

Ergodic properties of invariant measures for systems with average shadowing property

Yiwei Dong*, Xueting Tian**, and Xiaoping Yuan***

School of Mathematical Science, Fudan University

Shanghai 200433, People's Republic of China

* email:10110180001@fudan.edu.cn; ** email:xuetingtian@fudan.edu.cn

*** email:xpyuan@fudan.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we explore a topological system $f : M \rightarrow M$ with average shadowing property. We extend Sigmund's results and show that every non-empty, compact and connected subset $V \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{inv}(f)$ coincides with $V_f(y)$, where $\mathcal{M}_{inv}(f)$ denotes the space of invariant Borel probability measures on M , and $V_f(y)$ denotes the accumulation set of time average of Dirac measures supported at the orbit of y . We also show that the set $M_V = \{y \in M : V_f(y) = V\}$ is dense in $\Delta_V = \bigcup_{\nu \in V} \text{supp}(\nu)$. In particular, if $\Delta_{max} = \bigcup_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{inv}(f)} \text{supp}(\nu)$ coincides with M , then $M_{max} = \{y : V_f(y) = \mathcal{M}_{inv}(f)\}$ is residual in M .

1 Introduction

Specification property has been first considered by Bowen in [3], and was used later by various authors. A continuous map $f : M \rightarrow M$ satisfies the specification property if for all $\epsilon > 0$, $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, there exists an integer $m(\epsilon)$ such that for any collection $\{I_j = [a_j, b_j] \subset \mathbb{N} : j = 1, \dots, k\}$ of finite intervals with $a_{j+1} - b_j \geq m(\epsilon)$ for $j = 1, \dots, k-1$ and any $x_1, \dots, x_k$ in M , there exists a point $x \in M$ such that $d(f^{a_j+t}x, f^t x_j) < \epsilon$ for all $t = 0, \dots, b_j - a_j$ and $j = 1, \dots, k$. Furthermore, we say f satisfies Bowen's periodic specification if the point x can be chosen to be periodic with period p for every $p \geq b_k - a_1 + m(\epsilon)$.

In the 1970s Sigmund paid attentions to C^1 uniformly hyperbolic systems and got two approximation properties: one is that invariant measures can be approximated by periodic measures [11]; the other is that every non-empty, compact and connected subset of the space of invariant measures coincides with the accumulation set of time averages of Dirac

measures supported at the orbit of some point, and such points are dense [12]. For any Axiom A system which naturally satisfies specification property [4], Sigmund constructed a series of periodic orbits to achieve the goal: in view of specification property, he used a periodic orbit to shadow the initial two orbit segments, and then used a new periodic orbit to shadow the previous periodic orbit segment and a new orbit segment. Then he repeated this process to get a sequence of periodic points by induction. Finally, he showed that these periodic points constitute a Cauchy sequence and the orbit passing the limit point approximates all the given infinitely many orbit segments.

Years later with the help of Pesin theory, Liang *et al* extended this result to $C^{1+\alpha}$ non-uniformly hyperbolic systems and got precisely the same conclusion[8]. In their case, an ergodic hyperbolic measure ω along with an ω -full-measure set called Pesin set $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is considered. For a given pseudo-orbit consisting of finitely many orbit segments in $\tilde{\Lambda}$, one can not guarantee that its shadowing periodic orbit also stays in $\tilde{\Lambda}$. Thus one cannot connect the shadowing periodic orbit with the new orbit segment to create a new periodic pseudo-orbit and then Sigmund's process terminates. To deal with this, Liang *et al* employed Katok's shadowing lemma and constructed only once the required orbit and avoided induction.

We now focus on another property called average shadowing which was introduced at the end of 1980s by Blank [1, 2] who proved that certain kinds of perturbed hyperbolic systems have the average shadowing property. Now we recall some definitions about average shadowing. For given $\delta > 0$, the sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ in M is called δ -average-pseudo-orbit of f if there exists a positive integer $N = N(\delta)$ such that for all $n \geq N$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(f(x_{i+k}), x_{i+k+1}) < \delta.$$

We say that f has the average shadowing property if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that every δ -average-pseudo-orbit $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is ϵ -shadowed in average by the orbit of some point $y \in M$, that is

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(f^i(y), x_i) < \epsilon.$$

Recently, some relationships between several shadowing and specification properties are revealed by Kulczycki *et al* in [6]. They show that when f is surjective, almost specification property $\Rightarrow$ asymptotic average shadowing property $\Rightarrow$ average shadowing property. In particular, we show in the last section that specification property indicates that f is surjective, and it's showed in [10] that specification property $\Rightarrow$ almost specification property, thus our property is weaker than specification. Since there are various systems such as the β -shift with almost specification property [10] and time- t map of

Axiom A flows with specification which maybe does not satisfy Bowen's specification property [14], we can apply our results to these systems.

By the way, Thompson pointed out in [13, 14] that for systems with (almost) specification property, irregular set is either empty or shares the same topological entropy (pressure) with the whole space. The cases of average shadowing property are under our consideration recently.

We denote by $V_f(x)$ the set of accumulation measures of time averages

$$\delta(x)^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \delta_{f^j(x)}$$

where $\delta(x)$ denote the Dirac measure at x . Now we state our main theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *If M is compact metric space and $f : M \rightarrow M$ is a continuous map with average shadowing property, then for every non-empty connected compact set $V \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{inv}(f)$, there exists a point $y \in M$ such that*

$$V = V_f(y).$$

Moreover, the set $M_V = \{y \in M : V_f(y) = V\}$ is dense in $\Delta_V = \bigcup_{\nu \in V} \text{supp}(\nu)$.

A point $x \in M$ is called a generic point for an f -invariant measure ν if, for any $\varphi \in C^0(M, \mathbb{R})$, the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi(f^i x)$ exists and equal to $\int \varphi d\nu$. Setting $V = \{\nu\}$ in the above theorem, the following result holds.

