

Actions of groups of diffeomorphisms on one-manifolds

Shigenori Matsumoto

ABSTRACT. Denote by $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(M)_0$ the identity component of the group of compactly supported C^∞ diffeomorphisms of a connected C^∞ manifold M , and by $\text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R})$ the group of the homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}$. We show that if M is a closed manifold which fibers over S^m ($m \geq 2$), then any homomorphism from $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(M)_0$ to $\text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R})$ is trivial.

1. Introduction

É. Ghys [G] asked if the group of diffeomorphisms of a manifold admits a nontrivial action on a lower dimensional manifold. A break through towards this problem was obtained by K. Mann [M] in the case where the target manifold is one dimensional.

Let M be a connected C^∞ manifold of dimension n without boundary. For $r = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$, denote by $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)_0$ the identity component of the group of compactly supported C^r diffeomorphisms of M .

THEOREM 1.1. (K. Mann) *Any (abstract) homomorphism from $\text{Diff}_c^r(M)_0$ to $\text{Diff}^2(S^1)$ or to $\text{Diff}^2([0, 1])$ is trivial, provided $n \geq 2$ and $r \neq n + 1$.*

For a simpler proof of this fact, see also [M']. For $r = \infty$, we also get the following result, whose proof is contained in the appendix of the present paper.

COROLLARY 1.2. *Any homomorphism from $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(M)_0$ to $\text{Diff}^2(\mathbb{R})$ is trivial, provided $n \geq 2$.*

A natural question is whether it is possible to lower the differentiability of the target group.

QUESTION 1.3. If $n \geq 2$, is any homomorphism from $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(M)_0$ to $\text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R})$ trivial?

The purpose of this paper is to give a partial answer to this problem.

THEOREM 1. *Assume M is a closed manifold which admits a fibration $\pi : M \rightarrow S^m$, $m \geq 2$. Then any homomorphism from $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(M)_0$ to $\text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R})$ is trivial.*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 57S05, secondary 22F05.

Key words and phrases. group of diffeomorphisms, action on the real line.

The author is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 25400096.

In the rest of the paper, we assume that M is a manifold which satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1. Denote $\mathcal{G} = \text{Diff}_c^\infty(M)_0$ for simplicity. The proof of Theorem 1 is by absurdity. Fix once and for all a nontrivial homomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R})$. It is no loss of generality to assume the following.

ASSUMPTION 1.4. The global fixed point set $\text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}))$ is empty.

By the simplicity of $\mathcal{G}$, Φ is injective, and its image is contained in $\text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{R})$, the group of orientation preserving homeomorphisms. This fact will be used repeatedly in the sequel.

Let B_0 be the inverse image by $\pi : M \rightarrow S^m$ of a closed metric ball in S^m . Define a family $\mathcal{B}$ of closed subsets of M by

$$\mathcal{B} = \{g(B_0) \mid g \in \mathcal{G}\}.$$

For $B \in \mathcal{B}$, define a subgroup $\mathcal{G}(B)$ by

$$\mathcal{G}(B) = \{g \in \mathcal{G} \mid \text{Supp}(g) \subset \text{Int}(B)\}.$$

In Section 2, we shall show the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 1.5. *For any $B \in \mathcal{B}$, the fixed point set $\text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}(B)))$ is nonempty.*

After this proposition is established, the proof of Theorem 1 follows the same line of argument as in E. Milton [Mi2], which will be given in Section 3.

2. Proof of Proposition 1.5

Notice that for any $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}$, the subgroups $\mathcal{G}(B)$ and $\mathcal{G}(B')$ are conjugate in $\mathcal{G}$. Therefore if $\text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}(B))) \neq \emptyset$ for some $B \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}(B))) \neq \emptyset$ for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$. We assume for contradiction that $\text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}(B)))$ is empty for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

Let us start with the following easy lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. *For any $B \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists an element $g \in \mathcal{G}(B) \setminus \{\text{id}\}$ such that $\text{Fix}(g)$ is nonempty.*

PROOF. Assume the contrary, that is, that the Φ action of $\mathcal{G}(B)$ on $\mathbb{R}$ is free. Then a theorem of Hölder asserts that $\Phi(\mathcal{G}(B))$ is abelian. See for example [N]. Since Φ is injective, $\mathcal{G}(B)$ must be abelian. The contradiction shows the lemma. $\square$

The rest of the proof is based upon the following theorem of E. Milton. The use of this theorem is inspired by S. Hurtado [H]. Let N be a connected manifold, open or closed, and K a compact subset of N . Denote by $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(N, K)_0$ the subgroup of $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(N)_0$ consisting of those elements which are supported on K . For $g \in \text{Diff}_c^\infty(N, K)_0$, denote by $\|g\|_\infty$ the uniform C^∞ norm.

