arXiv:1707.07246v2 [math.DG] 14 Aug 2017

HOLOMORPHIC STRUCTURES FOR SURFACES IN EUCLIDEAN n-SPACE

KATSUHIRO MORIYA

Abstract. A conformal map from a Riemann surface to a Euclidean space of dimension greater than or equal to three is explained by using the Clifford algebra, in a similar fashion to quaternionic holomorphic geometry of surfaces in the Euclidean three- or four-space. The Weierstrass representation, the spin transform, the Darboux transforms, surfaces of parallel mean curvature vector, families of flat connections associated with a harmonic map from a Riemann surface to a sphere are explained. The degree of the spinor bundle associated with a conformal immersion is calculated. Analogues of a polar surface and a bipolar surface of a minimal immersion into a three-sphere are defined. They are shown to be minimal surfaces in a sphere.

1. Introduction

A conformal map is a map that preserves angles locally. Since an isometric immersion is a conformal map, the theory of a conformal map from a surface is always situated in the background of the theory of Riemannian geometry of an isometric immersion from a surface.

A two-dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold is regarded as a Riemann surface by an orthogonal complex structure being compatible to the orientation. The two-planes is regarded as the algebra $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers by an orthogonal complex structure. The multiplication by i is the orthogonal complex structure of $\mathbb{C}$. Then a conformal map from a two-dimensional Riemannian manifold to the two-plane is regarded as a holomorphic function or an anti-holomorphic function. The Cauchy-Riemann equation is the differential equation of a holomorphic function. For the complex structure J of the Riemann surface, the Cauchy-Riemann equation becomes $df \circ J = i\, df$.

The quaternionic holomorphic geometry [39] explains that a conformal map from a Riemann surface to the three-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^3$ or the four-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^4$ are quaternionic holomorphic maps. Here $\mathbb{E}^4$ is regarded as the algebra $\mathbb{H}$ of quaternions and $\mathbb{E}^3$ as the set $\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$ of all imaginary parts of quaternions. The multiplication of a unit imaginary quaternion is an orthogonal complex structure of $\mathbb{H}$. For an isometric immersion f from a Riemann surface to $\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$, the equation $df \circ J = N\, df$ with the Gauss map $N\colon \Sigma \to \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$ is the differential equation of a conformal map. This equation is an analogue of

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 53C42; Secondary: 53A30, 53C27, 53C43.

Key words and phrases. conformal maps, surfaces, quaternionic holomorphic geometry, the Clifford algebra, spin structure, holomorphic structure, the Weierstrass representation, the Darboux transforms, surfaces of parallel mean curvature vector, harmonic maps, minimal surfaces.

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 17K05217.

the Cauchy-Riemann equation. Then a conformal map is explained by a way being different from Riemannian geometry of immersions.

Since the algebra $\mathbb{C}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbb{H}$, quaternionic holomorphic geometry of a conformal map from a Riemann surface to $\mathbb{H}$ can be considered as an extension of theory of conformal maps from a Riemann surface to $\mathbb{C}$, that is, a theory of (anti-)holomorphic functions. Then, theory of conformal maps from Riemann surface to $\mathbb{E}^4$ is connected with theory of holomorphic functions. The Kodaira correspondence for conformal maps ([39], [17]) and The Riemann-Roch theorem for conformal maps [39] are achievements in this research direction.

The analogue of the Cauchy-Riemann equation explains a sphere congruence of a conformal map by the Gauss map. Then quaternionic holomorphic geometry connects conformal maps with integrable systems, too. Bäcklund transforms and Darboux transforms are defined in terms of quaternionic holomorphic geometry ([33], [6]).

The use of the algebra $\mathbb{H}$ adds one more insight about the spin structure for a conformal map. One appearance of the spin structure is the Weierstrass representation for a conformal map into $\mathbb{E}^3$ ([39]), that writes a differential of a conformal map into $\mathbb{E}^3$ by a section of a spinor bundle.

These preceding studies naturally motivate us to study conformal maps from a Riemann surface to a manifold in a similar way to quaternionic holomorphic geometry. The theory of quaternionic holomorphic curves in the quaternionic projective space [17], including conformal maps into $\mathbb{H}$ and conformal maps into the conformal four-sphere as a special case, is a succeeded example that still use the algebra of quaternion. By using the algebra of para-quaternions and para-holomorphic structures, Magid [34] and Dussan and Magid [14] explained time-like surfaces in the pseudo-Euclidean space of index $(2,1)$ and $(2,2)$ respectively, and Lawn [29] explained time-like surfaces in the pseudo-sphere of index $(2,2)$.

Introducing a different algebra that includes $\mathbb{E}^r$ $(r \geq 3)$ is an idea that may lead us to an analogue of quaternionic holomorphic geometry, being different from quaternionic holomorphic curves. One way to realize this idea is to introduce the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{C}\ell(\mathbb{E}^r)$ of $\mathbb{E}^r$ since $\mathrm{C}\ell(\mathbb{E}^1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}\ell(\mathbb{E}^2)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}$. The fact that the twisted adjoint action of the pin group on $\mathbb{E}^r$ explains all orthogonal transformations of $\mathbb{E}^r$ supports this idea.

Achievements in this research direction are explained in [20] and [3]. To be more precise, a higher dimensional conformal sphere is modeled in terms of the Clifford algebra in order to study conformal geometry of surfaces and related integrable systems. Isothermic surfaces and their Christoffel dual surfaces ([13], [10]) in $\mathbb{E}^r$ is an important topic there. However, an analogue of the Cauchy-Riemann equation was not used there explicitly.

The present paper introduces a holomorphic structure for a conformal map from a Riemann surface to $\mathbb{E}^r$ and that for a spinor bundle associated with the conformal map. After reviewing the Clifford algebra and the spin structure, higher-codimensional analogues of the following are obtained.

(1) The definition of a conformal map into $\mathbb{E}^3$ ([27]).
(2) The Weierstrass representation for a conformal map into $\mathbb{E}^3$ ([39]).
(3) The holomorphic structure for a conformal map into $\mathbb{E}^4$ ([39]).
(4) The holomorphic structure for a spinor bundle of a conformal map into $\mathbb{E}^3$ ([39]).

(5) The spin transform of a conformal map into $\mathbb{E}^3$ ([27]).
(6) The degree of a spinor bundle for a conformal map into $\mathbb{E}^3$ ([17]).
(7) The Darboux transform of a conformal map into $\mathbb{E}^4$ ([22], [6]).
(8) An isometric immersion into $\mathbb{E}^3$ and its Hopf field ([4]).
(9) A harmonic map into a two-sphere and its associated family of flat connections ([4]).

The way of understanding harmonic maps in (9) is an interpretation of harmonic maps from a torus to three-sphere by Hitchin [24], in terms of quaternionic holomorphic geometry. Hitchin considered a three-sphere as the special unitary group of degree two. The understanding of harmonic maps into a Lie group by Mukai and Ohnita [37] is an analogue of this direction. In quaternionic holomorphic geometry, a two-sphere is considered as the set of quaternionic linear orthogonal complex structures of $\operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$. A higher-dimensional analogue of this interpretation is used in this paper.

Alongside the above subjects, a higher-codimensional version of tt^*-bundle associated with a harmonic map into a hypersphere in $\mathbb{E}^r$ ([28]) is obtained.

By our higher-codimensional analogue, it becomes possible to study conformal maps into a submanifold of $\mathbb{E}^r$. In this paper, a minimal immersion from a Riemann surface to a higher-dimensional sphere is studied. Minimal immersions from a closed Riemann surface of higher genus into a higher-dimensional sphere seems to be not well-known. Lawson [31] constructed minimal immersions from a closed Riemann surface of any genus into the three-sphere. Lawson defined a polar surface and a bipolar surface of a given minimal immersion into the three-sphere ([31]). A polar surface is a minimal surface in the three-sphere and a bipolar surface is a minimal surface in the five-sphere. A polar surface of a superminimal harmonic map defined in [2] is an analogue of a polar surface. In this paper, analogues of a polar surface and a bipolar surfaces of a minimal immersion into a higher-dimensional sphere are defined. A known example of a minimal immersion from a Riemann surface to a sphere gives a sequence of minimal immersions. The dimensions of spheres of the codomains may be different each other. For example, Lawson's examples give examples of minimal immersions from a closed Riemann surface of any genus to a higher-dimensional sphere.

Our higher-codimensional analogues of quaternionic holomorphic geometry is obtained by specifying the spin structure and the spin bundle for a conformal immersion. The present paper explains a spin structure and a spinor bundle by following [32]. As a result, a right quaternionic vector bundle in quaternionic holomorphic geometry becomes, depending on the situation, a left Clifford module bundle in the present paper.

This study is motivated by the joint work [19]. The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor Kazuyuki Hasegawa for his inspirational comments.

2. The Clifford algebra and spin structures

Throughout this paper, we assume that the scalar of a vector space is the field of real numbers, a manifold is a smooth connected manifold and a map on a manifold is a smooth map without mentions. We review the Clifford algebra and spin structures according to [32].

2.1. **Clifford algebra.** For a vector space W, we denote the dimension of W by $\dim W$.

Let V_r be an oriented vector space with $\dim V_r = r$. Fixing a positive orthonormal basis $(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ of V_r, we have a complete flag

$$\{0\} = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_r.$$

Let Q_{V_r} be a positive definite quadratic form on V_r and B_{V_r} be the associated positive definite inner product of V_r. Then

$$2B_{V_r}(v, w) = Q_{V_r}(v + w) - Q_{V_r}(v) - Q_{V_r}(w).$$

The vector space V_r with inner product B_{V_r} is the r-dimensional Euclidean space. We denote the hypersphere in V_r centered at the origin with radius one by S^{r-1}:

$$S^{r-1} = \{v \in V_r : Q_{V_r}(v) = 1\}.$$

We denote the general linear group of V_r by $\mathrm{GL}(V_r)$ and the identity element in $\mathrm{GL}(V_r)$ by I. The orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}(V_r)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V_r)$ preserving the quadratic form Q_{V_r}:

$$\mathrm{O}(V_r) = \{\lambda \in \mathrm{GL}(V_r) : Q_{V_r}(\lambda v) = Q_{V_r}(v) \text{ for all } v \in V_r\}.$$

The special orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}(V_r)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(V_r)$ preserving the orientation of V_r:

$$\mathrm{SO}(V_r) = \{\lambda \in \mathrm{O}(V_r) : \det(\lambda) = 1\}.$$

The complete flag of V_r induces increasing sequences of subgroups

$$\{I, -I\} \subset \mathrm{O}(V_1) \subset \mathrm{O}(V_2) \subset \cdots \subset \mathrm{O}(V_r),$$
$$\{I\} \subset \mathrm{SO}(V_1) \subset \mathrm{SO}(V_2) \subset \cdots \subset \mathrm{SO}(V_r).$$

Let $(V_r)^{\otimes i}$ be the i-fold tensor product of V_r and $\mathfrak{T}(V_r) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty}(V_r)^{\otimes i}$ be the tensor algebra. We say an element $\phi \in \mathfrak{T}(V_r)$ is of pure degree i if $\phi \in (V_r)^{\otimes i}$. We denote the two-sided ideal of $\mathfrak{T}(V_r)$ generated by all elements of the form $v \otimes v + Q_{V_r}(v)1$ with $v \in V_r$ by $\mathfrak{I}(V_r)$. The real algebra $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r) = \mathfrak{T}(V_r)/\mathfrak{I}(V_r)$ is called the *Clifford algebra* of V_r.

The Clifford algebra $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ is a real vector space of dimension 2^r. We consider $\mathbb{R}$ and V_r as being embedded in $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$. Then

$$Q_{V_r}(v) = -v^2,$$
$$B_{V_r}(v, w) = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha(v)^\top w + \alpha(w)^\top v) = \frac{1}{2}(v\alpha(w)^\top + w\alpha(v)^\top) = -\frac{1}{2}(vw + wv)$$

for any v, $w \in V_r$. If v is perpendicular to w, then $vw = -wv$.

The element

$$\Omega_{V_r} = e_1 \cdots e_r$$

is called the *oriented volume form* of V_r. If $(e'_1, \ldots, e'_r)$ is an orthonormal basis such that $(e'_1, \ldots, e'_r) = A(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ for $A \in \mathrm{O}(V_r)$, then $e'_1 \cdots e'_r = (\det A)e_1 \cdots e_r$. Then the oriented volume form of V_r is independent of the choice of positively-oriented orthonormal basis of V_r.

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a real algebra with unit and $f\colon V_r \to \mathfrak{A}$ be a linear map with $f(v)^2 = -Q_{V_r}(v)$. Then f extends uniquely a real algebra homomorphism from $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ to $\mathfrak{A}$.

Let $\pi^{\mathfrak{T}}\colon \mathfrak{T}(V_r) \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ be the projection, $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_n = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n}(V_r)^{\otimes i}$ and $\mathfrak{F}_n = \pi^{\mathfrak{T}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_n)$. Then $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ is a filtered algebra such that

$$\mathfrak{F}_0 \subset \mathfrak{F}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r).$$

Let $\mathfrak{G}^i = \mathfrak{F}^i/\mathfrak{F}^{i-1}$ and $\pi_i^{\mathfrak{F}}\colon \mathfrak{F}^i \to \mathfrak{G}^i$ the projection. Then a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra $\mathfrak{G}^* = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathfrak{G}^n$ is associated with $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$. The algebra $\mathfrak{G}$ is isomorphic to the exterior algebra $\bigwedge^* V_r$ of V_r.

Let $(V_r)^i$ be the i-fold direct product of V_r and $\mathrm{Sym}(i)$ be the symmetric group on $\{1, 2, \ldots, i\}$. A map $f_i\colon (V_r)^i \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ defined by

$$f_i(v_1, \ldots, v_i) = \frac{1}{i!}\sum_{\sigma\in\mathrm{Sym}(i)} \mathrm{sign}(\sigma) v_{\sigma(1)}\cdots v_{\sigma(i)}$$

determine a linear map $\tilde{f}_i\colon \bigwedge^i V_r \to \mathcal{F}^i$. The map $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty}\pi_i^{\mathfrak{F}}\circ\tilde{f}_i$ is a vector space isomorphism between $\bigwedge^* V_r$ and $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$.

The map $(V_r)^{\otimes i} \to \mathfrak{G}^i$, $v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes v_i \mapsto \pi_i^{\mathfrak{F}}(\pi^{\mathfrak{T}}(v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes v_i))$ induces the isomorphism from the exterior algebra $\bigwedge^* V_r$ to $\mathfrak{G}^*$. This isomorphism induces a structure of a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra to $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$. We denote the set of all elements of degree i by $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle i\rangle}$ and the grade i part of $\phi \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ by $\phi^{\langle i\rangle}$.

We denote the algebra automorphism group of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r))$. An involutive automorphism $\alpha \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r))$ is defined by extending a linear map $\alpha\colon V_r \to V_r$ with $\alpha(v) = -v$ for any $v \in V_r$. We regard α as a vector space isomorphism. Let $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{[i]}$ be the eigenspace of α with eigenvalue $(-1)^i$. Then $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ becomes $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded algebra.

$$\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r) = \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{[0]} \oplus \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{[1]}.$$

The eigenspace $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{[0]}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_{r-1})$ as an algebra.

An anti-automorphism $^{\top}\colon \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r) \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ is defined by extending the map

$$(v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes v_i)^{\top} = v_i\otimes\cdots\otimes v_1$$

for any simple element $v_1\otimes\cdots\otimes v_i \in \mathfrak{T}(V_r)$.

The *norm map* is defined by

$$\mathfrak{N}\colon \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r) \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r),\ \ \mathfrak{N}(\phi) = \alpha(\phi)^{\top}\phi.$$

Then $Q_{V_r}(v) = \mathfrak{N}(v)$ $(v \in V_r)$.

Let $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\times}$ be the multiplicative group of all invertible elements of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$. The group $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\times}$ is a Lie group of dimension 2^r. Let $\mu^L_{V_r}\colon \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\times} \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r))$ and $\mu^R_{V_r}\colon \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\times} \to \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r))$ be representations such that $\mu^L_{V_r}(N)\phi = N\phi$ and $\mu^R_{V_r}(N)\phi = \phi N$ respectively. The *twisted adjoint representation* of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\times}$ is a homomorphism $\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}\colon \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\times} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r))$ defined by

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}_{\xi}}(\phi) = \alpha(\xi)\phi\xi^{-1}\ \ (\xi \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\times}, \phi \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)).$$

Let $\mathfrak{C}(V_r)$ be a Lie subgroup of $\mathrm{C}\ell^{\times}(V_r)$ that consists of all elements $\xi \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\times}$ such that

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_{\xi}V_r = V_r.$$

The set $\mathfrak{C}(V_r)$ consists of all product

$$v_1v_2\cdots v_i\ \ (v_1, \ldots, v_i \in V_r).$$

Let $\mathbb{R}^\times$ be the multiplicative group of non-zero real numbers. The restriction $\mathfrak{N}|_{\mathfrak{C}(V_r)}$ is a group homomorphism from $\mathfrak{C}(V_r)$ to $\mathbb{R}^\times$. The *pin group* $\mathrm{Pin}(V_r)$ of V_r is a Lie subgroup of $\mathfrak{C}(V_r)$ of dimension $r(r-1)/2$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Pin}(V_r) &= \{\hat{v} \in \mathfrak{C}(V_r) : \mathfrak{N}(\hat{v}) = 1\} \\ &= \{v_1 \cdots v_i \in \mathfrak{C}(V_r) : Q_{V_r}(v_j) = 1,\ \ j = 1, \ldots, i,\ \ 1 \leq i \leq r\}.\end{aligned}$$

The group $\mathrm{Pin}(V_r)$ satisfies the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \{1, -1\} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pin}(V_r) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}} \mathrm{O}(V_r) \longrightarrow 1.$$

We have an increasing sequence of subgroups

$$\{1, -1\} \subset \mathrm{Pin}(V_1) \subset \mathrm{Pin}(V_2) \subset \cdots \subset \mathrm{Pin}(V_r).$$

Let $\mathfrak{S}(V_r) = \mathfrak{C}(V_r) \cap \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{[0]}$. The element of $\mathfrak{S}(V_r)$ is all product

$$v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{2i}\ \ (v_1, \ldots, v_{2i} \in V_r).$$

The *adjoint representation* of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^\times$ is a homomorphism $\mathrm{Ad}\colon\ \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^\times \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{C}\ell_r)$ defined by

$$\mathrm{Ad}_\xi(\phi) = \xi\phi\xi^{-1}\ \ (\xi \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^\times,\ \phi \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)).$$

On $\mathfrak{S}(V_r)$, $\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}$ coincides with Ad. The *spin group* $\mathrm{Spin}(V_r)$ of V_r is the Lie subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}(V_r)$ of dimension $r(r-1)/2$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Spin}(V_r) &= \mathrm{Pin}(V_r) \cap \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{[0]} \\ &= \{v_1 \cdots v_{2i} : v_j \in V_r,\ Q_{V_r}(v_j) = 1\ \ (j = 1, \ldots, 2i)\}.\end{aligned}$$

The group $\mathrm{Spin}(V_r)$ satisfies the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \{1, -1\} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spin}(V_r) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathrm{SO}(V_r) \longrightarrow 1.$$

We have an increasing sequence of subgroups

$$\{1, -1\} \subset \mathrm{Spin}(V_1) \subset \mathrm{Spin}(V_2) \subset \cdots \subset \mathrm{Spin}(V_r).$$

2.2. **Spin structures.** Let ρ_r be the standard representation of $\mathrm{SO}(V_r)$ on V_r. A homomorphism $\mathrm{c}\ell(\rho_r)\colon\ \mathrm{SO}(V_r) \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r))$ is induced so that

$$\begin{aligned}&(\mathrm{c}\ell(A))(u_1 \cdots u_k) = (\rho_r(A)u_1) \cdots (\rho_r(A)u_k), \\ &(A \in \mathrm{SO}(V_r),\ \ u_1, \ldots, u_k \in V_r).\end{aligned}$$

For a manifold M and a bundle E over M, we denote a fiber of E at p by E_p. We denote the set of all sections of E by $\Gamma(E)$. For a principal G-bundle $\pi\colon P \to M$, a vector space V, and an representation δ of G on V, we consider the free left action of G on $P \times V$ given by

$$g \cdot (p, v) = (pg^{-1}, \delta(g)v)\ \ (g \in G, p \in P, v \in V).$$

Define $P \times_\delta V$ to be the quotient space of this action. The map π descends to a map $\pi_\delta\colon P \times_\delta V \to M$. Then $\pi_\delta\colon P \times_\delta V \to M$ is the associated vector bundle of P by δ.

Let M be a Riemann surface with complex structure J_Σ and $E \to M$ be a real Riemannian oriented vector bundle over M with rank r and Riemannian metric B_E. Assume that $r \geq 3$. We denote the principal $\mathrm{SO}(V_r)$-bundle of oriented orthonormal frames of E by $P_{\mathrm{SO}}(E)$. Then E is an associated vector bundle $E = P_{\mathrm{SO}}(E) \times_{\rho_r} V_r$.

A *spin structure* of E is a principal $\mathrm{Spin}(V_r)$-bundle $P_{\mathrm{Spin}}(E)$ with the two-fold covering $\xi\colon P_{\mathrm{Spin}}(E) \to P_{\mathrm{SO}}(E)$ such that $\xi(pg) = \xi(p)\,\mathrm{Ad}_g$ for any $p \in P_{\mathrm{Spin}}(E)$ and $g \in \mathrm{Spin}(V_r)$. There exists a spin structure if and only if the second Stiefel-Whitney class of E vanishes.

