

Improved Encoding and Counting of Uniform Hypertrees

Arjun Pitchanathan ^{*†}

Saswata Shannigrahi [‡]

Abstract

We consider labeled r -uniform hypertrees having $n \geq r \geq 2$ vertices. The number of hyperedges in such a hypertree is $m = (n - 1)/(r - 1)$. We show that there are exactly $f(n, r) = \frac{(n-1)!n^{m-1}}{(r-1)!^m m!}$ r -uniform hypertrees with n vertices labeled with distinct integers. We also give an encoding scheme that encodes such hypertrees using, on an average, at most $1 + \log_2 e$ bits more than $\log_2(f(n, r))$.

Keywords: Hypertree; Prüfer code; Coding; Counting

1 Introduction

Counting combinatorial structures is an interesting area of research in graph theory. One of the earliest results in this area was given by Cayley [1] who showed that the number of labeled trees on n vertices, where each vertex is labeled with a distinct integer, is n^{n-2} . Prüfer [8] gave an encoding that can represent such trees using $n - 2$ integers in the range $[0, n - 1]$ if the vertices are labeled with distinct integers in the range $[0, n - 1]$. In this paper, we study a generalization of this problem to that of counting and encoding uniform hypertrees. Shannigrahi and Pal [12] gave an encoding scheme for r -uniform hypertrees with $n \geq r \geq 2$ vertices, where each vertex is labeled with a distinct integer in the range $[0, n - 1]$. They also gave an upper bound $\frac{n^{n-2} - g(n, r)}{(r-1)^{m(r-2)}}$ on the number of such hypertrees, where $m = (n - 1)/(r - 1)$ is the number of hyperedges in the hypertree and $g(n, r)$ is a lower bound on the number of trees with maximum vertex degree exceeding $(r - 1) + m - 2$. In this paper, we improve this to show that the exact number of such hypertrees is $f(n, r) = \frac{(n-1)!n^{m-1}}{(r-1)!^m m!}$. We also give an encoding scheme that encodes such hypertrees using, on an average, at most $1 + \log_2 e$ bits more than $\log_2(f(n, r))$.

Let us introduce some definitions and notations. Let $[a, b]$ denote the set $\{a, a + 1, \dots, b\}$. Given a totally ordered set S containing distinct elements, we say that the *rank* of an element $x \in S$ is the number of elements in S that precede x . The element that precedes all other elements in the set is called the *smallest* element in the set. The element having rank $i - 1$ is called the i^{th} smallest element in the set. We use $C(n, k)$ to denote $\binom{n}{k}$.

^{*}International Institute of Information Technology, Hyderabad - 500032, India. Email: arjun.p@research.iiit.ac.in

[†]Corresponding author

[‡]International Institute of Information Technology, Hyderabad - 500032, India. Email: saswata.sh@iiit.ac.in

Let $V = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ be a set of n vertices and $E = \{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_m\}$ be a set of m hyperedges, where $E_i \subseteq V$ for all $i \in [1, m]$. Then, the set system $H = (V, E)$ is called a *hypergraph*. An r -uniform hypergraph is a hypergraph in which every hyperedge contains exactly r vertices. A sequence $\langle v_1, E_1, v_2, E_2, \dots, v_k, E_k, v_{k+1} \rangle$ is called a *hyperpath* from $v_1 \in V$ to $v_{k+1} \in V$ if all the vertices $v_i \in V$ and all the hyperedges $E_i \in E$ are distinct, and E_i contains both v_i and v_{i+1} for all $i \in [1, k]$. Two hyperpaths are considered distinct if the corresponding sequences are distinct, i.e., one of the sequences contains a vertex or a hyperedge that is not present in the other sequence at that position. A hypergraph is called a *hypertree* if there is exactly one hyperpath between every pair of vertices [12]. Note that any two hyperedges in a hypertree can share at most one vertex. Note that there is no isolated vertex in V , i.e., each vertex belongs to at least one hyperedge in E . In this paper, the vertices in V are labeled with distinct integers in the range $[0, n-1]$, where the vertex v_i is given the label i .

