arXiv:1510.04474v1 [math.AG] 15 Oct 2015

BOGOMOLOV-GIESEKER TYPE INEQUALITY ON CALABI-YAU AND FANO 3-FOLDS

WU-YEN CHUANG AND CHING-JUI LAI

Abstract. We prove a Bogomolov-Gieseker type inequality for the third Chern characters of stable sheaves on Calabi-Yau 3-folds and a large class of Fano 3-folds with given rank and first and second Chern classes. The proof uses the spreading-out technique, vanishings from the tilt-stability conditions, and Langer's estimation theorem of the global sections of torsion free sheaves. In particular, the result implies that the conjectural sufficient conditions on the Chern numbers for the existence of stable sheaves on a Calabi-Yau 3-fold by Douglas-Reinbacher-Yau needs to be modified.

1. Introduction

Since its first introduction, the theory of Bridgeland stability condition on triangulated categories [Bri1] has been a subject of great interest to geometers. The existence of a Bridgeland stability condition on the derived category of coherent sheaves of a projective 3-fold boils down to a conjectural Bogomolov-Gieseker type inequality for tilt-stable objects [BMT, Conjecture 1.3.1]. Notable progress in this direction has been made in, for example, [MP] and [BMS]. Such inequality, when applied to slope stable sheaves with smallest first Chern class yield the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. [BMT][Tod] *Let X be a complex smooth projective 3-fold such that* $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$ *is generated by $\mathcal{O}_X(H)$ for an ample divisor H on X. The for any torsion free μ_H-stable sheaf E on X with $c_1(E) = [H]$ and $\mathrm{ch}_2(E)H > 0$, we have the inequality,*

$$\mathrm{ch}_3(E) \leq \frac{\mathrm{ch}_2(E)H}{3\mathrm{ch}_0(E)} \ . \tag{1.1}$$

On the other hand, combining the attractor formalism and mirror symmetry in string theory, Douglas, Reinbacher and Yau propose the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14F05; Secondary: 14J32.

Key words and phrases. Bogomolov-Gieseker inequality, stable sheaf, positive characteristic.

sufficient conditions for the Chern classes corresponding to stable reflexive sheaves on Calabi-Yau 3-folds.

Conjecture 1.2. [DRY] *Let X be a complex smooth projective Calabi-Yau 3-fold. Let $c_i \in H^{2i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and $r > 1$ be an integer. Let $\mathrm{ch}_1 = c_1, \mathrm{ch}_2 = \frac{1}{2}c_1^2 - c_2$, and $\mathrm{ch}_3 = \frac{1}{6}(c_1^3 - 3c_1c_2 + 3c_3)$. Suppose there is an ample class $H \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$ such that*

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{ch}_2 = -r(H^2 + \frac{1}{24}c_2(X)) + \frac{\mathrm{ch}_1^2}{2r} \\ \mathrm{ch}_3 < \frac{2^{7/2}}{3}rH^3 + \frac{\mathrm{ch}_1\mathrm{ch}_2}{r} - \frac{\mathrm{ch}_1^3}{3r^2} \end{cases}, \tag{1.2}$$

then there exist a rank r stable reflexive sheaf E on X with respect to some polarization and $\mathrm{ch}_i(E) = \mathrm{ch}_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Besides these two conjectures, there are not so many results involving the third Chern characters of a stable sheaf. Motivated by the application of multiplication maps on abelian varieties in [BMS], and also the application of Frobenius pullbacks in [Gie2] [Lan3], we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *(Theorem 3.1) Let X be a smooth projective 3-fold over an algebraically closed field k of arbitrary characteristic. Let H be an ample divisor on X and E be a rank r on X with $H^2\mathrm{ch}_1(E) > 0$. Suppose that H satisfies the condition*

($\star$) *$|H|$ contains a normal divisor S and $|H|_S|$ contains a smooth curve C such that both $E|_S$ and $E|_C = (E|_S)|_C$ are torsion-free,*

and we are in one of the following situations:

(1) *X is Calabi-Yau and E is μ_H-semistable with*
$$(H^2\mathrm{ch}_1(E), r) = 1;$$
(2) *X is a Fano manifold with μ_H-semistable tangent bundle and E is μ_H-stable.*

Then we have the inequality,

$$\mathrm{ch}_3(E) \leq \frac{(H^2\mathrm{ch}_1(E))^3}{6r^2(H^3)^2} .$$

Condition ($\star$) is a sufficient condition for Langer's estimation [Lan2, Theorem 3.3] to apply, see Theorem 2.9 and appendix B for more explanation. If H is very ample, then clearly condition ($\star$) holds. Moreover, Theorem 3.1 is formulated for general polarized 3-folds (X, H), while as a corollary, the above statement for Calabi-Yau and Fano 3-folds is likely to be the most interesting application. Note that due to [Ste] and [Re], a large class of *complex* Fano 3-folds have semistable tangent bundles and hence (2) applies unconditionally to μ_H-stable sheaves.