The *Clifford bundle* of E is the associated vector bundle

$$\mathrm{C}\ell(E) = P_{\mathrm{SO}} \times_{c\ell} \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$$

with fiber $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$. Regard $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ as a left $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$-module. A *real spinor bundle* is the associated vector bundle

$$S(E) = P_{\mathrm{Spin}}(E) \times_{\mu^L_{V_r}} \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$$

with fiber $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$. The Clifford bundle $\mathrm{C}\ell(E)$ is recovered by

$$\mathrm{C}\ell(E) = P_{\mathrm{Spin}}(E) \times_{\mathrm{Ad}} \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r).$$

Let τ be a Riemannian connection of $P_{\mathrm{SO}}(E)$. Let $\Gamma(E)$ denote the set of all sections of E and $\nabla\colon \Gamma(E) \to \Gamma(T^*\Sigma \otimes E)$ be the Riemannian covariant derivative. The connection τ induces via $c\ell$ the unique connection $\tau^{c\ell}$ on $\mathrm{C}\ell(E)$. The covariant derivative $\nabla^{c\ell}$ of $\tau^{c\ell}$ is a derivation on $\Gamma(\mathrm{C}\ell(E))$. That is

$$\nabla^{c\ell}(\phi\psi) = (\nabla^{c\ell}\phi)\psi + \phi(\nabla^{c\ell}\psi) \ \ (\phi, \psi \in \Gamma(\mathrm{C}\ell(E))).$$

The covariant derivative $\nabla^{c\ell}$ on $\bigwedge^p E$ agrees with the covariant derivative ∇ on $\bigwedge^p E$.

3. The Spinor representation

We write a section of a Clifford bundle by a section of a spinor bundle.

For a vector bundle W, we denote the rank of W by $\operatorname{rank} W$. Let M be an oriented connected manifold and E be a Riemannian oriented vector bundle with $\operatorname{rank} E = r$ over M. We assume that the vector bundle E has a spin structure. Let $S(E)$ be the associated spinor bundle and $\mathrm{C}\ell(E)$ the Clifford bundle. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_{\mathrm{Spin}}(E) \times \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & P_{\mathrm{Spin}}(E) \times \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_g} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma'_g} \\ P_{\mathrm{Spin}}(E) \times \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & P_{\mathrm{Spin}}(E) \times \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r) \end{array}$$

given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (p, \phi) & \longrightarrow & (p, \phi) \\ \Big\downarrow & & \Big\downarrow \\ (pg^{-1}, g\phi) & \longrightarrow & (pg^{-1}, g\phi g^{-1}) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Then, the map β descends to a map $\Psi_0\colon S(E) \to \mathrm{C}\ell(E)$. By the procedure of the construction of Ψ_0, we see that Ψ_0 is surjective. Moreover, $\Psi_0(s) = 0$ if and only if $s = 0$. Then, we can write a nowhere-vanishing section of $\mathrm{C}\ell(E)$ by a nowhere-vanishing section of $S(E)$.

Lemma 1. *Let ω be a nowhere-vanishing section of $\mathrm{C}\ell(E)$. Then there exists a nowhere-vanishing section s of $S(E)$ such that $\omega = \Psi_0(s)$.*

Definition 1. We call $\Psi_0(s)$ a *spinor representation* of ω.

We see that if $\Psi_0(s)$ is a spinor representation of ω, then $\Psi_0(-s)$ is a spinor representation of ω, too.

4. Grassmannians

We explain the Grassmannian in terms of the Clifford algebra in order to explain a Gauss map of a surface.

Let $V_0^\perp = V_r$, $V_r^\perp = V_0$ and $V_n^\perp$ be the orthogonal complement of V_n in V_r $(n = 1, \ldots, r-1)$. We have a complete flag

$$\{0\} = V_r^\perp \subset V_{r-1}^\perp \subset V_{r-2}^\perp \subset \cdots \subset V_0^\perp = V_r.$$

The complete flag of V_r induces increasing sequences of subgroups

$$\{I, -I\} \subset \mathrm{O}(V_{r-1}^\perp) \subset \mathrm{O}(V_{r-2}^\perp) \subset \cdots \subset \mathrm{O}(V_0^\perp),$$
$$\{I\} \subset \mathrm{SO}(V_{r-1}^\perp) \subset \mathrm{SO}(V_{r-2}^\perp) \subset \cdots \subset \mathrm{SO}(V_0^\perp),$$
$$\{1, -1\} \subset \mathrm{Pin}(V_{r-1}^\perp) \subset \mathrm{Pin}(V_{r-2}^\perp) \subset \cdots \subset \mathrm{Pin}(V_0^\perp),$$
$$\{1, -1\} \subset \mathrm{Spin}(V_{r-1}^\perp) \subset \mathrm{Spin}(V_{r-2}^\perp) \subset \cdots \subset \mathrm{Spin}(V_0^\perp).$$

A vector space V_r has an orthogonal decomposition $V_r = V_n \oplus V_n^\perp$. Any element of $\mathrm{Pin}(V_n)$ and any element of $\mathrm{Pin}(V_n^\perp)$ are commutative about the product. Then

$$H(V_n) = \mathrm{Pin}(V_n)\,\mathrm{Pin}(V_n^\perp) = \{\hat{v}\hat{w} : \hat{v} \in \mathrm{Pin}(V_n), \hat{w} \in \mathrm{Pin}(V_n^\perp)\}$$

is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Pin}(V_r)$. An ordered tuple

$$b_n(\hat{v}) = (\widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_{\hat{v}}(e_1), \cdots, \widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_{\hat{v}}(e_n)) \ \ (\hat{v} \in \mathrm{Pin}(V_r))$$

forms an orthonormal basis of an n-dimensional linear subspace of V_r. Then

$$\mathfrak{B}_n(V_r) = \{b_n(\hat{v}) : \hat{v} \in \mathrm{Pin}(V_r)\} \ \ (1 \leq n \leq r-1).$$

is the set of all orthonormal bases of all n-dimensional linear subspaces of V_r. Define a equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\mathfrak{B}_n(V_r)$ by $b_n(\hat{v}) \sim b_n(\hat{w})$ if and only if

$$\hat{v}^{-1}\hat{w} \in \mathrm{Pin}(V_n)\,\mathrm{Pin}(V_n^\perp).$$

Then the equivalence class $[b_n(\hat{v})]$ of $b_n(\hat{v})$ consists of all orthonormal basis of an n-dimensional subspace spanned by $b_n(\hat{v})$ and the quotient set

$$\mathfrak{B}_n(V_r)/\sim$$

is the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_n(V_r)$ of all n-dimensional linear subspaces of V_r. The pin group $\mathrm{Pin}(V_r)$ acts transitively on $\mathfrak{B}_n(V_r)/\sim$ by the twisted adjoint operator

$$\hat{w}[b_n(\hat{v})] = [b_n(\hat{w}\hat{v})] \ \ (\hat{v}, \hat{w} \in \mathrm{Pin}(V_r)).$$

The stabilizer of $[b_n(1)]$ is $H(V_n)$. Then $\mathrm{Gr}_n(V_r) = \mathrm{Pin}(V_r)/H(V_n)$. The Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_n(V_r)$ is bijective to the set of all oriented volume forms

$$\mathfrak{M}_n(V_r) = \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{Spin}(V_r)}\,\Omega_{V_n} = \{\mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{v}}(\Omega_{V_n}) : \hat{v} \in \mathrm{Spin}(V_r)\} \subset \mathrm{Pin}(V_r)$$

of all n-dimensional linear subspaces of V_r.

In a similar fashion, we see that the Grassmannian $\widetilde{\mathrm{Gr}}_n(V_r)$ of all n-dimensional oriented linear subspaces of V_r is

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Gr}}_n(V_r) = \mathrm{Spin}(V_r)/\tilde{H}(V_n),$$
$$\tilde{H}(V_n) = \mathrm{Spin}(V_n)\,\mathrm{Spin}(V_n^\perp) = \{\hat{v}\hat{w} : \hat{v} \in \mathrm{Spin}(V_n), \hat{w} \in \mathrm{Spin}(V_n^\perp)\}$$

and it is bijective to the set

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_n(V_r) = \{\pm \operatorname{Ad}_{\hat{v}}(\Omega_{V_n}) : \hat{v} \in \operatorname{Spin}(V_r)\} \subset \operatorname{Pin}(V_r).$$

Since

$$\operatorname{Ad}_{\hat{v}}(\Omega_{V_n}) = (\operatorname{Ad}_{\hat{v}} e_1) \cdots (\operatorname{Ad}_{\hat{v}} e_n),$$

the sets $\mathfrak{M}_n(V_r)$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_n(V_r)$ are subsets of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle n \rangle}$.

5. Complex structures of the Clifford algebra

We regard an element of $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$ as an orthogonal complex structure of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$.

We extend B_{V_r} and Q_{V_r} throughout $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$. Let F_r be the finite subgroup of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^\times$ generated by $e_1, \ldots, e_r$. The set F_r forms a basis of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$. The quadratic form

$$\tilde{Q}_{V_r}(\phi) = (\mathfrak{N}(\phi))^{\langle 0 \rangle} = (\alpha(\phi)^\top \phi)^{\langle 0 \rangle} = (\phi \alpha(\phi)^\top)^{\langle 0 \rangle}, \ \ (\phi \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r))$$

is a positive definite inner product of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ and F_r is an orthonormal basis of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$. Indeed, for $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in F_r$, we have

$$\tilde{Q}_{V_r}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & (\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2) \\ 0 & (\epsilon_1 \neq \epsilon_2) \end{cases}.$$

Restrictions of the quadratic form $\tilde{Q}_{V_r}$ to V_r is Q_{V_r}. We denote the inner product that is associated with $\tilde{Q}_{V_r}$ by $\tilde{B}_{V_r}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_{V_r}(\phi, \psi) =& \frac{1}{2}(\alpha(\phi)^\top \psi + \alpha(\psi)^\top \phi)^{\langle 0 \rangle} = \frac{1}{2}(\phi \alpha(\psi)^\top + \psi \alpha(\phi)^\top)^{\langle 0 \rangle} \\ =& (\alpha(\phi)^\top \psi)^{\langle 0 \rangle} = (\phi \alpha(\psi)^\top)^{\langle 0 \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$ be the hypersphere in $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ such that

$$\tilde{S}^{2^r-1} = \{\phi \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r) : \tilde{Q}_{V_r}(\phi) = 1\}.$$

The pin group $\operatorname{Pin}(V_r)$ and the spin group $\operatorname{Spin}(V_r)$ are subsets of $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$. Then the sets $\mathfrak{M}_n(V_r)$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_n(V_r)$ are subsets of $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1} \cap \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle n \rangle}$. The isometric embedding $\iota \colon \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_n(V_r) \to \tilde{S}^{2^r-1} \cap \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle n \rangle}$ is harmonic and the norm of the second fundamental form is constant (Chen [8]).

The set

$$\mathfrak{E}(V_r) = \{\phi \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r) : \tilde{Q}_{V_r}(\phi) = \alpha(\phi)^\top \phi\}$$

is a real affine algebraic variety of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ being defined by $2^r - 1$ polynomials of degree two. The set V_r is contained in $\mathfrak{E}(V_r)$. The set $\mathfrak{E}(V_r)^\times = \mathfrak{E}(V_r) \setminus \{0\}$ forms a subgroup of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^\times$. Indeed, if $\phi \in \mathfrak{E}(V_r)^\times$, then $\phi^{-1} = \alpha(\phi)^\top / \tilde{Q}_{V_r}(\phi) \in \mathfrak{E}(V_r)^\times$. If $\phi, \psi \in \mathfrak{E}(V_r)^\times$, then

$$\tilde{Q}_{V_r}(\phi\psi) = (\alpha(\psi)^\top \alpha(\phi)^\top \phi\psi)^{\langle 0 \rangle} = \alpha(\phi\psi)^\top \phi\psi.$$

We denote the intersection between $\mathfrak{E}(V_r)^\times$ and $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$ by $S^{\mathfrak{E}}$. Then $S^{\mathfrak{E}}$ is a subgroup of $\mathfrak{E}(V_r)^\times$. The sets $\mathfrak{M}_n(V_r)$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_n(V_r)$ are subsets of $S^{\mathfrak{E}}$ and $\operatorname{Pin}(V_r)$ and $\operatorname{Spin}(V_r)$ are subgroups of $S^{\mathfrak{E}}$.

Lemma 2. *The sets $\mu^L_{V_r}(S^{\mathfrak{E}})$ and $\mu^R_{V_r}(S^{\mathfrak{E}})$ are subgroups of $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r))$.*

Proof. If $\xi \in S^{\mathfrak{E}}$ and $\phi \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$, then

$$\tilde{Q}_{V_r}(\xi\phi) = (\alpha(\phi)^\top \alpha(\xi)^\top \xi\phi)^{\langle 0\rangle} = \tilde{Q}_{V_r}(\phi).$$

Hence $\mu^L_{V_r}(S^{\mathfrak{E}})$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r))$. Similarly, $\mu^R_{V_r}(S^{\mathfrak{E}})$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r))$. □

The linear map $\alpha(\ )^\top \colon \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r) \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ is involutive. Let $\mathfrak{D}^n(V_r)$ be the eigenspace of $\alpha(\ )^\top$ with eigenvalue $(-1)^n$:

$$\mathfrak{D}^n(V_r) = \{\phi \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r) : \alpha(\phi)^\top = (-1)^n\phi\} \ \ (n = 0, 1).$$

We have a decomposition

$$\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r) = \mathfrak{D}^0(V_r) \oplus \mathfrak{D}^1(V_r).$$

The vector space V_r is a linear subspace of $\mathfrak{D}^1(V_r)$. Let

$$\mathcal{J}(V_r) = \mathfrak{D}^1(V_r) \cap S^{\mathfrak{E}}.$$

If $N \in \mathcal{J}(V_r)$, then $N^2 = -\alpha(N)^\top N = -1$. Hence, $\mu^L_{V_r}(N)$ and $\mu^R_{V_r}(N)$ are orthogonal complex structures of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ for any $N \in \mathcal{J}(V_r)$. The sets $\mathfrak{M}_2(V_r)$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$ are subsets of $\mathcal{J}(V_r)$.

Let

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_1 = \mathbb{R} \oplus e_1\mathbb{R}, \ \ \mathcal{C}_2 = \mathcal{C}_1 \oplus e_2\mathcal{C}_1, \ \ \ldots, \ \ \mathcal{C}_r = \mathcal{C}_{r-1} \oplus e_r\mathcal{C}_{r-1}.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{C}_n = \mathrm{C}\ell(V_n) \ \ (n = 1, 2, \ldots, r).$$

The linear subspace $\mathcal{C}_n$ with complex structure $\mu^R_{V_r}(e_1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}^{2^{n-1}} \cong \mathbb{C}^{2^{n-1}}$ $(n = 1, 2, \ldots, r)$. We denote the real part of $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$ by $\mathrm{Re}\,\phi$, the imaginary part of $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$ by $\mathrm{Im}\,\phi$ and the complex conjugate of $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$ by $\bar{\phi}$.

Let $\mathfrak{L}_+$ be a linear subspace of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ spanned by

$$1, \ \ e_1, \ \ e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_{2m}}, \ \ e_1e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_{2m}} \ \ (2 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_{2m} \le r, \ \ 1 \le m).$$

Then $\mathfrak{L}_+$ is a complex linear subspace of $\mathcal{C}^{2^{r-1}}$ spanned by

$$1, \ \ e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_{2m}}, \ \ (2 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_{2m} \le r, \ \ 1 \le m).$$

If ϵ is an element of $\mathfrak{L}_+$, then $\phi\epsilon = \epsilon\phi$ for any $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$. Let $\mathfrak{L}_- = e_2\mathfrak{L}_+$ be a linear subspace of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ spanned by

$$e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_{2m-1}}, \ \ e_1e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_{2m-1}} \ \ (2 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_{2m-1} \le r, \ \ 1 \le m).$$

Then $\mathfrak{L}_-$ is a complex linear subspace of $\mathcal{C}^{2^r-1}$ spanned by

$$e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_{2m-1}} \ \ (2 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_{2m-1} \le r, \ \ 1 \le m).$$

If ϵ is a non-zero element of a linear subspace $\mathfrak{L}_-$ of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$, then $\phi\epsilon = \epsilon\bar{\phi}$ for any $\phi \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$. We have a decomposition $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r) = \mathfrak{L}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{L}_-$.

The endomorphism $\mu^R_{V_r}(a_0 + e_1a_1)$ is a complex endomorphism of $\mathcal{C}_r$. When we regard $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ as $\mathcal{C}^{2^{r-1}}$, we denote $\phi \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ by $(\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_{2^{r-1}}) \in \mathcal{C}^{2^{r-1}}$. If $\phi \in \mathfrak{D}^0(V_r)$, then $\mathrm{Im}\,\phi_1 = 0$. If $\phi \in \mathfrak{D}^1(V_r)$, then $\mathrm{Re}\,\phi_1 = 0$. Let F be the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$. Define a map $h \colon \mathcal{C}_r \times \mathcal{C}_r \to \mathcal{C}$ and $\delta \colon \mathcal{C}_r \times \mathcal{C}_r \to F$ by

$$\alpha(\phi)^\top\psi = h(\phi, \psi) + \delta(\phi, \psi).$$

Then h is a hermitian inner product of $\mathcal{C}_r$. Let

$$\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{2^{r-1}}),\ \ \psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_{2^{r-1}}) \in \mathcal{C}^{2^{r-1}}.$$

We have

$$h(\phi, \psi) = \sum_{i=1}^{2^{r-1}} \bar{\phi}_i \psi_i.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}\phi =& \phi_0 + \sum_{2 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_{2m} \le r} e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_{2m}} \phi_{i_1 \cdots i_{2m}} \\ &+ \sum_{2 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_{2m-1} \le r} e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_{2m-1}} \phi_{i_1 \cdots i_{2m-1}} \ \ (\phi_0,\ \phi_{i_1 \cdots i_{2m-1}},\ \phi_{i_1 \cdots i_{2m-1}} \in \mathcal{C}).\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&h(1, \mu^L_{V_r}(\phi)1) = h(e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_{2m}}, \mu^L_{V_r}(\phi) e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_{2m}}) = \phi_0, \\ &h(e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_{2m-1}}, \mu^L_{V_r}(\phi) e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_{2m-1}}) = \bar{\phi}_0.\end{aligned}$$

Since the dimension of $\mathfrak{L}_+$ is equal to that of $\mathfrak{L}_-$, we have $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}} \mu^L_{V_r}(\phi) = \operatorname{Re} \phi_0$. Then the set $\mathbb{R}$ is a codomain of the complex trace $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}} \mu^L_{V_r}(\phi)$ for any $\phi \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$. Hence the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2^{r-1}, \mathbb{C})$ of the complex special linear group is a codomain of $\operatorname{tr}_{\mathbb{C}} \mu^L_{V_r}(\phi)$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re} \phi_1 = 0$. If $\phi \in \mathfrak{D}^1(V_r)$, then $\mu^L_{V_r}(\phi) \in \mathfrak{sl}(2^{r-1}, \mathbb{C})$.

The endomorphisms $\mu^R_{V_r}(e_1)$, $\mu^R_{V_r}(e_2)$, $\mu^R_{V_r}(e_1 e_2)$ forms a quaternionic structure of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$. Then we regard $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ as right quaternionic vector space.

6. The algebra of quaternions

We explain the algebra of quaternions in order to explain the relationship between quaternionic holomorphic geometry and the contents of this paper.

The map

$$\begin{aligned}&\iota\colon \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2) \to \mathbb{H}, \\ &\iota(a_0 + a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 + a_3 e_1 e_2) = (a_0 + a_1 i + a_2 j + a_3 k) \\ &(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{R})\end{aligned}$$

is an algebra isomorphism. The algebra $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers is a commutative subalgebra of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)$. The quaternionic conjugation of $a \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)$ is $\alpha(a)^\top$. Then $\mathfrak{D}^0(V_2) = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{D}^1(V_2) = \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)^{\langle 1 \rangle} \oplus \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)^{\langle 2 \rangle}$. The set $\mathfrak{D}^1(V_2)$ is identified with the set $\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{H}$ of all imaginary parts of quaternions. The square of the norm of a is $\alpha(a)^\top a$. Then $\mathfrak{E}(V_2) = \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)$ and $S^{\mathfrak{E}} = \tilde{S}^3$. The set $\mathcal{J}(V_2)$ is a two-sphere $\mathfrak{D}^1(V_2) \cap \tilde{S}^3$. The spin group $\operatorname{Spin}(\mathfrak{D}^1(V_2))$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{S}^3$. The spin group $\operatorname{Spin}(\mathrm{C}\ell(V_2))$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{S}^3 \times \tilde{S}^3$.

We regard $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)$ as a right complex vector space with complex structure $\mu^R_{V_r}(e_1)$. Then 1, e_2 is a basis of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)$. We have a decomposition $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_2) = \mathrm{C}\ell(V_1) \oplus e_2\, \mathrm{C}\ell(V_1)$. Define maps $h\colon \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2) \times \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2) \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_1)$ and $\delta\colon \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2) \times \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2) \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_1)$ by

$$\alpha(\phi)^\top \psi = h(\phi, \psi) + e_2 \delta(\phi, \psi).$$

Then h is a hermitian inner product of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)$. Let $a = a_0 + e_2 a_1$ with a_0, $a_1 \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_1)$. The complex endomorphism $\mu^L_{V_r}(a_0 + e_2 a_1)$ is

$$\mu^L_{V_2}(a_0 + e_2 a_1)\begin{pmatrix}1 & e_2\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}1 & e_2\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}a_0 & -\bar{a}_1\\ a_1 & \bar{a}_0\end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence $\tilde{S}^3$ is isomorphic to the complex special unitary group $\mathrm{SU}(2,\mathbb{C})$ and $\tilde{S}^3 \cap \mathfrak{D}^1(V_2)$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$.

