arXiv:1707.01393v1 [math.RT] 5 Jul 2017

τ-SLICE ALGEBRAS OF n-TRANSLATION ALGEBRAS AND QUASI n-FANO ALGEBRAS

Abstract. In this paper, we show that the n-APR tilts of dual τ-slice algebras of acyclic stable n-translation algebras are realized as τ-mutations. Such dual τ-slice algebras are quasi $(n-1)$-Fano when the n-translation algebra is Koszul, and a recursive construction of higher quasi Fano algebras for quasi n-Fano algebra obtained in this way is given. The τ_n-closure and ν_n-closure of such algebras are studied and we show that for an acyclic dual n-translation algebras with bound quiver $Q^{\perp}$, the Auslander-Reiten quivers of its τ_n-closures are truncation of the quiver $\mathbb{Z}|_nQ^{\perp}$, and the Auslander-Reiten quiver of its ν_n-closure is $\mathbb{Z}|_nQ^{\perp}$ when it is n-representation infinite.

1. Introduction

Path algebra of an acyclic quiver Q has very nice representation theory. It has a preprojective component and a preinjective component which are truncation of the quiver $\mathbb{Z}Q$, the two components coincide when the algebra is of finite representation type [31, 1]. There is always a component of type $\mathbb{Z}Q$ in their derived category [21]. With tilting algebra, one always recover a path algebra from a slice of certain component related to $\mathbb{Z}Q$ [31]. With the acyclic quiver Q one associates a very interesting algebra, the preprojective algebra [11, 10, 3] which is Koszul Artin-Schelter when the path algebra is of infinite representation type, and is almost Koszul periodic when the path algebra is of finite representation type[7]. The quadratic dual of the preprojective algebra is a self-injective algebra with vanishing radical tube [27] whose representation theory is very close to that of the path algebra [33]. These are closely related to non-commutative algebraic geometry in some very natural way [4, 9, 21, 30].

Our aim of this paper is to find algebras in higher representation theory setting to recover the above picture in higher representation theory.

Higher representation theory is developed by Iyama and his coauthors [23, 24, 25, 26, 20], and is widely used in representation theory of algebra and non-commutative geometry. We observed that graded self-injective algebra bear certain feature of higher representation theory [18, 13, 14, 19], and we introduce n-translation algebra for studying higher representation theory related to self-injective algebras [15].

Starting with a acyclic stable n-translation algebra $\overline{\Lambda}$ with n-translation quiver $\overline{Q}$, and take a τ-slice Q. Take the quadratic dual Γ of the τ-slice algebra Λ with bound quiver Q. We find Γ is quasi $(n-1)$-Fano algebra when $\overline{Q}$ is Koszul and the representation theory of Γ has some features similar to the path algebras. We show that the Auslander-Reiten quiver the τ_n-closure of the dual τ-slice algebra Γ of Q is obtained as a truncation of $\mathbb{Z}|_nQ$, an higher version of $\mathbb{Z}Q$ construction. The n-APR tilts of Γ is obtained as an τ-mutation of Γ. When the dual τ-slice

This work is partly supported by Natural Science Foundation of China #11271119,#11671126, and the Construct Program of the Key Discipline in Hunan Province.

algebra is n-representation infinite, we prove that the derived category of Γ also have a subcategory of type $\mathbb{Z}|_n Q$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall concepts and results needed in this paper. In Section 3, we discuss complete τ-slices, τ-slice algebras and dual τ-slice algebras for an n-translation quiver. In Section 4, we study the tilting theory of dual τ-slice algebra of an acyclic stable n-translation algebra, and characterize the n-APR tilting using τ-mutation of τ-slices. Relationship between dual τ-slice algebras and quasi n-Fano algebras are investigated in Section 5, and we give a construction of $(n+1)$-Fano algebra from n-Fano algebra based on these relationship. In section 6, we prove that τ_n-closure of acyclic dual τ-slice algebra with bound quiver Q is a truncation of $\mathbb{Z}|_n Q$ and in Section 7, we prove the existence of $\mathbb{Z}|_n Q$ subcategories in the derived category, when dual τ-slice algebras is n-representation infinite.

2. Preliminary

Let k be a field, and let $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 + \Lambda_1 + \cdots$ be a graded algebra over k with Λ_0 direct sum of copies of k such that Λ is generated by Λ_0 and Λ_1. Such algebra is determined by a bound quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$ [15]. Let $\Lambda_0 = \bigoplus_{i \in Q_0} k_i$, with $k_i \simeq k$ as algebras, and let e_i be the image of the identity of k under the canonical embedding of the k_i into Λ_0. Then $\{e_i | i \in Q_0\}$ is a complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents in Λ_0 and

$$\Lambda_1 = \bigoplus_{i,j \in Q_0} e_j \Lambda_1 e_i$$

as Λ_0-Λ_0-bimodules. Fix a basis Q_1^{ij} of $e_j \Lambda_1 e_i$ for any pair $i, j \in Q_0$, take the elements of Q_1^{ij} as arrows from i to j, and let

$$Q_1 = \cup_{(i,j) \in Q_0 \times Q_0} Q_1^{ij}.$$

Then there is a set ρ of linear combinations of paths, such that $\Lambda \simeq kQ/(\rho)$, a quotient algebra of the path algebra kQ modulo the ideal (ρ) generated by ρ. Λ is also called the algebra of the bound quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$. For a vertex $i \in Q_0$ of a quiver Q, write i^- for the set of vertices $j \in Q_0$ such that there is an arrow from j to i in Q, write i^+ for the set of vertices $j \in Q_0$ such that there is an arrow from i to j in Q.

One defines similarly path category $\mathbf{P}(Q)$ and bound path category for a bound quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$ (see Section 6 of [25]).

Algebras in this paper are assumed to be indecomposable in the sense that its quiver Q is connected, unless specialized otherwise. A quiver Q is called *acyclic* if Q contains no cycle, Λ is called *acyclic* if its quiver Q is acyclic.

Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$ be a bound quiver, for an arrow α in Q_1 from i to j, write $s(\alpha) = i$ and $t(\alpha) = j$. Write $s(p) = i$ and $t(p) = j$ for a path p from i to j in Q. Note that we can always normalize the relations such that each relation is a linear combination of paths with same starting vertex and same ending vertex. A path p in Q is called bound path if its image in $kQ/(\rho)$ is non-zero.

Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$ be a bound quiver with quadratics relations, that is $\rho \subset kQ_2$, the subspace spanned by the paths of length 2 in the path algebra kQ. In this case, the quotient algebra $\Lambda = kQ/(\rho)$ is called a *quadratic algebra.* The quadratic dual quiver $Q^{op,\perp} = (Q_0^{op}, Q_1^{op}, \rho^{op,\perp})$ has the same vertex set $Q_0^{op} = Q_0$ as Q, has

the dual basis Q_1^{op} of Q_1 as arrow set, and has a basis $\rho^{op,\perp}$ in the orthogonal subspace $(k\rho)^{\perp} \in kQ_2^{op}$ of $k\rho$ in the dual space kQ_2^{op} as relations. Denoted by $Q^{\perp} = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho^{\perp})$ the opposite quiver of the quadratic dual quiver. The algebra $\Lambda^{!,op} = kQ^{\perp}/(\rho^{\perp})$ is called the *quadratic dual* of Λ.

In [15], we introduce n-translation algebras. Recall that a bound quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$, with ρ homogeneous relations, is called an *n-translation quiver* if there is a bijective map $\tau : Q_0 \setminus \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow Q_0 \setminus \mathcal{I}$, called the *$n$-translation* of Q, for two subsets $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ of Q_0, whose elements are called *projective vertices* and respectively *injective vertices*, satisfying the following conditions for the quotient algebra $\Lambda = kQ/(\rho)$:

1. Any maximal bound path is of length $n+1$ from τi in $Q_0 \setminus \mathcal{I}$ to i, for some vertex i in $Q_0 \setminus \mathcal{P}$.
2. Two bound paths of length $n+1$ from τi to i are linearly dependent, for any $i \in Q_0 \setminus \mathcal{P}$.
3. For each $i \in Q_0 \setminus \mathcal{P}$ and each $j \in Q_0$ such that there is a bound path from j to i of length t or there is a bound path from τi to j, then the multiplication of the quotient algebra $\Lambda = kQ/(\rho)$ defines a non-degenerated bilinear from $e_i\Lambda_t e_j \times e_j\Lambda_{n+1-t}e_{\tau i}$ to $e_i\Lambda_{n+1}e_{\tau i}$, here Λ_t is the subspaces spanned by the images of the paths of length t.

Q is called stable if $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{P} = \emptyset$. This is the case if and only if Λ is a self-injective algebra.

An algebra Λ with an n-translation quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$ as its bound quiver is called an *n-pretranslation algebra*. It is called an *n-translation algebra*, if there is an $q \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that Λ is $(n+1, q)$-Koszul [15].

Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$ be an n-translation quiver, we have two $(n+1)$-translation quivers associated to it. One is the *returning arrow quiver* $\widetilde{Q} = (\widetilde{Q}_0, \widetilde{Q}_1, \tilde{\rho})$ defined by

(1) $\tilde{Q}_0 = Q_0$,
(2) $\tilde{Q}_1 = Q_1 \cup \{\beta_i : i \to \tau i | i \in Q_0 \setminus \mathcal{P}\}$
(3) $\tilde{\rho} = \rho \cup \{\beta_{\tau i}\beta_i | i, \tau i \in Q_0 \setminus \mathcal{P}\} \cup \{\tau(\alpha)\beta_i - \beta_j\alpha | \alpha : i \to j \in Q_1, i, j \in Q_0 \setminus \mathcal{P}\}$,

with trivial $(n+1)$-translation. And the other is the covering quiver $\mathbb{Z}_v|_n Q$ which is defined as the bound quiver with

(1) the vertex set

$$\mathbb{Z}_v|_n Q_0 = Q_0 \times \mathbb{Z}_v = \{(i,t) | i \in Q_0, t \in \mathbb{Z}_v\},$$

(2) the arrow set

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathbb{Z}_v|_n Q_1 & = & \mathbb{Z}_v \times Q_1 \cup \mathbb{Z}_v \times (Q_0 \setminus \mathcal{P}) \\ & = & \{(\alpha, t) : (i,t) \longrightarrow (j,t) | \alpha : i \longrightarrow j \in Q_1, t \in \mathbb{Z}_v.\} \\ & & \cup \quad \{(\beta_i, t) : (i, t-1) \longrightarrow (\tau i, t) | i \in Q_0 \setminus \mathcal{P}, t \in \mathbb{Z}_v, \} \end{array}$$

(3) and the relation set

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \rho_{\mathbb{Z}_v|_n Q} & = & \bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_v} (\{z(t) | z \in \rho\} \cup \{(\beta_{\tau i}, t+1)(\beta_i, t) | i, \tau i \in Q_0 \setminus \mathcal{P}\} \\ & & \cup \{(\tau(\alpha), t+1)(\beta_i, t) - (\beta_j, t)(\alpha, t) | i, j \in Q_0 \setminus \mathcal{P}, \alpha : i \to j \in Q_1\}) \end{array}$$

where for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_v$, $p(t) = (\alpha_l, t) \cdots (\alpha_1, t)$ for each path $p = \alpha_l \cdots \alpha_1$ in the quiver Q and $z(t) = \sum_s a_s p_s(t)$ for each linear combination of the paths $z = \sum_s a_s p_s$ in the quiver Q.

The $(n+1)$-translation is defined by $\tau_{[n+1]} : (i,t) \longrightarrow (i,t-1)$ for $(i,t) \in \mathbb{Z}_v|_n Q_0$.

Both $\widetilde{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_v|_n Q$ are a stable $(n+1)$-translation quivers.

As in [30]. we denote by $\Delta(\Lambda)$ the trivial extension of Λ. If the trivial extension $\Delta(\Lambda)$ of an n-translation algebra Λ is an $(n+1)$-translation algebra with an admissible quiver, Λ is called *extendable*.

Proposition 2.1. *([15]) Let Λ be an extendable n-translation algebra with an admissible n-translation quiver Q, then its trivial extension $\Delta(\Lambda)$ is an $(n+1)$-translation algebra with bound quiver $\widetilde{Q}$ and its 0-extension $\Delta(\Lambda)\#k\mathbb{Z}_v^*$ is an $(n+1)$-translation algebra with bound quiver $\mathbb{Z}|_n Q$.*

Starting with a stable n-translation quiver $\widetilde{Q} = (\widetilde{Q}_0, \widetilde{Q}_1, \rho)$, say, of an n-pretranslation algebra Λ, we introduced a acyclic stable n-translation quiver $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q} = (\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}_0, \mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}_1, \rho_\diamond)$ in [15](denoted by $\overline{Q}$ there).

Vertex set:

$$\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}_0 = \{(i,m)|i \in \widetilde{Q}_0, m \in \mathbb{Z}\};$$

Arrow set:

$$\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}_1 = \{(\alpha,m) : (i,m) \to (j,m+1)|\alpha : i \to j \in \widetilde{Q}_1, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

If $p = \alpha_s \cdots \alpha_1$ is a path in $\widetilde{Q}$, define $p[m] = (\alpha_s, m+s-1)\cdots(\alpha_1, m)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Define relations

$$\rho_\diamond = \{\zeta[m]|\zeta \in \rho, m \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

here $\zeta[m] = \sum_t a_t p_t[m]$ for each $\zeta = \sum_t a_t p_t \in \rho$. $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}$ is called the *separated directed quiver* of the stable bound quiver $\widetilde{Q}$. $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}$ is a stable n-translation quiver with n-translation defined by $\tau_\diamond(i,m) = (\tau i, m-n+1)$.

$\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}$ is a locally finite bound quiver if $\widetilde{Q}$ is so, it has no oriented cyclic and it has only finitely many τ-orbit if $\widetilde{Q}$ has so. If the d is the maximal common divisor of the lengths of the minimal cycles in $\widetilde{Q}$, $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond$ has d connected components. The following Proposition is proven in [13]

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ be an n-pretranslation algebra with stable n-translation quiver $\widetilde{Q}$, then its there is a gradation of $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ such that $\widetilde{\Lambda}\#'k\mathbb{Z}^*$ is an n-pretranslation algebra with stable n-translation quiver $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}$.*

If $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is indecomposable, $\widetilde{\Lambda}\#'k\mathbb{Z}^*$ is a direct sum of d copies of indecomposable algebras.

The gradations in Proposition 2.1 and in Proposition 2.2 are different when $\widetilde{\Lambda} = \Delta\Lambda$ for some extendible n-translation algebra Λ. The former is induced by the returning arrows arising from the trivial extension construction, while the later is the usual one. Further detail will be discussed in Section 3.

Complete τ-slice and τ-slice algebras are also introduced for stable n-translation quiver in [13]. Let $\overline{Q} = (\overline{Q}_0, \overline{Q}_1, \overline{p})$ be a acyclic stable n-translation quiver with n-translation $\bar{\tau}$, and assume that $\overline{Q}$ has only finite many τ-orbits. Let Q^S be a full sub-quiver of $\overline{Q}$. Q^S is called a *complete τ-slice* of $\overline{Q}$ if it has the following property:

(a) for each vertex v of $\overline{Q}$, the intersection of the τ-orbit of v and the vertex set of Q^S is a single-point set.
(b) Q^S is path complete in the sense that for each path $p : v_0 \to v_1 \to \ldots \to v_t$ of $\overline{Q}$ with v_0 and v_t in Q^S, the whole path p lies in Q^S.

Thus for each pair i, j of vertices in $\overline{Q}_0$, if x is an element in $\overline{\rho}$, the e_jxe_i is a summand of x which is the linear combination of the paths from i to j. Thus when normalizing the relations such that they are linear combinations of paths with the same starting vertex and the same ending vertex, then

$$\rho^S = \{x = \sum_p a_p p \in \overline{\rho} | s(p), t(p) \in Q_0^S\} \subset \overline{\rho}.$$

We also call the bound quiver $Q^S = (Q_0^S, Q_1^S, \rho^S)$ a *complete τ-slice* of the bound quiver Q^S.

The algebra Λ^S defined by a complete τ-slice Q^S in $\overline{Q}$ is called a *τ-slice algebra* of the bound quiver $\overline{Q}$. If $\overline{Q}$ is the bound quiver of an algebra $\overline{\Lambda}$, we also say that Λ^S is a *τ-slice algebra* of $\overline{\Lambda}$. If Q is the bound quiver of an extendible n-translation algebra Λ, and if $\overline{Q} = \mathbb{Z}_v|_n Q$, then Λ^S is called a *τ-slice algebra* of $\Delta\Lambda$. If $\overline{Q} = \mathbb{Z}_\diamond \widetilde{Q}$, then Λ^S is called a *τ-slice algebra* of $\widetilde{Q}$, or of $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ if $\widetilde{Q}$ is the bound quiver of stable n-translation algebra $\widetilde{\Lambda}$.

