arXiv:1312.2167v1 [math.GR] 8 Dec 2013

TWISTED CONJUGACY IN GENERALIZED THOMPSON GROUPS OF TYPE F

DACIBERG L. GONÇALVES AND PARAMESWARAN SANKARAN

Abstract *Let $\phi : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ be an endomorphism of a group Γ. We say that $x, y \in \Gamma$ are in the same ϕ-twisted conjugacy class and write $x \sim_\phi y$ if there exists an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $y = \gamma x \phi(\gamma^{-1})$. This is an equivalence relation on Γ called the ϕ-twisted conjugacy. Let $R(\phi)$ denote the number of ϕ-twisted conjugacy classes in Γ. If $R(\phi)$ is infinite for all $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$, we say that Γ has the R_∞-property. The purpose of this note is to show that the generalized Thompson's group F_n and the generalized Thompson groups $F(l, A, P)$ of type F have the R_∞ property. In fact we show that any group commensurable to $F(l, A, P)$ has the R_∞-property.*

1. Introduction

Let Γ be a group and let $\phi : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ be an endomorphism. Then ϕ determines an action Φ of Γ on itself where, for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $x \in \Gamma$, we have $\Phi_\gamma(x) = \gamma x \phi(\gamma^{-1})$. The orbits of this action are called the ϕ-twisted conjugacy classes. Note that when ϕ is the identity automorphism, the orbits are the usual conjugacy classes of Γ. We denote by $\mathcal{R}(\phi)$ the set of all ϕ-twisted conjugacy classes and by $R(\phi)$ the cardinality $\#\mathcal{R}(\phi)$ of $\mathcal{R}(\phi)$. We say that Γ has the R_∞-property if $R(\phi) = \infty$, that is if $\mathcal{R}(\phi)$ is infinite, for every automorphism ϕ of Γ.

The problem of determining which groups have the R_∞-property—more briefly the R_∞-problem—has attracted the attention of many researchers after it was discovered that all non-elementary Gromov-hyperbolic groups have the R_∞-property. See [14] and [10]. It is particularly interesting when the group in question is finitely generated or countable. The notion of twisted conjugacy arises naturally in fixed point theory, representation theory, algebraic geometry and number theory. In the recent years the R_∞-problem has emerged as an active research area. The problem is particularly interesting because there

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20E45, 20E36.
Keywords and phrases: Generalized Thompson's groups of type F, twisted conjugacy, Reidemeister number, R_∞-property, Bieri-Neumann-Strebel invariants, PL-homeomorphism groups of intervals.

does not seem to be a uniform approach to its resolution. A variety of techniques and ad hoc arguments from several branches of mathematics have been used to solve this problem depending on the group (or the class of groups) under consideration. These include (but not restricted to) combinatorial group theory, geometric group theory, homological algebra, C^*-algebras, and algebraic groups.

Recall that Richard Thompson introduced three groups F, T, and V in 1965 in an unpublished hand-written manuscript. The group T is the first example of a finitely presented infinite simple group. For an introduction to these groups we recommend the survey article [9]. Each of these groups have been generalized to obtain new families of groups.

We shall consider here generalization of the group F obtained by Bieri and Strebel [5], which contains as a special cases the groups $F_{n,\infty}$. The group $F_{n,\infty}$ and F_n, which contains $F_{n,\infty}$ as a finite index subgroup, were constructed by G. Higman [13]. These groups are finitely presented and arise as groups of orientation preserving PL-homeomorphisms of an interval $[0, l]$ or the real line. We shall now describe these groups briefly.

Let $\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$ be a non-empty set of integers $n_j \geq 2, 1 \leq j \leq k$. Let $P = \langle n_1, \ldots, n_k \rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ be multiplicative subgroup generated by the n_j and let $A = \mathbb{Z}[1/(n_1 \ldots n_k)] \subset \mathbb{Q}$. Let $l \in A$ be positive. Denote by $F(l, A, P)$ the group of all (orientation preserving) PL-homeomorphisms of $[0, l]$ having only finitely many break-points (i.e., points of non-differentiability) all of which are in A and the slopes at the smooth points are in P. These groups were considered by Bieri and Strebel in their unpublished work [5]. K. S. Brown [7, p.54 and Theorem 4.17] has shown that $F_{n,\infty}$ are finitely presented and are of type FP_∞. M. Stein [15] showed that the groups $F(l, A, P)$ are finitely presented and are of type FP_∞.

