all these properties are pointwise. Now it is enough to consider (HS6'). If $f, f' \in \mathrm{End}_{C^b(\mathrm{proj}A)}(P)$ are homotopically equivalent then $f - f' = sd + ds$ for some homotopy map s, where d is the differential of P. It follows from

(HS2) and (HS4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^i \mathrm{tr}_{P^i}(f^i) - \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^i \mathrm{tr}_{P^i}(f'^i) \\
= \ & \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^i \mathrm{tr}_{P^i}(f^i - f'^i) \\
= \ & \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^i \mathrm{tr}_{P^i}(s^{i+1}d^i + d^{i-1}s^i) \\
= \ & \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^i (\mathrm{tr}_{P^i}(s^{i+1}d^i) + \mathrm{tr}_{P^i}(d^{i-1}s^i)) \\
= \ & \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^i (\mathrm{tr}_{P^i}(s^{i+1}d^i) + \mathrm{tr}_{P^{i-1}}(s^i d^{i-1})) \\
= \ & 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

3.3 A new proof of Igusa-Liu-Paquette Theorem

In this subsection, we shall apply the Hattori-Stallings traces of perfect complexes to give a simpler proof of Igusa-Liu-Paquette theorem on the level of complexes.

Theorem 1. (See Igusa, Liu and Paquette [20]) *Let A be a finite-dimensional elementary k-algebra, S a left simple A-module, and $\mathrm{pd}_A S < \infty$. Then $\mathrm{Ext}^1_A(S,S) = 0$.*

Proof. We may assume that S is the left simple A-module Ae/Je corresponding to a primitive idempotent e in A and $\bar{A} := A/A(1-e)A$.

We have the following commutative diagram in $\mathrm{mod}A$, the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}A$ consisting of all finite-dimensional A-modules:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & J^{j+1} & \longrightarrow & J^j & \longrightarrow & J^j/J^{j+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_a=0} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & J^{j+1} & \longrightarrow & J^j & \longrightarrow & J^j/J^{j+1} & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

with exact rows for all $a \in J$, and $0 \le j \le t-1$ where t is the Loewy length of A. By the assumption $\mathrm{pd}_A S < \infty$ and Lemma 2, we have a bounded finitely generated projective A-$\bar{A}$-bimodules resolution P of $\bar{A}$. Thus we have the following commutative diagram in $C^b(\mathrm{proj}\bar{A})$, which is just the reason why we consider Hattori-Stallings traces of perfect complexes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & J^{j+1}\otimes_A P & \longrightarrow & J^j \otimes_A P & \longrightarrow & (J^j/J^{j+1})\otimes_A P & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_a=0} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & J^{j+1}\otimes_A P & \longrightarrow & J^j \otimes_A P & \longrightarrow & (J^j/J^{j+1})\otimes_A P & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

with exact rows for all $a \in J$ and $0 \leq j \leq t-1$.

Since P is a projective A-$\bar{A}$-bimodules resolution of $\bar{A}$, we have a commutative diagram in $C^b(\mathrm{proj}\bar{A})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{f} & \bar{A} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_a} \\ P & \xrightarrow{f} & \bar{A} \end{array}$$

where f is the augmentation. Since f induces an isomorphism in $K^b(\mathrm{proj}\bar{A})$, the homotopy category of the bounded complexes of finitely generated projective right A-modules, there is a cochain map $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{C^b(\mathrm{proj}\bar{A})}(\bar{A}, P)$ such that $fg \sim 1_{\bar{A}}$ and $gf \sim 1_P$. Thus

$$\begin{array}{rcll} \mathrm{tr}_{\bar{A}}(l_a) & = & \mathrm{tr}_{\bar{A}}(l_a f g) & \text{(HS6')} \\ & = & \mathrm{tr}_P(g l_a f) & \text{(HS4')} \\ & = & \mathrm{tr}_P(g f l_a) & l_a f = f l_a \\ & = & \mathrm{tr}_P(l_a). & \text{(HS6')} \end{array}$$

Therefore, for any $\bar{a} \in \bar{J}$, the Jacobson radical of $\bar{A}$, the equivalence class of $\bar{a}$ in $\bar{A}/[\bar{A}, \bar{A}]$

$$\begin{array}{rcll} \bar{\bar{a}} & = & \mathrm{tr}_{\bar{A}}(l_{\bar{a}}) & \text{(HS6)} \\ & = & \mathrm{tr}_{\bar{A}}(l_a) & l_a = l_{\bar{a}} \text{ in } \mathrm{End}_{\bar{A}}(\bar{A}) \\ & = & \mathrm{tr}_{J^0 \otimes_A P}(l_a) & \text{the proof above} \\ & = & \mathrm{tr}_{J^1 \otimes_A P}(l_a) & \text{(HS5')} \\ & = & \cdots & \\ & = & \mathrm{tr}_{J^t \otimes_A P}(l_a) & \text{(HS5')} \\ & = & \mathrm{tr}_0(0) = 0. & \end{array}$$

Hence, $\bar{J} \subseteq [\bar{A}, \bar{A}]$.

