JMLR: Workshop and Conference Proceedings 1–4

Deep Distributed Random Samplings for Supervised Learning: An Alternative to Random Forests?

Xiao-Lei Zhang HUOSHAN6@126.COM
Department of Computer Science and Engineering, The Ohio-State University, OH, USA 43210.

arXiv:1412.1271v1 [cs.LG] 3 Dec 2014

Abstract

In (Zhang (2014a,b)), we have viewed machine learning as a coding and dimensionality reduction problem, and further proposed a simple unsupervised dimensionality reduction method, entitled deep distributed random samplings (DDRS). In this paper, we further extend it to supervised learning incrementally. The key idea here is to incorporate label information into the coding process by reformulating that each center in DDRS has multiple output units indicating which class the center belongs to.

The supervised learning method seems somewhat similar with random forests (Breiman (2001)), here we emphasize their differences as follows. (i) Each layer of our method considers the relationship between part of the data points in training data with all training data points, while random forests focus on building each decision tree on only part of training data points independently. (ii) Our method builds gradually-narrowed network by sampling less and less data points, while random forests builds gradually-narrowed network by merging subclasses. (iii) Our method is trained more straightforward from bottom layer to top layer, while random forests build each tree from top layer to bottom layer by splitting. (iv) Our method encodes output targets implicitly in sparse codes, while random forests encode output targets by remembering the class attributes of the activated nodes. Therefore, our method is a simpler, more straightforward, and maybe a better alternative choice, though both methods use two very basic elements—randomization and nearest neighbor optimization—as the core.

This preprint is used to protect the incremental idea from (Zhang (2014a,b)). Full empirical evaluation will be announced carefully later.

Keywords: Bootstrap, deep learning, dimensionality reduction, ensemble methods, evolutionary computing, kernel methods, sparse coding, random forests.

1. Introduction

Reducing the bias and variances is the core of machine learning. Unsupervised learning focuses on reducing the variances of data, while supervised learning reduces the bias and variances of data together given well defined hidden (i.e., output) target space embedded in the input data space. Threfore, machine learning is a process of reducing the overall information in the data space bias towards the output target space, which can be explicitly modeled as reducing high dimensional codes to low dimensional codes given compactly encoded data and output targets.

Deep distributed random samplings (DDRS) (Zhang (2014a,b)) is a recently proposed unsupervised learning method by us that reduces the variations of data points explicitly, which has shown advantages to some other unsupervised learning methods in terms of

© X.-L. Zhang.




Figure 1: **DDRS network for supervised learning.** Each independent k-centers clustering is represented as a colored square. Each center in a k-centers clustering is represented as a colored circle that is connected to a colored square with a dotted line. Each center also has C output units indicating the class attributes of the center, which are drawn as C cirles connected to the center with solid lines. In this example, $C = 2$.

effectiveness, efficiency, simplicity, robustness, and convenience to industry. Here we extend it to supervised learning by encoding the supervised target information to the sparse feature.

This abstract paper contributes (i) a new network structure for supervised and unsupervised learning that is extended very easily from unsupervised DDRS; (ii) a new encoding method that takes the output target space into the coding process.

The differences between our supervised method and random forests have been summarized in the abstract.

2. Deep Distributed Random Samplings for Supervised Learning

Given a C-class d-dimensional training set $\mathcal{X} = \{\mathbf{x}_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ with $y_i \in \{1, \ldots, C\}$ as the labels in the output target space.

DDRS contains multiple hidden layers and an output layer (Fig. 1). Each hidden layer is a group of mutually independent k-centers clusterings; each k-centers clustering has k centers, each of which indicates one cluster; each center has C output units, indicating which class the center belongs to; the output units of all centers of all k-centers clusterings are concatenated as the input of their upper layer. The output layer is task dependent learner. For example, for supervised learning, k-nearest neighbor classifier or linear support vector machine can be used as the classifier; for unsupervised learning, principle component analysis or k-means clustering can be used as the clustering algorithm. Parameter k should be as large as possible at the bottom layer and be smaller and smaller along with the increase of the number of layers.

DDRS is trained simply layer-by-layer. For training each layer given a d-dimensional input data set $\mathcal{X}$ either from the lower layer or from the original data space, we simply need to focus on training each k-centers clustering, which consists of three steps.