The group F_n consists of orientation preserving PL-homeomorphisms of the reals with finitely many break points in $\mathbb{Z}[1/n] =: A_n$ and slopes in $P_n := \langle n \rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ which are translations by integers near $\pm\infty$. The group $F_{n,\infty}$ is defined as the subgroup of F_n consisting of those elements which map the kernel of the unique ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[1/n] \to \mathbb{Z}_{n-1}$ (defined by sending n to 1) onto itself. It is known that $F_{n,\infty}$ is a normal subgroup of F_n having index $(n-1)$ and that $F_{n,\infty} \cong F(n-1, A_n, P_n)$; see [7],[6]. Also, one has an isomorphism $F_{2,\infty} = F_2 \cong F$.

Recall that two groups G_1 and G_2 are called *commensurable* if there exists a group H which can be embedded in G_1 and G_2 as a finite index subgroup. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *We keep the above notations. Let* $\{n_1, \ldots, n_k\}$ *be a non-empty set of integers* $n_j \geq 2, 1 \leq j \leq k$, *and let* $l \in \mathbb{Z}[1/n_1, \ldots, 1/n_k]$ *be positive. Any group which is commensurable with* $F(l, A, P)$ *has the* R_∞*-property. In particular,* F_n *has the* R_∞*-property.*

The R_∞-property for F was shown by Bleak, Fel'shtyn and Gonçalves [1]. It has been established by Gonçalves and Kochloukova [11, Corollary 4.2] that the groups $F_{n,\infty}$ have the R_∞-property, using the Σ-invariant $\Sigma(F_{n,\infty})$ defined to Bieri, Neumann, and Strebel [2]. Recently, the R_∞-property for the group T has been established by Burillo, Matucci and Ventura [8] and also by Gonçcalves and Sankaran [12].

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 also involves the Σ-invariant, which is recalled in §2. However, in order to handle the more general class of groups addressed here, we introduce, in §3, the notion of *rational equivalence* of characters and establish their basic properties which are relevant for our purposes. This leads to results which allows us to establish a criterion for the R_∞-property to hold for a finitely generated irreducible group of orientation preserving PL-homeomorphisms of a bounded interval in terms of its Σ-invariant. See Theorem 3.5. Theorem 1.1 will be proved in §4.

Acknowledgments: The first author has been partially supported by Fapesp project Temático Topologia Algébrica, Geométrica e Diferencial no 2012/24454-8. This project was initiated during the visit of the second author to the University of São Paulo in August 2012. He thanks the first author for the invitation and the warm hospitality. He is also thankful to the organizers of the XVIII Brazilian Topology Meet (EBT-2012) for the invitation and financial support, making the visit to Brazil possible.

2. Σ-invariants

In this section we recall the definition of the Σ-invariants which were introduced by Bieri, Neumann, and Strebel, [2] and by Bieri and Renz [3]. These invariants first arose in the context of metabelian groups; see Bieri and Strebel [4]. The relevance of the Σ-invariants to the R_∞-problem had already been brought out in the work of Gonçalves and Kochloukova [11] and will be recalled in §3.1.

Let R be ring with 1 and let A be an R-module. Let $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. Recall that A is said to be of type FP_m if there exists a projective resolution $\cdots \to P_1 \to P_0 \to A \to 0$ where P_j are finitely generated projective R-modules for $0 \leq j \leq m$. Let Γ be a monoid and consider the ring $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$. One has the augmentation map $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined as $\gamma \mapsto 1\ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$ which makes $\mathbb{Z}$ a $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module. One says that Γ is of type

FP_m if the $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$-module $\mathbb{Z}$ is of type FP_m. Any Γ is of type FP_0 since we may take $P_0 = \mathbb{Z}\Gamma \to \mathbb{Z}$ to be the augmentation map. Note that if Γ is a finitely generated group, then Γ is finitely generated as a monoid.