Corollary 1.2. *Every f -invariant measure ν has generic points, and such points are dense in $\text{supp}(\nu)$.*

In a Baire space, a set is residual if it contains a countable intersection of dense open sets. We have

Corollary 1.3. *If $\Delta_{max} = \bigcup_{\nu \in \mathcal{M}_{inv}(f)} \text{supp}(\nu)$ coincides with M , then $M_{max} = \{y : V_f(y) = \mathcal{M}_{inv}(f)\}$ is residual in M .*

Let $C^0(M, \mathbb{R})$ be the set of all continuous functions on the metric space M . $\forall \varphi \in C^0(M, \mathbb{R})$, define the irregular set for φ as

$$I_\varphi := \{x \in M : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi(f^i(x)) \text{ does not exist}\}.$$

We have

Corollary 1.4. *If Δ_{max} coincides with M , then either $I_\varphi = \emptyset$ or is residual in M .*

With the relations between several properties showed in [6], we have

Corollary 1.5. *For compact topological systems with f surjective, if f satisfies one of the following properties, all above conclusions hold:*

- (1) f has almost specification property;
- (2) f has asymptotic average shadowing property.

Indeed, from [6], we have (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ average shadowing property so that this corollary follows from above conclusions. In particular, we have

Corollary 1.6. *For systems with specification property, all above conclusions hold and can be stated more better:*

- (1) the set $M_V = \{y \in M : V_f(y) = V\}$ is dense in M ;
- (2) Every f -invariant measure ν has generic points, and such points are dense in M ;
- (3) $M_{\max}$ is residual in M .
- (4) $\forall \varphi \in C^0(M, \mathbb{R})$, $I_\varphi = \emptyset$ or is residual in M .

Remark 1.7. Sigmund had proved (1)(2)(3) of Corollary 1.6 in [4] when the system satisfies Bowen's specification. Here we do not require the shadowing being periodic.

Remark 1.8. For systems with specification property, main result in [7] by Li *et al* is that the irregular set I_φ is either empty or residual. Here it is case (4) of Corollary 1.6 which can simply deduced from case (3). Moreover, Corollary 1.4 generalizes this result to a larger class of topological dynamical systems.

Detailed proof of Theorem 1.1, Corollary 1.3, 1.4 and 1.6 will be given in section 3, 4, 5 respectively.

2 Basic Lemma

Given a finite subset $F \subseteq C^0(M, \mathbb{R})$, we denote

$$\|F\| = \max\{\|\xi\| : \xi \in F\},$$

where $\|\xi\| = \max\{|\xi(x)| : x \in M\}$. We then need the following useful lemma [8].

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that $f : M \rightarrow M$ is a continuous map on a compact metric space and ν is an f -invariant measure. Then for any number $\gamma > 0$, any finite subset $F \subseteq C^0(M, \mathbb{R})$ and any set $\Delta \subseteq M$ with $\nu(\Delta) > (1 + (\gamma/16\|F\|))^{-1}$, there is a measurable partition $\{R_j\}_{j=1}^b$ of Δ ($b \in \mathbb{Z}$), such that for any $x_j \in R_j$, there exists a positive integer T such that, for any integer $T_j \geq T$ ($1 \leq j \leq b$) and any $\xi \in F$,*

$$\left| \int \xi(x) d\nu - \sum_{j=1}^b \theta_j \frac{1}{T_j} \sum_{h=0}^{T_j-1} \xi(f^h(x_j)) \right| < \gamma, \quad (2.1)$$

for any $\theta_j > 0$ satisfying

$$|\theta_j - \frac{\nu(R_j)}{\nu(\Delta)}| < \frac{\gamma}{2b\|F\|}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq b, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^b \theta_j = 1.$$

This lemma relies mainly on Birkhoff ergodic theorem and ergodic decomposition theorem. In this paper, we choose each $T_j = T$ and $\Delta = M$ and rewrite (2.1) as:

$$|\int \xi(x) d\nu - \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{\theta_j}{T} \sum_{h=0}^{T-1} \xi(f^h(x_j))| < \gamma.$$

To construct the orbit segments approximating the measure considered, we expect the weights θ_j be rational. Thus we substitute each θ_j by some rational number like this: For γ, F and b , we choose an integer $S \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $0 < 1/S < \min\{\frac{\gamma}{4b\|F\|}, \frac{\nu(R_j)}{2}\}$. Then there exist integer $\overline{s}_1, \overline{s}_2, \dots, \overline{s}_b$ satisfying $\frac{\overline{s}_j}{S} \leq \nu(R_j) < \frac{\overline{s}_j+1}{S}$. Taking $s_j = \overline{s}_j$ or $\overline{s}_j + 1$ such that $S = \sum_{j=1}^b s_j$ and $\theta_j = \frac{s_j}{S}$, in view of the above lemma, we then have

$$|\int \xi(x) d\nu - \sum_{j=1}^b \frac{s_j}{ST} \sum_{h=0}^{T-1} \xi(f^h(x_j))| < \gamma \quad \text{for any } \xi \in F.$$

Thus we obtain some pseudo-orbit whose time average of Dirac measure lies in the (ξ, γ) -neighbourhood of the f -invariant measure ν , which offer an approximation to some extent. More precisely, we give the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *Let ν be an f -invariant measure, then for any number $\gamma > 0$, there exists $T > 0, S \in \mathbb{N}$ and orbit segment $(z_j, f z_j, \dots, f^{T-1} z_j)_{j=1}^S$ satisfying that: $\forall \xi \in F$,*

$$|\int \xi(x) d\nu - \sum_{j=1}^S \frac{1}{ST} \sum_{h=0}^{T-1} \xi(f^h(z_j))| < \gamma.$$

Proof. Applying lemma 2.1 and set $z_1 = \dots = z_{s_1} = x_1, z_{s_1+1} = \dots = z_{s_1+s_2} = x_2, \dots, z_{\sum_{h=1}^{b-1} s_h+1} = \dots = z_S = x_b$. □

3 Proof of Main Theorem

If $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is a dense subset of $C^0(M, \mathbb{R})$, then

$$d(\nu, m) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{|\int \varphi_j d\nu - \int \varphi_j dm|}{2^j \|\varphi_j\|}$$

defines a metric on $\mathcal{M}(M)$ giving the *weak** topology (see [15]), where $\mathcal{M}(M)$ denotes the set of Borel probability measures on M .