In [17], a Riemannian spin bundle over an oriented Riemann manifold M with Riemannian metric B is defined as follows.

Definition 2. Let L be a right quaternionic line bundle over M and $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)}(L)$ be the endomorphism bundle of L. The bundle L is a *Riemannian spin bundle* if there are

(1) a bundle map $\hat{}\colon TM \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)}(L)$ such that $\hat{X}\hat{Y} + \hat{Y}\hat{X} = -2B(X,Y)\,\mathrm{Id}$ for any X, $Y \in TM$ and
(2) a sesquilinear inner product $(\ ,\ )\colon L \times L \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)$.

In our notation, L is a quaternionic conjugate of a real spinor bundle $S(T^*\Sigma \otimes \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2))$ and the map $\hat{}$ is $\mu^L_{V_2}$. The map $(\ ,\ )$ is the quaternionic conjugate of Ψ in Section 12.

7. Linear subspaces of the Clifford algebra

We explain a two-dimensional linear subspace of the Clifford algebra.

A two-dimensional linear subspace and its orthogonal complement in $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_2) \cong \mathbb{H}$ is explained in a part of Lemma 2 in [4] as follows.

Lemma 3. *A subset W_2 of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)$ is a two-dimensional linear subspace of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)$ and $W_2^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of W_2 in $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)$ if and only if there exist N, $\tilde{N} \in \tilde{S}^3 \cap \mathfrak{D}^1(V_2)$ such that*

$$W_2 = \{v \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2) : Nv = -v\tilde{N}\},\ \ W_2^\perp = \{v \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2) : Nv = v\tilde{N}\}. \tag{1}$$

Moreover, $N = \tilde{N}$ if and only if $W_2 \subset \mathfrak{D}^1(V_2)$.

In the following, we assume that $r \geq 3$. The following is an analogue of the characterization of two-dimensional linear subspace of $\mathfrak{D}^1(V_2)$ in Lemma 3.

Lemma 4. *A subset W_2 of V_r is a two-dimensional linear subspace of V_r and $W_2^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of W_2 in V_r if and only if there exists $N \in \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$ such that*

$$W_2 = \{v \in V_r : \mathrm{Ad}_N\, v = -v\},\ \ W_2^\perp = \{v \in V_r : \mathrm{Ad}_N\, v = v\}. \tag{2}$$

Proof. Assume that a subset W_2 of V_r is a two-dimensional linear subspace of V_r and $W_2^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of W_2 in V_r. Let ϵ_1, ϵ_2 be an orthonormal basis of W_2. Then there exists $\hat{v} \in \mathrm{Spin}(V_r)$ such that $\epsilon_1 = \mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{v}}\, e_1$ and $\epsilon_2 = \mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{v}}\, e_2$. Then $N = \epsilon_2\epsilon_1^{-1} = \epsilon_1\epsilon_2 = \mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{v}}(e_1e_2) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$ satisfies (2). The converse is trivial. □

If W_2 is the two-dimensional linear subspace of V_r defined by (2), then $NW_2 = W_2 = W_2N$. Hence $\pm\mu^L_{V_r}(N)$ and $\pm\mu^R_{V_r}(N)$ are orthogonal complex structures of W_2. However, since $NW_2^\perp \neq W_2^\perp$ and $W_2^\perp N \neq W_2^\perp$, the endomorphisms $\pm\mu^L_{V_r}(N)$ and $\pm\mu^R_{V_r}(N)$ are not complex structures of $W_2^\perp$.

We modify Lemma 4 in order to explain Lemma 3. For any ϕ, $\psi \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^\times \cap \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{[0]}$, we define a vector space isomorphism $\mathfrak{A}^\phi_\psi \colon \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r) \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ by

$$\mathfrak{A}^\phi_\psi \xi = \phi \xi \psi^{-1} \ \ (\xi \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)).$$

If $\phi = \psi \in \mathrm{Spin}(V_r)$, then $\mathfrak{A}^\phi_\phi = \mathrm{Ad}_\phi$.

For any $\hat{w}$, $\hat{x} \in \mathrm{Spin}(V_r)$, the r-dimensional linear subspace

$$(V_r)^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}} = \mathfrak{A}^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}} V_r = \{\hat{w} v \hat{x}^{-1} : v \in V_r\}$$

of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ is an orthogonal transformation of V_r in $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$. We see that $(V_r)^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{w}} = V_r$. Any two-dimensional linear subspace in $(V_r)^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}}$ is of the form $\mathfrak{A}^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}} W_2$ for a two-dimensional linear subspace W_2 of V_r. The following holds immediately by Lemma 4.

Lemma 5. *A subset U_2 of $(V_r)^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}}$ is a two-dimensional linear subspace of $(V_r)^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}}$ and $U_2^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of U_2 in $(V_r)^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}}$ if and only if there exists $N_0 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &U_2 = \{v \in (V_r)^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}} : \mathfrak{A}^N_{\tilde{N}} v = -v\}, \quad U_2^\perp = \{v \in (V_r)^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}} : \mathfrak{A}^N_{\tilde{N}} v = v\}. \\ &N = \mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{w}}\, N_0, \ \ \tilde{N} = \mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{x}}\, N_0. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Proof. Assume that a subset U_2 of $(V_r)^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}}$ is a two-dimensional linear subspace of $(V_r)^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}}$ and $U_2^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of U_2 in $(V_r)^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}}$. There is a two-dimensional linear subspace W_2 of V_r such that $U_2 = \mathfrak{A}^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}} W_2$ and $U_2^\perp = \mathfrak{A}^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}} W_2^\perp$. By Lemma 4, there is an element $N_0 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$ such that $W_2 = \{v \in V_r : \mathrm{Ad}_{N_0} v = -v\}$ and $W_2^\perp = \{v \in V_r : \mathrm{Ad}_{N_0} v = v\}$. Then any $u \in U_2$ satisfies the equation

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{N_0}\, \mathfrak{A}^{\hat{w}^{-1}}_{\hat{x}^{-1}} u = -\mathfrak{A}^{\hat{w}^{-1}}_{\hat{x}^{-1}} u$$

and any $\tilde{u} \in U_2^\perp$ satisfies the equation

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{N_0}\, \mathfrak{A}^{\hat{w}^{-1}}_{\hat{x}^{-1}} \tilde{u} = \mathfrak{A}^{\hat{w}^{-1}}_{\hat{x}^{-1}} \tilde{u}.$$

We see that

$$\mathfrak{A}^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}}\, \mathrm{Ad}_{N_0}\, \mathfrak{A}^{\hat{w}^{-1}}_{\hat{x}^{-1}} u = \hat{w} N_0 \hat{w}^{-1} u \hat{x} N_0^{-1} \hat{x}^{-1} = \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{w}}\, N_0}_{\mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{x}}\, N_0} u.$$

Then

$$U_2 = \{v \in V_r : \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{w}}\, N_0}_{\mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{x}}\, N_0} v = -v\}, \ \ U_2^\perp = \{v \in V_r : \mathfrak{A}^{\mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{w}}\, N_0}_{\mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{x}}\, N_0} v = v\}.$$

The converse is trivial. □

As in Lemma 4, the map $\pm\mu^L_{V_r}(N)$ and $\pm\mu^R_{V_r}(\tilde{N})$ are orthogonal complex structures of W_2 but not of $W_2^\perp$.

Since $\mathrm{Spin}(\mathrm{C}\ell(V_2))$ is isomorphic to $\tilde{S}^3 \times \tilde{S}^3$, any two-dimensional linear subspace of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)$ is a two-dimensional linear subspace of $(V_2)^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}}$ for $\hat{w}$, $\hat{x} \in \tilde{S}^3$. Then any two-dimensional linear subspace of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)$ is explained as in Lemma 5. This is a part of Lemma 3.

8. Conformal linear maps

We explain a conformal linear map from a two-dimensional real vector space to $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ by an orthogonal complex structure.

Let U_2 be a two-dimensional oriented vector space with positive definite inner product B_{U_2}. A linear map $F\colon U_2 \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ is said to be conformal if there exists a positive real number ρ such that

$$\tilde{B}_{V_r}(F(u_1), F(u_2)) = \rho B_{U_2}(u_1, u_2) \ \ (u_1, u_2 \in U_2).$$

A conformal linear map into $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_2) \cong \mathbb{H}$ is explained as follows.

Lemma 6 ([4])**.** *Let $F\colon U_2 \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)$ be a linear map that is not the zero map. There exist N, $\tilde{N} \in \tilde{S}^3 \cap \mathfrak{D}^1(V_r)$ such that $F \circ J = NF = -F\tilde{N}$ if and only if F is conformal. Moreover $N = \tilde{N}$ if and only if $F(U_2) \subset \mathfrak{D}^1(V_r)$.*

We will explain a conformal linear map into $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ in a similar fashion.

The oriented volume form Ω_{U_2} is an element of $\mathcal{J}(U_2)$ and endomorphisms $\pm\mu^L_{U_2}(\Omega_{U_2})$ and $\pm\mu^R_{U_2}(\Omega_{U_2})$ are orthogonal complex structures of $\mathrm{C}\ell(U_2)$. Since $\Omega_{U_2}u = -u\Omega_{U_2}$ for every $u \in U_2$, the endomorphism $J = \mu^L_{U_2}(\Omega_{U_2}) = -\mu^R_{U_2}(\Omega_{U_2})$ is the orthogonal complex structure that is compatible to the orientation of U_2. Then $F\colon U_2 \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ is conformal if and only if there exists an orthogonal complex structure Υ of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ such that

$$F \circ J = \Upsilon \circ F.$$

Since $\mu^L_{V_r}(\mathcal{J}(V_r))$ and $\mu^R_{V_r}(\mathcal{J}(V_r))$ are subset of orthogonal complex structures of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$, we have the following:

Lemma 7. *Let $F\colon U_2 \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ be a linear map that is not the zero map. If there exists $N \in \mathcal{J}(V_r)$ with $F \circ J = NF$ or $F \circ J = -FN$, then F is conformal.*

Since $\mu^L_{V_r}(\mathcal{J}(V_r))$ does not necessarily coincide with the set of all orthogonal complex structures of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$, there might be a conformal linear map that is not explained as stated in Lemma 7.

We consider conformal linear maps with codomain $(V_r)^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}}$. Lemma 7 and Lemma 5 provide an explanation of a conformal linear map by complex structures of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$.

Lemma 8. *Let $F\colon U_2 \to (V_r)^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}}$ be a linear map that is not the zero map. There exists $N_0 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$ such that*

$$F \circ J = NF = -F\tilde{N}, \ \ N = \mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{w}}\, N_0, \ \ \tilde{N} = \mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{x}}\, N_0 \tag{4}$$

if and only if F is conformal. Moreover $N = \tilde{N}$ if and only if $(V_r)^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}} = V_r$.

Proof. Assume F is conformal. Then, the range $F(U_2)$ is a two-dimensional linear subspace of $(V_r)^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}}$. By Lemma 5, there exists $N_0 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$ such that $NF = -F\tilde{N}$ for $N = \mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{w}}\, N_0$, $\tilde{N} = \mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{x}}\, N_0 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$. The equation $N = \tilde{N}$ holds if and only if $(V_r)^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}} = V_r$. Since F is conformal, we have

$$F \circ J = NF = -F\tilde{N} \text{ or } F \circ J = -NF = F\tilde{N}.$$

Since $\pm N$, $\pm\tilde{N} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$, we have (4).

Conversely if (4) holds, then F is conformal by Lemma 7. Moreover, if $N = \tilde{N}$, then $F(U_2) \subset V_r$. □

The wedge product explains a relations between two conformal linear maps into $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_2) \cong \mathbb{H}$ as proved in Proposition 16 in [4] implicitly.

Lemma 9 ([4])**.** *Let $\zeta\colon U_2 \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)$ and $\eta\colon U_2 \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)$ be linear maps that are not the zero map.*

1. *If ζ is conformal with $\zeta \circ J = N\,\zeta$ for $N \in \tilde{S}^3 \cap \mathfrak{D}^1(V_2)$ and $\eta \wedge \zeta = 0$, then η is conformal with $\eta \circ J = \eta N$.*
2. *If ζ is conformal with $\zeta \circ J = -\zeta\,\tilde{N}$ for $N \in \tilde{S}^3 \cap \mathfrak{D}^1(V_2)$ and $\zeta \wedge \eta = 0$, then η is conformal with $\eta \circ J = -\tilde{N}\,\eta$.*

Similarly, the wedge product explains a relations between two conformal linear maps into $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$.

Lemma 10. *Let $\zeta\colon U_2 \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ with $\zeta(U_2) \subset \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^\times \cup \{0\}$ and $\eta\colon U_2 \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ be linear maps that are not the zero map.*

1. *If ζ is conformal with $\zeta \circ J = N\,\zeta$ for $N \in \mathcal{J}(V_r)$ and $\eta \wedge \zeta = 0$, then η is conformal with $\eta \circ J = \eta N$.*
2. *If ζ is conformal with $\zeta \circ J = -\zeta\,\tilde{N}$ for $N \in \mathcal{J}(V_r)$ and $\zeta \wedge \eta = 0$, then η is conformal with $\eta \circ J = -\tilde{N}\,\eta$.*

Proof. (1) For any $u \in U_2 \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$(\eta \wedge \zeta)(u, Ju) = \eta(u)\zeta(Ju) - \eta(Ju)\zeta(u) = (\eta(u)N - \eta(Ju))\zeta(u) = 0.$$

Since $\zeta(u)$ is invertible for any $u \in U_2$, we have $\eta \circ J = \eta N$. Then η is conformal by Lemma 7.

(2) For any $u \in U_2 \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$(\zeta \wedge \eta)(u, Ju) = \zeta(u)\eta(Ju) - \zeta(Ju)\eta(u) = \zeta(u)(\eta(Ju) + \tilde{N}\eta(u)).$$

Since $\zeta(u)$ is invertible for any $u \in U_2$, we have $\eta \circ J = -\tilde{N}\eta$. Then η is conformal by Lemma 7. □

Restricting ourselves to conformal linear maps from U_2 to V_r, we have the following:

Lemma 11. *Let $\zeta\colon U_2 \to V_r$ be a conformal linear map such that $\zeta \circ J = N\zeta = -\zeta N$ for $N \in \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$ and $\eta\colon U_2 \to V_r$ be a linear map. The following are mutually equivalent:*

1. *$\zeta \wedge \eta = 0$.*
2. *$\eta \wedge \zeta = 0$.*
3. *The linear map η is conformal with codomain V_r such that $\eta \circ J = -N\eta = \eta N$.*

Lemma 11 is a part of Lemma 1.6 in [3].

9. Conformal maps into a Riemannian manifold

We use the description of conformal linear maps in the previous section in order to explain conformal maps from a Riemann surface to a Riemannian manifold.

Let Σ be a two-dimensional oriented manifold and M be an r-dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold with Riemannian metric B. We denote the tangent bundle of M by TM and the cotangent bundle by T^*M. We denote a fiber of TM and T^*M at p by T_pM and T_p^*M respectively.

The tangent bundle TM is a real subbundle of the Clifford bundle $\mathrm{C}\ell(TM)$. In fact, $\mathrm{C}\ell(TM)^{\langle 1\rangle} = TM$. An immersion $f\colon \Sigma \to M$ induces a Riemannian metric on Σ. Let X and Y be local sections of $T\Sigma$. The induced metric is

$$(f^*B)(X,Y) = B(df(X), df(Y)) = -\frac{1}{2}(df(X)\, df(Y) + df(Y)\, df(X)).$$

Let Q be the quadratic form that is associated with B. Then the quadratic form that is associated with f^*B is

$$(f^*Q)(X) = Q(df(X)) = -df(X)\, df(X).$$

The metric f^*B and the orientation of Σ determines an orthogonal complex structure J_Σ such that $(X, J_\Sigma X)$ is a positive frame. Define a operator $*$ on one-forms on Σ by $*\omega = \omega \circ J_\Sigma$.

A conformal immersion from Σ to M is a map such that the differential map df_p is conformal at each point $p \in \Sigma$.

A conformal map from Σ to $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)$ is defined as follows.

Definition 3 ([39], [4])**.** A map $f\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)$ is *conformal* if there exist N, $\tilde{N}\colon \Sigma \to \tilde{S}^3 \cap \mathfrak{D}^1(V_2)$ such that

$$*\,df = N\, df = -df\, \tilde{N}. \tag{5}$$

As pointed out in [39], this definition is equivalent to the usual notion of conformality at immersed point. We see that $N = \tilde{N}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{D}^1(V_2)$ is a codomain of f.

We define a conformal map from Σ to M in a similar fashion. For a map $f\colon \Sigma \to M$, let $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(f^*TM)$ be the bundle of all oriented volume forms of all 2-dimensional linear subspaces on each fiber of f^*TM.

Definition 4. A map $f\colon \Sigma \to M$ is *conformal* if there exists a section $N \in \Gamma(\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(f^*TM))$ such that

$$*\,df = N\, df = -df\, N. \tag{6}$$

By Lemma 8, this definition is equivalent to the usual notion of conformality at immersed point. Since N_p is the oriented volume form of the tangent space of f at p, we may regard the map N as the Gauss map of f.

We assume that the vector bundle $E_f = T^*\Sigma \otimes f^*(TM)$ has a spin structure. By Lemma 1, we can explain a conformal immersion from Σ to M by a nowhere-vanishing section of $S(E_f)$ with complex structure.

Theorem 1. *Let $f\colon \Sigma \to M$ be a conformal immersion with $*\,df = N\, df = -df\, N$ such that E_f has a spin structure. Fix a spin structure of E_f. Let $S(E_f)$ be the associated spinor bundle and $\mathrm{C}\ell(E_f)$ be the Clifford bundle. Then, there exist a bundle map $\Psi_0\colon S(E_f) \to \mathrm{C}\ell(E_f)$, a nowhere-vanishing section s_f of $S(E_f)$ and a complex structure J_S of $S(E)$ defined by $J_S s_f = N s_f$ such that $df = \Psi_0(s_f)$ and $*\Psi_0 = \Psi_0 \circ J_S$.*

Proof. The differential map df is a section of E_f and E_f is a subbundle of $\mathrm{C}\ell(E_f)$. By Lemma 1, there is a section s_f of $S(E_f)$ and a bundle map $\Psi_0\colon S(E_f) \to \mathrm{C}\ell(E_f)$ such that $df = \Psi_0(s_f)$. Since f is an immersion, the section s_f does not vanishes. Since

$$*\Psi_0(s_f) = *\,df = N\, df = N\Psi_0(s_f) = \Psi_0(Ns_f) = \Psi_0(J_S s_f),$$

we have $*\Psi = \Psi_0 \circ J_S$. □

10. Conformal maps into the Clifford algebra

We restrict ourselves to the case where M is $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ and continue the discussion on conformal maps.

We may regard a section of $f^*(T\,\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r))$ and a section of $f^*(T^*\,\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r))$ as maps from Σ to $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$. A map $f\colon \Sigma \to V_r$ is a conformal map if and only if there exists a map $N\colon \Sigma \to \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$ such that $*\,df = N\,df = -df\,N$ by Definition 4.

Applying Lemma 7, Lemma 8, Lemma 10 and Lemma 11 for the differential map of a conformal map, we have the following lemmas for conformal maps.

Lemma 12. *Let $f\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ be a map. If there exists a map $N\colon \Sigma \to \mathcal{J}(V_r)$ with $*\,df = N\,df$ or $*\,df = -df\,N$, then f is conformal.*

Lemma 13. *Let $f\colon \Sigma \to (V_r)^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}}$ be a map. There exists a map $N_0\colon \Sigma \to \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$ such that*

$$*\,df = N\,df = -df\,\tilde{N},\ \ N = \mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{w}}\,N_0,\ \ \tilde{N} = \mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{x}}\,N_0 \tag{7}$$

if and only if f is conformal. Moreover $N = \tilde{N}$ if and only if $(V_r)^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}} = V_r$.

Lemma 14. *Let $f\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ be an immersion with $df(T_p\Sigma) \subset (T_{f(p)}\,\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r))^\times \cup \{0\}$ for each $p \in \Sigma$ and $g\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ be an immersion.*

1. *If f is conformal with $*\,df = N\,dg$ for $N\colon \Sigma \to \mathcal{J}(V_r)$ and $dg \wedge df = 0$, then g is conformal with $*\,dg = dgN$.*
2. *If f is conformal with $*\,df = -df\,\tilde{N}$ for $\tilde{N}\colon \Sigma \to \mathcal{J}(V_r)$ and $df \wedge dg = 0$, then g is conformal with $*\,dg = -\tilde{N}\,dg$.*

Lemma 15. *Let $f\colon \Sigma \to V_r$ be a conformal immersion with $*\,df = N\,df = -df\,N$ for $N\colon \Sigma \to \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$ and $g\colon \Sigma \to V_r$ be a map. The following are mutually equivalent:*

1. *$df \wedge dg = 0$.*
2. *$dg \wedge df = 0$.*
3. *A map g is conformal with codomain V_r such that $*\,dg = -N\,dg = dg\,N$.*

We omit the proofs of these theorem to avoid redundancy. The conformal map g in Lemma 15 is an analogue of Bäcklund transforms of a conformal immersion f into $\mathbb{H}$. Bäcklund transforms of a conformal immersion f into $\mathbb{H}$ is an reduction of Bäcklund transforms of a quaternionic holomorphic curve in a quaternionic projective space in [33]. Since $\bigcup_{\hat{w},\hat{x}\in \mathrm{Spin}(V_4)} (V_2)^{\hat{w}}_{\hat{x}} = \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)$, Definition 3 is an analogue of Lemma 13.