For r -uniform hypertrees, it holds that $n = 1 + m(r-1)$, as shown in [13]. This can be proved by a simple inductive argument. The property trivially holds for hypertrees having one hyperedge. Let us assume that the property holds for r -uniform hypertrees having less than m hyperedges. Consider an r -uniform hypertree having m hyperedges and n vertices. Note that there exists at least one hyperedge that has a vertex in common with only one other hyperedge in H [12]. If we remove this hyperedge, we get an r -uniform hypertree having $n - (r-1)$ vertices and $m-1$ hyperedges. Therefore, we have $n - (r-1) = 1 + (m-1)(r-1)$, which implies the property.

2 Encoding, Decoding and Counting

In this section, we describe an encoding scheme for r -uniform hypertrees having n vertices labeled with distinct integers and show the number of such hypertrees to be $\frac{(n-1)!n^{m-1}}{(r-1)!m!}$. Let us introduce some more definitions and notations that would be used in the encoding scheme. We construct a new hypertree H' from H having the same set of vertices V and an augmented set of hyperedges $E' = E \cup \{E_0\}$, where $E_0 = \{v_0\}$. Let $S_0 = \{E_0\}$. For $i \geq 1$, let S_i be the family of hyperedges in E having a common vertex with some hyperedge in S_{i-1} and not belonging to S_j for any $j < i$. Note that S_1 is the family of hyperedges containing the vertex v_0 . For each hyperedge $E_k \in S_i$ for any $i \geq 1$, let $E_{p_k} \in E'$ be the hyperedge in S_{i-1} with which E_k has a common vertex. We call E_{p_k} the *parent* of E_k , where p_k is the index of the parent of E_k . Note that each hyperedge has a unique parent, i.e., a hyperedge in S_i shares a vertex with exactly one hyperedge in S_{i-1} .

Let c_k be the common vertex E_k has with its parent for all $k \in [1, m]$. Let $\alpha_k = E_k \setminus \{c_k\}$ for all $k \in [1, m]$ and define $\alpha_0 = \{v_0\}$. We define an ordering among the vertices in V as follows. We say that $v_i \prec v_j$ (v_i precedes v_j) if the label of v_i is smaller than the label of v_j , i.e., if $i < j$. Note that $|\alpha_k| = r-1$ for all $k \in [1, m]$. Let $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m\}$. Note that α represents a partition of $V \setminus \{v_0\}$ into m sets, each of cardinality $r-1$. Let us define an ordering among the sets in $\alpha \cup \{\alpha_0\}$, where $\alpha_i \prec \alpha_j$ if the smallest vertex in α_i precedes the smallest vertex in α_j . Let $l_k \in [0, m]$ for all $k \in [0, m]$ be the rank of α_k in $\alpha \cup \{\alpha_0\}$. For all $i \in [0, m]$, let α_{t_i} be the set in $\alpha \cup \{\alpha_0\}$ having rank i , where t_i is the index of the element in $\alpha \cup \{\alpha_0\}$ having rank i . Note that $c_k \in \alpha_{p_k}$ for all $k \in [1, m]$. Let s_k be the rank of c_k in α_{p_k} for all $k \in [1, m]$, and let $s = \langle s_{t_1}, \dots, s_{t_m} \rangle$.

Let us construct a labeled tree T with vertices $\{u_0, \dots, u_m\}$ where the vertex u_i in T corresponds to the hyperedge $E_i \in E'$. The vertex u_k is given label l_k for all $k \in [0, m]$. A pair of vertices u_i and u_j are connected by an edge if and only if either E_i is the parent of E_j or E_j is the parent of E_i .

For clarity, let us consider an example. Let H be a 3-uniform hypertree having $V = \{v_0, \dots, v_{10}\}$ and $E = \{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4, E_5\}$, where $E_1 = \{v_0, v_9, v_{10}\}$, $E_2 = \{v_0, v_7, v_8\}$, $E_3 = \{v_8, v_5, v_6\}$, $E_4 = \{v_8, v_3, v_4\}$, $E_5 = \{v_3, v_1, v_2\}$. As defined earlier, $E_0 = \{v_0\}$ and $S_0 = \{E_0\}$. We have $S_1 = \{E_1, E_2\}$, $S_2 = \{E_3, E_4\}$, $S_3 = \{E_5\}$. Hence, we have $p_1 = 0$, $p_2 = 0$, $p_3 = 2$, $p_4 = 2$, $p_5 = 4$, and $c_1 = v_0$, $c_2 = v_0$, $c_3 = v_8$, $c_4 = v_8$, $c_5 = v_3$. Therefore, $\alpha_1 = \{v_9, v_{10}\}$, $\alpha_2 = \{v_7, v_8\}$, $\alpha_3 = \{v_5, v_6\}$, $\alpha_4 = \{v_3, v_4\}$, $\alpha_5 = \{v_1, v_2\}$, and $s_1 = 0$, $s_2 = 0$, $s_3 = 1$, $s_4 = 1$, $s_5 = 0$. As defined earlier, we have $\alpha_0 = \{v_0\}$. As per the ordering, we have $\alpha_0 \prec \alpha_5 \prec \alpha_4 \prec \alpha_3 \prec \alpha_2 \prec \alpha_1$. Therefore, $t_0 = 0$, $t_1 = 5$, $t_2 = 4$, $t_3 = 3$, $t_4 = 2$, $t_5 = 1$. The sequence s is then given by $s = \langle s_{t_1}, s_{t_2}, s_{t_3}, s_{t_4}, s_{t_5} \rangle = \langle s_5, s_4, s_3, s_2, s_1 \rangle = \langle 0, 1, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$.

