arXiv:1805.06574v4 [math.FA] 11 Mar 2019

A canonical decomposition of strong L^2-functions

In Sung Hwang and Woo Young Lee

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to establish a canonical decomposition of operator-valued strong L^2-functions by the aid of the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem which characterizes the shift-invariant subspaces of vector-valued Hardy space. This decomposition invites us to coin a new notion of the "Beurling degree" of the inner function. Eventually, we establish a deep connection between the spectral multiplicity of the model operator and the Beurling degree of the corresponding characteristic function.

Contents

1. Introduction and the main theorems 1
2. Preliminaries 5
3. Auxiliary lemmas 7
4. Proof of Theorem A 25
5. Proof of Theorem B 30
References 36

1. Introduction and the main theorems

The celebrated Beurling Theorem [Beu] characterizes the shift-invariant subspaces of the Hardy space. P.D. Lax [La] has extended the Beurling Theorem to the case of finite multiplicity, which is so called the Beurling-Lax Theorem. P.R. Halmos [Ha1] has given a beautiful proof for the case of infinite multiplicity, which is so called the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem. Since then, the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem has been extended in the various setting and extensively applied in connection with the model theory, the system theory and the interpolation problem, etc. by many authors (cf. [ADR], [AS], [BH1], [BH2], [BH3], [Ca], [dR], [Hed], [Po], [Ri], [SFBK], etc). In this paper, we will closely analyze the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem for infinite multiplicity and then get a canonical decomposition for operator-valued L^2-functions (in fact, for a more bigger class). Let $\mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ be the unit circle in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Throughout

Date: March 3, 2019
MSC(2010): Primary 46E40, 47B35, 30H10, 30J05; Secondary 43A15, 47A15
Keywords: Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem, strong L^2-functions, a canonical decomposition, Douglas-Shapiro-Shields factorization, complementary factor of an inner function, degree of non-cyclicity, functions of bounded type, the Beurling degree, the spectral multiplicity.

the paper, whenever we deal with operator-valued functions Φ on $\mathbb{T}$, we assume that $\Phi(z)$ is a bounded linear operator between separable complex Hilbert spaces for almost all $z \in \mathbb{T}$. For a separable complex Hilbert space E, if S_E is the shift operator on the E-valued Hardy space H^2_E, i.e.,

$$(S_E f)(z) := zf(z) \quad \text{for each } f \in H^2_E,$$

then the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem states that every invariant subspace M under S_E (i.e., a closed subspace M of H^2_E such that $S_E f \in M$ for all $f \in M$) is of the form $\Delta H^2_{E'}$, where E' is a closed subspace of E and Δ is an *inner* function in the sense that $\Delta(z)$ is an isometric operator from E' into E for almost all $z \in \mathbb{T}$, i.e., $\Delta(z)^*\Delta(z) = I_{E'}$ a.e. (If further $\Delta(z)\Delta(z)^* = I_E$ a.e., then Δ is called a *two-sided* inner function). Equivalently, if a closed subspace M of H^2_E is invariant for the backward (or the adjoint) shift operator S^*_E, then $M = \mathcal{H}(\Delta) := H^2_E \ominus \Delta H^2_{E'}$ for some inner function Δ, where $\mathcal{H}(\Delta)$ is often called a model space or a de Branges-Rovnyak space [dR], [Sa], [SFBK]. Thus, for a subset F of H^2_E, if E^*_F denotes the smallest S^*_E-invariant subspace containing F, i.e.,

$$E^*_F := \bigvee \Big\{ S^{*n}_E F : n \geq 0 \Big\},$$

where $\bigvee$ denotes the closed linear span, then $E^*_F = \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$ for some inner function Δ. Now, given a backward shift-invariant subspace $\mathcal{H}(\Delta)$, we may ask:

(1) What is the smallest number of vectors in F satisfying $\mathcal{H}(\Delta) = E^*_F$?

More generally, we are interested in the problem of describing the set F in H^2_E such that $\mathcal{H}(\Delta) = E^*_F$. Let $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$ denote the set of all bounded linear operators between separable complex Hilbert spaces D and E. The question (1) invites us to consider strong L^2-functions - a bigger class than the set of operator-valued L^2-functions, where a *strong L^2-function* Φ is a $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$-valued function Φ defined almost everywhere on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$ such that $\Phi(\cdot)x \in L^2_E$ for each $x \in D$. We can see that every operator-valued L^p-function ($p \geq 2$) is a strong L^2-function (cf. p.9). Following to V. Peller [Pe], we write $L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$ for the set of strong L^2-functions with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$. The set $L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$ is nicely served as general symbols of vectorial Hankel operators (see [Pe]). Similarly, we write $H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$ for the set of strong L^2-functions with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$ such that $\Phi(\cdot)x \in H^2_E$ for each $x \in D$. Of course, $H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$ contains all $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$-valued H^2-functions.

