Optimal Allocation of Power Pool for Two-Cell Single-User Joint Transmission

Tong Zhang, Xiaodong Xu, Xiaofeng Tao, and Qimei Cui

Joint transmission is a kind of cooperative transmission technology, which converts other cell interference into signal. In this letter, for single-user joint transmission, we propose that a power pool can be created in order to increase the system sum-rate or lower the power consumption. Then, we investigate the sum-rate maximization problem under this framework. Since it is impossible that subchannel gains for the two base stations are identical, we can obtain a much more concise structure and reduce variables to the half of original problem. And that the closed-form water-filling solution is unique and global optimum, because it is a convex optimization problem. Compared to the power allocation without pooling, the performance gain is verified by simulation.

arXiv:1410.4407v1 [cs.IT] 16 Oct 2014

Introduction: The coordinated multiple point transmission and reception (CoMP) is an effective way to improve cellular systems coverage, average spectrum efficiency and cell-edge user throughput [1]. Towards CoMP, We can jointly several base stations (BSs) provide the signal to the user, that is joint transmission (JT) [2]. In contrast to non-CoMP methods, the cooperative transmission suppresses the inter-cell interference through converting that to useful signal. Power allocation for JT with frequency-selective channel has been investigated in single-user (SU) or multi-user (MU) case [2, 3]. The optimal power allocation for point-to-point transmission with frequency-selective channel is handled using seperate water-filling [4]. However, without any cooperation structures in solutions, it doesn't bring a decent performance in SU-JT. [3] introduces an optimal cooperative water-filling strategy (named joint water-filling) for SU-JT with separate power management. To move on, next generation wireless network organization including mobile cloud computing (MCC) and cloud radio access network (CRAN) have emerged, which are characterized by centralized wireless resource control. [5] treats the MCC resource allocation problem in the radio and computing resources pooling framework. To be specific, the framework of resource sharing allows one server provider to use the others' resources. It implies that the compact cooperation of resources brings a higher level of resource utilization efficiency [5].

In this letter, to the best of our knowledge, we firstly solve the SU-JT power allocation problem under power pool. This novel power management is each BS puts a part of transmission power into joint consideration, as those power converge towards a virtual pool. For fear that the power allocation result is impractical, we require the size of power pool won't exceed the maximum transmission power of each BS. Furthermore, the power pool accords with the ideology of MCC resource pool, which reveals the future application potential.

Two-Cell Single-user Joint Transmission: We consider two BSs jointly transmit at one user using the same bandwidth. Between the two BSs, rather than separative power constraints, a central unit takes responsibility for the allocation of power pool restricting to a constant P. Assuming the central unit can acquire all channel state information and the infrastructure is fast enough. The channel model consists of both path loss (PL) and frequency-selective fading. Due to slow time-variation, the frequency-selective fading can be divided into several block-fading subchannels, approximately. Therefore, the total bandwidth is divided into N parts, corresponding to subchannels with ΔB bandwidth. We establish a sum-rate maximization model written as follows. The sum-rate of SU-JT is ideally calculated by *Shannon-Formula*.

$$\begin{aligned} \max \ & \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta B \log_2 \left(1+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2} P_{i,j}|H_{i,j}|^2}{N_0 \Delta B}\right) \\ s.t. \quad & C1: \sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N} P_{i,j} = P, P_{i,j} \geq 0 \\ & C2: P \leq \min\{P_{\max 1}, P_{\max 2}\} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where N_0 is *Additive White Gaussian Noise* (AWGN) spectrum density. $P_{i,j}$ denotes that the ith BS allocates power to jth subchannel $H_{i,j}$. $|\cdot|$ is the 2-norm operator. $P_{\max 1}, P_{\max 2}$ are the maximum transmission power of BS 1 and BS 2.




Fig. 1. Illustration of the power pool framework for two-cell SU-JT.

Closed-Form Water-filling Solution: In order to obtain the optimal power allocation vector $\left(P_{1,1}, P_{2,1}, P_{1,2}, P_{2,2} \cdots, P_{1,N}, P_{2,N}\right)$, we analyze the objection function first. Through the following theorem, we can reduce the variables to the half of problem (1) and acquire a much more concise structure.

Theorem 1: If $|H_{1,j}|^2 \neq |H_{2,j}|^2$, the power allocation of two BSs to the jth subchannel $(P_{1,j}, P_{2,j})$ must be $(P_{1,j}, 0)$ or $(0, P_{2,j})$.

