

SQUARES IN ARITHMETIC PROGRESSION OVER CUBIC FIELDS

ANDREW BREMNER AND SAMIR SIKSEK

ABSTRACT. Euler showed that there can be no more than three integer squares in arithmetic progression. In quadratic number fields, Xarles has shown that there can be arithmetic progressions of five squares, but not of six. Here, we prove that there are no cubic number fields which contain five squares in arithmetic progression.

1. INTRODUCTION

There are infinitely many triples of integer squares in arithmetic progression, provided by the formulae:

$$(m^2 - 2mn - n^2)^2, (m^2 + n^2)^2, (m^2 + 2mn - n^2)^2,$$

with common difference $4mn(m^2 - n^2)$. Euler showed that it is impossible to find four integer squares in non-trivial arithmetic progression. (Henceforth, by arithmetic progression, we shall always mean a non-trivial progression, that is, one with non-zero common difference). In quadratic number fields, the example given by Xarles:

$$7^2, 13^2, 17^2, 409, 23^2$$

shows that arithmetic progressions of squares exist with five terms. Xarles [6] shows that there are infinitely many such progressions, and that there cannot exist six-term arithmetic progressions of squares in quadratic fields. He gives examples of four squares in cubic number fields that lie in arithmetic progression, and implicitly shows, using a theorem of Frey, that there are only finitely many cubic fields that contain six squares in arithmetic progression. In this note we prove the following result.

Theorem 1. *There are no cubic number fields containing five squares in arithmetic progression.*

Date: May 26, 2015.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11G30, 11B25.

Key words and phrases. Arithmetic progressions, squares, cubic fields, curves, Jacobians, Mordell–Weil.

The second-named author is supported by EPSRC Programme Grant ‘LMF: L-Functions and Modular Forms’ EP/K034383/1.

2. SOME GEOMETRY AND ARITHMETIC

The equations relating five squares in arithmetic progression are as follows:

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{S} : a^2 - 2b^2 + c^2 = 0, \quad b^2 - 2c^2 + d^2 = 0, \quad c^2 - 2d^2 + e^2 = 0.$$

The equations (1) define a curve $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ of genus 5, and $\mathcal{S}$ covers the five elliptic curves obtained by “forgetting” each variable in turn, of ranks 0, 1, 0, 1, 0 over $\mathbb{Q}$, respectively; so $\mathcal{S}$ has totally split Jacobian. However, we do not use this explicitly in what follows.

The involution of $\mathcal{S}$ obtained by $(a, b, c, d, e) \rightarrow (a, -b, c, -d, e)$ determines a quotient curve $\mathcal{C}$ of genus 3 given by the equation

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{C} : y^2 = x^8 + 14x^4 + 1 = (x^4 + 2x^3 + 2x^2 - 2x + 1)(x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x + 1),$$

via the mapping

$$(3) \quad (x, y) = \left(\frac{e - c}{a - c}, \frac{4bd(a - 2c + e)^2}{(a - c)^4} \right).$$

Note that (3) implies

$$(4) \quad x^4 - 2x^3 + 2x^2 + 2x + 1 = \left(\frac{2b(a - 2c + e)}{(a - c)^2} \right)^2.$$

An arithmetic progression consisting of five squares belonging to a cubic number field determines a point on $\mathcal{S}$ with coordinates in a cubic field (a “cubic point”) and this maps to a cubic point on $\mathcal{C}$ —it cannot map to a rational point on $\mathcal{C}$ as the morphism (3) has degree 2. We shall determine $J(\mathbb{Q})$, showing that it is finite, where J is the Jacobian of $\mathcal{C}$, and use this to determine the cubic points on $\mathcal{C}$. This last step is somewhat clearer if explained in slightly greater generality.

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a hyperelliptic curve of genus 3 over $\mathbb{Q}$ with $P_0 \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Q})$. Suppose that $J(\mathbb{Q})$ is finite, where J is the Jacobian of $\mathcal{C}$. Then $\mathcal{C}$ has finitely many cubic points.*

Proof. For a divisor D on $\mathcal{C}$, we write $[D]$ for its linear equivalence class, $L(D)$ for its Riemann–Roch space, $\ell(D)$ for the dimension of $L(D)$, and $|D|$ for the linear system of D . Recall the closed points of $|D|$ correspond to effective divisors linearly equivalent to D , and that $\dim |D| = \ell(D) - 1$.