Let Γ be a finitely generated group. Let $\chi : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ be a character, i.e., a homomorphism of groups. Note that, since Γ is finitely generated, the space $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ of all characters of Γ is real vector space of dimension equal to the rank of the abelian group $\Gamma^{\mathrm{ab}} = \Gamma/[\Gamma, \Gamma]$. One has an equivalence relation on $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ defined as $\chi \sim \chi'$ if there exists a real number $r > 0$ such that $\chi' = r\chi$. We shall denote the equivalence class of χ by $[\chi]$. The space of all equivalence classes represented by non-zero characters of Γ is called the *character sphere* of Γ and is denoted $S(\Gamma)$. Fixing a finite set of generators for Γ gives rise to a rational structure for $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ and hence for $S(\Gamma)$. Note that $S(\Gamma)$ is homeomorphic to the sphere of dimension $rank(\Gamma^{\mathrm{ab}}) - 1$.

Let $[\chi] \in S(\Gamma)$. We obtain a monoid $\Gamma_\chi = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \chi(\gamma) \geq 0\}$. Note that $\Gamma_\chi = \Gamma_{\chi'}$ if $\chi \sim \chi'$ and that the commutator subgroup $[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ is contained in Γ_χ.

Definition 2.1. Let Γ be a finitely generated group. (i) ([2]) Let N be a normal subgroup of Γ that contains the commutator subgroup $[\Gamma, \Gamma]$. Define $\Sigma(\Gamma, N)$ to be the set of all $[\chi] \in S(\Gamma)$ such that N is finitely generated over a finitely generated submonoid of Γ_χ. We write $\Sigma(\Gamma)$ to denote $\Sigma(\Gamma, [\Gamma, \Gamma])$ and set $\Sigma^c(\Gamma) := S(\Gamma) \setminus \Sigma(\Gamma)$. (ii) ([3]) For $m \geq 1$, define $\Sigma^m(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) := \{[\chi] \in S(\Gamma) \mid \mathbb{Z}$ is of type FP_m as a $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma_\chi$-module$\}$.

It is clear that $\Sigma(\Gamma)$ is an invariant of the group Γ, that is, any automorphism of Γ induces a bijection of $\Sigma(\Gamma)$. It turns out that $\Sigma(\Gamma) = \Sigma^1(\Gamma; \mathbb{Z})$; see [3].

We say that $[\chi_1], \cdots, [\chi_k]$ are *independent* if, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have $\chi_i(N_i) \neq 0$ where $N_i = \cap_{j \neq i} \ker(\chi_j)$. (Cf. [2, §8].) Denoting by N the normal subgroup $\cap_{1 \leq j \leq k} \ker(\chi_j)$, it is evident that the χ_i define characters $\bar{\chi}_i$ on $\bar{\Gamma} := \Gamma/N$. We identify $\bar{\chi}_i$ with χ_i under the natural inclusion $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma/N, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\chi_i \in Hom(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}, 1 \leq i \leq k$. If $[\chi_i], 1 \leq i \leq k$, are independent then they are linearly independent in the vector space $Hom(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$. The converse holds if $[\chi_i]$ are all of rank 1.*

Proof. Suppose that $\chi_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$, are independent. Let, if possible, $r_1\chi_1 + \cdots + r_k\chi_k = 0$ with $r_1 \neq 0$. Choose $\gamma \in N_1$ such that $\chi_1(\gamma) \neq 0$. Since $\gamma \in N_1, \chi_j(\gamma) = 0$ for $j > 1$ and so we obtain that $r_1\chi_1(\gamma) = 0$. This implies that $r_1 = 0$, a contradiction.

Now assume that $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_k$ are linearly independent and of rank 1. Without loss of generality we may assume that $A(\chi_i) = \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then

$\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_k \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma^{\mathrm{ab}}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$ form part of a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_d$. Let $v_1, \ldots, v_d \in \Gamma^{\mathrm{ab}}$ (modulo torsion) be the dual basis. Then $v_i \in N_i$ and $\chi_i(v_i) = 1, 1 \leq i \leq k$, with $N_i = \cap_{j \neq i} \ker(\chi_j)$ showing that $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_k$ are independent. □

The converse part of the above lemma does not hold unconditionally. For example, let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^2$ with basis e_1, e_2, $\chi_1(e_1) = 1, \chi_1(e_2) = \sqrt{2}$, $\chi_2(e_1) = 1, \chi_2(e_2) = 0$. Then χ_1, χ_2 are linearly independent. But $N_2 = \ker(\chi_1) = 0$ and so $\chi_2(N_2) = 0$.

