

MB -tensors and MB_0 -tensors

Chaoqian Li^a, Yaotang Li^a,

^a*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan, P. R. China 650091*

Abstract

The class of $MB(MB_0)$ -tensors, which is a generation of $B(B_0)$ -tensors and quasi-double $B(B_0)$ -tensors, is proposed. And we prove that an even order symmetric $MB(MB_0)$ -tensor is positive (semi-)definite. This provides a positive answer for the conjecture in Li and Li's paper [15] that an even order symmetric quasi-double B_0 -tensor is positive semi-definite.

Keywords: MB -tensors, MB_0 -tensors, B -tensors, Quasi-double B -tensors, Positive definite.

2010 MSC: 47H15, 47H12, 34B10, 47A52, 47J10, 47H09, 15A48, 47H07.

1. Introduction

A real order m dimension n tensor $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m})$, denoted by $\mathcal{A} \in R^{[m, n]}$, consists of n^m real entries :

$$a_{i_1 \dots i_m} \in R,$$

where $i_j \in N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ [2, 3, 5, 13, 19]. It is obvious that a matrix is an order 2 tensor. Moreover, a tensor $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m})$ is called symmetric [14, 19] if

$$a_{i_1 \dots i_m} = a_{\pi(i_1 \dots i_m)}, \forall \pi \in \Pi_m,$$

where Π_m is the permutation group of m indices. And an order m dimension n tensor is called the unit tensor denoted by $\mathcal{I}$ [2, 31], if its entries are $\delta_{i_1 \dots i_m}$ for $i_1, \dots, i_m \in N$, where

$$\delta_{i_1 \dots i_m} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i_1 = \dots = i_m, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Email address: liyaotang@ynu.edu.cn (Yaotang Li)

For a tensor $\mathcal{A}$ of order m dimension n , if there is a nonzero vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in R^n$ and a number $\lambda \in R$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}x^{m-1} = \lambda x^{[m-1]},$$

where

$$(\mathcal{A}x^{m-1})_i = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m \in N} a_{ii_2 \dots i_m} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m}$$

and $x^{[m-1]} = (x_1^{m-1}, \dots, x_n^{m-1})^T$, then λ is called an H-eigenvalue of $\mathcal{A}$ and x is called an H-eigenvector of $\mathcal{A}$ [19].

The class of $B(B_0)$ -tensors introduced by Song and Qi [27] is a natural extension of B -matrices [17, 18], and is linked with positive (semi-)definite tensors and M -tensors, which are useful in automatical control, magnetic resonance imaging and spectral hypergraph theory [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27, 29, 30, 32].

Definition 1. [27] Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in R^{[m, n]}$. $\mathcal{A}$ is called a B -tensor (B_0 -tensor, resp.) if for all $i \in N$

$$\sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m \in N} a_{ii_2 \dots i_m} > (\geq) 0$$

and

$$\frac{1}{n^{m-1}} \left(\sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m \in N} a_{ii_2 \dots i_m} \right) > (\geq) a_{ij_2 \dots j_m}, \text{ for } j_2, \dots, j_m \in N, \delta_{ij_2 \dots j_m} = 0.$$

In [15], Li and Li provided the sufficient and necessary condition for B -tensors and B_0 -tensors, respectively.

Proposition 1. [15, Proposition 2] Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in R^{[m, n]}$.

(I) $\mathcal{A}$ is a B -tensor if and only if for each $i \in N$,

$$a_{ii \dots i} - \beta_i(\mathcal{A}) > \Delta_i(\mathcal{A}),$$

where $\beta_i(\mathcal{A}) = \max_{\substack{j_2, \dots, j_m \in N, \\ \delta_{ij_2 \dots j_m} = 0}} \{0, a_{ij_2 \dots j_m}\}$ and $\Delta_i(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{\substack{i_2 \dots i_m \in N, \\ \delta_{ii_2 \dots i_m} = 0}} (\beta_i(\mathcal{A}) - a_{ii_2 \dots i_m})$.

(II) $\mathcal{A}$ is a B_0 -tensor if and only if for each $i \in N$,

$$a_{ii \dots i} - \beta_i(\mathcal{A}) \geq \Delta_i(\mathcal{A}).$$

Also in [15], Li and Li proposed two new classes of tensors: quasi-double B -tensors and quasi-double B_0 -tensors, and proved that a B -tensor is a quasi-double B -tensors, that is, the class of quasi-double B -tensors is an generalization of B -tensors; see Proposition 4 in [15].