- **Random feature selection.** The first step randomly selects $\hat{d}$-dimensional features of $\mathcal{X}$ ($\hat{d} \leq d$) to form a subset of $\mathcal{X}$, denoted as $\hat{\mathcal{X}} = \{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1, \ldots, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_n\}$.
- **Random sampling.** The second step randomly selects k data points from $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ as the k centers of the clustering, denoted as $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{w}_k\}$.
- **Sparse representation learning.** The third step assigns each input data point $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ to one of the k clusters and outputs a kC-dimensional indicator vector $\mathbf{h} = [h_{1,1}, \ldots, h_{1,C}, \ldots, h_{k,1}, \ldots, h_{k,C}]^T$ which will be part of the input feature of $\mathbf{x}$ to the upper layer as shown in Fig. 1, where operator ^{T} denotes the transpose of vector. The assignment should encode the label information of the centers into the results. Suppose $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ is assigned to $\mathbf{w}_m$ that is labeled as a data point in the c-th class (i.e., $y_{\mathbf{w}_m} = c$), then $h_{m,c} = 1$ and all other elements equal to zero.

  For example, given a two class problem ($C = 2$), if $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ is assigned to the second cluster that is labeled as a data point in the first class, then $\mathbf{h} = [0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, \ldots, 0, 0]^T$.

  The assignment is calculated according to the similarities between $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and the k centers, in terms of some predefined similarity measurement at the bottom layer, such as the Euclidean distance $\arg\min_{i=1}^{k} \|\mathbf{w}_i - \hat{\mathbf{x}}\|^2$, or in terms of $\arg\max_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{w}_i^T \hat{\mathbf{x}}$ at all other hidden layers.

Here we emphasize that unsupervised DDRS is also a special case of DDRS in Fig. 1. For unsupervised learning, we just need to set all output indicator units of a cluster center to 1 if a data point is assigned to the center, but it is computationally expensive to do so.

DDRS handles large-scale problems well. For training each layer, the time complexity is $O\left(nsk^2V^2\right)$, and the storage complexity is $O(2nskV)$, where V is the number of clusterings and s the sparsity of the input data (i.e., the ratio of the non-zero elements over all elements); particularly, $s = 1/k$ (see Supplementary Discussion).

2.1. Preventing Overfitting By Genetic Algorithm

Ensemble methods have two bases, (i) strong learners, (ii) strong diversity between the learners, which are two reverse factors. That is to say, when learners become strong, the diversity vanishes.

The core of DDRS is to use multiple weak learners for a strong learner, so the reverse factors appear here too. Specifically, when k is large compared to n, the learner is too strong that even overfits to training data, so that the diversity between the learners disappears. In the extreme case, when $k = n$, although all clusterings are strong enough to accurately partition the data space to n non-overlapped regions, their combination still partition the data space to n non-overlapped regions, which means the learned codes are highly not compact and also means that we lose a lot of information by this rude quantization.

To prevent learning very high dimensional codes that contain very little information, two strategies should be considered. The first one is to make each strong learner weaker as done by random forests. Specifically, we set k to a value that is smaller than n, such as $\sqrt{n}$

in the bottom layer, which may be suitable to large-scale problems. Another way is to add noise that has as similar data distribution with training data as possible to centers. Here, we prefer using genetic algorithm to further reconstruct the centers randomly, which not only maintains the strength of the base learners but also enlarges the diversity between the base learners.

The **random reconstruction** step is the same as in the unsupervised learning scenario in (Zhang (2014a,b)) except that the fraction of randomly selected dimensions should be very small so as to prevent influencing the accuracy of each base learner, i.e. the accuracy of the label information of cluster centers. Note that for supervised learning, random reconstruction cannot be used in top layers when $k \ll n$.

3. Empirical Evaluation

Supervised learning has been studied for dozens of years, so we need to be very careful and solid here.

4. Conclusions

DDRS may be an alternative to random forests, but it is too early to make a conclusion.

References

Leo Breiman. Random forests. *Machine Learn.*, 45(1):5–32, 2001.

Xiao-Lei Zhang. Nonlinear dimensionality reduction of data by deep distributed random samplings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1408.0848*, 2014a.

Xiao-Lei Zhang. Nonlinear dimensionality reduction of data by deep distributed random samplings. In *Proc. 6nd Asian Conf. Mach. Learn.*, pages 1–13, 2014b.