3. $\mathbb{Q}$-equivalence of characters

Let Γ be a finitely generated group. Let $\chi : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ be non-zero character of Γ. Denote by $A_\Gamma(\chi) \subset \mathbb{R}$, or more briefly $A(\chi)$, the abelian group generated by the image $\chi(\Gamma)$. If $\chi = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} x_j \epsilon_j$, then $A(\chi) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} \mathbb{Z} x_j$. By *rank* of χ, denoted $rank(\chi)$, we mean the rank of $A(\chi)$. When $rank(\chi) = 1$ one says that χ is *rational* or *discrete*. In this case the image of χ is a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$. Note that if $\chi' = r\chi$ for some $r \neq 0$, then $rank(\chi) = rank(\chi')$. We define $rank([\chi])$ to be $rank(\chi)$. If $\phi : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ is an automorphism, then it is evident that $\chi \circ \phi$ and χ have the same. In fact as ϕ induces an automorphism $\bar{\phi}$ of $\Gamma^{\mathrm{ab}}/torsion$, it follows that $A(\chi \circ \phi) = A(\chi)$. In particular, the map ϕ^* defined as $[\chi] \mapsto [\chi \circ \phi]$ of the character sphere $S(\Gamma) = Hom(\Gamma, \mathbb{R}) \backslash 0 / \sim$ preserves the rank.

Definition 3.1. If $\chi, \chi' \in S(\Gamma)$ we say that χ and χ' are $\mathbb{Q}$-*equivalent* (or *rationally equivalent*) if there exists a real number $r > 0$ such that $A(\chi) = A(r\chi')$.

For example, if χ is discrete then χ and χ' are rationally equivalent if and only if χ' is also discrete. We have the following lemma, whose proof is immediate from the definition of $\mathbb{Q}$-equivalence.

Lemma 3.2. *(i) Let $\chi = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} x_j \epsilon_j$ and $\eta = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} y_j \epsilon_j$ be non-zero characters of Γ. Then χ and η are rationally equivalent if and only if there exists a real number r and a matrix $R \in GL(d, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $y = rRx$.*
(ii) If ϕ is any automorphism of Γ, and χ is any (non-zero) character of Γ, then $\chi \circ \phi$ and χ are rationally equivalent.

Clearly $rank(\chi) = rank(\chi')$ if χ and χ' are rationally equivalent. The following example shows that the converse does not hold.

Example 3.3. Let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^2$ with basis e_1, e_2. Let $\chi(e_1) = 1, \chi(e_2) = \sqrt{2}; \eta(e_1) = 1, \eta(e_2) = \sqrt{3}$. Then χ and η are not rationally equivalent.

Lemma 3.4. *Let ϕ be an automorphism of Γ. Suppose that $\chi \in Hom(\Gamma, \mathbb{R})$ is a non-zero character such that $\chi \circ \phi = r\chi$ for some $r \neq 0$. Then $r = \pm 1$. Moreover, if $r = 1$, then the abelian group homomorphism $\theta : \Gamma/\ker(\chi) \to \Gamma/\ker(\chi)$ induced by ϕ is equal to the identity isomorphism.*

Proof. Write $\chi = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} x_j \epsilon_j$. Since $\chi \neq 0$, $x_j \neq 0$ for some j. Without loss of generality we may assume that $j = 1$. Note that $\phi^*(t\chi) = t\phi^*(\chi) = t\chi \circ \phi = rt\chi$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore we may and do assume that $x_1 = 1$. Thus we have $\chi(e_1) = 1 \in A(\chi)$. Now $\phi^*(\chi) = r\chi$ implies that $A(r\chi) = A(\chi \circ \phi) = A(\chi)$. On the other hand $A(r\chi) = r.A(\chi)$ and so $rA(\chi) = A(\chi)$. Since $A(\chi)$ is a finitely generated free abelian group, we must have $r = \pm 1$.