Let $A' := \bar{A}/\bar{J}^2$ and $J' = \bar{J}/\bar{J}^2$ its Jacobson radical. Then A' is a local algebra with radical square zero, and thus commutative. Since $\bar{J} \subseteq [\bar{A}, \bar{A}]$, we have $J' \subseteq [A', A'] = 0$, i.e., $J' = 0$. Hence, $\mathrm{Ext}^1_A(S, S) \cong eJe/eJ^2e \cong J' = 0$. □

3.4 Hattori-Stallings traces of bimodules

In this subsection, we shall study the Hattori-Stallings traces of projective bimodules and one-sided projective bimodules, which provides a much simpler proof of Igusa-Liu-Paquette theorem on the level of modules.

Firstly, we consider the Hattori-Stallings traces of finitely generated projective bimodules.

Proposition 6. *Let A and B be two finite-dimensional k-algebras, and P a finitely generated projective A-B-bimodule. Then $\mathrm{tr}_{P_B}(l_a) = 0$ for all $a \in J$, the Jacobson radical of A.*

Proof. We have the following commutative diagram in mod$A$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & J^{j+1} & \longrightarrow & J^j & \longrightarrow & J^j/J^{j+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_a=0} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J^{j+1} & \longrightarrow & J^j & \longrightarrow & J^j/J^{j+1} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows for all $a \in J$ and $0 \leq j \leq t-1$ where t is the Loewy length of A. Since P is a finitely generated projective A-B-bimodule, we have the following commutative diagram in proj$B$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & J^{j+1} \otimes_A P & \longrightarrow & J^j \otimes_A P & \longrightarrow & (J^j/J^{j+1}) \otimes_A P & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_a=0} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & J^{j+1} \otimes_A P & \longrightarrow & J^j \otimes_A P & \longrightarrow & (J^j/J^{j+1}) \otimes_A P & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows for all $a \in J$ and $0 \leq j \leq t-1$. It follows from (HS5) that $\mathrm{tr}_{P_B}(l_a) = \mathrm{tr}_{J^0 \otimes_A P_B}(l_a) = \mathrm{tr}_{J^1 \otimes_A P_B}(l_a) = \cdots = \mathrm{tr}_{J^t \otimes_A P_B}(l_a) = \mathrm{tr}_0(0) = 0$ for all $a \in J$. □

Secondly, we consider the Hattori-Stallings traces of finitely generated one-sided projective bimodules.

Proposition 7. *Let A and B be two finite-dimensional k-algebras, M a finitely generated A-B-bimodule which is projective as a right B-module, and P a finitely generated projective A-B-bimodule resolution of M. Then*

$$\mathrm{tr}_{M_B}(l_a) = (-1)^i \mathrm{tr}_{\Omega_i(M)}(l_a)$$

for all $a \in J$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\Omega_i(M)$ is the i-th syzygy of M on P.

Proof. Since M_B is projective, all $\Omega_i(M)_B$'s are projective. We have the following commutative diagrams in proj$B$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_i(M) & \longrightarrow & P^{-i+1} & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{i-1}(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_a} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle l_a} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_i(M) & \longrightarrow & P^{-i+1} & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{i-1}(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows for all $a \in J$ and $i \geq 1$. By Proposition 6 and (HS5), we obtain $\mathrm{tr}_{\Omega_{i-1}(M)}(l_a) = -\mathrm{tr}_{\Omega_i(M)}(l_a)$, thus $\mathrm{tr}_{M_B}(l_a) = \mathrm{tr}_{\Omega_0(M)}(l_a) = -\mathrm{tr}_{\Omega_1(M)}(l_a) = \cdots = (-1)^i \mathrm{tr}_{\Omega_i(M)}(l_a)$ for all $a \in J$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Finally, we provide another proof of Igusa-Liu-Paquette theorem, i.e., Theorem 1, on the level of modules.

Proof. By the assumption $\mathrm{pd}_A S < \infty$ and Lemma 2, we have $\mathrm{pd}_{A \otimes_k \bar{A}^{\mathrm{op}}} \bar{A} < \infty$. Thus $\bar{A}$ admits a bounded finitely generated projective A-$\bar{A}$-bimodule resolution. It follows from Proposition 7 that, for any $\bar{a} \in \bar{J}$, the equivalence class of $\bar{a}$ in $\bar{A}/[\bar{A}, \bar{A}]$, $\bar{\bar{a}} = \mathrm{tr}_{\bar{A}}(l_{\bar{a}}) = \mathrm{tr}_{\bar{A}}(l_a) = (-1)^i \mathrm{tr}_{\Omega_i(M)}(l_a)$ which is equal to 0 for $i \gg 0$. Thus $\bar{J} \subseteq [\bar{A}, \bar{A}]$. Then we may continue as the last paragraph of the proof of Theorem 1 in Subsection 3.3. □

4 Extension conjecture

4.1 Yoneda algebra of a simple module

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category. An object $X \in \mathcal{T}$ is said to be *compact* if the Hom functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(X, -)$ commutes with small coproducts. It is well-known that, in the unbounded derived category $D(A)$ of an algebra A, the compact objects are isomorphic to the objects in $K^b(\mathrm{proj}A)$. For $X \in \mathcal{T}$, we denote by $\mathrm{Tria}X$ the smallest full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ containing X and closed under small coproducts. An object $X \in \mathcal{T}$ is said to be *selfcompact* if it is compact in $\mathrm{Tria}X$.