Definition 2. [15] Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in R^{[m, n]}$ with $a_{i \dots i} > \beta_i(\mathcal{A})$ for all $i \in N$. $\mathcal{A}$ is called a quasi-double $B(B_0)$ -tensor if for all $i, j \in N$, $i \neq j$,

$$(a_{i \dots i} - \beta_i(\mathcal{A})) (a_{j \dots j} - \beta_j(\mathcal{A}) - \Delta_j^i(\mathcal{A})) > (\geq) (\beta_j(\mathcal{A}) - a_{ji \dots i}) \Delta_i(\mathcal{A}), \quad (1)$$

where

$$\Delta_j^i(\mathcal{A}) = \Delta_j(\mathcal{A}) - (\beta_j(\mathcal{A}) - a_{ji \dots i}) = \sum_{\substack{\delta_{jj_2 \dots j_m} = 0, \\ \delta_{ij_2 \dots j_m} = 0}} (\beta_j(\mathcal{A}) - a_{jj_2 \dots j_m}).$$

As shown in [15, 26, 27, 32], by $B(B_0)$ -tensors and quasi-double B -tensors one can give some checkable sufficient conditions for positive (semi-)definite tensors, that is, an even order symmetric B -tensor is positive definite, an even order symmetric quasi-double B -tensors is positive definite, and an even order symmetric B_0 -tensor is positive semi-definite. Here a $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m})$ is called positive (semi-)definite [26, 27] if for any nonzero vector x in $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathcal{A}x^m > (\geq) 0,$$

where $\mathcal{A}x^m = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in N} a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m}$. For quasi-double B_0 -tensors, Li and Li only given the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. [15, Conjecture 1] An even order symmetric quasi-double B_0 -tensor is positive semi-definite.

In this paper, we introduce two new classes of tensors: MB -tensors and MB_0 -tensors, prove that the class of MB -tensors (MB_0 -tensors) is a generalization of B -tensors and quasi-double B -tensor (B_0 -tensors and quasi-double B_0 -tensor, respectively), and that an even order symmetric $MB(MB_0)$ -tensor is positive (semi-)definite, which provides a positive answer to Conjecture 1 in [15].

2. MB -tensor and MB_0 -tensor

We first introduce MB -tensor and MB_0 -tensor. For a real tensor $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in R^{[m, n]}$, we can write it as

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}^+ + \mathcal{C}, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{B}^+ = (b_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in R^{[m, n]}$, $\mathcal{C} = (c_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in R^{[m, n]}$,

$$b_{ii_2 \dots i_m} = a_{ii_2 \dots i_m} - \beta_i(\mathcal{A}) \text{ for } i \in N,$$

and

$$c_{ii_2 \dots i_m} = \beta_i(\mathcal{A}) \text{ for } i \in N.$$

Since $b_{ii_2 \dots i_m} = a_{ii_2 \dots i_m} - \beta_i(\mathcal{A}) \leq 0$ for $i, i_2, \dots, i_m \in N$ and $\delta_{ii_2 \dots i_m} = 0$, we have that $\mathcal{B}^+$ is a Z -tensor.

Definition 3. [3, 5, 33] Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in R^{[m, n]}$. $\mathcal{A}$ is called

- (I) a Z -tensor if all of the off-diagonal entries of $\mathcal{A}$ are non-positive, that is, that is $a_{i_1 \dots i_m} \leq 0$, for $i_j \in N$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $\delta_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} = 0$;
- (II) an (a strong) M -tensor if $\mathcal{A}$ is a Z -tensor with the form $\mathcal{A} = c\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{B}$ is a nonnegative tensor and $c \geq (>) \rho(\mathcal{B})$, where $\rho(\mathcal{B})$ is the spectral radius of $\mathcal{B}$.

Definition 4. Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in R^{[m, n]}$, and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}^+ + \mathcal{C}$, where $\mathcal{B}^+$, $\mathcal{C}$ are defined as in (2).

- (I) $\mathcal{A}$ is called an MB -tensor if $\mathcal{B}^+$ is a strong M -tensor.
- (II) $\mathcal{A}$ is called an MB_0 -tensor if $\mathcal{B}^+$ is an M -tensor.

Obviously, an MB -tensor is an MB_0 -tensor, and the class of MB -tensors is an generalization of MB -matrices [16]. We next give the relationships of $MB(MB_0)$ -tensors and the positive (semi-)definite tensors. First, recall some results on M -tensors.