An isometric immersion $f\colon \Sigma \to V_3$ is called *isothermic* if lines of curvature forms an isothermal parameter ([13]). An oriented isothermic immersion f has a *dual* immersion g that has a parallel tangent plane, conformal induced metric and the opposite orientation ([10]). An isothermic immersion into V_r is studied in [38], [22], [21], [5] and [3] in modern language. Burstall [3] defined an isothermic immersion into V_r as follows.

Definition 5. An immersion $f\colon \Sigma \to V_r$ is *isothermic* if there is a non-constant map $f^c\colon \Sigma \to V_r$ such that $df \wedge df^c = 0$. The map f^c is called a *dual* of f.

Although an isothermic immersion from a polarized Riemann surface is introduced in [3], we select a more classical definition. An immersion $f\colon \Sigma \to V_r$ satisfies one of conditions in Lemma 15 is isothermic and g is a dual surface of f.

11. Holomorphic structures for a conformal map

In order to explain conformal maps into Euclidean n-space, we introduce holomorphic structures.

For a vector space W, we denote the trivial bundle over Σ with fiber W by $\underline{W}$. Then the Clifford bundle $\mathrm{C}\ell(\underline{W})$ is regarded as $\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(W)}$.

We may regard $\mathrm{C}\ell(f^*TV_r)$ as $\mathrm{C}\ell(\underline{V_r}) = \underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}$. A map $f\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ is regarded as a section of $\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}$. Hence, we may regard df as a section of $T^*\Sigma \otimes \underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}$. The differential operator d for a map from Σ to V_r is considered as the covariant derivative

$$d\colon \Gamma(\underline{V_r}) \to \Gamma(T^*\Sigma \otimes \underline{V_r}), \quad d(e_i) = 0 \ \ (i = 1, \dots, r)$$

of a flat connection on $\underline{V_r}$. A Clifford connection of $\mathrm{C}\ell(\underline{V_r})$ is associated with the flat connection. The covariant derivative $d^{\mathrm{C}\ell}$ of the Clifford connection is just the differential for a map from Σ to $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$. Hence we use the same symbol d for $d^{\mathrm{C}\ell}$.

Define subbundles $\bar{K}^N\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}$ and $\bar{K}_N\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}$ of $T^*\Sigma \otimes \underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}$ by

$$\bar{K}^N\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)} = \{\xi \in T^*\Sigma \otimes \underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)} : *\xi = -\mu^L_{V_r}(N)\xi\},$$
$$\bar{K}_N\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)} = \{\xi \in T^*\Sigma \otimes \underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)} : *\xi = -\mu^R_{V_r}(N)\xi\}.$$

Definition 6. For a map $N\colon \Sigma \to \mathcal{J}(V_r)$, the *left holomorphic structure* of $\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}$ with respect to $\mu^L_{V_r}(N)$ is a map

$$D^N_{\mathrm{C}\ell}\colon \Gamma(\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}) \to \Gamma(\bar{K}^N\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}), \ \ D^N_{\mathrm{C}\ell}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}(d\phi + N * d\phi).$$

A section $\phi \in \Gamma(\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)})$ is *holomorphic* with respect to $D^N_{\mathrm{C}\ell}$ if $D^N_{\mathrm{C}\ell}\phi = 0$.

For a map $\tilde{N}\colon \Sigma \to \mathcal{J}(V_r)$, the *right holomorphic structure* of $\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}$ with respect to $\mu^R_{V_r}(\tilde{N})$ is a map

$$\tilde{D}^{\tilde{N}}_{\mathrm{C}\ell}\colon \Gamma(\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}) \to \Gamma(\bar{K}_{\tilde{N}}\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}), \ \ \tilde{D}^{\tilde{N}}_{\mathrm{C}\ell}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}(d\phi + *\, d\phi\, \tilde{N}).$$

A section $\phi \in \Gamma(\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)})$ is *holomorphic* with respect to $\tilde{D}^{\tilde{N}}_{\mathrm{C}\ell}$ if $\tilde{D}^{\tilde{N}}_{\mathrm{C}\ell}\phi = 0$.

Since the triplet $(\mu^R_{V_r}(e_1),\ \mu^R_{V_r}(e_2),\ \mu^R_{V_r}(e_1e_2))$ forms a quaternionic structure of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$, a left holomorphic structure of $\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}$ is a holomorphic structure of a quaternionic vector bundle defined in [17]. Similarly, since the triplet $(\mu^L_{V_r}(e_1),\ \mu^L_{V_r}(e_2),\ \mu^L_{V_r}(e_1e_2))$ forms a quaternionic structure of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$, a right holomorphic structure of $\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}$ is a holomorphic structure of a quaternionic vector bundle. If $r = 2$, then holomorphic structures $D^N_{\mathrm{C}\ell}$ and $\tilde{D}^{\tilde{N}}_{\mathrm{C}\ell}$ are holomorphic structures of $\underline{\mathbb{H}}$ in [39].

Lemma 12, Lemma 13, Lemma 14 and Lemma 15 are rephrased by holomorphic structures. For example, Lemma 12 is rephrased as follows.

Corollary 1. *Let $f\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ be a map. If f is holomorphic with respect to $D^N_{\mathrm{C}\ell}$ or $\tilde{D}^{-N}_{\mathrm{C}\ell}$ with $N\colon \Sigma \to \mathcal{J}(V_r)$, then f is conformal.*

Then a map $f\colon \Sigma \to V_r$ is conformal if and only if there exists $N\colon \Sigma \to \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$ such that f is holomorphic with respect to $D^N_{\mathrm{C}\ell}$ and $\tilde{D}^{-N}_{\mathrm{C}\ell}$.

A quotient of two holomorphic sections are holomorphic as follows.

Lemma 16. *Let $f,\ g\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^\times$ be conformal immersions.*

(1) *If f and g are holomorphic with respect to $D^N_{\mathrm{C}\ell}$, then $f^{-1}g$ is holomorphic with respect to $D^{\mathrm{Ad}_{f^{-1}}N}_{\mathrm{C}\ell}$.*
(2) *If f and g are holomorphic with respect to $\tilde{D}^{-N}_{\mathrm{C}\ell}$, then fg^{-1} is holomorphic with respect to $\tilde{D}^{-\mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}}N}_{\mathrm{C}\ell}$.*

Proof. (1) Since

$$d(f^{-1}g) = -f^{-1}\,df\,g + f^{-1}\,dg,$$

we have $*\,d(f^{-1}g) = (\mathrm{Ad}_{f^{-1}}N)\,d(f^{-1}g)$. Then $f^{-1}g$ is holomorphic with respect to $D^{\mathrm{Ad}_{f^{-1}}N}_{\mathrm{C}\ell}$.
(2) Since

$$d(fg^{-1}) = df\,dg^{-1} - fg^{-1}\,dg\,g^{-1},$$

we have $*\,d(fg^{-1}) = -d(fg^{-1})\ \mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}}N$. Then fg^{-1} is holomorphic with respect to $\tilde{D}^{-\mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}}N}_{\mathrm{C}\ell}$. □

The vector bundle $E_f = T^*\Sigma \otimes f^*(T\,\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r))$ is regarded as $E = T^*\Sigma \otimes \underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}$. Since there are spin structures on $T^*\Sigma$ and $\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}$, there is a spin structure on E. We fix a spin structure of E. Then there is a spinor representation of df by Theorem 1:

Corollary 2. *Let $f\colon \Sigma \to V_r$ be a conformal immersion with $*\,df = N\,df = -df\,N$. Fix a spin structure of E. Then there exist a bundle map $\Psi_0\colon S(E) \to \mathrm{C}\ell(E)$, a nowhere-vanishing section s_0 of $S(E)$ and a complex structure J_S defined by $J_S s_0 = N s_0$ such that $df = \Psi_0(s_0)$ and $*\Psi_0 = \Psi_0 \circ J_S$.*

We consider s_0 as a holomorphic section as follows. Let $\tilde{J}_S$ of $T^*\Sigma \otimes S(E)$ be a complex structure defined by

$$\tilde{J}_S(\omega s_0) = \omega J_S s_0 = \omega N s_0 \ \ (\omega \in T^*\Sigma \otimes \underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}).$$

Define a subbundle $\bar{K}S(E)$ of $T^*\Sigma \otimes S(E)$ by

$$\bar{K}S(E) = \{\xi \in T^*\Sigma \otimes S(E) : *\,\xi = -\tilde{J}_S\xi\}.$$

Let ∇ be a flat connection on $S(E)$ defined by $\nabla(\lambda s_0) = d\lambda\, s_0$ $(\lambda\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r))$.

Definition 7. The *holomorphic structure* of $S(E)$ with respect to $\tilde{J}_S$ is the map

$$D_S\colon \Gamma(S(E)) \to \Gamma(\bar{K}S(E)),\ \ D_S = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla + \tilde{J}_S * \nabla).$$

A section ϕ of $S(E)$ is *holomorphic* with respect to D_S if $D_S(\phi) = 0$.

As holomorphic structures of $\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}$, the holomorphic structure D_S is a holomorphic structure of a quaternionic vector bundle. If $r = 2$, then the holomorphic structure D_S is a holomorphic structure of a pairing in [39]. We have

$$D_S(\lambda s_0) = \frac{1}{2}(d\lambda + *\,d\lambda\,N)s_0 = (\tilde{D}^N_{\mathrm{C}\ell}\lambda)\,s_0 \ \ (\lambda\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)).$$

Hence λs_0 is holomorphic with respect to D_S if and only if λ is holomorphic with respect to $\tilde{D}^N_{\mathrm{C}\ell}$. It is trivial that s_0 is holomorphic with respect to D_S.

12. Transforms of a spinor representation

For a conformal immersion $f\colon \Sigma \to \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$, the spinor representation of df is explained in [26] by the pairing of a section of the associated quaternionic spinor bundle with itself. This spinor representation is extended to a spinor representation of df of a conformal immersion f with codomain $\mathbb{H}$ by considering a pairing of quaternionic holomorphic sections of two quaternionic line bundles with complex structure in [39]. The representation in [19] is a variant of the representation in [39] that explains local properties of immersions by twistor lifts.

The spinor representation in [26] is used to show that the spinor bundles with complex structure determines a regular homotopy class of a conformal immersion. For a conformal immersion $f\colon \Sigma \to \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$, a conformal immersion $\tilde{f}\colon \Sigma \to \operatorname{Im}\mathbb{H}$ is a *spin transform* if there exists a map $\lambda\colon \Sigma \to \mathbb{H}$ such that $d\tilde{f} = \bar{\lambda}\, df\, \lambda$ ([27]). Spin transforms are used for the local classification of Bonne pairs in [27]. A spin transform is considered as an exchange of the quaternionic holomorphic section for the spinor representation. We define transforms of a conformal immersion f with codomain V_r being similar to a spin transform.

We extend the bundle map $\Psi_0\colon S(E) \to \mathrm{C}\ell(E)$ to

$$\begin{aligned}&\Psi\colon S(E)\times S(E) \to \mathrm{C}\ell(E),\\ &\Psi(\lambda s_0, \gamma s_0) = \lambda \Psi_0(s_0)\alpha(\gamma)^\top \ \ (\lambda, \gamma \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)).\end{aligned}$$

We see that $\Psi(s,t) = 0$ if and only if s or $t = 0$. This map is an analogue of a Riemann-Roch pairing or a pairing of a Riemannian spin bundle in [17]. We have

$$\begin{aligned}&df = \Psi(s_0, s_0),\\ &*\,\Psi(s_1, s_2) = \Psi(J_S s_1, s_2) = \Psi(s_1, J_S s_2) \ \ (s_1, s_2 \in S(E)).\end{aligned}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}&*\,\Psi(\lambda s_0, \gamma s_0) = \lambda * df\, \alpha(\gamma)^\top,\\ &\lambda * df \alpha(\gamma)^\top = \lambda N df \alpha(\gamma)^\top = \Psi(J_S \lambda s_0, \gamma s_0),\\ &\lambda * df \alpha(\gamma)^\top = \lambda df (-N) \alpha(\gamma)^\top = \Psi(\lambda s_0, J_S \gamma s_0).\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. *Let U be an open set of Σ with vanishing first de Rham cohomology group, $f\colon U \to V_r$ be a conformal immersion with $*\,df = N\,df = -df\,N$ for a map $N\colon \Sigma \to \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$ and $\Psi_0(s_0)$ be a spinor representation of df. Then the following holds.*

1. *If $\lambda s_0 \in \Gamma(S(E))$ is holomorphic with respect to D_S, $\lambda(U) \subset \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^\times$, then the one-form $\Psi(\lambda s_0, s_0)$ is the differential of a conformal immersion $f^\lambda\colon U \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ such that*
$$*\,df^\lambda = (\mathrm{Ad}_\lambda N)\, df^\lambda = -df^\lambda\, N.$$
2. *If $\lambda s_0 \in \Gamma(S(E))$ is holomorphic with respect to D_S, $\lambda(U) \subset \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^\times$, then the one-form $\Psi(s_0, \lambda s_0)$ is a differential of a conformal immersion $f_\lambda\colon U \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ such that*
$$*\,df_\lambda = N\, df_\lambda = -df_\lambda\, (\mathrm{Ad}_\lambda N).$$
3. *If $\lambda s_0 \in \Gamma(S(E))$ is holomorphic with respect to D_S, $\lambda(U) \subset \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^\times$, then the one-form $\Psi(\lambda s_0, \lambda s_0) = \Psi_0(\lambda s_0)$ is a differential of a conformal*

immersion $f^\lambda_\lambda\colon U \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ *such that*

$$* df^\lambda_\lambda = (\mathrm{Ad}_\lambda N)\, df^\lambda_\lambda = -df^\lambda_\lambda\, (\mathrm{Ad}_\lambda N).$$

Moreover, if $\mathfrak{C}(V_r)$ *is a codomain of* λ*, then* V_r *is a codomain of* f^λ_λ *and* $\Psi_0(\lambda s_0)$ *is the spinor representation of* df^λ_λ*.*

The last is an analogue of the spin transform in [27].

Proof. We prove (3). The proofs for the others are similar.

We have

$$d\Psi(\lambda s_0, \lambda s_0) = d\lambda \wedge df\, \alpha(\lambda)^\top - \lambda\, df \wedge d(\alpha(\lambda)^\top),$$
$$* \Psi(\lambda s_0, \lambda s_0) = (\mathrm{Ad}_\lambda N)\, \Psi(\lambda s_0, \lambda s_0) = -\Psi(\lambda s_0, \lambda s_0)\, (\mathrm{Ad}_\lambda N).$$

Then $* d\lambda = d\lambda\, N$ if and only if

$$* d(\alpha(\lambda)^\top) = \alpha(* d\lambda^\top) = \alpha(N^\top\, d\lambda^\top) = -N\, d(\alpha(\lambda)^\top).$$

Hence if λs_0 is holomorphic with respect to D_S, then $\Psi(\lambda s_0, \lambda s_0)$ is closed. Since the first de Rham cohomology group of U vanishes, there exists a map $f^\lambda_\lambda\colon U \to V_r$ such that $df^\lambda_\lambda = \Psi(\lambda s_0, \lambda s_0) = \Psi_0(\lambda s_0)$. The map f^λ_λ is an immersion since λ and $\Psi_0(s_0)$ do not vanish. Moreover, f^λ_λ is conformal with $* df^\lambda_\lambda = (\mathrm{Ad}_\lambda N)\, df^\lambda_\lambda = -df^\lambda_\lambda\, (\mathrm{Ad}_\lambda N)$.

If $\mathfrak{C}(V_r)$ is a codomain of λ, then the one-form $\Psi_0(\lambda s_0)$ is a section of E since

$$\Psi_0(\lambda s_0) = \widetilde{\mathrm{Ad}}_{\alpha(\lambda)} \Psi_0(s_0).$$

Then, V_r is a codomain of f^λ_λ. □

Two immersions $f,\ g\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{Im}\,\mathbb{H}$ are called *spin equivalent* in [27] if they are spin transforms each other. Two spin equivalent immersions f and g are regularly homotopic ([27]). For higher codimension, any two immersions from Σ to V_r with $r \geq 5$ are regularly homotopic ([23]). Hence two immersions $f,\ g\colon \Sigma \to V_r$ $(r \geq 5)$ with $dg = \lambda\, df\, \alpha(\lambda)^\top$ are regularly homotopic.

Theorem 2 (3) is read in terms of isothermic immersions as follows.

Corollary 3. *Let* $f\colon U \to V_r$ *with* $* df = N\, df = -df\, N$ *be an isothermic immersion with dual* f^c *and* $\Psi_0(s_0)$ *is a spinor representation of* df*. Then* $\Psi(f^c s_0)$ *is a spinor representation of a differential a conformal immersion* $\tilde{f}\colon U \to V_r$ *such that* $* d\tilde{f} = (\mathrm{Ad}_{f^c} N)\, d\tilde{f} = -d\tilde{f}\, (\mathrm{Ad}_{f^c} N)$.

13. The degree of a spinor bundle

The degree of a quaternionic vector bundle with a complex structure is defined as the degree of the underlying complex vector bundle. A counterpart of the Riemann-Roch theorem for a quaternionic line bundle is proved in [39]. It is shown in [17] that the degree of a Riemannian spin bundle is equal to the genus of the Riemann surface minus one. We define a degree of the spinor bundle $S(E)$ in a similar fashion and calculate it.

In this section, we assume that Σ is a closed Riemann surface. We regard $\mathrm{C}\ell(E)$ as a complex vector bundle by the complex structure $\mu^L_{V_r}(e_1)$. Then $S(E)$ decomposes into the direct product of the eigenbundles $\mathfrak{R}$ and $e_2\mathfrak{R}$ of J_S as

$$S(E) = \mathfrak{R} \oplus e_2\mathfrak{R},\ \ \mathfrak{R} = \{\phi \in \mathrm{C}\ell(E) : J_S s = e_1 s\}.$$

The complex rank of $\mathfrak{R}$ is 2^{r-2}.

Definition 8. The *degree* of $S(E)$ is the degree of $\mathfrak{R}$ and is denoted by $\deg S(E)$.

The degree of $S(E)$ is determined by the genus of Σ.

Theorem 3. *If the genus of Σ is g, then the degree of $S(E)$ is $2^{r-2}(g-1)$.*

If $r = 2$, then Theorem 3 is reduced to Lemma 5.4 in [17].
For the proof of this theorem, we investigate the map Ψ in the beginning.
Since f is conformal, we have

$$Q(df(X)) = -df(X)\,df(X) = -*\,df(X)\,*df(X),$$
$$B(df(X), *\,df(X)) = (-df(X) * df(X)) = 0$$

for any local vector field X of Σ. The area element of the metric on Σ induced by f is

$$dA_f = -\frac{1}{2}\,\alpha(df)^\top \wedge *\,df = -\frac{1}{2}\,df \wedge *\,\alpha(df)^\top = \frac{1}{2}\,df \wedge *\,df.$$

For $\omega \in \Gamma(T^*\Sigma \otimes S(E))$ and $s \in \Gamma(S(E))$, we define two-forms $\Psi(\omega, s)$, $\Psi(s, \omega) \in \Gamma(\bigwedge^2 T^*\Sigma \otimes \underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)})$ by

$$\Psi(\omega, s)(X, Y) = \Psi(\omega(X), s)(Y) - \Psi(\omega(Y), s)(X),$$
$$\Psi(s, \omega)(X, Y) = \Psi(s, \omega(X))(Y) - \Psi(s, \omega(Y))(X) \ \ (X, Y \in T^*\Sigma).$$

These are analogues of the Riemann-Roch pairing in [17]. For a one-form $\eta \in \Gamma(T^*\Sigma \otimes \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r))$, we have

$$\Psi(\eta\, s_0, s_0) = \eta \wedge df, \ \ \Psi(s_0, \eta\, s_0) = df \wedge \alpha(\eta)^\top.$$

Moreover,

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Psi(*\,df\, s_0, s_0) = \frac{1}{2}\Psi(s_0, *\,df\, s_0) = dA_f.$$

For $\omega \in T^*\Sigma \otimes S(E)$, the one-form

$$\omega_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\omega + \tilde{J}_S * \omega) \in \bar{K}S(E)$$

is the $(0,1)$-part of ω with respect to $\tilde{J}_S$ since $*\,\omega_1 = -\tilde{J}_S\omega_1$. Then

$$\Psi(\omega, s) = \Psi(\omega_1, s), \ \ \Psi(s, \omega) = \Psi(s, \omega_1)$$

by Lemma 14. For a one-form $\eta \in \Gamma(T^*\Sigma \otimes \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r))$, we have

$$\Psi(\eta\, s_0, s_0) = \frac{1}{2}(\eta + *\,\eta\, N) \wedge df, \ \ \Psi(s_0, \eta\, s_0) = df \wedge \alpha\left(\frac{1}{2}(\eta + *\,\eta\, N)\right)^\top.$$

Since $D_S s$ is a section of $\bar{K}S(E)$ for any section s of $S(E)$, we have

$$d(\Psi(s_1, s_2)) = \Psi(D_S s_1, s_2) = \Psi(s_1, D_S s_2).$$

Integrating this equation over Σ, we have

$$\int_\Sigma \Psi(D_S s_1, s_2) = \int_\Sigma \Psi(s_1, D_S s_2).$$

For $\lambda s_0 \in \Gamma(S(E))$, we have

$$\Psi(D_S(\lambda s_0), s_0) = \tilde{D}^N_{\mathrm{C}\ell}\lambda \wedge df, \ \ \Psi(s_0, D_S(\lambda s_0)) = df \wedge \alpha(\tilde{D}^N_{\mathrm{C}\ell}\lambda)^\top.$$

Let $S(E)^*$ be the dual bundle of $S(E)$. We regard $S(E)^*$ as a left $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$-module bundle by

$$(\lambda s_1^*)(s_2) = s_1^*(s_2)\alpha(\lambda)^\top \ \ (\lambda \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r),\, s_1^* \in S(E)^*,\, s_2 \in S(E)).$$

We denote by s_0^* the frame of $S(E)^*$ that is dual to s_0. Then $(\gamma s_0^*)(\lambda s_0) = \lambda\alpha(\gamma)^\top$. Let J_{S^*} be the complex structure being dual to J_S. Then

$$(J_{S^*}(\gamma s_0^*))(\lambda s_0) = (\gamma s_0^*)(J_S\lambda s_0) = (\gamma s_0^*)(\lambda N s_0) = \lambda N\alpha(\gamma)^\top = (-\gamma N s_0^*)(\lambda s_0)$$

for any $\lambda,\, \gamma \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$. Hence $J_{S^*}(\gamma s_0^*) = -\gamma N s_0^*$.