The question (1) is closely related to a canonical decomposition of strong L^2-functions. We first observe that if Φ is an operator-valued L^∞-function, then the kernel of the Hankel operator H_{Φ^*} is shift-invariant. Thus by the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem, the kernel of a Hankel operator H_{Φ^*} is of the form $\Delta H^2_{E'}$ for some inner function Δ. If the kernel of a Hankel operator H_{Φ^*} is trivial, take $E' = \{0\}$. Of course, Δ need not be a two-sided inner function. In fact, we can show that if Φ is an operator-valued L^∞-function and Δ is a two-sided inner function, then the kernel of a Hankel operator H_{Φ^*} is $\Delta H^2_{E'}$ if and only if Φ is expressed in the form

$$\Phi = \Delta A^*, \tag{2}$$

where A is an operator-valued H^∞- function such that Δ and A are right coprime (see Lemma 3.12). The expression (2) is called the *Douglas-Shapiro-Shields factorization* of an operator-valued L^∞-function Φ (see [DSS], [FB], [Fu2]; in particular, [Fu2] contains many important applications of the Douglas-Shapiro-Shields factorization to the linear system

theory). Let $\mathbb{D}$ be the open unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. We recall that a meromorphic function $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be *of bounded type* (or *in the Nevanlinna class*) if it is a quotient of two bounded analytic functions. A matrix function of bounded type is defined by a matrix-valued function whose entries are of bounded type. Very recently, a systematic study on matrix-valued functions of bounded type was done in a monograph [CHL3]. It was also known that every matrix-valued L^∞- function whose adjoint is of bounded type admits the expression (2) (cf. [GHR]). In fact, if we extend the notion of "bounded type" for operator-valued L^∞-functions (we will do this in Definition 3.21 for a more bigger class), then we may say that the expression (2) is a monopoly for L^∞-functions whose flips are of bounded type, where the flip $\breve{\Phi}$ of Φ is defined by $\breve{\Phi}(z) := \Phi(\overline{z})$. From this viewpoint, we may ask whether there exists an appropriate decomposition corresponding to general L^∞-functions, more generally, to strong L^2-functions. The following problem is the first object of this paper:

Find a canonical decomposition of strong L^2-functions.

To establish a canonical decomposition of strong L^2-functions, we need to introduce a new notion - the "complementary factor", denoted by Δ_c, of an inner function Δ with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$. This notion is defined by using the kernel of Δ^*, denoted by $\ker \Delta^*$, which is defined by the set of vectors f in H^2_E such that $\Delta^* f = 0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$. Moreover, the kernel of H_{Δ^*} can be represented by complementing the complementary factor Δ_c to Δ (see Lemma 3.15). We also employ a notion of "degree of non-cyclicity" on the set of all subsets (or vectors) of H^2_E, which is a complementary notion of "degree of cyclicity" due to V.I. Vasyunin and N.K. Nikolskii [VN]. The *degree of non-cyclicity*, denoted by $\mathrm{nc}(F)$, of subsets $F \subseteq H^2_E$, is defined by the number

$$\mathrm{nc}(F) := \sup_{\zeta \in \mathbb{D}} \dim\big\{g(\zeta) : g \in H^2_E \ominus E^*_F\big\}.$$

Now, for a canonical decomposition of strong L^2-functions Φ, we are tempted to guess that Φ can be factorized as the form ΔA^* (where Δ is a possibly one-sided inner function) like the Douglas-Shapiro-Shields factorization, in which Δ is two-sided inner. But this is not such a case. In fact, we can see that a canonical decomposition is affected by the kernel of Δ^* through some examples (see p. 25). Indeed, we recognize that this is not accidental. The following main theorem realizes the idea inside those examples. In the below, we write $\widetilde{\Phi} := \breve{\Phi}^*$.

Theorem A. (A canonical decomposition of strong L^2-functions) *If Φ is a strong L^2-function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$, then Φ can be expressed in the form*

$$\Phi = \Delta A^* + B, \tag{3}$$

where

(i) *Δ is an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E', E)$, $\widetilde{A} \in H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E'))$, and $B \in L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$;*
(ii) *Δ and A are right coprime;*
(iii) *$\Delta^* B = 0$;*
(iv) *$\mathrm{nc}\{\Phi_+\} \le \dim E'$.*

In particular, if $\dim E' < \infty$ (more specially, $\dim E < \infty$), then the expression (3) is unique (up to a unitary constant right factor).

Definition. The expression (3) will be called *a canonical decomposition* of a strong L^2-function Φ.