However, semistability of tangent bundles of Fano 3-folds over positive characteristics, to the best knowledge of authors, has not been investigated. On the other hand, we emphasis that the proof of Theorem 3.1 is in fact characteristic free. Finally we remark that Theorem 1.3 indicates a modification to Conjecture 1.1 is necessary: when r is large and $c_1 = H$, the estimation of the third Chern character gives a bound of order $O(1/r^2)$, which is much more restrictive, as the bound of Conjecture 1.1 is only linear in r.

Example 1.4. We compare our inequality to [BMT][Tod]. If $\omega = H$ satisfies $(\star)$ and $B = 0$, then for E a μ_H-stable sheaf on X as in Theorem 1.3, we have

$$\mathrm{ch}_3(E) \leq \frac{H^3}{6r^2} \ .$$

This is implied by the inequality (1.1) if the Conjecture 1.1 holds.

The paper is organized as the following. In Section 2 we recall some basic facts about slope stability conditions and Frobenius pullbacks, especially the property of strong semistabilility on Calabi-Yau and Fano 3-folds. In Section 3 we state our main theorem of a Bogomolov-Gieseker type inequality for the bound of the third Chern characters of μ_H-stable sheaves. In section 4 we prove the main theorem in steps. The proof uses the spreading-out technique, vanishings from the tilt-stability conditions, and Langer's estimation theorem of the global sections. In Appendix A we review the tilt-stability for 3-folds for completeness. In Appendix B we collect some known results on complex smooth Fano 3-folds that are relevant to this work.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Jung-Kai Chen, Chen-Yu Chi, Katsuhisa Furukawa, Jason Lo, and Jeng-Daw Yu for useful conversations. W.Y.C would like to thank Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University for the hospitality during the final stage of the paper, and was partially supported by Taiwan MOST grants 101-2628-M-002-003-MY4 and 104-2918-I-002-023.

2. Preliminaries

We start by recalling some results that will be needed in the paper. Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n over an algebraically closed field k with an ample divisor H.

Definition 2.1. The slope function $\mu_H(E)$ of a coherent sheaf E is defined as

$$\mu_H(E) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } \mathrm{rk}(E) = 0, \\ \frac{H^{n-1}\mathrm{ch}_1(E)}{\mathrm{rk}(E)} & \text{if } \mathrm{rk}(E) \neq 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathrm{rk}(E)$ is the rank of E.

Definition 2.2. A coherent torsion-free sheaf E on X is called μ_H-semistable (respectively μ_H-stable) if for any proper subsheaf $F \subset E$ we have $\mu_H(F) \leq \mu_H(E)$ (respectively $\mu_H(F) < \mu_H(E)$).

Recall that every torsion-free sheaf E admits a unique Harder-Narasimham filtration (HN filtration for short) with respect to the slope μ_H:

$$\mathrm{HN}_\bullet^{\mu_H}(E) : 0 = \mathrm{HN}_0^{\mu_H}(E) \subset \mathrm{HN}_1^{\mu_H}(E) \subset \cdots \subset \mathrm{HN}_{l+1}^{\mu_H}(E) = E\ , \tag{2.2}$$

such that $gr_i^{\mu_H}(E) := \mathrm{HN}_i^{\mu_H}(E)/\mathrm{HN}_{i-1}^{\mu_H}(E)$ is semistable for $1 \leq i \leq l+1$ and $\mu_H(gr_1^{\mu_H}(E)) > \mu_H(gr_2^{\mu_H}(E)) > \cdots > \mu_H(gr_{l+1}^{\mu_H}(E))$. We call l the length of HN filtration. We set $\mu_H^+(E) = \mu_H(gr_1^{\mu_H}(E))$, $\mu_H^-(E) = \mu_H(gr_{l+1}^{\mu_H}(E))$, and $I(E) = \mu_H^+(E) - \mu_H^-(E)$. So E is semistable if and only if $I(E) = 0$.

Now assume the ground field k of X be an algebraically closed field with $\mathrm{char}(k) = p > 0$. The absolute Frobenius morphism $F : X \to X$ is induced by $\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{O}_X,\ f \to f^p$.

Definition 2.3. A sheaf E is called strongly μ_H-semistable (respectively μ_H-stable) if its pullback $F^k(E) := (F^k)^*(E)$ by k-th power of Frobenius morphism is μ_H-semistable (respectively μ_H-stable) for any $k \geq 0$.

We recall some theorems on semistability of Frobenius pullbacks that will be used later. We start with the following theorem of A. Langer,

Theorem 2.4. [Lan1, Theorem 6.1] *A tensor product of two strongly μ_H-semistable sheaves is a strongly μ_H-semistable sheaf.*

One of the main theorems in [Lan1] is the following

Theorem 2.5. [Lan1, Theorem 2.7] *For any torsion free sheaf E, there exist a k_0 such that all of quotients $gr_i^{\mu_H}(F^{k*}(E))$ in the HN filtration of $F^{k*}(E)$ are stronly μ_H-semistable when $k \geq k_0$.*

As an application of Langer's results, X. Sun proves the following proposition.