Lemma 1. [19, Theorem 3.9] Let $\mathcal{A} \in R^{[m, n]}$ be a Z -tensor. Then

- (I) $\mathcal{A}$ is a strong M -tensor if and only if $\tau(\mathcal{A}) = \min_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})} \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$.
- (II) $\mathcal{A}$ is an M -tensor if and only if $\tau(\mathcal{A}) \geq 0$.

As is shown in [19], $\tau(\mathcal{A})$ is the smallest H -eigenvalue of an M -tensor $\mathcal{A}$. Hence, according to Lemma 1, Zhang et al. give the following result.

Lemma 2. [19, corollary 3.10] Let $\mathcal{A} \in R^{[m,n]}$ be a Z -tensor. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is an (a strong) M -tensor if and only if all its H -eigenvalues are (positive) nonnegative.

Since H -eigenvalues of a tensor are closed relative to its positive definiteness; for details, see [19], one easily give the positive (semi-)definiteness of a tensor from (strong) M -tensors by Lemma 2.

Lemma 3. [19, Theorem 4.1] Let $\mathcal{A} \in R^{[m,n]}$ be a symmetric Z -tensor and m is even. Then

- (I) $\mathcal{A}$ is positive definite if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is a strong M -tensor.
- (II) $\mathcal{A}$ is positive semi-definite if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is an M -tensor.

Remark 1. In Theorem 4.1 of [19], Zhang et al. only give the part (I) of Lemma 3. For the part (II), we can prove it by the fact that if all its H -eigenvalues of a symmetric tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is nonnegative, then $\mathcal{A}$ is positive semi-definite; for details, see Theorem 5 in [19].

Here, we establish monotonicity of two (strong) M -tensors.

Lemma 4. Let $\mathcal{A}_1 = s\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{B}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 = s\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{B}_2$, where $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2 \in R^{[m,n]}$ are nonnegative. And let $\mathcal{A}_1 \leq \mathcal{A}_2$. If $\mathcal{A}_1$ is an (a strong) M -tensor, then $\mathcal{A}_2$ is an (a strong) M -tensor.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A}_1 \leq \mathcal{A}_2$, then $\mathcal{B}_1 \geq \mathcal{B}_2$. Hence by Lemma 3.2 in [31], we have $\rho(\mathcal{B}_1) \geq \rho(\mathcal{B}_2)$. If $\mathcal{A}_1$ is an M -tensor, then $s \geq \rho(\mathcal{B}_1)$, consequently, $s \geq \rho(\mathcal{B}_2)$. Hence, $\mathcal{A}_2$ is an M -tensor. Similarly, we can prove that if $\mathcal{A}_1$ is a strong M -tensor, then $\mathcal{A}_2$ is a strong M -tensor. $\square$

Now by Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, we give the relationships of $MB(MB_0)$ -tensors and the positive (semi-)definite tensors. Before that we give the definitions of $P(P_0)$ -tensors [26, 27] and partially all one tensors [26]. A real tensor $\mathcal{A} \in R^{[m,n]}$ is called a $P(P_0)$ -tensor if for any nonzero x in R^n ,

$$\max_{i \in N} x_i (\mathcal{A}x^{m-1})_i > (\geq) 0.$$

Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a symmetric tensor of order m dimension n , and has a principal sub-tensor $\mathcal{A}_r^J$ with $J \in N$ and $|J| = r (1 \leq r \leq n)$ such that all the entries of $\mathcal{A}_r^J$ are one, and all the other entries of $\mathcal{A}$ are zero, then $\mathcal{A}$ is called a partially all one tensor, and denoted by ε^J . If $J = N$, then we denote ε^J simply by ε and call it an all one tensor. And an even order partially all one tensor is positive semi-definite; for details, see [26].

Theorem 5. Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in R^{[m, n]}$ be a symmetric MB-tensor. Then either $\mathcal{A}$ is a strong M-tensor itself, or we have

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M} + \sum_{k=1}^s h_k \varepsilon^{\hat{J}_k}, \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is a strong M-tensor, s is a positive integer, $h_k > 0$ and $\hat{J}_k \subseteq N$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, s$. Furthermore, If m is even, then $\mathcal{A}$ is positive definite, consequently, $\mathcal{A}$ is a P-tensor.

Proof. Let $\hat{J}(\mathcal{A}) = \{i \in N : \text{there is at least one positive off-diagonal entry in the } i\text{th row of } \mathcal{A}\}$. Obviously, $\hat{J}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq N$. If $\hat{J}(\mathcal{A}) = \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}^+$, and hence $\mathcal{A}$ is a strong M-tensor by the fact that $\mathcal{A}$ is an MB-tensor. The conclusion follows in the case.