Let $r = 1$ so that $\chi \circ \phi = \chi$. Then $\phi(\ker(\chi)) \subset \ker(\chi)$. Since ϕ is an automorphism we have $\phi(\ker(\chi)) = \ker(\chi)$. For any $u \in \Gamma^{\mathrm{ab}}$, $\chi(u) = \chi(\phi(u))$. Suppose that $\phi(u) = v$, then $\chi(u) = \chi(v)$, that is, $u - v \in \ker(\chi)$. Hence $\phi(u) = v \equiv u \bmod \ker(\chi)$. □

3.1. **The R_∞-property and $S(\Gamma)$.** Suppose that $1 \to N \to \Gamma \to \Gamma/N \to 1$ is an exact sequence of groups and that $\phi \in Aut(\Gamma)$ is such that $\phi(N) = N$. Denote by $\bar{\phi}$ the endomorphism of Γ/N induced by ϕ. If $R(\bar{\phi}) = \infty$, then $R(\phi) = \infty$. Also, if N has finite index in Γ and if $R(\phi|_N) = \infty$, then $R(\phi) = \infty$. In particular if N is a finite index subgroup of Γ which is characteristic in Γ and if N has the R_∞-property, then so does Γ.

When Γ is finitely generated and N contains the commutator subgroup $[\Gamma, \Gamma]$, we note that the quotient Γ/N is a finitely generated abelian group and can be imbedded in $\mathbb{R}$. If $N \neq \Gamma$, each imbedding gives rise to a non-trivial character χ of Γ and hence an element of $[\chi] \in S(\Gamma)$. Conversely, any element $[\chi] \in S(\Gamma)$ yields an embedding of Γ/N where $N = \ker(\chi)$. When $\Sigma^c(\Gamma)$ is *finite*, $[\chi] \in \Sigma^c(\Gamma)$, and $\phi \in Aut(\Gamma)$, the possibilities for $[\chi \circ \phi]$ in $S(\Gamma)$ are limited since $[\chi \circ \phi] \in \Sigma^c(\Gamma)$. This allows one to obtain finer information on ϕ, which is not obtainable from $S(\Gamma)$ since the character spheres of Γ and $\Gamma/[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ are the same. This explains the relevance of the Σ-invariant to the R_∞-property. The following result generalizes Theorem 3.2 of [11].

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\phi \in Aut(\Gamma)$ and let $[\chi] \in S(\Gamma)$. Suppose that the orbit of $[\chi]$ under the action of $\langle \phi \rangle$ on $S(\Gamma)$ is a finite set of independent characters. Then $R(\phi) = \infty$. In particular, if $\Sigma^c(\Gamma)$ is a non-empty finite set of independent elements, then Γ has the R_∞-property.*

Proof. Let $\chi_j = \chi \circ \phi^j, j \geq 0$. Suppose that $\Omega = \{[\chi_j] \mid 0 \leq j < m\}$ is the orbit of $[\chi] = [\chi_0]$. Set $\lambda := \sum_{0 \leq j < m} \chi_j$. Then λ is non-zero since

Ω is independent. Now $\phi^*([\lambda]) = [\lambda] \in S(\Gamma)$ and so $\lambda \circ \phi = r\lambda$ for some $r > 0$. By Lemma 3.4, $r = 1$ and homomorphism $\bar{\phi} : \Gamma/\ker(\lambda) \to \Gamma/\ker(\lambda)$ induced by ϕ is the identity. Hence $R(\bar{\phi}) = \infty$ and so $R(\phi) = \infty$. If $\Sigma^c(\Gamma)$ is a finite set of independent elements, then, by what has been shown just now, $R(\phi) = \infty$ for *all* $\phi \in Aut(\Gamma)$ as ϕ^* preserves $\Sigma^c(\Gamma)$. Thus Γ has the R_∞-property. □

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let Γ be a finitely generated subgroup of the group of all orientation preserving PL-homeomorphisms of the interval $[0, l], l > 0$. Assume that Γ is irreducible, i.e., there are no Γ-fixed points in $(0, l)$. Let $\lambda_j(f)$ be the derivative of f sufficiently near jl, where $j = 0, 1$. Then $\lambda_j : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is a homomorphism of groups. Therefore $\tau_i := \ln \circ \lambda_j : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ is a character for $j = 0, 1$.