Given a subset S of a group G , we denote by $\langle S \rangle$ the subgroup generated by S .

THEOREM 2.2. (E. Milton) *For N and K above, there is a sequence $\epsilon_k = \epsilon_k(N, K)$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) of positive numbers converging to 0 with the following property: for any sequence $\{g_k\} \subset \text{Diff}_c^\infty(N, K)_0$ with $\|g_k\| < \epsilon_k$, there is a finite subset S in $\text{Diff}_c^\infty(N)_0$ such that $g_k \in \langle S \rangle$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

In fact [Mi1] only considers the case where M is compact and $K = M$. However the proof applies to the current case without any change. This is the only point where we need the assumption $r = \infty$.

Choose $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}$. Since we are assuming that $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{G}(B_1))$ is empty, any $\Phi(\mathcal{G}(B_1))$ orbit is unbounded from the left and from the right. That is, there are sequences $\{g_k^\pm\}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) in $\mathcal{G}(B_1)$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi(g_k^\pm) \circ \cdots \circ \Phi(g_2^\pm) \circ \Phi(g_1^\pm)(0) \rightarrow \pm\infty.$$

Since $\mathcal{G}(B_1)$ is simple, any element of $\mathcal{G}(B_1)$ can be represented as a finite product of the time one maps of C^∞ flows. Therefore one may assume that $g_k^\pm$ in (2.1) is the time one map of the flow.

Now choose $B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B_1 \subset \text{Int}(B_2)$. We shall apply Theorem 2.2 for $N = \text{Int}(B_2)$ and $K = B_1$. There is a sequence ϵ_k guaranteed by Theorem 2.2. Now since $g_k^\pm$ is the time one map of the flow, one can write $g_k^\pm = (h_k^\pm)^{N_k}$ for some $N_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|h_k^\pm\|_\infty < \epsilon_k$, ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). Then there is a finite subset $S \subset \mathcal{G}(B_2)$ such that $h_k^\pm \in \langle S \rangle$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$). The equation (2.1) shows that $\text{Fix}(\Phi(\langle S \rangle))$ is empty. Being finitely generated, $\Phi(\langle S \rangle)$ has a cross section I in $\mathbb{R}$, that is, I is a compact interval such that the orbit of any point of $\mathbb{R}$ hits I . Since $\langle S \rangle \subset \mathcal{G}(B_2)$, I is also a cross section for $\Phi(\mathcal{G}(B_2))$.

Now we follow the proof of Proposition 6.1 in [DKNP], to show that there is a nonempty closed $\Phi(\mathcal{G}(B_2))$ invariant subset X in $\mathbb{R}$ which is contained in an arbitrary closed $\Phi(\mathcal{G}(B_2))$ invariant subset. The rough idea is as follows. First of all, there is an obvious one to one correspondence between the family F of nonempty closed $\Phi(\mathcal{G}(B_2))$ invariant subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ and the family F_I of nonempty closed subsets A in I such that $\Phi(\mathcal{G}(B_2))(A) \cap I = A$, where

$$\Phi(\mathcal{G}(B_2))(A) = \bigcup_{g \in \mathcal{G}(B_2)} \Phi(g)(A).$$

The correspondence preserves the inclusion. Given a chain in F_I , the intersection of the chain also belongs to F . Therefore there is a minimal element Y in F_I . It is also easy to show that the minimal element is unique. Define X to be the element of F which corresponds to Y .

Choose $B_3 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B_3 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$. Notice that any element of $\mathcal{G}(B_3)$ commutes with any element of $\mathcal{G}(B_2)$. Define $\mathcal{F}(B_3)$ to be the subset of the group $\mathcal{G}(B_3)$ consisting of element g such that $\text{Fix}(\Phi(g))$ is nonempty. Then for any $g \in \mathcal{F}(B_3)$, the set $\text{Fix}(\Phi(g))$ is closed and invariant by $\Phi(\mathcal{G}(B_2))$ by the commutativity. Therefore we have

$$(2.2) \quad X \subset \text{Fix}(\phi(g)) \text{ for any } g \in \mathcal{F}(B_3).$$

This shows that $\mathcal{F}(B_3)$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(B_3)$. By the definition, $\mathcal{F}(B_3)$ is normal. By Lemma 2.1, $\mathcal{F}(B_3)$ is nontrivial. Since $\mathcal{G}(B_3)$ is simple, we have $\mathcal{F}(B_3) = \mathcal{G}(B_3)$. Finally equation (2.2) concludes the proof of Proposition 1.5.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

The argument of this section is taken from [Mi2].