Now we suppose that $\hat{J}(\mathcal{A}) \neq \emptyset$, let $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m}^{(1)})$, and let $d_i^{(1)}$ be the value of the largest off-diagonal entry in the i th row of $\mathcal{A}_1$, that is,

$$d_i^{(1)} = \max_{\substack{i_2 \dots i_m \in N, \\ \delta_{ii_2 \dots i_m} = 0}} a_{ii_2 \dots i_m}^{(1)}.$$

Furthermore, let $\hat{J}_1 = \hat{J}(\mathcal{A}_1)$, $h_1 = \min_{i \in \hat{J}_1} d_i^{(1)}$ and

$$J_1 = \{i \in \hat{J}_1 : d_i^{(1)} = h_1\}.$$

Then $J_1 \subseteq \hat{J}_1$ and $h_1 > 0$.

Consider $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_1 - h_1 \varepsilon^{\hat{J}_1} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m}^{(2)})$. Obviously, $\mathcal{A}_2$ is also symmetric by the definition of $\varepsilon^{\hat{J}_1}$. Note that

$$a_{i_1 \dots i_m}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} a_{i_1 \dots i_m}^{(1)} - h_1, & i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in \hat{J}_1 \\ a_{i_1 \dots i_m}^{(1)}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

for $i \in J_1$,

$$\beta_i(\mathcal{A}_2) = \beta_i(\mathcal{A}_1) - h_1 = 0, \quad (5)$$

and that for $i \in \hat{J}_1 \setminus J_1$,

$$\beta_i(\mathcal{A}_2) = \beta_i(\mathcal{A}_1) - h_1 > 0. \quad (6)$$

Furthermore, let

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}_1^+ + \mathcal{C}_1, \quad \mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{B}_2^+ + \mathcal{C}_2 \quad (7)$$

where $\mathcal{B}_1^+ = (b_{i_1 \dots i_m}^{(1)}) \in R^{[m,n]}$, $\mathcal{B}_2^+ = (b_{i_1 \dots i_m}^{(2)}) \in R^{[m,n]}$ and

$$b_{i i_2 \dots i_m}^{(1)} = a_{i i_2 \dots i_m}^{(1)} - \beta_i(\mathcal{A}_1), \quad b_{i i_2 \dots i_m}^{(2)} = a_{i i_2 \dots i_m}^{(2)} - \beta_i(\mathcal{A}_2) \text{ for } i \in N,$$

Combining (4), (5), (6), (7) with the fact that for each $j \notin \hat{J}_1$, $\beta_i(\mathcal{A}_2) = \beta_i(\mathcal{A}_1)$, we have

$$\mathcal{B}_2^+ = \mathcal{B}_1^+ + h_1 \varepsilon^{\hat{J}_1}.$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}$ is an MB -tensor, $\mathcal{B}_1^+$ is a strong M -tensor. Note that $\mathcal{B}_2^+ \geq \mathcal{B}_1^+$, then by Lemma 4, we have that $\mathcal{B}_2^+$ is also a strong M -tensor, and hence $\mathcal{A}_2$ is a symmetric MB -tensor.

Now replace $\mathcal{A}_1$ by $\mathcal{A}_2$, and repeat this process. Let $\hat{J}(\mathcal{A}_2) = \{i \in N : \text{there is at least one positive off-diagonal entry in the } i\text{th row of } \mathcal{A}_2\}$. Then $\hat{J}(\mathcal{A}_2) = \hat{J}_1 \setminus J_1$. Repeat this process until $\hat{J}(\mathcal{A}_{s+1}) = \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{A}_{s+1}$. Then (3) holds.

Furthermore, if m is even, then $\mathcal{A}$ a symmetric MB -tensor of even order. If $\mathcal{A}$ itself is a symmetric strong M -tensor, then it is positive definite by Lemma 3. Otherwise, (3) holds with $s > 0$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, by (3) and the fact that $\mathcal{M}$ is positive definite, we have

$$\mathcal{A}x^m = \mathcal{M}x^m + \sum_{k=1}^s h_k \varepsilon^{\hat{J}_k} x^m = \mathcal{M}x^m + \sum_{k=1}^s h_k \|x_{\hat{J}_k}\|_m^m \geq \mathcal{M}x^m > 0.$$

This implies that $\mathcal{A}$ is positive definite. Note that a symmetric tensor is a P -tensor if and only it is positive definite [27], therefore $\mathcal{A}$ is a P -tensor. The proof is completed. $\square$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 5, by the part (II) of Lemma 3, Lemma (4), and the fact that a symmetric tensor is a P_0 -tensor if and only it is positive semi-definite [27], we easily have that an even order symmetric MB_0 -tensor is positive semi-definite and a P_0 -tensor.