Proposition 2.6. [Sun, Proposition 3.12] *If all quotients $gr_i^{\mu_H}(F^{k*}(E_1))$, $gr_i^{HN}(F^{k*}(E_2))$ of E_1 and E_2 are strongly μ_H-semistable, then*

$$\mu_H^+(E_1 \otimes E_2) \leq \mu_H^+(E_1) + \mu_H^+(E_2) \ .$$

In particular, if all $gr_i^{HN}(F^*(E))$ are strongly μ_H-semistable, then

$$p \cdot I(E) \leq I(F^*(E)) \leq (l-s)\mu_H^+(\Omega_X^1) + p \cdot s \cdot I(E) \ ,$$

where l is the length of the HN filtration $\mathrm{HN}_\bullet^{\mu_H}(F^*(E))$ and s is the number of ∇_{can}-invariant subsheaves $\mathrm{HN}_i^{\mu_H}(F^*(E))$.

Remark 2.7. Denote the relative Frobenius morphism, which is k-linear, by $F_{rel} : X \to X_1 := X \times_k k$. According to Cartier (for example see [Katz, Theorem 5.1]), there exists an equivalence between the category $\mathrm{QCoh}(X_1)$ of quasi-coherent sheaves on X_1 and the category $\mathrm{MIC}(X)$ of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules with integrable k-connections, whose p-curvature is zero. Let E be a quasi-coherent sheaf on X_1. Then ∇_{can} is the integrable k-connection such that $E \to (F_{rel}^*(E), \nabla_{can}) \in \mathrm{MIC}(X)$ is the equivalence. Since Cartier's theorem is not needed in the paper, we will simply refer the interested readers to [Katz].

Corollary 2.8. [Sun, Corollary 3.13] *If $\mu_H^+(\Omega_X^1) \leq 0$ (respectively, $\mu_H^+(\Omega_X^1) < 0$), then all μ_H-semistable sheaves on X are strongly μ_H-semistable (respectively, strongly μ_H-stable). In particular,*

1. *if X is a Calabi-Yau manifold and E is a μ_H-semistable sheaf on X, then $F^*(E)$ is also μ_H-semistable;*
2. *if X is a Fano manifold with μ_H-semistable tangent bundle and E is a μ_H-stable sheaf on X, then $F^*(E)$ is also μ_H-stable.*

Morerover, in both cases we have $c_i(F^*(E)) = p^i c_i(E)$.

Proof. The first part of the corollary is in [Sun, Corollary 3.13] and follows easily from Proposition 2.6, and $c_i(F^*(E)) = p^i c_i(E)$ follows from [Gie1, Lemma 1.5]. □

We will also use the following estimation theorem of Langer.

Theorem 2.9. [Lan2, Theorem 3.3] *Let X be a normal projective variety of dimension n over an algebraically closed field k of arbitrary characteristic and let H be a very ample divisor. Let E be a rank r torsion free sheaf on X. Then*

$$h^0(X,E) \leq \begin{cases} rH^n\binom{\frac{\mu_H^+(E)}{H^n}+f(r)+n}{n} & \text{if } \mu_H^+(E) \geq 0 \ , \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu_H^+(E) < 0 \ , \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$f(r) = -1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{i}.$$

Remark 2.10. For $n = 1$, the theorem is based on Riemann-Roch formula. For $n \geq 2$, the proof of Theorem 2.9 is an induction on dimension by taking restriction of E to a hypersurface S such that $E|_S$ is again torsion-free. If $n = 3$, then we have to take the restriction to a surface S and then to a curve $C \subseteq S$ so that both $E|_S$ and $E|_C$ are torsion-free. This is exactly the condition $(\star)$ in Theorem 1.3.

Example 2.11. Let X be a complex Calabi-Yau 3-fold. By [EL], Fujita conjecture holds and $|4A|$ is base point free for any ample divisor A. Take $S \in |4A|$ general, then $K_S = (4A)|_S$ is ample and by Kodaira vanishing that $|4A|_S = |4A|_S| = |K_S|$ is base point free. Hence we can apply Langer's theorem to $H = 4A$.

Example 2.12. Let X be a complex smooth Fano 3-fold and $-K_X = r_X H$, where r_X is the index and H the fundamental divisor. By [PS, Theorem 2.4.5], $|H|$ is base point free except three families of Fano 3-folds, one with $r_X = 2$ and $H^3 = 1$, and the other two with $r_X = 1$ and $H^3 = 4$ or 6.

Suppose now that $|H|$ is base point free. Then by the vanishing $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, we have $|H_S| = |H|_S$, which is still base point free. By Bertini theorem and the above discussion, Langer's proof of the above theorem applies and we have the same estimation (2.3).

