arXiv:1702.08801v1 [math.NT] 28 Feb 2017

ON THE DIAGONALIZABILITY OF THE ATKIN U-OPERATOR FOR DRINFELD CUSP FORMS

ANDREA BANDINI AND MARIA VALENTINO

Abstract. We study the diagonalizability of the Atkin U-operator acting on Drinfeld cusp forms for $\Gamma_1(t)$ and $\Gamma(t)$ using Teitelbaum's interpretation as harmonic cocycles. We prove U is diagonalizable for small weights and explicitly compute the eigenvalues. We also formulate a conjecture, supported by numerical search and proofs in some special cases, about non diagonalizability of U in even characteristic.

1. Introduction

Let $N, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant 0}$ and denote by $S_k(N)$ the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of cuspidal modular forms of level N and weight k. Hecke operators T_n, $n \geqslant 1$, are defined on $S_k(N)$ and when $p|N$, T_p is also known as the *Atkin*, or *Atkin-Lehner U_p-operator*.

The U_p-operator is well known in literature for detecting those modular forms which belong to a p-adic family. Let f be an eigenform of U_p and α its eigenvalue. The *slope* of f is defined to be the p-adic valuation of α, say $v_p(\alpha)$. Coleman in [3] proved the existence of a lot of p-adic families thanks to the fact that *overconvergent* modular forms of small slope are classical. Moreover, eigenforms of slope zero are the so-called *ordinary* forms which play a crucial role in Hida theory ([15], [16]).

When p is a prime number not dividing N, using Petersson inner product, the action of T_p is semisimple on cusp forms. This is no longer true for U_p which fails to be diagonalizable. Some results on its semisimplicity are obtained in [4].

In this work we shall address the problem of the diagonalizability of the analogue of U_p in the realm of global function fields.

When dealing with a field in positive characteristic there are two different translations of classical modular forms theory: automorphic forms and Drinfeld modular forms. The first ones are functions on adelic groups with values in fields of characteristic zero, while the latter are functions on a rigid analytic space with values in a field of finite characteristic.

In this paper we will deal with Drinfeld modular forms which are equipped with a Hecke action as well. In this context, the lack of an adequate analogous of Petersson inner product leaves open the question about the diagonalizability of the Hecke operators. For the analogue of the T_p some partial answers were given by Li and Meemark in [17] and Böckle and Pink in [2]: in contrast to the characteristic 0 case these operators are not always diagonalizable. We shall show that also the analogue of U_p, which we will call U from now on, might be non diagonalizable.

We now introduce some notations, but for details and precise definitions of all objects appearing in this introduction the reader is referred to Section 2.

Let F be the rational function field $F = \mathbb{F}_q(t)$, with $q = p^r$ where $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a prime. Denote by π the prime corresponding to $1/t$ and let $F_\infty = \mathbb{F}_q((1/t))$ be the completion of F at π and let $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ denote the completion of an algebraic closure of F_∞. The Drinfeld upper half plane is $\Omega := \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_\infty) - \mathbb{P}^1(F_\infty)$. The $\mathbb{C}_\infty$-vector space of Drinfeld modular forms of weight $k \geqslant 0$ and type

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F52, 11F25; Secondary 11B65, 20E08, 11C20.

Key words and phrases. Drinfeld cusp forms, harmonic coycles, Atkin-Lehner operator, diagonalizability.

M. Valentino is supported by an outgoing Marie-Curie fellowship of INdAM.

$m \in \mathbb{Z}$ for a congruence subgroup Γ is denoted by $M_{k,m}(\Gamma)$. The corresponding space of cusp forms is indicated by $S^1_{k,m}(\Gamma)$ and that of double cusp forms by $S^2_{k,m}(\Gamma)$.

When dealing with number fields there is a direct relation between the Hecke eigenvalues and the Fourier coefficients of a given modular form. In the function field setting, even if it is still possible to associate with every Drinfeld modular form a power series expansion with respect to a canonical uniformizer u at the cusp at infinity (see [8]), the action of the Hecke operators on expansions is not well understood and difficult to handle.
In order to avoid this problem we exploited a different reformulation of Drinfeld cusp forms. That is, the Bruhat-Tits tree $\mathcal{T}$ (see Section 2.1) is a combinatorial counterpart of Ω and cusp forms have a reinterpretation as Γ-invariant harmonic cocycles (Section 2.3.1):

$$S^1_{k,m}(\Gamma) \simeq C^{har}_{k,m}(\Gamma)\,.$$

Since a Hecke action can be carried out on harmonic cocycles as well, we will use this combinatorial interpretation along with a detailed description of a fundamental domain for $\Gamma = \Gamma_1(t), \Gamma(t)$ (obtained from [11]) to get the matrices corresponding to our operator U: we will find out that the coefficients of these matrices are binomial coefficients depending only on the weight of the space of cusp forms and the characteristic of the field involved.
A careful study of these binomial coefficients will allow us to study the diagonalizability of U in some nontrivial cases.

The paper is organized as follows.
In Section 2 we set the notations and recall the main objects we shall work with. Moreover, we recall the action of U operators on harmonic cocycles (Section 2.4).
In Section 3 we start with the case $\Gamma = \Gamma_1(t)$ and calculate the matrix associated with U with respect to the basis $\mathcal{B}^1_k(\Gamma_1(t)) := \{\mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e})\,,\ 0 \leqslant j \leqslant k-2\}$ of $S^1_k(\Gamma_1(t))$ (see Section 3.2), where $\mathbf{c}_j$ are harmonic cocycles and $\overline{e}$ is a particular edge of the fundamental domain. The crucial formula is

$$U(\mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e})) = -(-t)^{j+1}\binom{k-2-j}{j}\mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e}) - t^{j+1}\sum_{h\neq 0}\left[\binom{k-2-j-h(q-1)}{-h(q-1)} + (-1)^{j+1}\binom{k-2-j-h(q-1)}{j}\right]\mathbf{c}_{j+h(q-1)}(\overline{e})\,. \tag{1}$$

As it is easy to see from equation (1), the $\mathbf{c}_j$ can be divided into classes modulo $q-1$ and these classes are stable under the action of U. Thus, we can write the matrix associated with U in (at most) $q-1$ blocks and U is diagonalizable if and only if each block is. We shall denote by C_j the class of $\mathbf{c}_j$, i.e., $C_j = \{\mathbf{c}_j, \mathbf{c}_{j+(q-1)}, \dots\}$ and by M_j the associated matrix.
In Section 4 we start studying the diagonalizability of U on $S^1_k(\Gamma_1(t))$ for $k \leqslant q+3$ (actually the case $k \leqslant q$ can be considered "trivial", since the matrix is already diagonal) and emphasize the role played by inseparable eigenvalues. In particular we shall prove the following (see Theorems 4.1 and 4.3)

Theorem 1.1. *With notations as above one has:*

1. *if $k \leqslant q+2$, then U is diagonalizable;*
2. *if $k = q+3$, then U is diagonalizable if and only if q is odd.*

The latter statement presents the features which inspired the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.2. *Let q be even. If $k \geqslant q+3$ and odd, then U is not diagonalizable.*

In order to go a step further and better understand the behaviour of U we need to investigate the binomial coefficients appearing in equation (1). In Section 5 we collect some useful facts on the divisibility of binomial coefficients and provide some ad hoc arguments to deal with those appearing in our matrices.
In Section 6 we study all blocks of dimension 2. The main achievements, which provide evidence for our conjecture, are the following (see Theorems 6.2, 6.4 and 6.5)

Theorem 1.3. *Assume p is odd and $|C_j| = 2$. Then M_j is diagonalizable.*

Theorem 1.4. *Assume $p = 2$.*

1. *If $|C_j| = 2$ and $k \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ (with $k > q+3$), then M_j is diagonalizable.*
2. *If $k \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ (with $k \geqslant q+3$) and $|C_{\frac{k-1-q}{2}}| = 2$, then $M_{\frac{k-1-q}{2}}$ is not diagonalizable.*

In Section 7 we focus on blocks of dimension $n \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ in characteristic 2 for odd $k > 2q$. Supported by numerical search, we believe we have been able to detect a particular block which is not diagonalizable in all matrices. For any odd $k > 2q$ we write $k = 2 + 2j + (n-1)(q-1)$ for some (uniquely determined) even n and $0 \leqslant j \leqslant q-2$ and focus on the class C_j, which has exactly n elements. The main theorem of this section is the following (Theorem 7.2)

Theorem 1.5. *Let $p = 2$ and $k = 2 + 2j + (n-1)(q-1)$ as above. Then, for all $n \leqslant j+1$, M_j is an antidiagonal (and non diagonalizable) matrix.*

In the same section we provide more results for some cases not included in the previous theorem: namely the case $j = 0$ (assuming $n \leqslant q+2$) and $n = 4$ (for $j = 1, 2$).
Finally, in Section 8 we study the diagonalizability of U on $S_k^1(\Gamma(t))$. In this case U has a large kernel which actually reduces its diagonalizability to the previous case, i.e., (Theorem 8.1)

Theorem 1.6. *The operator U acting on $S_k^1(\Gamma(t))$ is diagonalizable if and only if the operator U acting on $S_k^1(\Gamma_1(t))$ is diagonalizable.*

2. Setting and notations

Here we set the notations and collect all basic facts and technical tools we shall need throughout the paper.

Let F be the global function field $F := \mathbb{F}_q(t)$, where q is a power of a fixed prime $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, and denote by $A := \mathbb{F}_q[t]$ its ring of integers. Let F_∞ be the completion of F at $\pi := \frac{1}{t}$ with ring of integers A_∞ and denote by $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ the completion of an algebraic closure of F_∞.
The *Drinfeld upper half-plane* is the set $\Omega := \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_\infty) - \mathbb{P}^1(F_\infty)$ together with a structure of rigid analytic space (see [7]).

2.1. **The Bruhat-Tits tree.** The Drinfeld's upper half plane has a combinatorial counterpart, the *Bruhat-Tits tree* $\mathcal{T}$ of $GL_2(F_\infty)$, which we shall describe briefly here. For more details the reader is referred to [9], [10] and [18].
The tree $\mathcal{T}$ is a $(q+1)$-regular tree on which $GL_2(F_\infty)$ acts transitively. Let us denote by $Z(F_\infty)$ the scalar matrices of $GL_2(F_\infty)$ and by $\mathcal{I}(F_\infty)$ the *Iwahori subgroup*, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{I}(F_\infty) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(A_\infty) \,:\, c \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi} \right\}.$$

Then the sets $X(\mathcal{T})$ of vertices and $Y(\mathcal{T})$ of oriented edges of $\mathcal{T}$ are given by

$$X(\mathcal{T}) = GL_2(F_\infty)/Z(F_\infty)GL_2(A_\infty)$$
$$Y(\mathcal{T}) = GL_2(F_\infty)/Z(F_\infty)\mathcal{I}(F_\infty).$$

The canonical map from $Y(\mathcal{T})$ to $X(\mathcal{T})$ associates with each oriented edge e its origin $o(e)$ (the corresponding terminus will be denoted by $t(e)$). The edge $\overline{e}$ is e with reversed orientation.
A system of representatives of $X(\mathcal{T})$ and $Y(\mathcal{T})$ is

$$S_X := \left\{ v_{i,u} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^{-i} & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \,:\, i \in \mathbb{Z},\ u \in F_\infty/\pi^{-i}A_\infty \right\}$$
$$S_Y := S_X \bigcup S_X \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \pi & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Two infinite paths in $\mathcal{T}$ are considered equivalent if they differ at finitely many edges. An *end* is an equivalence class of infinite paths. There is a $GL_2(F_\infty)$-equivariant bijection between the ends of $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathbb{P}^1(F_\infty)$. An end is called *rational* if it corresponds to an element in $\mathbb{P}^1(F)$ under the above bijection. Moreover, for any arithmetic subgroup Γ of $GL_2(A)$, the elements of $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{P}^1(F)$ are in bijection with the ends of $\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{T}$ (see [2, Proposition 3.19] and [12, Lecture 7, Proposition 3.2]) and they are called the *cusps* of Γ.
Following Serre [18, pag 132], we call a vertex or an edge Γ-*stable* if its stabilizer in Γ is trivial and Γ-*unstable* otherwise.