Proposition 8. *Let A be a finite-dimensional elementary algebra with the Jacobson radical J, $S = eA/eJ$ the simple A-module corresponding to an idempotent e, and $\bar{A} = A/A(1-e)A$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) *The Yoneda algebra $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_A(S, S)$ of S is finite-dimensional;*
(2) *The complex $\bar{A} \otimes^L_A \bar{A}$ is compact in $D(\bar{A}^e)$;*
(3) *The complex $S \otimes^L_A \bar{A}$ is compact in $D(\bar{A})$;*
(4) *The simple module S is selfcompact in $D(A)$.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) : Let $e_1, \cdots, e_n$ be a complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents in A and $e_1 = e$. Let P be a minimal projective A-A-bimodule resolution of A. By [15, 1.5 Lemma] or [8, Corollary 7.3], we may assume that

$$P^i = A \otimes_K \mathrm{Tor}^A_{-i}(A/J, A/J) \otimes_K A$$

where $K := \sum_{j=1}^{n} ke_j$ is a maximal semisimple subalgebra of A. Thus $P \otimes_A \bar{A}$ is a (minimal) projective A-$\bar{A}$-bimodule resolution of $\bar{A}$. Indeed, the $(-i)$-th cohomology of the complex $P \otimes_A \bar{A}$ is nothing but the A-$\bar{A}$-bimodule $\mathrm{Tor}_i^A(A, \bar{A})$ which is isomorphic to $\bar{A}$ if $i = 0$, and zero otherwise. Therefore, $\bar{A} \otimes_A^L \bar{A}$ is isomorphic in $D(\bar{A}^e)$ to $\bar{A} \otimes_A P \otimes_A \bar{A}$, whose $(-i)$-th component $\bar{A} \otimes_A P^{-i} \otimes_A \bar{A} = \bar{A} \otimes_A A \otimes_K \mathrm{Tor}_i^A(A/J, A/J) \otimes_K A \otimes_A \bar{A} \cong \bar{A} \otimes_k \mathrm{Tor}_i^A(S, DS) \otimes_k \bar{A} \cong \bar{A} \otimes_k D\mathrm{Ext}_A^i(S, S) \otimes_k \bar{A} \cong \bar{A} \otimes_k \mathrm{Ext}_A^i(S, S) \otimes_k \bar{A}$, where $D := \mathrm{Hom}_k(-, k)$. By assumption, $\mathrm{Ext}_A^\bullet(S, S)$ is finite-dimensional. Thus $\bar{A} \otimes_A P \otimes_A \bar{A}$ has only finitely many nonzero components, i.e., it is perfect. Therefore, $\bar{A} \otimes_A^L \bar{A}$ is compact in $D(\bar{A}^e)$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) : By assumption, $\bar{A} \otimes_A^L \bar{A}$ is compact in $D(\bar{A}^e)$. Thus $S \otimes_A^L \bar{A} \cong S \otimes_{\bar{A}}^L \bar{A} \otimes_A^L \bar{A}$ is compact in $D(\bar{A})$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) : We have clearly the following adjoint pair of triangle functors:

$$D(\bar{A}) \underset{-\otimes_{\bar{A}}^L \bar{A}_A}{\overset{-\otimes_A^L \bar{A}_{\bar{A}}}{\rightleftarrows}} D(A).$$

Since the derived tensor product functor $- \otimes_{\bar{A}}^L \bar{A}_A : D(\bar{A}) \to D(A)$ preserves small coproducts [7], its essential image $\mathrm{Im}(- \otimes_{\bar{A}}^L \bar{A}_A)$ is a *localizing subcategory* of $D(A)$, i.e., a triangulated subcategory of $D(A)$ closed under small coproducts. Thus $\mathrm{Tria}\bar{A}_A \subseteq \mathrm{Tria}S_A \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(- \otimes_{\bar{A}}^L \bar{A}_A)$. Conversely, since $D(\bar{A}) = \mathrm{Tria}\bar{A}_{\bar{A}}$ and the derived tensor product functor $- \otimes_{\bar{A}}^L \bar{A}_A$ preserves small coproducts, we have $\mathrm{Im}(- \otimes_{\bar{A}}^L \bar{A}_A) \subseteq \mathrm{Tria}\bar{A}_A$ (Ref. [32, Corollary 3.19]). Therefore, $\mathrm{Tria}\bar{A}_A = \mathrm{Tria}S_A = \mathrm{Im}(- \otimes_{\bar{A}}^L \bar{A}_A)$. For any set $\{X_\lambda | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of objects in $\mathrm{Tria}S_A = \mathrm{Im}(- \otimes_{\bar{A}}^L \bar{A}_A)$, there is a set $\{\bar{X}_\lambda | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of objects in $D(\bar{A})$ such that $X_\lambda \cong \bar{X}_\lambda \otimes_{\bar{A}}^L \bar{A}_A$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hom}_{D(A)}(S, \oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda} X_\lambda) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{D(A)}(S, \oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}(\bar{X}_\lambda \otimes_{\bar{A}}^L \bar{A}_A)) \\
&\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{D(A)}(S, (\oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\bar{X}_\lambda) \otimes_{\bar{A}}^L \bar{A}_A) \\
&\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{D(\bar{A})}(S \otimes_A^L \bar{A}, \oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\bar{X}_\lambda) \\
&\cong \oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\bar{A})}(S \otimes_A^L \bar{A}, \bar{X}_\lambda) \\
&\cong \oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\mathrm{Hom}_{D(A)}(S, \bar{X}_\lambda \otimes_{\bar{A}}^L \bar{A}_A) \\
&\cong \oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\mathrm{Hom}_{D(A)}(S, X_\lambda),
\end{aligned}$$

i.e., S is compact in $\mathrm{Tria}S$.