3. A Bogomolov-Gieseker Type Inequality on polarized 3-folds

In this and the next sections we will prove a Bogomolov-Gieseker type inequality which bounds the third Chern characters of semistable sheaves on polarized 3-folds. First we state the main theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a smooth projective 3-fold over an algebraically closed field k of arbitrary characteristic. Let H be an ample divisor on X satisfying*

- $(\star)$ *$|H|$ contains a normal divisor S and $|H|_S|$ contains a smooth curve C such that both $E|_S$ and $E|_C = (E|_S)|_C$ are torsion-free;*

Let E be a rank r torsion-free sheaf on X with $H^2\mathrm{ch}_1(E) > 0$ and suppose that we are in one of the following two situations:

1. *$\mu_H^+(\Omega_X) \leq 0$ and E is μ_H-semistable with $(H^2\mathrm{ch}_1(E), r) = 1$;*
2. *$\mu_H^+(\Omega_X) < 0$ and E is μ_H-stable.*

Then we have the inequality,

$$\mathrm{ch}_3(E) \leq \frac{(H^2\mathrm{ch}_1(E))^3}{6r^2(H^3)^2} \ .$$

Remark 3.2. For X a Calabi-Yau complex manifold, Ω_X is μ_H-stable and $\mu_H^+(\Omega_X) = H^2K_X = 0$. Also, there is a large class of Fano complex manifolds, see Appendix B, such that Ω_X is semistable and $\mu_H^+(\Omega_X) = H^2K_X < 0$. Hence Theorem 3.1 applies in these cases.

In the proof of Theorem 3.1, we will use the $\nu_{\omega,B}$-stability on the heart $\mathcal{B}_{\omega,B}$ of a bounded t-structure on $D^b(X)$. We give a brief review on this in the Appendix A for completeness. The following theorem is called *Bertram's Nested Wall Theorem* and concerns about the wall structures of $\nu_{\omega,B}$-stability conditions on a real two-dimensional (α,β)-plane.

Theorem 3.3. [Mac, Theorem 3.1] *Let X be a smooth projective 3-fold over an algebraically closed field k of arbitrary characteristic. Let H be an ample class. We let $\omega := \sqrt{3}\alpha H$, $B := \beta H$, and*

$$\nu_{\alpha,\beta}(-) = \sqrt{3}\alpha \cdot \nu_{\omega,B}(-) = \frac{H\mathrm{ch}_2^\beta(-) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha^2H^3\mathrm{ch}_0^\beta(-)}{H^2\mathrm{ch}_1^\beta(-)},$$

where $\nu_{\omega,B}(-)$ is a stability function on $\mathcal{B}_{\omega,B}$ and is defined in Appendix A.

Assume E is $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$-semistable and $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}(E) \neq +\infty$. Then E is $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$-semistable along the semicircle $C_{\alpha,\beta}(E)$ on the (α,β)-plane $\mathbb{R}_{>0}\times\mathbb{R}$ with center $(0, \beta + \nu_{\alpha,\beta}(E))$ and radius $\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \nu_{\alpha,\beta}(E)^2}$.

Remark 3.4. A special value $\overline{\beta}(E)$ for a sheaf E is given by

$$\overline{\beta}(-) = \frac{H^2\mathrm{ch}_1(-) - \sqrt{\overline{\Delta}_H(-)}}{H^3\mathrm{ch}_0(-)} \ , \tag{3.1}$$

where $\overline{\Delta}_H(-) = (H^2\mathrm{ch}_1(-))^2 - 2(H^3\mathrm{ch}_0(-))(H\mathrm{ch}_2(-))$. The line $\beta = \overline{\beta}(E)$ on the (α,β) plane will intersect with all the numerical walls of sheaf E [Mac, Corollary 2.8].

Remark 3.5. Here we state the theorem for arbitrary characteristic since the determination of the wall structures only depends on the $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$ slope. Hence the theorem carries over to arbitrary characteristic. The convention of $\omega = \sqrt{3}\alpha H$ and $B = \beta H$ is taken from [BMS].

4. Proof of Main Theorem

We break the proof into steps.

Step 1. By the standard spreading out technique, we have a subring $R \subset k$, finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}$, and a smooth $\mathscr{X} \to S = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ such that $X = \mathscr{X} \otimes_R k$. By generic flatness, we may assume it is a flat S-family. Since ampleness and semistability are open conditions, we may shrink S if necessary and assume we have an S-flat family of relative $\mu_{\mathcal{H}}$-semistable sheaves $\mathcal{E}$ with respect to $\mathcal{H}$ extending E and H, such that for every closed point $s \in S$ the restriction $\mathcal{E}_s$ is an $\mathcal{H}_s$ semistable sheaf on $\mathscr{X}_s$. We further base change to a geometric point $\overline{s}$ lying over s. This final base change preserves stability since every μ_H-semistable sheaf is geometrically μ_H-semistable [HL, Theorem 1.3.7]. Therefore we may further add the condition that the characteristic of the ground field k is positive.

We can spread out the condition $(\star)$ by including a fixed good ladder $C \subseteq S \subseteq X$. Also note that torsion-freeness is an open condition by [Maruyama '75].

Step 2. We first remark that if Ω_X is μ_H-semistable, then by construction we can assume that $\Omega_{\mathscr{X}}$ is relative $\mu_{\mathcal{H}}$-semistable. Hence we get $\Omega_{X_{\bar{s}}}$ is $\mu_{H_{\bar{s}}}$-semistable by [HL, Theorem 1.3.7].