For any $B \in \mathcal{B}$, define a subgroup $\mathcal{G}_B$ of $\mathcal{G}$ by

$$\mathcal{G}_B = \{g \in \mathcal{G} \mid g \text{ is the identity in a neighbourhood of } B\}.$$

For $B \in \mathcal{B}$, the closure of the complement of B , denoted by B^* , also belongs to $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{G}_B = \mathcal{G}(B^*)$. Especially $\text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}_B))$ is nonempty for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$. All this is because the base space of the fibration is a sphere.

LEMMA 3.1. *Assume B and B' are mutually disjoint elements of $\mathcal{B}$. Then any $g \in \mathcal{G}$ can be written as $g = g_1 \circ g_2 \circ g_3$, where g_1 and g_3 belongs to $\mathcal{G}_B$ and g_2 to $\mathcal{G}_{B'}$.*

PROOF. Take any $g \in \mathcal{G}$. Then there is an element $g_1 \in \mathcal{G}_B$ such that $g_1^{-1} \circ g(B)$ is disjoint from B' . Next, there is an element $g_2 \in \mathcal{G}_{B'}$ such that $g_2^{-1} \circ g_1^{-1} \circ g$ is the identity in a neighbourhood of B . Thus $g_3 = g_2^{-1} \circ g_1^{-1} \circ g$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}_B$ and the proof is complete. $\square$

LEMMA 3.2. *Assume B and B' are mutually disjoint elements of $\mathcal{B}$. If two points a and b ($a < b$) belongs to $\text{Fix}(\Phi(G_B))$, then $\text{Fix}(\Phi(G_{B'})) \cap [a, b] = \emptyset$.*

PROOF. Assume a point c in $[a, b]$ belongs to $\text{Fix}(\Phi(G_{B'}))$. Choose an arbitrary element $g \in \mathcal{G}$. There is a decomposition $g = g_1 \circ g_2 \circ g_3$ as in Lemma 3.1. Recall that $\Phi(\mathcal{G}) \subset \text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{R})$. Now $\Phi(g_3)(a) = a$. Since $\Phi(g_2)(b) = b$ and $a \leq b$, we have $\Phi(g_2) \circ \Phi(g_3)(a) \leq b$. Likewise $\Phi(g)(a) = \Phi(g_1) \circ \Phi(g_2) \circ \Phi(g_3)(a) \leq c$. Since $g \in \mathcal{G}$ is arbitrary, the $\Phi(\mathcal{G})$ orbit of a is bounded from the right. This is against Assumption 1.4: $\Phi(\mathcal{G})$ has no global fixed point. $\square$

Choose a point $x \in S^m$ and define a family $\mathcal{P}$ of closed subsets of M by

$$\mathcal{P} = \{g(\pi^{-1}(x)) \mid g \in \mathcal{G}\}.$$

For any $P \in \mathcal{P}$, define a subgroup $\mathcal{G}_P$ of $\mathcal{G}$ by

$$\mathcal{G}_P = \{g \in \mathcal{G} \mid g \text{ is the identity in a neighbourhood of } P\}.$$

LEMMA 3.3. *For any $P \in \mathcal{P}$, the fixed point set $\text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}_P))$ is nonempty.*

PROOF. Notice that for any $P \in \mathcal{P}$, there is an decreasing sequence $\{B_k\}$ ($k \in \mathbb{N}$) in $\mathcal{B}$ such that $P = \bigcap_k B_k$. Then $\mathcal{G}_{B_k}$ is an increasing sequence of subgroups of $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\bigcup_k \mathcal{G}_{B_k} = \mathcal{G}_P$. Therefore the closed subsets $\text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}_{B_k}))$ is decreasing and we have

$$\text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}_P)) = \bigcap_k \text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}_{B_k})).$$

Therefore it suffices to show that $\text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}_B))$ is compact for any $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Assume in way of contradiction that $\sup \text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}_B)) = \infty$. (The other case can be dealt with similarly.) Choose $B' \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B \cap B' = \emptyset$. Then since $\Phi(\mathcal{G}) \subset \text{Homeo}_+(\mathbb{R})$, we also have that $\sup \text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}_{B'})) = \infty$. Now one can find points $a, b \in \text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}_B))$ and a point $c \in \text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}_{B'}))$ such that $a < c < b$. This is contrary to Lemma 3.2. $\square$

Let D_0 be the inverse image by π of a smoothly embedded codimension one compact disc in S^m . Define a family $\mathcal{D}$ of closed subsets of M by

$$\mathcal{D} = \{g(D_0) \mid g \in \mathcal{G}\}.$$

For any $D \in \mathcal{D}$, define a subgroup $\mathcal{G}_D$ of $\mathcal{G}$ by

$$\mathcal{G}_D = \{g \in \mathcal{G} \mid g \text{ is the identity in a neighbourhood of } D\}.$$

Lemma 3.3 implies that $\text{Fix}(\Phi(D)) \neq \emptyset$ for any $D \in \mathcal{D}$.