2.2. **Harmonic cocycles.** For $k \geqslant 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $V(k,m)$ be the $(k-1)$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ with a basis $\{X^jY^{k-2-j} : 0 \leqslant j \leqslant k-2\}$. The action of $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(F_\infty)$ on $V(k,m)$ is given by

$$\gamma(X^jY^{k-2-j}) = \det(\gamma)^{m-1}(dX - bY)^j(-cX + aY)^{k-2-j} \quad \text{for } 0 \leqslant j \leqslant k-2\,.$$

For every $\omega \in \mathrm{Hom}(V(k,m), \mathbb{C}_\infty)$ we have an induced action of $GL_2(F_\infty)$

$$(\gamma\omega)(X^jY^{k-2-j}) = \det(\gamma)^{1-m}\omega((aX + bY)^j(cX + dY)^{k-2-j}) \quad \text{for } 0 \leqslant j \leqslant k-2\,.$$

Definition 2.1. A *harmonic cocycle of weight k and type m for Γ* is a function $\mathbf{c}$ from the set of directed edges of $\mathcal{T}$ to $\mathrm{Hom}(V(k,m), \mathbb{C}_\infty)$ satisfying:

1. (*harmonicity*) for all vertices v of $\mathcal{T}$,
$$\sum_{t(e)=v} \mathbf{c}(e) = 0$$
where e runs over all edges in $\mathcal{T}$ with terminal vertex v;
2. (*antisymmetry*) for all edges e of $\mathcal{T}$, $\mathbf{c}(\overline{e}) = -\mathbf{c}(e)$;
3. (Γ-*equivariancy*) for all edges e and elements $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\mathbf{c}(\gamma e) = \gamma(\mathbf{c}(e))$.

The space of harmonic cocycles of weight k and type m for Γ will be denoted by $C^{har}_{k,m}(\Gamma)$.
We point out that, as a consequence of [19, Lemma 20], cocycles in $C^{har}_{k,m}(\Gamma)$ are determined by their values on the stable (non-oriented) edges of the fundamental domain.

2.3. **Drinfeld modular forms.** The group $GL_2(F_\infty)$ acts on Ω by fractional linear transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}\,.$$

Let Γ be an arithmetic subgroup of $GL_2(A)$. It has finitely many cusps, represented by $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{P}^1(F)$ as we have seen above. For $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in GL_2(F_\infty)$, $k, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}_\infty$, we define

$$(f \underset{k,m}{\|} \gamma)(z) := f(\gamma z)(\det\gamma)^m(cz + d)^{-k}.$$

A rigid analytic function $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{C}_\infty$ is called a *Drinfeld modular function of weight k and type m for Γ* if

$$(f \underset{k,m}{\|} \gamma)(z) = f(z) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma\,. \tag{2}$$

Definition 2.2. A Drinfeld modular function f of weight $k \geqslant 0$ and type m for Γ is called a *Drinfeld modular form* if f is holomorphic at all cusps.
A Drinfeld modular form f is called a *cusp form* (resp. *double cusp form*) if it vanishes at all cusps to the order at least 1 (resp. to the order at least 2).

The space of Drinfeld modular forms of weight k and type m for Γ will be denoted by $M_{k,m}(\Gamma)$. The subspace of cuspidal modular forms (resp. doubly cuspidal) is denoted by $S^1_{k,m}(\Gamma)$ (resp. $S^2_{k,m}(\Gamma)$).
Weight and type are not independent of each other. Indeed, let us consider the matrix $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ of multiplicative order ℓ and substitute it in equation (2). Then, if $k \not\equiv 2m \pmod{\ell}$ we have $M_{k,m}(\Gamma) = 0$.
Moreover, if Γ is such that all elements in it have determinant equal to one it follows that the type does not play a role. If this is the case, for fixed k all $M_{k,m}(\Gamma)$ are isomorphic (the same holds for $S^1_{k,m}(\Gamma)$ and $S^2_{k,m}(\Gamma)$) and we will simply denote them by $M_k(\Gamma)$ (resp. $S^1_k(\Gamma)$ and $S^2_k(\Gamma)$).
All $M_k(\Gamma)$ and $S^i_k(\Gamma)$ $i = 1, 2$ are finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}_\infty$-vector spaces. In particular when Γ has no prime to p torsion, we have the following

Theorem 2.3. *Let $g(\Gamma)$ denote the genus of $\Gamma\backslash\mathcal{T}$ and $h(\Gamma)$ the number of its cusps. If Γ has no prime to p torsion and $\det(\Gamma) = 1$, then*

1. $\dim_{\mathbb{C}_\infty} S^1_k(\Gamma) = (k-1)(g(\Gamma) + h(\Gamma) - 1)$;
2. $\dim_{\mathbb{C}_\infty} S^2_k(\Gamma) = \begin{cases} g(\Gamma) & k = 2 \\ (k-2)(g(\Gamma) + h(\Gamma) - 1) + g(\Gamma) - 1 & k > 2 \end{cases}$

Proof. See [2, Proposition 5.4] and [2, Proposition 5.18]. □

For an extensive calculation of the genus and the number of cusps for any Γ see [11].

2.3.1. *Cusp forms and harmonic cocycles.* In [19], Teitelbaum constructed the so-called "residue map" which allow us to us to interpret cusp forms as harmonic cocycles. Indeed, it is proved in [19, Theorem 16] that this map is actually an isomorphism

$$S_{k,m}(\Gamma) \simeq C^{har}_{k,m}(\Gamma)\,.$$

For more details on the subject the reader is referred to the original paper of Teitelbaum or to [2, Section 5.2] which is full of details written in a more modern language.

2.4. **Hecke operators.** Hecke operators on Drinfeld modular forms are formally defined using a double coset decomposition as showed in [1]. Here we will use an equivalent definition employing a simplified notations which will involve just polynomials of A.
Let $\mathfrak{n}$ be an ideal of A and denote by $P_\mathfrak{n}$ its monic generator. The *Hecke operator* $\mathbf{T}_\mathfrak{n}$ acts on $f \in M_{k,m}(\Gamma)$ in the following way

$$\mathbf{T}_\mathfrak{n}(f)(z) := P_\mathfrak{n}^{k-m} \sum_{\substack{\alpha,\delta \text{ monic} \\ \beta \in A, \deg(\beta) < \deg(\delta) \\ \alpha\delta = P_\mathfrak{n}, (\alpha)+(t) = A}} f\left(\frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\delta}\right).$$

Note that when $\mathfrak{n}$ is a prime ideal different from (t) and such that $P_\mathfrak{n}$ has degree one we find the usual definition

$$\mathbf{T}_\mathfrak{n}(f)(z) = P_\mathfrak{n}^k f(P_\mathfrak{n} z) + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q} f\left(\frac{z+\beta}{P_\mathfrak{n}}\right).$$

In particular, for $\mathfrak{n} = (t)$ the Atkin U-operator in our context is

$$U(f)(z) := \mathbf{T}_{(t)}(f)(z) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q} f\left(\frac{z+\beta}{t}\right).$$

We would like to point out that some authors just declared U to be the zero map (see, e.g., Böckle [2, Definition 6.5]), while others (like Armana [1] or Goss [13]) included a not trivial U-operator in the Hecke algebra they worked with. Just note that our operator is a little bit different because of the normalization we used (at most this will provide changes in the set of eigenvalues but not in the eigenvectors).

The residue map allows us to define a Hecke action on harmonic cocycles in the following way:

$$U(\mathbf{c}(e)) = t^{k-m} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \mathbf{c}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} e\right)$$

(for details see formula (17) in [2, Section 5.2]).
We shall focus on the congruence groups $\Gamma = \Gamma(t)$ and $\Gamma_1(t)$, where

$$\Gamma(t) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(A) : a \equiv d \equiv 1 \text{ and } b \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod{t} \right\}$$

and

$$\Gamma_1(t) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(A) : a \equiv d \equiv 1 \text{ and } c \equiv 0 \pmod{t} \right\}.$$

The main strategy is the following. As showed by Teitelbaum ([19, Theorem 3]), every harmonic cocycle vanishes on all but finitely many edges of the fundamental domain. So, we need to evaluate a harmonic cocycle just on stable edges, as already noted at the end of Section 2.2, and then extend to other (non-vanishing) edges by Γ-equivariancy and harmonicity. In this way we shall obtain the action of U and then we will proceed with analyzing its diagonalizability.

3. Action of U on cusp forms for $\Gamma_1(t)$

The tree $\Gamma_1(t)\backslash\mathcal{T}$ has two cusps ([11, Proposition 5.6]) corresponding to $[1:0]$ and $[0:1]$. The path connecting the two cusps is a fundamental domain for $\Gamma_1(t)$. Here is a picture of it

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 & \overline{e}_{-2,0}=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ t & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \overline{e}_{-1,0}=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \overline{e}_{0,0}=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & & \overline{e}_{1,0}=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \\
\cdots v_{-2,0} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix} & & v_{-1,0} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} & & v_{0,0} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & & v_{1,0} = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & & v_{2,0} \cdots \\
 & e_{-2,0}=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix} & & e_{-1,0}=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} & & e_{0,0}=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & & e_{1,0}=\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} &
\end{array}$$

This fundamental domain does not contain stable vertices but one stable edge, namely $\overline{e} := \overline{e}_{-1,0}$.

3.1. The action of U.

We compute the action of U at $\mathbf{c}(\overline{e})$.

$$\begin{aligned} U(\mathbf{c}(\overline{e}))(X^j Y^{k-2-j}) &= t^{k-m} \left\{ \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \mathbf{c}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} \overline{e}\right) \right\} (X^j Y^{k-2-j}) \\ &= t^{k-m} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \mathbf{c}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} \overline{e}\right) + \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\star} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \mathbf{c}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} \overline{e}\right) \right\} (X^j Y^{k-2-j}). \end{aligned}$$

Split the above equation in two parts

$$A := t^{k-m} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \mathbf{c}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} \overline{e}\right) \right\} (X^j Y^{k-2-j}),$$

$$B := t^{k-m} \left\{ \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^\star} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \mathbf{c}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} \overline{e}\right) \right\} (X^j Y^{k-2-j}).$$

Let us start with A. Then

$$A = t^{k-m} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{t} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{c}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) (X^j Y^{k-2-j})$$

$$= t^{k-m}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{1-m}\mathbf{c}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ t & 0 \end{array}\right)\right)\left(X^j\left(\frac{Y}{t}\right)^{k-2-j}\right)$$
$$= t^{j+1}\mathbf{c}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ t & 0 \end{array}\right)\right)(X^jY^{k-2-j})\,.$$

Now use harmonicity

$$\mathbf{c}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ t & 0 \end{array}\right)\right) = -\sum_{v\in\mathbb{F}_q}\mathbf{c}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ vt & 1 \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{array}\right)\right) \tag{3}$$

(with $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ vt & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \Gamma_1(t)$) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= -t^{j+1}\left\{\mathbf{c}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{array}\right)\right) + \sum_{v\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\mathbf{c}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ vt & 1 \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{array}\right)\right)\right\}(X^jY^{k-2-j}) \\
&= -t^{j+1}\left\{\mathbf{c}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{array}\right)\right) + \sum_{v\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ vt & 1 \end{array}\right)\mathbf{c}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{array}\right)\right)\right\}(X^jY^{k-2-j}) \\
&= -t^{j+1}\mathbf{c}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{array}\right)\right)(X^jY^{k-2-j}) - t^{j+1}\sum_{v\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\mathbf{c}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{array}\right)\right)\left(X^j(vtX+Y)^{k-2-j}\right) \\
&= -t^{j+1}\mathbf{c}(e)(X^jY^{k-2-j}) - t^{j+1}\sum_{v\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\mathbf{c}(e)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{k-2-j}\binom{k-2-j}{n}v^nt^nX^{j+n}Y^{k-2-j-n}\right) \\
&= -t^{j+1}\mathbf{c}(e)(X^jY^{k-2-j}) - t^{j+1}\mathbf{c}(e)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{k-2-j}\binom{k-2-j}{n}t^nX^{j+n}Y^{k-2-j-n}\sum_{v\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}v^n\right) \\
&= -t^{j+1}\mathbf{c}(e)(X^jY^{k-2-j}) + t^{j+1}\mathbf{c}(e)\left(\sum_{\substack{n\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1} \\ n\geqslant 0}}\binom{k-2-j}{n}t^nX^{j+n}Y^{k-2-j-n}\right) \\
&= t^{j+1}\mathbf{c}(e)\left(\sum_{\substack{n\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1} \\ n\geqslant q-1}}\binom{k-2-j}{n}t^nX^{j+n}Y^{k-2-j-n}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

(the first term of the sum cancels with the term on the left, sign has changed because of $\sum_{v\in\mathbb{F}_q^*} v^n = -1$ for $n \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$).