The proof of Theorem A (p. 26) shows that the inner function Δ in a canonical decomposition (3) of a strong L^2-function Φ can be obtained from equation

$$\ker H^*_{\breve{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'}$$

which is guaranteed by the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem (see Corollary 3.14). In this case, the expression (3) will be called the *BLH-canonical decomposition* of Φ in the viewpoint that Δ comes from the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem. However, if $\dim E' = \infty$ (even though $\dim D < \infty$), then it is possible to get another inner function Θ of a canonical decomposition (3) for the same function: in this case, $\ker H^*_{\breve{\Phi}} \neq \Theta H^2_{E''}$. Therefore the canonical decomposition of a strong L^2-function is not unique in general (see Remark 4.3). But the second assertion of Theorem A says that if the codomain of $\Phi(z)$ is finite-dimensional (in particular, if Φ is a matrix-valued L^2-function), then the canonical decomposition (3) of Φ is unique, in other words, the inner function Δ in (3) should be obtained from the equation $\ker H^*_{\breve{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'}$. Thus the unique canonical decomposition (3) of matrix-valued L^2-functions is just the BLH-canonical decomposition. If the flip $\breve{\Phi}$ of Φ is of bounded type (or equivalently, $\ker H^*_{\breve{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_E$ for some *two-sided* inner function Δ), then we have $B = 0$ in the BLH-canonical decomposition (3) of Φ, which reduces to the Douglas-Shapiro-Shields factorization. In fact, the Douglas-Shapiro-Shields factorization was given for L^∞-functions, while the case of $B = 0$ in the BLH-canonical decomposition (3) is an extended version to strong L^2-functions.

On the other hand, we recall that the *spectral multiplicity* for a bounded linear operator T acting on a separable complex Hilbert space E is defined by the number μ_T:

$$\mu_T := \inf \dim F,$$

where $F \subseteq E$, the infimum being taken over all generating subspaces F, i.e., subspaces such that $M_F \equiv \bigvee\{T^n F : n \geq 0\} = E$. In the definition of the spectral multiplicity, F may be taken as a subset rather than a subspace. In this case, we may regard $\mu_T = \inf \dim \bigvee\{f : f \in F\}$ such that $M_F = E$. Unless this leads to ambiguity, we will deal with M_F for subsets $F \subseteq E$. If S_E is the shift operator on H^2_E, then it was known that $\mu_{S_E} = \dim E$. By contrast, if S^*_E is the backward shift operator on H^2_E, then S^*_E has a cyclic vector, i.e., $\mu_{S^*_E} = 1$. Moreover, the cyclic vectors of S^*_E form a dense subset of H^2_E (see [Ha2], [Ni1], [Wo]). We here observe that the problem (1) is identical to the problem of finding the spectral multiplicity of the truncated backward shift operator $S^*_E|_{\mathcal{H}(\Delta)}$, i.e., the restriction of S^*_E to its invariant subspace $\mathcal{H}(\Delta)$. The second object of this paper is to show that this problem has a deep connection with a canonical decomposition of strong L^2-functions involved with the inner function Δ. To proceed, we need to consider a question. By the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem, we saw that the kernel of the adjoint of a Hankel operator with a strong L^2-symbol is of the form $\Delta H^2_{E'}$ for some inner function Δ. In view of its converse, we may ask the following question: Is every shift-invariant subspace $\Delta H^2_{E'}$ represented by the kernel of $H^*_{\breve{\Phi}}$ with some strong L^2-symbol Φ with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$? Indeed, we give an affirmative answer to this question (see Lemma 5.1). The matrix-valued version of this answer is as follows (see Corollary 5.2): for a given $n \times r$ inner matrix function Δ, there exists at least a solution $\Phi \in L^\infty_{M_{n\times m}}$ (namely, $m \leq r + 1$) of the equation $\ker H^*_{\breve{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{\mathbb{C}^r}$. Thus it is reasonable to ask whether such a

solution $\Phi \in L^2_{M_{n\times m}}$ exists for each $m = 1, 2, \cdots$ even though it exists for some m. But the answer to this question is negative (see Remark 5.4). From this viewpoint, we may ask how to determine a possible dimension of D for which there exists a strong L^2-solution Φ, with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$, of the equation $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'}$. In fact, we would like to ask what is the infimum of $\dim D$ such that there exists a strong L^2-solution Φ of the equation $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'}$. To find a way to determine such an infimum, we introduce a notion of the "Beurling degree" for an inner function by employing the canonical decomposition of a strong L^2-function induced by the given inner function: if Δ is an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E', E)$, then the *Beurling degree*, denoted by $\deg_B(\Delta)$, of Δ is defined by the infimum of the dimension of nonzero space D for which there exits a pair (A, B) such that $\Phi \equiv \Delta A^* + B$ is a canonical decomposition of a strong L^2-function Φ with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$ (Definition 5.5).