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (3) : By assumption, S is compact in $\mathrm{Tria}S$. Thus for any set

$\{\bar{X}_\lambda | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of objects in $D(\bar{A})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\bar{A})}(S \otimes^L_A \bar{A}, \oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\bar{X}_\lambda) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{D(A)}(S, (\oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\bar{X}_\lambda) \otimes^L_{\bar{A}} \bar{A}_A)\\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{D(A)}(S, \oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}(\bar{X}_\lambda \otimes^L_{\bar{A}} \bar{A}_A))\\ &\cong \oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\mathrm{Hom}_{D(A)}(S, \bar{X}_\lambda \otimes^L_{\bar{A}} \bar{A}_A)\\ &\cong \oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\bar{A})}(S \otimes^L_A \bar{A}, \bar{X}_\lambda),\end{aligned}$$

i.e., $S \otimes^L_A \bar{A}$ is compact in $D(\bar{A})$.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) : Clearly, $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_A(S,S) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{\bar{A}}(S \otimes^L_A \bar{A}, S)$ is finite-dimensional. □

Remark 1. Why can we not prove extension conjecture by using the Hattori-Stallings trace approach as before? On one hand, we can prove $\mathrm{tr}_{\bar{A}\otimes^L_A\bar{A}}(l_{\bar{a}}) = 0$, however, in general $\mathrm{tr}_{\bar{A}\otimes^L_A\bar{A}}(l_{\bar{a}})$ has nothing to do with $\mathrm{tr}_{\bar{A}}(l_{\bar{a}})$. Hence, we cannot obtain $\mathrm{tr}_{\bar{A}}(l_{\bar{a}}) = 0$. On the other hand, the complex $\bar{A} \otimes^L_A \bar{A}$ is not exact. Thus we cannot reduce $\mathrm{tr}_{\bar{A}}(l_{\bar{a}})$ to those of higher syzygies.

4.2 A proof of extension conjecture

The extension conjecture for finite-dimensional elementary algebras is equivalent to the following statement:

Theorem 2. *If the Yoneda algebra* $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_A(S,S)$ *of a simple module* S *over a finite-dimensional elementary algebra* A *is finite-dimensional then* $\mathrm{Ext}^1_A(S,S) = 0$.

Proof. Let J be the Jacobson radical of A, $S = eA/eJ$ the simple A-module corresponding to a primitive idempotent e in A, and $\bar{A} := A/A(1-e)A$. For simplicity, set $f := 1 - e$. By Proposition 3, the projective right A-module fA induces a recollement of $D(A)$ relative to $D(B)$ and $D(fAf)$ for some dg k-algebra B:

$$D(B) \underset{\mathrm{RHom}_A(B,-)}{\overset{-\otimes^L_B B_A}{\rightleftarrows}} D(A) \underset{\mathrm{RHom}_{fAf}(Af,-)}{\overset{-\otimes^L_A Af}{\rightleftarrows}} D(fAf).$$

Claim 1. $D(B) \simeq \mathrm{Im}(-\otimes^L_B B_A) = \mathrm{Ker}(-\otimes^L_A Af) = D_{\bar{A}}(A) = \mathrm{Tria}S$.

Clearly, $D(B) \simeq \mathrm{Im}(-\otimes^L_B B_A) = \mathrm{Ker}(-\otimes^L_A Af)$. Since the derived tensor product functor $-\otimes^L_A Af$ preserves small coproducts, $\mathrm{Ker}(-\otimes^L_A Af)$ is a localization subcategory of $D(A)$. Thus $\mathrm{Tria}S \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}(-\otimes^L_A Af)$. Conversely,

the objects in $\mathrm{Ker}(-\otimes^L_A Af)$ are just those complexes in $D(A)$ with all cohomologies in $\mathrm{Mod}\bar{A}$, i.e., $\mathrm{Ker}(-\otimes^L_A Af) = D_{\bar{A}}(A)$ (Ref. [29, Proposition 2.17]). For any $X \in \mathrm{Ker}(-\otimes^L_A Af)$, we have $X \cong \mathrm{holim}_{p\geqslant 0}(\tau_{\geqslant -p}(X)) \cong \mathrm{holim}_{p\geqslant 0}(\mathrm{hocolim}_{q\geqslant -p}(\tau_{\leqslant q}(\tau_{\geqslant -p}(X))))$ where τ denotes good truncation (Ref. [7, Remark 2.3]). Since all cohomologies of $\tau_{\leqslant q}(\tau_{\geqslant -p}(X))$ are in $\mathrm{Mod}\bar{A} \subseteq \mathrm{Im}(-\otimes^L_{\bar{A}} \bar{A}_A) = \mathrm{Tria}S$, and $\tau_{\leqslant q}(\tau_{\geqslant -p}(X))$ can be constructed from its cohomologies by finite steps of extensions, we have $\tau_{\leqslant q}(\tau_{\geqslant -p}(X)) \in \mathrm{Tria}S$ for all $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. The triangulated subcategory $\mathrm{Tria}S$ is closed under homotopy colimits, thus $\tau_{\geqslant -p}(X) \cong \mathrm{hocolim}_{q\geqslant -p}(\tau_{\leqslant q}(\tau_{\geqslant -p}(X))) \in \mathrm{Tria}S$ for all $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\mathrm{Tria}S = \mathrm{Im}(-\otimes^L_{\bar{A}} \bar{A}_A) = \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{RHom}_{\bar{A}}({}_A\bar{A}_{\bar{A}}, -))$, it is also closed under homotopy limits. Thus $X \cong \mathrm{holim}_{p\geqslant 0}(\tau_{\geqslant -p}(X)) \in \mathrm{Tria}S$, and further $\mathrm{Ker}(-\otimes^L_A Af) \subseteq \mathrm{Tria}S$. So we finish the proof of Claim 1.