Theorem 6. *Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in R^{[m,n]}$ be a symmetric MB_0 -tensor. Then either $\mathcal{A}$ is an M -tensor itself, or we have*

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M} + \sum_{k=1}^s h_k \varepsilon^{\hat{J}_k},$$

where $\mathcal{M}$ is an M -tensor, s is a positive integer, $h_k > 0$ and $\hat{J}_k \subseteq N$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, s$. Furthermore, If m is even, then $\mathcal{A}$ is positive semi-definite, consequently, $\mathcal{A}$ is a P_0 -tensor.

Since an even order real symmetric tensor is positive definite if and only if all of its H-eigenvalues are positive [19], by Theorems 5 and 6 we have the following results.

Corollary 1. All the H-eigenvalues of an even order symmetric MB -tensor are positive.

Corollary 2. All the H-eigenvalues of an even order symmetric MB_0 -tensor are nonnegative.

3. Relationships between $B(B_0)$ -tensors, quasi-double $B(B_0)$ -tensors and $MB(MB_0)$ -tensors

In [15], Li and Li gave the relationship between B -tensors and quasi-double B -tensors as follows.

Proposition 2. [15, Proposition 4] Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in R^{[m, n]}$, $n \geq 2$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a B -tensor, then $\mathcal{A}$ is a quasi-double B -tensor.

Now, we prove that a B_0 -tensor is a quasi-double B_0 -tensor.

Proposition 3. Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in R^{[m, n]}$, $n \geq 2$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a B_0 -tensor, then $\mathcal{A}$ is a quasi-double B_0 -tensor.

Proof. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a B_0 -tensor, then by Proposition 1 for any $i \in N$,

$$a_{i \dots i} - \beta_i(\mathcal{A}) \geq \Delta_i(\mathcal{A}),$$

that is,

$$a_{i \dots i} - \beta_i(\mathcal{A}) - \Delta_i^k(\mathcal{A}) \geq \beta_i(\mathcal{A}) - a_{ik \dots k}, \text{ for } k \neq i.$$

Obviously, for $i, j \in N$, $j \neq i$,

$$a_{i \dots i} - \beta_i(\mathcal{A}) \geq \Delta_i(\mathcal{A}) \geq 0,$$

and

$$a_{j \dots j} - \beta_j(\mathcal{A}) - \Delta_j^i(\mathcal{A}) \geq \beta_j(\mathcal{A}) - a_{ji \dots i} \geq 0.$$

It is easy to see that Inequality (1) holds, i.e., $\mathcal{A}$ is a quasi-double B_0 -tensor by Definition 2. The proof is completed. $\square$

Next, we establish the relationships between quasi-double $B(B_0)$ -tensors and $MB(MB_0)$ -tensors. Before that a lemma is given.

Lemma 7. [14, Theorem 2.1] Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in C^{[m,n]}$, $n \geq 2$. Then

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcup_{\substack{i,j \in N, \\ j \neq i}} \mathcal{K}_{i,j}(\mathcal{A}),$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{i,j}(\mathcal{A}) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : (|z - a_{i \dots i}| - r_i^j(\mathcal{A})) |z - a_{j \dots j}| \leq |a_{ij \dots j}| r_j(\mathcal{A})\}$$

and

$$r_i^j(\mathcal{A}) = \sum_{\substack{\delta_{i,i_2, \dots, i_m} = 0, \\ \delta_{j,i_2, \dots, i_m} = 0}} |a_{ii_2 \dots i_m}| = r_i(\mathcal{A}) - |a_{ij \dots j}|.$$

Theorem 8. Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in R^{[m,n]}$, $n \geq 2$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a quasi-double $B(B_0)$ -tensor, then $\mathcal{A}$ is an $MB(MB_0)$ -tensor.

