arXiv:1603.07988v3 [math.AP] 24 Jun 2016

An extension problem related to inverse fractional operators

Félix del Teso

June 27, 2016

Dedicated to Juan Luis Vázquez on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Abstract

It is well known from [9] that the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta_x)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}$ for $\sigma \in (0,2)$ can be obtained as a Dirichlet-to-Neumann map through an extension problem to the upper half space. In this paper we emphasize that the inverse fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}$ has a similar property: it can be obtained as a Neumann-to-Dirichlet map via a new extension problem to the upper half space. We also show an explicit formula for the solution of the extension problem. Moreover, we deal with powers of a more general class of second order differential operators defined in open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^N$ using the results of [31]. From this characterization we show possible applications among which we mention the numerical analysis of a wide class of nonlinear and nonlocal equations.

Keywords: Extension method, inverse fractional Laplacian, Riesz potential, inverse operator.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26A33, 35K65, 76S05, 34A08, 65R20

Address: felix.delteso@uam.es

Contents

Contents **2**

1 Introduction **3**
1.1 Main results and comments 5

2 Extension for $(-\Delta_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}$ **7**

3 Extension for inverse powers of more general operators **10**

4 Applications **12**
4.1 Fractional porous medium equation 12
4.2 Nonlocal porous medium equations in divergence form 13
4.3 Dual solution techniques for nonlocal problems 16

Bibliography **18**

1 Introduction

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth and bounded function and consider for $s \in (0,2)$ the following s-harmonic extension problem:

$$\nabla \cdot \left(y^{1-s}\nabla u\right) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } y > 0, \tag{1.1}$$

$$u(x,0) = f(x) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.2}$$

It is well known that problem (1.1)-(1.2) has an explicit solution given by the Poisson formula,

$$u(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P(x-\xi,y) f(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi \quad \text{with} \quad P(x,y) = C_{N,s} \frac{y^s}{(|x|^2+|y|^2)^{\frac{N+s}{2}}}, \tag{1.3}$$

where the $C_{N,s} := \frac{2^s \Gamma(\frac{N+s}{2})}{\pi^{N/2}\Gamma(-s/2)}$ (cf. for instance [9]). Moreover, in [9] Caffarelli and Silvestre proved that if u is the solution of problem (1.1)-(1.2), then, up to a multiplicative constant, the following identity holds:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y^s}(x,0) := \lim_{y \to 0^+} y^{1-s} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x,y) = -(-\Delta_x)^{\frac{s}{2}} f(x). \tag{1.4}$$

Here, $(-\Delta_x)^{\frac{s}{2}}$ denotes the fractional Laplacian operator and it can be defined via Fourier transform as

$$\widehat{(-\Delta_x)^{\frac{s}{2}} f}(\xi) = |\xi|^s \hat{f}(\xi),$$

or by the pointwise formula, cf. [30],

$$(-\Delta_x)^{\frac{s}{2}} f(x) = C_{N,s} \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(x)-f(z)}{|x-z|^{N+s}} \mathrm{d}z. \tag{1.5}$$

The fractional Laplacian is a nonlocal operator in the sense that the value at any point depends on the value of the function everywhere else, as it can be clearly seen by formula (1.5). On the other hand, formula (1.4) shows a way of localizing the problem, through the so-called Dirichlet to Neumann map: the fractional Laplacian is an operator that maps Dirichlet boundary conditions to Neumann-type conditions for the "local" extended problem (1.1)-(1.2).

Stinga and Torrea showed in [31] how to build an extended problem for a more general class of second order differential operators L_x , also for problems posed on Euclidean domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. For that purpose, the authors deal with the classical formula for a power of an operator which involves the *heat-diffusion semigroup* $\{e^{-tL_x}\}_{t \geq 0}$ generated by L_x (see Note 1.1); more precisely, letting

$$(L_x)^{\frac{s}{2}}[f](x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-s/2)} \int_0^\infty \left(e^{-tL_x} f(x) - f(x)\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1+\frac{s}{2}}}. \tag{1.6}$$

Indeed, given a function $f \in \text{Dom}((L_x)^{\frac{s}{2}})$, the solution u to the problem

$$-L_x u + \frac{1-s}{y}\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \Omega \text{ and } y > 0, \tag{1.7}$$

$$u(x,0) = f(x) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{1.8}$$

has an explicit expression given by

$$u(x,y) = \frac{y^s}{2^s\Gamma(s/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-tL_x} f(x) e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1+\frac{s}{2}}}. \tag{1.9}$$

Moreover, up to a multiplicative constant, we have that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial y^s}(x,0) := \lim_{y\to 0^+} y^{1-s} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x,y) = (L_x)^{\frac{s}{2}}[f](x). \tag{1.10}$$

The following formula for the solution of (1.7)-(1.8), involving explicitly the powers of the operator L_x, is also presented in [31]:

$$u(x,y) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-tL_x} \left((L_x)^{\frac{s}{2}} f\right)(x) e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1-\frac{s}{2}}} \tag{1.11}$$

Note 1.1. *Consider the initial value problem*

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}(x,t) - L_x \rho(x,t) = 0 & x \in \Omega,\ t > 0, \\ \rho(x,0) = f(x) & x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

In what follows, we will say that $\{e^{-tL_x}\}_{t\geq 0}$ is the Heat-Diffusion semigroup generated by L_x and we will use the notation $\rho(x,t) = e^{-tL_x} f(x)$.