Suppose now that E is μ_H-semistable and $\mu_H^+(\Omega_X) \leq 0$. Then $F^*(E)$ is μ_H-semistable by Corollary 2.8, and $F^*(E)$ is also $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$-semistable for $\alpha \gg 0$. For definitions and properties of $\mu_{\omega,B}$ and $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$, please see Appendix A. By the coprime condition $(H^2\mathrm{ch}_1(E), r) = 1$, $F^*(E)$ is actually $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$-stable. Hence $F^*(E)$ is twisted semistable with respect to the pair (B, ω) in the sense of [Bri2, Definition 14.1], cf. [BMS, Lemma 2.7] for higher dimensional cases. Therefore by [Bri2, Proposition 14.2], $F^*(E)$ is $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$-semistable for $\alpha \gg 0$. If $\mu_H^+(\Omega_X) < 0$ and E is μ_H-stable, then the same argument implies that E is $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$-stable for $\alpha \gg 0$ without the coprime condition.

Step 3. Here we want to show a crucial vanishing:

$$\textbf{Claim} : \mathrm{Hom}(F^*(E), \mathcal{O}_X(K_X - H)[1]) = 0.$$

We choose α to be very large and β to be $\overline{\beta}(F^*(E))$ and apply Theorem 3.3 to *slide down the wall* to go to small α region. A short computation gives

(4.1)

$$\overline{\beta}(F^*(E)) = \left(\frac{H^2\mathrm{ch}_1(E)}{H^3} - \sqrt{\Big(\frac{H^2\mathrm{ch}_1(E)}{H^3}\Big)^2 - 2r\frac{H\mathrm{ch}_2(E)}{H^3}} \right) p/r \ .$$

Applying semicircle relation of Theorem 3.3, we get one of the intercept values of β-axis with the semicircle,

$$\beta^* = \frac{pH^2\mathrm{ch}_1(E)}{H^3r} > 0 \ . \tag{4.2}$$

Therefore $F^*(E)$ is $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$-semistable near $(\alpha,\beta) = (0,\beta^*)$. Notice that as $H^2\mathrm{ch}_1^{\beta^*}(F^*(E)) = 0$, by considering $\beta = \beta^* - \epsilon$ for $1 \gg \epsilon > 0$ and $\alpha \gg 0$, we see that $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}(F^*(E)) \gg 0$.

Notice that $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X - H)) \leq 0$ for $\beta \geq 0$. By [BMT, Proposition 7.4.1] and [BMS, Corollary 3.11], $\mathcal{O}_X(K_X - H)[1] \in \mathcal{B}_{\omega,B}$ is $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$-semistable for all $(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. Since we have

$$\nu_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathcal{O}_X(K_X - H)[1]) < 0 \text{ near } (0,\beta^*),$$

we obtain the following vanishing by $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$-stability:

$$\mathrm{Hom}(F^*(E), \mathcal{O}_X(K_X - H)[1]) = \mathrm{Ext}^2(\mathcal{O}_X(-H), F^*(E))^\vee = 0 \ . \tag{4.3}$$

Step 4. We start to build our estimation. By Riemann-Roch and Corollary 2.8, we have

$$\chi(F^*(E)) = p^3\mathrm{ch}_3(E) + Q(p) \leq \hom(\mathcal{O}_X, F^*(E)) + \mathrm{ext}^2(\mathcal{O}_X, F^*(E)) \ , \tag{4.4}$$

where $Q(p)$ is a polynomial of degree at most two.

As in Remark 2.10, the condition (A) guarantees that we can apply Theorem 2.9 and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \hom(\mathcal{O}_X, F^*(E)) &\leq rH^3 \binom{\frac{\mu_H^+(E)}{H^3} + f(r) + 3}{3} \\ &= rH^3 \binom{\frac{pH^2\mathrm{ch}_1(E)}{H^3r} + f(r) + 3}{3} \\ &= \frac{p^3(H^2\mathrm{ch}_1(E))^3}{6r^2(H^3)^2} + O(p^2). \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Now either choose an arbitrarily large prime p or take higher Frobenius pull-back $(F^n)^*(E)$ for $n \gg 0$, combining with equation (4.4), it is enough to establish the following estimation,

$$\textbf{Claim} : \mathrm{ext}^2(\mathcal{O}_X, F^*(E)) = O(p^2).$$

Step 5. To prove the above claim, consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to F^*(E) \to F^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(H) \to F^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(H)|_S \to 0 \ , \tag{4.6}$$

where $S \in |H|$ is from condition $(\star)$. This induces the long exact sequence

(4.7)
$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, F^*(E)\otimes\mathcal{O}_X(H)|_S) &\to \mathrm{Ext}^2(\mathcal{O}_X, F^*(E)) \\ &\to \mathrm{Ext}^2(\mathcal{O}_X, F^*(E)\otimes\mathcal{O}_X(H)) = 0 \ .\end{aligned}$$

The last vanishing follows from Serre duality and Step 3. Define $G := F^*(E)\otimes\mathcal{O}(H)|_S$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ext}^2(\mathcal{O}_X, F^*(E)) &\le \mathrm{ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_X, G) = \mathrm{ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_S, G) \\ &= -\chi(S,G) + h^0(S,G) + h^2(S,G) \ .\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