LEMMA 3.4. *For any $D \in \mathcal{D}$, the set $\text{Fix}(\Phi(D))$ is a singleton.*

PROOF. First of all notice that for any $D, D' \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $D \cap D' = \emptyset$, we have $\text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}_D)) \cap \text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}_{D'})) = \emptyset$. In fact, since $\mathcal{G}_D$ and $\mathcal{G}_{D'}$ generate $\mathcal{G}$, the point of the intersection would be a global fixed point of $\mathcal{G}$, against Assumption 1.4. This shows that the interior $\text{Int}(\text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}_D)))$ is empty. In fact, there are uncountably many mutually disjoint elements of $\mathcal{D}$, while mutually disjoint open subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ are at most countable.

Assume that $\text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}_D))$ contains more than two points. For any $D \in \mathcal{D}$, choose an arbitrary bounded open interval I_D whose end points belong to $\text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}_D))$. Since Lemma 3.2 is valid for the family $\mathcal{D}$ as well, we have $I_D \cap I_{D'} = \emptyset$ if $D \cap D' = \emptyset$, again contrary to the fact that there are uncountably many mutually disjoint elements of $\mathcal{D}$. $\square$

Finally let us prove Theorem 1. Choose any element $D \in \mathcal{D}$ and distinct two elements $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ that are contained in D . Then since $\text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}_D))$ is a singleton and $\text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}_{P_i}))$, $i = 1, 2$, is nonempty, we have $\text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}_{P_1})) = \text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{G}_{P_2}))$. But since $\mathcal{G}_{P_1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{P_2}$ generate $\mathcal{G}$, there is a global fixed point of $\Phi(\mathcal{G})$, against Assumption 1.4. The contradiction shows that the homomorphism Φ must be trivial.

4. Appendix

To prove Corollary 1.2, it suffices to show that any homomorphism Φ from $\mathcal{H} = \text{Diff}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)_0$ ($n \geq 2$) to $\text{Diff}^2(\mathbb{R})$ is trivial, thanks to the fragmentation lemma.

Assume Φ is nontrivial. Let V_0 be the closed unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Define a family $\mathcal{V}$ of closed subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$\mathcal{V} = \{g(V_0) \mid g \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

For any $V \in \mathcal{V}$, define a subgroup $\mathcal{H}(V)$ by

$$\mathcal{H}(V) = \{g \in \mathcal{H} \mid \text{Supp}(g) \subset \text{Int } V\}.$$

Then the same argument as in Section 2 shows that $\text{Fix}(\Phi(\mathcal{H}(V))) \neq \emptyset$. (We need $r = \infty$ at this point.) This implies that $\text{Fix}(\Phi(g)) \neq \emptyset$ for any element $g \in \mathcal{H}$.

Now by a theorem of Szekeres, the centralizer $Z(\Phi(g))$ coincides with $Z(\Phi(g^2))$ for any $g \in \mathcal{H}$. But for $n \geq 2$, there is an element $g \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $Z(g)$ is strictly smaller than $Z(g^2)$. This leads to a contradiction since Φ is injective. See [M] or [M'] for more details of the last step.

References

- [DKNP] B. Deroin, V. Kleptsyn and A. Navas, *Symmetric random walks on $\text{Homeo}^+(\mathbb{R})$* , Ann. Probability **41**(2013), 2066-2089.
- [G] É. Ghys, *Prolongements des difféomorphismes de la sphère*, L'Enseign. Math. **37**(1991), 45-59.
- [H] S. Hurtado, *Continuity of discrete homomorphisms of diffeomorphism groups*, Arxiv: 1307.4447 [math.GT]
- [M] K. Mann, *Homomorphisms between diffeomorphism groups*, To appear in Ergod. Th. Dyn. Sys.
- [M'] S. Matsumoto, *New proofs of theorems of Kathryn Mann*, Arxiv: 1308.5381 [math.GT].
- [Mi1] E. Militon, *éléments de distorsion du groupe des difféomorphismes isotopes à l'identité d'une variété compacte*, Arxiv: 1005.1765 [math.DS].
- [Mi2] E. Militon, *Actions of groups of homeomorphisms on one-manifold*, Arxiv: 1302.3737 [math.DS].
- [N] A. Navas, *On the dynamics of (left) orderable groups*, Ann. l'inst. Fourier **60**(2010), 1685-1740.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, NIHON UNIVERSITY, 1-8-14 KANDA, SURUGADAI, CHIYODA-KU, TOKYO, 101-8308 JAPAN
E-mail address: `matsumo@math.cst.nihon-u.ac.jp`