If $\overline{Q}$ define an n-translation algebra $\overline{\Lambda}$, Λ^S is a quadratic algebra, and its quadratic dual $\Gamma^S = \Lambda^{S!op}$ is called *dual τ-slice algebra* of $\overline{Q}$.

Let Q^S be a complete τ-slices in $\overline{Q}$ and let i be a sink of Q^S. Define the *τ-mutation* $s_i^-(Q^S)$ of Q^S at i as the full bound sub-quiver in $\overline{Q}$ obtained by replacing the vertex i by its translation $\overline{\tau}i$.

Dually, for a source j of Q^S, we define the *τ-mutation* $s_j^+(Q^S)$ of Q^S at j as the full bound sub-quiver of $\overline{Q}$ obtained by replacing the vertex j by its inverse translation $\overline{\tau}^{-1}j$. A τ-mutation of a complete τ-slice in $\overline{Q}$ is again a complete τ-slice in $\overline{Q}$. If i is a sink of Q^S, then $s_i^+ s_i^- Q^S = Q^S$, and if i is a source of Q^S, then $s_i^- s_i^+ Q^S = Q^S$.

The τ-slice algebra of $s_i^\pm Q^S$ is called *the τ-mutation* of Λ at i, and the dual τ-slice algebra of $s_i^\pm Q^S$ is called *the τ-mutation* of dual τ-slice algebra Γ at i.

An algebra is call *acyclic* if its quiver is acyclic, an algebra Γ is called *dual n-translation algebra* if it is quadratic and its quadratic dual $\Lambda = \Gamma^{!op}$ is an n-translation algebra, Γ is called *dual extendible n-translation algebra* if $\Lambda = \Gamma^{!op}$ is a dual extendible n-translation algebra. Iyama's absolute n-completed algebras are examples of such algebras [15].

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a Krull-Schmidt category.

(a) For an object $M \in \mathcal{C}$, a morphism $f_0 \in J_\mathcal{C}(M, C_1)$ is called *left almost split* if $C_1 \in \mathcal{C}$ and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(C_1, -) \xrightarrow{f_0} J_\mathcal{C}(M, -) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact on $\mathcal{C}$. A left minimal and left almost split morphism is called a *source morphism*.

(b) We call a complex

$$M \xrightarrow{f_0} C_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} C_2 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \tag{1}$$

a *source sequence* of M if the following conditions are satisfied.

(i) $C_i \in \mathcal{C}$ and $f_i \in J_\mathcal{C}$ for any i,

(ii) we have the following exact sequence on $\mathcal{C}$.

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{f_2} \mathrm{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(C_2, -) \xrightarrow{f_1} \mathrm{Hom}_\mathcal{C}(C_1, -) \xrightarrow{f_0} J_\mathcal{C}(M, -) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2}$$

A *sink morphism* and a *sink sequence* are defined dually.

(c) We call a complex

$$M \longrightarrow C_1 \longrightarrow C_2 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow C_n \longrightarrow N$$

an *n-almost split sequence* if this is a source sequence of $M \in \mathcal{C}$ and a sink sequence of $N \in \mathcal{C}$.

Let $\overline{Q} = (\overline{Q}_0, \overline{Q}_1, \overline{\rho})$ be a n-translation quiver with n-translation τ such that $\overline{\Lambda} \simeq k\overline{Q}/(\overline{\rho})$ is n-translation algebra. The *τ-hammock $H^i = H^i_{\overline{Q}}$ starting at i,* and respectively, the *τ-hammock $H_i = H_{i,\overline{Q}}$ ending at i* (see [13]) are defined for each $i \in \overline{Q}_0$ as the quivers with the vertex sets

$$H^i_0 = \{(j,t) | j \in \overline{Q}_0, \text{ there is a bound path of length } t \text{ from } i \text{ to } j\},$$

and respectively,

$$H_{i,0} = \{(j,t) | j \in \overline{Q}_0, \text{ there is a bound path of length } t \text{ from } j \text{ to } i\},$$

and with the arrow sets

$$H^i_1 = \{(\alpha,t) : (j,t) \longrightarrow (j',t+1) | \alpha : j \to j' \in \overline{Q}_1, \text{there is bound path } p \text{ of length } t \text{ from } i \text{ to } j \text{ such that } \alpha p \text{ is a bound path of length } t+1\},$$

and respectively,

$$H_{i,1} = \{(\alpha,t) : (j,t+1) \longrightarrow (j',t) | \alpha : j \to j' \in \overline{Q}_1, \text{there is bound path } p \text{ of length } t \text{ from } j' \text{ to } i \text{ such that } p\alpha \text{ is a bound path of length } t+1\}.$$

Define the hammock functions $\mu^i : H^i_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and respectively, $\mu_i : H_{i,0} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ to be the integral maps on the vertices by $\mu^i(j,t) = \dim_k e_j \overline{\Lambda}_t e_i$ for $(j,t) \in H^i_0$ and respectively, by $\mu_i(j,t) = \dim_k e_i \overline{\Lambda}_t e_j$ for $(j,t) \in H_{i,0}$. We also denote by H^i_0 and $H_{i,0}$ the sets $\{j \in \overline{Q}_0 | (j,t) \in H^i_0 \text{ for some } t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and $\{j \in \overline{Q}_0 | (j,t) \in H_{i,0} \text{ for some } t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

When $\overline{Q}$ is acyclic, the hammocks H^i and H_i can be regarded as a sub-quiver of $\overline{Q}$.

Let $\overline{\Gamma}$ be the quadratic dual of $\overline{\Lambda}$ with bound quiver $\overline{Q}^{\perp} = (\overline{Q}_0, \overline{Q}_1, \overline{\rho}^{\perp})$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \text{add}(\overline{\Gamma})$ be the category of finite generated projective $\overline{\Gamma}$-modules. The hammock is used to describe the Koszul complexes in $\overline{\Gamma}$ which are the n-almost split sequences in $\mathcal{G}$ under certain conditions. Similar to Proposition 7.4 of [15], we have the following characterization of the Koszul complexes in $\overline{\Gamma}$.

Proposition 2.3. *For each vertex $i \in \overline{Q}_0$, we have a Koszul complex*

$$\xi_i : M_{n+1} = \overline{\Gamma} e_{\tau^{-1}i} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_t \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_0 = \overline{\Gamma} e_i \tag{3}$$

with $M_t = \bigoplus_{(j,t) \in H_{i,0}} (\Gamma e_j)^{\mu_i(j,t)}$ for $0 \leq t \leq n$ and a Koszul complex

$$\zeta_i : M_{n+1} = \overline{\Gamma} e_i \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_t \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_0 = \overline{\Gamma} e_{\tau i} \tag{4}$$

with $M_t = \bigoplus_{(j,n+1-t) \in H^i_0} (\Gamma e_j)^{\mu^i(j,n+1-t)}$ for $0 \leq t \leq n$.

We see that $\zeta_i = \xi_{\tau i}$ and $\xi_i = \zeta_{\tau^{-1}i}$.

Clearly, an n-translation quiver is also a weak translation quiver defined in [25]. Similar to Definition 6.1 of [25], if $\mathcal{C}$ is a Hom-finite Krull-Schmidt category with n-almost split sequences, one defines *the Auslander-Reiten quiver* of $\mathcal{C}$ as the quiver with the vertices the iso-classes of indecomposable objects and the number of arrows from x to y is $d_{xy} = \dim_k J_{\mathcal{C}}(x,y)/J^2_{\mathcal{C}}(x,y)$, with the translation induced by the n-almost split sequences.

For a bound quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$, we also relate to it a path category $\mathbf{P}(Q)$, the k-additive category with objects $\operatorname{add} Q_0$ and Hom set between the vertices the k-spaces with basis the paths between these vertices. The bound category $\mathcal{P}(Q) = \mathbf{P}(Q)/\mathcal{I}$ of the bound quiver is the quotient category of the path category modulo the ideal generated by the relations in ρ.

In fact, the arrows are determined by source morphisms and sink morphisms (see Lemma 6.1 of [25] and Proposition 3.1 of [8]).

Lemma 2.4. *If $M \to Y$ is a sink sequence in $\mathcal{C}$, then $d_{X,Y}$ is equal to the number of X appearing in the direct sum decomposition of M.*

If $X \to M$ is a source sequence in $\mathcal{C}$, then $d_{X,Y}$ is equal to the number of Y appearing in the direct sum decomposition M.

Assume $\phi : \mathcal{Q} \to \mathcal{C}$ assigns a morphism $\phi(a) \in J_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ for any arrow $a : X \to Y$ in Q, and that $\{\phi(a) | s(a) = X, t(a) = Y\}$ forms a k-basis of $J_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)/J^2_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ for any $X, Y \in Q_0$. Then ϕ extends uniquely to a full dense functor $\phi : \mathcal{Q} \to \mathcal{C}$.

The relations are determined by the n-almost split sequences (see Lemma 6.4 of [25] and Proposition 3.6 of [8]).

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a Hom-finite Krull-Schmidt k-category, and let Q be an acyclic locally finite quiver, and*
$bfP(Q)$ be the path category of Q over k. Assume that we have a full dense functor $\phi : \mathcal{Q} \to \mathcal{C}$.

(1) *If any $X \in Q_0$ has the source sequence with the first two terms*

$$\phi(X) \xrightarrow{(\alpha)_{\alpha \in Q_1, s(\alpha)=X}} \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q_1, s(\alpha)=X} \phi(t(\alpha)) \xrightarrow{(\gamma_{\alpha,i})_{1 \le i \le m_X}} \bigoplus_{1 \le i \le m_X} \phi(t(\gamma_{\alpha,i})), \quad (5)$$

then the kernel of ϕ is generated by

$$\{ \sum_{\alpha \in Q_1, s(\alpha)=X} \gamma_{\alpha,i}\alpha | X \in Q_0, 1 \le i \le m_X \}.$$

(2) *If any $Y \in Q_0$ has the sink sequence with the last two terms*

$$\bigoplus_{1 \le j \le m'_Y} \phi(s(\gamma'_{j,\alpha})) \xrightarrow{(\gamma'_{j,\alpha})_{1 \le j \le m'_X}} \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q_1, t(\alpha)=Y} \phi(s(\alpha)) \xrightarrow{(\alpha)_{\alpha \in Q_1, t(\alpha)=X}} \phi(Y), \quad (6)$$

then the kernel of ϕ is generated by

$$\{ \sum_{\alpha \in Q_1, t(\alpha)=Y} \alpha\gamma'_{j,\alpha} | Y \in Q_0, 1 \le j \le m'_Y \}.$$

3. τ-SLICE ALGEBRAS

Let $\overline{Q} = (\overline{Q}_0, \overline{Q}_1, \overline{\rho})$ be a bound quiver, and let $\overline{\Lambda} \simeq k\overline{Q}/(\overline{\rho})$. Let $E_{\overline{Q}} = \{e_j | j \in \overline{Q}_0\}$ be a complete set of orthogonal idempotents corresponding to the vertex set of $\overline{Q}$. Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1)$ be a finite full sub-quiver of $\overline{Q}$, that is Q_0 and Q_1 are subset of $\overline{Q}_0$ and $\overline{Q}_1$, respectively, such that all the arrows of $\overline{Q}$ from i to j are in Q_1 whenever i and j are both in Q_0. We say that Q is a *convex truncation* of $\overline{Q}$ if Q is convex in the sense that if a path p from i to j is in Q, then all the paths from i to j are in Q. In this case, $\overline{\rho}$ can be chosen such that $\rho = \{e_j x e_i | x \in \overline{\rho}, i, j \in Q_0\}$ is a subset of $\overline{\rho}$. With a convex truncation $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$, we have two algebras associate to it, that is, the subalgebra $\Lambda = e\overline{\Lambda}e$ with $e = \sum_{j \in Q_0} e_j$ and the quotient algebra $\Lambda' = \overline{\Lambda}/(\{e_j | j \in \overline{Q}_0 \setminus Q_0\})$. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$ is a convex truncation of a bound quiver $\overline{Q} = (\overline{Q}_0, \overline{Q}_1, \overline{\rho})$. Then $\Lambda = e\overline{\Lambda}e \simeq (\mathrm{End}_{\overline{\Lambda}} \bigoplus_{j\in Q_0} \overline{\Lambda}e_j)^{op} \simeq kQ/(\rho)$ is both a subalgebra and a quotient algebra of $\overline{\Lambda}$.*

Proof. Clearly, $\Lambda = e\overline{\Lambda}e \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\Lambda}}(\overline{\Lambda}e, \overline{\Lambda}e) = (\mathrm{End}_{\overline{\Lambda}} \bigoplus_{j\in Q_0} \overline{\Lambda}e_j)^{op}$ is a subalgebra of $\overline{\Lambda}$ generated by $eE_{\overline{Q}}e = E_Q = \{e_j | j \in Q_0\}$, and $e\overline{Q}_1e = Q_1$. Thus $\Lambda \simeq kQ/J$ for some ideal J of kQ. Clearly, $J \subset kQ \cap (\overline{\rho}) = kQ \cap (\rho) = (\rho)$. If $z \in (\rho) = kQ \cap (\overline{\rho})$, then the image of z in $\overline{\Lambda}$ is zero, hence its image in Λ is zero, too. This shows that $J = (\rho)$, and $\Lambda = e\overline{\Lambda}e \simeq kQ/(\rho)$. □

We call the algebra Λ a *truncation* of $\overline{\Lambda}$.

Let Λ be an extendible n-translation algebra with a connected n-translation quiver Q. Let $\widetilde{\Lambda} = \Delta\Lambda$ be its trivial extension, its bound quiver is the returning arrow quiver $\widetilde{Q}$ (see [15]). Let $\widetilde{\Lambda}\#k\mathbb{Z}^*$ and $\widetilde{\Lambda}\#'k\mathbb{Z}^*$ be the smash products defined in Proposition 2.1 and in Proposition 2.2, respectively. Their quivers are $\mathbb{Z}|_nQ$ and $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}$, respectively. Then $\mathbb{Z}|_nQ$ is connected while $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}$ has d isomorphic connected components, for d the maximal common divisor of the lengths of the oriented cycles in $\widetilde{Q}$, by Proposition 4.3 and 4.5 of [13].

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *If Q is acyclic, then $\mathbb{Z}|_nQ$ is isomorphic to a connect component of $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}$.*

Proof. Fix a vertex $i_0 \in Q_0 = \widetilde{Q}_0$, then for any vertex $i \in Q_0$ there is a walk $w = (p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_{2r+1})$ in Q, where $s(p_0) = i_0, t(p_{2r}) = i$, $t(p_{2h}) = t(p_{2h+1}), s(p_{2h-1}) = s(p_{2h})$, with $p_1, \ldots, p_{2r}$ nontrivial, connecting i and i_0. Let $l(w) = \sum_{h=0}^{r} l(p_{2h}) - \sum_{h=1}^{r} l(p_{2h+1})$, where $l(p)$ is the length of the path p, then $l(w)$ is uniquely determined by i, write it as $u_{i_0}(i)$, or $u(i)$ when i_0 is fixed.

It is easy to see that the map $\Phi_{i_0} : \mathbb{Z}|_nQ_0 \to \mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}_0$ defined by $\Phi_{i_0}(i, m) = (i, m(n+2) + u_{i_0}(i))$ induces an isomorphism from the quiver $\mathbb{Z}|_nQ$ to a connected components of $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond Q$ preserving the relations. □

Note that maximal bound paths of Q have the same length $n+1$, thus cycles in $\widetilde{Q}$ have the same length $n+2$, and thus $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond Q$ has $n+2$ connected components by Proposition 4.3 of [13]. By choosing i_0 differently, we see $\mathbb{Z}|_nQ$ is isomorphic to each of the connected components of $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond Q$.

Thus we have

Proposition 3.3. *Let Λ be an acyclic extendible n-translation algebra, then $\Delta\Lambda\#k\mathbb{Z}^*$ is a direct summand of $\Delta\Lambda\#'k\mathbb{Z}^*$.*

Since $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond Q$ is always acyclic, Proposition 3.2 does not hold when Q contains an oriented cycle.

Let Q be an acyclic n-translation quiver, clearly Q is isomorphic to a complete τ-slice in $\mathbb{Z}|_nQ$ with vertex set $Q_0 \times \{t\}$ for any fixed t. We obvious have the following result.

Proposition 3.4. *Let Λ be an acyclic extendible n-translation algebra, then Λ is a τ-slice algebra of $\Delta\Lambda\#k\mathbb{Z}^*$.*

Let $\widetilde{Q}$ be a stable $(n+1)$-translation quiver which is the bound quiver of a self-injective algebra $\widetilde{\Lambda}$. In $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}$, we have a specially truncated quiver $Q^{N'} =$

$\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}[0,n+1]$, the full bound sub-quiver with vertex set $\{(i,t)|i\in\widetilde{Q}_0, 0\leq t\leq n+1\}$, which defines a τ-slice algebra $\Lambda^{N'}\simeq k\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}[0,n+1]/(\rho_{\widetilde{Q}[0,n+1]})$ for $\widetilde{\Lambda}\#'k\mathbb{Z}^*$.