Proof: Note that the size of pool is pre-defined and no larger than the transmission ability of two BS, so constraint-2 won't affect the optimized process. To solve the problem (1), we use Lagrange Multiplier method. The Lagrange dual function can be expressed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} L = & \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Delta B \log_2 \left(1+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2} P_{i,j}|H_{i,j}|^2}{N_0 \Delta B}\right) - \\ & \lambda\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{j=1}^{N} P_{i,j} - P\right) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

For the jth subchannel, we assume that it is transmitted by two BSs. Next, we can find the derivative of each variable in the Lagrange dual function, respectively.

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{P}} = \mathbf{0}, \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = 0 \tag{3}$$

Since the Lagrange multiplier is the same, we can derive the following equation.

$$\frac{|H_{1,j}|^2}{\ln 2(N_0\Delta B + \sum_{i=1}^{2} P_{i,j}|H_{i,j}|^2)} = \frac{|H_{2,j}|^2}{\ln 2(N_0\Delta B + \sum_{i=1}^{2} P_{i,j}|H_{i,j}|^2)} \tag{4}$$

To further simplify it by multiplying the same denominator.

$$|H_{1,j}|^2 = |H_{2,j}|^2 \tag{5}$$

This prove that the JT of the jth subchannel yields $|H_{1,j}|^2 = |H_{2,j}|^2$ is true.

As we know, the original proposition is equivalent with the converse-negative proposition. In other words, if $|H_{1,j}|^2 \neq |H_{2,j}|^2$ (two BSs jth subchannel gains are different), the power allocation of two BSs to the subchannel must be $(P_{1,j}, 0)$ or $(0, P_{2,j})$. ■

Since the probability of $|H_{1,j}|^2 = |H_{2,j}|^2$ is almost zero in practical, theorem 1 means each subchannel is only utilized by separative BS. We can divide the whole subchannels into two parts, K subchannels are transmitted by BS 1 and M subcannels are transmitted by BS 2. Besides, the equation $K + M = N$ is satisfied.

Without loss of optimality, the problem reformulation can be with a more concise structure, with half of original number of variables.

$$\begin{aligned} \max \ & \sum_{j=1}^{K} \Delta B \log_2 \left(1+\frac{P_{1,j}|H_{1,j}|^2}{N_0 \Delta B}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \Delta B \log_2 \left(1+\frac{P_{2,j}|H_{2,j}|^2}{N_0 \Delta B}\right) \\ s.t. \quad & C1: \sum_{j=1}^{K} P_{1,j} + \sum_{j=1}^{M} P_{2,j} = P, P_{i,j} \geq 0 \\ & C2: P \leq \min\{P_{\max 1}, P_{\max 2}\} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Theorem 2: The maximization problem (6) is a convex optimization problem.

Proof: We denote $N_0B/|H_{i,j}|^2$ as $\beta_{i,j}$, in order to derive the Hessian Matrix of objective function concisely. The Hessian Matrix is diagonal.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{-\Delta B}{\ln 2\left(\beta_{1,1}+P_{1,1}\right)^2} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \frac{-\Delta B}{\ln 2\left(\beta_{2,M}+P_{2,M}\right)^2} \end{bmatrix} \tag{7}$$

Note that all the odd-order principal leading minors and oven-order principal leading minors are negative and positive separatively, so the Hessian Matrix is the negative definite, namely the objective function is strictly concave. The $\mathbf{dom}\mathrm{R}$ is also a convex set. Because of $\mathbf{dom}\mathrm{R}=\{\mathbf{P}|\mathbf{P}\in R^{1\times(K+M)}, \mathbf{1}^T\mathbf{P}=P, \mathbf{P}\succeq\mathbf{0}\}$ and arbitrary $\theta\in[0,1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{1}^T(\theta\mathbf{P}_1+(1-\theta)\mathbf{P}_2)=\theta\mathbf{1}^T\mathbf{P}_1+(1-\theta)\mathbf{1}^T\mathbf{P}_2\\&=\theta P+(1-\theta)P=P\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and

$$0\preceq\theta\mathbf{P}_1+(1-\theta)\mathbf{P}_2\in R^{1\times(K+M)} \tag{9}$$

This shows that the convex combination $\theta\mathbf{P}_1+(1-\theta)\mathbf{P}_2$ also belongs to $\mathbf{dom}\mathrm{R}$. Therefore, the problem (6) is a convex optimization problem. ■

Based on the problem (6), we can derive Lagrange dual function as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}&L=\sum_{j=1}^{K}\Delta B\log_2\left(1+\frac{P_{1,j}|H_{1,j}|^2}{N_0\Delta B}\right)+\\&\sum_{j=1}^{M}\Delta B\log_2\left(1+\frac{P_{2,j}|H_{2,j}|^2}{N_0\Delta B}\right)-\lambda\left(\sum_{j=1}^{K}P_{1,j}+\sum_{j=1}^{M}P_{2,j}-P\right)\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Inspect the derivative of variable $P_{1,j}$ and $P_{2,j}$.