The aim of this paper is to find a similar extension problem for negative powers of the Laplacian (inverse fractional Laplacians), and also explaining how to deal with negative powers of more general operators using the results of [31]. To be more specific, we consider the inverse fractional Laplacian defined for $s \in (0,2)$ ($s \in (0,1)$ if $N = 1$) as:

$$(-\Delta_x)^{-\frac{s}{2}} u(x) = D_{N,s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(z)}{|x-z|^{N-s}} \mathrm{d}z, \tag{1.12}$$

where $D_{N,s} = \pi^{s-N/2}\Gamma((N-s)/2)/\Gamma(s/2)$. We will also treat more general inverse operators of the form

$$(L_x)^{-\frac{s}{2}}[f](x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-tL_x} f(x) \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1-\frac{s}{2}}}. \tag{1.13}$$

The use of inverse fractional operators like (1.12) and (1.13) is of an undoubted interest. Indeed the formulation through the inverse operator (also called dual formulation of the problem, cf. [7]) has been previously used to prove existence and uniqueness of the fundamental (or Barenblatt) solution, cf. [33]. Also in [6] it has been used to prove non-existence of solutions for a class of nonlocal fast diffusion equations. In the case of bounded domains, the use of the so-called dual equation, systematically uses (1.13) to obtain strong estimates for solutions to nonlinear and nonlocal flows, cf. [7, 8].

Extension problems in the form of (1.1)-(1.2) and (1.7)-(1.8) and their applications have been generalized to several contexts for positive powers $s \in (0,2)$, after the celebrated work by Caffarelli and Silvestre in [9]. See [10] for extension results for powers uniformly elliptic operators in divergence form, and [1, 13, 21] for extension problems in Riemannian manifolds. The extension problem (1.7) with a Neumann type boundary condition has been previously considered by Cabré and Tan in [11] for Ω bounded, $L_x = -\Delta$ and $s = 1$. The present work is a generalization of [9] to the case of negative powers, i.e., extension problems related to the inverse fractional Laplacian. The results of this paper has been announced in [18].

1.1 Main results and comments

For $\sigma \in (0,2)$ consider the following extension problem to the upper half space with a Neumann-type boundary condition:

$$\nabla \cdot \left(y^{1-\sigma} \nabla w\right) = 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } y > 0, \tag{1.14}$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial y^\sigma}(x,0) = -f(x) \qquad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.15}$$

In order to have well-posedness of problem (1.14)-(1.15) we need to impose an extra condition to avoid the unpleasant fact that if w is a solution then $w + c$ is also a solution for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$. To this end, the following decay condition for large y will be sometimes assumed throughout the paper:

$$(B_\infty) \qquad w(\cdot, y) \to 0 \qquad \text{as} \qquad y \to +\infty.$$

Our main and novel contribution is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth and bounded function and let $\sigma \in (0,2)$ ($\sigma \in (0,1)$ if $N = 1$). Then the solution w of problem (1.14)-(1.15) that satisfies (B_∞) has an explicit expression given by*

$$w(x,y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R(x-\xi, y) f(\xi) \mathrm{d}\xi \quad \text{with} \quad R(x,y) = \frac{C_{N,2-\sigma}}{N-\sigma} \frac{1}{\left(|x|^2 + |y|^2\right)^{\frac{N-\sigma}{2}}}. \tag{1.16}$$

Moreover,

$$w(x,0) := \lim_{y \to 0^+} w(x,y) = \alpha(N,\sigma)(-\Delta_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} f(x).$$

where $\alpha(N,\sigma) = \frac{C_{N,2-\sigma}}{(N-\sigma) D_{N,\sigma}}$.

This result states, in particular, that the inverse fractional Laplacian is an operator that maps a Neumann-type boundary condition to a Dirichlet condition for the extension problem (1.14).

Theorem 1.1 can be generalized to a wider class of operators, and also to the case of open (and possibly bounded) domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Indeed, as in [31], let us consider a nonnegative second order partial differential operator L_x, densely defined

and self-adjoint in $L^2(\Omega, \mathrm{d}\mu)$ for some positive measure μ; we refer for instance to [24] for a precise definition of these concepts.

Let w be a solution to the following extension problem to the upper half space with a Neumann-type boundary condition:

$$-L_x w + \frac{1-\sigma}{y}\frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} = 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad x \in \Omega \text{ and } y > 0, \tag{1.17}$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial y^\sigma}(x,0) = -f(x) \qquad \text{for} \quad x \in \Omega. \tag{1.18}$$

The explicit formula (1.19) and Theorem 1.2 are due to Stinga and Torrea (see (1.11), and also Theorem 1.1 in [31]). However, we give here an alternative formulation of them adapted to the inverse fractional operators setting. We also present an alternative proof that will help us in the characterization of the solution of the extension problem (1.17)-(1.18).

Theorem 1.2. *For $\sigma \in (0,2)$ let $f \in Dom((L_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}})$. Then there exists a solution w of problem (1.17)-(1.18) that satisfies (B_∞) and has a Poisson formula given by*

$$w(x,y) = \frac{1}{2^{1-\sigma}\Gamma(1-\sigma/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-tL_x} f(x) e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1-\frac{\sigma}{2}}}. \tag{1.19}$$

Moreover,

$$w(x,0) := \lim_{y \to 0^+} w(x,y) = \beta(\sigma)(L_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}[f](x).$$

where $\beta(\sigma) = \frac{\Gamma(\sigma/2)}{2^{1-\sigma}\Gamma(1-\sigma/2)}$.