Proposition 3.5. *If $\widetilde{\Lambda}\simeq\Delta\Lambda$ is the trivial extension of an acyclic admissible n-translation algebra Λ with n-translation quiver Q. Then $\Lambda^{N'}$ is a direct sum of $n+1$ non-isomorphic τ-slice algebras of $\Delta\Lambda\#k\mathbb{Z}^*$.*

Fix a path p of length $n+1$ in Q passing through vertices $i_1,\ldots,i_{n+1}$. This gives $n+1$ isomorphism $\Phi_{i_1},\ldots,\Phi_{i_{n+1}}$ from $\mathbb{Z}|_nQ$ to a connected components of $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}$. For each vertex i_t, we obtain a complete τ-slice $Q_t^N=\Phi_{i_t}^{-1}(Q^{N'})$ of $\mathbb{Z}|_nQ$ and the corresponding τ-slice algebra $\Lambda_t^N\simeq k\Phi_{i_t}^{-1}(Q^{N'})/(\rho_{\Phi_{i_t}^{-1}(Q^{N'})})$. Each Λ_t^N is called a *special τ-slice algebra* of Λ. In fact, such algebras (and bound quivers) are obtained by a sequence of τ-mutations from one another (see [13]).


Proposition 3.6. *If Λ is an acyclic admissible n-translation algebra, then there is a sequence of τ-mutations $\sigma_1,\ldots,\sigma_r$ such that Λ is isomorphic to $\sigma_r\cdots\sigma_1\Lambda^N$ for any special τ-slice algebra Λ^N of $\Delta\Lambda$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.4, Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 6.6 of [13]. □


We can also get the specially truncated quiver $Q^{N'}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}$ directly from $\mathbb{Z}|_nQ$. For each vertex $i\in\mathbb{Z}|_nQ$, let $Q(i)$ be the full sub-quiver of $\mathbb{Z}|_nQ$ with the vertex set $\{j\in\mathbb{Z}|_nQ\mid 0\leq u_i(j)\leq n+1\}$. As a corollary, we have the following result.

Proposition 3.7. *Let Q be an acyclic admissible n-translation quiver. If p is a path of length n in $\mathbb{Z}|_nQ$ with vertices $i_0,i_1,\ldots,i_n$, then $Q^{N'}$ is the disjoint union of $Q(i_0),Q(i_1),\ldots,Q(i_n)$.*


Example 3.8. Start with the algebra $\Lambda(1)$ given by the quiver $Q(1)$,




with zero relation for each two successive arrow heading in the same direction and skew commutative relation for each four arrows form a square. $\Delta(\Lambda(1))$ has returning arrow quiver:



Then $\Delta(\Lambda(1))\#k\mathbb{Z}^*$ is the algebra with quiver



with zero relation for each two successive arrow heading in the same direction and skew commutative relation for each four arrows form a square. $Q^{N'}$ has three connected components $Q'[1], Q'[2]$ and $Q'[3]$ as follow.



Let $\Lambda'[1], \Lambda'[2]$ and $\Lambda'[3]$ be the corresponding slice algebras. Through Λ is a extendible 1-translation algebra ([16]), the τ-slice algebras $\Lambda'[1], \Lambda'[2]$ are not 1-translation algebras.

4. Tilting for the Truncation of n-translation algebras

In [13], we introduce τ-slice algebras of a graded self-injective algebra and show that the τ-slice algebras of a graded self-injective algebra are derived equivalent by shown that they have isomorphic trivial extensions. For a dual τ-slice algebra, this is in fact realized by tilting related to n-almost split sequence, as is studied in [2, 22, 26]

Let $\overline{\Lambda}$ be an acyclic n-translation algebra with bound quiver $\overline{Q} = (\overline{Q}_0, \overline{Q}_1, \overline{\rho})$. Recall that if $\overline{\Lambda}$ is $(n+1, q)$-Koszul with finite q, then its quadratic dual $\overline{\Gamma}$ is $(q, n+1)$-Koszul [7] and $\overline{\Gamma}$ is a $(q-1)$-translation algebra [15], write its $(q-1)$-translation as $\tau_\perp$. If $\overline{\Lambda}$ is Koszul, this include the case $q = \infty$, then $\tau_\perp$ is not defined so we conventionally assume that $\tau_\perp^t i \notin \overline{Q}_0$ for any $i \in \overline{Q}_0$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. A non-injective vertex i in Q_0 is called *forward movable* provided that $H^i_{\overline{\Lambda},0} \setminus Q_0 = \{\tau^{-1} i\}$ and $\tau_\perp i \notin Q_0$, and a non-projective vertex i in Q_0 is called *backward movable* provided that $H_{\overline{\Lambda},i,0} \setminus Q_0 = \{\tau i\}$ and $\tau_\perp^{-1} i \notin Q_0$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \operatorname{add}(\overline{\Gamma})$ be the category of finite

generated projective $\overline{\Gamma}$-modules. (We regard the hammocks as sub-quivers of $\overline{Q}$, due to that $\overline{Q}$ is acyclic.) Note that $\overline{\Lambda} \simeq \overline{\Gamma}^{!,op}$. If i is a non-injective vertex in $\overline{Q}$, (or $j = \tau^{-1}i$ is an non-projective vertex), by [5, 7, 15], we have a left Koszul complex:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_{n+1} e_{\tau^{-1}i} \longrightarrow \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_n e_{\tau^{-1}i} \xrightarrow{\psi} \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_{n-1} e_{\tau^{-1}i} \longrightarrow \cdots \\ \longrightarrow \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_2 e_{\tau^{-1}i} \xrightarrow{\phi} \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_1 e_{\tau^{-1}i} \longrightarrow \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_0 e_{\tau^{-1}i} \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

If $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$ be a finite truncation of $\overline{Q}$, set $L = L_Q = \bigoplus_{j \in Q_0} \overline{\Gamma} e_j$, $L^{(i)} = \bigoplus_{j \in Q_0 \setminus \{i\}} \overline{\Gamma} e_j$. We have

Lemma 4.1. *If i is forward movable, then*

$$\operatorname{coKer} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \phi) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_0 e_{\tau^{-1}i}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \overline{\Gamma} e_{\tau^{-1}i}).$$

If $\tau^{-1}i$ is backward movable, then

$$\operatorname{coKer} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\xi, L) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(e_i \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_0, L) = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(e_i \overline{\Gamma}, L).$$

Proof. Note that $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\overline{\Gamma} e_j, \overline{\Gamma} e_i)/J_{\mathcal{G}}(\overline{\Gamma} e_j, \overline{\Gamma} e_i) = 0$ for $i \neq j$. So we have exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_2 e_{\tau^{-1}i}) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L,\phi)} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_1 e_{\tau^{-1}i}) \\ \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_0 e_{\tau^{-1}i}) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

since the Koszul complex (7) is an n-almost split sequence in $\mathcal{G}$ [15]. This proves the first equality.

The second equality is proven by the duality. □

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\overline{\Lambda}$ be an acyclic n-translation algebra with n-translation quiver $\overline{Q}$ and n-translation τ, let $\overline{\Gamma} \simeq k\overline{Q}/(\overline{\rho}^{\perp})$ be its quadratic dual. Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$ be a truncation of $\overline{Q}$, and $\Gamma \simeq kQ/(\rho^{\perp}) \simeq \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{G}} L$.*

1. *If i is a forward movable vertex of Q let, $Q'_0 = (Q_0 \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{\tau^{-1}i\}$ and let $L' = \bigoplus_{j \in Q'_0} \overline{\Gamma} e_j$. Set $T = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, L^{(i)}) \oplus \operatorname{coKer} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \phi)$, where $\phi : \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_2 e_{\tau^{-1}i} \to \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_1 e_{\tau^{-1}i}$ is the map in the Koszul complex (7).*

   Then T is a tilting Γ-module of projective dimension at most n, and $\operatorname{End}_{\Gamma} T \simeq \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{G}} L'$.
2. *If $\tau^{-1}i$ is a backward movable vertex of Q, let $Q'_0 = (Q_0 \setminus \{\tau^{-1}\}) \cup \{i\}$ and let $L' = \bigoplus_{j \in Q'_0} \overline{\Gamma} e_j$. Set $T = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L^{(\tau^{-1})}, L) \oplus \operatorname{coKer} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\psi, L)$, where $\psi : \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_n e_{\tau^{-1}i} \to \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_{n-1} e_{\tau^{-1}i}$ is the map in the Koszul complex (7).*

   Then T is a cotilting Γ-module of projective dimension at most n, and $\operatorname{End}_{\Gamma} T \simeq \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{G}}(L')$.

We call the tilting module T in (1) (respectively, in (2)) the theorem *the tilting (respectively, cotilting) module related to Koszul complex* (7) for vertex i (respectively, for $\tau^{-1}i$).

Proof. We prove the first case, the second case is proven dually.

Assume that i is forward movable in Q and $H^i = H^i_{\overline{\Lambda}}$ is the hammock starting at i with hammock function μ^i, and let $Q'_0 = (Q_0 \setminus \{i\}) \cup \{\tau^{-1}i\}$. (7) is a truncation of the projective resolution of the simple $\overline{\Gamma}$-module $\overline{\Gamma}_0 e_{\tau^{-1}i}$.

By Proposition 2.3, $\overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_t e_{\tau^{-1}i} \simeq \bigoplus_{(j,n+1-t) \in H^i_0} (\overline{\Gamma}_t e_j)^{\mu^i(j,n+1-t)}$. Let $M = \bigoplus_{j \in Q_0 \cap Q'_0} \overline{\Gamma} e_j$ and let $M_t = \bigoplus_{(j,t) \in H^i} (\overline{\Gamma} e_j)^{\mu^i(j,t)}$. Let $X = \overline{\Gamma} e_i$ and let $Y = \overline{\Gamma} e_{\tau^{-1}i}$,

the Koszul complex (7) becomes

$$X \xrightarrow{f} M_1 \to \ldots \to M_n \xrightarrow{g} Y. \tag{8}$$

By Lemma 7.1 of [15], f is a left $\mathrm{add}\,(M)$-approximation and $g : M_n \to Y$ is a right $\mathrm{add}\,(M)$-approximation.

Let $V = X \oplus M$ and $W = Y \oplus M$. If $\overline{\Lambda}$ is Koszul, so is $\overline{\Gamma}$ and $\mathrm{Ker}\, f = 0$, otherwise, $\mathrm{Ker}\, f \simeq \overline{\Gamma}_0 e_{\tau_\perp i}$ and thus $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(V, \mathrm{Ker}\, f) = 0$. So we get exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(V, X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(V, M_1) \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(V, M_n) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(V, Y).$$

Note that $\mathrm{coKer}\, g \simeq \overline{\Gamma} e_{\tau^{-1}i}$. Since Q is convex and $\tau^{-1}i \notin Q_0$ and $(\tau^{-1}i)^- \subset Q_0$, thus $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathrm{coKer}\, g, W) = 0$, so we have an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(Y, W) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(M_n, W) \longrightarrow \ldots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(M_1, W) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(X, W).$$

Note $T = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}(L, L^{(i)}) \oplus \mathrm{coKer}\, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \phi)$, where $\phi : \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_2 e_{\tau^{-1}i} \to \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_1 e_{\tau^{-1}i}$ is the map in the Koszul complex. So by Proposition 3.4 of [22], T is a tilting Γ-module of projective dimension at most n.

We now prove that $\mathrm{End}_{\Gamma} T \simeq \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{G}} L'$. By applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, -)$ on (7), one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_2 e_{\tau^{-1}i}) &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L,\phi)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_1 e_{\tau^{-1}i}) \\ &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_0 e_{\tau^{-1}i}) \longrightarrow 0.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1

$$\mathrm{coKer}\, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \phi) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \overline{\Gamma} \otimes \overline{\Lambda}_0 e_{\tau^{-1}i}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \overline{\Gamma} e_{\tau^{-1}i})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{End}_{\Gamma} T &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, L^{(i)}) \oplus \mathrm{coKer}\, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \phi), \\ &\qquad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, L^{(i)}) \oplus \mathrm{coKer}\, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \phi)) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, L^{(i)}), \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, L^{(i)})) \\ &\quad \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, L^{(i)}), \mathrm{coKer}\, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \phi)) \\ &\quad \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\mathrm{coKer}\, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \phi), \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, L^{(i)})) \\ &\quad \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\mathrm{coKer}\, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \phi), \mathrm{coKer}\, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \phi)) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L^{(i)}, L^{(i)}) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L^{(i)}, \overline{\Gamma} e_{\tau^{-1}i}) \\ &\quad \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}(\overline{\Gamma} e_{\tau^{-1}i}, L^{(i)}) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\overline{\Gamma} e_{\tau^{-1}i}, \overline{\Gamma} e_{\tau^{-1}i}) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\bigoplus_{j \in Q'_0} \overline{\Gamma} e_j, \bigoplus_{j \in Q'_0} \overline{\Gamma} e_j) = \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{G}}(\bigoplus_{j \in Q'_0} \overline{\Gamma} e_j).\end{aligned}$$

This proves the Theorem. □

By Theorem 7.2 in [15], (8) is an n-almost split sequence in $\mathcal{G}$. Let $\overline{\Lambda}$ be an n-translation algebra with acyclic stable n-translation quiver $\overline{Q}$. If Q is a τ-slice of $\overline{Q}$ and i a sink (respectively, $\tau^{-1}i$ a source) of Q, we know that the algebra $\Lambda(Q) \simeq kQ/(\rho)$ and its τ-mutation $\Lambda(s_i^- Q) \simeq ks_i^- Q/(s_i^- \rho)$ (respectively, $\Lambda(s^+_{\tau^{-1}i} Q) \simeq ks^+_{\tau^{-1}i} Q/(s^+_{\tau^{-1}i} \rho)$ are derived equivalent [13]. In this case, a vertex in Q is forward movable if and only if it is a sink and it is backward movable if and only if it is a source. Further more, $Q' = s_i^- Q$ if i is a sink and $Q' = s^+_{\tau^{-1}i} Q$ if i is a source for the quiver Q' in Theorem 4.2. Using Lemma 3.1, we have the following refinement of theorem 4.2 for a dual τ-slice algebra.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\overline{\Lambda}$ be an acyclic n-translation algebra with n-translation quiver $\overline{Q}$ and n-translation τ, let $\overline{\Gamma} \simeq k\overline{Q}/(\overline{\rho}^{\perp})$ be its quadratic dual. Let $\Gamma \simeq kQ/(\rho^{\perp}) \simeq \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{G}} L$ be the dual τ-slice algebra of $\overline{Q}$.*

(1) *If i is a sink of Q, let T be the tilting module of Γ related to the Koszul complex* (7) *then* $\operatorname{End}_\Gamma T$ *is a dual τ-slice algebra and* $\operatorname{End}_\Gamma T \simeq ks_i^- Q/(s_i^-\rho^\perp)$,
(2) *if $\tau^{-1}i$ is a source of Q, let T be the cotilting module of Γ related to the Koszul complex* (7) *then* $\operatorname{End}_\Gamma T$ *is a dual τ-slice algebra and* $\operatorname{End}_\Gamma T \simeq ks^+_{\tau^{-1}i}Q/(s^+_{\tau^{-1}i}\rho^\perp)$.

So in this case, τ-mutation describe the tilting related to the Koszul complexes.

Recall that for a simple projective Γ-module P satisfying $\Gamma = P \oplus Q$, $\tau_n^{-1}P \oplus Q$ is called *n-APR tilting module* associated to P, if $\operatorname{id} P = n$ and $\operatorname{Ext}^t_\Gamma(D\Gamma, \Gamma e_i) = 0$ for $0 \leq t < n$. *n-APR co-tilting module* is defined dually.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $\overline{\Lambda}$ be an acyclic n-translation algebra with n-translation quiver $\overline{Q}$ and n-translation τ, let $\overline{\Gamma} \simeq k\overline{Q}/(\overline{\rho}^\perp)$ be its quadratic dual. Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$ be a truncation of $\overline{Q}$, and $\Gamma \simeq kQ/(\rho^\perp) \simeq \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{G}} L$.*

If i is a sink and backwards movable of Q_0 and Γ, then $\tau_n^{-1}\Gamma e_i \oplus \Gamma(1-e_i)$ is an n-APR tilting module.

If $\tau^{-1}i$ is a source and forwards movable of Q_0 and Γ, then $\tau_n De_{\tau^{-1}i}\Gamma \oplus D(1-e_i)\Gamma$ is an n-APR co-tilting module.

Proof. We prove the first assertion, the second follows by duality.