By Riemann-Roch, we have

(4.9)
$$\begin{aligned}-\chi(S,G) &= -\chi(X, F^*(E)\otimes\mathcal{O}_X(H)\otimes\mathcal{O}_S) \\ &= -\frac{1}{6}rH^3 - \frac{1}{2}pH^2\mathrm{ch}_1(E) - p^2H\mathrm{ch}_2(E) - \frac{1}{12}rHc_2(X) \ .\end{aligned}$$

Now consider the term $h^0(S,G)$. By condition $(\star)$, G is torsion-free. By [HL, Corollary 3.1.6], we have

(4.10)
$$\mu^+_{H_S}(G) = \mu^+_{H_S}(F^*(E)\otimes\mathcal{O}(H)|_S) \le \mu_H(F^*(E)\otimes\mathcal{O}(H)) + H_S^2\frac{r-1}{2} \ ,$$

which is a quantity linear in p.

Applying Theorem 2.9 to G gives

$$h^0(S,G) \le rH_S^2\binom{\frac{\mu^+_{H_S}(G)}{H_S^2} + f(r) + 2}{2} = O(p^2) \ . \tag{4.11}$$

To treat $h^2(S,G)$, we first apply Serre duality and adjunction formula, and reach

$$h^2(S,G) = h^0(S, F^*(E)^\vee\otimes\mathcal{O}(K_X)|_S) \ .$$

Similarly $F^*(E)^\vee\otimes\mathcal{O}(K_X)|_S$ is a torsion free sheaf on S. Again by [HL, Corollary 3.1.6], we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^+_{H_S}(F^*(E)^\vee\otimes\mathcal{O}(K_X)|_S) &\le \mu_H(F^*(E)^\vee\otimes\mathcal{O}(K_X)) + H_S^2\frac{r-1}{2} \\ &= -\frac{pH^2\mathrm{ch}_1(E)}{rH^3} + \frac{K_X.H^2}{H^3} + H_S^2\frac{r-1}{2} \ ,\end{aligned}$$

which is negative for large p. Hence, we have

$$h^2(S,G) = h^0(S, F^*(E)\otimes\mathcal{O}(K_X)^\vee|_S) = 0 \ . \tag{4.12}$$

Combine (4.8), (4.9), (4.11), and (4.12), we obtain the claim in Step 4. This finishes the proof of the main theorem.

Remark 4.1. The bounds for the fourth Chern characters of semistable sheaves on Calabi-Yau 4-folds might also be obtained via the same method. However the method is not sufficient for Calabi-Yau 5-folds because of the term $\mathrm{ext}^4(\mathcal{O}_X, F^*(E))$.

Appendix A. tilt-stability

In this section, we review the notion of tilt-stability for 3-folds introduced in [BMT].

Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n over an algebraically closed field k. Let $\omega \in \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be an $\mathbb{R}$ ample divisor.

For an arbitrary divisor class $B \in \mathrm{NS}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we define the twisted Chern character $\mathrm{ch}^B(E) = e^{-B}\mathrm{ch}(E)$. In components we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{ch}_0^B &= \mathrm{ch}_0 = \mathrm{rk} \ , \\ \mathrm{ch}_1^B &= \mathrm{ch}_1 - B\mathrm{ch}_0 \ , \\ \mathrm{ch}_2^B &= \mathrm{ch}_2 - B\mathrm{ch}_1 + \frac{B^2}{2}\mathrm{ch}_0 \ , \\ \mathrm{ch}_3^B &= \mathrm{ch}_3 - B\mathrm{ch}_2 + \frac{B^2}{2}\mathrm{ch}_1 - \frac{B^3}{6}\mathrm{ch}_0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

Definition A.1. The slope function $\mu_{\omega,B}$ of a coherent sheaf E on X is defined as

$$\mu_{\omega,B}(E) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } \mathrm{ch}_0^B(E) = 0 \ , \\ \frac{\omega^{n-1}\mathrm{ch}_1^B(E)}{\omega^n \mathrm{ch}_0^B(E)} & \text{if } \mathrm{ch}_0^B(E) \neq 0 \ . \end{cases} \tag{A.2}$$

Definition A.2. A coherent sheaf E is $\mu_{\omega,B}$-semistable (respectively. $\mu_{\omega,B}$-stable) if, for all proper subsheaves $F \subset E$, we have $\mu_{\omega,B}(F) \leq \mu_{\omega,B}(E/F)$ (respectively $\mu_{\omega,B}(F) < \mu_{\omega,B}(E/F)$).