All the identities in Theorem 1.2 have to be understood in the $L^2(\Omega, \mathrm{d}\mu)$ sense, as it happens in the case of positive powers, cf. [31]. This result states, also in this case, that a negative power of the operator L_x maps a Neumann-type boundary condition to a Dirichlet condition for the extension problem (1.7) .

As we mentioned before the explicit form of the solution of (1.17)-(1.18) with the property (B_∞) given by (1.19) coincides with (1.11) by choosing $\widehat{f} = (L_x)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}[f]$ (or $f = (L_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}[\widehat{f}]$). In this way, formula (1.11) gives a solution of

$$\begin{aligned} -L_x u + \frac{1-s}{y}\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} &= 0 && \text{for} \quad x \in \Omega \text{ and } y > 0, \\ u(x,0) &= (L_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}[\widehat{f}](x) && \text{for} \quad x \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover using (1.10) we get, up to a multiplicative constant, that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y^\sigma}(x,0) = \widehat{f}$. In the proof of Theorem 1.2 given in this article we avoid the use of (1.11) in the deduction of (1.19).

Whenever the extension problem (1.17)-(1.18) has uniqueness of solutions, we have an alternative way of defining $(L_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}[f]$ as the trace of the solution. This kind of definition has been previously used by Cabré and Tan in [11] as a way of defining the inverse operator of $(-\Delta)^{1/2}$ in bounded domains. Instead of assuming

the decay condition (B_∞), the authors impose a zero Dirichlet boundary condition on $\partial\Omega \times [0,\infty)$. Both conditions are equivalent for extension problems related to the Laplacian operator posed in bounded domains.

The decay condition (B_∞) is necessary to show that inverse fractional operators $(L_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}$ map a Neumann type boundary condition to a Dirichlet boundary condition for extension problems like (1.14)-(1.15) and (1.17)-(1.18). The deductions of formulas (1.16) and (1.19) given in the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 show explicitly where the condition (B_∞) is coming from. Furthermore, in view of the proofs of these two theorems we give more general results for the extension problems (1.14)-(1.15) and (1.17)-(1.18) in Corollary 2.2 and Corollary 3.2.

Note 1.2. *We will oftenly change between problems in different spatial dimensions. To avoid confusions or abuse of notation we will always consider the variables $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, given a function $g : \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \to \mathbb{R}$, we will use the following notation:*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_x g &:= \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N} \right), \qquad & \nabla g &:= \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_N}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} \right), \\ \Delta_x g &:= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_i^2}, & \Delta g &:= \Delta_x g + \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial y^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.20}$$

2 Extension for $(-\Delta_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}$

The following Lemma shows that there exists an explicit correspondence between the solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) and the ones of (1.14)-(1.15).

Lemma 2.1. *Let f be a smooth and bounded function $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ and let $\sigma \in (0,2)$. Let also w be the solution of problem (1.14)-(1.15). Then*

$$v(x,y) := -y^{1-\sigma} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}(x,y)$$

is the solution of problem (1.1)-(1.2) with $s = 2 - \sigma$.

Proof. We want to show that $v(x,y) = -y^{1-\sigma} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}(x,y)$ is the solution of

$$\nabla \cdot \left(y^{\sigma-1} \nabla v \right) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } y > 0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$v(x,0) = f(x) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{2.2}$$

Note that equation (1.14) is equivalent to say that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and every $y > 0$ we have that

$$y^{1-\sigma} \Delta_x w + (1-\sigma) y^{-\sigma} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + y^{1-\sigma} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} = 0.$$

Using the identity above, a simple computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla v &= \left(-y^{1-\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\nabla_x w\right), -\left(1-\sigma\right)y^{-\sigma}\frac{\partial w}{\partial y} - y^{1-\sigma}\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2}\right)\\ &= \left(-y^{1-\sigma}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\nabla_x w\right), y^{1-\sigma}\Delta_x w\right),\end{aligned}$$

and then v satisfies (2.1) since

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla\cdot\left(y^{\sigma-1}\nabla v\right) =& \nabla\cdot\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\nabla_x w\right), \Delta_x w\right)\\ =& -\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\Delta_x w\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\Delta_x w\right) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, recalling the definition given in (1.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{y\to 0^+} v(x,y) =& -\lim_{y\to 0^+} y^{1-\sigma}\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}(x,y)\\ =:& -\frac{\partial w}{\partial y^\sigma}(x,0) = f(x),\end{aligned}$$

which in particular states that v satisfies (2.2). □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We know from Lemma 2.1 that $v(x,y) = -y^{1-\sigma}\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}(x,y)$ is the solution of problem (2.1)-(2.2). Then, for any function $g = g(x)$, the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus shows that

$$w(x,y) = -\int_0^y z^{\sigma-1}v(x,z)\mathrm{d}z + g(x). \tag{2.3}$$

Since w has the property (B_∞) as "boundary data", we get that

$$g(x) = \int_0^\infty z^{\sigma-1}v(x,z)\mathrm{d}z.$$

Moreover, as we said before, the solution v of problem (2.1)-(2.2) has an explicit expression given by (1.3) for $s = 2-\sigma$, that is,

$$v(x,y) = C_{N,2-\sigma}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{y^{2-\sigma}f(\xi)}{\left(|x-\xi|^2+y^2\right)^{\frac{N+2-\sigma}{2}}}\mathrm{d}\xi.$$

The following computation gives the explicit solution (1.16) of problem (1.14)-

(1.15):