Note that $\overline{\Lambda}$ is also right n-translation algebra with the n-translation $\tau^{op} = \tau^{-1}$. We have a right Koszul complex

$$e_{\tau^{-1}i}\overline{\Gamma} \longrightarrow \overline{M}_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \overline{M}_t \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \overline{M}_n \longrightarrow e_i\overline{\Gamma}$$

which is both the projective resolution of the right simple $\overline{S}_i$ and also n-almost split sequence in $\mathcal{G}^{op}$. Where $\overline{M}_t = \bigoplus_{(j,n+1-t)\in H_{i,0}} e_j\overline{\Gamma}^{\mu_i(j,n+1-t)}$. This induce complex of right projective Γ-modules which is a projective resolution of the right simple Γ module $S_i = e_i\Gamma_0$.

$$e_{\tau^{op,-1}i}\overline{\Gamma}e \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_t \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_n \longrightarrow e_i\Gamma,$$

here $M_t = \bigoplus_{(j,n+1-t)\in H_{i,0}} e_j\Gamma^{\mu_i(j,n+1-t)}$. Apply the duality D, one get a injective resolution of left simple Γ-module $S_i = \Gamma e_i$ (since i is a sink of Q),

$$De_i\Gamma \longrightarrow DM_n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow DM_t \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow DM_1 \longrightarrow De_{\tau^{op,-1}i}\overline{\Gamma}e. \tag{9}$$

Apply $\operatorname{Hom}_\Gamma(D\Gamma, -)$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_\Gamma(D\Gamma, S_i) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_\Gamma(D\Gamma, De_i\Gamma) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_\Gamma(D\Gamma, DM_t) \\ \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_\Gamma(D\Gamma, DM_1) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_\Gamma(D\Gamma, De_{\tau^{op,-1}i}\overline{\Gamma}e) \end{aligned}$$

Write ${}_{\overline{\Gamma}}(-,-)$ for $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\Gamma}}(-,-)$ and ${}_\Gamma(-,-)$ for $\operatorname{Hom}_\Gamma(-,-)$ we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
{}_\Gamma(D\Gamma, De_i\Gamma) & \longrightarrow\cdots\longrightarrow & {}_\Gamma(D\Gamma, \bigoplus_j De_j\Gamma^{\mu_i(j,t)}) & \longrightarrow\cdots\longrightarrow & {}_\Gamma(D\Gamma, \bigoplus_j e_j\Gamma^{\mu_i(j,1)}) \\
\downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow\simeq \\
{}_\Gamma(e_i\Gamma, \Gamma) & \longrightarrow\cdots\longrightarrow & \bigoplus_j {}_\Gamma(e_j\Gamma^{\mu_i(j,t)}, \Gamma) & \longrightarrow\cdots\longrightarrow & \bigoplus_j {}_\Gamma(e_j\Gamma^{\mu_i(j,1)}, \Gamma) \\
\downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow\simeq \\
\Gamma e_i & \longrightarrow\cdots\longrightarrow & \bigoplus_j (\Gamma e_j)^{\mu_i(j,t)} & \longrightarrow\cdots\longrightarrow & \bigoplus_j (\Gamma e_j)^{\mu_i(j,1)} \\
\downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow\simeq \\
e\overline{\Gamma}e_i & \longrightarrow\cdots\longrightarrow & \bigoplus_j (e\overline{\Gamma}e_j)^{\mu_i(j,t)} & \longrightarrow\cdots\longrightarrow & (e\overline{\Gamma}e_j)^{\mu_i(j,1)}) \\
\downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow\simeq \\
{}_{\overline{\Gamma}}(\overline{\Gamma}e, \overline{\Gamma}e_i) & \longrightarrow\cdots\longrightarrow & \bigoplus_j {}_{\overline{\Gamma}}(\overline{\Gamma}e, (\overline{\Gamma}e_j)^{\mu_i(j,t)}) & \longrightarrow\cdots\longrightarrow & \bigoplus_j {}_{\overline{\Gamma}}(\overline{\Gamma}e, (\overline{\Gamma}e_j)^{\mu_i(j,1)}).
\end{array}$$

Due to that $\tau^{op,-1}i = \tau i$ and $\overline{\Gamma}e_i \to \cdots \to \overline{M_t} \to \cdots \to \overline{\Gamma}e_{\tau i}$ is an n-almost split sequence in $\mathcal{G}$, we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\Gamma}}(\overline{\Gamma}e, \overline{\Gamma}e_i) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus\nolimits_j \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\Gamma}}(\overline{\Gamma}e, (\overline{\Gamma}e_j)^{\mu_i(j,t)}) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ \longrightarrow \bigoplus\nolimits_j \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\Gamma}}(\overline{\Gamma}e, (\overline{\Gamma}e_j)^{\mu_i(j,1)}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\Gamma}}(\overline{\Gamma}e, \overline{\Gamma}e_{\tau i}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}(D\Gamma, De_i\Gamma) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}(D\Gamma, \bigoplus\nolimits_j De_j\Gamma^{\mu_i(j,t)}) \\ \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}(D\Gamma, \bigoplus\nolimits_j e_j\Gamma^{\mu_i(j,1)}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact and thus $\mathrm{Ext}^t_{\Gamma}(D\Gamma, \Gamma e_i) = 0$ for $0 \le t < n$. On the other hand, $e_{\tau^{op,-1}i}\overline{\Gamma}e = \mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\Gamma}}(\overline{\Gamma}e, \overline{\Gamma}e_{\tau i}) = 0$ since $\overline{Q}$ is acyclic, we have that $\mathrm{id}\, S_i = n$ from (9). This proves that $\tau_n^{-1}\Gamma e_i \oplus \Gamma(1-e_i)$ is an n-APR tilting module. □

Let Γ be an acyclic dual extendible n-translation algebra with quiver $(Q_0, Q_1, \rho^{\perp})$. For each a sink i of its quiver Q, call $\tau_n^{-1}\Gamma e_i \oplus \Gamma(1-e_i)$ *the n-APR tilting module of Γ at i*. For each a source i of Q, call $\tau_n De_i\Gamma \oplus D(1-e_i)\Gamma$ *the n-APR co-tilting module of Γ at i*.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\overline{\Lambda}$ be an acyclic n-translation algebra with n-translation quiver $\overline{Q}$ and n-translation τ, let $\overline{\Gamma} \simeq k\overline{Q}/(\overline{\rho}^{\perp})$ be its quadratic dual. Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$ be a complete τ-slice of $\overline{Q}$, and $\Gamma \simeq kQ/(\rho^{\perp}) \simeq \mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{G}} L$.*

1. *If i is a sink of Q, let T be the tilting module of Γ related to the Koszul complex (7). Then T is the n-APR tilting module of Γ at i and $\mathrm{End}_{\Gamma} T \simeq s_i^{-}\Gamma$.*
2. *If $\tau^{-1}i$ is a source of Q, let T be the cotilting module of Γ related to the Koszul complex (7). Then T is the n-APR co-tilting module of Γ at $\tau^{-1}i$ and $\mathrm{End}_{\Gamma} T \simeq s^{+}_{\tau^{-1}i}\Gamma$.*

Proof. We prove the first assertion, the second is proven by duality.

Let $\Lambda \simeq kQ/(\rho)$, and $\Gamma \simeq kQ/(\rho^{\perp})$ be its quadratic dual. Let $L = \bigoplus_{j\in Q_0} \overline{\Gamma}e_j$, then by Proposition 3.1, $\Gamma \simeq \mathrm{End}_{\overline{\Gamma}} L^{op}$. If $\cdots \longrightarrow P^{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow P^1 \longrightarrow P^0 \longrightarrow \overline{S}_j \longrightarrow 0$ is a projective resolution of a simple $\overline{\Gamma}$-module corresponding to a vertex $j \in Q_0$, then $0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, P^{n+1}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, P^1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, P^0) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, \overline{S}_j) \longrightarrow 0$ is a projective resolution of a simple Γ-module corresponding to vertex j and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(L, P^{n+1}) = 0$ since $\overline{Q}$ is acyclic and $\tau j \notin Q_0$. Thus Γ and Λ are Koszul algebras.

Note that for the n-translation algebra $\overline{\Lambda}$ with n-translation τ, $\overline{\Lambda}^{op}$ is n-translation algebra with n-translation τ^{-1}. So the Koszul complex of right Λ-modules

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow e_i\Lambda_n \otimes \Gamma \longrightarrow e_i\Lambda_{n-1} \otimes \Gamma \xrightarrow{\xi} \cdots \\ \longrightarrow e_i\Lambda_1 \otimes \Gamma \longrightarrow e_i\Lambda_0 \otimes \Gamma = e_i\Gamma \longrightarrow e_i\Gamma_0 \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

is the projective resolution of the simple Γ-module $e_i\Gamma_0$. Note that Q is convex and intersects each τ-orbits of $\overline{Q}$, and that i is a sink, thus $e_i\Lambda_n \neq 0$. Apply $D = \mathrm{Hom}_k(\ \ , k)$, we get and injective resolution of $\Gamma_0 e_i$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_0 e_i = D(e_i\Gamma_0) \longrightarrow D(e_i\Lambda_0 \otimes \Gamma) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ \longrightarrow D(e_i\Lambda_{n-1}) \otimes \Gamma \longrightarrow D(e_i\Lambda_n \otimes \Gamma) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

This is an injective resolution of the injective Γ-module $S(i) \simeq \Gamma_0 e_i$, and (10) is the projective resolution of $D(S_i) \simeq e_i\Gamma_0$. Applying $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\ \ , \Gamma)$ to (10), one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}(e_i\Gamma_0, \Gamma) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}(e_i\Lambda_0 \otimes \Gamma, \Gamma) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}(e_i\Gamma, \Gamma) \\ \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}(e_i\Lambda_1 \otimes \Gamma, \Gamma) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}(e_i\Lambda_{n-2} \otimes \Gamma, \Gamma) \xrightarrow{\xi^*} \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}(e_i\Lambda_{n-1} \otimes \Gamma, \Gamma). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Thus $\operatorname{coKer}\xi^* \simeq \tau_n S_i$.

On the other hand, we have $e_i\Lambda_t \otimes \Gamma \simeq \bigoplus_{(j,t)\in H^i_\Lambda} e_j\Gamma$. So by Lemma 4.1

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\operatorname{Hom}_\Gamma(\bigoplus_{(j,n-2)\in H^i_\Lambda} e_j\Gamma,\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{\xi^*} & \operatorname{Hom}_\Gamma(\bigoplus_{(j,n-1)\in H^i_\Lambda} e_j\Gamma,\Gamma) & \to & \operatorname{coKer}\xi^* & \to & 0\\
\downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow\simeq & & \\
\bigoplus_{(j,2)\in H^i_\Lambda} \Gamma e_j & \xrightarrow{\xi^*} & \bigoplus_{(j,n-1)\in H^i_\Lambda} \overline{\Gamma} e_j & \to & \operatorname{coKer}\xi^* & \to & 0\\
\downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow\simeq & & \\
\bigoplus_{(j,n-2)\in H^i_\Lambda} e\overline{\Gamma} e_j & \xrightarrow{\xi^*} & \bigoplus_{(j,1)\in H^i_\Lambda} e\overline{\Gamma} e_j & \to & \operatorname{coKer}\xi^* & \to & 0\\
\downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow\simeq & & \\
\operatorname{Hom}_\Gamma(\bigoplus_{(j,n-2)\in H^i_\Lambda} e_j\Gamma,\Gamma) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}_\mathcal{G}(\bigoplus_{(j,n-1)\in H^i_\Lambda} e_j\overline{\Gamma}, e\overline{\Gamma}) & \to & \operatorname{Hom}_\mathcal{G}(e_{\tau^{-1}i}\overline{\Gamma}, e\overline{\Gamma}) & \to & 0.
\end{array}$$

Thus $\operatorname{Hom}_\mathcal{G}(e_{\tau^{-1}i}\overline{\Gamma}, e\overline{\Gamma}) \simeq e\overline{\Gamma}e_{\tau^{-1}i}$.

So we get $T' \simeq \operatorname{coKer} f_n^* \oplus \bigoplus_{j\in Q_0\setminus\{i\}} \Gamma e_j = \bigoplus_{j\in(s_i^+Q)_0\setminus\{i\}} \Gamma e_j$. Thus

$$\operatorname{End}_\Gamma T' \simeq \operatorname{End}_\mathcal{G} \bigoplus_{j\in(s_i^+Q)_0\setminus\{i\}} \overline{\Gamma} e_j \simeq k(s_i^-Q)/(s_i^-\rho^\perp) = s_i^-\Gamma,$$

by Lemma 3.1. □

For dual extendible n-translation algebra Γ, we also have the following converse of Corollary 4.3.

Proposition 4.6. *Let Γ be an acyclic dual extendible $(n-1)$-translation algebra with $(n-1)$-translation quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$. If i is a sink or source of Q, then the n-APR tilts of Γ at i is obtained by the τ-mutation of Γ in $\mathbb{Z}|_nQ$ at i, that is, the dual τ-slice algebra of Γ obtained by the corresponding τ-mutation.*

Proof. Let $\overline{\Lambda} = \Delta\Lambda\#k\mathbb{Z}^*$ be the 0-extension of Λ and let $\overline{\Gamma} = \Delta\Lambda\#k\mathbb{Z}^{*!op}$ be its quadratic dual. Then Q is a τ-slice of $\mathbb{Z}|_nQ$ and Λ is a τ-slice algebra of $\overline{\Lambda}$. The Proposition follows from Proposition 4.5. □

It is interesting to know when is T an n-BB tilting module and describing its tilting algebra.

5. τ-slice algebras and n-quasi Fano algebras

Quasi-n-Fano algebras (quasi-Fano algebras of dimension algebra) are introduced by Minamoto [28]. Let Γ be a finite dimensional algebra of finite global dimension, let $\omega_\Gamma = D\Gamma[n]$ and let $\omega_\Gamma^{-1} = \mathbb{R}\operatorname{Hom}_\Gamma(\omega_\Gamma, \Gamma)$. Γ is called *quasi n-Fano (quasi-Fano algebras of dimension n)* if ω_Γ^{-1} is quasi-ample in the sense that $(\omega_\Gamma^{-1})^{\otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_\Gamma l}$ is in $\operatorname{mod}\Gamma$ for $l \gg 0$.

For a graded algebra $\widetilde{\Gamma} = \widetilde{\Gamma}_0 + \widetilde{\Gamma}_1 + \cdots$ and a fixed positive integer l, the *Beilinson algebra* $\nabla(\widetilde{\Gamma}) = \nabla_l(\widetilde{\Gamma})$ is defined as

$$\nabla(\widetilde{\Gamma}) = \begin{pmatrix}
\widetilde{\Gamma}_0 & \widetilde{\Gamma}_1 & \cdots & \widetilde{\Gamma}_{l-2} & \widetilde{\Gamma}_{l-1}\\
0 & \widetilde{\Gamma}_0 & \cdots & \widetilde{\Gamma}_{l-3} & \widetilde{\Gamma}_{l-2}\\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots\\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \widetilde{\Gamma}_0 & \widetilde{\Gamma}_1\\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \widetilde{\Gamma}_0
\end{pmatrix}.$$

By Theorem 4.12 of [30], we have the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *If $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is a Kosszul stable n-translation algebra and $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ be its quadratic dual. Then the Berlinson algebra $\nabla(\widetilde{\Gamma})$ is quasi $(n-1)$-Fano.*

Proof. $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is Koszul self-injective algebra, so by Theorem 6.2 of [15](see also [27], [32]) and by Theorem 3.19 of [30], $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is AS-regular of global dimension n. Since $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is also Koszul, its Gorenstein parameter is also n. So the assertions follows from Theorem 4.12 of [30]. □

Now we consider the Berlinson algebra of dual stable n-translation algebra. We have the following Proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *If $\widetilde{\Gamma} = \widetilde{\Gamma}_0 + \widetilde{\Gamma}_1 + \cdots \simeq k\widetilde{Q}/(\rho)$ is a graded algebra given by a connected bound quiver $\widetilde{Q} = (\widetilde{Q}_0, \widetilde{Q}_1, \rho)$ with homogeneous relation concerning only paths of length less that or equal $l-1$, then*

$$\nabla(\widetilde{\Gamma}) \simeq kQ^{N'}/(\rho^{N'}).$$

Proof. The proof follows from the proof Theorem 5.1 of [13]. □

The algebra $\nabla(\Gamma)$ is in general not an indecomposable.

We obviously have the following proposition as corollary.

Proposition 5.3. *Let Λ be a quadratic algebra satisfying the condition of Proposition 5.2. If Γ is the quadratic dual of Λ, then $\nabla(\Gamma)$ is the quadratic dual of $\nabla(\Lambda)$.*

In [13] we describe the Beilinson algebra of a stable n-pretranslation algebra, its trivial extension and its repetitive algebra. Let $\widetilde{\Lambda} = \widetilde{\Lambda}_0 + \widetilde{\Lambda}_1 + \cdots + \widetilde{\Lambda}_l$ be a stable n-pretranslation algebra with n-translation quiver $\widetilde{Q} = (\widetilde{Q}_0, \widetilde{Q}_1, \tilde{\rho})$. Let $\widetilde{Q}^N = (\widetilde{Q}_0^N, \widetilde{Q}_1^N, \tilde{\rho}^N) = \mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}[0,n]$ be the full bound sub-quiver of $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}$ defined by the vertex set $\widetilde{Q}_0^N = \{(i,t)|i \in \widetilde{Q}_0, 0 \leq t \leq n\}$. Then $\widetilde{Q}_1^N = \{(\alpha,t) : (i,t) \to (j,t+1)|\alpha : i \to j \in \widetilde{Q}_1, 0 \leq t \leq n-1\}$ and $\tilde{\rho}^N = \{(u,t)|u \in \tilde{\rho}, 0 \leq t \leq n-2\}$.