The complex structure J_{S^*} induces a complex structure $\tilde{J}_{S^*}$ of $T^*\Sigma \otimes S(E)^*$ by

$$\tilde{J}_{S^*}(\eta\, s_0^*) = \eta\, J_{S^*} s_0^* = \eta\, s_0^* \circ J_S = -\eta\, N s_0^* \ \ (\eta \in T^*\Sigma \otimes \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)).$$

Let $KS(E)^*$ be the subbundle of $T^*\Sigma \otimes S(E)^*$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} KS(E)^* =& \{\omega \in T^*\Sigma \otimes S(E)^* : *\,\omega = \tilde{J}_{S^*}\omega\} \\ =& \{\omega \in T^*\Sigma \otimes S(E)^* : *\,\omega = \omega \circ J_S\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define a homomorphism $\sigma\colon S(E) \to KS(E)^*$ by

$$(\sigma(s_2))(s_1) = \Psi_{s_2}(s_1) = \Psi(s_1, s_2) \ \ (s_1, s_2 \in S(E)).$$

Then

$$\Psi_{\gamma s_2}(\lambda s_1) = \lambda\Psi_{s_2}(s_1)\alpha(\gamma)^\top = \lambda((\gamma\Psi_{s_2})(s_1)) \ \ (\lambda, \gamma \in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)).$$

Since $\Psi_{s_0}(s_0) = df$, we have

$$\alpha(\Psi_{\gamma s_0}(\lambda s_0))^\top = -\gamma\Psi_{s_0}(s_0)\alpha(\lambda)^\top = -\Psi_{\lambda s_0}(\gamma s_0).$$

Then

$$\alpha(\Psi_{s_2}(s_1))^\top = -\Psi_{s_1}(s_2) \ \ (s_1, s_2 \in S(E)).$$

The subbundle $KR^* = \{\Psi_s : s \in S(E)\}$ is isomorphic to $S(E)$ by the isomorphism σ. We define $D_{KR^*}\colon \Gamma(KR^*) \to \Gamma(\bigwedge^2 T^*\Sigma \otimes R^*)$ by $D_{KR^*} \circ \sigma = D_S$. Then

$$(D_{KR^*}(\Psi_{s_2}))(s_1) = \Psi(s_1, D_S s_2) = \Psi(D_S s_1, s_2) \ \ (s_1, s_2 \in S(E)).$$

Define a complex structure $J_{\wedge^2}$ of $\bigwedge^2 T^*\Sigma \otimes S(E)^*$ by

$$J_{\wedge^2}\xi\, s^* = \xi\, J_{S^*} s^* \quad \left(\xi \in \bigwedge^2 T^*\Sigma,\, s \in S(E)\right).$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} *\,(D_{KR^*}(\Psi_{s_2}))(s_1) =& \Psi(s_1, -\tilde{J}_S D_S s_2) = \Psi(-\tilde{J}_S s_1, D_S s_2) \\ =& -\,(J_{\wedge^2}(D_{KR^*}(\Psi_{s_2})))(s_1). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 9. The *holomorphic structure* of KR^* is D_{KR^*}. A section $\Psi_s \in \Gamma(KR^*)$ is *holomorphic* if $D_{KR^*}\Psi_s = 0$.

We denote the set of all holomorphic section with respect to D_S by $H^0(S(E))$ and the set of all holomorphic section with respect to D_{KR^*} by $H^0(KR^*)$. The following is trivial from the above discussion.

Lemma 17. *A section $s \in \Gamma(S(E))$ is holomorphic if and only if $\Psi_s \in \Gamma(KR^*)$ is holomorphic.*

Define a pairing $(\ ,\ )\colon \Gamma(T^*\Sigma\otimes S(E))\times\Gamma(T^*\Sigma\otimes S(E)^*)\to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ by

$$(\omega\, s_0, \eta\, s_0^*) = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma \omega\wedge *\,\alpha(\eta)^\top \quad (\omega,\eta\in\Gamma(T^*\Sigma\otimes \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r))).$$

Then

$$(df\, s_0, df\, s_0^*) = \int_\Sigma dA_f.$$

Define a pairing $(\ ,\ )_{\wedge^2}\colon \Gamma(S(E))\times\Gamma(\bigwedge^2 T^*\Sigma\otimes S(E)^*)\to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ by

$$(\lambda s_1, \xi\, s_2^*)_{\wedge^2} = \lambda\int_\Sigma s_2^*(s_1)\,\alpha(\xi)^\top \quad \left(\lambda\colon \Sigma\to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r),\ \ \xi\in\Gamma\left(\bigwedge^2 T^*\Sigma\right)\right).$$

Then

$$(s_0, \alpha(dA_f)^\top s_0^*)_{\wedge^*} = \int_\Sigma dA_f.$$

Proof of Theorem 3. The map D_S decomposes as

$$D_S = \bar\partial + \mathcal{Q},\ \ \bar\partial = \frac{1}{2}(D_S - \tilde J_S D_S J_S),\ \ \mathcal{Q} = \frac{1}{2}(D_S + \tilde J_S D_S J_S).$$

Then $\bar\partial\colon \Gamma(S(E))\to\Gamma(\bar K S(E))$ is a complex holomorphic structure of $S(E) = \mathfrak{R}\oplus e_2\mathfrak{R}\cong\mathfrak{R}\oplus\mathfrak{R}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ is a homomorphism from $\Gamma(S(E))$ to $\Gamma(\bar K S(E))$. Then D_S is a first-order elliptic operator that is the zero-order perturbation of $\bar\partial$. Then $\dim H^0(S(E))$ is finite. Because $D_{KR^*}\circ\sigma = D_S$, the map D_{KR^*} is a first-order elliptic operator and $\dim H^0(KR^*)$ is finite.

We have

$$(D_S s_1, \Psi_{s_2}) = \int_\Sigma \Psi(D_S s_1, s_2) = \int_\Sigma (D_{KB^*}\Psi_{s_2})(s_1) = (s_1, D_{KB^*}\Psi_{s_2})_{\wedge^2}.$$

Then D_S and D_{KR^*} are adjoint each other.

For an elliptic operator $\mathfrak{P}$, we denote the analytic index of $\mathfrak{P}$ by $\operatorname{index}\mathfrak{P}$ and the cokernel of $\mathfrak{P}$ by $\operatorname{coker}\mathfrak{P}$. Then the dimensions of $H^0(S(E))$, $H^0(KR^*)$, the kernel $\ker D_S$ of D_S, the kernel $\ker D_{KR^*}$ and the cokernel $\operatorname{coker} D_S$ is related with $\operatorname{index} D_S$ by

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \dim H^0(S(E)) - \dim H^0(KR^*) = \dim\ker D_S - \dim\ker D_{KR^*}\\ &= \dim\ker D_S - \dim\operatorname{coker} D_{KR^*} = \operatorname{index} D_S.\end{aligned}$$

The complex holomorphic structure decompose as $\bar\partial = \bar\partial^{\mathfrak{R}}\oplus\bar\partial^{\mathfrak{R}}$ where $\bar\partial^{\mathfrak{R}}$ is a complex holomorphic structure of $\mathfrak{R}$. Let $\operatorname{rank}\mathfrak{R}$ be the complex rank of $\mathfrak{R}$, $\mathfrak{R}^*$ be the dual bundle of $\mathfrak{R}$, K be the canonical bundle over Σ and $H^0(\mathfrak{R})$ be the set of all complex holomorphic section with respect to $\bar\partial^{\mathfrak{R}}$. For a complex vector bundle W, we denote the set of all holomorphic sections by $H^0(W)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{index} D_S = \operatorname{index}\bar\partial\\ &= \dim H^0(\mathfrak{R}\oplus\mathfrak{R}) - \dim H^0(K\mathfrak{R}^*\oplus K\mathfrak{R}^*)\\ &= 2\dim H^0(\mathfrak{R}) - 2\dim H^0(K\mathfrak{R}^*)\\ &= \deg\mathfrak{R} - (g-1)\operatorname{rank}\mathfrak{R} = \deg S(E) - 2^{r-2}(g-1).\end{aligned}$$

Then the theorem holds. □

14. Darboux transforms

Darboux transforms of an isometric immersion with sphere congruence into $\mathbb{H}$ and the four-sphere is developed in [22]. This transforms is extended to Darboux transforms of an immersion with sphere congruence into V_r in [20] and [3] and that of a conformal map with one-side-enveloping sphere congruence into the conformal four-sphere in [1]. The Darboux transforms with one-side-enveloping sphere congruence in the conformal four sphere is reduced to Darboux transforms with one-side-enveloping sphere congruence in $\mathbb{H}$ as explained in [6]. We define a transform of a conformal map into $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ that is an analogue of a Darboux transform of a conformal map with one-side-enveloping sphere congruence.

We recall Darboux transforms with one-side-enveloping sphere congruence of a conformal map into $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_2) \cong \mathbb{H}$ ([6]). Let $f\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)$ be a conformal map with

$$*\,df = N\,df = -df\,\tilde{N},\ \ N,\,\tilde{N}\colon \Sigma \to \tilde{S}^3 \cap \mathfrak{D}^1(V_2).$$

A conformal map $f^\sharp\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2)$ with $*\,df^\sharp = N^\sharp\,df^\sharp$ is called a *Darboux transform* of f if there exists a map $T^\sharp\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_2) \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$f^\sharp = f + T^\sharp,\ \ N^\sharp = -\operatorname{Ad}_{T^\sharp} \tilde{N}.$$

Similarly, we have another Darboux transform $f_\sharp$ with $*\,df_\sharp = -df_\sharp\,\tilde{N}_\sharp$ such that

$$f_\sharp = f + T_\sharp,\ \ \tilde{N}_\sharp = -\operatorname{Ad}_{T_\sharp^{-1}} N.$$

We introduce similar transforms for conformal maps into $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$.

Definition 10. Let $f\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ be a conformal map.

(1) If $*\,df = -df\,\tilde{N}$ for $\tilde{N}\colon \Sigma \to \mathcal{J}(V_r)$, then a *right Darboux transform* of f is a conformal map $f^\sharp\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ such that

$$f^\sharp = f + T^\sharp,\ \ T^\sharp\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^\times,\ \ *\,df^\sharp = N^\sharp\,df^\sharp,\ \ N^\sharp = -\operatorname{Ad}_{T^\sharp} \tilde{N}.$$

(2) If $*\,df = N\,df$, for $N\colon \Sigma \to \mathcal{J}(V_r)$, then a *left Darboux transform* of f is a conformal map $f_\sharp\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ such that

$$f_\sharp = f + T_\sharp,\ \ T_\sharp\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^\times,\ \ *\,df_\sharp = -df_\sharp\,\tilde{N}_\sharp,\ \ \tilde{N}_\sharp = -\operatorname{Ad}_{T_\sharp^{-1}} N.$$

(3) If V_r is a codomain of f and $*\,df = N\,df = -df\,N$ for $N\colon \Sigma \to \mathfrak{M}_2(V_r)$, then a *two-sided Darboux transform* of f is a conformal map $f^\sharp\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ such that

$$f^\sharp = f + T,\ \ T\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^\times,\ \ *\,df^\sharp = N^\sharp\,df^\sharp = -df^\sharp\,\tilde{N}_\sharp,$$
$$N^\sharp = -\operatorname{Ad}_T N,\ \ \tilde{N}_\sharp = -\operatorname{Ad}_{T^{-1}} N.$$

If V_r is a codomain of a given conformal map, then our Darboux transforms are exactly the Darboux transform in [3].

Darboux transforms are related with isothermic surfaces. Let U be an open set of Σ with vanishing first de Rham cohomology group. From [6], we see that if $f\colon U \to \mathfrak{D}^1(V_2)$ is a conformal map and $f^\sharp$ is a two-sided Darboux transform with $f^\sharp = f + T$, then f is isothermic with dual f^c with $df^c = T^{-1}\,df^\sharp\,T^{-1}$. We have a similar description of an isothermic immersion into V_r.

Lemma 18. *Let U be an open set of Σ with vanishing first de Rham cohomology group.*

(1) *If* $f\colon U \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ *is a conformal map with* $*df = -df\,\tilde{N}$ *and* $f^\sharp$ *is a right Darboux transform with* $f^\sharp = f + T^\sharp$*. Then there is a conformal map* $\tilde{f}^c\colon U \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ *such that* $d\tilde{f}^c = (T^\sharp)^{-1}\,df^\sharp\,(T^\sharp)^{-1}$ *and* $df \wedge d\tilde{f}^c = 0$*.*

(2) *If* $f\colon U \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ *is a conformal map with* $*df = N\,df$ *and* $f_\sharp$ *is a left Darboux transform with* $f_\sharp = f + T_\sharp$*. Then there is a conformal map* $f^c\colon U \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ *such that* $df^c = (T_\sharp)^{-1}\,df_\sharp\,(T_\sharp)^{-1}$ *and* $df^c \wedge df = 0$*.*

(3) *If* $f\colon U \to V_r$ *is a conformal map with* $*df = N\,df = -df\,N$ *and* $f^\sharp$ *is a two-sided Darboux transform with* $f^\sharp = f + T$*, then* f *is isothermic with dual* f^c *such that* $df^c = T^{-1}\,df^\sharp\,T^{-1}$*.*

Proof. We show (3). The proofs of the others are similar.

For a conformal map $f\colon \Sigma \to V_r$ with $*df = N\,df = -df\,N$ and its two-sided Darboux transform $f^\sharp = f + T$, we have

$$df \wedge T^{-1}\,df^\sharp = df^\sharp \wedge T^{-1}\,df = 0$$

by Lemma 14. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&d(T^{-1}\,df^\sharp\,T^{-1}) = -T^{-1}\,dT\,T^{-1} \wedge df^\sharp T^{-1} + T^{-1}\,df^\sharp \wedge T^{-1}\,dT\,T^{-1}\\
&= -T^{-1}(df^\sharp - df)\,T^{-1} \wedge df^\sharp\,T^{-1} + T^{-1}\,df^\sharp \wedge T^{-1}(df^\sharp - df)\,T^{-1}\\
&= -T^{-1}\,df^\sharp\,T^{-1} \wedge df^\sharp T^{-1} + T^{-1}\,df^\sharp \wedge T^{-1} df^\sharp\,T^{-1}\\
&+ T^{-1}\,df\,T^{-1} \wedge df^\sharp\,T^{-1} - T^{-1}\,df^\sharp \wedge T^{-1}\,df\,T^{-1} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

there is locally a conformal map f^c such that $df^c = T^{-1}\,df^\sharp\,T^{-1}$. We have

$$df \wedge df^c = df^c \wedge df = 0.$$

Then f is isothermic and f^c is dual. □

The following is similar to Lemma 9 in [36] that provides a Darboux transform.

Lemma 19. *Let* $f\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ *be a conformal map.*

(1) *If* $*df = -df\,\tilde{N}$ *for* $\tilde{N}\colon \Sigma \to \mathcal{J}(V_r)$ *and* $\lambda\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^\times$ *is a conformal map such that* $df \wedge d\lambda = 0$ *and* g *is a map such that* $dg = -df\,\lambda$*, then*

$$f^\sharp = f + g\lambda^{-1}$$

is a right Darboux transform of f *and*

$$g^\sharp = f^\sharp\lambda = g + f\lambda$$

is a right Darboux transform of g*.*

(2) *If* $*df = N\,df$ *for* $N\colon \Sigma \to \mathcal{J}(V_r)$ *and* $\lambda\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^\times$ *is a conformal map such that* $d\lambda \wedge df = 0$ *and* g *is a map such that* $dg = -\lambda\,df$*, then*

$$f_\sharp = f + \lambda^{-1}g$$

is a left Darboux transform of f *and*

$$g_\sharp = \lambda f_\sharp = g + \lambda f$$

is a left Darboux transform of g*.*

Proof. (1) Since $df \wedge d\lambda = 0$, we have $*\,d\lambda = -\tilde{N}\,d\lambda$ by Lemma 14. Since

$$df^\sharp = df + dg\,\lambda^{-1} + g\,d\lambda^{-1} = g\,d\lambda^{-1},\ \ *\,d\lambda^{-1} = -(\mathrm{Ad}_{\lambda^{-1}}\,\tilde{N})\,d\lambda^{-1},$$

the map $f^\sharp$ is conformal with $*\,df^\sharp = -(\mathrm{Ad}_{g\lambda^{-1}})\,df^\sharp$ by Lemma 12. Then $f^\sharp$ is a right Darboux transform of f. Since $df = -dg\,\lambda^{-1}$, $g^\sharp = f^\sharp\lambda = g + f\lambda$ is a right Darboux transform of g.

(2) Since $d\lambda \wedge df = 0$, we have $*\,d\lambda = d\lambda\, N$ by Lemma 14. Since

$$df_\sharp = df + d\lambda^{-1}\, g + \lambda^{-1}\, dg = d\lambda^{-1}\, g,\ \ *\,d\lambda^{-1} = d\lambda^{-1}\,(\mathrm{Ad}_\lambda\, N),$$

the map $f_\sharp$ is conformal with $*\,df_\sharp = df_\sharp\,(\mathrm{Ad}_{g^{-1}\lambda}\, N)$ by Lemma 12. Then $f^\sharp$ is a left Darboux transform of f. Since $df = -\lambda\, dg$, $g_\sharp = \lambda f_\sharp = g + \lambda f$ is a left Darboux transform of g. □

A flat connection is associated with a Darboux transform.

Lemma 20. *Let $f\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ be a conformal map.*

(1) *Assume $*\,df = -df\,\tilde{N}$ for $\tilde{N}\colon \Sigma \to \mathcal{J}(V_r)$ and $f^\sharp = f + T^\sharp$ is a right Darboux transform. Then a connection*

$$\nabla^\sharp\colon \Gamma(\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}) \to \Gamma(T^*\Sigma \otimes \underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}),$$
$$\nabla^\sharp\phi = d\phi + (T^\sharp)^{-1}\, df^\sharp\, \phi\ \ (\phi \in \Gamma(\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}).$$

is flat.

(2) *Assume $*\,df = N\,df$ for $N\colon \Sigma \to \mathcal{J}(V_r)$ and $f_\sharp = f + T_\sharp$ is a left Darboux transform. Then a connection*

$$\nabla_\sharp\colon \Gamma(\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}) \to \Gamma(T^*\Sigma \otimes \underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}),$$
$$\nabla_\sharp\phi = d\phi + \phi\, df_\sharp\, (T_\sharp)^{-1}\ \ (\phi \in \Gamma(\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}).$$

is flat.

Proof. (1) Let $d^{\nabla^\sharp}$ be the covariant exterior derivative of $\nabla^\sharp$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&d^{\nabla^\sharp}\nabla^\sharp\phi = d^{\nabla^\sharp}(d\phi + (T^\sharp)^{-1}\, df^\sharp\, \phi)\\
=&(T^\sharp)^{-1}\, df^\sharp \wedge (d\phi + (T^\sharp)^{-1}\, df^\sharp\, \phi)\\
&+ (d(T^\sharp)^{-1} \wedge df^\sharp\, \phi - (T^\sharp)^{-1}\, df^\sharp \wedge d\phi)\\
=&(T^\sharp)^{-1}\, df^\sharp \wedge (T^\sharp)^{-1}\, df^\sharp\, \phi - (T^\sharp)^{-1}\, dT^\sharp\, (T^\sharp)^{-1} \wedge df^\sharp\, \phi\\
=&(T^\sharp)^{-1}\, df \wedge (T^\sharp)^{-1}\, df^\sharp\, \phi = 0
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 14. Then $\nabla^\sharp$ is flat.

(2) Let $d^{\nabla_\sharp}$ be the covariant exterior derivative of $\nabla_\sharp$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&d^{\nabla_\sharp}\nabla_\sharp\phi = d^{\nabla_\sharp}(d\phi + \phi\, df_\sharp\, (T_\sharp)^{-1})\\
&= (d\phi \wedge df_\sharp\, (T_\sharp)^{-1} - \phi\, df_\sharp \wedge d(T_\sharp)^{-1}) - (d\phi + \phi\, df_\sharp\, (T_\sharp)^{-1}) \wedge df_\sharp\, (T_\sharp)^{-1}\\
&= (\phi\, df_\sharp \wedge (T_\sharp)^{-1}\, dT_\sharp\, (T_\sharp)^{-1}) - (\phi\, df_\sharp\, (T_\sharp)^{-1}) \wedge df_\sharp\, (T_\sharp)^{-1}\\
&= -(\phi\, df_\sharp \wedge (T_\sharp)^{-1}\, df\, (T_\sharp)^{-1})
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 14. Then $\nabla_\sharp$ is flat. □

If $\phi \in \Gamma(\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)})$ is parallel with respect to $\nabla^\sharp$, then $*\,d\phi = \tilde{N}\, d\phi$. Hence we have a conformal map ϕ with $*\,d\phi = -\tilde{N}\, d\phi$. Similarly, a flat connection $\nabla_\sharp$ provides a conformal map ϕ with $*\,d\phi = d\phi\, N$.