2.1 Encoding

The hypertree H is encoded by the set α , the tree T and the sequence s . We encode the elements of α in increasing order as per the ordering defined earlier. For all $i \in [1, m]$, let $v_{\min_i}$ be the smallest vertex in α_{t_i} and let $\beta_{t_i} = \alpha_{t_i} \setminus \{v_{\min_i}\}$. We encode α_{t_i} by listing the labels of the elements of β_{t_i} . We do not include $v_{\min_i}$ in the encoding since we know that the smallest vertex of α_{t_i} is the smallest vertex in $V \setminus \{v_0\}$ that does not belong to α_{t_j} for any $j < i$. Thus, we encode α using $n - m - 1$ integers in the range $[1, n - 1]$. We encode T by its Prüfer code using $m - 1$ integers in the range $[0, m]$, using the algorithm of Chen and Wang [2].

Finally, we encode s by listing its elements sequentially. Note that $\alpha_{p_k} = \alpha_0 = \{v_0\}$ for a hyperedge $E_k \in S_1$. Therefore, $c_k = v_0$ for these hyperedges, which implies that $s_k = 0$. If we know T , we know p_k for all $k \in [1, m]$ and hence know which hyperedges belong to S_1 . This is sufficient to know that $s_k = 0$ for each of these hyperedges. Hence, we list s_k only for those hyperedges that are not in S_1 . We call these the *useful* elements of s . Thus, we encode s using $m - |S_1|$ integers in the range $[0, r - 2]$.

Time Complexity. Let us describe a linear time algorithm to find the parent of each hyperedge in E . We can do this by an algorithm similar to breadth-first search (pages 594-602 in [3]). We first precompute, for each vertex in V , the list of hyperedges in E' containing that vertex. This takes $O(mr) = O(n)$ time. We maintain a queue of hyperedges. Initially, we push the hyperedge E_0 into the queue. We perform $m + 1$ steps. At the i^{th} step, let E_k be the hyperedge at the front of the queue. We remove E_k from the queue. Let F be the set of all hyperedges $E_j \neq E_k$ that have a vertex in E_k . If $E_k = E_0$, we push all hyperedges $E_j \in F$ into the queue. If $E_k \neq E_0$, we push all hyperedges $E_j \in F$ such that $E_j \neq E_{p_k}$ into the queue. We set E_{p_j} to be E_k and accordingly set c_j and α_j . In this way, we find c_k , α_k , and E_{p_k} for each hyperedge E_k . The algorithm takes a total of $O(mr) = O(n)$ time since each hyperedge is visited exactly once and the list of hyperedges containing each vertex is visited exactly once as well.

We can sort α in $O(n)$ time using counting sort (pages 75-80 in [6]). The algorithm of Chen and Wang [2] computes the encoding of T in $O(m)$ time, and we can list the useful elements of s in $O(mr) = O(n)$ time. So, the encoding algorithm takes a total of $O(n + m) = O(n)$ time.

2.2 Decoding

We decode α in m steps. In the i^{th} ($1 \leq i \leq m$) step, we know that v_{min_i} is the smallest vertex in $V \setminus \{v_0\}$ that does not belong to α_{t_j} for any $j < i$. The next $r - 2$ integers from the encoding represent β_{t_i} . We set α_{t_i} to be $\beta_{t_i} \cup \{v_{min_i}\}$. After performing these steps, we know α .