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(x,y) &= \int_y^\infty z^{\sigma-1} v(x,z)\mathrm{d}z \\
&= C_{N,2-\sigma}\int_y^\infty z^{\sigma-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{z^{2-\sigma}f(\xi)}{(|x-\xi|^2+z^2)^{\frac{N+2-\sigma}{2}}}d\xi dz \\
&= C_{N,2-\sigma}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\xi)\int_y^\infty \frac{z}{(|x-\xi|^2+z^2)^{\frac{N+2-\sigma}{2}}}\mathrm{d}z\mathrm{d}\xi \\
&= C_{N,2-\sigma}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\xi)\int_{\sqrt{|x-\xi|^2+y^2}}^\infty \frac{1}{r^{N+1-\sigma}}\mathrm{d}r\mathrm{d}\xi \\
&= \frac{C_{N,2-\sigma}}{N-\sigma}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{f(\xi)}{(|x-\xi|^2+y^2)^{\frac{N-\sigma}{2}}}\mathrm{d}\xi.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.4}
$$

We have used the change of variables $r^2 = |x-\xi|^2 + z^2$ ($z\mathrm{d}z = r\mathrm{d}r$) and the hypothesis $\sigma < N$. We finish the proof by making $y \to 0^+$ in (2.4) to get by the Dominated Convergence Theorem that

$$
w(x,0) = \frac{C_{N,2-\sigma}}{N-\sigma}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{f(\xi)}{|x-\xi|^{N-\sigma}} = \frac{C_{N,2-\sigma}}{(N-\sigma)D_{N,\sigma}}(-\Delta_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}f(x).
$$

□

It is now very clearly showed that condition (B_∞) plays a crucial rol in the interpretation of formula (2.3) in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and thus, in the understanding of the validity of formula (1.16) as a solution of (1.14)-(1.15). Roughly speaking, the solutions of the extension problem (1.14)-(1.15) are primitives of the solutions of the extension problem (1.1)-(1.2). In some sense, the term $g(x)$ in (2.3) tells the height of the solutions, an so, the election of this term determine the value of the trace, in which we are interested. Of course, the election of g is not completely arbitrary. The following Theorem shows a family of solutions of (1.14)-(1.15) that can be obtained if we do not assume (B_∞).

Corolary 2.2. *Let f be a smooth and bounded function $f : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ and let $\sigma \in (0,2)$ ($\sigma \in (0,1)$ if $N = 1$). Let also $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary constant. Then problem (1.14)-(1.15) has a family of explicit solutions $\{w_c\}_{c\in\mathbb{R}}$ given by*

$$
w_c(x,y) = \frac{C_{N,2-\sigma}}{N-\sigma}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{f(\xi)}{(|x|^2+|y|^2)^{\frac{N-\sigma}{2}}}\mathrm{d}\xi + c.
\tag{2.5}
$$

Moreover,

$$
w_c(x,0) := \lim_{y\to 0^+} w(x,y) = \alpha(N,\sigma)(-\Delta_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}f(x) + c.
$$

Proof. In view of formula (2.3), it is clear that we can choose any function g of the form

$$g(x) = \int_0^\infty z^{\sigma-1} v(x,z)\mathrm{d}z + \psi(x),$$

where ψ is any function $\Delta\psi(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Liouville's Theorem ensures that bounded harmonic functions in $\mathbb{R}^N$ are necessarily constants. Then, by choosing the decay condition

$$w_c(\cdot, y) \to c \qquad \text{as} \qquad y \to +\infty.$$

we get that

$$g(x) = \int_0^\infty z^{\sigma-1} v(x,z)\mathrm{d}z + c,$$

and thus,

$$w_c(x,y) = \int_y^\infty z^{\sigma-1} v(x,z)\mathrm{d}z + c.$$

From here, the proof follows as before. □

In the particular case of the σ-harmonic extension for the Laplacian operator in $\mathbb{R}^N$, the possibilities on the election of g are limited due Liouville's Theorem and lack of boundary conditions. The study is more delicate whenever we deal with more general operators and domains as we show at the end of the following section.

3 Extension for inverse powers of more general operators

The following Lemma shows that there exists an explicit correspondence between the solutions of (1.7)-(1.8) and the ones of (1.17)-(1.18).

Lemma 3.1. *For any $\sigma \in (0,2)$, let w be the solution of problem (1.17)-(1.18). Then*

$$v(x,y) := y^{1-\sigma} \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}(x,y)$$

is the solution of problem (1.7)-(1.8) with $s = 2-\sigma$.

Proof. Equation (1.7) for $s = 2-\sigma$ is

$$-L_x v + \frac{\sigma-1}{y}\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

In the context of [31], equation (3.1) is called the *conjugate equation of* (1.7) and in Proposition 2.5 therein, it is proved that $v(x,y) := -y^{1-\sigma}\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}(x,y)$ is the solution of (3.1). Moreover, (1.8) is trivially satisfied since