We can assume $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ satisfies that $\|\varphi_j\| \leq 1$, and $|\varphi_j(x) - \varphi_j(y)| \leq d(x, y)$, $\forall x, y \in M$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, due to Stone-Weierstrass theorem, since M is a compact metric space, we have that the space of all Lipschitz functions on M is dense in the Banach space of $C^0(M, \mathbb{R})$ (for example, see [5]). Therefore, we can choose each φ_j to be Lipschitz in the definition of metric on $\mathcal{M}(M)$. Denote L_j the corresponding Lipschitz constant of φ_j and define $\widetilde{\varphi}_j = \frac{\varphi_j}{L_j + \|\varphi_j\|}$. Then we see clearly that the norm and Lipschitz constant of each $\widetilde{\varphi}_j$ is controlled by 1, i.e. $\|\widetilde{\varphi}_j\| \leq 1$, and $|\widetilde{\varphi}_j(x) - \widetilde{\varphi}_j(y)| \leq d(x, y)$, $\forall x, y \in M$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. If one use $\{\widetilde{\varphi}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ to define the metric $\widetilde{d}(\nu, m)$ on $\mathcal{M}(f)$. Then $\widetilde{d}(\nu, m) = d(\nu, m)$. In other words,

$$\nu_i \rightarrow \nu \Leftrightarrow \int \varphi_j d\nu_i \rightarrow \int \varphi_j d\nu, \forall j \Leftrightarrow \int \widetilde{\varphi}_j d\nu_i \rightarrow \int \widetilde{\varphi}_j d\nu, \forall j.$$

For brevity, we suppose $\{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ with norm and Lipschitz constant less than 1.

It is well known that $\mathcal{M}_{inv}(f)$ is a compact metric subspace of $\mathcal{M}(M)$. For any non-empty connected compact set $V \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{inv}(f)$, there exists a sequence of open balls B_n in $\mathcal{M}_{inv}(f)$ with radius ζ_n in the metric d such that the following holds [4]:

- (a) $B_n \cap B_{n+1} \cap V \neq \emptyset$.
- (b) $\bigcap_{N=1}^\infty \bigcup_{n \geq N} B_n = V$.
- (c) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_n = 0$.

Since B_n is open, by (a) we can take a $Y_n \in B_n \cap V$ for each n . For non-empty, compact and connected subset $V \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{inv}(f)$, we have to show that there exists a $y \in M$ such that $V = V_f(y)$. Now we set our proof in four steps:

Step1. Construction of orbit segments and selection of average-shadowing point.

For $\gamma_n = 2^{-n}$ and a finite set $F_n = \{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^n \subseteq \{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, by Proposition 2.2, there exists $T_n > 0, S_n \in \mathbb{N}$ and orbit segments $\{z_j^n, f z_j^n, \dots, f^{T_n-1} z_j^n\}_{j=1}^{S_n}$ satisfying

$$\left| \int \xi(x) dY_n - \frac{1}{S_n T_n} \sum_{j=1}^{S_n} \sum_{h=0}^{T_n-1} \xi(f^h(z_j^n)) \right| < 2^{-n} \quad (3.2)$$

for all $\xi \in F_n$.

Furthermore, we can suppose that $S_n \geq 2S_{n-1}, T_n \geq 2T_{n-1}, \forall n \geq 1$. Denote $p_n = S_n T_n$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= b_0 = 0, \\ a_n &= b_{n-1} + 1, \\ b_n &= a_n + N_n p_n, \end{aligned}$$

where $N_n = 2^n(a_n + p_{n+1})$, $n \geq 1$. Then we construct the orbit segments as follows:

For $l \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}, r \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $l = a_n + rp_n + (j-1)T_n + q$, where $0 \leq r < N_n, 1 \leq j \leq S_n, 0 \leq q < T_n$, therefore, we define $x_l = f^q(z_j^n)$ and get a pseudo-orbit $\{x_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$. Now we prove the following important lemma:

Lemma 3.1. $\forall \delta > 0, \{x_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a δ -average-pseudo-orbit.

Proof. $\forall \delta > 0$, we need to find a positive integer $N = N(\delta)$ such that for all $n \geq N$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(f(x_{i+k}), x_{i+k+1}) < \delta.$$

When saying a gap between x_n and x_{n+1} , we mean that $d(f(x_n), x_{n+1}) > 0$, and the size of the gap between x_n and x_{n+1} is defined as $d(f(x_n), x_{n+1})$. Then we can easily see that most of the pseudo-orbit are built of real orbit segments while only $N_n S_n$ gaps occur between segment from a_n to b_n . Now suppose k locates in $[a_u, b_u]$ and $n+k$ locates in $[a_v, b_v]$, where $u \in \mathbb{N}, v \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq u \leq v$. We first observe a fact that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, the average gap of the orbit segment between $[a_n, b_n]$ should be no greater than D/T_n , where D is the diameter of M .

Proof of the fact. Consider orbit segment $\{x_i\}_{i=a_n}^{a_n+d}$, where $d = rp_n + (j-1)T_n + q$, where $0 \leq r < N_n, 1 \leq j \leq S_n, 0 \leq q < T_n$. So the average gap of this orbit segment should be no greater than $\frac{rS_n D + (j-1)D}{rS_n T_n + (j-1)T_n + q}$, which is smaller than D/T_n . $\square$

For convenience, we denote $C_n = D/T_n$. Assume that

$$k = a_u + r_1 p_u + (j_1 - 1)T_u + q_1, \text{ where } 0 \leq r_1 < N_u, 1 \leq j_1 \leq S_u, 0 \leq q_1 < T_u.$$

and

$$n+k = a_v + r_2 p_v + (j_2 - 1)T_v + q_2, \text{ where } 0 \leq r_2 < N_v, 1 \leq j_2 \leq S_v, 0 \leq q_2 < T_v,$$

Denote $A = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(f(x_{i+k}), x_{i+k+1})$, i.e. the average gap between k and $n+k$. We now give estimation on A , and thus get the number $N(\delta)$ we need.

Case 1: $v = u$.

As showed above, $A \leq D/T_v$. Recall that $T_n \geq 2T_{n-1}$, thus if we choose v such that

$$\frac{D}{T_v} \leq \frac{D}{2^{v-1}T_1} \leq \delta,$$

we get $v \geq \log_2(D/\delta T_1) + 1$.

Case 2: $v = u + 1$.