On the other hand, the Model Theorem ([Ni1], [SFBK]) states that if $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ is a contraction (i.e., $||T|| \leq 1$) such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n x = 0 \quad \text{for each } x \in H \tag{4}$$

(we often write $T \in C_{0\cdot}$ for contraction operators $T \in \mathcal{B}(H)$ satisfying the condition (4)), then T is unitarily equivalent to a truncated backward shift $S^*_E|_{\mathcal{H}(\Delta)}$ for some inner function Δ with values in $\mathcal{B}(E', E)$, where $E = \operatorname{cl}\operatorname{ran}(I - T^*T)$. In this case, $S^*_E|_{\mathcal{H}(\Delta)}$ is called the the *model operator* of T and Δ is called the *characteristic function* of T. Now we can prove that if Δ is the characteristic function of the model operator T with values in $\mathcal{B}(E', E)$, with $\dim E' < \infty$ (in particular, Δ is an inner matrix function) then the spectral multiplicity of the model operator is equal to the Beurling degree of Δ - this is the second object of this paper.

Theorem B. (The spectral multiplicity and the Beurling degree) *Given an inner function Δ with values in $\mathcal{B}(E', E)$, with $\dim E' < \infty$, let $T := S^*_E|_{\mathcal{H}(\Delta)}$. Then*

$$\mu_T = \deg_B(\Delta). \tag{5}$$

The organization of this paper is as follows. The main results of this paper are Theorem A and Theorem B. In section 2, we provide notations and preliminary notions, which will be used in this paper. In section 3, we provide auxiliary lemmas to prove the main theorems. We here review vector-valued Hardy classes and prove some properties which will be used in the sequel. We then coin the new notions to establish the main theorems. In section 4, we establish a canonical decomposition of a strong L^2-functions and give a proof of Theorem A. In section 5, we establish a connection between the spectral multiplicity of the model operator and the Beurling degree of the corresponding characteristic function and give a proof of Theorem B.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we provide notations, which will be used in this paper.

(a) To avoid a confusion, we will write z for points on $\mathbb{T}$ and ζ for points in $\mathbb{D}$.

(b) For $\phi \in L^2$, write

$$\breve{\phi}(z) := \phi(\overline{z}) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\phi}(z) := \overline{\phi(\overline{z})}.$$

For $\phi \in L^2$, write

$$\phi_+ := P_+\phi \quad \text{and} \quad \breve{\phi}_- := P_-\phi,$$

where P_+ and P_- are the orthogonal projections from L^2 onto H^2 and $L^2 \ominus H^2$, respectively. Then we may write $\phi = \breve{\phi}_- + \phi_+$.

(c) Throughout the paper, we assume that

X and Y are complex Banach spaces;

D and E are separable complex Hilbert spaces.

Write $\mathcal{B}(X,Y)$ for the set of all bounded linear operators from X to Y and abbreviate $\mathcal{B}(X,X)$ to $\mathcal{B}(X)$. For a complex Banach space X, write X^* for its dual and $\langle x, \phi\rangle$ for $\phi(x)$ for $x \in X$ and $\phi \in X^*$.

(d) If $A : D \to E$ is a linear operator whose domain is a subspace of D, then A is also a linear operator from the closure of the domain of A into E. So we will only consider those A such that the domain of A is dense in D. Such an operator A is said to be *densely defined*. If $A : D \to E$ is densely defined, we write $\operatorname{dom} A$, $\ker A$, and $\operatorname{ran} A$ for the domain, the kernel, and the range of A, respectively. It is well known from the unbounded operator theory (cf. [Go], [Con]) that if A is densely defined, then $\ker A^* = (\operatorname{ran} A)^\perp$, so that $\ker A^*$ is closed even though $\ker A$ is not closed.

(e) We recall ([Ab], [Co2], [GHR], [Ni1]) that a meromorphic function $\phi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be of *bounded type* (or in the Nevanlinna class $\mathcal{N}$) if there are functions $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in H^\infty$ such that

$$\phi(z) = \frac{\psi_1(z)}{\psi_2(z)} \quad \text{for almost all } z \in \mathbb{T}.$$

It was known that ϕ is of bounded type if and only if $\phi = \frac{\psi_1}{\psi_2}$ for some $\psi_i \in H^p$ $(p > 0,\ i = 1,2)$. If $\psi_2 = \psi^i\psi^e$ is the inner-outer factorization of ψ_2, then $\phi = \overline{\psi^i}\frac{\psi_1}{\psi^e}$. Thus if $\phi \in L^2$ is of bounded type, then ϕ can be written as

$$\phi = \overline{\theta}a,$$

where θ is inner, $a \in H^2$ and θ and a are coprime.