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial P_{1,j}}=0,\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial P_{2,j}}=0 \tag{11}$$

Now, we can obtain the closed-form water-filling solution. The common water-level is written as

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}=\frac{\left(P+N_0\Delta B\left(\sum_{j=1}^{K}\frac{1}{|H_{1,j}|^2}+\sum_{j=1}^{M}\frac{1}{|H_{2,j}|^2}\right)\right)}{N} \tag{12}$$

and each BS transmits at

$$P_{1,j}=\frac{1}{\lambda}-\frac{N_0\Delta B}{|H_{1,j}|^2},\ P_{2,j}=\frac{1}{\lambda}-\frac{N_0\Delta B}{|H_{2,j}|^2} \tag{13}$$

The closed-form water-filling solution is with separative power allocation for each BS and cooperative feature in common water-level. In addition, due to this is a convex problem, the solution is unique and global optimum for the problem (6).

Optimal Power Allocation: Based on the above analysis, the optimal power allocation vector $\mathbf{P}_i$ for each ith BS can be written as

$$\mathbf{P}_1=\left(P_{1,1}^*,\cdots,P_{1,K}^*,0,\cdots,0\right),\mathbf{P}_2=\left(0,\cdots,0,P_{2,1}^*,\cdots,P_{2,M}^*\right) \tag{14}$$

The $P_{i,j}^*=\max\{0,P_{i,j}\}$ is calculated by the water-filling solution. Our algorithm can be briefly described as follows.

At first, we compare the aligned subchannel gains for two BSs, and select the best one as candidates. Based on above solutions, we calculate the water-level for all candidate subchannels and the power allocation for each one. If there exist any subchannels power are below zero, we cancel those subchannels and re-execute power allocation of remaining subchannels again with a new water-level. Finally, the algorithm is terminated when the power allocation vectors are obtained without any negatives therein.

Simulation Results: In this section, we compare our power pool water-filling with separate water-filling [4] and joint water-filling [3]. The user locations are on the cell edge with the same distance to both BSs from 450m to 550m. The PL can be calculated by $\mathrm{PL}=137.74+35.22\lg(\mathrm{d})$ in dB [2]. The shadowing standard deviation is 3.65dB. The total bandwidth is 1MHz and divided into 20 block-fading subchannels. The AWGN spectrum density is -174dBm/Hz.

The power per BS for joint water-filling and separative water-filling is half of the size of pool. Fig. 2 depicts that the joint water-filling exhibits a advantage over separative water-filling. This shows the importance of moving from non-cooperation to cooperation. Our proposed strategy outperforms the joint water-filling due to joint consideration of power, which is a more cooperative framework. Moreover, the gain of our strategy increases with the growth of size of power pool for the same reason.




Fig. 2. Sum-rate versus size of power pool.

Conclusion: We address the optimal allocation of power pool in two-cell SU-JT problem for sum-rate maximization. The closed-form water-filling solution can be derived by a series of theoretical derivation. In addition, we prove the solution is unique and global optimum due to the convexity. Finally, simulation conforms the advantages over separate water-filling and joint water-filling. In the future, we can investigate other CoMP transmission strategies like coordinated beamforming and so on under power pool.

Acknowledgment: This work is supported by the National High Technology Research, the Development Program of China (2014AA01A701) and the Key National Science Foundation of China (61231009).

Tong Zhang, Xiaodong Xu, Xiaofeng Tao, and Qimei Cui (*Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing, People's Republic of China*)

E-mail: bennytongzhang@gmail.com

References

1 Xiaofeng T., Xiaodong X., and Qimei C.: "An overview of cooperative communications, "*IEEE Commun. Magazine*, 2012, **50**, (6), p.65-71
2 Jingya L., Eriksson T., Svensson T., and Botella C.: "Power allocation for two-cell two-user joint transmission, "*IEEE Commun. Letters*, 2012, **16**, (9), p. 1474-1477
3 Bing L., Qimei C., Hui W., and Xiaofeng T.: "Optimal joint water-filling for coordinated transmission over frequency-selective fading channels, "*IEEE Commun. Letters*, 2011, **15**, (2), p. 190-192
4 Thomas M. C., and Jay A. T.: "Elements of Information Theory, "Wiley, 1991.
5 Kaewpuang R., Niyato D., Ping W., Hossain E.: "A Framework for Cooperative Resource Management in Mobile Cloud Computing, "*IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, 2013, **31**, (12), p. 2685 - 2700