Proof. We first prove that a quasi-double B_0 -tensor is an MB_0 -tensor. Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}^+ + \mathcal{C}$$

where $\mathcal{B}^+ = (b_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in R^{[m,n]}$ and

$$b_{ii_2 \dots i_m} = a_{ii_2 \dots i_m} - \beta_i(\mathcal{A}) \text{ for } i \in N.$$

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is a quasi-double B_0 -tensor, we have by Definition 2 that for all $i, j \in N$, $i \neq j$,

$$(a_{i \dots i} - \beta_i(\mathcal{A})) (a_{j \dots j} - \beta_j(\mathcal{A}) - \Delta_j^i(\mathcal{A})) \geq (\beta_j(\mathcal{A}) - a_{ji \dots i}) \Delta_i(\mathcal{A}). \quad (8)$$

Note that $b_{ii_2 \dots i_m} = a_{ii_2 \dots i_m} - \beta_i(\mathcal{A}) \leq 0$ for $\delta_{i,i_2, \dots, i_m} = 0$, that is, $\mathcal{B}^+$ is a Z -tensor. Hence, Inequality (8) is equivalent to

$$b_{i \dots i} (b_{j \dots j} - r_j^i(\mathcal{B}^+)) \geq |b_{ji \dots i}| r_i(\mathcal{B}^+) \text{ for all } i, j \in N, i \neq j.$$

We now prove that $\tau(\mathcal{B}^+) = \min_{\lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{B}^+)} \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$. Suppose that $\tau(\mathcal{B}^+) < 0$, then there is $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(\mathcal{B}^+)$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_0 = \tau(\mathcal{B}^+) < 0$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is a quasi-double B_0 -tensor, we have by Definition 2 $b_{i \dots i} = a_{i \dots i} - \beta_i(\mathcal{A}) > 0$,

consequently, $b_{j\dots j} - r_j^i(\mathcal{B}^+) \geq 0$ for $j \neq i$. This implies that for all $i, j \in N$, $i \neq j$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_0 - b_{i\dots i}|(|\lambda_0 - b_{j\dots j}| - r_j^i(\mathcal{B}^+)) &\geq |Re\lambda_0 - b_{i\dots i}|(|Re\lambda_0 - b_{j\dots j}| - r_j^i(\mathcal{B}^+)) \\ &> |b_{i\dots i}|(|b_{j\dots j}| - r_j^i(\mathcal{B}^+)) \\ &= b_{i\dots i}(b_{j\dots j} - r_j^i(\mathcal{B}^+)) \\ &\geq |b_{ji\dots i}|r_i(\mathcal{B}^+), \end{aligned}$$

equivalently, $\lambda_0 \notin \mathcal{K}_{j,i}(\mathcal{B}^+)$ for all $i, j \in N$, $i \neq j$. Hence, $\lambda_0 \notin \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{B}^+)$, which contradicts to Lemma 7. Therefore, $\tau(\mathcal{B}^+) \geq 0$. Furthermore, note that $\mathcal{B}^+$ is a Z -tensor, by Lemma 1 and Definition 4 we have that $\mathcal{B}^+$ is an M -tensor, and that $\mathcal{A}$ is an MB_0 -tensor.

Similarly, we can obtain that a quasi-double B -tensor is an MB -tensor. The proof is completed. $\square$

By Proposition 2, Proposition 3 and Theorem 8, we easily get that

$$\{B_0 - tensors\} \subseteq \{quasi - double B_0 - tensors\} \subseteq \{MB_0 - tensors\},$$

and that

$$\{B - tensors\} \subseteq \{quasi - double B - tensors\} \subseteq \{MB - tensors\}.$$

Since an even order symmetric MB_0 -tensor is positive semi-definite, and an even order symmetric MB -tensor is positive definite, we have immediately the following result.

Corollary 3. (I) An even order symmetric B_0 -tensor is positive semi-definite;
 (II) An even order symmetric quasi-double B_0 -tensor is positive semi-definite;
 (III) An even order symmetric B -tensor is positive definite;
 (IV) An even order symmetric quasi-double B -tensor is positive definite.

Remark 2. (I) The part (II) of Corollary 3 is exactly Conjecture 1 in [15].

(II) As shown in [26, 27, 32], an odd order $B(B_0)$ -tensor may not be a $P(P_0)$ -tensor, and an even order nonsymmetric $B(B_0)$ -tensor may not be a $P(P_0)$ -tensor. Hence, by Corollary 3, we conclude that an odd order $MB(MB_0)$ -tensor may not be a $P(P_0)$ -tensor, and an even order $MB(MB_0)$ -tensor may not be a $P(P_0)$ -tensor.

4. Sufficient conditions for $MB(MB_0)$ -tensors

In this section, we give some checkable sufficient conditions for $MB(MB_0)$ -tensors, also for the positive definiteness of tensors. Before that a lemma in [14] is given as follows.