From the recollement above, we obtain a triangle $Af \otimes^L_{fAf} fA \to A \to B \to$ in $D(A)$. By the long exact sequence induced by this triangle, we have

$$H^i(B) \cong \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i > 0; \\ \bar{A}, & \text{if } i = 0; \\ \mathrm{Tor}^{fAf}_{-i}(Af, fA), & \text{if } i < 0. \end{cases}$$

In particular, B is a cohomology nonpositive dg algebra.

Claim 2. B is cohomology finite-dimensional.

Let P be a projective A-module resolution of the simple module S and $E := \mathrm{End}_A(P)$ the endomorphism dg algebra of P. Then P is a dg E-A-bimodule and $H^\bullet(E) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_A(S, S)$ is finite-dimensional. By Proposition 8 and [22, Theorem 1.6], there is a full embedding $-\otimes^L_E P : D(E) \to D(A)$ with the essential image $\mathrm{Tria}S$. Thus $D(E) \simeq \mathrm{Tria}S \simeq D(B)$ by Claim 1. By [23, Theorem 8.2], there is a homotopically projective dg B-E-bimodule T which is compact as a right dg E-module such that $-\otimes^L_B T_E : D(B) \to D(E)$ is a triangle equivalence. Thus $H^\bullet(B) \cong H^\bullet(\mathrm{End}_E(T))$. Since E is cohomology finite-dimensional and T_E is compact, T is cohomology finite-dimensional as well [30, Lemma 2.2]. Furthermore, $\mathrm{End}_E(T)$, and thus B, is cohomology finite-dimensional. So we finish the proof of Claim 2.

To prove the theorem, it is enough to show that $\bar{A} \cong k$, which implies that $\bar{A}$ has no loops, and further, A has no loops at S. Now assume on the contrary $\bar{A} \ncong k$. We shall deduce some contradiction from it. By Claim 2, we can define a nonnegative integer $a := -\min\{i \mid H^i(B) \neq 0\}$, which is usually called the *amplitude* of the dg algebra B.

Case 1. $a = 0$.

In this case, from the triangle $Af \otimes^L_{fAf} fA \to A \to B \to$, we obtain $Af \otimes^L_{fAf} fA \cong AfA$, i.e., AfA is a stratifying ideal of A. Thus we have the following recollement (see Proposition 2):

$$D(\bar{A}) \underset{\mathrm{RHom}_A(\bar{A},-)}{\overset{-\otimes^L_{\bar{A}}\bar{A}_{\bar{A}}}{\overset{\longleftarrow}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{-\otimes^L_{\bar{A}}\bar{A}_A}{\longrightarrow}}}}} D(A) \underset{\mathrm{RHom}_{fAf}(Af,-)}{\overset{-\otimes^L_{fAf}fA}{\overset{\longleftarrow}{\underset{\longleftarrow}{\overset{-\otimes^L_A Af}{\longrightarrow}}}}} D(fAf).$$

Since the triangle functor $-\otimes^L_{\bar{A}}\bar{A}_A$ is a full embedding, we have $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{\bar{A}}(k,k) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_A(S,S)$. By the assumption $\bar{A} \ncong k$, $k_{\bar{A}}$ admits an infinite minimal free $\bar{A}$-module resolution. Furthermore, $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{\bar{A}}(k,k)$, and thus $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_A(S,S)$, is infinite-dimensional. It is a contradiction.

Case 2. $a > 0$.

In this case B is a true dg algebra. The idea of the proof for Case 2 is completely analogous to that of Case 1, however, we have to replace some algebras and modules with dg algebras and dg modules respectively. As a result, differential graded homological algebra is involved.

Let $\tilde{B} := \tau_{\leqslant 0}(B)$ be the good truncation of B. Then $\tilde{B}$ is a dg subalgebra of B, which is quasi-isomorphic to B as dg algebras. Let $\hat{B} := \tau_{\geqslant -a}(\tilde{B})$ be the good truncation of $\tilde{B}$. Then $\hat{B}$ is a dg factor algebra of $\tilde{B}$, which is quasi-isomorphic to $\tilde{B}$ as dg algebras. Now $\hat{B}$ is a local dg k-algebra satisfying:

(1) $\hat{B}^i = 0$ for all $i < -a$ or $i > 0$;

(2) $H^0(\hat{B}) \cong H^0(B) \cong \bar{A}$ is a finite-dimensional local algebra with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n} \neq 0$ and the residue field k;

(3) $H^\bullet(\hat{B}) \cong H^\bullet(B)$ is finite-dimensional.