(f) Write $D_e := \{z : 1 < |z| \le \infty\}$. For a function $g : D_e \to \mathbb{C}$, define a function $g_{\mathbb{D}} : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$g_{\mathbb{D}}(\zeta) := \overline{g(1/\overline{\zeta})} \quad (\zeta \in \mathbb{D}).$$

For a function $g : D_e \to \mathbb{C}$, we say that g belongs to $H^p(D_e)$ if $g_{\mathbb{D}} \in H^p$ $(1 \le p \le \infty)$. A function $g : D_e \to \mathbb{C}$ is said to be of *bounded type* if $g_{\mathbb{D}}$ is of bounded type.

(g) If $f \in H^2$, then the function $\hat{f}$ defined in D_e is called a *pseudocontinuation* of f if $\hat{f}$ is a function of bounded type and $\hat{f}(z) = f(z)$ for almost all $z \in \mathbb{T}$. Then we can easily show that $\breve{f}$ is of bounded type if and only if f has a pseudocontinuation $\hat{f}$. In this case, $\hat{f}_{\mathbb{D}}(z) = \overline{f(z)}$ for almost all $z \in \mathbb{T}$. In particular,

(6) $\quad \phi \equiv \breve{\phi}_- + \phi_+ \in L^2$ is of bounded type $\iff$ ϕ_- has a pseudocontinuation.

(h) Write $M_{n\times m}$ for the set of $n\times m$ complex matrices and abbreviate $M_{n\times n}$ to M_n.

(i) Write g.c.d.$(\cdot)$ and l.c.m.$(\cdot)$ for the greatest common inner divisor and the least common inner multiple, respectively. Also, write left-g.c.d.$(\cdot)$ and left-l.c.m.$(\cdot)$ for the greatest common left inner divisor and the least common left inner multiple, respectively.

(j) Let $(\Omega, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$ be a positive σ-finite measure space and X be a complex Banach space. A function $f:\Omega\to X$ of the form $f=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k\chi_{\sigma_k}$ (where $x_k\in X,\ \sigma_k\in\mathfrak{M}$ and $\sigma_k\cap\sigma_j=\emptyset$ for $k\neq j$) is said to be *countable-valued*. A function $f:\Omega\to X$ is called *weakly measurable* if the map $s\mapsto\langle f(s),\phi\rangle$ is measurable for all $\phi\in X^*$ and is called *strongly measurable* if there exist countable-valued functions f_n such that $f(s)=\lim_n f_n(s)$ for almost all $s\in\Omega$. It is known that when X is separable,
(i) If f is weakly measurable, then $||f(\cdot)||$ is measurable;
(ii) f is strongly measurable if and only if it is weakly measurable.
A countable-valued function $f=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k\chi_{\sigma_k}$ is called (*Bochner*) *integrable* if

$$\int_\Omega ||f(s)||d\mu(s)<\infty$$

and its integral is defined by

$$\int_\Omega f d\mu := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k\mu(\sigma_k).$$

A function $g:\Omega\to X$ is called *integrable* if there exist countable-valued integrable functions g_n such that $g(s)=\lim_n g_n(s)$ for almost all $s\in\Omega$ and $\lim_n\int_\Omega ||g-g_n||d\mu=0$. Then $\int_\Omega g d\mu\equiv\lim_n\int_\Omega g_n d\mu$ exists and $\int_\Omega g d\mu$ is called the (*Bochner*) *integral* of g. If $f:\Omega\to X$ is integrable, then we can see that

$$T\left(\int_\Omega f d\mu\right)=\int_\Omega (Tf)d\mu \quad \text{for each } T\in\mathcal{B}(X,Y). \tag{7}$$

(k) For a function $\Phi:\mathbb{T}\to\mathcal{B}(D,E)$, write $\Phi^*(z):=\Phi(z)^*$ for $z\in\mathbb{T}$.

3. Auxiliary lemmas

To prove the main theorems, we need to introduce the new notions of the "complementary factor" of an inner function, the "degree of non-cyclicity" and "bounded type" strong L^2-functions and then establish several auxiliary lemmas.

We first consider vector-valued L^p- and H^p-functions, using [FF], [Ni1], [Ni2], [Pe], [Sa] for general references and then derive some properties, which will be used in the sequel.