Lemma 9. [14, Theorem 2.2] Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in C^{[m, n]}$, $n \geq 2$. And let S be a nonempty proper subset of N . Then

$$\sigma(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}^S(\mathcal{A}) = \left(\bigcup_{i \in S, j \in \bar{S}} \mathcal{K}_{i,j}(\mathcal{A}) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i \in \bar{S}, j \in S} \mathcal{K}_{i,j}(\mathcal{A}) \right),$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{i,j}(\mathcal{A})$ is defined as Lemma 7.

Theorem 10. Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in R^{[m, n]}$, $n \geq 2$, with

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}^+ + \mathcal{C}$$

where $\mathcal{B}^+ = (b_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in R^{[m, n]}$ and

$$b_{ii_2 \dots i_m} = a_{ii_2 \dots i_m} - \beta_i(\mathcal{A}) \text{ for } i \in N.$$

If $b_{i \dots i} > 0$ for $i \in N$, and there is a nonempty proper subset S of N such that for each $i \in S$ and each $j \in \bar{S}$,

$$(b_{i \dots i} - r_i^j(\mathcal{B}^+)) b_{j \dots j} \geq r_j(\mathcal{B}^+) |b_{ij \dots j}|$$

and

$$(b_{j \dots j} - r_j^i(\mathcal{B}^+)) b_{i \dots i} \geq r_i(\mathcal{B}^+) |b_{ji \dots i}|,$$

then $\mathcal{A}$ is an MB_0 -tensor, and positive semi-positive.

Proof. By Definition 2, we only prove that $\mathcal{B}^+$ is an M -tensor. Note that $\mathcal{B}^+$ is a Z -tensor. Hence, we only prove $\tau(\mathcal{B}^+) \geq 0$.

Suppose that $\tau(\mathcal{B}^+) < 0$. Then there is $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(\mathcal{B}^+)$ such that $Re \lambda_0 = \tau(\mathcal{B}^+) < 0$. Similar to the proof of Theorem 8, we can get that for each $i \in S$ and each $j \in \bar{S}$,

$$|\lambda_0 - b_{j \dots j}| (|\lambda_0 - b_{i \dots i}| - r_i^j(\mathcal{B}^+)) > |b_{ij \dots j}| r_j(\mathcal{B}^+),$$

and

$$|\lambda_0 - b_{i \dots i}| (|\lambda_0 - b_{j \dots j}| - r_j^i(\mathcal{B}^+)) > |b_{ji \dots i}| r_i(\mathcal{B}^+),$$

that is, $\lambda_0 \notin \mathcal{K}^S(\mathcal{A})$. This contradicts Lemma 9. Hence, $\tau(\mathcal{B}^+) \geq 0$, consequently, $\mathcal{A}$ is an MB_0 -tensor, and positive semi-positive. $\square$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 10, by Lemma 9 we easily have an sufficient condition for MB -tensors.

Theorem 11. *Let $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m}) \in R^{[m, n]}$, $n \geq 2$, with*

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}^+ + \mathcal{C}$$

where $\mathcal{B}^+$ is defined as Theorem 10. If $b_{i \dots i} > 0$ for $i \in N$, and there is a nonempty proper subset S of N such that for each $i \in S$ and each $j \in \bar{S}$,

$$(b_{i \dots i} - r_i^j(\mathcal{B}^+)) b_{j \dots j} > r_j(\mathcal{B}^+) |b_{ij \dots j}|$$

and

$$(b_{j \dots j} - r_j^i(\mathcal{B}^+)) b_{i \dots i} > r_i(\mathcal{B}^+) |b_{ji \dots i}|,$$

then $\mathcal{A}$ is an MB -tensor, and positive semi-positive.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of P.R. China (Grant No. 11361074), Natural Science Foundations of Yunnan Province (Grant No. 2013FD002), ZXBGXSL and IRTSTYN. The second author's work was partially done when he was visiting Hong Kong Polytechnic University.

References

- [1] Y. Chen, Y. Dai, D. Han, W. Sun, Positive semidefinite generalized diffusion tensor imaging via quadratic semidefinite programming, SIAM J. Imaging Sci. 6 (2013) 1531-1552.
- [2] K. C. Chang, K. Pearson, T. Zhang, Perron-Frobenius theorem for non-negative tensors, Commun. Math. Sci. 6 (2008) 507-520.
- [3] W. Ding, L. Qi, Y. Wei, M -tensors and nonsingular M -tensors, Linear Algebra Appl. 439 (2013) 3264-3278.
- [4] M.A. Hasan, A.A. Hasan, A procedure for the positive definiteness of forms of even-order, IEEE Trans. Automat. Control 41 (1996) 615-617.
- [5] J. He, T.Z. Huang, Inequalities for M -tensors, J. Inequal. Appl. 2014 (2014) 114.