The dg k-algebra $\hat{B}$ is augmented to k by $\epsilon : \hat{B} \twoheadrightarrow H^0(\hat{B}) \twoheadrightarrow k$. The augmentation ideal is

$$\mathfrak{m} = \mathrm{Ker}\epsilon = 0 \longrightarrow \hat{B}^{-a} \xrightarrow{\partial^{-a}} \hat{B}^{-a+1} \xrightarrow{\partial^{-a+1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial^{-2}} \hat{B}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\partial^{-1}} \mathfrak{m}^0 \longrightarrow 0$$

where ∂ is the differential of $\hat{B}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^0$ is a maximal ideal of $\hat{B}^0$.

By the definition of a, we know $\mathrm{Ker}\partial^{-a} \cong H^{-a}(\hat{B}) \neq 0$. Obviously, $\mathrm{Ker}\partial^{-a}$ is both a dg ideal of $\hat{B}$ and a left $H^0(\hat{B})$-module. Moreover, $\mathfrak{m}^i \cdot \mathrm{Ker}\partial^{-a} = \mathfrak{n}^i \cdot \mathrm{Ker}\partial^{-a}$ for all $i \geq 0$. Denote the Loewy length of the left $H^0(\hat{B})$-module $\mathrm{Ker}\partial^{-a}$ by l. Then $l = \min\{i \mid \mathfrak{n}^i \cdot \mathrm{Ker}\partial^{-a} = 0\} = \min\{i \mid \mathfrak{m}^i \cdot \mathrm{Ker}\partial^{-a} = 0\} \geq 1$. Thus $V := \mathfrak{m}^{l-1} \cdot \mathrm{Ker}\partial^{-a} \neq 0$ is a dg ideal of $\hat{B}$ satisfying $\mathfrak{m} \cdot V = 0$, i.e., V is the "socle" of $\hat{B}$.

Claim 3. $k_{\hat{B}}$ admits an infinite minimal semi-free resolution P with $P^\natural = \coprod_{i\geq 0} \Sigma^i(\hat{B}^\natural)^{m_i}$ where $m_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is not zero for infinitely many $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

By Apassov's construction of a minimal semi-free resolution of a dg module over a local dg algebra (see the proof of Proposition 4), for all $i \geq 0$, there are a dg $\hat{B}$-module L_i with $\partial_{L_i}(L_i) \subseteq L_i \cdot \mathfrak{m}$, a morphism of dg $\hat{B}$-modules $\alpha_i : L_i \to k$, a finite set of cocycles $Y_i \subseteq Z^{-i}(L_i) \cdot \mathfrak{m}^0$ such that the set of cohomology classes $[Y_i]$ minimally generates the $H^0(\hat{B})$-module $\mathrm{Ker}H^{-i}(\alpha_i)$, a morphism of dg $\hat{B}$-modules $\beta_i : \hat{B}^{(Y_i)} \to L_i$ defined by $\beta_i(e_{y_i}) = y_i$ for all $y_i \in Y_i$, and a dg $\hat{B}$-module $\widetilde{L_i} = \mathrm{Cone}(\beta_i)$ such that $H^j(\widetilde{L_i}) \cong H^j(k)$ for all $-i \leq j \leq 0$ and $\partial_{\widetilde{L_i}}(\widetilde{L_i}) \subseteq \widetilde{L_i} \cdot \mathfrak{m}$. Clearly, $\mathrm{Im}\beta_i \subseteq L_i \cdot \mathfrak{m}$ and $L_i^j = 0$ for all $j < -a - i$. Note that $|X_0| = 1$, $|Y_0| = \dim_k \mathrm{rad}\bar{A}/\mathrm{rad}^2\bar{A}$, $|X_i| = 0$ and $L_i = \widetilde{L_{i-1}}$ for all $i \geq 1$. By the assumption $\bar{A} \ncong k$, we have $Y_0 \neq \emptyset$.

In order to show $\widetilde{L_i} \ncong k$ in $D(\hat{B})$, we observe the morphism of dg $\hat{B}$-modules β_i:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & (\hat{B}^{(Y_i)})^{-a-i} & \xrightarrow{\partial^{-a-i}_{\hat{B}^{(Y_i)}}} & (\hat{B}^{(Y_i)})^{-a-i+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial^{-a-i+1}_{\hat{B}^{(Y_i)}}} & \cdots \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_i^{-a-i}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \beta_i^{-a-i+1}} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & L_i^{-a-i} & \xrightarrow{\partial^{-a-i}_{L_i}} & L_i^{-a-i+1} & \xrightarrow{\partial^{-a-i+1}_{L_i}} & \cdots
\end{array}$$

If $Y_i \neq \emptyset$ then $W := \oplus_{y_i \in Y_i}(e_{y_i} \cdot V) \neq 0$, which is the "socle" of $\hat{B}^{(Y_i)}$. Since β_i is a morphism of dg $\hat{B}$-modules, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\beta_i^{-a-i}(W) & = & \beta_i(W) = \beta_i(\oplus_{y_i \in Y_i}(e_{y_i} \cdot V)) \\
& \subseteq & (\mathrm{Im}\beta_i) \cdot V \subseteq (L_i \cdot \mathfrak{m}) \cdot V = L_i \cdot (\mathfrak{m} \cdot V) = 0.
\end{array}$$

Thus $0 \neq \sigma W \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\partial^{-a-i-1}_{\widetilde{L_i}} \cong H^{-a-i-1}(\widetilde{L_i})$. However, $H^{-a-i-1}(k) = 0$. Thus $L_{i+1} = \widetilde{L_i} \ncong k$ in $D(\hat{B})$. Hence, Apassov's construction cannot stop in the i-th step. By induction, there are nonempty Y_i for infinitely many $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. So we finish the proof of Claim 3.