We decode T from its Prüfer code using the algorithm of Chen and Wang [2]. After finding T , we perform a breadth-first search (pages 594-602 in [3]) starting at the vertex in T labeled 0. For all $k \in [1, m]$ we store p_k , the label of the parent of the vertex labeled k in the breadth-first search tree.

We decode s by performing m steps. In the i^{th} ($1 \leq i \leq m$) step, let $k = t_i$. We set $s_k = 0$ if $p_k = 0$. Otherwise, we set s_k to be the next number in the encoding of s . We find c_k , which is the element in α_{p_k} having rank s_k . Finally, we set $E_k = \{c_k\} \cup \alpha_k$. After completing these m steps, we know the set of hyperedges E . This completes the decoding.

We show that every (α, T, s) tuple corresponds to an r -uniform hypertree having n vertices labeled with distinct integers. Let H be the hypergraph obtained after decoding this tuple. Clearly, each hyperedge has r vertices since we obtain $E_k = \{c_k\} \cup \alpha_k$ where $|\alpha_k| = r - 1$. Therefore, H is an r -uniform hypergraph. Consider the tree obtained by performing a breadth-first search on T starting at u_0 . Each vertex in this tree corresponds to a hyperedge. Let E_i be the hyperedge corresponding to the vertex u_i . Note that any two hyperedges E_i and E_j share at most one vertex. Consider two vertices u_i and u_j . If one of u_i and u_j is the parent of the other, then E_i and E_j share a vertex. Otherwise, if u_i and u_j have the same parent in the breadth-first search tree, let u_p be the shared parent. Then, E_i , E_j and E_p share a single vertex. If neither of these conditions holds, then E_i and E_j do not share a vertex. Therefore, since T is a tree, there is exactly one hyperpath between any two vertices in H . Hence, H is an r -uniform hypertree.

Time Complexity. When decoding α , we have to find the smallest unused vertex before decoding each α_{t_i} . To do this, we maintain a boolean array that stores the vertices that have not yet been used. To find the smallest unused vertex, we only have to start searching from $v_{min_{i-1}}$. This is because we know that $v_{min_i} \geq v_{min_{i-1}}$. Thus, we perform only one pass over this array during the entire execution of the decoding algorithm and we decode α in $O(n)$ time. The algorithm of Chen and Wang [2] decodes the Prüfer code in $O(m)$ time, and we perform a breadth-first search (pages 594-602 in [3]) on T in $O(m)$ time. We decode s in $O(m)$ time. Once we have α and s , we find c_k for all $k \in [1, m]$ in a total of $O(mr) = O(n)$ time. We then find $E_k = \{c_k\} \cup \alpha_k$ for all $k \in [1, m]$ in a total of $O(mr) = O(n)$ time. Thus, the time complexity of the decoding algorithm is $O(n)$.

2.3 Counting

Let us find an expression for $f(n, r)$, the number of r -uniform hypertrees having n vertices labeled with distinct integers. Since there is a bijection between the encodings and the hypertrees, we find $f(n, r)$ by counting the number of possible encodings. Let us count the number of possibilities for α , which is a partition of $V \setminus \{v_0\}$ into m equal sets of cardinality $r - 1$ each. We can construct such a partition by generating a permutation of $V \setminus \{v_0\}$ and assigning the first $r - 1$ vertices to α_1 , the next $r - 1$ vertices to α_2 and so on. Since each α_k ($1 \leq k \leq m$) is a set, the ordering of the elements within each of them does not matter. Since α is also a set, the ordering among its elements does not matter either. Therefore, the number of possibilities for α is $\frac{(n-1)!}{(r-1)!^m m!}$.

Let us count the number of possibilities for (T, s) . Let d be the degree of the vertex in T labeled 0. Since $|S_1| = d$, there are $(r - 1)^{m-d}$ possibilities for the sequence s . Moreover, there are $h(m + 1, d)$ possibilities for T , where $h(m + 1, d)$ is the number of trees having $m + 1$ vertices labeled with distinct integers in the range $[0, m]$ such that the degree of the vertex labeled 0 is d . Let us compute an expression for $h(m + 1, d)$. If a vertex has degree d in a tree, then its label occurs exactly $d - 1$ times in the Prüfer sequence of that tree [4]. Let us count the number of possible Prüfer sequences satisfying this constraint. We fix $d - 1$ positions of the Prüfer sequence to be 0, and let the remaining positions take any value in $[1, m]$. Hence we obtain the following equation, as given in [4].