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{y\to 0^+} v(x,y) &= -\lim_{y\to 0^+} y^{1-\sigma}\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}(x,y)\\ &:= -\frac{\partial w}{\partial y^\sigma}(x,0) = f(x).\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2. As in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we know from Lemma 3.1 and the property (B_∞) that w has an expression given by

$$w(x,y) = \int_y^\infty z^{\sigma-1} v(x,z)\mathrm{d}z, \tag{3.2}$$

where v is the solution of (1.7)-(1.8) with $s = 2-\sigma$. Moreover, from (1.9), v has following Poisson representation formula:

$$v(x,y) = d_\sigma y^{2-\sigma} \int_0^\infty e^{-tL_x} f(x) e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1+\frac{2-\sigma}{2}}} \quad \text{where} \quad d_\sigma = \frac{1}{2^{2-\sigma}\Gamma(1-\sigma/2)}.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} w(x,y) &= d_\sigma \int_y^\infty z^{\sigma-1} \int_0^\infty z^{2-\sigma} e^{-tL_x} f(x) e^{-\frac{z^2}{4t}} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{2-\frac{\sigma}{2}}} \mathrm{d}z \\ &= d_\sigma \int_0^\infty e^{-tL_x} f(x) \left(\int_y^\infty z e^{-\frac{z^2}{4t}} \mathrm{d}z \right) \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{2-\frac{\sigma}{2}}} \\ &= d_\sigma \int_0^\infty e^{-tL_x} f(x) 2t e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{2-\frac{\sigma}{2}}} \\ &= 2d_\sigma \int_0^\infty e^{-tL_x} f(x) e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1-\frac{\sigma}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

and by formula (1.13) we conclude that

$$w(x,0) = 2d_\sigma \int_0^\infty e^{-tL_x} f(x) \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1-\frac{\sigma}{2}}} = \frac{\Gamma(\sigma/2)}{2^{1-\sigma}\Gamma(1-\sigma/2)} (L_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}[f](x).$$

□

As before, condition (B_∞) is crucial in the deduction of (3.2) and thus, in the proof of Theorem 1.2. Not assuming (B_∞) leads again to obtain a family of solutions of (1.17)-(1.18). To be more precise, let Ω be a subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$ (possibly bounded) and define the set,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Omega,L_x} := \{\psi : \quad L_x[\psi](x) = 0, \ \forall x \in \Omega\} \tag{3.3}$$

Corolary 3.2. *For $\sigma \in (0,2)$ let $f \in Dom((L_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}})$. Then problem (1.17)-(1.18) has a family of explicit solutions $\{w_\psi\}_{\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\Omega,L_x}}$ given by*

$$w_\psi(x,y) = \frac{1}{2^{1-\sigma}\Gamma(1-\sigma/2)} \int_0^\infty e^{-tL_x} f(x) e^{-\frac{y^2}{4t}} \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t^{1-\frac{\sigma}{2}}} + \psi(x). \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover,

$$w_\psi(x,0) := \lim_{y \to 0^+} w_\psi(x,y) = \beta(\sigma)(L_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}[f](x) + \psi(x).$$

where $\beta(\sigma) = \frac{\Gamma(\sigma/2)}{2^{1-\sigma}\Gamma(1-\sigma/2)}$.

Proof. As before, given any $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_{\Omega,L_x}$ we can choose any function g given by

$$g(x) = \int_0^\infty z^{\sigma-1} v(x,z)\mathrm{d}z + \psi(x),$$

to obtain an alternative form of (3.2). We get this expression for g by imposing the decay condition

$$w_\psi(x,y) \to \psi(x) \qquad \text{as} \qquad y \to +\infty. \tag{3.5}$$

In this way, we get

$$w_\psi = \int_y^\infty z^{\sigma-1} v(x,z)\mathrm{d}z + \psi(x).$$

The proof concludes as in Theorem 1.2. □

Remark 3.3. *(a) When Ω is a bounded domain, a decay condition like (3.5) can be substituted by imposing a boundary condition on the extension problem. To be more precise, we consider the solution w_ψ of problem (1.17)-(1.18) satisfying*

$$w_\psi(x,y) = \psi(x) \quad \text{for all} \quad (x,y) \in \partial\Omega \times [0,\infty). \tag{3.6}$$

The decay condition (B_∞) is recovered by choosing $\psi \equiv 0$ in (3.6), that is, a zero Dirichlet boundary condition in the extension problem (1.17)-(1.18). As we mentioned before, this last condition is the one used by Cabré and Tan in [11] to define the inverse operator of $(-\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

(b) If the operator L_x does not have pointwise sense, we can use a more general definition of $\mathcal{H}_{\Omega,L_x}$ given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Omega,L_x} := \{\psi : L_x[\psi] = 0 \text{ in } L^2(\Omega, \mathrm{d}\mu)\}.$$

4 Applications

The results of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 allow us to treat nonlinear and nonlocal problems, involving fractional Laplacians and also powers of more general operators, with standard local arguments. We present here a series of possible applications for a family of nonlinear and nonlocal parabolic equations that includes the Fractional Porous Medium Equation, [15, 16], the model introduced by Caffarelli and Vázquez in [12], and also other more general models, cf. [2, 26–28].

4.1 Fractional porous medium equation

Let us first recall the results of de Pablo, Quirós, Rodríguez and Vázquez in [16] for the so called Fractional Porous Medium Equation:

$$\text{(FPME)} \qquad \begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (-\Delta_x)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}(|u|^{m-1}u) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ t > 0, \\ u(x,0) = f(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

for $m \geq 1$, $N \geq 1$ and $\sigma \in (0,2)$. In [16], the authors proved that the nonlocal problem (FPME) is equivalent to the following local problem in $N+1$ dimensions:

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot (y^{1-\sigma} \nabla w) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ y > 0,\ t > 0, \\ \dfrac{\partial w^{1/m}}{\partial t}(x,0,t) = \dfrac{\partial w}{\partial y^\sigma}(x,0,t), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ y = 0,\ t > 0, \\ w(x,0,0) = f^m(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

The equivalence between (FPME) and (4.1) holds in the sense of traces and σ-harmonic extension, that is,

$$u(x,t) = \mathrm{Tr}(w^{1/m}(x,y,t)) \quad \text{and} \quad w(x,y,t) = \mathrm{E}_\sigma(u^m(x,t)),$$

where $\mathrm{E}_\sigma(u^m(x,t))$ denotes the solution for every fixed t of the extension problem (1.1)-(1.2) for $s = \sigma$ and $f(x) = u^m(x,t)$. There is a considerable amount of applications of this parabolic extension result. We explain below some of them.