The following theorem shows certain τ-slice algebras are quasi n-Fano algebras.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ be a Koszul stable n-translation algebra with a connected n-translation quiver $\widetilde{Q}$, and let Γ be a dual τ-slice algebra for the special τ-slice $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}[0,n]$. Then Γ is a quasi $(n-1)$-Fano algebra.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.3, Γ is the Berlinson algebra of $\widetilde{\Gamma} \simeq (\widetilde{\Lambda})^{0p,!}$. So by Theorem 5.1, Γ is a quasi $(n-1)$-Fano algebra. □

Corollary 5.5. *Let Λ be an extendible n-translation algebra with connected n-translation quiver whose trivial extension is Koszul, then $\nabla(\Delta\Lambda)^{!,op}$ is a quasi n-Fano algebra.*

Proof. Since Λ is extendible and $\Delta\Lambda$ is Koszul $(n+1)$-translation algebra, thus $\nabla(\Delta\Lambda)^{!,op} \simeq \nabla((\Delta\Lambda)^{!,op})$ is quasi n-Fano, by Theorem 5.4. □

Corollary 5.6. *Let Γ be an algebra whose quadratic dual is an acyclic extendible n-translation algebra with Koszul trivial extension. Then Γ is a quasi n-Fano algebra.*

Proof. Let Λ be the quadratic dual of Γ, an acyclic n-translation algebra with $\Delta\Lambda$ Koszul $(n+1)$-translation algebra. Λ is a τ-slice algebra of $\Delta\Lambda$ by Proposition 3.4. Then by Lemma 6.5 of [13], there is a sequence $\sigma_r, \ldots, \sigma_1$ of τ-mutations such that $\Lambda' = \sigma_r \cdots \sigma_1\Lambda$ is a direct summand Λ' of $\nabla(\Delta\Lambda)$. Thus the quadratic dual Γ' of Λ' is a direct summand of $\nabla(\Delta(\Lambda)^{!,op})$, hence is a quasi n-Fano by Corollary 5.5. By Proposition 4.5, Γ is obtained from Γ' by a sequence of n-APR tilts and cotilts, hence is also quasi n-Fano by [29]. □

We call a dual τ-slice algebra Γ *n-infinite* if its quadratic dual is extendible with Koszul trivial extension, so Corollary 5.6 is restated as follows.

Corollary 5.7. *Let Γ be a n-infinite dual n-translation algebra. Then Γ is a quasi n-Fano algebra.*

In [16], we recover Iyama's construction of absolute $(n+1)$-algebra from an absolute n-algebra using trivial extension of its quadratic dual, which is an n-cubic algebra, using trivial extension, smash product and $(n+1)$-cuboid truncations. We want to construct quasi $(n+1)$-Fano algebra from a quasi n-Fano algebra which is n-infinite acyclic dual extendible n-translation algebra in a similar way.

Let Λ be an extendible τ-slice algebra with the bound quiver an acyclic quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$, thus Q is a complete τ-slice in $\mathbb{Z}|_n Q$. Let $\Delta\Lambda$ be its trivial extension with the bound quiver $\widetilde{Q} = (\widetilde{Q}_0, \widetilde{Q}_1, \tilde{\rho})$, then $\widetilde{Q}_0 = Q_0$, $\widetilde{Q}_1 = Q_1 \cup \{\alpha_{i,j,t} : i \to j | 1 \leq t \leq \dim {}_k e_i \Lambda'_{n+1} e_j\}$ with certain quadratic relations $\tilde{\rho}$. The arrows $\alpha_{i,j,t}$ will be called *returning arrows* and $\widetilde{Q}$ is called the *returning arrow quiver of Q* When construct smash product $\Delta\Lambda \# k\mathbb{Z}^*$, we get the bound quiver $\mathbb{Z}|_n Q$, and when construct $\Delta\Lambda \#' k\mathbb{Z}^*$, we get the bound quiver $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond \widetilde{Q}$. $\mathbb{Z}|_n Q$ is a connect component of $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond \widetilde{Q}$. Thus Q is regarded as a sub-quiver of $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond Q$ thus vertices are indexed as in $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond Q$.

Now the trivial extension $\Delta(\Delta\Lambda)$ has the bound quiver $\widehat{Q} = (Q_0, \widehat{Q}_1, \widehat{\rho})$, which has the same vertex set as $\widetilde{Q}$, the arrow set $\widehat{Q}_1$ is obtained by adding to each vertex i a loop β_i to $\widetilde{Q}_1$ and relation set $\widehat{\rho}$ is obtained by adding for each vertex a relation $\beta_{i,t}^2$ and for each arrow $\alpha : i \to j$ in $\widetilde{Q}$ a commutative relation $\alpha\beta_i - \beta_j\eta(\alpha)$ for some automorphism η. By the Proposition 4.3 of [13], the bound quiver $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond \widehat{Q}$ of the smash product $\Delta(\Delta\Lambda) \#' k\mathbb{Z}^*$ is connected. This quiver is the returning arrow quiver of $\widetilde{Q}$, and the loop added at each vertex is a returning arrow.

A sub-quiver Q of $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond \widehat{Q}$ without arrow arising from returning arrow in $\widehat{Q}$ is said to be *in one piece of $\widetilde{Q}$*. Note that $\Delta(\Delta\Lambda) \#' k\mathbb{Z}^*$ is of Loewy length $n+3$, thus for each vertex i, (i,t) and $(i, t+n+2)$ are in the same τ-orbit, but (i,t) and $(i,t-1)$ are not. The paths connecting $(i,t-1)$ and (i,t) is in one piece of $\widetilde{Q}$, but paths connecting (i,t) and $(i,t+n+2)$ has an arrow arising from returning arrow. In $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond \widehat{Q}$, the full sub-quiver Q' with the vertex set $\{(i, r+s) | (i,r) \in Q_0\}$ is convex and isomorphic to the original τ-slice Q of a component of $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond \widetilde{Q}$ and is in one piece of $\widetilde{Q}$. If $H_{\widetilde{Q}}^{(i,t)}$ is the hammock starting at (i,t) in $\widetilde{Q}$, then the hammock $H_{\widehat{Q}}^{(i,t+h)}$ in $\widehat{Q}$ starting at the vertex $(i,t+h)$ has the vertex set

$$\{(j, t+h+r) | (j, t+r) \in H_{\widetilde{Q},0}^{(i,t)}\} \cup \{(j, t+h+1+r) | (j,t+r) \in H_{\widetilde{Q},0}^{(i,t)}\},$$

when regarded as a sub-quiver of $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond \widehat{Q}$. $H_{\widehat{Q}}^{(i,t+h)}$ is formed by two copies of $H_{\widetilde{Q}}^{(i,t)}$ in one piece of $\widetilde{Q}$ connected by the arrows arising from the returning arrows for every vertices.

We need the following technical Lemmas comparing τ-mutations.

Lemma 5.8. *Let Q be a complete τ-slice in a connected component $\overline{Q}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond \widetilde{Q}$ and let Q^S be a complete τ-slice in $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond \widehat{Q}$ such that Q is a full convex sub-quiver of Q^S, Q is in one piece of $\widetilde{Q}$ and each source of Q is a source of Q^S. If σ^+ is a τ-mutation of Q in $\overline{Q}$, then there is a sequence of τ-mutation $\hat{\sigma_1}, \ldots, \hat{\sigma_l}$ of Q^S in $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond \widehat{Q}$ such that $\sigma^+ Q$ is in one piece of $\hat{\sigma_l} \cdots \hat{\sigma_1} Q^S$ and each source of $\sigma^+ Q$ is a source of $\hat{\sigma_l} \cdots \hat{\sigma_1} Q^S$.*

Proof. Assume that Q is identical to a full sub-quiver of Q^S and (j_1, s_0) is a source of both Q and Q^S, and assume that $\sigma^+ = \sigma^+_{(j_1,s_1)}$ for Q. Let $\sigma_1 = \sigma^+_{(j_1,s_1)}$ for Q^S, then by definition $\sigma_1 Q^S$ is obtained by removing the vertex (j_1, s_1) together with the arrows from it and adding the vertex $(j_1, s_1 + n + 2)$ together with the arrows from vertices of Q^S to $(j_1, s_1 + n + 2)$. By comparing the hammocks $H^{(j_1,t)}_{\widetilde{Q}}$ in $\overline{Q}$ and $H^{(j_1,t+h)}_{\widehat{Q}}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widehat{Q}$, one see that $\sigma^+_{(j_1,s_1)}Q$ is full convex sub-quiver of Q^S in one piece of $\widetilde{Q}$ and the sources other than (j_1, s_1) are unchanged, so they remain the sources in $\sigma_1 Q^S$, too.

We consider the sources $(j_2, s_2), \ldots, (j_r, s_r)$ of $\sigma^+_{(j_1,s_1)}Q$ which are not sources of Q, then there is an arrow from (j_1, r_1) to each of these vertex and $s_2 = \cdots = s_r = s_1 + 1$. Let $S(\sigma^+_{(j_1,s_1)}, Q, Q^S)$ be the set of vertices (j'_t, s'_t) in $\sigma^+_{(j_1,s_1)}Q^S$, such that there is a nontrivial path in Q^S from (j'_t, s'_t) to some source of $\sigma^+_{(j_1,s_1)}Q^S$. Clearly, this is a finite set and none of the vertex in it is a vertex of $\sigma^+_{(j_1,s_1)}Q$. Since Q^S is acyclic and finite, one of its vertex, say (j'_{t_0}, s'_{t_0}) is a source of Q^S. By apply $\sigma^+_{(j'_{t_0},s'_{t_0})}$ on $\sigma^+_{(j_1,s_1)}Q^S$, we get $\sigma^+_{(j'_{t_0},s'_{t_0})}\sigma^+_{(j_1,s_1)}Q = \sigma^+_{(j_1,s_1)}Q$, and $S(\sigma^+_{(j'_{t_0},s'_{t_0})}\sigma^+_{(j_1,s_1)}, Q, Q^S)$ has one less vertex than $S(\sigma^+_{(j_1,s_1)}, Q, Q^S)$. Take $\hat{\sigma}_l = \sigma^+_{(j'_{t_0},s'_{t_0})}$, using induction one gets the desired result. □

Dually, we also have a version of the above Lemma for sinks.

Lemma 5.9. *Let Q be a complete τ-slice in a connected component $\overline{Q}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}$ and let Q^S be a complete τ-slice in $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widehat{Q}$ such that Q is a full convex sub-quiver of Q^S which is in one piece of $\widetilde{Q}$ and each sink of Q is a sink of Q^S. If σ^- is a τ-mutation of Q in $\overline{Q}$, then there is a sequence of τ-mutation $\hat{\sigma}_1, \ldots, \hat{\sigma}_l$ of Q^S in $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widehat{Q}$ such that σ^-Q is in one piece of $\hat{\sigma}_l \cdots \hat{\sigma}_1 Q^S$ and each sink of σ^-Q is a sink of $\hat{\sigma}_l \cdots \hat{\sigma}_1 Q^S$.*

By Corollary 5.7, a acyclic n-infinite dual extendible n-translation algebra is a quasi n-Fano algebra. Now we use a method similar to that of [16] to construct quasi $(n+1)$-Fano algebra from acyclic n-infinite dual extendible n-translation algebra. In this way we obtain a sequence a higher quasi Fano algebras from a given one.

Theorem 5.10. *Let Γ be acyclic n-infinite dual extendible n-translation algebra, then there is a quasi $(n+1)$-Fano algebra $\Gamma(n+1)$ which is acyclic $(n+1)$-infinite dual extendible $(n+1)$-translation algebra containing Γ as an subalgebra.*

Proof. Since $\Lambda = \Gamma^{!,op}$ is acyclic its bound quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$ is a complete τ-slice in $\mathbb{Z}|_{n+1}Q$, the bound quiver of $\widetilde{\Lambda}\#k\mathbb{Z}^*$, by Proposition 3.4. $\Delta\Lambda$ is Koszul $(n+1)$-translation algebra, so by [19], $\Delta(\Delta\Lambda)$ is a Koszul stable $(n+2)$-translation algebra. Thus $\Gamma^S = \nabla(\Delta(\Delta\Lambda)^{!,op})$ is a quasi $(n+1)$-Fano, by Theorem 5.1. By Proposition 5.2 and [13], $\Gamma^S \simeq kQ^S/(\rho^{S,\perp})$ for the special τ-slice $Q^S = (Q^S_0, Q^S_1, \rho^S) = \mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widehat{Q}[0, n+1]$ of $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widehat{Q}$.

By a sequence $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_r$ of τ-mutations, we have the bound quiver $Q' = \sigma_r \cdots \sigma_1 Q$, which is regarded as a component of $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widetilde{Q}[0, n+1]$. We have that $\Delta\Lambda \simeq \Delta(\sigma_r \cdots \sigma_1 \Lambda)$, by [13], with bound quiver the returning arrow quiver $\widetilde{Q} = (\widetilde{Q}_0, \widetilde{Q}_1, \tilde{\rho})$ of Q.

By the Proposition 4.3 of [13], the special τ-slice $Q^S = \mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widehat{Q}[0, n+2]$ of $\widehat{Q}$ is connected. Q' is regarded as a full sub-quiver of Q^S in one of piece of $\widetilde{Q}$ with its sources remain sources in Q^S, by choosing the τ-mutation suitably. By Lemma 5.8 and Lemma 5.9, there is a sequence $\hat{\sigma}_1, \ldots, \hat{\sigma}_l$ of τ-mutation of Q^S in $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond\widehat{Q}$ such

that $Q = \sigma_1^{-1} \cdots \sigma_r^{-1} Q'$ is a full sub-quiver of $\hat{\sigma_l} \cdots \hat{\sigma_l} Q^S$ in one piece of $\widetilde{Q}$ with its sources remaining sources in $\hat{\sigma_l} \cdots \hat{\sigma_l} Q^S$. Clearly, Q is convex in both $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond \widehat{Q}$ and Q^S.

Thus $Q^\perp = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho^\perp)$ is a convex full sub-quiver of $Q^{'S,\perp} = \hat{\sigma_l} \cdots \hat{\sigma_l} Q^{S,\perp} = (Q_0^{'S}, Q_1^{'S}, \rho^{'S,\perp})$ of $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond \widehat{Q}^\perp$. Let $\Gamma^{'S}$ and Γ^S be the dual τ-slice algebra with bound quiver the τ-slice $Q^{'S,\perp}$ and $Q^{S,\perp}$, respectively, then by Theorem 5.4, $Q^{S,\perp}$ is a quasi $(n+1)$-Fano algebra. By [29], $Q^{'S,\perp}$ is a quasi $(n+1)$-Fano algebra, too, and thus Γ is a subalgebra of the quasi $(n+1)$-Fano algebra $\Gamma^{'S}$, by Proposition 3.1. Since $\mathbb{Z}_\diamond \widehat{Q}$ contains no cyclic, by Lemma 3.2 of [19], $\Gamma^{'S}$ is an $(n+1)$-infinite acyclic dual extendible $(n+1)$-translation algebra. □


So by Theorem 5.10, we get a sequence of higher quasi Fano algebras

$$\Gamma = \Gamma(n) \subset \Gamma = \Gamma(n+1) \subset \cdots$$

when one n-infinite dual τ-slice algebra Γ is given.


Remark. Assume k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 and V an $(n+1)$-dimensional vector space over k. Let $\wedge V$ and $k[V]$ be the exterior algebra and symmetric algebra of V over k, respectively. $\wedge V$ is a stable n-translation algebra which is Koszul and $k[V]$ is its quadratic dual. The dual τ-slice algebra of $\wedge V$ is the classical Berlinson algebra and is quasi n-Fano algebra. Let G be a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$. Then $\wedge V * G$ is Morita equivalent to a stable n-translation algebra $\widetilde{\Lambda}(G)$ with the McKay quiver $Q(G)$ of G as its n-translation quiver, and $k[V] * G$ is the quadratic dual of $\wedge V * G$ ([17]). Call $\widetilde{\Lambda}(G)$ *the basic self-injective algebra* associated to the McKay quiver $Q(G)$. The dual τ-slice algebras of $\widetilde{\Lambda}(G)$ provide a family of graded coherent quasi n-Fano algebras, since the quadratic dual $\widetilde{\Gamma}(G)$ of $\widetilde{\Lambda}(G)$ is Noetherian.