Let $D_1, \dots, D_n$ be degree 0 divisors such that $[D_1], \dots, [D_n]$ represent the distinct elements of $J(\mathbb{Q})$. Let $D'_i = D_i + 3P_0$. Suppose P is a cubic point, and let Δ be the effective irreducible degree 3 divisor obtained by taking the formal sum of conjugates of P . Then $\Delta \sim D'_i$ for a unique i , and in particular, $\Delta \in |D'_i|$. As the divisors D'_i have degree 3, and $\mathcal{C}$ has genus 3, it follows from Riemann–Roch and Clifford’s inequality that $\ell(D'_i) = 1$ or 2. Moreover, if $\ell(D'_i) = 2$ then D'_i is a special divisor of degree 3; it is known in this case (c.f. [1, Chapter 1, Exercise D.9]), as $\mathcal{C}$ is hyperelliptic of genus

3, that the linear system $|D'_i|$ contains a base point and so does not contain irreducible divisors.

To sum up $\Delta \in |D'_i|$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $\ell(D'_i) = 1$. But if $\ell(D'_i) = 1$ then $\dim |D'_i| = 0$, and in that case $|D'_i|$ consists of exactly a single divisor. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 2 in fact gives a succinct recipe for determining the cubic points on a hyperelliptic genus 3 curve, provided that the Mordell–Weil group of its Jacobian can be computed, and is finite. We now do this for the curve $\mathcal{C}$ in (2).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be as in (2), and let J be its Jacobian. Let ∞_+ and ∞_- be the two points at infinity on the model (2) where the function y/x^4 respectively takes values 1 and -1 . Write*

$$Q_1 = \infty_+ - \infty_-, \quad Q_2 = (0, -1) - \infty_-, \quad Q_3 = (-1, -4) - \infty_-.$$

Then

$$(5) \quad J(\mathbb{Q}) = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{4\mathbb{Z}}[Q_1] \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{4\mathbb{Z}}[Q_2] \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{8\mathbb{Z}}[Q_3].$$

In particular, $\#J(\mathbb{Q}) = 128$.

Proof. We first determine the torsion subgroup $J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$ of $J(\mathbb{Q})$ and then show that it is equal to $J(\mathbb{Q})$. Let Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 be the degree 0 divisors in the statement of the lemma; their classes in $J(\mathbb{Q})$ respectively have orders 4, 4, 8. Now 5 is a prime of good reduction for the model $\mathcal{C}$, and so the reduction modulo 5 map

$$\pi : J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \rightarrow J(\mathbb{F}_5)$$

is an injection [4, Appendix]. However, $\#J(\mathbb{F}_5) = 512$, thus $\#J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \mid 512$. Let I be the subgroup of $J(\mathbb{Q})$ spanned by $[Q_1], [Q_2], [Q_3]$. By explicitly computing $\pi(I)$, we find that I is precisely the group on the right-hand side of (5). Suppose I is strictly contained in $J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}$. Then there is some $P \in J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \setminus I$ such that $2P \in I$. However, $\pi(I)/2\pi(I)$ injects into $J(\mathbb{F}_5)/2J(\mathbb{F}_5)$. Thus there is some $Q \in I$ such that $2P = 2Q$, and so $P - Q$ is an element of order 2. To show that $J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} = I$ it is now enough to verify that I contains all the 2-torsion in $J(\mathbb{Q})$. We did this with the help of Lemma 2.2 below; thus $J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} = I$.

A computation with **MAGMA** [2] confirms that the 2-Selmer group of J over $\mathbb{Q}$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$; for this **MAGMA** uses Stoll's implementation of the 2-descent algorithm described in [5]. As $J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}}/2J(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^3$ we have that the rank of $J(\mathbb{Q})$ is 0. This completes the proof. $\square$

We are unable to find a description in the literature for 2-torsion on hyperelliptic curves of odd genus, so we give one here, restricting to the case where there are two rational points at infinity.

Lemma 2.2. *Let K be a field with characteristic $\neq 2$. Let $g \geq 3$ be an **odd** integer, and*

$$f = x^{2g+2} + a_{2g+1}x^{2g+1} + \cdots + a_0 \in K[x]$$

be a monic separable polynomial of degree $2g+2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the genus g hyperelliptic curve with affine model $y^2 = f(x)$ and let J be its Jacobian. A non-trivial element of order 2 in $J(K)$ can be represented by a divisor D such that

- (i) *either $2D = \text{div}(h)$ for some $h(x) \in K[x]$ of even degree $\leq (g-1)$ satisfying $h(x) \mid f(x)$,*
- (ii) *or $2D = \text{div}(y - h_1(x))$ for some $h_1, h_2 \in K[x]$, where $h_1 = x^{g+1} + \frac{1}{2}a_{2g+1}x^g + \cdots$, h_2 has degree $\leq g$ and $a \in K^*$ such that $f = h_1^2 - ah_2^2$.*