As for part B, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & t \end{array}\right)\overline{e} &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & t \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \beta & 1 \\ t & 0 \end{array}\right) \\
&= \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{t}{\beta} & 1 \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc} \beta & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\beta} \end{array}\right) =: \gamma_\beta\, e\, \iota_\beta
\end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_\beta \in \Gamma_1(t)$ and $\iota_\beta \in \mathcal{I}(F_\infty)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
B &= t^{k-m}\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & -\frac{\beta}{t} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{t} \end{array}\right)\mathbf{c}(\gamma_\beta\, e\,\iota_\beta)(X^jY^{k-2-j}) \\
&= t^{k-m}\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_q^*}\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & -\frac{\beta}{t} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{t} \end{array}\right)\gamma_\beta\right)\mathbf{c}(e)(X^jY^{k-2-j})
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= t^{k-m} \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_q^*} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{\beta}{t} \\ \frac{1}{\beta} & \frac{1}{t} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{c}(e)(X^j Y^{k-2-j}) \\
&= t^{k-m} \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{1-m} \mathbf{c}(e) \left(\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_q^*} \left(-\frac{\beta}{t} Y\right)^j \left(\frac{X}{\beta} + \frac{Y}{t}\right)^{k-2-j} \right) \\
&= t\mathbf{c}(e) \left(\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_q^*} (-1)^j \beta^{2+2j-k} Y^j (tX + \beta Y)^{k-2-j} \right) \\
&= t\mathbf{c}(e) \left(\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_q^*} \sum_{n=0}^{k-2-j} (-1)^j \beta^{2+2j-k} Y^j \binom{k-2-j}{n} t^n X^n \beta^{k-2-j-n} Y^{k-2-j-n} \right) \\
&= t\mathbf{c}(e) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{k-2-j} \binom{k-2-j}{n} (-1)^j t^n X^n Y^{k-2-n} \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_q^*} \beta^{j-n} \right) \\
&= -t\mathbf{c}(e) \left(\sum_{\substack{n\equiv j \pmod{q-1} \\ n\geqslant 0}} \binom{k-2-j}{n} (-1)^j t^n X^n Y^{k-2-n} \right).
\end{aligned}
$$

To make it more compatible with the term A (obtained for $\beta = 0$) we change the index using $n = m + j$, to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
B &= -t\mathbf{c}(e) \left(\sum_{\substack{m\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1} \\ m\geqslant -j}} \binom{k-2-j}{m+j} (-1)^j t^{m+j} X^{m+j} Y^{k-2-j-m} \right) \\
&= (-t)^{j+1} \mathbf{c}(e) \left(\sum_{\substack{m\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1} \\ m\geqslant -j}} \binom{k-2-j}{m+j} t^m X^{m+j} Y^{k-2-j-m} \right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting all together, the final general formula is

$$
\begin{aligned}
U(\mathbf{c}(\overline{e}))(X^j Y^{k-2-j}) &= t^{k-m} \left\{ \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \mathbf{c}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} \overline{e} \right) \right\} (X^j Y^{k-2-j}) \\
&= t^{j+1} \mathbf{c}(e) \left\{ \sum_{\substack{n\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1} \\ n\geqslant q-1}} \binom{k-2-j}{n} t^n X^{j+n} Y^{k-2-j-n} + \right. \\
&\qquad \left. + (-1)^{j+1} \sum_{\substack{n\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1} \\ n\geqslant -j}} \binom{k-2-j}{n+j} t^n X^{j+n} Y^{k-2-j-n} \right\}. \qquad (4)
\end{aligned}
$$

3.2. **Action of U on a particular basis.** We are now ready to check the diagonalizability of the operator U on a fixed basis: the same used in [17].

Recall that by [11, Corollary 5.7] we have $g(\Gamma_1(t)) = 0$ and, applying Theorem 2.3, we obtain

1. $\dim_{\mathbb{C}_\infty} S_k^1(\Gamma_1(t)) = k - 1$;

2. $\dim_{\mathbb{C}_\infty} S_k^2(\Gamma_1(t)) = \begin{cases} 0 & k = 2 \\ k-3 & k > 2 \end{cases}$.

For any $j \in \{0,1,\dots,k-2\}$, let $\mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e})$ be defined by

$$\mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e})(X^iY^{k-2-i}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j \\ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

The sets $\mathcal{B}_k^1(\Gamma_1(t)) := \{\mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e})\,,\, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant k-2\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_k^2(\Gamma_1(t)) := \{\mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e})\,,\, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k-3\}$ are bases for $S_k^1(\Gamma_1(t))$ and $S_k^2(\Gamma_1(t))$ respectively (recall that the elements of $S_k^2(\Gamma_1(t))$ have to vanish on X^{k-2} and Y^{k-2}). We shall work mainly on $\mathcal{B}_k^1(\Gamma_1(t))$, the results for $\mathcal{B}_k^2(\Gamma_1(t))$ will easily follow and we shall point them out in some remarks along the way. Then, unless otherwise stated, U is understood to act on $S_k^1(\Gamma_1(t))$.

Specializing equation (4) at $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}_j$, we get nonzero values only for $n = 0$, i.e., the first sum vanishes and the second one is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} U(\mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e}))(X^jY^{k-2-j}) &= t^{j+1}\mathbf{c}_j(e)\left((-1)^{j+1}\binom{k-2-j}{j}X^jY^{k-2-j}\right) \\ &= -(-t)^{j+1}\binom{k-2-j}{j}\mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e})(X^jY^{k-2-j}) = -(-t)^{j+1}\binom{k-2-j}{j}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we look for the value of $U(\mathbf{c}_j)(\overline{e})$ at X^iY^{k-2-i} for any $i \neq j$, so that equation (4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} U(\mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e}))(X^iY^{k-2-i}) = t^{i+1}\mathbf{c}_j(e)\Bigg\{ &\sum_{\substack{n\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1} \\ n\geqslant q-1}} \binom{k-2-i}{n} t^n X^{i+n}Y^{k-2-i-n} + \\ &+(-1)^{i+1} \sum_{\substack{n\equiv 0 \pmod{q-1} \\ n\geqslant -i}} \binom{k-2-i}{n+i} t^n X^{i+n}Y^{k-2-i-n} \Bigg\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

To get nonzero values one needs $n + i = j$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{q-1}$, so we write $i = j + h(q-1)$ for some $h \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ (note also that in any case $(-1)^{i+1} = (-1)^{j+1}$) and get

$$\begin{aligned} &U(\mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e}))(X^iY^{k-2-i}) \\ &\quad= -t^{i+1}\mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e})\left(\binom{k-2-j-h(q-1)}{-h(q-1)}t^{-h(q-1)}X^jY^{k-2-j}\right. \\ &\qquad\left.+(-1)^{i+1}\binom{k-2-j-h(q-1)}{j}t^{-h(q-1)}X^jY^{k-2-j}\right) \\ &\quad= -t^{j+1}\left[\binom{k-2-j-h(q-1)}{-h(q-1)} + (-1)^{j+1}\binom{k-2-j-h(q-1)}{j}\right]\mathbf{c}_i(\overline{e})(X^iY^{k-2-i}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that many coefficients would be 0, for example $\binom{k-2-j-h(q-1)}{-h(q-1)}$ vanishes for any $h > 0$ and $\binom{k-2-j-h(q-1)}{j}$ vanishes whenever $k-2-j-h(q-1) < 0$, but this is useful for a general formula.

Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(\mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e})) = -(-t)^{j+1}\binom{k-2-j}{j}\mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e}) - t^{j+1}\sum_{h\neq 0}\Bigg[&\binom{k-2-j-h(q-1)}{-h(q-1)} \\ &+(-1)^{j+1}\binom{k-2-j-h(q-1)}{j}\Bigg]\mathbf{c}_{j+h(q-1)}(\overline{e}) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

(where it is understood that $\mathbf{c}_{j+h(q-1)}(\overline{e}) \equiv 0$ whenever $j + h(q-1) < 0$ or $j + h(q-1) > k-2$).

4. Diagonalizability of U and inseparable eigenvalues

From formula (6) one immediately notes that the $\mathbf{c}_j$ can be divided into classes modulo $q-1$ and every such class is stable under the action of U. We shall denote by C_j the class of $\mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e})$, i.e, $C_j = \{\mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e}), \mathbf{c}_{j+(q-1)}(\overline{e}), \dots\}$: the cardinality of C_j is the largest integer n such that $j+(n-1)(q-1) \leqslant k-2$ (note that it is possible to have $|C_j| = 0$, exactly when $j > k-2$). Reordering the basis in the following way $\mathcal{B}_k^1(\Gamma_1(t)) = \{C_0, C_1, \dots, C_{q-2}\}$ one has that the matrix associated to the action of U has (at most) $q-1$ blocks (of dimensions $|C_j|$, $0 \leqslant j \leqslant q-2$) on the diagonal and 0 everywhere else. Obviously the matrix is diagonalizable if and only if each block is. In particular $|C_j| \leqslant 1$ (i.e., $j + (q-1) > k-2$) always yields a diagonal (or empty) block.

4.1. Diagonalizability of U: $k \leqslant q+3$.

We have the following

Theorem 4.1. *With notations as above, we have*

1. *if $k \leqslant q$, then U is diagonal;*
2. *if $k = q+1, q+2$, then U is diagonalizable.*

Proof. **1.** $\underline{k \leqslant q}$**.**
In this case, for any j, one has $j + (q-1) \geqslant q-1 > k-2$. Hence (6) reduces to

$$U(\mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e})) = -(-t)^{j+1}\binom{k-2-j}{j}\mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e}) \quad \text{for any } 0 \leqslant j \leqslant k-2$$

and we can use those $\mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e})$ as a basis of eigenvectors for U.

Now we deal with the other cases but, to shorten proofs and notations, we shall only consider classes of cardinality $\geqslant 2$ and we shall drop the $\overline{e}$ from now on.

2a. $\underline{k = q+1}$**.**
The unique class of cardinality $\geqslant 2$ is $C_0 = \{\mathbf{c}_0, c_{q-1}\}$. Formula (6) yields

$$U(\mathbf{c}_0) = t\,(\mathbf{c}_0 + \mathbf{c}_{q-1})$$

and

$$U(\mathbf{c}_{q-1}) = -t^q\,(1 + (-1)^q)\,\mathbf{c}_0 = 0$$

(note that this holds for q even as well). The associated matrix

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ t & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is obviously diagonalizable.

2b. $\underline{k = q+2}$**.**
In this case we still have classes of cardinality one, namely C_j with $2 \leqslant j \leqslant q-2$. But for the remaining ones we need to distinguish between $q = 2$ and $q \geqslant 3$.
If $q \neq 2$ we have two more classes of cardinality 2, namely $C_0 = \{\mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_{q-1}\}$ and $C_1 = \{\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_q\}$.
If $q = 2$ we just have one class (as it always happens with $q = 2$), namely $C_0 = \{\mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2\}$.

If $q \neq 2$, for the class C_0 we have the same matrix of case **2a**.
For the class C_1 one has

$$U\mathbf{c}_1 = t^2\mathbf{c}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad U\mathbf{c}_q = -t^{q+1}\mathbf{c}_1\,,$$

with associated (diagonalizable) matrix

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} t^2 & -t^{q+1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $q = 2$ the action on the unique class C_0 is given by

$$U\mathbf{c}_0 = t\,(\mathbf{c}_0 + \mathbf{c}_1 + \mathbf{c}_2)\,,$$
$$U\mathbf{c}_1 = t^2\mathbf{c}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad U\mathbf{c}_2 = t^3\mathbf{c}_1\,,$$

with associated (diagonalizable) matrix

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & 0 \\ t & t^2 & t^3 \\ t & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \qquad \square$$

Remark 4.2. For double cusp forms just observe that when $k \leqslant q+2$ all classes have cardinality (at most) 1.

The first non diagonalizable matrix appears for $k = q+3$. Most of the following theorem can also be obtained as a particular case of the results of Section 6, we decided to keep it because

- it is the first example of non diagonalizability;
- it already presents the features which lead to Conjecture 4.9 and it inspired all the results of the following subsections;
- it includes the $q = 2$ case which, due to the presence of only one class, is always difficult to treat as k grows.

Theorem 4.3. *With notations as above, let $k = q+3$, then U is diagonalizable if and only if q is odd.*

Proof. To emphasize the classes modulo $q-1$, we write

$$k-2 = q+1 = \begin{cases} (q-1)+2 & \text{if } q \geqslant 4 \\ 2(q-1) & \text{if } q = 3 \\ 3(q-1) & \text{if } q = 2 \end{cases}.$$

We are going to treat these three cases separately.

Case $q \geqslant 4$. The (nontrivial) classes are $C_0 := \{\mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_{q-1}\}$, $C_1 := \{\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_q\}$ and $C_2 := \{\mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{c}_{q+1}\}$.
On cocycles of the class C_0 we have

$$U\mathbf{c}_0 = t\mathbf{c}_0 + t\mathbf{c}_{q-1} \quad \text{and} \quad U\mathbf{c}_{q-1} = 0\,,$$

with associated (diagonalizable) matrix

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ t & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For the class C_1 we obtain

$$U\mathbf{c}_1 = -t^2\mathbf{c}_q \quad \text{and} \quad U\mathbf{c}_q = -t^{q+1}\mathbf{c}_1\,,$$

with associated antidiagonal matrix

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -t^{q+1} \\ -t^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is diagonalizable if and only if q is odd, indeed for even q we have a single eigenvalue $\sqrt{t^{q+3}} = \sqrt{t^k}$ (inseparable over $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$) with multiplicity 2 and associated eigenspace of dimension 1, so the matrix is not diagonalizable.
Finally, for the class C_2 we have

$$U\mathbf{c}_2 = t^3\binom{q-1}{2}\mathbf{c}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad U\mathbf{c}_{q+1} = -t^{q+2}\mathbf{c}_2\,,$$

with associated (diagonalizable) matrix

$$M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \binom{q-1}{2}t^3 & -t^{q+2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(note that $\binom{q-1}{2} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for any odd or even $q = p^r \geqslant 4$).