Example. Let $k = \mathbb{C}$, and let $V = \mathbb{C}^2$ be the two dimensional vector space. For the dihedral group $G = D_4 \subset \mathrm{SL}(C^2)$, we know that $\widetilde{\Lambda}(G)$ has the McKay quiver the double quiver $Q(G)$ of $\widetilde{D}_4$ as its quiver




(13)

denote the arrow from 1 to i by α_i and arrow from i to 1 by β_i, the relation set is $\{\beta_i\alpha_i + \beta_2\alpha_2 | i = 3, 4, 5\} \cup \{\alpha_i\beta_j | i \neq j, 2 \leq i, j \leq 5\}$. The quadratic dual $\widetilde{\Gamma}(G)$ of $\widetilde{\Lambda}(G)$ is an AS-regular algebra of dimension $n+1$. There are two non-isomorphic (dual) τ-slice algebras given by the quivers




both are the indecomposable components of the Berlinson algebras of $\widetilde{\Lambda}(G)$ (or $\widetilde{\Gamma}(G)$, and both are hereditary algebras. With τ-mutations, one obtain other τ-slice algebras and dual τ-slice algebras from these two.



The τ-slice algebras have zero relations for each path of length 2. All the dual τ-slice algebras are hereditary and have no relation and they are quasi 1-Fano.


With an embedding of V in to $V \oplus \mathbb{C} = V'$, we have an embedding $G \simeq G' \subset \mathrm{SL}(V') = \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ with the trivial action on some new basic element. The McKay quiver $Q(G')$ of G' is the returning arrow quiver of the McKay quiver of G (see [12]). In this case, the new skew group algebra $k[V'] * G' \simeq (k[V] * G)[x]$, the central extension of $k[V] * G$. $\widetilde{\Gamma}(G')$ is also obtain as the quadratic dual of a twisted trivial extension of $\widetilde{\Lambda}(G)$ (see [19]). The dual τ-slice algebras of $\widetilde{\Lambda}(G')$ gives the extension of the quasi n-Fano algebras obtained above.

The returning arrow quiver $Q(G')$ of (13) is



(14)


with relations as described in [19]. The special τ-slice Q^S is



write $\alpha_{(i,t)}$ for the arrow from $(1,t)$ to $(i,t+1)$, $\beta_{(i,t)}$ for the arrow from (i,t) to $(1,t+1)$ for $2 \leq i \leq 5$, and $\gamma_{(i,t)}$ for the arrow from (i,t) to $(i,t+1)$ for $2 \leq i \leq 5$, this is a quasi 2-Fano algebra with relations

$$\{\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{4} \beta_{(i,1)}\alpha_{(i,0)}\} \cup \{\gamma_{(1,t+1)}\beta_{(i,t)} + \beta_{(i,t)}\gamma_{(i,t)} | 2 \leq i \leq 5, t = 0, 1\}$$
$$\cup \{\alpha_{(i,1)}\beta_{(i,0)} | 2 \leq i \leq 4\} \cup \{\gamma_{(i,t+1)}\alpha_{(i,t)} + \alpha_{(i,t)}\gamma_{(1,t)} | 2 \leq i \leq 5, t = 0, 1\}.$$

The other 14 non-isomorphic τ-slices are



These are also the bound quiver of the 2-APR tilting and co-tilting algebras of the dual τ-slice algebra.

6. n-almost split sequence and truncation of $\mathbb{Z}|_nQ$

One important feature of the n-translation algebra is that it induces n-almost split sequences via Koszul complexes. Now we study τ_n-closures of the dual τ-slice algebra, we relate its Auslander-Reiten quiver and the quiver $\mathbb{Z}|_nQ$.

Let $\overline{Q} = (\overline{Q}_0, \overline{Q}_1, \overline{\rho})$ be an acyclic n-translation quiver with n-translation τ such that $\overline{\Lambda} \simeq k\overline{Q}/(\overline{\rho})$ is n-translation algebra. Let $\overline{\Gamma}$ be the quadratic dual of $\overline{\Lambda}$ with bound quiver $\overline{Q}^{\perp} = (\overline{Q}_0, \overline{Q}_1, \overline{\rho}^{\perp})$ and let Γ be the dual τ-slice algebra with bound quiver $Q^{\perp} = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho^{\perp})$ and $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$ a complete τ-slice of $\overline{Q}$.

Let $\mathcal{G} = \operatorname{add}(\overline{\Gamma})$ be the category of finite generated projective $\overline{\Gamma}$-modules and let $\mathcal{L} = \operatorname{add}(\overline{\Lambda})$ be the category of finite generated projective $\overline{\Lambda}$-modules. Since $\overline{Q}$ is acyclic, $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ are Hom-finite Krull-Schmidt. Denote by $\{e_i | i \in \overline{Q}_0\}$ the complete set of primitive orthogonal idempotents, – when no confusion occurs, we will use the same notation for the primitive orthogonal idempotents in $\overline{\Lambda}$ and in $\overline{\Gamma}$.

We are interested in the modules of dual τ-slice algebra.

Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$ be an complete τ-slice of $\overline{Q}$, Q is acyclic. Let Γ be the dual τ-slice algebra with acyclic bound quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho^{\perp})$, and let Λ be the τ-slice algebra. We will use the same notation $e_j, j \in Q_0$ for the primitive orthogonal idempotents for Λ and Γ.

Lemma 6.1. *Γ is of global dimension $\leq n$.*

Proof. Let $e = \sum_{j \in Q_0} e_j$, then $\Gamma \simeq e\overline{\Gamma}e = \operatorname{End}_{\overline{\Gamma}}\overline{\Gamma}e$. Note that for each $i \in Q_0 \subset \overline{Q}_0$, we have a n-almost split sequence

$$M_{n+1} = \overline{\Gamma}e_{\tau^i} \xrightarrow{g} \cdots \longrightarrow M_t \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_0 = \overline{\Gamma}e_i$$

with $M_t = \bigoplus_{(j,t) \in H_{i,0}} (\overline{\Gamma}e_j)^{\mu_i(j,t)}$ for $0 \leq t \leq n$. This is the first $n+2$ term of the projective resolution of the simple $\overline{\Gamma}$-module $\overline{\Gamma}_0 e_i$. Since $\tau i \notin Q_0$ and $\overline{Q}$ is acyclic,

$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\overline{\Gamma}e_j, \overline{\Gamma}e_{\tau i}) = 0$ for all $j \in Q_0$. Apply $\mathrm{Hom}_{\overline{\Gamma}}(\overline{\Gamma}e, \quad)$, we get exact sequence

$$0 \to N_n \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow N_t \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow N_0 = \Gamma e_i \longrightarrow \Gamma_0 e_i \to 0$$

with $N_t = \bigoplus_{(j,t)\in H_{i,0}} (\Gamma e_j)^{\mu_i(j,t)}$ for $0 \le t \le n$. This is the projective resolution of simple Γ-module $\Gamma_0 e_i$.

This proves that $\mathrm{gl.dim}\,\Gamma \le n$. □

Let $\mathrm{ind}\,\mathcal{C}$ be the set of iso-classes of indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{C}$, we have

$$\mathrm{ind}\,\mathcal{G} = \{\overline{\Gamma}e_i | i \in \overline{Q}_0\}, \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathrm{ind}\,\mathcal{L} = \{\overline{\Lambda}e_i | i \in \overline{Q}_0\}.$$

Lemma 6.2. *Assume that $\overline{\Lambda}$ is $(n+1,q)$-Koszul algebra, and let $\mathcal{G}'$ be a full subcategory of $\mathcal{G}$ such that objects appearing in ξ_i in (3) are all in $\mathcal{G}'$. Then ξ_i in (3) is an n-almost split sequence in $\mathcal{G}'$ if and only if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(X, \overline{\Gamma}e_{\tau^{-1}i})_q = 0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{G}'$.*

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 7.1 in [15]. □

Corollary 6.3. *Assume that $\overline{\Lambda}$ is $(n+1,q)$-Koszul algebra and let $\mathcal{G}'$ be a subcategory of $\mathcal{G}$ such that objects appearing in ξ_i in (3) are all in $\mathcal{G}'$. Then ξ_i in (3) is an n-almost split sequence in $\mathcal{G}'$ if and only if for any $j \in \overline{Q}_0$, $\overline{\Gamma}e_j$ is not an object of $\mathcal{G}'$ whenever there is a bound path of length q from j to $\tau^{-1}i$.*

For the full subcategory $\mathcal{G}' = \mathrm{add}\,\{\overline{\Gamma}e_j | j \in Q'_0\}$ of $\mathcal{G}$, with some convex full sub-quiver Q' of $\overline{Q}$, the following corollary follows from Corollary 6.3.

Corollary 6.4. *Assume that $\overline{\Lambda}$ is $(n+1,q)$-Koszul algebra and let $\mathcal{G}' = \mathrm{add}\,\{\overline{\Gamma}e_j | j \in \overline{Q}'_0\}$ be a full subcategory of $\mathcal{G}$ for some convex full sub-quiver Q' of $\overline{Q}$. Then $\mathcal{G}'$ has n-almost split sequence if and only if for any $i \in \overline{Q}'$ with $\tau i \in \overline{Q}'_0$, if there is a bound path of length q from j to i, then $\overline{\Gamma}e_j$ is not an object of $\mathcal{G}'$.*

Let $\mathcal{P}(\overline{Q}^{\perp})$ be the quotient category of path category $\mathbf{P}(\overline{Q})$ of $\overline{Q}$ modulo the ideal generated by the relations in $\overline{\rho}^{\perp}$. Then we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{P}(\overline{Q}^{\perp})$. $\overline{Q}^{\perp,op}$ is the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{G}$, with the indecomposable projective $\overline{\Gamma}$-modules $\{\overline{\Gamma}e_j | j \in \overline{Q}_0\}$ as vertices, and representatives of a basis of $J_{\mathcal{G}}(\overline{\Gamma}e_i, \overline{\Gamma}e_j)/J_{\mathcal{G}}(\overline{\Gamma}e_i, \overline{\Gamma}e_j)$ as arrows. Using Lemma 6.4 of [25] and Proposition 3.6 of [8], we see that the relations are determined by the first two maps in the source sequences or by the last two maps in the sink sequences in $\mathcal{G}$.

Let Q' be a full sub-quiver of $\overline{Q}$, a complete τ-slice Q^S of $\overline{Q}$ in Q' is called a *starting slice* of Q' if there is an arrow from i to j with $j \in Q^S_0$, then $i \in Q^S_0$. An *ending slice* of Q' is defined dually.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a Hom-finite Krull-Schmidt k-category such that $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}X/J\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}X \simeq k$ for each indecomposable object X in $\mathcal{C}$. If there is a correspondence F from the objects of $\mathcal{C}$ to the objects of $\mathcal{G}$ satisfies the conditions*

(1). *If $X \in \mathrm{ind}\,(\mathcal{C})$, $F(X) = \overline{\Gamma}e_i$ for some $i \in \overline{Q}_0$.*

(2). *The full sub-quiver $Q = Q_{\mathcal{C}}$ of $\overline{Q}$ with vertex set*

$$Q_{\mathcal{C},0} = \{i \in \overline{Q}_0 | \overline{\Gamma}e_i \simeq F(X) \textit{ for some } X \in \mathrm{ind}\,(\mathcal{C})\}$$

is a convex sub-quiver of $\overline{Q}$ containing a complete τ-slice Q' as its starting slice. (resp. ending slice).

Let $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathrm{add}\,\{\overline{\Gamma}e_j | j \in Q_0\}$ and $\mathcal{G}' = \mathrm{add}\,\{\overline{\Gamma}e_j | j \in Q'_0\}$.

(3). *If* $FX, FY \in \mathcal{G}'$, *then* $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(FX,FY)$.
(4). $M_{n+1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_t \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow M_0$ *is a sink sequence in* $\mathcal{C}$ *if and only if* $F(M_{n+1}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F(M_t) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F(M_0)$ *is a sink sequence (resp. source sequence) in* $\mathcal{G}$.

Then F *defines an equivalence of* $\mathcal{C}$ *with the full subcategory* $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ *of* $\mathcal{G}$.

In fact, $\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to the bound path category of the full bound sub-quiver $Q_{\mathcal{C}}$ of $\overline{Q}$.

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{C}$ is Krull-Schmidt Hom-finite, we have $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}M$ is finite dimensional. Since $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}X/J\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}X \simeq k$ for an indecomposable objects X, we have $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}M/J\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}M \simeq k^s$ for a basic object X in $\mathcal{C}$.

Since $Q_{\mathcal{C}}$ is convex, and for any $i,j \in Q_{\mathcal{C},0}$, any path from i to j in $\overline{Q}$ is also a path in $Q_{\mathcal{C}}$, thus the relation

$$\rho_{\mathcal{C}}{}^{\perp} = \{e_j x e_i | x \in \overline{\rho}^{\perp}, i,j \in Q_{\mathcal{C},0}\}$$

can be regard as a subset of $\overline{\rho}^{\perp}$. So the full subcategory $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}) = \mathrm{add}\,\{\overline{\Gamma}e_j | j \in Q_{\mathcal{C},0}\}$ is the bound path category given by the bound quiver $Q_{\mathcal{C}} = (Q_{\mathcal{C},0}, Q_{\mathcal{C},1}, \rho_{\mathcal{C}}{}^{\perp})$, with $\mathcal{G}'$ a full subcategory. By (3), F induces an equivalent functor from the full subcategory $\mathcal{C}' = \mathrm{add}\,\{X | F(X) = \Gamma e_j, j \in Q_0'\}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{G}'$, which is the bound path category given by the quiver $Q'^{\perp}$. Take G as the quasi inverse of F from $\mathcal{G}'$ to $\mathcal{C}'$ which leads to a functor $\widehat{G}$ from the path category $\mathbf{P}(Q')$ to $\mathcal{C}'$ sending vertices to the indecomposables and arrows to the representatives of a basis of $J_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y)/J^2_{\mathcal{C}}(X,Y)$ with kernel the ideal generated by $\rho'^{\perp}$.

We consider the case that Q' is the starting slice of $\overline{Q}$, the other case is prove dually. Since Q' is a starting slice of Q, for each indecomposable X in $\mathcal{C}$, we have that $F(X) \simeq \overline{\Gamma}e_{\tau^{-t}j}$ for $j \in Q_0'$ and some $t \geq 0$, by (1). Define $Q_{\mathcal{C}}(0) = Q'$ and define $Q_{\mathcal{C}}(r)$ inductively as the full sub-quiver of $Q_{\mathcal{C}}$ with the vertex set $Q_{\mathcal{C},0}(r) = Q_{\mathcal{C},0}(r-1) \cup \{i = \tau^- j | j \in Q_{\mathcal{C},0}(r-1), i^- \subset Q_{\mathcal{C},0}(r-1)\}$. Clearly, each $Q_{\mathcal{C}}(r)$ is convex. Let $\mathcal{G}(r) = \mathrm{add}\,\{\overline{\Gamma}e_j | j \in Q_{\mathcal{C},0}(r)\}$ and $\mathcal{C}(r) = \mathrm{add}\,\{X | F(X) \simeq \overline{\Gamma}e_j, j \in Q_{\mathcal{C},0}(r)\}$. $\mathcal{G}(r)$ is the bound path category of the bound quiver $Q_{\mathcal{C}}(r) = (Q_{\mathcal{C}}(r), Q_{\mathcal{C}}(r), \rho_{\mathcal{C}}^{\perp}(r))$.

Inductively, assume that $\widehat{G}(j) = X_j$ is defined for the path category $\mathbf{P}(Q_{\mathcal{C}}(r-1))$ of the quiver $Q(r-1)$, which induces functor G from $\mathcal{G}(r-1)$ to $\mathcal{C}(r-1)$ such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\overline{\Gamma}e_j, \overline{\Gamma}e_{j'}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(G(\overline{\Gamma}e_j), G(\overline{\Gamma}e_{j'})) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, X_{j'})$$

for $\overline{\Gamma}e_j, \overline{\Gamma}e_{j'}$ in $\mathcal{G}(r-1)$.

For each $i = \tau^{-r}j''$ in $Q_{\mathcal{C},0}(r)$, we have $\overline{\Gamma}e_{\tau^{-r+1}j''} \in \mathcal{G}(r-1)$ since Q' is a complete τ-slice and Q is convex. So by (4), there is a sink sequence

$$X_{\tau^{-r+1}j''} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{(j',2)\in H_i} X_{j'}^{\mu_i(j',2)} \xrightarrow{g} \bigoplus_{(j',1)\in H_i} X_{j'}^{\mu_i(j',1)} \xrightarrow{f} X_i$$

in $\mathcal{C}$ with all terms except the last one are in $\mathcal{C}(r-1)$ and

$$\overline{\Gamma}e_{\tau^{-r+1}j''} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{(j',2)\in H_i} (\overline{\Gamma}e_{j'})^{\mu_i(j',2)} \xrightarrow{F(g)} \bigoplus_{(j',1)\in H_i} (\overline{\Gamma}e_{j'})^{\mu_i(j',1)} \xrightarrow{F(f)} \overline{\Gamma}e_i$$

a sink sequence in $\mathcal{G}$, it is in fact an n-almost split sequence in $\mathcal{G}$. Thus by (4), we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X_{\tau^{-r+1}j''}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{(j',t)\in H_i} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X_{j'})^{\mu_i(j't)} \longrightarrow \cdots \\ \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{(j',2)\in H_i} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X_{j'})^{\mu_i(j'2)} \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{(j',1)\in H_i} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X_{j'})^{\mu_i(j'1)} \\ \longrightarrow J_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X_{\tau^{-\iota}j}) \longrightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$.