Since a quasi-isomorphism of dg algebras induces a triangle equivalence between their derived categories (Ref. [24, 1.5 Example]), we have the following triangle equivalences:

$$D(\hat{B}) \underset{-\otimes^L_{\hat{B}}\hat{B}_{\tilde{B}}}{\overset{-\otimes^L_{\tilde{B}}\hat{B}_{\hat{B}}}{\rightleftarrows}} D(\tilde{B}) \underset{-\otimes^L_{\tilde{B}}B_{\tilde{B}}}{\overset{-\otimes^L_{\tilde{B}}B_B}{\rightleftarrows}} D(B) \underset{-\otimes^L_B B_A}{\overset{-\otimes^L_A B_B}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{Tria}S.$$

Under these triangle equivalences,

$$\hat{S} := \tilde{S} \otimes^L_{\tilde{B}} \hat{B}_{\hat{B}} \longleftarrow\!\shortmid \tilde{S} := S \otimes^L_A B \otimes^L_B B_{\tilde{B}} \longleftarrow\!\shortmid S \otimes^L_A B_B \longleftarrow\!\shortmid S.$$

Claim 4. $\hat{S}_{\hat{B}} \cong k_{\hat{B}}$ in $D(\hat{B})$.

Since $S \cong S \otimes^L_A B \otimes^L_B B_A \cong S \otimes^L_A B_A$, we have $H^\bullet(\tilde{S}) \cong H^\bullet(S \otimes^L_A B_{\tilde{B}}) \cong H^\bullet(S \otimes^L_A B_A) \cong H^\bullet(S) \cong k$ as k-vector spaces. Since $H^\bullet(B) \cong H^\bullet(\tilde{B}) \cong H^\bullet(\hat{B})$ as graded algebras, the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence [25, Theorem III.4.7]

$$\mathrm{Tor}^{H^\bullet(\tilde{B})}_{p,q}(H^\bullet(\tilde{S}), H^\bullet(\hat{B})) \Rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}^{\tilde{B}}_{p+q}(\tilde{S}, \hat{B})$$

collapses and the left hand side is isomorphic to k if $p = q = 0$ and to 0 otherwise. So we have $H^\bullet(\hat{S}) \cong k$. Thus $\hat{S}_{\hat{B}} \cong \tau_{\geqslant 0}(\tau_{\leqslant 0}(\hat{S})) \cong k_{\hat{B}}$ in $D(\hat{B})$. So we finish the proof of Claim 4.

Now we know

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 & \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_A(S,S) & \\
\cong & \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{\hat{B}}(\hat{S},\hat{S}) & \text{the series of triangle eqivalences} \\
\cong & \mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_{\hat{B}}(k,k) & \text{Claim 4} \\
\cong & H^\bullet \mathrm{RHom}_{\hat{B}}(k,k) & \\
\cong & H^\bullet \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{B}}(P,k) & \text{Claim 3} \\
\cong & \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{B}}(P,k)^\natural & \text{minimality of } P \\
\cong & \mathrm{Hom}_{\hat{B}^\natural}(\coprod_{i\geq 0} \Sigma^i(\hat{B}^\natural)^{m_i}, k) & \text{Claim 3} \\
\cong & \prod_{i\geq 0} \Sigma^{-i} k^{m_i} &
\end{array}$$

is infinite-dimensional. It is a contradiction. Now we have dealt with the Case 2, and we finished the proof of the theorem. □

As a corollary, extension conjecture is true for all finite-dimensional algebras over an algebraically closed field:

Corollary 1. *If the Yoneda algebra* $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_A(S,S)$ *of a simple module* S *over a finite-dimensional algebra* A *over an algebraically closed field* k *is finite-dimensional then* $\mathrm{Ext}^1_A(S,S) = 0$.

Proof. Every finite-dimensional algebra over an algebraically closed field is Morita equivalent to a finite-dimensional basic, thus elementary [2, Corollary III.1.10], algebra. Moreover, Morita equivalence preserves both the simplicity of modules and extension groups of arbitrary degree. Thus the corollary follows from Theorem 2. □

The following examples implies that the extension conjecture (resp. strong no loop conjecture) is strictly stronger than the strong no loop conjecture (resp. no loop conjecture).

Example 1. (1) $\mathrm{gl.dim}A = \infty$ and $\mathrm{pd}_A S < \infty$: Let A be the bounded quiver algebra kQ/I with quiver $Q = \ 1 \xrightarrow{a} 2 \circlearrowright b$ and $I = (b^2)$. Then $\mathrm{gl.dim}A = \infty$ and $\mathrm{pd}_A S_1 = 1$. Thus we can apply strong no loop conjecture but not no loop conjecture to $S := S_1$.