$$h(m + 1, d) = \binom{m - 1}{d - 1} m^{m-d} \quad (1)$$

The total number of r -uniform hypertrees having n vertices is the number of possibilities for α multiplied by the number of possibilities for (T, s) for a given d , summed over all d . Therefore, we calculate $f(n, r)$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} f(n, r) &= \sum_{d=1}^m h(m + 1, d) \frac{(n - 1)! (r - 1)^{m-d}}{(r - 1)!^m m!} \\ &= \sum_{d=1}^m \binom{m - 1}{d - 1} \frac{m^{m-d} (n - 1)! (r - 1)^{m-d}}{(r - 1)!^m m!} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$= \frac{m^m (n - 1)! (r - 1)^m}{(r - 1)!^m m!} \sum_{d=1}^m \frac{\binom{m-1}{d-1}}{(n - 1)^d} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{m^m (n - 1)! (r - 1)^m}{(r - 1)!^m m!} \cdot \frac{n^{m-1}}{(n - 1)^m} \\ &= \frac{(n - 1)! n^{m-1}}{(r - 1)!^m m!} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

In the above calculation, we obtain (2) by substituting the value of $h(m + 1, d)$ from (1). We use the equality $m(r - 1) = n - 1$ to obtain (3) and (4).

3 An Optimal Encoding Scheme

The number of possibilities for α is $\frac{(n-1)!}{(r-1)!^m m!}$. Therefore, an optimal encoding scheme for α would, on an average, use $\log_2 \left(\frac{(n-1)!}{(r-1)!^m m!} \right)$ bits. Since the number of possibilities for H is $\frac{(n-1)! n^{m-1}}{(r-1)!^m m!}$, an optimal encoding scheme for H would, on an average, use $\log_2 \left(\frac{(n-1)! n^{m-1}}{(r-1)!^m m!} \right)$ bits. Therefore, an optimal encoding scheme for the tuple (T, s) would, on an average, use $\log_2(n^{m-1}) = (m-1) \log_2 n$ bits.

First, we will show that the encoding scheme for (T, s) uses, on an average, $\log_2 e$ bits more than that used by an optimal encoding for (T, s) . Since T is encoded using $m-1$ integers in the range $[0, m]$, it can be encoded using $(m-1) \log_2(m+1)$ bits. As before, let d be the degree of the vertex in T having label 0. Then, s can be encoded using $m-d$ integers in the range $[0, r-2]$. So, it can be encoded using $(m-d) \log_2(r-1)$ bits. The average number of bits used by the encoding scheme is then given by $(m-1) \log_2(m+1) + (m - E[d]) \log_2(r-1)$, where $E[d]$ is the average value of d over all r -uniform hypertrees having n vertices. We find an expression for $E[d]$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} E[d] &= \frac{\sum_{d=1}^m d \binom{m-1}{d-1} \frac{m^{m-d} (n-1)! (r-1)^{m-d}}{(r-1)!^m m!}}{f(n, r)} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{d=1}^m d \binom{m-1}{d-1} \frac{m^{m-d} (n-1)! (r-1)^{m-d}}{(r-1)!^m m!}}{\frac{(n-1)! n^{m-1}}{(r-1)!^m m!}} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$= \frac{(n-1)^m}{n^{m-1}} \sum_{d=1}^m d \frac{\binom{m-1}{d-1}}{(n-1)^d} \quad (6)$$