For every non-zero sheaf $E \in \mathrm{Coh}(X)$ there exists a unique HN filtration with respect to $\mu_{\omega,B}$ given by,

$$\mathrm{HN}_\bullet^{\mu_{\omega,B}}(E) : 0 = \mathrm{HN}_0^{\mu_{\omega,B}}(E) \subset \mathrm{HN}_1^{\mu_{\omega,B}}(E) \subset \cdots \subset \mathrm{HN}_{l+1}^{\mu_{\omega,B}}(E) = E \ , \tag{A.3}$$

such that $gr_i^{\mu_{\omega,B}}(E) := \mathrm{HN}_i^{\mu_{\omega,B}}(E)/\mathrm{HN}_{i-1}^{\mu_{\omega,B}}(E)$ is $\mu_{\omega,B}$-semistable for $1 < i < l+1$ and $\mu_{\omega,B}(gr_1^{\mu_{\omega,B}}(E)) > \mu_{\omega,B}(gr_2^{\mu_{\omega,B}}(E)) > \cdots > \mu_{\omega,B}(gr_{l+1}^{\mu_{\omega,B}}(E))$. We define $\mu^+_{\omega,B}(E) = \mu_{\omega,B}(gr_1^{\mu_{\omega,B}}(E))$, $\mu^-_H(E) = \mu_{\omega,B}(gr_{l+1}^{\mu_{\omega,B}}(E))$.

Let X be a smooth projective 3-fold. Let ω, B be $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor classes with ω ample. There exists a *torsion pair* $(\mathcal{T}_{\omega,B}, \mathcal{F}_{\omega,B})$ in $\mathrm{Coh}(X)$ defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\omega,B} &= \{E \in \mathrm{Coh}(X) : \mu^-_{\omega,B}(E) > 0\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_{\omega,B} &= \{E \in \mathrm{Coh}(X) : \mu^+_{\omega,B}(E) \leq 0\}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

Definition A.3. We let $\mathcal{B}_{\omega,B} \subset D^b(X)$ be the extension-closure

$$\mathcal{B}_{\omega,B} = \langle \mathcal{T}_{\omega,B}, \mathcal{F}_{\omega,B}[1] \rangle. \tag{A.5}$$

By the theory of torsion pairs and tilting, $\mathcal{B}_{\omega,B}$ is the heart of a bounded t-structure on $D^b(X)$ and is an abelian category

Definition A.4. The slope function $\nu_{\omega,B}$ on the abelian category $\mathcal{B}_{\omega,B}$ for an object $E \in \mathcal{B}_{\omega,B}$is defined as

$$\nu_{\omega,B}(E) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } \omega^2 \mathrm{ch}_1^B(E) = 0, \\ \frac{\omega \mathrm{ch}_2^B(E) - \frac{1}{6}\omega^3 \mathrm{ch}_0^B(E)}{\omega^2 \mathrm{ch}_1^B(E)} & \text{if } \omega^2 \mathrm{ch}_1^B(E) \neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{A.6}$$

Definition A.5. An object $E \in \mathcal{B}_{\omega,B}$ is called $\nu_{\omega,B}$-semistable (respectively $\nu_{\omega,B}$-stable) if, for every proper subobjects $F \subset E$, we have $\nu_{\omega,B}(F) \leq \nu_{\omega,B}(E/F)$ (respectively $\nu_{\omega,B}(F) < \nu_{\omega,B}(E/F)$).

Tilt-stability gives a notion of stability, in the sense that HN filtrations exist. This property carries over to positive characteristic.

The following conjecture is the main topic of [BMT, Conjecture 1.3.1]:

Conjecture A.6 ([BMT, Conjecture 1.3.1])**.** *Let X be a smooth complex projective 3-fold. For any $\nu_{\omega,B}$-semistable object $E \in \mathcal{B}_{\omega,B}$ satisfying $\nu_{\omega,B}(E) = 0$, we have the following generalized Bogomolov-Gieseker inequality*

$$\mathrm{ch}_3^B(E) \leq \frac{\omega^2}{18} \mathrm{ch}_1^B(E). \tag{A.7}$$

Remark A.7. We will often fix an integral ample class $H \in \mathrm{NS}(X)$ and then let $\omega = \sqrt{3}\alpha H$ and $B = \beta H$ with $\alpha > 0$. The factor $\sqrt{3}$ is chosen such that the stability walls are semicircles. In this case we will define $\mathrm{ch}^\beta(-) := \mathrm{ch}^B(-)$, $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}(-) := \mu_{\omega,B}(-)$ and $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}(-) := \sqrt{3}\,\alpha\, \nu_{\sqrt{3}\alpha H, \beta H}(-)$. Observe that $\mu_{\alpha,\beta}$-stability is equivalent to μ_H and $\nu_{\alpha,\beta}$-stability is equivalent to the $\nu_{\omega,B}$.

Appendix B. Some known results on smooth Fano 3-folds

In this section, we collect some known results of *complex* smooth Fano 3-folds that are relevant to this work, especially on semistability of tangent bundle and existence of good divisors.

B.1. **Ladders.** Let X be a Fano 3-fold and $-K_X = r_X H$ for an ample Cartier divisor H, where r_X is the index of X. We call H the fundamental divisor and $|H|$ the fundamental linear system. A good divisor is a general divisor $D \in |H|$ which has singularities as in the same category of X. A ladder is a sequence of good divisors $D_{i+1} \in |H_i|$, where $H_0 = H$ and $H_i = H|_{D_i}$. Existence of good divisors and ladders is important for classification theory of Fano varieties.