Application 1: In [16] the authors use the extension formulation (4.1) to show existence of energy weak solutions of (FPME) by means of the Crandall-Liggett theorem, based on an implicit in time discretization. From this formulation they also prove L^1-contraction, positivity and regularity of the solution.

Application 2: The numerical treatment of nonlocal equations like (FPME) has been always based on quadrature methods for the singular integral formulation of $(-\Delta_x)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}$ given by (1.5). In [17, 19], an explicit finite difference method is proposed for (4.1). The numerical scheme is also valid, with trivial adaptation, when the equation has a Lipschitz type reaction term (see [25, 29] for the KPP case). A similar idea is implemented in [23] for the elliptic equation $(-\Delta_x)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}} u = f$ using finite elements.

Application 3: Vázquez and Volzone established symmetrization results in [34, 35] for both the parabolic and elliptic cases with the extension formulation (4.1) using the concept of comparison of concentrations.

4.2 Nonlocal porous medium equations in divergence form

In fluid mechanics the differential form of the continuity equation is given by

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \nabla_x \cdot (u\mathbf{v}) = 0 \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ t > 0, \tag{4.2}$$

where u the fluid density and $\mathbf{v}$ is the flow velocity vector field. The standard Porous Medium Equation (c.f [32]) is obtained by assuming that $\mathbf{v} = -\nabla_x u$. Caffarelli and Vázquez considered in [12] another version of (4.2) where the velocity is related to the density via a nonlocal operator. To be more specific they assume that $\mathbf{v} =$

$-\nabla_x(-\Delta_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}u$ to obtain the so called Porous Medium Equations with Fractional Pressure

$$\text{(CV)} \qquad \begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nabla_x \cdot (u \nabla_x (-\Delta_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ t > 0, \\ u(x,0) = f(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$

Model (CV) presents several extra difficulties with respect to (FPME) such as the lack of comparison principle and the unknowledge of uniqueness in dimensions higher than one. On the other hand, this model seems to inherit better the property of finite speed of propagation of the local Porous Medium equation. Further generalizations of (CV) have been considered in the literature. We will deal here with the model introduced in [26]: for $m > 1$ the authors consider the problem

$$\text{(4.3)} \qquad \begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nabla_x \cdot (u^{m-1} \nabla_x (-\Delta_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} u), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ t > 0, \\ u(x,0) = f(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

for positive solutions. The articles [26–28] treat with several issues for problem (4.3) in the pure nonlocal setting: existence of weak solutions, energy estimates, velocity of propagation, etc. We propose here an alternative way of heading problem (4.3). Let u be the solution of (4.3) and consider the extension problem given by:

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \left(y^{1-\sigma} \nabla w \right) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ y > 0,\ t > 0, \\ \dfrac{\partial w}{\partial y^\sigma}(x,0,t) = -u(x,t), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ y = 0,\ t > 0. \end{cases}$$

We know then, from Theorem 1.1, that $w(x,0,t) = \alpha(N,\sigma)(-\Delta_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}u(x,t)$. In this way we formulate the following local problem that is equivalent to the nonlocal model (4.3):

$$\text{(4.4)} \qquad \begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \left(y^{1-\sigma} \nabla w \right) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, y > 0, t > 0, \\ \dfrac{\partial w}{\partial y^\sigma}(x,0,t) = -u(x,t), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, y = 0, t > 0, \\ \alpha(N,\sigma) \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nabla_x \cdot \left(u^{m-1} \nabla_x w(x,0,t) \right), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, y = 0, t > 0, \\ u(x,0) = f(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, y = 0, t = 0. \end{cases}$$

From here, we have a possible starting point to some applications for (4.3) as the ones showed in Section 4 for (FPME). For example, the symmetrization techniques of Application 3 could be applied to (4.4). Another interesting forthcoming work could be trying to prove existence for (4.3) by means of the Crandall-Liggett theorem as in Application 1. For that purpose we consider an implicit in time discretized version of (4.3). To be more precise, let Δt be the time step and J the number of steps such that $J\Delta t = T$ for a certain $T > 0$. Then, for every $j = 0, \cdots, J-1$ we say that $U_j(x) \sim u(x, j\Delta t)$ and $W_j(x,y) \sim w(x,y,j\Delta t)$ are the solution of the

following problem

$$
(4.5)\quad \begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \left(y^{1-\sigma} \nabla W_{j+1}\right) = 0 & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, y > 0, \\ \dfrac{\partial W_{j+1}}{\partial y^\sigma}(x,0) = -U_{j+1}(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, y = 0, \\ U_{j+1} = U_j + \frac{\Delta t}{\alpha(N,\sigma)} \nabla_x \cdot \left((U_{j+1})^{m-1} \nabla_x W_{j+1}(x,0) \right), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, y = 0, \end{cases}
$$

with the initial condition $U_0(x) = f(x)$. Of course we can also consider a discretized in space version of (4.5) (or possibly in a explicit-in-time discretization) to get a fully discrete numerical scheme for (4.3) in the outline of [17]. Such a numerical method has been a very important tool towards the comprehension of the property of speed of propagation for the solutions of model (4.3). To be more precise, in [26, 28] the authors showed that an interesting phenomena happen: $m = 2$ is border case. When $m \geq 2$ the solution has finite speed of propagation and therefore there appears a free boundary (see Figure 1). On the other hand, when $m \in (1, 2)$ the solution has infinite speed of propagation (see Figure 2).