We recall the following result of Bieri-Neumann-Strebel.

Theorem 4.1. (Bieri-Neumann-Strebel [2, §8]) *Let Γ be a finitely generated irreducible group of orientation preserving PL-homeomorphisms of $[0, 1]$. If τ_0 and τ_1 are independent, then $\Sigma^c(\Gamma) = \{[\tau_0], [\tau_1]\}$.* □

The *support* of a homeomorphism $h : [0, l] \to [0, l]$ is the set $\text{supp}(h) = \{p \in [0, l] \mid h(p) \neq p\}$.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that $h : [0, l] \to [0, l]$ is an orientation preserving homeomorphism. Then $supp(h) = supp(h^k)$ for any non-zero integer k.*

Proof. Since $\text{supp}(h) = \text{supp}(h^{-1})$ we may assume that $k > 0$. Since h is orientation preserving, it is order preserving. Suppose that $x \in \text{supp}(h)$ so that $h(x) \neq x$. Say, $x < h(x)$. Then applying h to the inequality we obtain $h(x) < h^2(x)$ so that $x < h(x) < h^2(x)$. Repeating this argument yields $x < h(x) < \cdots < h^k(x)$ and so $x \in \text{supp}(h^k)$. The case when $x > h(x)$ is analogous. Thus $\text{supp}(h) \subset \text{supp}(h^k)$. On the other hand, if $x \notin \text{supp}(h)$, then $h(x) = x$ and so $h^k(x) = x$ for all k. Therefore equality should hold, completing the proof. □

Proposition 4.3. *We keep the above notations. Let Γ be an irreducible group of orientation preserving PL-homeomorphisms of $[0, l]$ generated by a finite set, say, $\{f_1, \ldots, f_k\} \subset \Gamma$. Suppose H is a subgroup of Γ such that $f_1^{n_1}, \ldots, f_k^{n_k} \in H$, for some positive integers $n_j, 1 \leq j \leq k$. Then H is irreducible. In particular any finite index subgroup of Γ is irreducible. If H is finitely generated and $\tau_0, \tau_1 : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ are independent, then so are the restrictions $\tau_0|_H, \tau_1|_H$ and $\Sigma^c(H) = \{[\tau_0|_H], [\tau_1|_H]\}$.*

Proof. First we claim that given any $0 < p < l$, there exists a j such that $f_j(p) \neq p$. Otherwise $f_j(p) = p$ for all j and since Γ is generated by the f_j, it follows that $f(p) = p$ for all $f \in \Gamma$, contradicting the hypothesis that Γ is irreducible.

Suppose that there exists a p such that $0 < p < 1$ and $h(p) = p$ for all $h \in H$. In particular $f_j^{n_j}(p) = p$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$. By Lemma 4.2 $f_j(p) = p$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ and so $f(p) = p$ for all $p \in \Gamma$, contradicting the hypothesis that Γ is irreducible. Hence $h(p) \neq p$ for some $h \in H$ and we conclude that H is irreducible.

Suppose H is any finite index subgroup of Γ, we observe that, for each generator f_j of Γ, there exists a positive integer n_j such that $f_j^{n_j} \in H$. Hence H is irreducible. Now $\ker(\tau_i) \cap H$ has finite index in $\ker(\tau_i) \cap \Gamma$. It follows that if τ_0, τ_1 are independent, then so are $\tau_0|_H, \tau_1|_H$. So $\Sigma^c(H) = \{[\tau_0|_H], [\tau_1|_H]\}$ by Theorem 4.1. □