$$= \frac{(n-1)^m}{n^{m-1}} \cdot \frac{n^{m-2} (n+m-1)}{(n-1)^m} \quad (7)$$

$$= \frac{n+m-1}{n} = \frac{mr}{n} \quad (8)$$

In the above calculation, we obtain (5) by substituting the value of $f(n, r)$ from (4). We obtain (7) by using the fact that $(d-1)C(m-1, d-1) = (m-1)C(m-2, d-2)$. We use the equality $n-1 = m(r-1)$ to obtain (6) and (8). So, the average number of bits used to encode (T, s) is $(m-1) \log_2(m) + (m-1) \frac{n-1}{n} \log_2(r-1)$. The number of bits used by an optimal encoding scheme is $(m-1) \log_2 n$. The difference between these two quantities is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& [(m-1) \log_2(m+1) + (m-1) \frac{n-1}{n} \log_2(r-1)] - (m-1) \log_2 n \\
& \leq (m-1) [\log_2((m+1)(r-1)) - \log_2 n]
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = (m-1) \log_2 \left(\frac{n+r-2}{n} \right) \\
& = \frac{n-r}{r-1} \log_2 \left(\frac{n+r-2}{n} \right) \\
& = \frac{1}{r-1} \log_2 \left(\left(\frac{n+r-2}{n} \right)^{n-r} \right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{r-1} \log_2 \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{r-2}{n} \right)^{n-r} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq \frac{1}{r-1} \log_2 \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{r-2}{n} \right)^n \right) \\
& = \frac{r-2}{r-1} \log_2 e \\
& \leq \log_2 e,
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where we obtain (9) by using the fact that $(n-1)/n \leq 1$. To obtain (10), we use the fact that $(1 + (r-2)/n)^n$ increases with n for any fixed r . We solve the limit (page 64 of [10]) to obtain (11). Thus, the encoding scheme for (T, s) uses, on an average, $\log_2 e$ bits more than that used by an optimal encoding.

3.1 An Optimal Encoding Scheme for α

As noted above, an optimal encoding scheme for α would use, on an average, $\log_2 \left(\frac{(n-1)!}{((r-1)!)^m m!} \right)$ bits. We know by Stirling's approximation [9] that $\sqrt{2\pi} n^{n+0.5} e^{-n} \leq n! \leq e n^{n+0.5} e^{-n}$. Therefore, we find an upper bound on the average number of bits used by an optimal encoding scheme for α as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\log_2 \left(\frac{(n-1)!}{((r-1)!)^m m!} \right) & \leq \log_2 \left(\frac{e(n-1)^{n-1+0.5} e^{-(n-1)}}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^m (r-1)^{m[(r-1)+0.5]} e^{-m(r-1)} \sqrt{2\pi} m^{m+0.5} e^{-m}} \right) \\
& = \log_2 \left(\frac{e^{m+1} m^{n-1} (n-1)^{0.5}}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{m+1} (r-1)^{0.5m} m^{m+0.5}} \right) \\
& = \log_2 \left(\frac{e^{m+1} m^{n-m-1}}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{m+1} (r-1)^{0.5(m-1)}} \right) \\
& = (n-m-1) \log_2(m) + (m+1) \log_2 \left(\frac{e}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) - 0.5(m-1) \log_2(r-1)
\end{aligned}$$

The encoding scheme we have given for α uses $(n - m - 1) \log_2(n - 2)$ bits. The difference between this and the average number of bits used by an optimal encoding scheme is lower bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
& (n - m - 1) \log_2(n - 2) - \left[(n - m - 1) \log_2(m) + (m + 1) \log_2 \left(\frac{e}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) - 0.5(m - 1) \log_2(r - 1) \right] \\
&= (n - m - 1) \log_2 \left(\frac{(n - 2)(r - 1)}{n - 1} \right) - (m + 1) \log_2 \left(\frac{e}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) + 0.5(m - 1) \log_2(r - 1) \\
&= (n - m - 1) \log_2 \left(\frac{(n - 2)(r - 1)}{n - 1} \right) + 0.5m \log_2 \left(\frac{2\pi(r - 1)}{e^2} \right) - 0.5 \log_2 \left(\frac{e^2(r - 1)}{2\pi} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For any fixed $r \geq 3$, we observe that this quantity is $\Omega(n)$. We show that the encoding scheme for α can be made optimal, at the cost of increasing the running time. In the encoding algorithm given in Section 2.1, we encode β_{t_i} by simply listing out its vertices. We can do better, as follows.

Let $P_1 = \{v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ and $P_i = P_{i-1} \setminus \alpha_{t_{i-1}}$ for all $i \in [2, m]$. Then, $\alpha_{t_i} \subseteq P_i$ and β_{t_i} is an $(r - 2)$ -subset of $P'_i = P_i \setminus \{v_{\min_i}\}$. Note that $|P'_i| = n - 2 - i(r - 1)$. As a result, β_{t_i} can be encoded optimally using $\log_2(C(|P'_i|, r - 2)) = \log_2(C(n - 2 - i(r - 1), r - 2))$ bits by the combinatorial number system (page 360 in [7]). The combinatorial number system maps β_{t_i} to the integer $N_i = \binom{c_1}{1} + \binom{c_2}{2} \dots + \binom{c_{r-2}}{r-2}$ where c_i is the rank of the i^{th} smallest vertex of β_{t_i} in P'_i . Note that $0 \leq c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_{r-2} < n - 2 - i(r - 1)$, and $\binom{p}{q}$ is considered to be 0 if $p < q$. We call the sequence $\langle c_{r-2}, c_{r-3}, \dots, c_1 \rangle$ the *combinatorial representation* of N_i . Given N_i , its combinatorial representation can be decoded by recursively finding the largest integer c_k such that $\binom{c_k}{k} \leq N_i - \binom{c_{r-2}}{r-2} - \binom{c_{r-3}}{r-3} \dots - \binom{c_{k+1}}{k+1}$.