Denote $\alpha_u = (N_u - r_1)S_u T_u - (j_1 - 1)T_u - q_1$ and $\beta_v = r_2 S_v T_v + (j_2 - 1)T_v + q_2$.

Then

$$A \leq \frac{C_u \alpha_u + C_v \beta_v}{\alpha_u + \beta_v} \leq \max\{C_u, C_v\} = C_u.$$

So $C_u = C_{v-1} = D/T_{v-1} \leq \delta$ gives $v \geq \log_2(D/\delta T_1) + 2$.

Case 3: $v \geq u + 2$.

$$A \leq \frac{C_u \alpha_u + \sum_{i=u+1}^{v-1} C_i N_i S_i T_i + C_v \beta_v}{\alpha_u + \sum_{i=u+1}^{v-1} N_i S_i T_i + \beta_v}.$$

Since

$$\frac{C_u \alpha_u + \sum_{i=u+1}^{v-1} C_i N_i S_i T_i}{\alpha_u + \sum_{i=u+1}^{v-1} N_i S_i T_i} \geq C_{v-1} > C_v,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &\leq \frac{C_u \alpha_u + \sum_{i=u+1}^{v-1} C_i N_i S_i T_i}{\alpha_u + \sum_{i=u+1}^{v-1} N_i S_i T_i} \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{i=u}^{v-1} C_i N_i S_i T_i}{\sum_{i=u+1}^{v-1} N_i S_i T_i} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=u}^{v-1} \frac{D N_i S_i}{N_{v-1} S_{v-1} T_{v-1}} \\ &\leq \frac{(v-1) D N_{v-1} S_{v-1}}{N_{v-1} S_{v-1} T_{v-1}} \\ &= \frac{v-1}{T_{v-1}} D \\ &\leq \frac{v-1}{2^{v-2}} \cdot \frac{D}{T_1} \\ &\leq 2^{-\frac{v}{2}+2} \cdot \frac{D}{T_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $v \geq u + 2 \geq 2$, the last inequality holds. Let $2^{-\frac{v}{2}+2} \cdot \frac{D}{T_1} \leq \delta$, and we get

$$v \geq 2 \log_2(D/\delta T_1) + 4.$$

In conclusion, we choose

$$v_{max} = \max\{\lceil \log_2(D/\delta T_1) \rceil + 1, \lceil \log_2(D/\delta T_1) \rceil + 2, 2\lceil \log_2(D/\delta T_1) \rceil + 4\}$$

and $N(\delta) = a_{v_{max}}$. We then see that for all $n > N(\delta)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(f(x_{i+k}), x_{i+k+1}) < \delta.$$

Thus if we choose $\epsilon_m = 2^{-m}$, then $\exists \delta_m > 0$ such that for every δ_m -average-orbit $\{x'_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$, we can find a ϵ_m -average-shadowing point y'_m . In particular, by the lemma above, $\{x_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a δ_m -average-orbit, so we can find the corresponding ϵ_m -average-shadowing point

y_m . Since M is compact, we can find a subsequence y_{m_k} which converges to y as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We go on to show that y is exactly the point we need, i.e. $V = V_f(y)$.

Step 2. Verifying $V \subseteq V_f(y)$.

Let $\nu \in V$ be given. By (b) and (c) there exists an sequence $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$Y_{n_k} \rightarrow \nu. \quad (3.3)$$

Let $\xi \in \{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} F_n$ be given. Then there is an integer $n_\xi > 0$ such that $\xi \in F_n$ for any $n \geq n_\xi$. Denote by $\omega_\xi(\gamma)$ the oscillation $\max_{d(y,z) < \gamma} |\xi(y) - \xi(z)|$ and by ν_n the measure $\delta(y)^{b_n} = \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{j=0}^{b_n-1} \delta_{f^j(y)}$, then

$$\int \xi(x) d\nu_n = \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{j=0}^{b_n-1} \xi(f^j(y)).$$

Remark that if A is a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\#A} \sum_{j \in A} \varphi(f^j x) - \frac{1}{\max A} \sum_{j=1}^{\max A} \varphi(f^j(x)) \right| \leq \frac{2(\max A - \#A)}{\max A} \|\varphi\| \leq \frac{2(\max A - \#A)}{\#A} \|\varphi\|, \quad (3.4)$$

for any $x \in M$ and $\varphi \in C^0(M, \mathbb{R})$, where $\#A$ denote the cardinality of the set A .

Inequality (3.3) with

$$A_n = \bigcup_{r=1}^{N_n} \bigcup_{j=1}^{S_n} [a_n + (r-1)p_n + (j-1)T_n, a_n + (r-1)p_n + jT_n)$$

implies that

$$\left| \frac{1}{N_n S_n T_n} \sum_{j \in A_n} \xi(x_j) - \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{j=0}^{b_n-1} \xi(x_j) \right| \leq \frac{2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} N_i S_i T_i}{N_n S_n T_n} \|\xi\|.$$

Recall that $S_n \geq 2S_{n-1}$, $T_n \geq 2T_{n-1}$, $N_n = 2^n(a_n + p_{n+1})$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{N_n S_n T_n} \sum_{j \in A_n} \xi(x_j) - \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{j=0}^{b_n-1} \xi(x_j) \right| &\leq \frac{2(n-1)N_{n-1}S_{n-1}T_{n-1}}{N_n S_n T_n} \|\xi\| \\ &\leq \frac{(n-1)(a_{n-1} + p_n)}{4(a_n + p_{n+1})} \\ &\leq \frac{n-1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \\ &\leq 2^{-\frac{n}{2}+1} \text{ for } n \geq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