The parallel sections of $\nabla^\sharp$ and $\nabla_\sharp$ produce Darboux transforms by Lemma 19 as follows.

Lemma 21. *Let U be an open set of Σ with vanishing first de Rham cohomology group and $f\colon U \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ be a conformal map.*

(1) *If $*\,df = -df\,\tilde{N}$ for $\tilde{N}\colon U \to \mathcal{J}(V_r)$, $f^\sharp$ is a right Darboux transform of f and λ_0 be a parallel section of $\nabla^\sharp$, then there is a map g_0 with $dg_0 = -df\,\lambda_0$.*

(2) *If $*\,df = N\,df$ for $N\colon U \to \mathcal{J}(V_r)$, $f_\sharp$ is a left Darboux transform of f and λ_0 be a parallel section of $\nabla_\sharp$, then there is a map g_0 with $dg_0 = -\lambda_0\,df$.*

Proof. (1) Since $\nabla^\sharp\lambda_0 = 0$, we see $*\,d\lambda_0 = -\tilde{N}\,d\lambda_0$. Then $df \wedge d\lambda_0 = 0$ by Lemma 14. Then there is a map g_0 with $dg_0 = -df\,\lambda_0$.

(2) Since $\nabla_\sharp\lambda_0 = 0$, we see $*\,d\lambda_0 = d\lambda_0\,N$. Then $d\lambda_0 \wedge df = 0$ by Lemma 14. Then there is a map g_0 with $dg_0 = -\lambda_0\,df$. □

If we have two Darboux transforms, then we can proceed Darboux transform with one more step as follows.

Theorem 4. *Let U be an open set of Σ with vanishing first de Rham cohomology group and $f\colon U \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ be a conformal map.*

(1) *If $*\,df = -df\,\tilde{N}$ for $\tilde{N}\colon U \to \mathcal{J}(V_r)$ and $f_n^\sharp$ is a right Darboux transforms with $*\,df_n^\sharp = N^\sharp\,df_n^\sharp = -df_n^\sharp\,\tilde{N}_n^\sharp$ such that*

$$f_n^\sharp = f + g_n\lambda_n^{-1},\ \ dg_n = -df\,\lambda_n,\ \ N^\sharp = -\operatorname{Ad}_{g_n\lambda_n^{-1}}\tilde{N}\ \ (n = 0, 1).$$

Then there are a right Darboux transform $f_0^{\sharp\sharp}$ of $f_0^\sharp$ and a right Darboux transform $f_1^{\sharp\sharp}$ of $f_1^\sharp$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&d\lambda_0 = -d\lambda_1\,\chi,\\
&dg_0^\sharp = -df_0^\sharp\,(\lambda_1 + \lambda_0\chi^{-1}),\ \ dg_1^\sharp = -df_1^\sharp\,(\lambda_1 + \lambda_0\chi^{-1})\\
&(g_0^\sharp)^{-1}(f_0^{\sharp\sharp} - f_0^\sharp) = \chi(g_1^\sharp)^{-1}(f_1^{\sharp\sharp} - f_1^\sharp).
\end{aligned}$$

(2) *If $*\,df = N\,df$ for $N\colon U \to \mathcal{J}(V_r)$ and $f_{n\sharp}$ is a left Darboux transform with $*\,df_{n\sharp} = N_{n\sharp}\,df_{n\sharp} = -df_{n\sharp}\,\tilde{N}_\sharp$ such that*

$$f_{n\sharp} = f + \lambda_n^{-1}g_n,\ \ dg_n = -\lambda_n\,df,\ \ \tilde{N}_\sharp = -\operatorname{Ad}_{g_n^{-1}\lambda_n}\tilde{N}\ \ (n = 0, 1).$$

Then there are a left Darboux transform $f_{0\sharp\sharp}$ of $f_{0\sharp}$ and a left Darboux transform $f_{1\sharp\sharp}$ of $f_{1\sharp}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&d\lambda_0 = -\chi\,d\lambda_1,\\
&dg_{0\sharp} = -(\lambda_1 + \chi^{-1}\lambda_0)\,df_{0\sharp},\ \ dg_{1\sharp} = -(\lambda_1 + \chi^{-1}\lambda_0)df_{1\sharp},\\
&(f_{0\sharp\sharp} - f_{0\sharp})(g_{0\sharp})^{-1} = (f_{1\sharp\sharp} - f_{1\sharp})(g_{1\sharp})^{-1}\chi.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. (1) Since $df_n^\sharp = g_n\,d\lambda_n^{-1}$, we have

$$*\,d\lambda_n^{-1} = -d\lambda_n^{-1}\,\tilde{N}_n^\sharp,\ \ *\,d\lambda_n = -d\lambda_n\,(\operatorname{Ad}_{\lambda_n^{-1}}\tilde{N}_n^\sharp).$$

Since $*\,d\lambda_n = -\tilde{N}\,d\lambda_n$, there is χ such that $d\lambda_0 = -d\lambda_1\,\chi$. Then

$$d\lambda_1 \wedge d\chi = d\lambda_0 \wedge d\chi^{-1} = 0.$$

By Lemma 14, we have

$$*\,d\chi = -(\operatorname{Ad}_{\lambda_1^{-1}}\tilde{N}_1^\sharp)\,d\chi,\ \ *\,d\chi^{-1} = -(\operatorname{Ad}_{\lambda_0^{-1}}\tilde{N}_0^\sharp)\,d\chi^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\operatorname{Ad}_{\lambda_1^{-1}}\tilde{N}_1^\sharp = \operatorname{Ad}_{\chi\lambda_0^{-1}}\tilde{N}_0^\sharp.$$

Since

$$df_0^\sharp \wedge d(\lambda_1 + \lambda_0\chi^{-1}) = df_0^\sharp \wedge \lambda_0\, d\chi^{-1} = 0,$$
$$df_1^\sharp \wedge d(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1\chi) = df_1^\sharp \wedge \lambda_1\, d\chi = 0,$$

we have right Darboux transforms

$$f_0^{\sharp\sharp} = f_0^\sharp + g_0^\sharp(\lambda_1 + \lambda_0\chi^{-1})^{-1},\ \ dg_0^\sharp = -df_0^\sharp\,(\lambda_1 + \lambda_0\chi^{-1}),$$
$$f_1^{\sharp\sharp} = f_1^\sharp + g_1^\sharp(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1\chi)^{-1},\ \ dg_1^\sharp = -df_1^\sharp\,(\lambda_0 + \lambda_1\chi)$$

by Lemma 19. The right Darboux transforms $f_0^{\sharp\sharp}$ and $f_1^{\sharp\sharp}$ are related by the equation

$$(g_0^\sharp)^{-1}(f_0^{\sharp\sharp} - f_0^\sharp) = \chi(g_1^\sharp)^{-1}(f_1^{\sharp\sharp} - f_1^\sharp).$$

(2) Since $df_{n\sharp} = d\lambda_n^{-1}\, g_n$, we have

$$*\, d\lambda_n^{-1} = N_{n\sharp}\, d\lambda_n^{-1},\ \ *\, d\lambda_n = (\mathrm{Ad}_{\lambda_n} N_{n\sharp})\, d\lambda_n.$$

Since $*\, d\lambda_n = d\lambda_n\, N$, there is χ such that $d\lambda_0 = -\chi\, d\lambda_1$. By Lemma 14, we have

$$*\, d\chi = d\chi\,(\mathrm{Ad}_{\lambda_1} N_{1\sharp}),\ \ *\, d\chi^{-1} = d\chi^{-1}\,(\mathrm{Ad}_{\lambda_0} N_{0\sharp}).$$

Then

$$\mathrm{Ad}_{\lambda_1} N_{1\sharp} = \mathrm{Ad}_{\chi^{-1}\lambda_0} N_{0\sharp}.$$

Since

$$d(\lambda_1 + \chi^{-1}\lambda_0) \wedge df_{0\sharp} = d\chi^{-1}\,\lambda_0 \wedge df_{0\sharp} = 0,$$
$$d(\lambda_0 + \chi\lambda_1) \wedge df_{1\sharp} = d\chi\,\lambda_1 \wedge df_{1\sharp} = 0,$$

we have left Darboux transforms

$$f_{0\sharp\sharp} = f_{0\sharp} + (\lambda_1 + \chi^{-1}\lambda_0)^{-1} g_{0\sharp},\ \ dg_{0\sharp} = -(\lambda_1 + \chi^{-1}\lambda_0)\, df_{0\sharp},$$
$$f_{1\sharp\sharp} = f_{1\sharp} + (\lambda_0 + \chi\lambda_1)^{-1} g_{1\sharp},\ \ dg_{1\sharp} = -(\lambda_0 + \chi\lambda_1)\, df_{1\sharp}$$

by Lemma 19. The left Darboux transforms $f_{0\sharp\sharp}$ and $f_{1\sharp\sharp}$ are related by the equation

$$(f_{0\sharp\sharp} - f_{0\sharp})(g_{0\sharp})^{-1} = (f_{1\sharp\sharp} - f_{1\sharp})(g_{1\sharp})^{-1}\chi.$$

□

The process of the proof of Theorem 4 is similar to the proof of the Bianchi permutability for surfaces in the four-sphere in [1].

15. Isometric immersions into Euclidean n-space

We consider Riemannian geometry of isometric immersions into V_r.

Let $f\colon \Sigma \to V_r$ be an isometric immersion. For one-forms ω and η on Σ, we identify the two-forms $\omega \wedge \eta$ with the quadratic form defined by

$$\omega(X) * \eta(X) - * \omega(X)\, \eta(X)\ \ (X \in T\Sigma)$$

and write

$$\omega \wedge \eta \cong \omega * \eta - * \omega\, \eta.$$

Then

$$\omega \wedge \eta = * \omega \wedge * \eta,$$

$$dA_f \cong -\frac{1}{2}(-\alpha(df)^\top\, df - \alpha(*df)^\top * df) = -df\, df = Q_{V_r}(df).$$

Let $\tilde{*}$ be the Hodge star operator of Σ. For a map g on Σ, we have $\tilde{*}\, g = g\, dA_f$. For a one-form ω, we have $\tilde{*}\,\omega = -*\,\omega$. For a two-form $g\, dA_f$, we have $\tilde{*}\,(g\, dA_f) = g$. The Hodge-Laplace operator is

$$\Delta = -d\,\tilde{*}\,d\,\tilde{*} - \tilde{*}\,d\,\tilde{*}\,d.$$

For a map g, we have

$$\Delta g = -\tilde{*}\,d\,\tilde{*}\,dg = \tilde{*}\,d * dg.$$

The isometric immersion f and its mean curvature vector $\mathcal{H}$ are related by the equation

$$\Delta f = -2\mathcal{H}. \tag{8}$$

An isometric immersion is a conformal immersion. We see in [4] if $f\colon \Sigma \to \mathfrak{D}^1(V_2)$ is an isometric immersion with $*\,df = N\, df = -df\, N$ then f, N and $\mathcal{H}$ are related by the equation

$$*\,dN + N\, dN = -2\mathcal{H}\, df = 2\, df\, \mathcal{H}.$$

We have a similar formula for an isometric immersion $f\colon \Sigma \to V_r$.

Lemma 22. *If $f\colon \Sigma \to V_r$ is an isometric immersion with $*\,df = N\, df = -df\, N$, then*

$$*\,dN + N\, dN = -2\mathcal{H}\, df = 2\, df\, \mathcal{H}. \tag{9}$$

Proof. The equation (8) is equivalent to

$$d * df + 2\mathcal{H}\, dA_f = d * df + \mathcal{H}\, df \wedge * df = 0.$$

This is also equivalent to

$$(dN - \mathcal{H} * df) \wedge df = 0.$$

By Lemma 14, we have

$$*\,(dN - \mathcal{H} * df) = (dN - \mathcal{H} * df)\, N.$$

Then

$$*\,dN + N\, dN = -2\mathcal{H}\, df.$$

Since $\mathcal{H}$ is perpendicular to df, we have $\mathcal{H}\, df = -df\, \mathcal{H}$. Then (9) holds. □

By analogy from the Hopf field of a conformal map into $\mathbb{H}$ in [17], we define a one-form $\mathcal{A}_N$ by

$$\mathcal{A}_N = \frac{1}{4}(*\,dN + N\, dN).$$

A minimal immersions is explained by $\mathcal{A}_N$.

Theorem 5. *Let $f\colon \Sigma \to V_r$ be an isometric immersion with $*\,df = N\, df = -df\, N$. The following are mutually equivalent:*

1. *$\mathcal{A}_N = 0$.*
2. *$d * df = 0$.*
3. *The immersion f is isothermic and N is dual.*
4. *The isometric immersion f is minimal.*

Proof. By Lemma 22, we have

$$2\mathcal{A}_N = -\mathcal{H}\,df = df\,\mathcal{H}.$$

Hence the one-form $\mathcal{A}_N$ vanishes if and only if f is minimal. Since $4\mathcal{A}_N = *\,dN + N\,dN$, the one-form $\mathcal{A}_N$ vanishes if and only if $*\,dN = -N\,dN = dN\,N$. The equation $*\,dN = -N\,dN = dN\,N$ holds if and only if $dN \wedge df = df \wedge dN = 0$ by Lemma 14. Hence the one-form $\mathcal{A}_N$ vanishes if and only if f is isothermic and N is dual. Since $d*df = dN \wedge df$, the one-form $\mathcal{A}_N$ vanishes if and only if $d*df = 0$. □

From Theorem 5, we see that the minimality of f is equivalent to the vanishing of $\mathcal{A}_N$ which is defined for a conformal map.

Definition 11. A conformal map $f\colon \Sigma \to V_r$ with $*\,df = N\,df = -df\,N$ is *minimal* if $\mathcal{A}_N = 0$.

Let $f\colon \Sigma \to V_r$ be a minimal conformal map with $*\,df = N\,df = -df\,N$. Chern and Osserman [9] showed that the Gauss map N is anti-holomorphic. The map

$$J^{\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)}\colon T\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r) \to T\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r),$$
$$J^{\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)}(X) = -(\mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{v}}\,\Omega_{V_2})X = X(\mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{v}}\,\Omega_{V_2})\ \ (X \in T_{\mathrm{Ad}_{\hat{v}}\,\Omega_{V_2}}\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r))$$

is a complex structure that makes the Gauss map anti-holomorphic. By this complex structure $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$ is identified with a complex quadric.

Since $df \wedge dN = 0$, there is locally a conformal map h with $dh = df\,N = -*\,df$. The map h is a conjugate minimal conformal map of f. We have a right Darboux transform $f^\sharp = f + hN$ of f by Lemma 19. Similarly, we have $dh = -N\,df$ and $f_\sharp = f - Nh$ is a left Darboux transform of f. Since V_r is a codomain of f and h, we have $\alpha(f_\sharp)^\top = -f + hN = -f^\sharp$. This is an analogy of [35] and [12] for minimal conformal maps into $\mathbb{H}$. The maps $f^\sharp$ and $f_\sharp$ are super-conformal maps.

A Darboux transform of a minimal immersion constructs a transform of a minimal immersion as follows.

Corollary 4. *Let U be an open set of Σ with vanishing first de Rham cohomology group and $f\colon U \to V_r$ be a minimal immersion with $*\,df = N\,df = -df\,N$, $\Psi_0(s_0)$ be a spinor representation of df, h be a conjugate minimal immersion of f such that $dh = df\,N$ and $f^\sharp = f + hN$. If $f^\sharp$ is nowhere-vanishing on U, then there is a conformal immersion $g\colon U \to V_r$ such that $dg_0 = \Psi_0(f^\sharp s_0)$.*

Proof. Since $*\,df^\sharp = df^\sharp\,N$, we have $\tilde{D}^N_{\mathrm{C}\ell} f^\sharp = 0$. The corollary follows from Theorem 2. □

We will return to an isometric immersion. Let $\nu(\Sigma)$ be the normal bundle of an immersion $f\colon \Sigma \to V_r$, $\nabla^\perp\colon \Gamma(\nu(\Sigma)) \to \Gamma(T^*\Sigma \otimes \nu(\Sigma))$ be the normal connection and $\mathcal{S}$ the shape operator. Let ξ be a section of $\nu(\Sigma)$. Then the Weingarten formula is

$$d_X\xi = \nabla^\perp_X\xi - \mathcal{S}_\xi(X),$$
$$\nabla^\perp_X\xi = \frac{1}{2}(d_X\xi - N\,d_X\xi\,N),\ \ \mathcal{S}_\xi(X) = -\frac{1}{2}(d_X\xi + N\,d_X\xi\,N).$$

An isometric immersion $f\colon \Sigma \to V_r$ is said to be of *parallel mean curvature vector* if $\nabla^\perp\mathcal{H} = 0$. Ruh and Vilms [40] showed that an isometric immersion $f\colon \Sigma \to V_r$ with $*\,df = N\,df = -df\,N$ is of parallel mean curvature if and only if the Gauss map $N\colon \Sigma \to \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$ is harmonic. Chen [8] showed an isometric

immersion $f\colon \Sigma \to V_r$ with $*df = N\,df = -df\,N$ is of parallel mean curvature vector with flat normal bundle if and only if the composition $\iota \circ N$ of N and the inclusion $\iota\colon \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r) \to \tilde{S}^{2^r-1} \cap \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle 2\rangle}$ is a harmonic map. B. Y. Chen [7] classified all immersions of parallel mean curvature vector into V_r as follows.

Theorem 6. *Let $f\colon \Sigma \to V_r$ be an isometric immersion of parallel mean curvature vector. Then one of the following holds.*

1. *The immersion f is a minimal immersion into V_r.*
2. *The immersion f is a minimal immersion into a hypersphere $S^{r-1} \subset V_r$.*
3. *There is $B \in \mathrm{O}(V_r)$ such that $B(f(\Sigma)) \subset V_4$ and f is locally given by the Hoffman surface.*

The case (1) has been explained in Theorem 5. The case (3) falls within quaternionic holomorphic geometry. About the case (2), Yang [42] showed that homogeneous minimal surfaces in S^{r-1} with flat normal bundle is parametrized by a real affine algebraic variety in $\mathbb{R}^{(r-3)^2}$.

16. Harmonic maps into a sphere

Eells and Sampson [15] showed that an isometric immersion is minimal if and only if it is harmonic. Then the Theorem 6 (2) is rephrased that the isometric immersion f is harmonic into a hypersphere $S^{r-1} \subset V_r$. By [18] and [41], a non-constant conformal harmonic map is a minimal branched immersion. Extending the codomain of f to $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1} \subset \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$, we will investigate a harmonic map into $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$ in a similar way to harmonic two-spheres in $\mathfrak{D}^1(V_2)$ in [17].

An immersion $f\colon \Sigma \to \tilde{S}^{2^r-1} \subset \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ is harmonic if and only if

$$\Delta f = |df|^2 f \tag{10}$$

where $|df|^2$ is the trace of the first fundamental form of f ([16]). Let (x_1, x_2) be a local isothermal parameter of Σ being compatible to the orientation of Σ and $I = g(dx_1 \otimes dx_1 + dx_2 \otimes dx_2)$ be the first fundamental form. Then (10) is

$$\Delta f = \frac{1}{g}(Q_{V_r}(f_{x_1}) + Q_{V_r}(f_{x_2}))f. \tag{11}$$

The Hodge dual of the equation (11) is

$$\begin{aligned} d * df =& \frac{1}{g}(Q_{V_r}(f_{x_1}) + Q_{V_r}(f_{x_2}))f g\, dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \\ =& (Q_{V_r}(f_{x_1}) + Q_{V_r}(f_{x_2}))f\, dx_1 \wedge dx_2. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The set $S^{\mathfrak{E}} \cap \mathfrak{D}^n(V_r)$ is a real algebraic variety of $\mathfrak{D}^n(V_r)$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} S^{r-1} &= \tilde{S}^{2^r-1} \cap \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle 1\rangle} \subset S^{\mathfrak{E}} \cap \mathfrak{D}^1(V_r), \\ \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r) &\subset \tilde{S}^{2^r-1} \cap \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle 2\rangle} \subset S^{\mathfrak{E}} \cap \mathfrak{D}^1(V_r). \end{aligned}$$

Since $S^{\mathfrak{E}} \cap \mathfrak{D}^n(V_r) \subset \tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$, we regard a map with codomain $S^{\mathfrak{E}} \cap \mathfrak{D}^n(V_r)$ as a map with codomain $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$.