Case $q = 3$. Since $k-2 = 2(q-1) = 4$ we have only two classes: $C_0 = \{\mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{c}_4\}$ and $C_1 = \{\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_3\}$. For the class C_0 we have

$$U\mathbf{c}_0 = t\mathbf{c}_0 + t\mathbf{c}_2 + t\mathbf{c}_4\,,$$
$$U\mathbf{c}_2 = t^3\mathbf{c}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad U\mathbf{c}_4 = -t^5\mathbf{c}_2\,,$$

with associated (diagonalizable) matrix

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & 0 \\ t & t^3 & -t^5 \\ t & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For C_1 we have

$$U\mathbf{c}_1 = -t^2\mathbf{c}_3 \quad \text{and} \quad U\mathbf{c}_3 = -t^4\mathbf{c}_1\,,$$

with associated (diagonalizable) matrix

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -t^4 \\ -t^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Case $q = 2$. Here $k = 5$ and we have only one class, namely $C_0 := \{\mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2, \mathbf{c}_3\}$. With calculations as above, it is easy to see that the associated matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ t & 0 & t^3 & t^4 \\ t & t^2 & 0 & t^4 \\ t & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with characteristic polynomial $X(X+t)(X^2+t^5)$. There is an inseparable (over $\mathbb{F}_2(t)$) eigenvalue (namely $\sqrt{t^5}$) with multiplicity 2 and the matrix is not diagonalizable. □

Remark 4.4. When dealing with double cusp forms for $k = q+3$ we loose the elements $\mathbf{c}_0$ and $\mathbf{c}_{q+1}$ and we have only the class C_1 (which coincides with C_0 for $q = 2$) with two elements. In particular:

for $q \geqslant 3$ the class C_1 is untouched with its antidiagonal matrix which is diagonalizable if and only if q is odd;

for $q = 2$ we loose the external frame of the matrix (i.e., the first and last rows and columns) and are left with the non diagonalizable antidiagonal matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^3 \\ t^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

4.2. **Inseparable eigenvalues and non diagonalizability.** The main features of the $k = q+3$ case that we are going to meet again later on are the following

1. non diagonalizability seems to depend on the presence of an inseparable eigenvalue (see Theorem 4.6);
2. more precisely (or as an alternative explanation) non diagonalizability seems to depend on a switch between elements of the basis providing an antidiagonal block in the matrix, such block is diagonalizable if and only if q is odd (see Theorems 4.7, 7.2 and 7.6);
3. blocks of dimension 2 are obviously easier to check and they are diagonalizable in odd characteristic (see Theorem 6.2) but not in even characteristic in general (see Theorems 6.4 and 6.5);
4. the 4×4 matrix provided by the $q = 2$ case (which includes a switch between $\mathbf{c}_1$ and $\mathbf{c}_2$) appears again (in a generalized form) for the class C_0 (see Theorem 7.6).

We would like to point out the role of inseparability in our context. Let K be any field and denote by $\mathcal{M}_n(K)$ the $n \times n$ matrices with coefficients in K.

Definition 4.5. The *minimal polynomial* of $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$ is the non-zero monic polynomial $f \in K[X]$ with least degree such that $f(A) = 0$.

The minimal and characteristic polynomial of $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(K)$ have the same irreducible factors in $K[X]$ (see [5, Corollary 4.10]) and A is diagonalizable over K if and only if its minimal polynomial in $K[X]$ splits in $K[X]$ and has distinct roots ([5, Theorem 4.11]).
We have the following

Theorem 4.6. *Let V be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}_\infty$-vector space and $T : V \to V$ be a linear operator defined over F. If the characteristic polynomial of T has a root α which is inseparable over F, then T is not diagonalizable over $\mathbb{C}_\infty$.*

Proof. Obviously the minimal polynomial of A belongs to $F[X]$, therefore it is divisible by the minimal polynomial of α over F. It now suffice to note that the minimal polynomial does not depend on the base field, hence it does not have distinct roots in $\mathbb{C}_\infty$. □

The above theorem applies with $V = S_k^1(\Gamma_1(t))$ and $T = U$ and emphasizes the role of the inseparable factor $X^2 + t^k$ in the proofs of Theorems 4.1 and 4.3 for odd k and characteristic 2.

From now on we will use the term "inseparable" to mean "inseparable over F" and finding an inseparable eigenvalue will be our proof of non diagonalizability. In particular we have the following *switch* result

Corollary 4.7. *Assume there exist j, v and w such that U switches $\mathbf{c}_{j+(v-1)(q-1)}$ and $\mathbf{c}_{j+(w-1)(q-1)}$ and that $2j + (v + w - 2)(q - 1) + 2$ is odd. Then the matrix associated with the action of U on C_j is not diagonalizable.*

Remark 4.8. The coefficients of $U\mathbf{c}_{j+(v-1)(q-1)}$ appear in the vth column and the power of t appearing in the vth column is $t^{j+(v-1)(q-1)+1}$. Hence in this setting *switch* means

$$U\mathbf{c}_{j+(v-1)(q-1)} = t^{j+(v-1)(q-1)+1}\mathbf{c}_{j+(w-1)(q-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad U\mathbf{c}_{j+(w-1)(q-1)} = t^{j+(w-1)(q-1)+1}\mathbf{c}_{j+(v-1)(q-1)}\,.$$

Proof. Rearranging the elements of the basis in order to have $\mathbf{c}_{j+(v-1)(q-1)}$ and $\mathbf{c}_{j+(w-1)(q-1)}$ in the first two places, we can write the associated matrix as

$$M_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{j+(v-1)(q-1)+1} & * & \cdots & * \\ t^{j+(w-1)(q-1)+1} & 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & & M_j' & \\ 0 & 0 & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial is $(X^2 + t^{2j+(v+w-2)(q-1)+2})\det(M_j' - XI)$ and, by hypothesis, has an inseparable root of multiplicity at least 2. □

For larger values of k we are not able to provide a general argument which can tell us if U is diagonalizable or not. Anyway, we used the software Mathematica ([20]) to calculate the characteristic polynomials of about 250 matrices associated with U for q even up to 2^8 and odd $k \geqslant q + 3$. The collection of these polynomials can be downloaded at the following link [click here]. These characteristic polynomials are always divisible by the inseparable factor $X^2 + t^k$. Then, we are naturally led to make the following conjecture

Conjecture 4.9. *Let q be even, then, for any odd $k \geqslant q + 3$, U is not diagonalizable.*

In the following sections we are going to see some results which support our conjecture.

5. Lemmas on binomial coefficients

Here we collect some useful lemmas on the p-adic valuations of binomial coefficients, that will come in handy in later applications.

Lemma 5.1. *(Lucas's Theorem) Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \leqslant n$ and write their p-adic expansions as $n = n_0 + n_1 p + \cdots + n_d p^d$, $m = m_0 + m_1 p + \cdots + m_d p^d$. Then*

$$\binom{n}{m} \equiv \binom{n_0}{m_0}\binom{n_1}{m_1}\dots\binom{n_d}{m_d} \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. See [6] or [14]. □

Denote by $[x]$ the floor function, i.e., the largest integer smaller than or equal to the number x, then the p-adic valuation of $n!$ is

$$v_p(n!) = \sum_{i \geqslant 1} \left[\frac{n}{p^i}\right].$$

We use this for the computations on the binomial coefficients we are interested in. Note that all the following holds for $q = 2^r$ as well (i.e., in characteristic 2).

Lemma 5.2. *Let a be an integer such that $p^m \leqslant a < p^{m+1}$ with $m \geqslant v_p(q)$ and, for any $i = 1, \dots, m$, write $a = a_i p^i + b_i$ with $0 \leqslant b_i \leqslant p^i - 1$. Then*

$$v_p((a-q)!) = v_p(a!) - v_p(q!) - |\{i \geqslant v_p(q) + 1 \,:\, b_i < q\}|.$$

Proof. For any $i \leqslant v_p(q)$ one has $a - q = (a_i - p^{v_p(q)-i})p^i + b_i$. For any $i \geqslant v_p(q) + 1$ one has $a - q = a_i p^i + (b_i - q)$ but, since the remainder has to be non negative, we write

$$a - q = \begin{cases} a_i p^i + (b_i - q) & \text{if } b_i \geqslant q \\ (a_i - 1)p^i + (b_i + p^i - q) & \text{if } b_i < q \end{cases}$$

(note that everything stops at $i = m$ where we still have $a_m \geqslant 1$). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} v_p((a-q)!) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[\frac{a-q}{p^i}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{v_p(q)} (a_i - p^{v_p(q)-i}) + \sum_{\substack{i=v_p(q)+1 \\ b_i \geqslant q}}^{m} a_i + \sum_{\substack{i=v_p(q)+1 \\ b_i < q}}^{m} (a_i - 1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i - \sum_{i=1}^{v_p(q)} p^{v_p(q)-i} - \sum_{\substack{i=v_p(q)+1 \\ b_i < q}}^{m} 1 \\ &= v_p(a!) - v_p(q!) - |\{i \geqslant v_p(q) + 1 \,:\, b_i < q\}|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 5.3. *If $q \leqslant a < pq$, then $v_p((a-q)!) = v_p(a!) - v_p(q!)$, i.e., $v_p\left(\binom{a}{q}\right) = 0$.*

Proof. Easy consequence of the previous lemma (the last statement follows easily from Lucas's Theorem as well). □

Lemma 5.4. *Let $1 \leqslant a < rq$ with $1 \leqslant r \leqslant p - 1$, then*

$$v_p((rq-a)!) = v_p((rq)!) - v_p(a!) - (v_p(q) - v_p(a)) = r v_p(q!) - v_p(a!) - (v_p(q) - v_p(a)).$$

Proof. As before write $a = a_i p^i + b_i$ with $0 \leqslant b_i \leqslant p^i - 1$ and note that

$$rq - a = (rp^{v_p(q)-i} - a_i)p^i - b_i = \begin{cases} (rp^{v_p(q)-i} - a_i)p^i & \text{if } b_i = 0 \\ (rp^{v_p(q)-i} - a_i - 1)p^i + (p^i - b_i) & \text{if } b_i > 0. \end{cases}$$

If $v_p(a) = n$, then $b_1 = b_2 = \cdots = b_n = 0$ (holds in an obvious sense if $n = 0$ as well) and $b_{n+1}, b_{n+2}, \dots, b_{v_p(q)}$ are all nonzero. Therefore

$$v_p((rq-a)!) = \sum_{i=1}^{v_p(a)} (rp^{v_p(q)-i} - a_i) + \sum_{i=v_p(a)+1}^{v_p(q)} (rp^{v_p(q)-i} - a_i - 1)$$

$$= v_p((rq)!) - v_p(a!) - (v_p(q) - v_p(a))\,.$$

The fact that $v_p((rq)!) = rv_p(q!)$ is obvious (recall r is prime with p, or see below). □

Lemma 5.5. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$ one has $v_p((nq)!) = nv_p(q!) + v_p(n!)$.*

Proof. For any $j \geqslant 1$ write $n = n_j p^j + m_j$ with $0 \leqslant m_j \leqslant p^j - 1$. Then, for any $i \leqslant v_p(q)$, we have $nq = np^{v_p(q)-i}p^i$, while, for $i = v_p(q) + j$ with $j \geqslant 1$, we write

$$nq = (n_j p^j + m_j)q = n_j p^{v_p(q)+j} + m_j q = n_j p^i + m_j q$$

where $m_j q < p^j q = p^i$. Hence

$$v_p((nq)!) = \sum_{i=1}^{v_p(q)} np^{v_p(q)-i} + \sum_{i>v_p(q)} n_{i-v_p(q)} = nv_p(q!) + v_p(n!)\,.$$

□

Lemma 5.6. *Let $1 \leqslant a < q$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$, then*

$$v_p((a + nq)!) = v_p(a!) + v_p((nq)!)\ .$$

Proof. Just write as usual $a = a_i p^i + b_i$ and $n = n_i p^i + m_i$ with $0 \leqslant b_i, m_j \leqslant p^i - 1$. Note that for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant v_p(q)$, one has $a + nq = (a_i + np^{v_p(q)-i})p^i + b_i$, while for any $i = v_p(q) + j$ with $j \geqslant 1$, we write

$$a + nq = n_j p^{v_p(q)+j} + (m_j q + a)\,,$$

where $m_j q + a \leqslant (p^j - 1)q + (q - 1) = p^i - 1$. The lemma follows. □

6. Diagonalizability of U: blocks of dimension 2

We shall consider only blocks of dimension 2 arising from classes C_j of order 2. Since some cases have already been checked we assume that $k > q+3$, hence, in particular, we do not consider here the case $q = 2$ because the unique class C_0 would have cardinality $k - 1 > 4$. To check diagonalizability we shall use mainly the following