Figure 1: Numerical solution of (4.3) for $m = 1.1$

We consider now another possible generalization of (CV). In [2–4, 22], Biler, Imbert, Karch and Monneau treated the following problem: for any $m > 1$

$$
(4.6)\quad \begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nabla_x \cdot \left(|u| \, \nabla_x (-\Delta_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}} \, |u|^{m-2} u \right), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \ t > 0, \\ u(x,0) = f(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}
$$

Analogous ideas to the case explained above can be applied also in this case to obtain a local version of (4.6). Let $u \geq 0$ be the solution of (4.6) and consider the




Figure 2: Numerical solution of (4.3) for $m = 3$

extension problem

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \left(y^{1-\sigma} \nabla w\right) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ y > 0,\ t > 0, \\ \dfrac{\partial w}{\partial y^\sigma}(x, 0, t) = -u^{m-1}(x, t), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N,\ y = 0,\ t > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then problem (4.6) is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \left(y^{1-\sigma} \nabla w\right) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, y > 0, t > 0, \\ \dfrac{\partial w}{\partial y^\sigma}(x, 0, t) = -u^{m-1}(x, t), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, y = 0, t > 0, \\ \alpha(N, \sigma) \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \nabla_x \cdot \left(u \nabla_x w(x, 0, t)\right), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, y = 0, t > 0, \\ u(x, 0) = f(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, y = 0, t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Problems (4.3) and (4.6) are just two examples where this extension method can be used to produce useful and non-trivial results, but we want to stress that this technique is quite versatile and could eventually be applied to a wide class of partial differential equations, whose inverse are represented by any inverse fractional operator considered in the present work.

4.3 Dual solution techniques for nonlocal problems

In the very recent works [7, 8], Bonforte and Vázquez consider problems of the form of (FPME) posed in smooth and bounded domains for a more general class of diffusion operators and nonlinearities. A new method to prove existence, uniqueness

and sharp a priori estimates is introduced there; such method heavily relies on the concept of dual equation and a new class of weak solutions, called there *weak dual solutions*. To be more precise, they consider the following nonlinear and nonlocal diffusion equation:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}_x\left[\varphi(u)\right] = 0 \qquad \text{in} \qquad \Omega \times (0,\infty), \tag{4.7}$$

where $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary, $\mathcal{L}_x$ is a linear operator representing diffusion and $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a nondecreasing continuous function satisfying further conditions; homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions are assumed, and we refer to those papers for more details. Other authors have also considered this kind of general nonlinear and nonlocal diffusion equations of porous medium type. See for example [14, 20] for a study of different kind of solutions of (4.7) when $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$ and [5] for regularity issues in bounded domains. Roughly speaking, the innovative idea introduced in [7, 8] consists in studying the so-called dual problem corresponding to equation (4.7), namely,

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} = -\varphi(u) \qquad \text{in} \qquad \Omega \times (0,\infty) \qquad \text{where} \qquad U = \mathcal{L}_x^{-1}\left[u\right]. \tag{4.8}$$

Spectral Fractional Laplacians. In the case when the operators $\mathcal{L}_x$ are powers of second order differential operators L_x in the outline of (1.6), i.e. $\mathcal{L}_x = (L_x)^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}$ for some $\sigma \in (0,2)$, then, we can apply Theorem 1.2 to show that the solution w with the property (B_∞) of problem

$$\begin{aligned} -L_x w + \frac{1-\sigma}{y}\frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} &= 0 && \text{for} \quad x \in \Omega,\ y > 0,\ t > 0 \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial y^\sigma}(x,0,t) &= u(x,t) && \text{for} \quad x \in \Omega,\ y > 0,\ t > 0 \end{aligned}$$

satisfies, up to a constant, that

$$w(x,0,t) = -(L_x)^{-\frac{\sigma}{2}}[u](x,t) =: -U(x,t).$$

In this way, we can formulate the following local problem in terms of w, which is equivalent to the nonlocal dual problem (4.8):

$$\begin{cases} -L_x w + \dfrac{1-\sigma}{y}\dfrac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \dfrac{\partial^2 w}{\partial y^2} = 0, & x \in \Omega,\ y > 0,\ t > 0, \\ \dfrac{\partial w}{\partial t}(x,0,t) = \varphi\left(\dfrac{\partial w}{\partial y^\sigma}(x,0,t)\right), & x \in \Omega,\ y = 0,\ t > 0. \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

Restricted, Censored Fractional Laplacians and other operators. As long as there exists a Green function that is good enough, the concept of inverse powers of the operator is well defined, and then an extension method like (4.9) can be formulated in a similar way.

Acknowledgments.

The author wants to specially thank Juan Luis Vázquez for the inestimable and fruitful discussions of the ideas contained in this paper. Also to Matteo Bonforte, Diana Stan and Nikita Simonov for the careful reading of the manuscript which leads to an improved version of it.

Bibliography

[1] Banica, V., González, M. d. M., and Sáez, M. Some constructions for the fractional Laplacian on noncompact manifolds. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 31*, 2 (2015), 681–712.

[2] Biler, P., Imbert, C., and Karch, G. The nonlocal porous medium equation: Barenblatt profiles and other weak solutions. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 215*, 497–529 (2015).