Recall that $F(l, A, P)$ is the group of all orientation preserving PL-homeomorphisms of $[0, l]$ which have break points in $A = \mathbb{Z}[1/n_1, \ldots, 1/n_k]$ and slopes in multiplicative group $P = \langle n_1, \ldots, n_k \rangle \subset \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ where the $n_j \geq 2$ are pairwise relatively prime, and, $l \in A$ positive. Consider the characters $\tau_i : F(l, A, P) \to \mathbb{R}, i = 0, 1$, defined as above. Recall that if $\chi : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ is a character, we denote by $A(\chi)$ the subset $Im(\chi) \subset \mathbb{R}$. It is easy to see that $A(\tau_0) = A(\tau_1) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \mathbb{Z} \ln(n_j) \subset \mathbb{R}$. In particular $rank(A(\tau_i)) = k$. We claim that τ_0 and τ_1 are independent. To see this, first observe that there exist elements $f_j, g_j \in F(l, A, P), 1 \leq j \leq k$ such that f_j has slope n_j near 0 and 1 near l and g_j has slope 1 near 0 and n_j near l. It follows that $f_j \in \ker(\tau_1), 1 \leq j \leq k$, and $A(\tau_0)$ is generated by $\{\tau_0(f_j) = \ln(n_j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq k\}$, and $g_j \in \ker(\tau_0)$ and $A(\tau_1)$ is generated by $\{\tau_1(g_j) = \ln(n_j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq k\}$. Hence τ_0, τ_1 are independent. Therefore by Theorem 4.1 we have $\Sigma^c(F(l, A, P)) = \{[\tau_0], [\tau_1]\}$.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Write $\Gamma = F(l, A, P)$ and let H be any finite index subgroup of Γ. By Proposition 4.3, $\Sigma^c(H) = \{[\tau_0|_H], [\tau_1|_H]\}$ where where $\tau_j : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined as $\tau_j(f) = \ln(df/dt)|_{t=jl}, j = 0, 1$. The ranks of $A(\tau_0|_H)$ and $A(\tau_1|_H)$ as they are both finite index subgroups of $A(\tau_0) = A(\tau_1)$. Moreover, $\tau_0|_H, \tau_1|_H$ are independent.

Let $\phi \in Aut(H)$. If $\phi^* : \Sigma^c(H) \to \Sigma^c(H)$ is the identity map, then $R(\phi) = \infty$ by Lemma 3.4.

Suppose that ϕ^* swaps $[\tau_0|_H]$ and $[\tau_1|_H]$. Then ϕ^2 induces the identity map of $\Sigma^c(H)$. Since $\tau_0|_H, \tau_1|_H$ are independent, it follows by Theorem 3.5 that $R(\phi) = \infty$.

Now suppose that H is isomorphic to a finite index subgroup H' of Λ. Without loss of generality, may assume that H' is characteristic in Λ. (Otherwise we can find a subgroup H'_0 which is characteristic in Λ and has finite index in Λ. Now H'_0 is isomorphic to a subgroup H_0 of H having finite index in H and hence in Γ.) It follows that H' by has the R_∞-property. By our observation in §3.1, we conclude that Λ has the R_∞-property.

The assertion that F_n has the R_∞-property follows from fact that F_n has $F_{n,\infty}$ as a subgroup of index $n-1$ and that $F_{n,\infty}$ is isomorphic to $F(n-1, \mathbb{Z}[1/n], \langle n \rangle)$. □

Example 4.4. (i) Let $f_\lambda : [0,1] \to [0,1]$ be the PL-homeomorphism defined as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} (1/2)x, & 0 \le x \le 2/3 \\ 2x - 1 & 2/3 \le x \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Then the group Γ generated by f is infinite cyclic. Note that the Γ is irreducible as f has no fixed points in $(0,1)$. Since $\tau_0(f) = \ln(1/2) = -\tau_1(f)$, Theorem 4.1 is not applicable. In fact, the automorphism θ defined as $f \mapsto f^{-1}$ swaps $[\tau_0]$ and $[\tau_1]$ and $\tau_0 + \tau_1 = 0$. A simple calculation shows that $R(\theta) = 2$.