Theorem B.1. *Let X be a complex smooth Fano 3-fold with fundamental divisor H. Then the linear system $|H|$ is base point free except the following cases:*

1. *$r = 2$, $H^3 = 1$, and $|H|$ has a unique simple base point. In such case, $|H|$ determines a rational map $\varphi_H : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ with elliptic fibers.*
2. *$r = 1$, $H^3 = 4$, and X is the blow-up of a variety in (1) along a smooth fiber of the rational map φ_H.*
3. *$r = 1$, $H^3 = 6$, and $X \cong F_1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, where F_1 is a del Pezzo surface of degree 1.*

As we noted in Example 2.12, the condition $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ suffices to guarantee that $|H_S|$ is base point free if we assume H is base point free to start with.

B.2. **Semistability of T_X.** Recall that for a smooth complex Fano 3-folds, by Kodaira vanishing theorem one has $b_2(X) = \rho(X)$. Classification of smooth Fano 3-folds has been done by the work of many people:

1. $b_2 \geq 2$: 87 deformation classes by Mori-Mukai.
2. $b_2 = 1$: by Kobayashi-Oichi, Fujita, and Mukai.

The stability of tangent bundle T_X of a smooth Fano 3-fold is completely understood by the work [Ste] and [Re].

Theorem B.2. *If X is a smooth Fano 3-fold of $b_2 = 1$, then T_X is stable. If $b_2 \geq 2$, then out of 87 deformation classes,*

1. *68 deformation classes have a stable tangent bundle;*
2. *12 deformation classes have a strictly semistable tangent bundle;*
3. *7 deformation classes have an unstable tangent bundle.*

References

[BMS] A. Bayer, E. Macrì and P. Stellari, *The space of stability conditions for abelian threefolds, and some Calabi-Yau threefolds*, preprint, 2014, arXiv:1410.1585.

[BMT] A. Bayer, E. Macrì and Y. Toda, *Bridgeland stability conditions on threefolds I: Bogomolov-Gieseker type inequalities*, J. Algebraic Geom., Vol. 23, pp. 117-163, 2014.

[Bri1] T. Bridgeland, *Stability conditions on triangulated categories*, Ann. Math., vol. 166, pp. 317345, 2007.

[Bri2] T. Bridgeland, *Stability conditions on K3 surfaces*, Duke Math. J., vol. 141, pp. 241291, 2008.

[DRY] M. Douglas, R. Reinbacher, and S.-T. Yau, *Branes, Bundles and Attractors: Bogomolov and Beyond*, preprint, math.AG/0604597, 2006.

[EL] L. Ein and R. Lazarsfeld, *Global generation of pluricanonical and adjoint linear series on smooth projective threefolds*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1993), no. 4, 875?903.

[Gie1] D. Gieseker, *P-ample bundles and their Chern classes*, Nagoya Math. J., vol. 43, pp. 91-226, 1971.

[Gie2] D. Gieseker, *On a Theorem of Bogomolov on Chern Classes of Stable Bundles*, American J. Math., vol. 101, pp. 77-85, 1979.

[HL] D. Huybrechts and M. Lehn, *The Geometry of Moduli Spaces of Sheaves*, Aspects of Mathematics, Vol. 31, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1997.

[Katz] N. Katz, *Nilpotent connections and the monodromy theorem: Applications of a result of Turrittin*, Publ. Math. IHES, vol. 39, pp. 175-232, 1970.

[Lan1] A. Langer, *Semistable sheaves in positive characteristic*, Ann. Math., vol. 159, pp. 251-276, 2004.

[Lan2] A. Langer, *Moduli spaces of sheaves in positive characteristic*, Duke Math. J., vol. 124, pp. 571-586, 2004.

[Lan3] A. Langer, *Bogomolov's inequality for Higgs sheaves in positive characteristic*, Invent. Math., vol. 199, pp.889-920, 2015.

[Mac] A. Maciocia, *Computing the walls associated to Bridgeland stability conditions on projective surfaces*, Asian J. Math., vol. 18, pp. 263-280, 2014.

[MP] A. Maciocia and D. Piyaratne, *Fourier-Mukai Transforms and Bridgeland Stability Conditions on Abelian Threefolds*, Alg. Geom, vol. 2, pp. 270-297, 2015.

[PS] A. N. Parshin and I. R. Shafarevich, *Algebraic geometry V: fano varieties*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1999.

[Re] M. Reid, *Bogomolov's theorem* $c_1^2 \leq 4c_2$. International Sympos. on Alg. Geometry (Kyoto 1977), Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1977, 623-642.

[Sho] V. V. Shokurov, *Smoothness of a general anticanonical divisor on a Fano variety*, Izv. Aka. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 43 (1979), no. 2, 430-441.

[Ste] A. Steffens, *On the stability of the tangent bundle of Fano manifolds*. Math. Ann. 304 (1996) 635-643.

[Sun] X. Sun, *Frobenius morphism and semi-stable bundles*, Algebraic geometry in East Asia at Seoul 2008, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 60, pp. 161182, 2010.

[Tod] Y. Toda, *A note on Bogomolov-Gieseker type inequality for Calabi-Yau 3-folds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 142, pp. 3387-3394, 2014.

Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan
E-mail address: wychuang@ntu.edu.tw

Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan
E-mail address: cjlai72@math.ntu.edu.tw