We now give estimation on $|\int \xi(x) dY_n - \int \xi(x) d\nu_n|$. The triangle inequality shows that

$$\left| \int \xi(x) dY_n - \int \xi(x) d\nu_n \right| \leq I + II + III,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= \left| \int \xi(x) dY_n - \frac{1}{S_n T_n} \sum_{j=1}^{S_n} \sum_{h=0}^{T_n-1} \xi(f^h(z_j^n)) \right| \\
II &= \left| \frac{1}{S_n T_n} \sum_{j=1}^{S_n} \sum_{h=0}^{T_n-1} \xi(f^h(z_j^n)) - \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{i=0}^{b_n-1} \xi(x_i) \right| \\
III &= \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{i=0}^{b_n-1} |\xi(x_i) - \xi(f^i(y))|.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.1), $I \leq 2^{-n}$ for $n \geq n_\xi$. For II, by the definition of x_i (see step 1), we see that

$$\frac{1}{S_n T_n} \sum_{j=1}^{S_n} \sum_{h=0}^{T_n-1} \xi(f^h(z_j^n)) = \frac{1}{N_n S_n T_n} \sum_{j \in A_n} \xi(x_j),$$

this together with (3.4) give that $II \leq 2^{-\frac{n}{2}+1}$ for n large enough. As for III, obviously $III \leq IV + V$, where

$$IV = \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{i=0}^{b_n-1} |\xi(x_i) - \xi(f^i(y_{m_k}))|, V = \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{i=0}^{b_n-1} |\xi(f^i(y_{m_k})) - \xi(f^i(y))|.$$

Since ξ has Lipschitz constant bounded by 1, we have

$$IV \leq \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{i=0}^{b_n-1} d(x_i, f^i(y_{m_k})).$$

Since the orbit of y_{m_k} 2^{-m_k} -shadows $\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in average, we have

$$\limsup_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} d(x_i, f^i(y_{m_k})) < 2^{-m_k}.$$

So there exist a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n > N$, we have $\frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{i=0}^{b_n-1} d(x_i, f^i(y_{m_k})) \leq 2^{-m_k}$. Choosing $m_k \geq n$, we then have $IV \leq 2^{-n}$. Consider V and a fixed n . Since $y_{m_k} \rightarrow y$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and f is continuous, we choose m_k large enough to ensure that $d(f^i(y_{m_k}), f^i(y)) < \delta$, $\forall 0 \leq i \leq b_n - 1$. Here, δ is the number guaranteeing $\omega_\xi(\delta) < 2^{-n}$ since ξ is continuous. Thus we see that $V \leq 2^{-n}$. After all, for n large enough, we have $III \leq 2^{-n} + 2^{-n} = 2^{-n+1}$.

In conclusion, we see that for $n \geq n_\xi$ large enough,

$$\left| \int \xi dY_n - \int \xi d\nu_n \right| \leq 2^{-n} + 2^{-\frac{n}{2}+1} + 2^{-n+1}, \tag{3.6}$$

which goes to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This together with (3.2) imply that $\nu_{n_k} \rightarrow \nu$ and thus $\nu \in V_f(y)$. Therefore, $V \subseteq V_f(y)$.

Remark 3.2. In the case of specification, there is a good approximation between orbit segment and shadowing orbit at every point. While in our case, estimations grow much complicated since average shadowing property informs little about the pointwise distance. Thus we choose φ_j to be Lipschitz continuous and deal with this problem integrally. Then we give the estimation between average-pseudo-orbit and the subtly constructed orbit through some intermediate average-shadowing-point, which arrives our goal.

Step 3. Verifying $V_f(y) \subseteq V$.

Let $\nu \in V_f(y)$ be given. There exists a sequence $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\delta(y)^{n_k} \rightarrow \nu$. For fixed n_k , suppose n_k locate in $[a_n, b_n]$ and $n_k = a_n + t$. More precisely, we suppose that $t = r_3 p_n + (j_3 - 1)T_n + q_3$, where $0 \leq r_3 < N_n, 1 \leq j_3 \leq S_n, 0 \leq q_3 < T_n$. Set $\alpha = \frac{t}{n_k}$, $\rho_{n_k} = (1 - \alpha)Y_{n-1} + \alpha Y_n$, we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \int \xi d\nu_{n_k} &= \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{j=0}^{n_k-1} \xi(f^j(y)) \\ &= (1 - \alpha) \frac{1}{b_{n-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{b_{n-1}-1} \xi(f^j(y)) + \alpha \cdot \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=a_n}^{n_k-1} \xi(f^j(y)). \end{aligned}$$

For the second term, as discussed in (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \left| \frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=a_n}^{n_k-1} \xi(f^j(y)) - \frac{1}{r_3 p_n} \sum_{j=a_n}^{a_n+r_3 p_n-1} \xi(f^j(y)) \right| &\leq \alpha \cdot \frac{2[(j_3 - 1)T_n + q_3]}{t} \|\xi\| \\ &= \frac{2[(j_3 - 1)T_n + q_3]}{n_k} \\ &\leq \frac{2p_n}{N_{n-1}p_{n-1}} \\ &\leq 2^{-n+2}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of x_j , we have

$$\frac{1}{r_3 p_n} \sum_{j=a_n}^{a_n+r_3 p_n-1} \xi(f^j(y)) = \frac{1}{N_n p_n} \sum_{j \in A_n} \xi(f^j(y)).$$

This together with (3.4) and (3.5) show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int \xi d\nu_{n_k} - \int \xi d\rho_{n_k} \right| \\ & \leq (1 - \alpha) \left| \frac{1}{b_{n-1}} \sum_{j=0}^{b_{n-1}-1} \xi(f^j(y)) - \int \xi dY_{n-1} \right| \\ & + \alpha \left| \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=a_n}^{n_k-1} \xi(f^j(y)) - \frac{1}{r_3 p_n} \sum_{j=a_n}^{a_n+r_3 p_n-1} \xi(f^j(y)) \right| + \alpha \left| \frac{1}{r_3 p_n} \sum_{j=a_n}^{a_n+r_3 p_n-1} \xi(f^j(y)) - \int \xi dY_n \right| \\ & \leq (1 - \alpha) \cdot (2^{-n+1} + 2^{-\frac{n-1}{2}+1} + 2^{-n+2}) + 2^{-n+2} + \alpha \cdot (2^{-n} + 2^{-\frac{n}{2}+1} + 2^{-n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for k large enough such that $n_k \gg n_\xi$. When $n_k \rightarrow \infty$, since $n_k = a_n + t$, we have $n \rightarrow \infty$, then the right side goes to zero. Thus ρ_{n_k} has the same limit as $\delta(y)^{n_k}$, i.e. ν .

On the other hand, the limit of ρ_{n_k} has to be in V , since

$d(\rho_{n_k}, V) \leq d(\rho_{n_k}, Y_n) \leq d(Y_{n-1}, Y_n) \leq 2\zeta_{n-1} + 2\zeta_n$ and $\zeta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $\nu \in V$.