Let m denote the normalized Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}$. For a complex Banach space X and $1\le p\le\infty$, let

$$L^p_X\equiv L^p(\mathbb{T},X):=\big\{f:\mathbb{T}\to X : f \text{ is strongly measurable and } ||f||_p<\infty\big\},$$

where

$$||f||_p\equiv||f||_{L^p_X}:=\begin{cases}\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}}||f(z)||_X^p dm(z)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & (1\le p<\infty);\\ \text{ess sup}_{z\in\mathbb{T}}||f(z)||_X & (p=\infty).\end{cases}$$

Then we can see that L^p_X forms a Banach space. For $f \in L^1_X$, the n-th Fourier coefficient of f, denoted by $\widehat{f}(n)$, is defined by

$$\widehat{f}(n) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{z}^n f(z)\, dm(z) \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Also, $H^p_X \equiv H^p(\mathbb{T}, X)$ is defined by the set of $f \in L^p_X$ with $\widehat{f}(n) = 0$ for $n < 0$. Also we write $H^2(\mathbb{D}, X)$ for the set of all analytic functions $f : \mathbb{D} \to X$ satisfying

$$||f||_{H^2(\mathbb{D},X)} := \sup_{0<r<1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} ||f(rz)||^2_X dm(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

If E is a separable Hilbert space then we conventionally identify $H^2(\mathbb{D}, E)$ with $H^2_E \equiv H^2(\mathbb{T}, E)$: in this case, as in the scalar-valued case, if $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, E)$, then the nontangential limit bf exists a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$ and f can be recaptured by the Poisson integral $bf * P_r$ (cf. [Ni2, Theorem 3.11.7]). For $f, g \in L^2_E$ with a separable complex Hilbert space E, the inner product $\langle f,\ g\rangle$ is defined by

$$\big\langle f,\ g \big\rangle \equiv \big\langle f(z),\ g(z) \big\rangle_{L^2_E} := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle f(z), g(z) \big\rangle_E dm(z).$$

If $f, g \in L^2_X$ with $X = M_{n\times m}$, then $\langle f, g\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathrm{tr}\,(g^* f) dm$.

A function $\Phi : \mathbb{T} \to \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ is called *SOT measurable* if $z \mapsto \Phi(z)x$ is strongly measurable for every $x \in X$ and is called *WOT measurable* if $z \mapsto \Phi(z)x$ is weakly measurable for every $x \in X$. We can easily check that if $\Phi : \mathbb{T} \to \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ is strongly measurable, then Φ is SOT-measurable and if D and E are separable complex Hilbert spaces then $\Phi : \mathbb{T} \to \mathcal{B}(D, E)$ is SOT measurable if and only if Φ is WOT measurable.

We then have:

Lemma 3.1. If $\Phi : \mathbb{T} \to \mathcal{B}(D, E)$ is WOT measurable, then so is Φ^*.

Proof. Suppose that Φ is WOT measurable. Then the function

$$z \mapsto \overline{\big\langle \Phi^*(z)y,\ x \big\rangle} = \big\langle x,\ \Phi^*(z)y \big\rangle = \big\langle \Phi(z)x,\ y \big\rangle$$

is measurable for all $x \in D$ and $y \in E$. Thus the function $z \mapsto \big\langle \Phi^*(z)y,\ x \big\rangle$ is measurable for all $x \in D$ and $y \in E$. □

Let $\Phi : \mathbb{T} \to \mathcal{B}(D, E)$ be a WOT measurable function. Then Φ is called *WOT integrable* if $\big\langle \Phi(\cdot)x, y \big\rangle \in L^1$ for every $x \in D$ and $y \in E$, and there exists an operator $U \in \mathcal{B}(D, E)$ such that $\big\langle Ux, y \big\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \Phi(z)x, y \big\rangle dm(z)$. Also Φ is called *SOT integrable* if $\Phi(\cdot)x$ is integrable for every $x \in D$. In this case, the operator $V : x \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Phi(z)x dm(z)$ is bounded, i.e., $V \in \mathcal{B}(D, E)$. If $\Phi : \mathbb{T} \to \mathcal{B}(D, E)$ is SOT integrable, then it follows from (7) that for every $x \in D$ and $y \in E$,

$$\left\langle \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Phi(z)x dm(z),\ y \right\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \Phi(z)x,\ y \big\rangle dm(z), \tag{8}$$

which implies that Φ is WOT integrable and that the SOT integral of Φ is equal to the WOT integral of Φ.