- [6] S. Hu, Z. Huang, H. Ni, L. Qi, Positive definiteness of diffusion kurtosis imaging, *Inverse Probl. Imaging* 6 (2012) 57-75.
- [7] S. Hu, L. Qi, Algebraic connectivity of an even uniform hypergraph, *J. Comb. Optim.* 24 (2012) 564-579.
- [8] S. Hu, L. Qi, The eigenvectors associated with the zero eigenvalues of the Laplacian and signless Laplacian tensors of a uniform hypergraph, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 169 (2014) 140-151.
- [9] S. Hu, L. Qi, J. Shao, Cored hypergraphs, power hypergraphs and their Laplacian eigenvalues, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 439 (2013) 2980-2998.
- [10] S. Hu, L. Qi, J. Xie, The largest Laplacian and signless Laplacian eigenvalues of a uniform hyper-graph, *arXiv:1304.1315*, April 2013.
- [11] E.I. Jury, M. Mansour, Positivity and nonnegativity conditions of a quartic equation and related problems, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control* AC26 (1981) 444-451.
- [12] W.H. Ku, Explicit criterion for the positive definiteness of a general quartic form, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control* AC10 (1965) 372-373.
- [13] C.Q. Li, F. Wang, J.X. Zhao, Y. Zhu, Y.T. Li, Criteria for the positive definiteness of real supersymmetric tensors, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics* 255 (2014) 1-14.
- [14] C.Q. Li, Y.T. Li, X. Kong, New eigenvalue inclusion sets for tensors, *Numer. Linear Algebra Appl.* 21 (2014) 39-50.
- [15] C.Q. Li, Y.T. Li, Double B -tensors and quasi-double B -tensors, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 466 (2015) 343C356.
- [16] H.B. Li, T.Z. Huang, H. Li, On some subclasses of P -matrices, *Numer. Linear Algebra Appl.* 14 (2007) 391-405.
- [17] J.M. Peña, A class of P -matrices with applications to the localization of the eigenvalues of a real matrix, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 22 (2001) 1027-1037.
- [18] J.M. Peña, On an alternative to Gerschgorin circles and ovals of Cassini, *Numer. Math.* 95 (2003) 337-345.

- [19] L. Qi, Eigenvalues of a real supersymmetric tensor, *J. Symbolic Comput.* 40 (2005) 1302-1324.
- [20] L. Qi, H^+ -eigenvalues of Laplacian and signless Laplacian tensors, *Commun. Math. Sci.* 12 (2014) 1045-1064.
- [21] L. Qi, Hankel tensors: Associated Hankel matrices and Vandermonde decomposition, *Communications in Mathematical Sciences* 13 (2015) 113-125.
- [22] L. Qi, J. Shao, Q. Wang, Regular uniform hypergraphs, s-cycles, s-paths and their largest Laplacian H-eigenvalues, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 443 (2014) 215-227.
- [23] L. Qi, C. Xu, Y. Xu, Nonnegative tensor factorization, completely positive tensors and an Hierarchically elimination algorithm, to appear in: *SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications*.
- [24] L. Qi, G. Yu, E.X. Wu, Higher order positive semi-definite diffusion tensor imaging, *SIAM J. Imaging Sci.* 3 (2010) 416-433.
- [25] L. Qi, G. Yu, Y. Xu, Nonnegative diffusion orientation distribution function, *J. Math. Imaging Vision* 45 (2013) 103-113.
- [26] L. Qi, Y.S. Song, An even order symmetric B tensor is positive definite, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 457 (2014) 303-312.
- [27] Y. Song and L. Qi, Properties of some classes of structured tensors, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, DOI 10.1007/s10957-014-0616-5.
- [28] R.S. Varga, *Geršgorin and his circles*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [29] F. Wang, L. Qi, Comments on Explicit criterion for the positive definiteness of a general quartic form, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control* 50 (2005) 416-418.
- [30] F. Wang, The tensor eigenvalue methods for the positive definiteness identification problem, Doctor Thesis, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University 2006.

- [31] Y. Yang, Q. Yang, Further results for Perron-Frobenius Theorem for nonnegative tensors, SIAM. J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 31 (2010) 2517-2530.
- [32] P. Yuan, L. You, Some remarks on P , P_0 , B and B_0 tensors, to appear in: Linear Algebra and Its Applications.
- [33] L. Zhang, L. Qi, G. Zhou, M -tensors and some applications, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 35 (2014) 437-452.