Step 4. Verifying that M_V is dense in Δ_V .

For $x \in \Delta_V = \bigcup_{\nu \in V} \text{supp}(\nu)$, there exist a $\nu \in V$ such that for any neighborhood U of x , $\nu(U) > 0$. In view of the previous proof, we see that there exists a $y \in M_V$ such that $V_f(y) = V$. For such y , there exists a subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{j=0}^{n_k-1} \delta_{f^j(y)} = \nu$. Since U is open, $\liminf_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{j=0}^{n_k-1} \delta_{f^j(y)}(U) \geq \nu(U) > 0$ (see [15]). Then we see that the orbit of y pass U , say $f^k(y) \in U$. Since M_V is f -invariant, $f^k(y) \in M_V$. Therefore, M_V is dense in Δ_V .

4 Proof of Corollary 1.3

When Δ_{max} coincides with M , we only need to show that M_{max} contains a dense G_δ -set. Since $\mathcal{M}_{inv}(f)$ is compact and convex, we can find open balls B_n, C_n in $\mathcal{M}(M)$ such that:

- (a) $B_n \subset \overline{B_n} \subset C_n$;
- (b) $\text{diam} C_n \rightarrow 0$;
- (c) $B_n \cap \mathcal{M}_{inv}(f) \neq \emptyset$;
- (d) each point of $\mathcal{M}_{inv}(f)$ lies in infinitely many B_n .

Put

$$P(C_n) = \{y \in M : V_f(y) \cap C_n \neq \emptyset\}, \forall n \geq 1.$$

It can be verified that the set $M_{max} = \{y : V_f(y) = \mathcal{M}_{inv}(f)\}$ is just $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} P(C_n)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} P(C_n) &\supseteq \{y \in M : \forall N_0 \in \mathbb{N}, \exists N > N_0 \text{ with } \delta(y)^N \in B_n\} \\ &= \bigcap_{N_0=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{N > N_0} \{y \in M : \delta(y)^N \in B_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $y \mapsto \delta(y)^N$ is continuous (for fixed N), the sets $\bigcup_{N > N_0} \{y \in M : \delta(y)^N \in B_n\}$ are open. Thus $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} P(C_n)$ contains a G_δ -set.

On the other hand, for $\nu \in B_n \cap \mathcal{M}_{inv}(f)$ and $\forall x \in M_{max}$, there exists a subsequence $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} \delta_{f^i(x)} \rightarrow \nu$. So $\forall N_0 \geq 1, \exists N > N_0$ such that $\delta(y)^N \in B_n$, which implies that $\bigcup_{N > N_0} \{y \in M : \delta(y)^N \in B_n\} \supseteq M_{max}$. Setting $V = \mathcal{M}_{inv}(f)$ in step 4 of section 3, we see that M_{max} is dense in $\Delta_{max} = M$. Then all open sets $\bigcup_{N > N_0} \{y \in M : \delta(y)^N \in B_n\}$ are dense in M . Since M is a Baire space, a countable

intersection of dense G_δ -sets (or dense open sets) is also dense. Hence, $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} P(C_n)$ contains a dense G_δ -set.

5 Proof of Corollary 1.4

Suppose $I_\varphi \neq \emptyset$ and since M_{max} is residual in Δ_{max} , we are left to show that $M_{max} \subseteq I_\varphi$. First of all, we prove that there exists $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_{inv}(f)$ such that

$$\int \varphi d\nu_1 \neq \int \varphi d\nu_2.$$

Since $I_\varphi \neq \emptyset$, we choose some $x \in I_\varphi$, then $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi(f^i(x))$ diverges as $n \rightarrow \infty$, i.e. there exist two subsequences $l_k, m_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\lim_{l_k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{l_k} \sum_{i=0}^{l_k-1} \varphi(f^i(x)) = c_1, \quad \lim_{m_k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{i=0}^{m_k-1} \varphi(f^i(x)) = c_2,$$

where $c_1 \neq c_2$. Let $\mu_k = \frac{1}{l_k} \sum_{i=0}^{l_k-1} \delta_{f^i(x)}$ and $\lambda_k = \frac{1}{m_k} \sum_{i=0}^{m_k-1} \delta_{f^i(x)}$, then we choose two limit points ν_1 of μ_k and ν_2 of λ_k respectively. Obviously, ν_1 and ν_2 are f -invariant [15], and $\int \varphi d\nu_1 = c_1 \neq c_2 = \int \varphi d\nu_2$.

Next, for $\forall y \in M_{max}$, by definition of M_{max} , there exist two subsequences $d_k, e_k \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\delta(y)^{d_k} \rightarrow \nu_1, \quad \delta(y)^{e_k} \rightarrow \nu_2,$$

which imply that

$$\lim_{d_k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d_k} \sum_{i=0}^{d_k-1} \varphi(f^i(y)) = \int \varphi d\nu_1, \quad \lim_{e_k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{e_k} \sum_{i=0}^{e_k-1} \varphi(f^i(y)) = \int \varphi d\nu_2.$$

Since $\int \varphi d\nu_1 \neq \int \varphi d\nu_2$, we see that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi(f^i(y))$ does not exist, i.e. $y \in I_\varphi$.

6 Proof of Corollary 1.6

Firstly, we prove that Corollary 1.6 holds for systems with specification property. Since specification $\Rightarrow$ almost specification [10], and f surjective + almost specification property $\Rightarrow$ average shadowing property [6], we then only need to show that f is surjective.

Recall that from [4, 12] Bowen's periodic specification $\Rightarrow$ topologically mixing. Here we prove that specification also implies topologically mixing. In fact, we need to show that for any nonempty open set $U, V \subseteq M$, there exists an integer N such that for any $n > N$, one has $f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. In fact, we first select two points $x \in U$ and $y \in V$.

Since U, V are open, there exists an $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B(x, \epsilon) \subseteq U$ and $B(y, \epsilon) \subseteq V$. For such ϵ , by the specification property, there exists an integer $N = m(\epsilon)$ such that for any $n > N$, there exists a point $z \in M$ such that $d(y, z) < \epsilon$ and $d(x, f^n(z)) < \epsilon$. Therefore, $z \in f^n(U) \cap V$, i.e. f is topologically mixing.