Time Complexity. Using $\binom{p}{q} = \prod_{i=1}^q (p - q + i)/i$, we compute each N_i using $O(r)$ multiplications of $O(r \log n)$ -bit numbers. Since we compute N_i for m values of i , the overall time complexity is $O(mrM(r \log n))$ where $M(k)$ denotes the time complexity of multiplying two k -bit integers. Since $M(k) = O(k \log(k) \log(\log k))$ [11] and $r \leq n$, this encoding algorithm for α takes $O(n^2 \log(n) \log(n \log n) \log(\log(n \log n))) = \tilde{O}(n^2)$ time.

We decode the combinatorial representation of N_i using the method mentioned above. We use binary search to find the largest c_k . Since this method requires $O(r \log n)$ multiplications of $O(r \log n)$ -bit integers, α can be decoded in $O(n^2 \log^2(n) \log(n \log n) \log(\log(n \log n))) = \tilde{O}(n^2)$ time.

3.2 Optimality of the Encoding Scheme

We first show the optimality of the encoding scheme for α . Since the encoding scheme for α_i uses $\log_2(C(n - 2 - i(r - 1), r - 2))$ bits, the total number of bits taken to encode α is

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \log_2 \left(\binom{n-2-i(r-1)}{r-2} \right) &= \log_2 \left(\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \binom{n-2-i(r-1)}{r-2} \right) \\
&= \log_2 \left(\frac{(n-1)!}{((r-2)!)^m \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} [(n-1)-i(r-1)]} \right) \\
&= \log_2 \left(\frac{(n-1)!}{((r-2)!)^m \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} [(r-1)(m-i)]} \right) \\
&= \log_2 \left(\frac{(n-1)!}{((r-1)!)^m m!} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

which is the average number of bits that an optimal encoding scheme for α would use.

Note that the encoding for H consists of the encodings for α , T and s . The encoding for α is a sequence I_α of m integers, the i^{th} ($1 \leq i \leq m$) of which lies in the range $[0, C(n-2-(i-1)(r-1), r-2)-1]$. The encoding for T is a sequence I_T of $m-1$ integers, each in the range $[0, m]$. Finally, the encoding for s is a sequence I_s of $m-d$ integers, each in the range $[0, r-2]$. Note that representing an integer in the range $[0, k-1]$ takes $\lceil \log_2 k \rceil$ bits. Therefore, there could be a wastage of up to $m + (m-1) + (m-d)$ bits due to the ceilings here.

We avoid this wastage by using a radix conversion, defined as follows. Assume we have a sequence of k integers $\langle x_1, \dots, x_k \rangle$, where $x_i \in [0, a_i - 1]$. This sequence can be encoded into a single integer A_k , defined by the recurrence relation $A_i = x_i + a_i A_{i-1}$ with the base case $A_0 = 0$. We can compute this in $O(M(\log_2 a_1) + M(\log_2(a_1 a_2)) \cdots + M(\log_2(a_1 a_2 \dots a_k)))$ time. So, we encode I_α in $O(M(r \log n) + M(2r \log n) \cdots + M(mr \log n)) = \tilde{O}(n^2)$ time. Similarly, we encode I_T in $O(mM(m \log m)) = \tilde{O}(n^2)$ time and I_s in $O((m-d)M((m-d) \log(r-2))) = \tilde{O}(n^2)$ time. We can decode in the same time complexity since division of two k -bit integers can also be done in $O(M(k))$ time (page 311 of [5]).