Theorem 6.1. *Let $C_j = \{\mathbf{c}_j, \mathbf{c}_{j+(q-1)}\}$ (with $0 \leqslant j \leqslant q-2$) be a class of cardinality 2 with associated matrix M_j. If $\binom{k-2-j}{j} \neq 0$ or $\det(M_j) \neq 0$ and q odd, then the block M_j is diagonalizable.*

Proof. Note that $|C_j| = 2$ implies $j + 2(q-1) > k-2$, i.e., $k - 2 - j - (q-1) < q - 1$. Formula (6) yields

$$U\mathbf{c}_j = -(-t)^{j+1}\left[\binom{k-2-j}{j}\mathbf{c}_j + \binom{k-2-j-(q-1)}{j}\mathbf{c}_{j+(q-1)}\right]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} U\mathbf{c}_{j+(q-1)} = &- t^{j+(q-1)+1}\left[\binom{k-2-j}{q-1} + (-1)^{j+(q-1)+1}\binom{k-2-j}{j+(q-1)}\right]\mathbf{c}_j \\ &- (-t)^{j+(q-1)+1}\binom{k-2-j-(q-1)}{j+(q-1)}\mathbf{c}_{j+(q-1)}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in any characteristic $(-1)^{j+(q-1)+1} = (-1)^{j+1}$, moreover $k - 2 - j - (q-1) < q - 1$ implies $\binom{k-2-j-(q-1)}{j+(q-1)} = 0$. Therefore the associated matrix is

$$M_j = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha t^{j+1} & \gamma t^{j+q} \\ \beta t^{j+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\alpha := \binom{k-2-j}{j} \quad , \quad \beta := \binom{k-2-j-(q-1)}{j}$$

and

$$\gamma := \binom{k-2-j}{q-1} + (-1)^{j+1}\binom{k-2-j}{j+(q-1)}.$$

The characteristic polynomial is

$$\det(M_j - XI) = X^2 - \alpha t^{j+1}X - \beta\gamma t^{2j+q+1}$$

and, if $\alpha \neq 0$, this has distinct roots and is diagonalizable (in any characteristic). Indeed, this is obvious in characteristic 2 and in all other characteristics the polynomial can be a square only if $t^{2j+q+1} = (t^{j+1})^2$, i.e., $q-1=0$ a contradiction. If $\alpha = 0$ and $\det(M_j) \neq 0$, i.e., $\beta\gamma \neq 0$, then the matrix M_j still has distinct eigenvalues in odd characteristic (note that if q is even than we get only one eigenvalue with multiplicity 2 and inseparable because $2j+q+1$ is odd). □

Note that in this small dimension case, the powers of t have (almost) nothing to do with the diagonalizability of the matrices (but they decide if an eigenvalue is inseparable or not in characteristic 2): hence, from now on, we simply check whether the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \gamma \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ (still denoted M_j by a little abuse of notation) fits the description of Theorem 6.1.

6.1. **An estimate on γ.** Recall that

$$\gamma = \binom{k-2-j}{q-1} + (-1)^{j+1}\binom{k-2-j}{j+(q-1)}$$

and we are assuming the class C_j has cardinality 2, i.e., $j+2(q-1) > k-2$ or, equivalently, $j > k-2q$. Obviously $j=0$ implies $\gamma = 0$ as well.
Note that

$$\binom{k-2-j}{q-1} = \frac{(k-2-j)!}{(q-1)!(k-1-j-q)!} = \frac{q}{k-1-j}\binom{k-1-j}{q}.$$

- This is 0 if $k-1-j < q$, i.e., if $j > k-1-q$.
- This is 1 if $k-1-j = q$, i.e., if $j = k-1-q$.
- If $k-1-j > q$, i.e., if $j < k-1-q$, then Corollary 5.3 yields $v_p\left(\binom{k-1-j}{q}\right) = 0$, so it all depends on $v_p\left(\frac{q}{k-1-j}\right)$ which is positive unless $k-1-j \equiv 0 \pmod q$. The case $j = k-1-q$ has already been considered. The case $j = k-1-2q$ need not to be considered because we are assuming $j > k-2q$.

Hence

$$\binom{k-2-j}{q-1} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j \neq k-1-q \\ \\ 1 & \text{if } j = k-1-q\,. \end{cases}$$

For the other term one immediately checks that $j > \frac{k-q-1}{2}$, i.e., $k-2-j < j+(q-1)$ yields

$$\binom{k-2-j}{j+(q-1)} = 0$$

and, if $k-1-q$ is even, $j = \frac{k-q-1}{2}$ yields

$$\binom{k-2-j}{j+(q-1)} = 1.$$

Summarizing

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \frac{k-1-q}{2} < j \leqslant q-2 \text{ with } j \neq k-1-q \\ 1 & \text{if } j = k-1-q \\ (-1)^{j+1} & \text{if } k-1-q \text{ is even and } j = \frac{k-1-q}{2} \\ (-1)^{j+1}\binom{k-2-j}{j+(q-1)} & \text{if } 1 \leqslant j < \frac{k-1-q}{2} \\ 0 & \text{if } j = 0\,. \end{cases}$$

6.2. **Odd characteristic.** In this section we assume $p \geqslant 3$ and prove the following

Theorem 6.2. *Assume p is odd and $|C_j| = 2$. Then M_j is diagonalizable.*

Proof. We split the proof in various cases depending on j.

The class C_0.
It is easy to see that $\alpha = \beta = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$, hence M_0 is diagonalizable.

The class C_1.
If $\alpha = k-3$ is nonzero we are done (M_1 is diagonalizable by Theorem 6.1). If $k-3 \equiv 0 \pmod p$, then $\beta = k-2-j-(q-1) \equiv k-2 \pmod p$ is nonzero. Since $k > q+3$ one has $k-1-q > 2$, hence $j < \dfrac{k-1-q}{2}$ and $\gamma = \binom{k-3}{q}$. Now since $|C_1| = 2$ we have $k-2 < 1+2(q-1) = 2q-1$, i.e., $k < 2q+1$ and we can apply Corollary 5.3 to get $v_p(\gamma) = 0$, i.e., $\gamma \neq 0$ and $\det(M_1) = \beta\gamma \neq 0$. So the matrix M_1 is diagonalizable.

The class C_{k-1-q}.
Note that $0 \leqslant j \leqslant q-2$ yields $0 \leqslant k-1-q \leqslant q-2$, i.e., $q+1 \leqslant k \leqslant 2q-1$ (so, in particular, $v_p(k) < v_p(q)$). Now

$$\alpha = \binom{k-2-j}{j} = \binom{q-1}{k-1-q} = \frac{k-q}{q}\binom{q}{k-q}$$

and, recall p is odd, Lemma 5.4 yields

$$v_p((2q-k)!) = 2v_p(q!) - v_p(k!) - (v_p(q) - v_p(k))\,,$$

while Corollary 5.3 provides

$$v_p((k-q)!) = v_p(k!) - v_p(q!)\,.$$

Hence

$$v_p(\alpha) = v_p(k-q) - v_p(q) + v_p(q!) - v_p((k-q)!) - v_p((2q-k)!) = v_p(k-q) - v_p(k) = 0$$

because $v_p(k) < v_p(q)$ implies $v_p(k-q) = v_p(k)$. Therefore $\alpha \neq 0$ and M_{k-1-q} is diagonalizable.

The class C_j with $\dfrac{k-1-q}{2} < j \leqslant q-2$ and $j \neq k-1-q$.
We have seen above that $\gamma = 0$, moreover $j > \dfrac{k-1-q}{2}$ yields $j > k-2-j-(q-1)$ so

$$\beta = \binom{k-2-j-(q-1)}{j} = 0$$

as well. Therefore $\alpha \neq 0 \Longrightarrow M_j$ is diagonalizable by Theorem 6.1 and $\alpha = 0 \Longrightarrow M_j$ is the null matrix.

The class $C_{\frac{k-1-q}{2}}$, $j = \dfrac{k-1-q}{2}$ (assuming $k-1-q$ is even).
We have seen above that $\gamma = \pm 1$, moreover

$$k-2-j-(q-1) = k-2-\frac{k-1-q}{2}-q+1 = \frac{k-1-q}{2} = j$$

hence $\beta = 1$. Therefore M_j is diagonalizable (no matter the value of α).

The class C_j with $2 \leqslant j < \dfrac{k-1-q}{2}$.

We know that $\alpha \neq 0$ yields the diagonalizability of M_j so we assume $\alpha = 0$, i.e.,

$$v_p(\alpha) = v_p((k-2-j)!) - v_p(j!) - v_p((k-2-2j)!) > 0$$

from now on.

We need to check

$$v_p(\beta) = v_p((k-1-j-q)!) - v_p(j!) - v_p((k-1-2j-q)!)\,.$$

Recall that $|C_j| = 2$ implies $j > k - 2q$, therefore

$$k-1-j > k-1-2j > k-1-2\left(\frac{k-1-q}{2}\right) = q$$

and

$$k-1-2j < k-1-j < k-1-(k-2q) = 2q-1\,.$$

So we can apply Corollary 5.3 to get

$$v_p((k-1-j-q)!) = v_p((k-1-j)!) - v_p(q!) = v_p(k-1-j) + v_p((k-2-j)!) - v_p(q!)$$

and

$$v_p((k-1-2j-q)!) = v_p((k-1-2j)!) - v_p(q!) = v_p(k-1-2j) + v_p((k-2-2j)!) - v_p(q!)\,.$$

For γ we have

$$v_p(\gamma) = v_p((k-2-j)!) - v_p((j-1+q)!) - v_p((k-1-2j-q)!)$$

and Lemma 5.6 yields

$$v_p((j-1+q)!) = v_p((j-1)!) + v_p(q!) = v_p(j!) - v_p(j) + v_p(q!)\,.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} v_p(\beta) &= v_p(k-1-j) + v_p((k-2-j)!) - v_p(q!) - v_p(j!) - v_p(k-1-2j) - v_p((k-2-2j)!) + v_p(q!) \\ &= v_p(k-1-j) - v_p(k-1-2j) + v_p(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v_p(\gamma) &= v_p((k-2-j)!) - v_p(j!) + v_p(j) - v_p(q!) - v_p(k-1-2j) - v_p((k-2-2j)!) + v_p(q!) \\ &= v_p(j) - v_p(k-1-2j) + v_p(\alpha)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Finally note that

$$v_p(k-1-2j) = v_p(k-1-j-j) \geqslant \min\{v_p(k-1-j), v_p(j)\}$$

with equality holding whenever $v_p(k-1-j) \neq v_p(j)$. Thus

- $v_p(k-1-j) = v_p(j)$ implies $v_p(\beta) = v_p(\gamma)$, so $\beta = \gamma = 0$ (and M_j is the null matrix) or they are both nontrivial (i.e., $\det(M_j) \neq 0$) and the matrix $M_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is diagonalizable;
- $v_p(k-1-j) \neq v_p(j)$ implies $v_p(k-1-2j) = \min\{v_p(k-1-j), v_p(j)\}$ so
$$v_p(k-1-j) - v_p(k-1-2j) \geqslant 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v_p(j) - v_p(k-1-2j) \geqslant 0\,,$$
i.e., $v_p(\beta)$ and $v_p(\gamma)$ are $\geqslant v_p(\alpha) > 0$, hence $\beta = \gamma = 0$ and M_j is the null matrix. □

This settles all blocks of dimension 2. Note that it means that in odd characteristic U is diagonalizable for any $k \leqslant 2q-1$. For $k = 2q$ we only have to check the unique class of cardinality 3, i.e., C_0 and we do it here for completeness.

Theorem 6.3. *Assume p is odd, then U is diagonalizable for $k = 2q$.*

Proof. We check the class $C_0 = \{\mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_{q-1}, \mathbf{c}_{2q-2}\}$. Using formula (6) we get

$$U\mathbf{c}_0 = t(\mathbf{c}_0 + \mathbf{c}_{q-1} + \mathbf{c}_{2q-2}) \quad , \quad U\mathbf{c}_{q-1} = t^q\mathbf{c}_{q-1} \quad \text{and} \quad U\mathbf{c}_{2q-2} = -t^{2q-1}\mathbf{c}_{q-1}\,,$$

i.e.,

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} t & 0 & 0 \\ t & t^q & -t^{2q-1} \\ t & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with characteristic polynomial $\det(M_0 - XI) = (t-X)(t^q-X)(-X)$ (obviously diagonalizable). □

6.3. **Even characteristic.** In this section we assume q even and we shall see that the behaviour of U is quite different (even if the proof for the diagonalizable cases will be basically the same).