Note that topologically mixing implies that the system is surjective. In fact, since M is compact, so the image $f(M)$ is compact, then as a compact subset of M , $f(M)$ is also closed. If $f(M) \neq M$, then $M \setminus f(M)$ is open. By the topological mixing property, for every open set $V \subseteq f(M)$, there exists an $n \geq 1$ such that $f^n(V) \cap (M \setminus f(M)) \neq \emptyset$, so there exists an $x \in V$ such that $f^n(x) \in M \setminus f(M)$, which is contradicts the fact that $f^n(x) = f(f^{n-1}(x)) \in f(M)$.

Secondly, here we point out that for systems with specification, M_V can be dense in M . That is, for $\forall z_0 \in M$ and any neighbourhood $B(z_0, \delta)$ of z_0 , $\delta > 0$, we need to find a $y \in M$ such that $V_f(y) = V$.

We still choose $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ as in our present paper in the definition of the metric on $\mathcal{M}(M)$ and the sequence of balls B_n in $\mathcal{M}_{inv}(f)$ satisfying (a) (b) (c) in the proof of theorem 1.1. Let $M_n = m(2^{-n}\delta)$ be defined in the definition of specification. As we have shown that specification $\Rightarrow$ average shadowing, we can use theorem 1.1 to find an $x \in M_V$. We then choose $Y_n \in B_n \cap V$ and a sequence of $t_n > 2^n(M_{n-1} + M_n)$ such that $d(\delta(x)^{t_n}, Y_n) < 2^{-n}$, $n \geq 1$. Define $p_n = t_n + M_{n-1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= b_0 = 0, \\ a_n &= b_{n-1} + M_n, \\ b_n &= a_n + N_n p_n, \end{aligned}$$

where $N_n = 2^n(a_n + t_{n+1} + M_{n+1})$. For N_n orbit segments of $\{f^i(x)\}_{i=0}^{t_n-1}$, by the specification property there is a $z_n \in M$ such that

$$d(f^{a_n+rp_n+q}(z_n), f^q(x)) < 2^{-n+1}\delta, \quad 0 \leq r < N_n, \quad 0 \leq q < t_n.$$

We now inductively find the $y \subseteq B(z_0, \delta)$ such that $V_f(y) = V$. For z_0 and $\{f^i(z_1)\}_{i=0}^{N_1 p_1}$, by specification, there is a y_1 such that

$$d(f^j(z_0), f^j(y_1)) < 2^{-1}\delta \quad \text{for } j = a_0 = b_0 = 0,$$

$$d(f^j(z_1), f^j(y_1)) < 2^{-1}\delta \quad \text{for } a_1 \leq j \leq b_1.$$

There is a y_2 such that

$$d(f^j(y_1), f^j(y_2)) < 2^{-2}\delta \quad \text{for } a_0 \leq j \leq b_1,$$

$$d(f^j(z_2), f^j(y_2)) < 2^{-2}\delta \quad \text{for } a_2 \leq j \leq b_2.$$

In general, there is a y_n such that

$$d(f^j(y_{n-1}), f^j(y_n)) < 2^{-n}\delta \quad \text{for } a_0 \leq j \leq b_{n-1},$$

$$d(f^j(z_n), f^j(y_n)) < 2^{-n}\delta \quad \text{for } a_n \leq j \leq b_n.$$

Since $d(y_{n-1}, y_n) < 2^{-n}\delta$, the sequence y_n converges to a point $y \in B(z_0, \delta)$, and one has

$$d(f^j(z_n), f^j(y)) \leq \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} 2^{-j}\delta \leq 2^{-n+1}\delta.$$

Now we prove $V_f(y) = V$. For $\xi \in \{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int \xi(x) dY_n - \int \xi(x) d\nu_n \right| \\ & \leq \left| \int \xi(x) dY_n - \frac{1}{t_n} \sum_{i=0}^{t_n-1} \xi(f^i(x)) \right| + \left| \frac{1}{t_n} \sum_{i=0}^{t_n-1} \xi(f^i(x)) - \frac{1}{t_n} \sum_{i=a_n}^{a_n+t_n-1} \xi(f^i(y)) \right| \\ & + \left| \frac{1}{t_n} \sum_{i=a_n}^{a_n+t_n-1} \xi(f^i(y)) - \frac{1}{N_n p_n} \sum_{i=a_n}^{b_n-1} \xi(f^i(y)) \right| + \left| \frac{1}{N_n p_n} \sum_{i=a_n}^{b_n-1} \xi(f^i(y)) - \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{i=0}^{b_n-1} \xi(f^i(y)) \right| \\ & \leq 2^{-n} + 2^{-n+2}\delta + \frac{2N_n M_{n-1}}{N_n t_n} \|\xi\| + \frac{2a_n}{N_n p_n} \|\xi\| \\ & \leq 2^{-n} + 2^{-n+2}\delta + 2^{-n+1} + 2^{-n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu_n = \delta(y)^{b_n}$. Here and the following, we use the fact that $\|\xi\| \leq 1$. For each $\nu \in V$, there is a sequence n_k such that $Y_{n_k} \rightarrow \nu$ as $n_k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $\nu_{n_k} \rightarrow \nu$, i.e. $V \subseteq V_f(y)$.

On the other hand, for any $\nu \in V_f(y)$, there is a sequence n_k such that $\delta(y)^{n_k} \rightarrow \nu$ as $n_k \rightarrow \infty$. If n_k locate in some interval (b_n, a_{n+1}) , then

$$\left| \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} \xi(f^i(y)) - \frac{1}{b_n} \sum_{i=0}^{b_n-1} \xi(f^i(y)) \right| \leq \frac{2M_{n+1}}{b_n} \|\xi\| \leq 2^{-n+1}.$$

Since $\nu_n = \delta(y)^{b_n}$ shares the same limit as $Y_n \in V$, we have $\nu \in V$. If n_k locate in some interval $[a_n, b_n]$, denote $\alpha = \frac{b_n-1}{n_k}$ and define $\rho_{n_k} = \alpha Y_{n-1} + (1-\alpha)Y_n$. We consider two cases.