We can say more:

Lemma 3.2. For $\Phi \in L^1_{\mathcal{B}(D,E)}$, the Bochner integral of Φ is equal to the SOT integral of Φ, i.e.,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} \Phi(z)dm(z)\right)x = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Phi(z)xdm(z) \quad \text{for all } x \in D.$$

Proof. This follows from a straightforward calculation. □

Let $L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E)) \equiv L^\infty_{WOT}(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{B}(D,E))$ denote the set of all bounded WOT measurable $\mathcal{B}(D,E)$-valued functions on $\mathbb{T}$. For $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$, define

$$||\Phi||_\infty := \text{ess sup}_{z\in\mathbb{T}}||\Phi(z)||.$$

For $1 \le p < \infty$, we define the class $L^p_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$ by the set of all WOT measurable $\mathcal{B}(D,E)$-valued functions Φ on $\mathbb{T}$ such that $\Phi(\cdot)x \in L^p_E$ for each $x \in D$, i.e.,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} ||\Phi(z)x||^p \, dm(z) < \infty \ \text{ for each } x \in D.$$

A function $\Phi \in L^p_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$ will be called a *strong L^p-function* with values in $\mathcal{B}(D,E)$. We can easily check that

$$L^p_{\mathcal{B}(D,E)} \subseteq L^p_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E)); \tag{9}$$

$$L^\infty_{\mathcal{B}(D,E)} \subseteq L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E)) \subseteq L^p_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E)). \tag{10}$$

If $\Phi \in L^1_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$ and $x \in D$, then $\Phi(\cdot)x \in L^1_E$. Thus the n-th Fourier coefficient $\widehat{\Phi(\cdot)x}(n)$ of $\Phi(\cdot)x$ is given by

$$\widehat{\Phi(\cdot)x}(n) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{z}^n \Phi(z)x \, dm(z).$$

We now define the n-th Fourier coefficient of $\Phi \in L^1_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$, denoted by $\widehat{\Phi}(n)$, by

$$\widehat{\Phi}(n)x := \widehat{\Phi(\cdot)x}(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z},\ x \in D).$$

We also define

$$H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E)) := \big\{\Phi \in L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E)) :\ \widehat{\Phi}(n) = 0 \text{ for } n < 0\big\},$$

or equivalently, $H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$ is the set of all WOT measurable functions Φ on $\mathbb{T}$ such that $\Phi(\cdot)x \in H^2_E$ for each $x \in D$. We also define

$$H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E)) \equiv H^\infty_{WOT}(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{B}(D,E)) := \big\{\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E)) :\ \widehat{\Phi}(n) = 0 \text{ for } n < 0\big\}.$$

On the other hand, we define $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{B}(D,E))$ for the set of all analytic functions $\Phi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathcal{B}(D,E)$ satisfying

$$||\Phi||_{H^\infty} := \sup_{0<r<1} ||\Phi_r||_\infty < \infty, \quad \text{where } \Phi_r(z) := \Phi(rz) \text{ for each } z \in \mathbb{T}.$$

If D and E are separable Hilbert spaces, we conventionally identify $H^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathcal{B}(D,E))$ with $H^\infty_{WOT}(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{B}(D,E))$. By (9), we have $L^1_{\mathcal{B}(D,E)} \subseteq L^1_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$. Thus if $\Phi \in L^1_{\mathcal{B}(D,E)}$, then there are two kinds of definitions for the n-th Fourier coefficient of Φ. However we can, by Lemma 3.2, see that the n-th Fourier coefficient of Φ as an element of $L^1_{\mathcal{B}(D,E)}$ coincides with the n-th Fourier coefficient of Φ as an element of $L^1_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$. Also if $\dim D = m < \infty$ and $\dim E = n < \infty$, then we can easily show that

$$L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E)) = L^2_{\mathcal{B}(D,E)} = L^2_{M_{n\times m}} \quad \text{and} \quad H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E)) = H^2_{\mathcal{B}(D,E)} = H^2_{M_{n\times m}}.$$

The terminology a "strong H^2-function" is reserved for the operator-valued functions on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$, following to N.K. Nikolskii [Ni1]: A function $\Phi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathcal{B}(D, E)$ is called a *strong H^2-function* if $\Phi(\cdot)x \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, E)$ for each $x \in D$. In general, the boundary values of strong H^2-functions do not need to be bounded linear operators (defined almost everywhere on $\mathbb{T}$). Thus we do not guarantee that the boundary value of a strong H^2-function belongs to $H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$. For example, if Φ is defined on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ by

$$\Phi(\zeta) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \zeta & \zeta^2 & \zeta^3 & \cdots \end{bmatrix} : \ell^2 \to \mathbb{C} \quad (\zeta \in \mathbb{D}),$$

then $\Phi(\zeta)$ is a bounded linear operator for each $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$ and $\Phi(\zeta)x \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C})$ for each $x \equiv (x_n) \in \ell^2$. Thus Φ is a strong H^2-function with values in $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2, \mathbb{C})$. However, the boundary value

$$\Phi(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & z & z^2 & z^3 & \cdots \end{bmatrix} : \ell^2 \to \mathbb{C} \quad (z \in \mathbb{T})$$