Proof. Write ∞_+ and ∞_- for the usual two points at infinity. Let D be a rational degree 0 divisor such that $[D]$ is 2-torsion. By Riemann–Roch we may replace D by a linearly equivalent divisor

$$D = D_0 - \frac{(g-1)}{2}\infty_+ - \frac{(g+1)}{2}\infty_-$$

where D_0 is positive of degree g . Then $2D_0 - (g-1)\infty_+ - (g+1)\infty_-$ is a principal divisor, say equal to $\text{div}(k)$ for some $k \in K(C) \setminus K$. Thus k belongs to the Riemann–Roch space $L((g-1)\infty_+ + (g+1)\infty_-)$ which has basis

$$1, x, x^2, \dots, x^{g-1}, y - x^{g+1} - \frac{a_{2g+1}}{2}x^g.$$

After replacing k by a scalar multiple, k either has the form $k = h(x)$ where $h(x) \in K[x]$ has degree $\leq g-1$, or $k = y - h_1(x)$ with $h_1 = x^{g+1} + \frac{1}{2}a_{2g+1}x^g + \cdots \in K[x]$.

Suppose first that $k = h(x)$. If $h = h_1^2 h_2$ with $\deg(h_1) \geq 1$, then we replace D by the linearly equivalent $D - \text{div}(h_1)$. Thus we may suppose h is square-free. If $\alpha \in \overline{K}$ is a root of h that is not a root of f then k has a zero of order 1 at the points $(\alpha, \pm\sqrt{f(\alpha)})$, which is impossible. We see that $h(x) \mid f(x)$. Finally, h must have even degree so that the valuations at the poles at infinity are even.

Next suppose that $k = y - h_1(x)$. The divisor of zeros of k has the form $2D_1$ where D_1 is positive. The divisor of zeros of $k' = y + h_1(x)$ has the form $2D'_1$ where D'_1 is the image of D_1 under the hyperelliptic involution. Now if $\alpha \in \overline{K}$ is a common root of h_1 and f then $k = y - h_1(x)$ has valuation 1 at $(\alpha, 0)$ which is impossible. In particular, D_1, D'_1 do not contain Weierstrass points. It is easy to deduce that

$$f(x) - h_1(x)^2 = (y + h_1(x))(y - h_1(x))$$

has the form $ah_2(x)^2$ for some $a \in K^*$ and $h_2 \in K[x]$. Clearly the degree of h_2 is at most g . $\square$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be as in (1) and (2). It is sufficient to determine the cubic points on $\mathcal{C}$ and verify that none of these pull back to cubic points on $\mathcal{S}$. Let P be a cubic point on $\mathcal{C}$ and let Δ be the irreducible effective degree 3 divisor obtained by taking the formal sum of the conjugates of P . To determine the possibilities for Δ we follow the strategy of the proof of Theorem 2. Let $D_1, \dots, D_{128}$ be degree 0 divisors on $\mathcal{C}$ representing the 128 elements of $J(\mathbb{Q})$ (by Lemma 2.1, these can be expressed as linear combinations of Q_1, Q_2, Q_3). Let $D'_i = D_i + 3\infty_-$. By the final paragraph of the proof of Theorem 2 we know that Δ is the unique rational divisor in $|D'_i|$ for some i such that $\ell(D'_i) = 1$. We find that there are precisely 120 values of i for which $\ell(D'_i) = 1$; if f_i is a generator for $L(D'_i)$ then the unique rational divisor in $|D'_i|$ is given by $D'_i + \text{div}(f_i)$. It turns out only 16 of the 120 effective divisors $D'_i + \text{div}(f_i)$ are irreducible. This yields 16 cubic points on $\mathcal{C}$ up to conjugation, and we verify that none of these pull back to cubic points on $\mathcal{S}$. As an example, $(\theta, 2\theta^2 + \theta - 1)$ is a cubic point on $\mathcal{C}$, where $\theta^3 - 2\theta^2 + 2\theta + 1 = 0$. This point pulls back to

$$(a, b, c, d, e) = (-\phi^4 + 2\phi^2 + 2, \phi, \phi^4 - 2\phi^2, 2\phi^5 - 4\phi^3 - 3\phi, -\phi^4 + 4\phi^2),$$

where

$$\phi^6 - 2\phi^4 - 2\phi^2 - 1 = 0 \quad (\phi^2 = -1/\theta).$$

Remark. A similar argument determines all rational points on $\mathcal{C}$, and all points on $\mathcal{C}$ that are defined over quadratic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$. This latter in particular yields an alternative proof of a result of González-Jiménez & Xarles related to five squares in arithmetic progression in quadratic fields. We sketch details, as follows.