Theorem 6.4. *Assume $p = 2$, $|C_j| = 2$ and $k \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ (still with $k > q+3$). Then M_j is diagonalizable.*

Proof. For the classes C_0 and C_j with $j > \dfrac{k-1-q}{2}$ and $j \neq k-1-q$ the proofs are exactly the same of the ones in Theorem 6.2. For the class C_1 just note that $\alpha = k-3$ is odd hence nonzero, so M_1 is diagonalizable.

Since $k-1-q$ is odd, the case $j = \dfrac{k-1-q}{2}$ need not be considered [1].

For the case $2 \leqslant j < \dfrac{k-1-q}{2}$ the proof is again the same of the odd characteristic case, but note that now $v_2(k-1-j) \neq v_2(j)$ because k is even. Hence the case $M_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma \\ \beta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ (which would be non diagonalizable in characteristic 2) cannot happen.

We are left with the class C_{k-1-q}. As seen before we have

$$\alpha = \frac{k-q}{q}\binom{q}{k-q},\ \beta = 0 \text{ and } \gamma = 1\,.$$

Using Lemma 5.4 with $a = k-q$ and $r = 1$ (note that $0 \leqslant j \leqslant q-2$ yields $0 \leqslant k-1-q \leqslant q-2$, i.e., $1 \leqslant k-q < q$ and the lemma is applicable), one has

$$v_2((2q-k)!) = v_2(q!) - v_2((k-q)!) - (v_2(q) - v_2(k-q))\,.$$

Hence

$$v_2(\alpha) = v_2(k-q) - v_2(q) + v_2(q!) - v_2((k-q)!) - v_2((2q-k)!) = 0$$

and $\alpha \neq 0$, so that M_{k-1-q} is diagonalizable. □

As in the odd characteristic case, this settles all blocks of dimension 2, i.e., U is diagonalizable for any even $k < 2q-1$. For $k = 2q$ we only have to check the unique class of cardinality 3, i.e., C_0 and one sees that U is diagonalizable as well (proof identical to that of Theorem 6.3).

The situation is very different if we consider $k \equiv 1 \pmod 2$.

Theorem 6.5. *Assume $p = 2$, $k \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ (still with $k \geqslant q+3$) and $|C_{\frac{k-1-q}{2}}| = 2$. Then $M_{\frac{k-1-q}{2}}$ is not diagonalizable.*

Proof. Since $j = \dfrac{k-1-q}{2}$, it is easy to see that $\gamma = \beta = 1$. Note that

$$\alpha = \binom{k-2-j}{j} = \binom{\frac{k+q-3}{2}}{\frac{k-1-q}{2}} = \binom{\frac{k+q-3}{2}}{q-1} = \binom{k-2-j}{q-1}.$$

[1] This seems to be crucial, see Theorem 6.5 and Section 7.

We have seen in Section 6.1 that $\binom{k-2-j}{q-1} = 0$ unless $j = k-1-q$, and this is not the case because $k-1-q = \dfrac{k-1-q}{2}$ if and only if $k = q+1$ and we are assuming $k \geqslant q+3$. Therefore

$$M_{\frac{k-1-q}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is non diagonalizable in characteristic 2. □

Remark 6.6. Note that putting back the powers of t in the matrix above we get

$$M_{\frac{k-1-q}{2}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{\frac{k+q-1}{2}} \\ t^{\frac{k+1-q}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

with characteristic polynomial $\det(M_{\frac{k-1-q}{2}} - XI) = X^2 - t^k = (X - t^{\frac{k}{2}})^2$. Hence we always have an inseparable eigenvalue $\sqrt{t^k}$ of multiplicity 2.

This result covers more cases than Theorems 6.2 and 6.4, which prove diagonalizability of all 2×2 blocks but can only provide diagonalizability of U for $k \leqslant 2q-1$. The last theorem proves the non diagonalizability of U whenever $p = 2$, k odd with $k \geqslant q+3$ and $|C_{\frac{k-1-q}{2}}| = 2$. Since $j \leqslant q-2$ those conditions can be applied to all odd $k \leqslant 3q-3$ (the last case in which $j = \dfrac{k-1-q}{2} \leqslant q-2$ and $C_{\frac{k-1-q}{2}}$ has two elements). In the next section we are going to show that (a generalization of) the class $C_{\frac{k-1-q}{2}}$ is crucial for many more values of k in even characteristic.

7. Diagonalizability of U: blocks of even dimension in characteristic 2

We present here results similar to Theorem 6.5 which provide more evidence for our conjecture in even characteristic. All theorems will rely on direct computation of binomial coefficients and the bounds required for some of the parameters are actually sharp as we shall show with some examples. In the whole section we assume q is even and, because of Section 6, we can assume $k > 2q$.

7.1. **A special block for k odd.** For any odd $k > 2q$, dividing by $q-1$, we can write $k-2 = h(q-1)+r$ with $0 \leqslant r \leqslant q-2$.
If h is odd, then r is even, i.e., there exist an even $n = h+1 \geqslant 2$ and $j = \frac{r}{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$k-2 = (n-1)(q-1)+2j \quad \text{, i.e., } \quad k = 2j+2+(n-1)(q-1) \text{ with } 0 \leqslant j \leqslant \frac{q}{2}-1\,.$$

If h is even, then r is odd and we write $k-2 = (h-1)(q-1)+q-1+r$. Now $q-1+r$ is even with $q \leqslant q-1+r \leqslant 2q-4$ and there exist an even $n = h \geqslant 2$ and $j = \frac{q-1+r}{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$k = 2j+2+(n-1)(q-1) \text{ with } \frac{q}{2} \leqslant j \leqslant q-2\,.$$

Hence we can write (uniquely) any odd k in the form $k = 2j+2+(n-1)(q-1)$ for some even n and $0 \leqslant j \leqslant q-2$, moreover the class $C_j = C_{\frac{k-2-(n-1)(q-1)}{2}}$ (which is the same class used in Theorem 6.5 with $n = 2$) has exactly n elements. Indeed $j+(n-1)(q-1)$ is obviously $\leqslant k-2 = 2j+(n-1)(q-1)$ and

$$j+n(q-1) = k-2-j+(q-1) \geqslant k-2-(q-2)+(q-1) = k-1\,.$$

After a numerical research we believe that the class $C_{\frac{k-2-(n-1)(q-1)}{2}}$ is the crucial one for the non diagonalizability in characteristic 2. We collected at the following link [click here] the characteristic polynomials of these blocks. Every minimal polynomial is divisible by the inseparable factor X^2+t^k and the corresponding matrix is not diagonalizable. Hence we dare to state the following

Conjecture 7.1. *Let q be even and $k > 2q$ be odd. Write $k = 2j + 2 + (n-1)(q-1)$ for some even n and $0 \leqslant j \leqslant q-2$, then the matrix associated to the action of U on $C_j = C_{\frac{k-2-(n-1)(q-1)}{2}}$ admits the inseparable eigenvalue $\sqrt{t^k}$ and is non diagonalizable.*

Our previous section proved the $n = 2$ case and we shall see the case $n = 4$ in Section 7.4. Now we proceed to prove the conjecture in the particular case $n \leqslant j + 1$.

We denote by $M(j,n,q,t)$ the matrix associated to the action of U on C_j and by $M(j,n,q)$ the corresponding coefficient matrix (i.e., the one without the powers of t). Using formula (6) we see that the general entries of $M(j,n,q)$ are

$$m_{a,b} = \begin{cases} \binom{j+(n-a)(q-1)}{j+(a-1)(q-1)} & \text{if } a = b \\ \binom{j+(n-a)(q-1)}{j+(b-1)(q-1)} + \binom{j+(n-a)(q-1)}{j+(n-b)(q-1)} & \text{if } a \neq b \end{cases} . \tag{7}$$

It is easy to check that $M(j,n,q)$ satisfies some symmetry relations. In particular

1. $m_{a,n+1-a} = m_{a,a} + 1$ (relation between diagonal and antidiagonal);
2. $m_{a,b} = m_{a,n+1-b}$ for any $a \neq b, n+1-b$ (relation between columns).

Moreover, the elements on the antidiagonal for the first $\frac{n}{2}$ columns (i.e., the ones with $b = n+1-a$ and $\frac{n}{2} + 1 \leqslant a \leqslant n$, note that we do not need to check the other columns thanks to the above symmetries) are:

$$m_{a,n+1-a} = \binom{j + (n-a)(q-1)}{j + (n-a)(q-1)} + \binom{j + (n-a)(q-1)}{j + (a-1)(q-1)} = 1\,, \tag{8}$$

because $\frac{n}{2} + 1 \leqslant a \leqslant n$ implies $0 \leqslant n - a \leqslant a - 2$ and the second binomial coefficient vanishes. Observe also that below the antidiagonal, i.e., for $b > n + 1 - a$, we have

$$m_{a,b} = \binom{j + (n-a)(q-1)}{j + (b-1)(q-1)} + \binom{j + (n-a)(q-1)}{j + (n-b)(q-1)} = 0\,. \tag{9}$$

Indeed, the first binomial coefficient is zero because $b - 1 > n - a$ while the second is zero as well because $n - a \leqslant \frac{n}{2} - 1$ and $2 \leqslant b \leqslant \frac{n}{2}$ imply $n - b > n - a$.

Putting together these information we can see that for any even $n, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant q-2$ and $q = 2^r$ the matrix has the following shape

$$M(j,n,q) = \begin{pmatrix} m_{1,1} & m_{1,2} & \dots & m_{1,\frac{n}{2}} & m_{1,\frac{n}{2}} & \dots & m_{1,2} & m_{1,1}+1 \\ m_{2,1} & m_{2,2} & \dots & m_{2,\frac{n}{2}} & m_{2,\frac{n}{2}} & \dots & m_{2,2}+1 & m_{2,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ m_{\frac{n}{2},1} & m_{\frac{n}{2},2} & \dots & m_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}} & m_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}+1 & \dots & m_{\frac{n}{2},2} & m_{\frac{n}{2},1} \\ m_{\frac{n}{2}+1,1} & m_{\frac{n}{2}+1,2} & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & m_{\frac{n}{2}+1,2} & m_{\frac{n}{2}+1,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ m_{n-1,1} & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & m_{n-1,1} \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Finally note that a switch (in the sense of Corollary 4.7) between two elements of the basis is possible here if and only if U switches $\mathbf{c}_{j+(a-1)(q-1)}$ and $\mathbf{c}_{j+(n-a)(q-1)}$, because of the symmetry between columns. Since $2j + (a + n - a + 1 - 2)(q-1) + 2 = k$ is odd we can apply Corollary 4.7 to $M(j,n,q,t)$ every time we have a switch.

7.2. **Diagonalizability of $M(j,n,q)$: case $n \leqslant j+1$.** We can now prove the following

Theorem 7.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ even, $q = 2^r$ and $0 \leqslant j \leqslant q-2$. Then, for all $n \leqslant j+1$, the matrix $M(j,n,q)$ is antidiagonal and $M(j,n,q,t)$ is non diagonalizable (by Corollary 4.7).*

Proof. Thanks to the symmetries and the properties of the matrices $M(j,n,q)$ mentioned above we are going to analyze the general bth column only for $1 \leqslant b \leqslant \frac{n}{2}$ and above the antidiagonal (i.e., for $b < n+1-a$).
Let us start with the elements on the diagonal. We rewrite them as

$$m_{a,a} = \binom{(n-a)q + j + a - n}{(a-1)q + j - a + 1}.$$

Our hypotheses on j and n yield $0 \leqslant j + a - n, j - a + 1 < q$, hence, in order to use Lemma 5.1, we can write the 2-adic expansion of the terms in the binomial coefficient as

$$(n-a)q + j + a - n = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 2 + \cdots + \alpha_{r-1}2^{r-1} + (n-a)2^r;$$
$$(a-1)q + j - a + 1 = \delta_0 + \delta_1 2 + \cdots + \delta_{r-1}2^{r-1} + (a-1)2^r.$$

If there exists i such that $\binom{\alpha_i}{\delta_i} = \binom{0}{1}$, then $m_{a,a}$ is zero. Otherwise, if for any $\delta_i = 1$ we have $\alpha_i = 1$, then $j + 1 - a \leqslant j + a - n$, i.e., $a - 1 > n - a$ and $m_{a,a} = 0$ as well (observe that the equality $2a = n + 1$ cannot happen because $n + 1$ is odd).
The other $m_{a,b}$ are

$$m_{a,b} = \binom{(n-a)q + j + a - n}{(n-b)q + j + b - n} + \binom{(n-a)q + j + a - n}{(b-1)q + j - b + 1}.$$

As before, $j + a - n, j - b + 1, j + b - n < q$ and all of them are non-negative. Thus, the 2-adic expansions of the terms involved in the coefficients are

$$(n-a)q + j + a - n = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 2 + \cdots + \alpha_{r-1}2^{r-1} + (n-a)2^r;$$
$$(b-1)q + j - b + 1 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 2 + \cdots + \beta_{r-1}2^{r-1} + (b-1)2^r;$$
$$(n-b)q + j + b - n = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 2 + \cdots + \gamma_{r-1}2^{r-1} + (n-b)2^r.$$

By Lemma 5.1 we have that

- if there exists i such that $\binom{\alpha_i}{\beta_i} = \binom{0}{1}$, then the second binomial coefficient is zero;
- if there exists i such that $\binom{\alpha_i}{\gamma_i} = \binom{0}{1}$, then the first binomial coefficient is zero.