Now take $X = X_j$ for vertex j with $(j,1) \in H_i$ in (15), we get exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, X_j)^{\mu_i(j,1)} \xrightarrow{f^*} J_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, X_i) \longrightarrow 0$, and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{P}(Q(l))}(j,i) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\overline{\Gamma}e_j, \overline{\Gamma}e_i) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, X_i) \simeq J_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, X_i) \simeq J_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, X_i)/J^2_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, X_i)$. Set $\widehat{G}(i) = X_i$. This shows that $\dim {}_k J_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, X_i)/J^2_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, X_i)$ is exactly the number of the arrows from j to i, the images $\alpha_1(ji), \ldots, \alpha_{\mu_i(j,1)}(ji)$ of the standard basis of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, X_j)^{\mu_i(j,1)}$ under f^* forms a basis of $J_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, X_i)/J^2_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, X_i)$ and $f = (\alpha_1(ji), \ldots, \alpha_{\mu_i(j,1)}(ji))$. By Lemma 6.4 of [25] and Proposition 3.5 of [8], $\widehat{G}$ is extended to a functor from the path category $\mathbf{P}(Q(r))$ to $\mathcal{C}(r) = \mathrm{add}\,\{\widehat{G}(j) | j \in Q(r)\}$ sending the arrows from j to i to a basis of $J_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, X_i)$.

Now take $X = X_j$ for vertex j with $(j,2) \in H_i$ in (15), we get exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, X_j^{\mu_i(j,2)}) & \xrightarrow{g^*} \bigoplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, X_{j'})^{\mu_i(j',1)} & \xrightarrow{f^*} & J_{\mathcal{C}}(X_j, X_i) \longrightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow\simeq & \downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow \\ 0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\overline{\Gamma}e_j, (\overline{\Gamma}e_j)^{\mu_i(j,2)}) & \xrightarrow{F(g)^*} \bigoplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\overline{\Gamma}e_j, \overline{\Gamma}e_{j'})^{\mu_i(j',1)} & \xrightarrow{F(f)^*} & J_{\mathcal{C}}(\overline{\Gamma}e_j, \overline{\Gamma}e_i) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Comparing the image of f^*g^* and $F(f)^*F(g)^*$, it follows from Lemma 6.4 of [25] and Proposition 3.6 of [8] that the kernel of $\widehat{G}$ is generated by $\rho^{\perp}_{\mathcal{C}}(r)$, the same as for the bound quiver category $\mathcal{G}(r)$. Thus both $\mathcal{G}(r)$ and $\mathcal{C}(r)$ are quotient category of the path category $\mathbf{P}(Q_{\mathcal{C}}(r))$ modulo the ideal generated by the same relations, so they are isomorphic. Especially, we have that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_i, X_j) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\overline{\Gamma}e_i, \overline{\Gamma}e_j)$ if $F(X_i) = \overline{\Gamma}e_i, F(X_j) = \overline{\Gamma}e_j$, for any $i, j \in Q_{\mathcal{C}}(r)$.

This proves that F induces an equivalence between $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathcal{C}$, by induction. □

Now we show that the Auslander-Reite quiver of the subcategory of certain Γ-modules is some truncation of $\overline{Q}^{\perp}$.

Set $\overline{Q}^{+}$ and $\overline{Q}^{-}$ to be the full sub-quiver of $\overline{Q}$ with the vertex sets $\overline{Q}^{+}_0 = \{\tau^t i | i \in Q_0, t \in \mathbb{Z}, t > 0\}$ and $\overline{Q}^{-}_0 = \{\tau^{-t} i | i \in Q_0, t \in \mathbb{Z}, t \geq 0\}$, respectively. Write $\mathrm{add}\,(\Gamma)$ (resp. $\mathrm{add}\,(\Lambda)$) for the category of finite generated projective Γ-modules (resp. Λ-modules). Then

$$\mathrm{ind}\,\mathrm{add}\,(\Gamma) = \{\Gamma e_i | i \in \overline{Q}_0\}, \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathrm{ind}\,\mathrm{add}\,(\Lambda) = \{\Lambda e_i | i \in \overline{Q}_0\}.$$

Let Γ be a finite dimensional algebra, the n-Auslander-Reiten translations of Γ modules are introduced by Iyama (see [23, 24]),

$$\tau_n = D\mathrm{Tr}\,\Omega^{n-1}, \quad \textit{and} \quad \tau_n^{-} = \mathrm{Tr}\,D\Omega^{1-n},$$

with the convention that $\tau_n^0 = \tau_n^{-0} = \mathbb{1}$). Denote by $\mathbb{Z}^+$ the set of nonnegative integers. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^+ = \mathcal{M}^+(\Gamma) = \mathrm{add}\,\{\tau_n^t D\Gamma | t \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}, \\ \mathcal{M}^- = \mathcal{M}^-(\Gamma) = \mathrm{add}\,\{\tau_n^{-t}\Gamma | t \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively and call them the τ_n-closure of $D\Gamma$ and the τ_n^--closure of Γ, respectively. Γ is called τ_n-*finite* if $\mathrm{ind}\,\mathcal{M}^+$ or $\mathrm{ind}\,\mathcal{M}^-$ is finite. Write

$$\mathcal{G}^+ = \mathrm{add}\,\{\overline{\Gamma}e_i | i \in \overline{Q}^{+}_0\}, \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathcal{G}^- = \mathrm{add}\,\{\overline{\Gamma}e_i | i \in \overline{Q}^{-}_0\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}^-$ and $\mathcal{M}^+$ are both hom-finite Krull-Schmidt, and indecomposables have the form $\tau_n^{-t}\Gamma e_i$ and $\tau_n^t D\Gamma e_i$, respectively, for $t \in Z^+$ and $i \in Q_0$. Especially, $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{M}^\pm} X \simeq k$ for any indecomposable objects X in $\mathcal{M}^\pm$. Thus

$$\begin{array}{l} \Theta^- : \tau_n^{-t}\Gamma e_i \to \overline{\Gamma} e_{\tau^{-t}i} \quad \textit{and} \\ \Theta^+ : \tau_n^t D(e_i\Gamma) \to \overline{\Gamma} e_{\tau^{t+1}i} \end{array} \tag{16}$$

assign objects from $\mathcal{M}^-$ to $\mathcal{G}^-$ and from $\mathcal{M}^+$ to $\mathcal{G}^+$, respectively. Let $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho)$ be a complete τ-slice of $\overline{Q}$, and let $e = \sum_{j\in Q_0} e_j$, set $\Gamma \simeq e\overline{\Gamma}e$. Since Q is acyclic, we can order the vertices in such a way that if there is a path from i to j in Q, then $i \leq j$ for any $i, j \in Q_0$, and if $Q_0 = \{j_1 < \cdots < j_l\}$, then j_t is a source in $\sigma^+_{j_{t-1}} \cdots \sigma^+_{j_1} Q$. Order the set $\mathbb{Z}^+ \times Q_0$ in such a way that $(m, j) < (m', j')$ if $m < m'$, or $m = m'$ and $j < j'$.

For $m \geq 0$, let

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}^-_m = \mathrm{add}\,\{\tau_n^{-t}\Gamma | 0 \leq t \leq m\} \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathcal{G}^-_m = \mathrm{add}\,\{\overline{\Gamma} e_{\tau^{-t}j} | j \in Q_0, 0 \leq t \leq m\} \\ \mathcal{M}^+_m = \mathrm{add}\,\{\tau_n^{t-1} D\Gamma | 1 \leq t \leq m\} \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathcal{G}^+_m = \mathrm{add}\,\{\overline{\Gamma} e_{\tau^t j} | j \in Q_0, 1 \leq t \leq m\}. \end{array}$$

For each $j \in Q_0$, let

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{M}^-_{m,j} = \mathrm{add}\,\{\tau_n^{-t}\Gamma | 0 \leq t \leq m\} \cup \{\tau_n^{-m-1}\Gamma e_i | i \leq j\} \quad \textit{and} \\ \mathcal{G}^-_{m,j} = \mathrm{add}\,\{\overline{\Gamma} e_{\tau^{-t}i} | i \in Q_0, 0 \leq t \leq m\} \cup \{\overline{\Gamma} e_{\tau^{-m-1}i} | i \in Q_0, i \leq j\}; \\ \mathcal{M}^+_{m,j} = \mathrm{add}\,\{\tau_n^t D\Gamma | 0 \leq t \leq m-1\} \cup \{\tau_n^m \Gamma e_i | i \leq j\} \quad \textit{and} \\ \mathcal{G}^+_{m,j} = \mathrm{add}\,\{\overline{\Gamma} e_{\tau^t i} | i \in Q_0, 1 \leq t \leq m\} \cup \{\overline{\Gamma} e_{\tau^{m+1}i} | i \in Q_0, i \leq j\}. \end{array}$$

Lemma 6.6. *Assume that X, Y are indecomposable in $\mathcal{M}^-$ such that $X \in \mathcal{M}^-_{m,j}$ and $Y \notin \mathcal{M}^-_{m,j}$, then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(Y, X) = 0$.*

Proof. We may assume that $X = \tau_n^{-m'}\Gamma e_{j'}$, $Y = \tau_n^{-m''}\Gamma e_{j''}$ with $(m'', j'') > (m', j')$. We have that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(\tau_n^{-m''}\Gamma e_{j''}, \tau_n^{-m'}\Gamma e_{j'}) = 0$$

follows from (b). of Proposition 2.3, [20] for the case $m'' > m'$, and follows from our order on the vertices for the case $m'' = m'$ and $j'' > j'$. □

Clearly, $\mathcal{M}_0$ and $\mathcal{G}_0$ are isomorphic since both are isomorphic to the quotient category $\mathcal{P}(Q^\perp)$ of path category given by the quiver modulo the ideal given by relation $\rho^\perp$.

Theorem 6.7. *There is a fully faithful functor Θ^- from $\mathcal{M}^-$ to $\mathcal{G}^-$ with the inverse $\Phi^- = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} \overline{\Gamma}, -)$ such that*

$$\tau^-\Theta^- \simeq \Theta^-\tau_n^-, \quad \textit{and} \quad \Phi^-\tau^- \simeq \tau_n^-\Phi^-.$$

Proof. One see easily that

$$\Theta^-(\tau_n^{-m}\Gamma e_j) \to \overline{\Gamma} e_{\tau^{-m}j}$$

defines a correspondence from the objects of $\mathcal{M}^-$ to the objects of $\mathcal{G}^-$, satisfying $\tau^-\Theta^- \simeq \Theta^-\tau_n^-$. Q is a starting slice of $\overline{Q}^-$, and we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}^-_0}(\Gamma e_j, \Gamma e_h) & = & \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\Gamma e_j, \Gamma e_h) \simeq e_h\Gamma e_j \simeq e_h e\overline{\Gamma} e e_j \\ & = & e_h\overline{\Gamma} e_j \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\overline{\Gamma} e_j, \overline{\Gamma} e_h) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}^-_0}(\overline{\Gamma} e_j, \overline{\Gamma} e_h). \end{array}$$

Thus Θ^- restricts to a functor on $\mathcal{M}^-_0$, and $\Phi^- = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} \overline{\Gamma} e_i, -)$ is clearly inverse of Θ^- from $\mathcal{G}^-_0$ to $\mathcal{M}^-_0$.

Assume that for an indecomposable object $\tau_n^{-t}\Gamma e_i$ in $\mathcal{M}^-_{m,j}$ such that $\tau_n^{-t+1}\Gamma e_i$ in $\mathcal{M}^-_{m,j}$, we have a correspondence between the sink sequences ending at $\tau_n^t\Gamma e_i$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and the sink sequences ending at $\Theta^-(\tau_n^t\Gamma e_i) = \overline{\Gamma}e_{\tau^t i}$ in $\mathcal{G}$. Assume Θ induces isomorphism between $\mathcal{M}^-_{m,j}$ and $\mathcal{G}^-_{m,j}$, and $\tau^-\Theta^- \simeq \Theta^-\tau_n^-$, $\Phi^-\tau^- \simeq \tau_n^-\Phi^-$ hold.

If j is maximal in Q_0, let $m' = m+1$ and j' be the minimal vertex in Q_0, otherwise let $m' = m$ and j' be the minimal vertex $> j$ in Q_0. Thus $\mathcal{M}^-_{m',j'} = \operatorname{add}(\mathcal{M}^-_{m,j} \cup \{\tau_n^{-m'}\Gamma e_{j'}\})$ and $\mathcal{G}^-_{m',j'} = \operatorname{add}(\mathcal{G}^-_{m,j} \cup \{\overline{\Gamma}e_{\tau^{-m'}j'}\})$. We have $\Theta^-(\tau_n^{-m'}\Gamma e_{j'}) = \overline{\Gamma}e_{\tau^{-m'}j'}$.

We have an n-almost split sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \tau_n^{-m'+1}\Gamma e_{j'} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_t \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \tau_n^{-m'}\Gamma e_{j'} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{17}$$

of Γ modules if $\tau_n^{-m'+1}\Gamma e_{j'}$ is not injective. Clearly, this is a sink sequence ending at $\tau_n^{-m'}\Gamma e_i$. By our order on Q_0, all the terms except the last one are in $\mathcal{M}^-_{m,j}$, and the sequence

$$\tau_n^{-m'+1}\Gamma e_{j'} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_t \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_1 \tag{18}$$

is a source sequence in $\mathcal{M}^-_{m,j}$.

By Proposition 2.3, we have a Koszul complex

$$\overline{\Gamma}e_{\tau^{-m'+1}j'} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow Y_t \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \overline{\Gamma}e_{\tau^{-m'}j'}, \tag{19}$$

with $Y_t \simeq \bigoplus_{(j,t)\in H_{\tau^{-m'}j',0}} (\overline{\Gamma}e_j)^{\mu_{\tau^{-m'}j'}(j,t)}$. Since $\mathcal{M}^-_{m,j}$ and $\mathcal{G}^-_{m,j}$ are equivalent,

$$\overline{\Gamma}e_{\tau^{-m'+1}j'} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow Y_t \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow Y_1 \tag{20}$$

is the unique source sequence of $\overline{\Gamma}e_{\tau^{-m'+1}j'}$ in $\mathcal{G}^-_{m,j}$. Since Θ^- is an equivalence, (20) is the image of (18) under Θ^-. Thus we have $\Theta(X_t) \simeq Y_t$, and $X_t \simeq \bigoplus_{(j,t)\in H_{\tau^{-m'}j',0}} (\tau_n^{-m(j,t)}\Gamma e_{j(j,t)})^{\mu_{\tau^{-m'}j'}(j,t)}$, where for $(j,t) \in H_{\tau^{-m'}j',0}$, $j = \tau^{-m(j,t)}j(j,t)$ for $j(j,t) \in Q_0$ and $m(j,t) \geq 0$. So (19) is the image of (17) under Θ^-. Clearly, (19) is also a sink sequence in $\mathcal{G}^-_{(m,j)}$ since it is sink sequence in $\mathcal{G}$.

So we see that (17) is a sink sequence in $\mathcal{M}^-_{(m,j)}$ if and only if its image (19) is a sink sequence in $\mathcal{G}^-_{(m,j)}$, by induction.

This shows that $\mathcal{M}^-_{(m,j)}$ and $\mathcal{G}^-_{(m,j)}$ are equivalent under Θ^-, by Lemma 6.5. □

We see from the proof the following fact: if $\overline{\Gamma}$ is $(n+1,q)$ Koszul, and there is a bound path of length q from j to $\tau^{-m'+1}j'$ in $\overline{Q}$, then $\overline{\Gamma}e_j$ is not an object of $\mathcal{G}^-$, by Lemma 6.4.

Dually, we have

Theorem 6.8. *The functor Θ^+ is a fully faithful from $\mathcal{M}^+$ to $\mathcal{G}^+$ with the partial inverse $\Phi^+ = \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(-, \bigoplus_{i\in Q_0} D\overline{\Gamma}e_i)$ such that*

$$\tau\Theta^+ \simeq \Theta^+\tau_n, \quad \textit{and} \quad \Phi^+\tau \simeq \tau_n\Phi^+.$$

Note that if Γ is the quadratic dual of an extendible acyclic $(n-1)$-translation algebra Λ with $(n-1)$-translation quiver Q, then Q is a τ-slice of $\mathbb{Z}|_{n-1}Q$, and Γ is a dual τ-slice algebra of $\overline{\Lambda} \simeq \Delta\Lambda\#k\mathbb{Z}^*$ with n-translation quiver $\mathbb{Z}|_{n-1}Q$. As corollary of the above two theorems, we have the following theorem about the Auslander-Reiten quiver of the τ_n-closures of Γ.