Suppose $P \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Q})$. Then $P - \infty_- \sim D_i$ for a unique i , so that $P \sim D_{i,1}$ where we denote by $D_{i,j}$ the divisor $D_i + j \cdot \infty_-$. Thus $P \in |D_{i,1}|$, a space of dimension $\ell(D_{i,1}) - 1$. Now $\ell = \ell(D_{i,1})$ takes values 0, 1, with $|D_{i,1}|$ empty when $\ell = 0$ (in 120 instances). For $\ell = 1$ (occurring in 8 instances), $|D_{i,1}|$ is of dimension 0, and comprises a single divisor, namely $D_{i,1} + (f)$, where f is a generator for $L(D_{i,1})$. The eight instances where $\ell = 1$ now correspond to the eight rational points $(1, \pm 1, 0)$, $(0, \pm 1, 1)$, and $(\pm 1, \pm 4)$ on $\mathcal{C}$.

Suppose now P is a quadratic point, and let Δ be the effective irreducible divisor of degree 2 obtained by taking the formal sum of P with its conjugate. Then $\Delta \sim D_{i,2}$ for a unique i , and $\Delta \in |D_{i,2}|$. For $1 \leq i \leq 128$, $\ell = \ell(D_{i,2})$ takes the values 0, 1, 2. If $\ell = 0$ (in 93 cases), then $|D_{i,2}|$ is empty. If $\ell = 1$ (in 34 cases), then $\dim |D_{i,2}| = 0$, and the linear system comprises the single divisor $D_{i,2} + (f) (= \Delta)$ where f generates $L(D_{i,2})$. In all but two of these instances, Δ is reducible; the cases where Δ is irreducible are when $D_i = 3Q_2 + 4Q_3$ and $D_i = 2Q_1 + Q_2 + 4Q_3$, corresponding to $\Delta = (i, 4) + (-i, 4)$, and $(i, -4) + (-i, -4)$. And when $\ell = 2$, which occurs precisely when $D_i = Q_1$,

then $L(D_{i,2})$ has basis $\{1, x\}$, and the linear space $|D_{i,2}|$ comprises divisors of type $(t, \sqrt{D}) + (t, -\sqrt{D})$ for $t \in \mathbb{Q}$, D not a rational square. In conclusion, Δ is thus either fixed under the hyperelliptic involution, or is one of the pairs $(\pm i, 4) + (\mp i, 4)$.

The pullback to $\mathcal{S}$ of the point $(i, 4)$ is the point

$$(a, b, c, d, e) = (\sqrt{2}, 1, 0, i, i\sqrt{2}),$$

so does not provide a quadratic point of $\mathcal{S}$. The pullback of the point $(t, \sqrt{D})$ for $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ is the point $(a, b, c, d, e) =$

$$(t^2 - 2t - 1, \sqrt{t^4 - 2t^3 + 2t^2 + 2t + 1}, t^2 + 1, \sqrt{t^4 + 2t^3 + 2t^2 - 2t + 1}, t^2 + 2t - 1),$$

where $D = t^8 + 14t^4 + 1 = (t^4 - 2t^3 + 2t^2 + 2t + 1)(t^4 + 2t^3 + 2t^2 - 2t + 1)$. For the point to be defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ it is necessary and sufficient that precisely one of $t^4 \mp 2t^3 + 2t^2 \pm 2t + 1$ be a rational square, the other a non-square. This recovers Lemma 4 of González-Jiménez & Xarles [3], that an arithmetic progression of five squares in a quadratic field is without loss of generality of the form $(x_0^2, x_1^2, x_2^2, Dx_3^2, x_4^2)$ for rational integers x_i and D .

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. A. Griffiths, J. Harris, *Geometry of Algebraic Curves, Vol. I*, Springer Verlag, 1985.
- [2] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust: *The Magma Algebra System I: The User Language*, J. Symb. Comp. **24** (1997), 235–265. (See also <http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma/>)
- [3] E. González-Jiménez and X. Xarles, *Five squares in arithmetic progression over quadratic fields*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 29 (2013), no. 4, 1211–1238.
- [4] N. M. Katz, *Galois properties of torsion points on abelian varieties*, Invent. Math. **62** (1981), 481–502.
- [5] M. Stoll, *Implementing 2-descent for Jacobians of hyperelliptic curves*, Acta Arith. **98** (2001), 245–277.
- [6] X. Xarles, *Squares in arithmetic progression over number fields*, J. Number Theory 132 (2012) 379–389.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND STATISTICAL SCIENCES, ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY, TEMPE, AZ 85287-1804, USA

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, CV4 7AL, COVENTRY, UK