Otherwise for the first binomial coefficient assume that for any $\gamma_i = 1$ one has $\alpha_i = 1$. Then

$$\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 2 + \cdots + \gamma_{r-1}2^{r-1} \leqslant \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 2 + \cdots + \alpha_{r-1}2^{r-1},$$

which means $j + b - n \leqslant j + a - n$, so $n - b \geqslant n - a$. This implies that the first binomial coefficient is zero (observe that the equality $a = b$ cannot happen here, the entry $m_{a,a}$ has already been treated). For the second binomial coefficient assume that for any $\beta_i = 1$ one has $\alpha_i = 1$. Then $j - b + 1 \leqslant j + a - n$, i.e., $b \geqslant n + 1 - a$, a contradiction to our assumption of being above the antidiagonal. □

Remark 7.3. Observe that thanks to the hypotheses on j being greater or equal to $n-1$, in the class C_j we will never find $\mathbf{c}_0$. Moreover $\mathbf{c}_{k-2} = \mathbf{c}_{2j+(n-1)(q-1)} \in C_j$ if and only if $2j \equiv j \pmod{q-1}$, i.e., $j = 0$. This means that if we consider double cusp forms, the corresponding matrices for the particular blocks considered in Theorem 7.2 are exactly the same.

Remark 7.4. For an odd prime p one only has to note that $k = 2j + 2 + (n-1)(q-1)$ is always even. As before one can write any even k in that form (with an even n and $0 \leqslant j \leqslant q-2$) and use exactly the same proof to check that $M(j,n,p^r)$ is antidiagonal for $n \leqslant j + 1$ (just note that we have 0 for the binomial coefficient whenever $\binom{\alpha_i}{\beta_i}$ is such that $\alpha_i < \beta_i$ for at least one i, and that $a_i \geqslant \beta_i$ for all i yields inequalities similar to the previous ones). Obviously an antidiagonal matrix is diagonalizable in odd characteristic but, in this case, we simply proved that the block associated to the action of U on C_j is diagonalizable and, for the general result, we still need to check the remaining $q-2$ blocks.

Example 7.5. The following matrices show that the bound in Theorem 7.2 is sharp. Fix $q = 8$ and $j = 3$ and consider the matrices for $n = 4$ (antidiagonal) and $n = 6$ (not antidiagonal)

$$M(3,4,8) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M(3,6,8) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Another example is provided by $q = 8$, $j = 6$ with $n = 6$ (antidiagonal) and $n = 8$ (not antidiagonal)

$$M(6,6,8) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M(6,8,8) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is not hard to check that both $M(3,6,8,t)$ and $M(6,8,8,t)$ are non diagonalizable and admit the inseparable eigenvalue $\sqrt{t^k}$.

7.3. **Diagonalizability of $M(j,n,q)$: case $j = 0$.** The previous Theorem 7.2 leaves out many cases especially for small values of j because of the bound $n \leqslant j+1$.
For the particular case of $j = 0$ (where the above theorem does not apply at all) we have, for example,

$$M(0,4,q) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for any } q$$

and

$$M(0,6,q) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for any } q \geqslant 4\,.$$

Other numerical computations lead us to think that this could be generalized in the following

Theorem 7.6. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be even and $n \geqslant 4$, $q = 2^r$ and $j = 0$. Then, for all $n \leqslant q+2$, the matrix $M(0,n,q)$ has the following entries*

(1) $m_{a,1} = 1$ *for* $1 \leqslant a \leqslant n$;
(2) $m_{a,b} = 0$ *for* $1 \leqslant a \leqslant n-2$, $2 \leqslant b \leqslant \frac{n}{2}$ *and* $b < n+1-a$,

i.e.,

$$M(0,n,q) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \vdots & & \iddots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \iddots & & \vdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. We have already checked above that

- for $\frac{n}{2}+1 \leqslant a \leqslant n$ one has $m_{a,n+1-a} = 1$ (the antidiagonal);
- for $b > n+1-a$, $\frac{n}{2}+1 \leqslant a \leqslant n$, one has $m_{a,b} = 0$ (below the antidiagonal).

In the first column, the elements $m_{a,1}$, $1 \leqslant a \leqslant n-1$ are the following

- $m_{1,1} = \binom{(n-1)(q-1)}{0} = 1$;
- for any $a \geqslant 2$, $m_{a,1} = \binom{(n-a)(q-1)}{(n-1)(q-1)} + \binom{(n-a)(q-1)}{0} = 1$.

In the first row the elements $m_{1,b}$, $2 \leqslant b \leqslant \frac{n}{2}$ are

$$m_{1,b} = \binom{(n-1)(q-1)}{(b-1)(q-1)} + \binom{(n-1)(q-1)}{(n-b)(q-1)} = 2\binom{(n-1)(q-1)}{(b-1)(q-1)} = 0\,.$$

We are now going to check the entries $m_{a,b}$ with $2 \leqslant a \leqslant n-2$, $b < n+1-a$ and $2 \leqslant b \leqslant \frac{n}{2}$.
We begin with elements on the diagonal. Write $m_{a,a}$ as

$$m_{a,a} = \binom{(n-a)(q-1)}{(a-1)(q-1)} = \binom{(n-a-1)q+q+a-n}{(a-2)q+q-a+1}.$$

By our hypotheses on n and q and our current bounds on a, we have $0 \leqslant q+a-n, q+1-a < q$. Hence we can write the 2-adic expansions as

$$(n-a-1)q+q+a-n = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 2 + \cdots + \alpha_{r-1}2^{r-1} + (n-a-1)2^r$$
$$(a-2)q+q-a+1 = \beta_0 + \beta_1 2 + \cdots + \beta_{r-1}2^{r-1} + (a-2)2^r\,.$$

If there exists i such that $\beta_i = 1$ and $\alpha_i = 0$, then $m_{a,a} = 0$. If for any $\beta_i = 1$ we have $\alpha_i = 1$, then $q+a-n \geqslant q-a+1$ and this means that $a \geqslant \frac{n}{2}+1$. Since we are checking only elements in the diagonal for the first $\frac{n}{2}$ columns we have that $m_{a,a} = 0$ for $2 \leqslant a \leqslant \frac{n}{2}$.
Let us see what happens to the elements outside the diagonal. We write the general entry as

$$m_{a,b} = \binom{(n-a-1)q+q+a-n}{(b-2)q+q-b+1} + \binom{(n-a-1)q+q+a-n}{(n-b-1)q+q+b-n}.$$

As before the hypotheses on n and q and the current bounds on a and b allow us to write the 2-adic expansions as

$$(n-a-1)q+q+a-n = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 2 + \cdots + \alpha_{r-1}2^{r-1} + (n-a-1)2^r;$$
$$(b-2)q+q-b+1 = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 2 + \cdots + \gamma_{r-1}2^{r-1} + (b-2)2^r;$$
$$(n-b-1)q+q+b-n = \delta_0 + \delta_1 2 + \cdots + \delta_{r-1}2^{r-1} + (n-b-1)2^r\,.$$

If there exists i such that $\gamma_i = 1$ and $\alpha_i = 0$, then the first binomial coefficient is zero. If for any i such that $\gamma_i = 1$ we have $\alpha_i = 1$, then $a+q-n$ must be greater than $q-b+1$. This cannot happen because it would imply that $b \geqslant n+1-a$ which is outside our current range.
If there exists i such that $\delta_i = 1$ and $\alpha_i = 0$, then the second binomial coefficient is zero. If for any i such that $\delta_i = 1$ we have $\alpha_i = 1$, then $q+a-n \geqslant q+b-n$, i.e., $a \geqslant b$ and so $(n-a)(q-1) < (n-b)(q-1)$. Therefore, the second binomial coefficient is 0 as well. □

Corollary 7.7. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be even and $n \geqslant 4$, $q = 2^r$ and $j = 0$. Then, for all $n \leqslant q+2$, the matrix $M(0,n,q,t)$ is not diagonalizable.*

Proof. Easy consequence of Theorem 7.6 and Corollary 4.7. □

Remark 7.8. We observe that in the class C_0 we will always find the cocycles $\mathbf{c}_0$ and $\mathbf{c}_{k-2} = \mathbf{c}_{(n-1)(q-1)}$. Deleting first and last columns and first and last rows of the matrix in Theorem 7.6 we will get the corresponding matrix for double cusp forms, which is antidiagonal and then non diagonalizable.

Example 7.9. The following matrices show that the bound on n is as accurate as possible. Indeed, for $j = 0$ and $n = q + 4$ the form is different from the one in the above theorem.

$$M(0,6,2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M(0,8,4) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is not hard to check that both $M(0,6,2,t)$ and $M(0,8,4,t)$ are non diagonalizable and admit the inseparable eigenvalue $\sqrt{t^k}$.

Remark 7.10. We recall that the case $k \leqslant q$ was trivial. The previous theorems enlarge the set of k for which we are able to check the diagonalizability of U, but the bound for n in Theorem 7.2 (resp. Theorem 7.6) implies that the largest value of k for which it is applicable is $k = 2(q-2) + 2 + (q-3)(q-1) = (q-1)^2$ (resp. $k = 2 + (q+1)(q-1) = q^2 + 1$).

7.4. **Blocks of dimension 4 in characteristic 2.** We put here another result providing more evidence for Conjecture 7.1, i.e., a few more cases of non diagonalizability for small values of j.

Theorem 7.11. *Assume $p = 2$ and $k \equiv 1 \pmod 2$ such that $k = 2j + 2 + 3(q-1)$ (i.e., we fix $n = 4$ and now $k > 3q - 3$). Then $|C_j| = 4$ and $M(j,4,q,t)$ is not diagonalizable.*

Proof. The coefficient matrix is the following

$$M(j,4,q) = \begin{pmatrix} \binom{j+3q-3}{j} & \binom{j+3q-3}{q-1} + \binom{j+3q-3}{j+q-1} & m_{1,2} & m_{1,1}+1 \\ \binom{j+2q-2}{j} & \binom{j+2q-2}{j+q-1} & m_{2,2}+1 & m_{2,1} \\ \binom{j+q-1}{j} & 1 & 0 & m_{3,1} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so we only need to check 5 coefficients. Moreover we only consider $1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2$, because for $j \geqslant 3$ we already have Theorem 7.2 and for $j = 0$ we have Theorem 7.6.
Details of the computations are similar to the previous cases, in particular Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6 are enough for the binomials appearing here.
We have

$$m_{1,1} = \binom{j+3q-3}{j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } j = 2 \end{cases};$$

(one little remark: $j = 2$ implies $q \geqslant 4$, hence $j = q = 2$ which would yield $\binom{j+3q-3}{j} = \binom{5}{2} = 0$ cannot happen, similar remarks apply in other computations)

$$m_{1,2} = \binom{j+3q-3}{q-1} + \binom{j+3q-3}{j+q-1} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } j = 2 \end{cases};$$

$$m_{2,1} = \binom{j+2q-2}{j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } j = 2 \end{cases};$$

$$m_{2,2} = \binom{j+2q-2}{j+q-1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } j = 2 \end{cases};$$

$$m_{3,1} = \binom{j+q-1}{j} = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2\,.$$

The associated (coefficient) matrices are

$$M(1,4,q) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } M(2,4,q) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Putting back the powers of t it is easy to see that the $M(i,4,q,t)$ $i = 1,2$ admit the eigenvalue $\sqrt{t^k}$ and are not diagonalizable. □


8. Cusp forms for $\Gamma(t)$

The quotient graph $\Gamma(t)\backslash\mathcal{T}$ has $q+1$ cusps corresponding to $[1:0]$ (the cusp at infinity) and $[r:1]$, $r \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Moreover, $g(\Gamma(t)) = 0$ (see [11, Corollary 5.8]) so, applying Theorem 2.3, we find:

1. $\dim_{\mathbb{C}_\infty} S_k^1(\Gamma(t)) = q(k-1)$;

2. $\dim_{\mathbb{C}_\infty} S_k^2(\Gamma(t)) = \begin{cases} 0 & k = 2 \\ q(k-2)-1 & k > 2 \end{cases}$.