Theorem 6.9. *Let Λ be an extendible acyclic $(n-1)$-translation algebra with $(n-1)$-translation quiver Q, and let Γ be its quadratic dual. Then the Auslander-Reiten quiver of $\mathcal{M}^+(\Gamma)$ and $\mathcal{M}^-(\Gamma)$ are truncations of the quiver $\mathbb{Z}|_{n-1}Q^\perp$.*

7. Derived category and $\mathbb{Z}|_nQ$

By Theorem 6.7 and Theorem 6.8, there are functors $\Theta^+ : \mathcal{M}^+ \to \mathcal{G}$ and $\Theta^- : \mathcal{M}^- \to \mathcal{G}$ for the τ_n-closures $\mathcal{M}^-$ of Γ and $\mathcal{M}^+$ of $D\Gamma$, respectively, in the module category of Γ. Now we consider a version of such functors in derived category.

Let Γ be a finite-dimensional algebra of global dimension $\leq n$ given by a bound quiver $Q^\perp = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho^\perp)$, and let

$$\begin{array}{l} \nu = D \circ \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(-,\Gamma) \simeq D\Gamma \otimes^{\mathbb{L}}_\Gamma - : \mathcal{D}^b(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{D}^b(\Gamma) \\ \nu^- = \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(D-,\Gamma) \simeq \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(D\Gamma,-) : \mathcal{D}^b(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{D}^b(\Gamma) \end{array} \tag{21}$$

be the Nakayama functors of $\mathcal{D}^b(\Gamma)$. They are quasi-inverse each other. The Nakayama functor is Serre functor and satisfies the functorial isomorphism (see [21, 6])

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\Gamma)}(X,Y) \simeq D\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\Gamma)}(Y,\nu X).$$

Let $\nu_n = \nu[-n] : \mathcal{D}^b(\Gamma) \to \mathcal{D}^b(\Gamma)$, it is an auto-equivalence of $\mathcal{D}^b(\Gamma)$. Regard $\mathrm{mod}\,\Gamma$ as a subcategory of $\mathcal{D}^b(\Gamma)$ with objects concentrated in degree zero , then (see [25])

$$\tau_n = \mathrm{H}^0(\nu_n -), \;\; \tau_n^- = \mathrm{H}^0(\nu_n^{-1} -),$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\Gamma)}(X, Y[n]) \simeq D\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\Gamma)}(Y, \nu_n X).$$

For each indecomposable projective Γ-module Γe_i, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \nu_n(\Gamma e_i) = D \circ \mathbb{R}\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\Gamma e_i[-n], \Gamma) & \\ \simeq D\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\Gamma e_i, \Gamma[n]) \simeq D(e_i\Gamma[n]) & \\ \simeq D(e_i\Gamma)[-n], & \text{and} \\ \nu_n^- D(e_i\Gamma) = \Gamma e_i[n]. & \end{array}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(\Gamma) = \mathrm{add}\,\{\nu_n^t \Gamma | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Let

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{U}_0^- = \mathrm{add}\,\{\nu_n^t \Gamma e_j | j \in Q_0, t \leq 0 \text{ and } \tau_n^t \Gamma e_j \neq 0\} \\ \mathcal{U}_0^+ = \mathrm{add}\,\{\nu_n^t D\Gamma e_j[-n] | j \in Q_0, t \geq 0 \text{ and } \tau_n^{t-1} D e_j \Gamma \neq 0\}, \end{array}$$

and let

$$\mathcal{U}_0 = \mathrm{add}\,\{\nu_n^t \Gamma e_j | j \in Q_0, t \leq 0 \text{ and } \tau_n^t \Gamma e_j \neq 0, \quad or \quad t > 0 \quad \tau_n^{t-1} D e_{\tau j} \Gamma \neq 0\},$$

the indecomposable objects in $\mathcal{U}_0$ are either in $\mathcal{U}_0^+$ or in $\mathcal{U}_0^-$. If Γ is not τ_n-finite, we have $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_0$.

We will need the following conditions.

Condition 7.1. (1). *For any $i, j \in Q_0$ and $s, t \geq 0$ such that $\nu^{-s}\Gamma e_i, \nu^{-t}\Gamma e_j$ are in $\mathcal{U}^-$,*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}_0^-}(\nu^{-s}\Gamma e_i, \nu^{-t}\Gamma e_j) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\tau_n^{-s}\Gamma e_i, \tau_n^{-t}\Gamma e_j).$$

(2). *For any $i, j \in Q_0$ and $s, t \geq 0$ such that $\nu^s \Gamma e_i, \nu^t \Gamma e_j$ are in $\mathcal{U}^+$,*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}_0^+}(\nu^s\Gamma e_i, \nu^t\Gamma e_j) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(\tau_n^{s-1} D\Gamma e_i, \tau_n^{t-1} D\Gamma e_j).$$

Let $\overline{Q} = (\overline{Q}_0, \overline{Q}_1, \overline{\rho})$ be a acyclic n-translation quiver with n-translation τ such that $\overline{\Lambda} \simeq k\overline{Q}/(\overline{\rho})$ is n-translation algebra. Let $\overline{\Gamma}$ be the quadratic dual of $\overline{\Lambda}$ with bound quiver $\overline{Q}^{\perp} = (\overline{Q}_0, \overline{Q}_1, \overline{\rho}^{\perp})$ and let Γ be the dual τ-slice algebra with bound quiver $Q^{\perp} = (Q_0, Q_1, \rho^{\perp})$ a complete τ-slice in $\overline{Q}^{\perp}$.

Lemma 7.2. *Assume that Γ is not τ_n-finite.*

If $\mathcal{U}$ satisfies (1). of Condition 7.1, then for each indecomposable X in $\mathcal{U}$, there is a source sequence starting at X.

If $\mathcal{U}$ satisfies (2). of Condition 7.1, then for each indecomposable Y in $\mathcal{U}$, there is a sink sequence ending at Y.

If $\mathcal{U}$ satisfies both (1). and (2). of Condition 7.1, then $\mathcal{U}$ has n-almost split sequences.

Proof. We prove the first assertion, the second one follows from the duality and the third follows from the first two assertions.

For each indecomposable objects X in $\mathcal{U}$, there is an integer r and $i \in Q_0$ such $X = \nu_n^r \Gamma e_i$. We have an n-almost split sequence

$$M_{n+1} = e_i\Gamma \to M_n \to \cdots \to M_1 \to M_0 = \tau_n^{-1} e_i \Gamma$$

in $\operatorname{mod}\Gamma$. We have that for each $j \in Q_0$ and each $t = 0, 1, \ldots, n+1$, there are integers $r(t,j) \geq 0$ such that

$$M_t \simeq \bigoplus (\tau_n^{-r(t,j)} \Gamma e_j)^{s(t,j)},$$

by Theorem 6.8. Take $M_t' = \bigoplus (\nu_n^{-r(t,j)} \Gamma e_j)^{s(t,j)}$ for $t = 0, 1, \ldots, n+1$.

Now let $N_t = \bigoplus (\nu_n^{-r(t,j)+r} \Gamma e_j)^{s(t,j)}$ for $t = 0, 1, \ldots, n+1$, then $X = N_{n+1}$, and we have a sequence

$$X = N_{n+1} = \nu^r \Gamma e_i \to N_n \to \cdots \to N_1 \to N_0 = \nu^{r+1} \Gamma e_i \tag{22}$$

in $\mathcal{D}^b(\Gamma)$ with each term in $\mathcal{U}$. For each indecomposable objects $Z \in \mathcal{U}$, $Z = \nu_n^h \Gamma e_{j'}$ for some $h \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j' \in Q_0$. Let $Z' = \nu_n^{h-r} \Gamma e_{j'}$ and $Z'' = \tau_n^{h-r} \Gamma e_{j'}$, then we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\to \cdots \to & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(N_{n-1}, Z) & \to & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(N_n, Z) & \to & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(X, Z) & \\
& \downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow\simeq & \\
\to \cdots \to & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(M'_{n-1}, Z') & \to & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(M'_n, Z') & \to & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(M'_{n+1}, Z') & .
\end{array}$$

If Z' is in $\mathcal{U}_0^-$, then we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\to \cdots \to & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(M'_{n-1}, Z') & \to & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(M'_n, Z') & \to & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(M'_{n+1}, Z') & & \\
& \downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow\simeq & & \downarrow\simeq & & \\
\to \cdots \to & \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(M_{n-1}, Z'') & \to & \operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(M_n, Z'') & \to & J_{\Gamma}(M_{n+1}, Z'') & \to & 0,
\end{array}$$

with last row exact sequence. Thus we also have $\to \cdots \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(N_{n-1}, Z) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(N_n, Z) \to J_{\mathcal{U}}(X, Z) \to 0$ is exact.

If Z' is in $\mathcal{U}^+$, then we have that Z' is a direct summand of $\nu_n^s \Gamma$ for some $s > 0$, where each indecomposable summands of M_t' is a direct summand of $\nu_n^{s'} \Gamma$ for some $s' \leq 0$. Note

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\Gamma)}(\nu_n^{s'}\Gamma, \nu_n^s \Gamma) & = & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\Gamma)}(\nu_n^0 \Gamma, \nu_n^{s-s'} \Gamma) \\
& = & \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(\Gamma)}(\Gamma, \nu_n^{s-s'-1} D\Gamma[-n]) \\
& = & H_0(\nu_n^{s-s'-1} D\Gamma[-n]) = 0.
\end{array}$$

This implies that we have $\mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(N_t, Z) = \mathrm{Hom}_\Gamma(M'_t, Z') = 0$ for all t. Thus we also have exact sequence

$$\to \cdots \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(N_{n-1}, Z) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(N_n, Z) \to J_{\mathcal{U}}(X, Z) \to 0.$$

This proves that (22) is a source sequence. □

Recall that an algebra Γ is called *n-representation infinite* if $\nu_n^{-t}\Gamma$ are modules for all $t \geq 0$ ([20]).

Lemma 7.3. *If the dual τ-slice algebra Γ is n-representation infinite, then $\mathcal{U}$ has n-almost split sequences.*

Proof. Since Γ is n-representation infinite, we have $\nu_n^{-t}\Gamma e_j$ and $\nu_n^t De_j\Gamma$ are modules and thus

$$\nu_n^{-t}\Gamma e_j = H_0(\nu_n^{-t}\Gamma e_j) = \tau_n^{-t}\Gamma e_j$$
$$\nu_n^t De_j\Gamma = H_0(\nu_n^{-t}De_j\Gamma) = \tau_n^{-t}De_j\Gamma$$

for all $j \in Q_0$ and $t \geq 0$. This shows that Γ satisfies both (1) and (2) of Condition 7.1, thus if Γ is τ_n-infinite, then we have that $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_0$, and $\mathcal{U}$ has n-almost split sequences by Lemma 7.2. □

Let Γ be a dual τ-slice algebra of an stable acyclic n-translation algebra $\overline{\Lambda}$, let $\overline{\Gamma}$ be the quadratic dual of $\overline{\Lambda}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{add}\overline{\Gamma}$. Similar to Theorem 6.7, using Lemma 7.3 and Lemma 6.5, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 7.4. *If Γ is n-representation infinite, then there is a fully faithful functor Θ from $\mathcal{U}$ to $\mathcal{G}$ with the inverse $\Phi = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(\bigoplus_{i\in Q_0}\overline{\Gamma}, -)$ such that*

$$\tau\Theta \simeq \Theta\nu_n, \quad \textit{and} \quad \Phi\tau \simeq \nu_n\Phi.$$

Similar to Theorem 6.9, we can describe the Auslander-Reiten quiver of the ν_n-closure of Γ when it is n-representation infinite.

Theorem 7.5. *Let Γ be an acyclic extendible dual $(n-1)$-translation algebra with $(n-1)$-translation quiver Q. If Γ is n-representation infinite, then the Auslander-Reiten quiver of the ν_n-closure $\mathcal{U}(\Gamma)$ of Γ in $\mathcal{D}^b(\Gamma)$ is $\mathbb{Z}|_{n-1}Q^\perp$.*

References

[1] Auslander M, Reiten I, and Smalø S.: *Representation theory of artin algebras*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math. 36 (1995), Cambridge Univ. Press.

[2] Auslander M, Platzeck M I, Reiten I. Coxeter functors without diagrams. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 250(1979) 1C46.

[3] Baer D, Geigle W, Lenzing H. The preprojective algebra of a tame hereditary Artin algebra, Comm. Algebra 15 (1987), 425-457.

[4] Beilinson I N, Gelfand I M, Gelfand S I. Algebraic bundles on P^n and problems of linear algebras, Funct and its Appl. 12(1978) 212-214.

[5] Beilinson A, Ginsberg V, Soergel W. Koszul duality patterns in Representation theory, J. of AMS 9 no.2 (1996) 473-527.

[6] Bondal A I, Kapranov M M. Representable functors, Serre functors, and reconstructions, Math. USSR-Izv. 35 (1990), no. 3, 519C541.

[7] Brenner S, Butler M C R. King A D. Periodic algebras which are almost Koszul. Algebr. Represent. Theory 5 (2002), no. 4, 331–367.

[8] Buan A, Iyama O, Reiten I, Smith D. Mutation of cluster-tilting objects and potentials, Amer. J. Math. 133(2011), no. 4, 835-887.

[9] Chen X. Graded self-injective algebras "are" trivial extensions, J. of Algebra 322(2009) 2601-2606.

[10] Dlab V, Ringel C M. The preprojective algebra of a modulated graph. In Representation theory II, Lecture Notes in Math 832, Springer, Berlin 1980, 216-231.
[11] Gelfand I M, Ponomarev V A. Model algebras and representations of graphs, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 13 (1979) 1C12.
[12] Guo J Y. On McKay quivers and covering spaces. (in Chinese). Sci Sin Math 41(5) (2011) 393-402.
[13] Guo J Y. Coverings and Truncations of Graded Self-injective Algebras, J Alg 355(1) (2012) 9-34.
[14] Guo J Y. McKay quivers and absolutely n-complete algebras. Sci China Math 56(8) (2013) 1607-1618.
[15] Guo J Y. On n-translation algebras. J Algebra 2016 453(2) 400C428.
[16] Guo J Y, Luo D. On n-cubic Pyramid Algebras. Algebr. Represent. Theory 19(4) (2016) 991-1016.
[17] Guo J Y, Martínez-Villa R. Algebra pairs associated to McKay quivers. Comm. in Algebra 30(2) (2002) 1017–1032.
[18] Guo J Y. Wu Q. Loewy matrix, Koszul cone and applications, Comm. in algebra, 28(2000) no.2 925-941.
[19] Guo J Y, Yin Y, Zhu C. Returning Arrows for Self-injective Algebras and Artin-Schelter Regular Algebras, J Algebra 397(1) (2014): 365C378
[20] Herschend M, Iyama O, Oppermann S. n-Representation infinite algebras. Adv Math 252(2014) 292C342.
[21] Happel,D. *Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras.* London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 119. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1988)
[22] Hu W, Xi C. D-split sequences and derived equivalences Adv Math 227 (2011) 292C318.
[23] Iyama O. Higher-dimensional Auslander-Reiten theory on maximal orthogonal subcategories. Adv Math 210(2007) 22-50.
[24] Iyama O. Auslander correspondence. Adv Math 210(2007) 51-82.
[25] Iyama O. Cluster tilting for higher Auslander algebras. Adv Math 226(2011) 1C61
[26] Iyama O, Oppermann S. n-representation-finite algebras and n-APR tilting, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011), no. 12, 6575C6614.
[27] Martínez-Villa R. Graded, selfinjective and Koszul algebras. J Alg 215(1999) 34-72.
[28] Minamoto H. Ampleness of two-sided tilting complexes, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2012, 67C101.
[29] Minamoto H. Tilting bundles on (Anti-)Fano algebra (talk at USTC), (2006) http://rec.ustc.edu.cn/s/h2iw51.
[30] Minamoto H, Mori I. Structures of AS-regular algebras, Adv. Math. 226 (2011) 4061C4095.
[31] Ringel C M. *Tame algebra and integral quadratic forms.* Lecture Note in Mathematics no.1099 Springer Verlag Berlin 1984
[32] Smith P. Some finite dimensional algebras related to elliptic curves, in Representation Theory of Algebras and Related Topics (Mexico City, 1994), CMS Conf. Proc. 19, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1996, 315–348.
[33] Tang A. A note on the stable equivalence conjecture. Comm. in algebra, 24 (1996), no. 9, 2793-2809.

Jin Yun Guo, Cong Xiao, Key Laboratory of HPCSIP (Ministry of Education of China) and Department of Mathematics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha, CHINA