(ii) Let $r > 0$ and let f_r be the PL-homeomorphism of $[0,1]$ with exactly one break point at $x = r/(r+1)$ having slope $1/r$ near zero and r near 1. Explicitly,

$$f_r(x) = \begin{cases} (1/r)x, & 0 \le x \le r/(r+1) \\ rx + 1 - r, & r/(r+1) \le x \le 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\Gamma = \langle f_{r_1}, \ldots, f_{r_k} \rangle \subset \mathrm{Homeo}([0,1])$ be the subgroup of the group of homeomorphisms of $[0,1]$ generated by the f_{r_j} where $r_1, \ldots, r_k > 1$ are such that $\{\ln(r_j) \mid 1 \le j \le k\}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly independent. Then $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}^k$. Indeed, conjugation by the reflection map $x \mapsto 1 - x$ of $[0,1]$ induces an involutive automorphism θ of Γ where $\theta(f) = f^{-1}, \forall f \in \Gamma$. This is because $\theta(f_r) = f_{1/r} = f_r^{-1}, r > 0$, by direct computation. For any non-zero character $\chi : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}$, we see that $\chi \circ \theta = -\chi$. So θ induces the antipodal map of $S(\Gamma)$. Therefore Theorem 3.5 is not applicable. In fact Γ does not have the R_∞-property as $R(\theta) < \infty$. Again we observe that $\tau_0 = -\tau_1$ and so Theorem 4.1 is not applicable.

We end this paper with the following question.

Question: Theorem 1.1 suggests the following question: Does any group quasi-isomorphic to $F(l, A, P)$ have the R_∞-property?

References

[1] C. Bleak, A. Fel'shtyn, and D. L. Gonçalves, *Twisted conjugacy classes in R. Thompson's group F*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics **238** no.1 (2008), 1–6.

[2] R. Bieri, W. D. Neumann, and R. Strebel, *A geometric invariant of discrete groups.* Invent. Math. **90** (1987), 451–477.

[3] R. Bieri and B. Renz, *Valuations on free resolutions and higher geometric invariants of groups.* Comment. Math. Helv. **63** (1988), 464–497 .

[4] R. Bieri and R. Strebel, *Valuations and finitely presented metabelian groups.* Proc. London Math. Soc. **41** (1980), 439–464.

[5] R. Bieri and R. Strebel, *On groups of PL-homeomorphisms of the real line.* Preprint, Math. Sem. der Univ. Frankfurt, 1985.

[6] Matthew G. Brin and Fernando Guzmán, *Automorphisms of generalized Thompson groups*, Journal of Algebra **203** (1998), no. 1, 285–348.

[7] Kenneth S. Brown, *Finiteness properties of groups.* Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **44** (1987), 45–75.

[8] J. Burillo, F. Matucci, and E. Ventura, *The conjugacy problem for extensions of the Thompson's group F*. arxiv:1307.6750v2.

[9] J. W. Cannon, W. J. Floyd, and W. R. Parry, *Introductory notes on Richard Thompson's groups*, Enseignement Mathematique (2), **42** 3-4 (1996), 215–256.

[10] A. Fel'shtyn, *The Reidemeister number of any automorphism of a Gromov hyperbolic group is infinite.* Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) **279** (2001), Geom. i Topol. **6**, 229–240.

[11] D. L. Gonçalves and D. Kochloukova, *Sigma theory and twisted conjugacy classes.* Pacific Journal of Mathematics **247** (2010), 335–352.

[12] D. L. Gonçalves and P. Sankaran, *Twisted conjugacy in Richard Thompson group T*. arxiv:13092875v2.

[13] Graham Higman, *Finitely presented infinite simple groups.* Department of Pure Mathematics, Department of Mathematics, I.A.S. Australian National University, Canberra, 1974, Notes on Pure Mathematics, No. 8 (1974).

[14] G. Levitt and M. Lustig, *Most automorphisms of a hyperbolic group have very simply dynamics.* Annales Scient. École Normale Superieur **33** (2000), 507–517.

[15] Melanie Stein, *Groups of piecewise linear homeomorphisms.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **332** (1992), 477–512.

Deptametno de Matemática - IME, Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 66.281 - CEP 05314-970, São Paulo - SP, Brasil

E-mail address: dlgoncal@ime.usp.br

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, CIT Campus, Taramani, Chennai 600113, India

E-mail address: sankaran@imsc.res.in