Lemma 23. *A map $f\colon \Sigma \to S^{\mathfrak{E}} \cap \mathfrak{D}^n(V_r)$ is a harmonic map into $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$ if and only if $d(f * df) = (*\,df\, f) = 0$.*

Proof. Since $\alpha(f)^\top = (-1)^n f$ and $\mathfrak{D}^n(V_r)$ is a linear subspace of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$, we have

$$Q_{V_r}(f) = \alpha(f)^\top f = (-1)^n f^2 = 1,\ \ df\, f + f\, df = 0,$$
$$\alpha(df)^\top = (-1)^n\, df,\ \ Q_{V_r}(f_{x_1}) = (-1)^n f_{x_1}^2,\ \ Q_{V_r}(f_{x_2}) = (-1)^n f_{x_2}^2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} df \wedge *\, df =& (f_{x_1}\, dx_1 + f_{x_2}\, dx_2) \wedge (-f_{x_1}\, dx_2 + f_{x_2}\, dx_1) \\ =& (-f_{x_1}^2 - f_{x_2}^2)\, dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \\ =& (-1)^{n+1}(Q_{V_r}(f_{x_1}) + Q_{V_r}(f_{x_2}))\, dx_1 \wedge dx_2. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(f * df) =& df \wedge * df + f\, d * df = f((-1)^n f\, df \wedge * df + d * df) \\ =& f(-f\,(Q_{V_r}(f_{x_1}) + Q_{V_r}(f_{x_2}))\, dx_1 \wedge dx_2 + d * df). \end{aligned}$$

Hence f is a harmonic map into $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$ if and only if $d(f*df) = 0$ by (12). Similarly, f is a harmonic map into $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$ if and only if $d(*df\, f) = 0$. □

We assume that $n = 1$. Let $f\colon \Sigma \to S^{\mathfrak{E}} \cap \mathfrak{D}^1(V_r)$ be a map. Define one-forms Φ_f and $\tilde{\Phi}_f$ by

$$\Phi_f = \frac{1}{4}(* df + f\, df) = \frac{1}{4}(* df - df\, f),$$
$$\tilde{\Phi}_f = \frac{1}{4}(* df - f\, df) = \frac{1}{4}(* df + df\, f).$$

Lemma 24. *For a map $f\colon \Sigma \to S^{\mathfrak{E}} \cap \mathfrak{D}^1(V_r)$ be a map, the following are mutually equivalent.*

1. $d * \Phi_f = 0$.
2. $d * \tilde{\Phi}_f = 0$.
3. *The map f is a harmonic map into $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$.*

Proof. Since $4 * \Phi_f = -df + f * df$, the map f is a harmonic map into $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$ if and only if $d * \Phi_f = 0$ by Lemma 23. Similarly, the map f is a harmonic map into $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$ if and only if $d * \tilde{\Phi}_f = 0$. □

The endomorphisms $\mu^R_{V_r}(e_1)$, $\mu^R_{V_r}(e_2)$, $\mu^R_{V_r}(e_1e_2)$ forms a quaternionic structure of $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$. Hence, Lemma 24 is a variant of Lemma 6.1 in [17].

In the following, we assume that $n = 1$. Then

$$* \Phi_f = f\, \Phi_f = -\Phi_f\, f,\ \ * \tilde{\Phi}_f = -f\, \tilde{\Phi}_f = \tilde{\Phi}_f\, f.$$

Moreover,

$$\Phi_f \wedge \tilde{\Phi}_f = \tilde{\Phi}_f \wedge \Phi_f = 0$$

by Lemma 14.

A family of flat connections are associated with a harmonic map into $\tilde{S}^3 \cap \mathfrak{D}^1(V_2)$ as in [17]. Similarly, we associate a family of flat connections with a harmonic map $f\colon \Sigma \to \tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$ with codomain $S^{\mathfrak{E}} \cap \mathfrak{D}^1(V_r)$.

Define a connection ∇^λ, $\tilde{\nabla}^\lambda\colon \Gamma(\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}) \to \Gamma(T^*\Sigma \otimes \underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)})$ by

$$\nabla^\lambda = d - \Phi_f + \lambda \Phi_f\ \ (\lambda = x + yf,\, x, y \in \mathbb{R}),$$
$$\tilde{\nabla}^\lambda = d + \tilde{\Phi}_f + \lambda \tilde{\Phi}_f\ \ (\lambda = x + yf,\, x, y \in \mathbb{R}).$$

We identified one-forms Φ_f and $\tilde{\Phi}_f$ with $\mu^L_{V_r}(\Phi_f)$ and $\mu^L_{V_r}(\tilde{\Phi}_f)$ respectively.

Lemma 25. *For a map $f\colon \Sigma \to S^{\mathfrak{e}} \cap \mathfrak{D}^1(V_r)$, the following are mutually equivalent.*

(1) *The connection ∇^λ is flat for any x, y with $x^2+y^2=1$.*
(2) *The connection $\tilde{\nabla}^\lambda$ is flat for any x, y with $x^2+y^2=1$.*
(3) *The map f is a harmonic map into $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$.*

Proof. Since $f\,\Phi_f = -\Phi_f\,f$, the curvature of ∇^λ is

$$\begin{aligned}
d^{\nabla^\lambda}\nabla^\lambda =& -d\Phi_f + \Phi_f\wedge d + y\,df\wedge\Phi_f + (x+yf)\,d\Phi_f - (x+yf)\,\Phi_f\wedge d\\
&- \Phi_f\wedge d + \Phi_f\wedge\Phi_f - \Phi_f\wedge(x+yf)\,\Phi_f\\
&+ (x+yf)\Phi_f\wedge d - (x+yf)\Phi_f\wedge\Phi_f + (x+yf)\Phi_f\wedge(x+yf)\Phi_f\\
=& -d\Phi_f + y\,df\wedge\Phi_f + (x+yf)\,d\Phi_f + \Phi_f\wedge\Phi_f - (x-yf)\,\Phi_f\wedge\Phi_f\\
&- (x+yf)\,\Phi_f\wedge\Phi_f + (x^2-y^2f^2)\,\Phi_f\wedge\Phi_f\\
=&(x-1)\,d\Phi_f + y\,d(f\Phi_f) + ((x-1)^2 - y^2f^2)\,\Phi_f\wedge\Phi_f.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $*\Phi_f = f\,\Phi_f$ and $f^2=-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(x-1)\,d\Phi_f + y\,d(f\Phi_f) + ((x-1)^2 - y^2f^2)\,\Phi_f\wedge\Phi_f\\
=& -(x-1)\,d(f*\Phi_f) + y\,d*\Phi_f + ((x-1)^2+y^2)\,\Phi_f\wedge\Phi_f\\
=& -(x-1)\,df\wedge *\Phi_f - (x-1)f\,d*\Phi_f\\
&+ y\,d*\Phi_f + ((x-1)^2+y^2)\,\Phi_f\wedge\Phi_f\\
=& -(x-1)\,df\,f\wedge\Phi_f - (x+yf-1)f\,d*\Phi_f + ((x-1)^2+y^2)\,\Phi_f\wedge\Phi_f.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$2\Phi_f - 2\tilde{\Phi}_f = -df\,f,\ \ \Phi_f\wedge\tilde{\Phi}_f = \tilde{\Phi}_f\wedge\Phi_f = 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-(x-1)\,df\,f\wedge\Phi_f - (x+yf-1)f\,d*\Phi_f + ((x-1)^2+y^2)\,\Phi_f\wedge\Phi_f\\
=&2(x-1)\,(\Phi_f-\tilde{\Phi}_f)\wedge\Phi_f - (x+yf-1)f\,d*\Phi_f\\
&+((x-1)^2+y^2)\,\Phi_f\wedge\Phi_f\\
=&2(x-1)\,\Phi_f\wedge\Phi_f - (x+yf-1)f\,d*\Phi_f + ((x-1)^2+y^2)\,\Phi_f\wedge\Phi_f\\
=& -(x+yf-1)f\,d*\Phi_f + (x^2+y^2-1)\Phi_f\wedge\Phi_f
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 14. Then the connection ∇^λ is flat for any x, y with $x^2+y^2=1$ if and only if $d*\Phi_f = 0$. By Lemma 24, the connection ∇^λ is flat for any x, y with $x^2+y^2=1$ if and only if f is a harmonic map into $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$.

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d^{\tilde{\nabla}^\lambda}\tilde{\nabla}^\lambda =&d\tilde{\Phi}_f - \tilde{\Phi}_f\wedge d + y\,df\wedge\tilde{\Phi}_f + (x+yf)\,d\tilde{\Phi}_f - (x+yf)\,\tilde{\Phi}_f\wedge d\\
&+\tilde{\Phi}_f\wedge d + \tilde{\Phi}_f\wedge\tilde{\Phi}_f + \tilde{\Phi}_f\wedge(x+yf)\,\tilde{\Phi}_f\\
&+(x+yf)\tilde{\Phi}_f\wedge d + (x+yf)\tilde{\Phi}_f\wedge\tilde{\Phi}_f\\
&+(x+yf)\tilde{\Phi}_f\wedge(x+yf)\tilde{\Phi}_f\\
=&d\tilde{\Phi}_f + y\,df\wedge\tilde{\Phi}_f + (x+yf)\,d\tilde{\Phi}_f\\
&+\tilde{\Phi}_f\wedge\tilde{\Phi}_f + (x-yf)\,\tilde{\Phi}_f\wedge\tilde{\Phi}_f
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\quad + (x+yf)\,\tilde{\Phi}_f \wedge \tilde{\Phi}_f + (x^2+y^2)\,\tilde{\Phi}_f \wedge \tilde{\Phi}_f \\
&= (x+1)\,d\tilde{\Phi}_f + y\,d(f\tilde{\Phi}_f) + ((x+1)^2+y^2)\,\tilde{\Phi}_f \wedge \tilde{\Phi}_f \\
&= (x+1)\,d(f * \tilde{\Phi}_f) - y\,d * \tilde{\Phi}_f + ((x+1)^2+y^2)\,\tilde{\Phi}_f \wedge \tilde{\Phi}_f \\
&= (x+1)\,df \wedge * \tilde{\Phi}_f + (x+1) f\,d * \tilde{\Phi}_f \\
&\quad - y\,d * \tilde{\Phi}_f + ((x+1)^2+y^2)\,\tilde{\Phi}_f \wedge \tilde{\Phi}_f \\
&= -(x+1)\,df\, f \wedge \tilde{\Phi}_f + (x+yf+1) f\,d * \tilde{\Phi}_f \\
&\quad + ((x+1)^2+y^2)\,\tilde{\Phi}_f \wedge \tilde{\Phi}_f \\
&= 2(x+1)\,(\Phi_f - \tilde{\Phi}_f) \wedge \tilde{\Phi}_f + (x+yf+1) f\,d * \tilde{\Phi}_f \\
&\quad + ((x+1)^2+y^2)\,\tilde{\Phi}_f \wedge \tilde{\Phi}_f \\
&= -2(x+1)\,\tilde{\Phi}_f \wedge \tilde{\Phi}_f + (x+yf+1) f\,d * \tilde{\Phi}_f \\
&\quad + ((x+1)^2+y^2)\,\tilde{\Phi}_f \wedge \tilde{\Phi}_f \\
&= (x+yf+1) f\,d * \tilde{\Phi}_f + (x^2+y^2-1)\tilde{\Phi}_f \wedge \tilde{\Phi}_f .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the connection $\tilde{\nabla}^\lambda$ is flat for any x, y with $x^2+y^2=1$ if and only if f is a harmonic map into $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$. □

Lemma 25 is a variant of Lemma 6.2 in [17].

This family of flat connection is explained in terms of tt^*-bundle. Let M be a complex manifold with complex structure J, E a real vector bundle over M and $\mathrm{End}(E)$ the endomorphism bundle of E. A triplet of (E, D, S) with a covariant derivative D on E and a section S of $T^*M \otimes \mathrm{End}(E)$ is called a *tt^*-bundle* if the curvature of a covariant derivative

$$D^\theta_X = D_X - (\cos\theta) S_X + (\sin\theta) S_{JX} \quad (X \in TM)$$

vanishes for all θ ([11]). Kurosu and the author showed that if $f\colon \Sigma \to S^{r-1}$ is harmonic, then $(\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}, d - \Phi_f, \Phi_f)$ is a tt^*-bundle. We extend the codomain of f to $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$ by Lemma 25.

Corollary 5. *Let $f\colon \Sigma \to S^{\mathfrak{E}} \cap \mathfrak{D}^1(V_r)$ be a map such that $f\colon \Sigma \to \tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$ is a harmonic map. Then, the triplets $(\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}, d - \Phi_f, \Phi_f)$ and $(\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}, d + \tilde{\Phi}_f, \tilde{\Phi}_f)$ are tt^*-bundles.*

Proof. By Lemma 25, f is harmonic if and only if ∇^λ is flat for all x, y with $x^2+y^2=1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla^\theta_X &= d_X - (\Phi_f)_X + \cos\theta (\Phi_f)_X + (\sin\theta) f (\Phi_f)_X \\
&= d_X - (\Phi_f)_X + \cos\theta (\Phi_f)_X + (\sin\theta)(\Phi_f)_{JX}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence if f is a harmonic map into $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$, then $(\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}, d - \Phi_f, \Phi_f)$ is a tt^*-bundle. Similarly, if f is a harmonic map into $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$, then $(\underline{\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)}, d + \tilde{\Phi}_f, \tilde{\Phi}_f)$ is a tt^*-bundle. □

A map $f\colon \Sigma \to S^{\mathfrak{E}} \cap \mathfrak{D}^1(V_r)$ such that $f\colon \Sigma \to \tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$ is a harmonic map is associated with a complex family of flat connections. Identifying $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ with $\mathcal{C}^{2^{r-1}}$, we regard a map f into $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ as a tuple $(f_1, \ldots, f_{2^{r-1}})$ of maps into $\mathcal{C}$.

Let $[Z_0 : \cdots : Z_n]$ be a homogeneous coordinate of the complex projective space $P_n(\mathcal{C})$ of dimension n. We consider a complex quadric

$$\Xi = \{[Z_0 : Z_1 : Z_2] \in P_2(\mathcal{C}) : Z_1^2 + Z_2^2 = Z_0^2\}.$$

Define a map from $P_1(\mathcal{C})$ to Ξ by

$$[Z_0 : Z_1] \mapsto \left[\frac{1}{2}(Z_0^2 + Z_1^2) : \frac{e_1}{2}(Z_0^2 - Z_1^2) : Z_0 Z_1\right].$$

Assume that $Z_0, Z_1 \neq 0$. Then the above map is written in holomorphic coordinates of $P_1(\mathcal{C})$ and $P_2(\mathcal{C})$ by

$$(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \colon \mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C},$$
$$(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)(\sigma) = \left(\frac{1}{2}(\sigma^{-1} + \sigma), \frac{e_1}{2}(\sigma^{-1} - \sigma)\right), \ \ \sigma = \frac{Z_1}{Z_0}.$$

Then $\zeta_1^2 + \zeta_2^2 = 1$. We replace the parameter λ of ∇^λ and $\tilde{\nabla}^\lambda$ with σ by

$$x = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^{-1} + \sigma), \ \ y = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^{-1} - \sigma)\mu^L_{V_r}(e_1).$$

Then ∇^λ and $\tilde{\nabla}^\lambda$ are complex connections

$$\nabla^\sigma = d - \Phi_f + \frac{\sigma}{2}(1 - \mu^R_{V_r}(e_1)f)\Phi_f + \frac{\sigma^{-1}}{2}(1 + \mu^R_{V_r}(e_1)f)\Phi_f,$$
$$\tilde{\nabla}^\sigma = d + \tilde{\Phi}_f + \frac{\sigma}{2}(1 - \mu^R_{V_r}(e_1)f)\tilde{\Phi}_f + \frac{\sigma^{-1}}{2}(1 + \mu^R_{V_r}(e_1)f)\tilde{\Phi}_f.$$

on $\underline{\mathcal{C}^{2^{r-1}}}$. The connection ∇^σ has the symmetry $\mu^R_{V_r}(e_2)\nabla^\sigma = \nabla^{\bar{\sigma}^{-1}}\mu^R_{V_r}(e_2)$. Hence if $\tilde{Q}_{V_r}(\sigma) = 1$, then $\mu^R_{V_r}(e_2)\nabla^\sigma = \nabla^\sigma \mu^R_{V_r}(e_2)$ and ∇^σ is a quaternionic connection. Then the following holds by Lemma 6.3 (i) in [17].

Theorem 7. *For a map $f \colon \Sigma \to S^{\mathfrak{E}} \cap \mathfrak{D}^1(V_r)$, the following is mutually equivalent.*

1. *The map $f \colon \Sigma \to \tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$ is harmonic.*
2. *The connections ∇^σ is flat for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}$.*
3. *The connection $\tilde{\nabla}^\sigma$ is flat for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}$.*

In the case where $r = 2$, the following is shown in [17]

- The hermitian metric h is parallel with respect to ∇^σ for any σ with $\tilde{Q}_{V_r}(\sigma) = 1$.
- The connection ∇^σ is a $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$-connection for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}$.
- If $\tilde{Q}_{V_r}(\sigma) = 1$, then ∇^σ is a unitary connection.

These holds for $\tilde{\nabla}^\sigma$, too. We show that an analogue of the above for $r \geq 2$.

Lemma 26. *Let $f \colon \Sigma \to S^{\mathfrak{E}} \cap \mathfrak{D}^1(V_r)$ be a map such that $f \colon \Sigma \to \tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$ is a harmonic map with codomain $S^{\mathfrak{E}} \cap \mathfrak{D}^1(V_r)$. If $r \geq 2$, the hermitian metric h is parallel with respect to ∇^σ and $\tilde{\nabla}^\sigma$ for any σ with $\tilde{Q}_{V_r}(\sigma) = 1$, the connection ∇^σ and $\tilde{\nabla}^\sigma$ are $\mathrm{SL}(2^{r-1}, \mathbb{C})$-connections for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and if $\tilde{Q}_{V_r}(\sigma) = 1$, then ∇^σ and $\tilde{\nabla}^\sigma$ are unitary connections.*

Proof. Since $\alpha(f)^\top = -f$, the real hyperplane $\{c \in \mathcal{C}^{2^{r-1}} : \mathrm{Re}\, c_1 = 0\}$ in $\mathcal{C}^{2^{r-1}}$ is a codomain of f. We have $\alpha(\Phi_f)^\top = -\Phi_f$. Then $\alpha(f\,\Phi_f)^\top = -f\,\Phi_f$. Hence

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{C}}\, \mu^L_{V_r}(f) = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{C}}\, \mu^L_{V_r}(\Phi_f) = \mathrm{tr}_{\mathbb{C}}\, \mu^L_{V_r}(f\,\Phi_f) = 0.$$

From the definition of the hermitian inner product h, we have

$$h(\Phi_f\phi,\psi) = h(\phi,-\Phi_f\psi),\ \ h(f\,\Phi_f\phi,\psi) = h(\phi,-f\Phi_f\psi),$$
$$h(\sigma\Phi_f\phi,\psi) = h(\phi,\bar\sigma\Phi_f\psi),\ \ h(\sigma f\,\Phi_f\phi,\psi) = h(\phi,\bar\sigma f\Phi_f\psi)$$

for any $\phi,\psi\in \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$. We have the properties for the connection $\tilde\nabla^\sigma$ since $\tilde\Phi_f = -\Phi_{-f}$. Hence the lemma holds. □

Assume that $N\colon \Sigma\to\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$ is a map such that $N\colon \Sigma\to \tilde S^{2^r-1}\cap \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle 2\rangle}$ is harmonic. Eells and Sampson [15] showed that a composite map $\phi\circ\psi$ of a totally geodesic map ϕ composed with a harmonic map ψ is a harmonic map. Since the inclusion map $\iota\colon \tilde S^{2^r-1}\cap \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle 2\rangle}\to \tilde S^{2^r-1}$ is totally geodesic, the composite map $\iota\circ N$ is a harmonic map into $\tilde S^{2^r-1}$. We see that $\nabla^\sigma = d$ if and only if $\Phi_N = 0$, and $\tilde\nabla^\sigma = d$ if and only if $\tilde\Phi_N = 0$. If $\Phi_N = 0$, then N is conformal with $*\,dN = -N\,dN = dN\,N$ and if $\tilde\Phi_N = 0$, then N is conformal with $*\,dN = N\,dN = -dN\,N$. By [18] and [41], if $\Phi_N = 0$ or $\tilde\Phi_N = 0$, then N is a minimal branched immersion such that they are a holomorphic map or an anti-holomorphic map into $\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$. We exclude these cases. Since $S^{\mathfrak{E}}\cap\mathfrak{D}^1(V_r)$ is a codomain of N, the following follows by Theorem 7,

Theorem 8. *Let Σ be a Riemann surface and $N\colon \Sigma\to\tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$ be a map such that $N\colon \Sigma\to \tilde S^{2^r-1}\cap \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle 2\rangle}$ is a harmonic map. Assume that $\Phi_N\neq 0$ or $\tilde\Phi_N\neq 0$. If an immersion $g\colon \Sigma\to V_r$ is a parallel section of a flat connection*

$$\nabla^\sigma = d - \Phi_N + \frac{\sigma}{2}(1-\mu^R_{V_r}(e_1)N)\Phi_N + \frac{\sigma^{-1}}{2}(1+\mu^R_{V_r}(e_1)N)\Phi_N$$

or

$$\tilde\nabla^\sigma = d + \tilde\Phi_N + \frac{\sigma}{2}(1-\mu^R_{V_r}(e_1)N)\tilde\Phi_N + \frac{\sigma^{-1}}{2}(1+\mu^R_{V_r}(e_1)N)\tilde\Phi_N,$$

then, the immersion g is an isometric immersion of parallel mean curvature vector with flat normal bundle such that

1. *the immersion g is a minimal immersion into $S^{r-1}\subset V_r$ with flat normal bundle, or*
2. *there is $B\in \mathrm{O}(V_r)$ such that $B(g(\Sigma))\subset V_4$ and g is locally given by the Hoffman surface with flat normal bundle.*

Proof. We see that

$$\frac{1}{2}(\nabla^\sigma g + N*\nabla^\sigma g) = D^N_{\mathrm{C}\ell}g,\ \ \frac{1}{2}(\tilde\nabla^\sigma g - N*\tilde\nabla^\sigma g) = D^{-N}_{\mathrm{C}\ell}g.$$

If g is parallel with respect to ∇^σ, then $D^N_{\mathrm{C}\ell}g = 0$. Then $*\,dg = N\,dg$ and g is of parallel mean curvature with flat normal bundle. Since $\Phi_N = \mathcal{A}_N$, the immersion g is not a minimal immersion into V_r by Theorem 5. Then g is a minimal immersion into $S^{r-1}\subset V_r$ with flat normal bundle or there is $B\in \mathrm{O}(V_r)$ such that $B(g(\Sigma))\subset V_4$ and g is locally given by the Hoffman surface with flat normal bundle by Theorem 6.