[3] Biler, P., Karch, G., and Monneau, R. Nonlinear diffusion of dislocation density and self-similar solutions. *Comm. Math. Phys. 294* (2010), 145–168.

[4] Biler, P., Imbert, C., and Karch, G. Barenblatt profiles for a nonlocal porous medium equation. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 349* (2011), 641–645.

[5] Bonforte, M., Figalli, A., and Ros-Otron, X. Infinite speed of propagation and regularity of solutions to the fractional porous medium equation in general domains. *To appear in Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* (2015).

[6] Bonforte, M., Segatti, A., and Vázquez, J. L. Non-existence and instantaneous extinction of solutions for singular nonlinear fractional diffusion equations. *Preprint: arXiv:1505.03167v1* (2015).

[7] Bonforte, M., and Vázquez, J. L. A Priori Estimates for Fractional Nonlinear Degenerate Diffusion Equations on bounded domains. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 218* 1 (2015) , 317–362.

[8] Bonforte, M., and Vázquez, J. L. Fractional nonlinear degenerate diffusion equations on bounded domains part I. Existence, uniqueness and upper bounds. *Nonlinear Anal. 131* (2016), 363–398.

[9] Caffarelli, L., and Silvestre, L. An extension problem related to the fractional laplacian. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations 32*, 7–9 (2007), 1245–1260.

[10] Caffarelli, L., and Stinga, P. R. Extension problem and Harnack's inequality for some fractional operators. *To appear in Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare* (2015).

[11] Cabré, X., and Tan, J. Positive solutions of nonlinear problems involving the square root of the Laplacian. *Adv. Math. 224* 5 (2010), 2052–2093.

[12] Caffarelli, L., and Vázquez, J. L. Nonlinear porous medium flow with fractional potential pressure. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 202*, 2 (2011), 537–565.

[13] Chang, S.-Y. A., and González, M. d. M. Fractional Laplacian in conformal geometry. *Adv. Math. 226*, 2 (2011), 1410–1432.

[14] de Pablo, A., Quirós, F., and Rodríguez, A. Nonlocal filtration equations with rough kernels. *To appear in Nonlinear Anal.* (2016).

[15] de Pablo, A., Quirós, F., Rodríguez, A., and Vázquez, J. L. A fractional porous medium equation. *Adv. Math. 226*, 2 (2011), 1378–1409.

[16] de Pablo, A., Quirós, F., Rodríguez, A., and Vázquez, J. L. A general fractional porous medium equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 65*, 9 (2012), 1242–1284.

[17] del Teso, F. Finite difference method for a fractional porous medium equation. *Calcolo 51* (2014), 615–638.

[18] del Teso, F. Theoretical and numerical aspects for nonlocal equations of porous medium type. *Ph.D thesis* (2015).

[19] del Teso, F., and Vázquez, J. L. Finite difference method for a general fractional porous medium equation. *Preprint: http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.2474* (2014).

[20] Endal, J., Jakobsen, E. R., and del Teso, F. Uniqueness and properties of distributional solutions of nonlocal degenerate diffusion equations of porous medium type. *Preprint: http://arxiv.org/abs/1507.04659* (2015).

[21] Frank, R. L., González, M. d. M., Monticelli, D. D., and Tan, J. An extension problem for the CR fractional Laplacian. *Adv. Math. 270* (2015), 97–137.

[22] Imbert, C. Finite speed of propagation for a non-local porous medium equation. *Colloquium Mathematicum 143*, 2 (2016), 149–157.

[23] Nochetto, R. H., Otárola, E., and Salgado, A. J. A PDE approach to fractional diffusion in general domains: a priori error analysis. *Found. Comput. Math. 15*, 3 (2015), 733–791.

[24] Rudin, W. *Functional analysis*, second ed. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1991.

[25] Stan, D. Nonlinear and nonlocal diffusion equations. *Ph.D. thesis (Universidad Autónoma de Madrid)* (2014).

[26] Stan, D., del Teso, F., and Vázquez, J. L. Finite and infinite speed of propagation for porous medium equations with fractional pressure. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 352* (2014), 123–128.

[27] Stan, D., del Teso, F., and Vázquez, J. L. Transformations of self-similar solutions for porous medium equations of fractional type. *Nonlinear Anal. 119* (2015), 62–73.

[28] Stan, D., del Teso, F., and Vázquez, J. L. Finite and infinite speed of propagation for porous medium equations with nonlocal pressure. *J. Differential Equations 260*, 2 (2016), 1154–1199.

[29] Stan, D., and Vázquez, J. L. The Fisher-KPP Equation with Nonlinear Fractional Diffusion. *SIAM J. Math. Anal. 46* (2014), 3241–3276.

[30] Stein, E. *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1970.

[31] Stinga, P. R., and Torrea, J. L. Extension problem and Harnack's inequality for some fractional operators. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations 35*, 11 (2010), 2092–2122.

[32] Vázquez, J. L. *The porous medium equation. Mathematical theory.* Oxford Math. Monogr., The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2007.

[33] Vázquez, J. L. Barenblatt solutions and asymptotic behaviour for a nonlinear fractional heat equation of porous medium type. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. 16*, 4 (2014), 769–803.

[34] Vázquez, J. L., and Volzone, B. Symmetrization for linear and nonlinear fractional parabolic equations of porous medium type. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 101*, 5 (2014), 553–582.

[35] Vázquez, J. L., and Volzone., B. Optimal estimates for fractional fast diffusion equations. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 103*, 3 (2015), 736–763.