(2) $\mathrm{pd}_A S = \infty$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_A(S, S)$ is finite-dimesional: Let A be the bounded quiver algebra kQ/I with quiver $Q = \ 1 \xrightarrow{a} 2 \circlearrowright b$ and $I = (ab, b^2)$. Then $\mathrm{pd}_A S_1 = \infty$ and $\mathrm{Ext}^\bullet_A(S_1, S_1) \cong k$. Thus we can apply extension conjecture but not strong no loop conjecture to $S := S_1$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author thanks professor Shaoxue Liu for his encouragement. The author is sponsored by Project 11171325 NSFC.

References

[1] D. Apassov, Homological dimensions over differential graded rings, in: Complexes and differential graded modules, Ph. D. thesis, Lund University, 1999, pp. 25–39.

[2] M. Auslander, I. Reiten and S.O. Smalø, Representation theory of Artin algebras, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 36, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[3] L.L. Avramov, H.B. Foxby and S. Halperin, Differential graded homological algebra, In preparation (the manuscript of October, 2010).

[4] S. Bazzoni and A. Pavarin, Recollements from partial tilting complexes, J. Algebra 388 (2013), 338–363.

[5] A.A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne, Faisceaux pervers, Astérique 100 (1982).

[6] P.A. Bergh, Y. Han and D. Madsen, Hochschild homology and truncated cycles, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012), 1133–1139.

[7] M. Bökstedt and A. Neeman, Homotopy limits in triangulated categories, Compositio Math. 86 (1993), 209–234.

[8] M.C.R. Butler and A.D. King, Minimal resolutions of algebras, 212 (1999), 323–362.

[9] E. Cline, B. Parshall and L. Scott, Stratifying endomorphism algebras, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 591 (1996), 1–119.

[10] S. Eilenberg, H. Nagao and T. Nakayama, On the dimension of modules and algebras. IV. Dimension of residue rings of hereditary rings, Nagoya Math. J. 10 (1956), 87–95.

[11] K.R. Fuller, The Cartan determinant and global dimension of Artinian rings, Contemp. Math. 124 (1992), 51–72.

[12] E.L. Green, W.H. Gustafson and D. Zacharia, Artin rings of global dimension two, J. Algebra 92 (1985), 375–379.

[13] E.L. Green, Ø. Solberg and D. Zacharia, Minimal projective resolutions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353 (2001), 2915–2939.

[14] Y. Han, Hochschild (co)homology dimension. J. London Math. Soc. 73 (2006), 657–668.

[15] D. Happel, Hochschild cohomology of finite-dimensional algebras, in : Séminaire d'Algèbre Paul Dubreil et Marie-Paul Malliavin, 39ème Année (Paris, 1987/1988), Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1404, Springer, Berlin, 1989, pp. 108–126.

[16] D. Happel, On the derived category of a finite dimensional algebra, Comment. Math. Helv. 62 (1987), 339–389.

[17] D. Happel, Auslander-Reiten triangles in derived categories of finite-dimensional algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 112 (1991), 641–648.

[18] A. Hattori, Rank element of a projective module, Nagoya Math. J. 25 (1965), 113–120.

[19] K. Igusa, Notes on the no loops conjecture, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 69 (1990), 161–176.

[20] K. Igusa, S.P. Liu and C. Paquette, A proof of the strong no loop conjecture, Adv. Math. 228 (2011), 2731–2742.

[21] B.T. Jensen, Strong no-loop conjecture for algebras with two simples and radical cube zero, Colloq. Math. 102 (2005), 1–7.

[22] P. Jørgensen, Recollement for differential graded algebras, J. Algebra 299 (2006), 589–601.

[23] B. Keller, Deriving DG categories, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 27 (1994), 63–102.

[24] B. Keller, Tilting theory and differential graded algebras, in: Finite-dimensional algebras and related topics, Edited by V. Dlab and L.L. Scott, NATO ASI Series, Series C: Mathematical and physical sciences, Vol. 424, 1994, 183–190.

[25] I. Kriz and J.P. May, Operads, algebras, modules and motives, Astérisque 233 (1995).

[26] H. Lenzing, Nilpotente elemente in ringen von endlicher globaler dimension, Math. Z. 108 (1969), 313–324.

[27] S. Liu and J.P. Morin, The strong no loop conjecture for special biserial algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004), 3513–3523.

[28] N. Marmaridis and A. Papistas, Extensions of abelian categories and the strong no-loops conjecture, J. Algebra 178 (1995), 1–20.

[29] J. Miyachi, Recollement and tilting complexes, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 183 (2003), 245–273.

[30] A. Neeman, The connection between the K-theory localization theorem of Thomason, Trobaugh and Yao and the smashing subcategories of Bausfield and Ravenel, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 25 (1992), 547–566.

[31] P. Nicolás and M. Saorin, Parametrizing recollement data for triangulated categories, J. Algebra 322 (2009), 1220–1250.

[32] P. Nicolás and M. Saorin, Generalized tilting theory, arXiv:1208.2803v1 [math.RT].

[33] D. Skorodumov, The strong no loop conjecture for mild algebras, J. Algebra 336 (2011), 301–320.

[34] J. Stallings, Centerless groups — an algebraic formulation of Gottliebs theorem, Topology 4 (1965), 129–134.

[35] D. Yang, Recollements from generalized tilting, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012), 83–91.

[36] D. Zacharia, Special monomial algebras of finite global dimension, in: Perspectives in Ring Theory, Proc. NATO Adv. Res. Workshop, Antwerp/Belg. 1987, in: NATO ASI Ser. C, vol. 233, 1988, pp. 375–378.