Case 1: n_k locate in some interval $(a_n + rp_n + t_n, a_n + (r+1)p_n)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} \xi(f^i(y)) - \int \xi d\rho_{n_k} \right| \\
& \leq \alpha \left| \frac{1}{b_{n-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{b_{n-1}-1} \xi(f^i(y)) - \int \xi dY_{n-1} \right| + (1-\alpha) \left| \frac{1}{n_k - b_{n-1}} \sum_{i=b_{n-1}}^{n_k-1} \xi(f^i(y)) - \int \xi dY_n \right| \\
& \leq \alpha \cdot 2^{-n+1} + (1-\alpha) \left| \frac{1}{n_k - b_{n-1}} \sum_{i=b_{n-1}}^{n_k-1} \xi(f^i(y)) - \frac{1}{t_n} \sum_{i=a_n}^{a_n+t_n-1} \xi(f^i(y)) \right| \\
& + (1-\alpha) \left| \frac{1}{t_n} \sum_{i=a_n}^{a_n+t_n-1} \xi(f^i(y)) - \int \xi dY_n \right| \\
& \leq \alpha \cdot 2^{-n+1} + (1-\alpha) \frac{2(M_n + rM_{n-1})}{M_n + r(t_n + M_{n-1})} + (1-\alpha) \cdot 2^{-n} \\
& \leq \alpha \cdot 2^{-n+1} + (1-\alpha) \cdot 2^{-n+1} + (1-\alpha) \cdot 2^{-n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we see that $\delta(y)^{n_k}$ shares the same limit of ρ_{n_k} . However, the limit of ρ_{n_k} has to be in V , since $d(\rho_{n_k}, V) \leq d(\rho_{n_k}, Y_n) \leq d(Y_{n-1}, Y_n) \leq 2\zeta_{n-1} + 2\zeta_n$ and $\zeta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $\nu \in V$.

Case 2: n_k locate in some interval $[a_n + rp_n, a_n + rp_n + t_n]$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} \xi(f^i(y)) - \int \xi d\rho_{n_k} \right| \\
& \leq \alpha \left| \frac{1}{b_{n-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{b_{n-1}-1} \xi(f^i(y)) - \int \xi dY_{n-1} \right| + (1-\alpha) \left| \frac{1}{n_k - b_{n-1}} \sum_{i=b_{n-1}}^{n_k-1} \xi(f^i(y)) - \int \xi dY_n \right| \\
& \leq \alpha \cdot 2^{-n+1} + (1-\alpha) \left| \frac{1}{n_k - b_{n-1}} \sum_{i=b_{n-1}}^{n_k-1} \xi(f^i(y)) - \frac{1}{t_n} \sum_{i=a_n}^{a_n+t_n-1} \xi(f^i(y)) \right| \\
& + (1-\alpha) \left| \frac{1}{t_n} \sum_{i=a_n}^{a_n+t_n-1} \xi(f^i(y)) - \int \xi dY_n \right| \\
& \leq \alpha \cdot 2^{-n+1} + \frac{2(M_n + rM_{n-1} + t_n)}{b_{n-1} + rt_n} + (1-\alpha) \cdot 2^{-n} \\
& \leq \alpha \cdot 2^{-n+1} + \frac{2t_n}{b_{n-1}} + \frac{2(M_n + M_{n-1})}{t_n} + (1-\alpha) \cdot 2^{-n} \\
& \leq \alpha \cdot 2^{-n+1} + 2^{-n+1} + 2^{-n+1} + (1-\alpha) \cdot 2^{-n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Again we see that $\delta(y)^{n_k}$ shares the same limit of ρ_{n_k} . Following case 1, we see the limit of ρ_{n_k} has to be in V . Thus $\nu \in V$.

In summarize, we find a y in any neighborhood of any point $z_0 \in M$ such that $V_f(y) = V$, thus M_V is dense in M . The other conclusions follow immediately and we omit the proof here.

Remark 6.1. Here we use the orbit segment of $x \in M_V$ to represent the information of Y_n , thus avoid the application of lemma 2.1. $\square$

References

1. M. L. Blank, Deterministic properties of stochastically perturbed dynamical systems(Russian), *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen*, 33(1988), no. 4, 659-671; translation in *Theory Probab. Appl.* 33(1988), no. 4, 612-623.
2. M. L. Blank. Metric properties of ε -trajectories of dynamical systems with stochastic behavior, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 8(1988),365-378.
3. R. Bowen, Periodic points and measures for Axiom A diffeomorphisms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 154(1971), 377-397.
4. M. Denker, C. Grillenberger and K. Sigmund, *Ergodic theory on the compact space*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 527.
5. R. M. Dudley, *Real analysis and probability*, Cambridge University Press (2004).
6. M. Kulczycki, D. Kwietniak, and P. Oprocha. On almost specification and average shadowing properties, [arXiv:1307.0120](https://arxiv.org/abs/1307.0120).
7. Jinjun Li, Min Wu, Generic property of irregular sets in systems satisfying the specifcaiton property, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems* 34(2014), 635-645.
8. Chao Liang, Wenxiang Sun and Xueting Tian, Ergodic properties of invariant measures for $C^{1+\alpha}$ nonuniformly hyperbolic systems. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamic Systems*, 33(2013): 560-584.
9. C. E. Pfister, W. G. Sullivan, Large deviations estimates for dynamical systems without the specification property. Application to the β -shifts, *Nonlinearity* 18, 237-261 (2005).
10. C. E. Pfister, W. G. Sullivan, On the topological entropy of saturated sets, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamic Systems*,
11. K. Sigmund. Generic properties of invariant measures for Axiom A diffeomorphisms. *Invent. Math.* 11(1970), 99-109.
12. K. Sigmund. On dynamical systems with the specification property. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 190(1974). 285-299.

13. D. J. Thompson, Irregular sets, the β -transformation and the almost specification property, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 364(2012), 5395-5414.
14. D. J. Thompson, The irregular set for maps with the specification property has full topological pressure, Dynamical Systems, 25(2010), 25-51.
15. P. Walters. An introduction to ergodic theory. Springer, Berlin. 2001.

Key words and phrases: Averages shadowing; Specification property; Invariant measure

AMS Review: 54H20; 37A99; 37A05; 28A65; 37C50; 28A70; 28D05