If g is parallel with respect to $\tilde\nabla^\sigma$, then $\tilde D^{-N}_{\mathrm{C}\ell}g = 0$. Then $*\,dg = -N\,dg$ and g is of parallel mean curvature with flat normal bundle. Since $\tilde\Phi_N = -\mathcal{A}_{-N}$, the immersion g is not a minimal immersion into V_r by Theorem 5. The rest of the proof is similar to the previous case. □

If f is a harmonic map into $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1} \cap P$ and Σ is a torus T^2, the branched covering of $P_2(\mathcal{C})$ where the eigenline of the holonomy matrix of ∇^σ on $\mathcal{C}^{2^{r-1}}$ and their limits at 0 and ∞ is well defined, is an analogue of the covering of the *eigenline spectral curve* defined [6] in the case where $r = 2$. It is shown that the eigenline spectral curve is a quotient of a hyperelliptic curve by a holomorphic involution without fixed points. The case where $r \geq 3$ is more involved.

17. Minimal immersion into a sphere

A conformal map from a Riemann surface to $P_1(\mathbb{C})$ is a holomorphic map or an anti-holomorphic map. Hence it is a harmonic map into a two-sphere ([15]). A non-constant conformal harmonic map from a Riemann surface to a higher-dimensional sphere is a minimal branched immersion by [18] and [41]. An isometric immersion from a Riemann surface to a higher-dimensional sphere is minimal if and only if it is harmonic by [15]. We define transforms of a minimal immersion from a Riemann surface to a higher-dimensional sphere as analogues of a polar surface and a bipolar surface of a minimal immersions into a three-dimensional sphere by Lawson [31].

We review a polar surface and a bipolar surface. If $r = 4$, then $\tilde{S}^{2^r-1} = \tilde{S}^{15}$. Let $f\colon \Sigma \to S^3 \subset V_4$ be an isometric immersion with $*df = N\,df = -df\,N$ for a map $N\colon \Sigma \to \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_4)$. The five-dimensional sphere $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_4)^{\langle 2\rangle} \cap \tilde{S}^{15}$ is a codomain of N. The three-dimensional sphere $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_4)^{\langle 3\rangle} \cap \tilde{S}^{15}$ is a codomain of $fN\colon \Sigma \to \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_3(V_4)$.

A map $f^*\colon \Sigma \to S^3$ that is defined by $f^* = fN\Omega_{V_4}$ is called a *polar surface* and the image of f^* is called the *polar variety*.

A map $\tilde{f} = ff^*\colon \Sigma \to \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_4)$ is called the *bipolar surface* of f. The five-dimensional sphere $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_4)^{\langle 2\rangle} \cap \tilde{S}^{15}$ is a codomain of ff^*. We regard ff^* is a map into $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_4)^{\langle 2\rangle} \cap \tilde{S}^{15}$. Lawson showed if f is minimal, then f^* with codomain $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ and $\tilde{f}$ are minimal ([30], [31]).

Since f is perpendicular to df, we have $fN = Nf$. Since f is perpendicular to f^*, we have $ff^* = -f^*f$. Since $f^* = fN\Omega_{V_4}$ and $\tilde{f} = -N\Omega_{V_4}$, we may identify f^* with $fN\colon \Sigma \to \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_3(V_4)$ and $\tilde{f}$ with $-N$ or $N\colon \Sigma \to \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_4)$. Then it is natural to consider analogues of a polar surface and a bipolar surface for an isometric immersion into a higher dimensional sphere as follows.

Definition 12. Let $f\colon \Sigma \to S^{r-1} \subset V_r$ be an isometric immersion with $*df = N\,df = -df\,N$ for a map $N\colon \Sigma \to \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$. The *polar dual map* of f is the map $fN\colon \Sigma \to \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_3(V_r)$. The *bipolar dual map* of f is the Gauss map $N\colon \Sigma \to \tilde{\mathfrak{M}}_2(V_r)$.

Let $\binom{m}{n}$ be the binomial coefficient. We see that the $\left(\binom{r}{3} - 1\right)$-dimensional sphere $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle 3\rangle} \cap \tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$ is a codomain of fN and $\left(\binom{r}{2} - 1\right)$-dimensional sphere $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle 2\rangle} \cap \tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$ is a codomain of N. We show that a polar dual maps is a minimal branched immersion into $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle 3\rangle} \cap \tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$ and a bipolar dual map is a minimal immersions into $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle 2\rangle} \cap \tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$.

Firstly, we discuss polar dual maps.

Theorem 9. *If an isometric immersion $f\colon \Sigma \to S^{r-1}$ with $*df = N\,df = -df\,N$ is minimal, then the polar dual map $fN\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle 3\rangle} \cap S^{2^r-1}$ is a minimal possibly-branched immersion with $*d(fN) = -N\,d(fN) = d(fN)\,N$.*

Proof. Assume that $f\colon \Sigma \to S^{r-1} \subset V_r$ is a minimal immersion with $*df = N\,df = -df\,N$. Since $\alpha(fN)^\top = Nf = fN$ and $\alpha(fN)^\top fN = 1 = \tilde{Q}_{V_r}(fN)$, the set

$S^{\mathfrak{E}} \cap \mathfrak{D}^0(V_r)$ is a codomain of fN. Since $d(f * df) = 0$ by Lemma 23, we have $d(fN\,df) = d(fN) \wedge df = 0$. Then, the polar dual map fN is conformal with $*\,d(fN) = d(fN)\,N$. Similarly, since $d(*\,df\,f) = 0$, we have $-df \wedge d(Nf) = -df \wedge d(fN) = 0$. Then $*\,d(fN) = -N\,d(fN)$.

Since

$$d(fN * d(fN)) = d(f\,d(fN)) = df \wedge d(fN) = 0,$$

the map fN is a conformal harmonic map with respect to the induced metric by Lemma 23. Then fN is a minimal possibly-branched immersion. □

Next, we discuss the bipolar dual map.

Theorem 10. *If an isometric immersion $f\colon \Sigma \to S^{r-1}$ with $*\,df = N\,df = -df\,N$ is a minimal immersion, then the bipolar dual map $N\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle 2\rangle} \cap S^{2^r-1}$ is a minimal immersion.*

Proof. Let $f\colon \Sigma \to S^{r-1}$ with $*\,df = N\,df = -df\,N$ be a minimal immersion. Since $\alpha(N)^\top = -N$ and $\alpha(N)^\top N = 1 = \tilde{Q}_{V_r}(N)$, the set $S^{\mathfrak{E}} \cap \mathfrak{D}^1(V_r)$ is a codomain of N.

The map fN is a conformal map with $*\,d(fN) = -N\,d(fN) = d(fN)\,N$ from the proof of Theorem 9. The equation $*\,d(fN) = -N\,d(fN)$ is equivalent to

$$*\,df\,N + f * dN = -N\,df\,N - Nf\,dN.$$

This is equivalent to

$$2f\,df = *\,dN + N\,dN$$

since $f^2 = -1$, $fN = Nf$ and $*\,df = N\,df = -df\,N$. Then $d(f * df) = d(N * dN) = 0$ by Lemma 23. Then the map N is harmonic into $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle 2\rangle} \cap S^{2^r-1}$ by Lemma 23.

Fix $p \in \Sigma$. Let $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_r$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_{f(p)}V_r \cong V_r$ such that ϵ_1, ϵ_2 spans $df(T_p\Sigma)$ and $f(p) = \epsilon_3$. Then $N(p) = \epsilon_1\epsilon_2$ and $(fN)(p) = \epsilon_1\epsilon_2\epsilon_3$. Since $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle 3\rangle}$ is a codomain of fN, the linear subspace $(d(fN))(T_p\Sigma)$ of $T_{f(p)}\,\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)$ is a linear subspace of $T_{f(p)}\,\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle 3\rangle}$. Since $(d(fN))_p$ is perpendicular to $(fN)(p)$ and $(N\,d(fN))(T_p\Sigma) = d(fN)(T_p\Sigma)$, we may assume that $(d(fN))(T_p\Sigma)$ is spanned by $\epsilon_1\epsilon_i\epsilon_j$, $\epsilon_2\epsilon_i\epsilon_j$ $(3 \le i < j \le r)$. Then $(f\,d(fN))(T_p\Sigma)$ is spanned by $\epsilon_1\epsilon_3\epsilon_i\epsilon_j$, $\epsilon_2\epsilon_3\epsilon_i\epsilon_j$. On the other hand, $(df\,fN)(T_p\Sigma)$ is spanned by $\epsilon_1\epsilon_3$ and $\epsilon_2\epsilon_3$. Hence $(f\,d(fN))(T_p\Sigma)$ and $(df\,fN)(T_p\Sigma)$ are orthogonal each other at any $p \in \Sigma$. We have

$$dN = -d(ffN) = -df\,fN - f\,d(fN).$$

Then

$$\tilde{Q}_{V_r}(dN) = \tilde{Q}_{V_r}(df\,fN) + \tilde{Q}_{V_r}(f\,d(fN)) = Q_{V_r}(df) + \tilde{Q}_{V_r}(d(fN)).$$

Since f and fN are conformal maps from Σ and f is an immersion, the map N is a conformal immersion. Then N is a minimal immersion. □

We will define a sequences of minimal immersions into a sphere as follows. Let $f_0\colon \Sigma \to S^{r-1} \subset V_r$ be a minimal immersion with $*\,df_0 = N_0\,df_0 = -df_0\,N_0$. By Theorem 10, the map $N_0\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle 2\rangle} \cap \tilde{S}^{2^r-1}$ is a minimal immersion. We identify $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_r)^{\langle 2\rangle}$ with $V_{r(r-1)/2}$. Then the map N_0 is regarded as a minimal immersion into $S^{r(r-1)/2-1}$. Let $f_1\colon \Sigma \to S^{r(r-1)/2-1}$ be the minimal immersion.

Then there exists a minimal immersion $N_1\colon \Sigma \to \mathrm{C}\ell(V_{r(r-1)/2})^{\langle 2\rangle} \cap \tilde{S}^{2^{r(r-1)/2-1}}$ such that $*\,df_1 = N_1\,df_1 = -df_1\,N_1$ by Theorem 10. Repeating this procedure, we have a sequence

$$f_n\colon \Sigma \to S^{r_n-1},\ \ r_n = \binom{r_{n-1}}{2},\ \ r_0 = r \tag{13}$$

of minimal immersions.

If f_n is a constant map, then f_{n+1} is not defined and the sequence is terminated. In this case, N_{n-1} is a constant map and f_{n-1} is a complex holomorphic map with respect to a complex structure $\mu^L_{V_{r_{n-1}}}(N_{n-1})$. Hence Σ is not closed.

If Σ is closed, then the sequence is infinite. If $r = 3$, then a minimal conformal map $f\colon \Sigma \to S^2$ is a holomorphic or an anti-holomorphic map to $P_1(\mathbb{C})$. If $r = 4$, Lawson [31] constructs examples of closed minimal surfaces in S^3 of any genus. Then the immersions parametrizing these example provides examples of minimal immersions from a closed Riemann surface of any genus to higher dimensional spheres by the sequence (13). These examples may have contained in a lower dimensional totally geodesic sphere. Let $x + yi$ be the standard holomorphic coordinate of $\mathbb{C}$ and define a map $\tilde{\Psi}_{m,k}\colon \mathbb{C} \to V_4$ by

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{\Psi}_{m,k}(x+yi)\\ &= (\cos mx\cos y)e_1 + (\sin mx\cos y)e_2 + (\cos kx\sin y)e_3 + (\sin kx\sin y)e_4.\end{aligned}$$

Define a torus $T_{m,k}$ by $T_{m,k} = \mathbb{C}/(2m\mathbb{Z} \oplus 2k\mathbb{Z})$. Lawson [31] showed that if m and k are positive integers, with $m > k$, then $\tilde{\Psi}_{m,k}$ is a lift of a minimal immersion $\Psi_{m,k}\colon T_{m,k} \to S^3$ and the bipolar map of $\Psi_{m,k}$ is a minimal immersion into a totally geodesic four-sphere in $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_4)^{\langle 2\rangle} \cap \tilde{S}^{15}$. Then the bipolar dual map is a minimal immersion into a totally geodesic four-sphere in $\mathrm{C}\ell(V_4)^{\langle 2\rangle} \cap \tilde{S}^{15}$.

Finally, we have the relation between Darboux transforms. Let U be an open set of Σ with vanishing first de Rham cohomology group and $f\colon U \to S^{r-1}$ be a minimal immersion with $*\,df = N\,df = -df\,N$. Since $d(fN)\wedge df = df\wedge d(fN) = 0$, we have Darboux transforms

$$f^\sharp = f + g^\sharp Nf,\ \ dg^\sharp = -df\,fN,\ \ f_\sharp = f + Nfg_\sharp,\ \ dg_\sharp = -fN\,df$$

by Lemma 19. Since

$$df\,f + f\,df = 0,\ \ N\,df = -df\,N,\ \ fN = Nf,$$

we have $fN\,df = df\,fN$. Then $dg^\sharp = dg_\sharp$. Let g be a map such that $dg = dg^\sharp = dg_\sharp$.

Let $f_0 = f$ and $f_1 = g$. Since $*\,df_1 = N\,df_1 = -df_1\,N$, we have $d(fN)\wedge df_1 = df_1\wedge d(fN) = 0$. Then we have a sequence of conformal maps and their Darboux transforms

$$\begin{aligned}&df_{n+1} = -df_n\,fN = -fN\,df_n,\\ &(f_n)^\sharp = f_n + f_{n+1}Nf,\ \ (f_n)_\sharp = f_n + Nff_{n+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $d(f_n)^\sharp = f_{n+1}\,d(fN)$ and $d(f_n)_\sharp = d(fN)\,f_{n+1}$, we have Darboux transforms

$$((fN)^\sharp)_n = fN - (f_n)_\sharp f_{n+1}^{-1},\ \ ((fN)_\sharp)_n = fN - f_{n+1}^{-1}(f_n)^\sharp.$$

These Darboux transforms are related.

Theorem 11. *The equations*

$$((fN)^\sharp)_n = -f_n f_{n+1}^{-1}, \;\; ((fN)_\sharp)_n = -f_{n+1}^{-1} f_n.$$

hold.

Proof. Since

$$((fN)^\sharp)_n = fN - (f_n)_\sharp f_{n+1}^{-1} = fN - (f_n + Nff_{n+1}) f_{n+1}^{-1} = -f_n f_{n+1}^{-1},$$
$$((fN)_\sharp)_n = fN - f_{n+1}^{-1}(f_n)^\sharp = fN - f_{n+1}^{-1}(f_n + f_{n+1}fN) = -f_{n+1}^{-1} f_n,$$

the lemma holds. □

References

[1] Bohle, Christoph; Leschke, Katrin; Pedit, Franz; Pinkall, Ulrich, Conformal maps from a 2-torus to the 4-sphere, J. Reine Angew. Math. 671, 2012, 1–30.

[2] Bolton, John; Pedit, Franz; Woodward, Lyndon; Minimal surfaces and the affine Toda field model, J. Reine Angew. Math. 459, 1995, 119–150.

[3] Burstall, F. E., Isothermic surfaces: conformal geometry, Clifford algebras and integrable systems, Integrable systems, geometry, and topology, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. 36, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006, 1–82.

[4] Burstall, F. E.; Ferus, D.; Leschke, K.; Pedit, F.; Pinkall, U., Conformal geometry of surfaces in S^4 and quaternions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1772, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[5] Burstall, F.; Hertrich-Jeromin, U.; Pedit, F.; Pinkall, U., Curved flats and isothermic surfaces, Math. Z. 225, 1997, 2, 199–209.

[6] Carberry, E.; Leschke, K.; Pedit, F. Darboux transforms and spectral curves of constant mean curvature surfaces revisited, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 43, 2013, 4, 299–329.

[7] Chen, Bang-Yen, On the surface with parallel mean curvature vector, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 22, 1972/73, 655–666.

[8] Chen, Wei Huan, The geometry of Grassmann manifolds as submanifolds, Adv. in Math. (Beijing), 16, 1987, 3, 334–335.

[9] Chern, Shiing-Shen; Osserman, Robert, Complete minimal surfaces in euclidean n-space, J. Analyse Math. 19, 1967, 15–34.

[10] Christoffel, E. B., Ueber einige allgemeine Eigenschaften der Minimumsflächen, J. Reine Angew. Math. 67 218–228, 1867.

[11] Cortés, Vicente; Schäfer, Lars, Topological-antitopological fusion equations, pluriharmonic maps and special Kähler manifolds, Complex, contact and symmetric manifolds, Progr. Math. 234, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2005, 59–74.

[12] Dajczer, Marcos; Tojeiro, Ruy, All superconformal surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^4$ in terms of minimal surfaces, Math. Z. 261, 2009, 4, 869–890.

[13] Darboux, G., Sur les surfaces isothermiques, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris 128, 1299–1305, 1899.

[14] Dussan, M. P.; Magid, M. Timelike Christoffel pairs in the split-quaternions, Ann. Polon. Math. 99 (2010), no. 2, 201–214.

[15] Eells, James, Jr.; Sampson, J. H., Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds, Amer. J. Math. 86, 1964, 109–160.

[16] Eells, J.; Lemaire, L., A report on harmonic maps, Bull. London Math. Soc. 10, 1978, 1, 1–68.

[17] Ferus, D.; Leschke, K.; Pedit, F.; Pinkall, U., Quaternionic holomorphic geometry: Plücker formula, Dirac eigenvalue estimates and energy estimates of harmonic 2-tori, Invent. Math. 146, 2001, 3, 507–593.

[18] Gulliver, R. D., II; Osserman, R.; Royden, H. L., A theory of branched immersions of surfaces, Amer. J. Math. 95, 1973, 750–812.

[19] Hasegawa, Kazuyuki; Moriya, Katsuhiro, Twistor lifts and factorization for conformal maps from a surface to the Euclidean four-space, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr. 27, 2017, 2, 1243–1262,

[20] Hertrich-Jeromin, Udo, Introduction to Möbius differential geometry, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 300, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

[21] Hertrich-Jeromin, Udo, Supplement on curved flats in the space of point pairs and isothermic surfaces: a quaternionic calculus, Doc. Math. 2, 1997, 335–350.

[22] Hertrich-Jeromin, Udo; Pedit, Franz, Remarks on the Darboux transform of isothermic surfaces, Doc. Math. 2, 1997, 313–333.
[23] Hirsch, Morris W., Immersions of manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 93, 1959, 242–276.
[24] Hitchin, N. J., Harmonic maps from a 2-torus to the 3-sphere, J. Differential Geom. 31, 1990, 3, 627–710.
[25] Hopf, Heinz, Differential geometry in the large, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1000, Notes taken by Peter Lax and John Gray; With a preface by S. S. Chern, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983,
[26] Kamberov, George; Norman, Peter; Pedit, Franz; Pinkall, Ulrich, Quaternions, spinors, and surfaces, Contemporary Mathematics 299, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
[27] Kamberov, George; Pedit, Franz; Pinkall, Ulrich, Bonnet pairs and isothermic surfaces, Duke Math. J. 92, 1998, 3, 637–644.
[28] Kurosu, Sanae; Moriya, Katsuhiro, A tt^*-bundle associated with a harmonic map from a Riemann surface into a sphere, Differential Geom. Appl. 30, 2012, 3, 227–232.
[29] Marie-Amelie Lawn-Paillusseau, *Spinorial methods, para-complex and para-quaternionic geometry in the theory of submanifolds*, Thesis, Université Henri Poincaré - Nancy I; Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, 2006.
[30] Lawson, Herbert Blaine, Jr, Minimal varieties in constant curvature manifolds, Thesis (Ph.D.)–Stanford University, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1969.
[31] Lawson, H. Blaine, Jr., Complete minimal surfaces in S^3, Ann. of Math. (2), 92, 1970, 335–374.
[32] Lawson, H. Blaine, Jr.; Michelsohn, Marie-Louise, Spin geometry, Princeton Mathematical Series 38, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.
[33] Leschke, K.; Pedit, F., Bäcklund transforms of conformal maps into the 4-sphere, PDEs, submanifolds and affine differential geometry, Banach Center Publ. 69, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2005, 103–118.
[34] Magid, M. A., Lorentzian isothermic surfaces and Bonnet pairs, Ann. Polon. Math. 83 (2004), no. 2, 129–139.
[35] Moriya, Katsuhiro, Super-conformal surfaces associated with null complex holomorphic curves, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 41, 2009, 2, 327–331.
[36] Moriya, Katsuhiro, Darboux transforms of a harmonic inverse mean curvature surface, Geometry 2013, Article ID 902092, 9 pages, 2013.
[37] Mukai-Hidano, Mariko; Ohnita, Yoshihiro, Geometry of the moduli spaces of harmonic maps into Lie groups via gauge theory over Riemann surfaces, Internat. J. Math. 12, 2001, 3, 339–371.
[38] Palmer, Bennett, Isothermic surfaces and the Gauss map, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 104, 1988, 3, 876–884.
[39] Pedit, Franz; Pinkall, Ulrich, Quaternionic analysis on Riemann surfaces and differential geometry, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Berlin, 1998), Doc. Math. ,1998, Extra Vol. II, 389–400.
[40] Ruh, Ernst A.; Vilms, Jaak, The tension field of the Gauss map, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 149, 1970, 569–573.
[41] Wood, John C., Singularities of harmonic maps and applications of the Gauss-Bonnet formula, Amer. J. Math. 99, 1977, 6, 1329–1344.
[42] Yang, Kichoon, Homogeneous minimal surfaces in Euclidean spheres with flat normal connections, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 94, 1985, 1, 119–122.

Division of Mathematics, Faculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki, 305-8571, Japan.
E-mail address: moriya@math.tsukuba.ac.jp