Let the encoding of I_α , I_T and I_s be x_α , x_T and x_s , respectively. Since $d \geq 1$, we have $x_s < (r-1)^{m-d} \leq (r-1)^{m-1}$. Let us define $x_{sT} = x_s + (r-1)^{m-1} x_T$. Note that $x_T < (m+1)^{m-1}$, and therefore $x_{sT} \in [0, (m+1)^{m-1}(r-1)^{m-1} - 1]$. Therefore, we can encode (T, s) by the integer x_{sT} using $(m-1) \log_2((m+1)(r-1))$ bits. The difference between this quantity and the number of bits used by an optimal encoding scheme for (T, s) is given by (9). Therefore, this difference is upper bounded by $\log_2 e$.

We can further encode $x_H = x_{sT} + (m+1)^{m-1}(r-1)^{m-1} x_\alpha$. Thus, we encode H by the integer x_H . Since this is a single integer, we waste at most 1 bit due to the ceiling here. Therefore, the total number of bits used is at most $1 + \log_2 e$ more than the theoretical optimum of $\log_2 \left(\frac{(n-1)! n^{m-1}}{(r-1)!^m m!} \right)$. Note that $x_\alpha < \frac{(n-1)!}{(r-1)!^m m!}$. We can compute $(r-1)^{m-1}$ and $(r-1)^{m-1}(m+1)^{m-1}$ in $\tilde{O}(n^2)$ time. Therefore, we can compute x_{sT} and x_H in $\tilde{O}(n^2)$ time since this involves a constant number of multiplications of numbers of size $O(n \log n)$. Also, we can decode x_{sT} and x_H in $\tilde{O}(n^2)$ time since division can be done in $O(M(k))$ time (page 311 of [5]). Thus, the encoding and decoding

algorithms both take $\tilde{O}(n^2)$ time.

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we show the exact value of $f(n, r)$ and provide $\tilde{O}(n^2)$ time encoding and decoding algorithms for an r -uniform hypertree with n vertices. The algorithm uses, on an average, at most $O(1)$ bits more than the theoretically best possible encoding scheme. However, it would be interesting to obtain faster encoding and decoding algorithms for this problem.

References

- [1] A. Cayley. “A theorem on trees”, *Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math.* 23, Pages 376-378, 1889.
- [2] H.-C. Chen, Y.-L. Wang. “An efficient algorithm for generating Prüfer codes from labeled trees”, *Theory Comput. Syst.* 33, Pages 97-105, 2000.
- [3] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest, C. Stein. “Introduction to Algorithms”, MIT Press and McGraw-Hill, Third Edition, ISBN 0-262-03384-4, 2009.
- [4] H. Kajimoto. “An Extension of the Prüfer Code and Assembly of Connected Graphs from Their Blocks”, *Graphs and Combinatorics* 19, Pages 231-239, 2003.
- [5] D. E. Knuth. “The Art of Computer Programming”, Volume 2, Seminumerical Algorithms, Third Edition, Eighteenth Impression, Pearson Education, ISBN 978-81-7758-335-9, 1998.
- [6] D. E. Knuth. “The Art of Computer Programming”, Volume 3, Sorting and Searching, Second Edition, Addison-Wesley, ISBN 0-201-89685-0, 1998.
- [7] D. E. Knuth. “The Art of Computer Programming”, Volume 4A, Combinatorial Algorithms, Part 1, First Impression, Addison-Wesley, ISBN 978-81-317-6193-9, 2011.
- [8] H. Prüfer. “Neuer Beweis eines Satzes über Permutationen”, *Arch. Math. Phys.* 27, Pages 742-744, 1918.
- [9] H. Robbins. “A Remark on Stirling’s Formula”, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 62, Pages 26-29, 1955.
- [10] W. Rudin. “Principles of Mathematical Analysis”, McGraw-Hill, Third Edition, ISBN 978-1-25-906478-4, 2013.
- [11] A. Schönhage and V. Strassen. “Schnelle Multiplikation großer Zahlen”, *Computing* 7, Pages 281-292, 1971.
- [12] S. Shannigrahi, S. P. Pal. “Efficient Prüfer-Like Coding and Counting Labelled Hypertrees”, *Proc. 17th International Symposium on Algorithms and Computation (ISAAC)*, Page 588-597, 2006; also in: *Algorithmica*, Volume 54 (2), Pages 208-225, 2009.
- [13] S. K. Singh, S. P. Pal, S. Kumar and R. Srikanth. “A combinatorial approach for studying local operations and classical communication transformations of multipartite states”, *J. Math. Phys.* 46, 122105, 2005.