Here is a picture of the fundamental domain:



Put $e_r := e_{-1,rt}$ for any $r \in \mathbb{F}_q$, so that

$$\overline{e}_r := \overline{e}_{-1,rt} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & rt \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{1}{t} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(note that $\overline{e}_0$ is the fundamental edge $\overline{e}$ of the Section 3). By harmonicity we have

$$\mathbf{c}(e_{0,0}) + \sum_{r\in\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbf{c}(\overline{e}_r) = 0$$

or, equivalently,

$$\mathbf{c}(\overline{e}_{0,0}) + \sum_{r\in\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbf{c}(e_r) = \mathbf{c}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) + \sum_{r\in\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbf{c}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & rt \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}\right) = 0\,.$$

It is easy to see that the vertex $v_{0,0}$ and all the edges of the fundamental domain are stable.

Take the following set as a basis for our cuspidal forms

$$\{\mathbf{c}_{j,r} \,:\, 0 \leqslant j \leqslant k-2\,,\, r \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$$

where we put

$$\mathbf{c}_{j,r}(\overline{e}_s)((X-uY)^iY^{k-2-i}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s=r=u \text{ and } i=j \\ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

For the edge at infinity $e_{0,0}$, since our U-operator is linear we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(\mathbf{c}_{j,r}(e_{0,0}))((X-uY)^iY^{k-2-i}) &= U\left(-\sum_{s\in\mathbb{F}_q}\mathbf{c}_{j,r}(\overline{e}_s)\right)((X-uY)^iY^{k-2-i}) \\ &= -\sum_{s\in\mathbb{F}_q} U(\mathbf{c}_{j,r}(\overline{e}_s))((X-uY)^iY^{k-2-i}) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and we can recover its value from the others. Hence we only have to compute

$$U(\mathbf{c}_{j,r}(e_s))((X-uY)^iY^{k-2-i}) \quad \text{for any } r,s,u\in\mathbb{F}_q \text{ and } 0\leqslant i,j\leqslant k-2\,.$$

8.1. **The case $r\neq 0$.** The main feature of this case is the fact that we transform all $\mathbf{c}_{j,r}(\overline{e}_s)$ in $\mathbf{c}_{j,r}(e_0)$ and this produces a lot of zeroes (in one step we use again the harmonicity relation provided in (3)).

$$\begin{aligned}
&U\mathbf{c}_{j,r}(\overline{e}_s)((X-uY)^\ell Y^{k-2-\ell}) = t^{k-m}\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_q}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\beta}{t} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{t}\end{pmatrix}\mathbf{c}_{j,r}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & t\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} s & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{pmatrix}\right)((X-uY)^\ell Y^{k-2-\ell}) \\
&= t^{k-m}\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_q}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\beta}{t} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{t}\end{pmatrix}\mathbf{c}_{j,r}\begin{pmatrix} s+\beta & 1 \\ t & 0\end{pmatrix}((X-uY)^\ell Y^{k-2-\ell}) \\
&= t^{k-m}\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{s}{t} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{t}\end{pmatrix}\mathbf{c}_{j,r}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ t & 0\end{pmatrix} + \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_q-\{-s\}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\beta}{t} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{t}\end{pmatrix}\mathbf{c}_{j,r}\begin{pmatrix} s+\beta & 1 \\ t & 0\end{pmatrix}\right\}((X-uY)^\ell Y^{k-2-\ell}) \\
&= t^{k-m}\Bigg\{-\sum_{v\in\mathbb{F}_q}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{s}{t} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{t}\end{pmatrix}\mathbf{c}_{j,r}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ vt & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t\end{pmatrix}\right) \\
&+ \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_q-\{-s\}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{\beta}{t} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{t}\end{pmatrix}\mathbf{c}_{j,r}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{t}{s+\beta} & 1\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} s+\beta & 1 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{s+\beta}\end{pmatrix}\right)\Bigg\}((X-uY)^\ell Y^{k-2-\ell}) \\
&= t^{k-m}\Bigg\{-\sum_{v\in\mathbb{F}_q}\begin{pmatrix} 1+sv & \frac{s}{t} \\ v & \frac{1}{t}\end{pmatrix}\mathbf{c}_{j,r}(e_0) \\
&+ \sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_q-\{-s\}}\begin{pmatrix} 1-\frac{\beta}{s+\beta} & -\frac{\beta}{t} \\ \frac{1}{s+\beta} & \frac{1}{t}\end{pmatrix}\mathbf{c}_{j,r}(e_0)\Bigg\}((X-uY)^\ell Y^{k-2-\ell}) \\
&= -t^{k-1}\sum_{v\in\mathbb{F}_q}\mathbf{c}_{j,r}(e_0)\left(\left((1+sv)(X-uY)+\frac{sY}{t}\right)^\ell\left(v(X-uY)+\frac{Y}{t}\right)^{k-2-\ell}\right) \\
&+ t^{k-1}\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_q-\{-s\}}\mathbf{c}_{j,r}(e_0)\left(\left((1-\frac{\beta}{s+\beta})(X-uY)-\frac{\beta Y}{t}\right)^\ell\left(\frac{1}{s+\beta}(X-uY)+\frac{Y}{t}\right)^{k-2-\ell}\right) \\
&= -t^{k-1}\sum_{v\in\mathbb{F}_q}\mathbf{c}_{j,r}(e_0)\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\ell}\sum_{h=0}^{k-2-\ell}\binom{\ell}{i}\binom{k-2-\ell}{h}\frac{(1+sv)^iv^hs^{\ell-i}}{t^{k-2-i-h}}(X-uY)^{i+h}Y^{k-2-i-h}\right] \\
&+ t^{k-1}\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{F}_q-\{-s\}}\mathbf{c}_{j,r}(e_0)\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\ell}\sum_{h=0}^{k-2-\ell}\binom{\ell}{i}\binom{k-2-\ell}{h}\frac{1}{(s+\beta)^h}\left(1-\frac{\beta}{s+\beta}\right)^i\frac{(-\beta)^{\ell-i}}{t^{k-2-i-h}}(X-uY)^{i+h}Y^{k-2-i-h}\right]
\end{aligned}$$

Now, since $\mathbf{c}_{j,r}(e_0)((X-uY)^{i+h}Y^{k-2-i-h}) = -\mathbf{c}_{j,r}(\overline{e}_0)((X-uY)^{i+h}Y^{k-2-i-h}) = 0$ (no matter the values of i, h and u, since $r\neq 0$), this is always 0.

8.2. **The case $r = 0$.** Note that the $\mathbf{c}_{j,0}$ are basically the $\mathbf{c}_j$ of Section 3, indeed

$$\mathbf{c}_{j,0}(\overline{e}_0)(X^iY^{k-2-i}) = \mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e})(X^iY^{k-2-i}) \quad \text{for any } 0 \leqslant i, j, \leqslant k-2$$

and $\mathbf{c}_{j,0}$ is trivial everywhere else.

Therefore we have

$$U\mathbf{c}_{j,0}(\overline{e}_0)(X^iY^{k-2-i}) = U\mathbf{c}_j(\overline{e})(X^iY^{k-2-i})$$

and we can use formula (6) for it. While, with computations as above, for any $s, u \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ we find that $U\mathbf{c}_{j,0}(\overline{e}_s)((X-uY)^iY^{k-2-i})$ is equal to $\mathbf{c}_{j,0}(\overline{e}_0)$ evaluated at a linear combination of powers of $(X-uY)$ times powers of Y (hence 0 since $u \neq 0$).

Theorem 8.1. *The operator U acting on $S_k^1(\Gamma)$ is diagonalizable if and only if the operator U acting on $S_k^1(\Gamma_1)$ is diagonalizable.*

Proof. Order the given basis in the following way

$$\mathcal{B}_k^1(\Gamma) := \{\mathbf{c}_{0,0}, \mathbf{c}_{1,0}, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{k-2,0}, \mathbf{c}_{j,r} : 0 \leqslant j \leqslant k-2\,,\ r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}$$

(actually we do not mind about the order of the last $(k-1)(q-1)$ terms we only need the first $k-1$ to be $\mathbf{c}_{0,0}, \mathbf{c}_{1,0}, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{k-2,0}$). For any $r \neq 0$ and any $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k-2$, we have seen that $\mathbf{c}_{j,r} \in Ker(U)$ while $U\mathbf{c}_{j,0}$ is a linear combination of the $\mathbf{c}_{i,0}$ and the coefficients are exactly the same appearing in the formula for writing $U\mathbf{c}_j$ in terms of the $\mathbf{c}_i$ in Section 3. Hence the matrix associated to U with respect to the basis $\mathcal{B}_k^1(\Gamma)$ is

$$M_{\mathcal{B}_k^1(\Gamma)} := \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where A is the square matrix of dimension $k-1$ associated to the action of U on $S_k^1(\Gamma_1)$ and the others are null matrices (of the right dimension). Obviously this matrix is diagonalizable if and only if A is diagonalizable. □

Remark 8.2. The basis for $S_k^2(\Gamma)$ can be described (and ordered) in the following way

$$\mathcal{B}_k^2(\Gamma) := \{\mathbf{c}_{1,0}, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{k-3,0}, \mathbf{c}_{j,r} : 0 \leqslant j \leqslant k-3\,,\ r \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}\,.$$

Hence the matrix associated to U with respect to $\mathcal{B}_k^2(\Gamma)$ is

$$M_{\mathcal{B}_k^2(\Gamma)} := \begin{pmatrix} A' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where A' is associated to the action of U on $S_k^2(\Gamma_1)$ and its diagonalizability depends on the analogous property for A'.

References

[1] C. Armana *Coefficients of Drinfeld modular forms and Hecke operators*, J. Number Theory 131 (2011) no. 8, 1435–1460.

[2] G. Böckle *An Eichler-Shimura isomorphism over function fields between Drinfeld modular forms and cohomology classes of crystals* www1.iwr.uni-heidelberg.de/groups/arith-geom/home/members/gebhard-boeckle/publications/.

[3] R. Coleman *Classical and overconvergent modular forms*, Invent. Math. 124 (1996), no. 1-3, 215–241.

[4] R. Coleman, B. Edixhoven *On the semi-simplicity of the U_p-operator on modular forms*, Math. Ann. 310 (1998), no. 1, 119–127.

[5] K. Conrad *The minimal polynomial and some applications*, http://www.math.uconn.edu/~kconrad/blurbs/linmultialg/minpolyandappns.pdf

[6] K.S. David, W.A. Webb *Lucas' Theorem for prime powers*, Europ. J. Combinatorics, **11** (1990), 188–196.

[7] J. Fresnel, M. van der Put *Géométrie Analytique Rigide et Applications*, Progress in Mathematics **18**, Birkhäuser (1981).

[8] E.U. Gekeler *On the coefficients of Drinfeld modular forms*, Invent. math. **93**, 667–700 (1988).

[9] E.U. Gekeler *Improper Eisenstein series on Bruhat-Tits trees*, Manuscripta Math. 86 (1995), 367–391.

[10] E.U. Gekeler *On the Drinfeld discriminant function*, Comp. Math. 106 (1997), 181–202.

[11] E.U. Gekeler, U. Nonnengardt *Fundamental domains of some arithmetic groups over function fields*, Internat. J. Math. 6 (1995), no. 5, 689–708.
[12] Ed. E.U. Gekeler, M. van der Put, M. Reversat, J. van Geel *Drinfeld modules, modular schemes and applications*, Proceedings of the workshop held in Alden-Biesen, September 9–14, 1996, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1997.
[13] D. Goss *A construction of* $\mathfrak{v}$ *-adic modular forms*, J. Number Theory 136 (2014), 330–338.
[14] A. Granville *Arithmetic Properties of Binomial Coefficients I: Binomial coefficients modulo prime powers*, Canadian Mathematical Society Conference Proceedings **20** (1997), 253–275.
[15] H. Hida, *Galois representations into* $GL_2(Z_p[[X]])$ *attached to ordinary cusp forms*, Invent. Math. 85 (1986), no. 3, 545–613.
[16] H. Hida, *Iwasawa modules attached to congruences of cusp forms*, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **19** (1986), no. 2, 231–273.
[17] W-C. W. Li, Y. Meemark *Hecke operators on Drinfeld cusp forms*, J. Number Theory **128** (2008), no. 7, 1941–1965.
[18] J.P. Serre *Trees*, Springer-Verlag (1980).
[19] J.T. Teitelbaum *The Poisson kernel for Drinfeld modular curves*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), no. 3, 491–511.
[20] Wolfram Research, Inc., Mathematica, Version 10.0, Champaign, IL (2014).

Andrea Bandini: Università degli Studi di Parma, Dipartimento di Matematica, Parco Area delle Scienze, 53/A, 43124 Parma - Italy
E-mail address: andrea.bandini@unipr.it

Maria Valentino: King's College London, Department of Mathematics, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom
E-mail address: maria.valentino@kcl.ac.uk