is not bounded for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$ because for any $z_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, if we let

$$x_0 := \left(1, \overline{z}_0, \frac{\overline{z}_0^2}{2}, \frac{\overline{z}_0^3}{3}, \cdots\right)^t \in \ell^2,$$

then

$$\Phi(z_0)x_0 = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \infty,$$

which shows that $\Phi \notin H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$. In spite of it, there are useful relations between the set of strong H^2-functions and the set $H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$. To see this, let $\Phi \in H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$. Then $\Phi(z) \in \mathcal{B}(D, E)$ for almost all $z \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\Phi(z)x \in H^2_E$ for each $x \in D$. We now define a (function-valued with domain D) function $p\Phi$ on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ by the Poisson integral in the strong sense:

$$\begin{aligned} p\Phi(re^{i\theta})x &:= \left(\Phi(\cdot)x * P_r\right)(e^{i\theta}) \quad (x \in D) \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} P_r(\theta - t)\Phi(e^{it})x \, dm(t) \in E, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_r(\cdot)$ is the Poisson kernel. Then $p\Phi(\zeta)x \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, E)$ for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$. Thus, for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$, $p\Phi(\zeta)$ can be viewed as a function from D into E. A straightforward calculation shows that $p\Phi(\zeta)$ is a linear map for each $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$. Since $p\Phi(\zeta)x \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, E)$ is the Poisson integral of $\Phi(z)x \in H^2_E$, we will conventionally identify $\Phi(z)x$ and $p\Phi(\zeta)x$ for each $x \in D$. From this viewpoint, we will also regard $\Phi \in H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$ as an (linear, but not necessarily bounded) operator-valued function defined on the unit disk. Thus if $\dim D < \infty$, then every function $\Phi \in H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$ becomes a strong H^2-function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$.

Lemma 3.3. We have:

(a) If $\dim D < \infty$, then every function in $H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$ is a strong H^2-function.
(b) Every function in $H^2_{\mathcal{B}(D,E)} \cup H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$ is a strong H^2-function.
(c) If $\dim D < \infty$ and $\dim E < \infty$, then $H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$ coincides with the set of all strong H^2-functions with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$.

Proof. (a) This follows from the preceding remark.

(b) Let $\Phi \in H^2_{\mathcal{B}(D,E)} \cup H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$. Then we first claim that there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\sup\left\{ ||\Phi(\cdot)x||_{L^1_E} : x \in D \text{ with } ||x|| = 1 \right\} < M, \tag{11}$$

To see this, if $\Phi \in H^2_{\mathcal{B}(D,E)}$, then for all $x \in D$ with $||x|| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} ||\Phi(\cdot)x||_{L^1_E} &\leq ||\Phi(\cdot)x||_{L^2_E} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} ||\Phi(z)||^2_{\mathcal{B}(D,E)} dm(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= ||\Phi||_{L^2_{\mathcal{B}(D,E)}}. \end{aligned}$$

If instead $\Phi \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$, then for all $x \in D$ with $||x|| = 1$,

$$||\Phi(\cdot)x||_{L^1_E} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} ||\Phi(z)x||_E dm(z) \leq ||\Phi(z)||_\infty,$$

which proves the claim (11). Now, in view of the preceding remark, it suffices to show $\Phi(\zeta) \in \mathcal{B}(D,E)$ for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$. Let $\zeta = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$ and $x \in D$ with $||x|| = 1$. Then for $y \in E$ with $||y||_E \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle \Phi(re^{i\theta})x,\ y \right\rangle_E \right| &= \left| \left\langle \int_0^{2\pi} P_r(\theta - t)\Phi(e^{it})x dm(t),\ y \right\rangle_E \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \left\langle P_r(\theta - t)\Phi(e^{it})x,\ y \right\rangle_E dm(t) \right| \quad \text{(by (8))} \\ &\leq \frac{1+r}{1-r} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \left\langle \Phi(e^{it})x,\ y \right\rangle_E \right| dm(t), \end{aligned}$$

which implies, by our assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} ||\Phi(\zeta)x||_E &\leq \frac{1+r}{1-r} \int_0^{2\pi} \left|\left| \Phi(e^{it})x \right|\right|_E dm(t) \\ &= \frac{1+r}{1-r} ||\Phi(\cdot)x||_{L^1_E} \\ &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\Phi(\zeta) \in \mathcal{B}(D,E)$ for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}$. Thus Φ is a strong H^2-function.

(c) If $\dim D = m < \infty$ and $\dim E = n < \infty$, then $H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E)) = H^2_{M_{n\times m}}$. Also by (b), $H^2_{M_{n\times m}}$ is contained in the set of all strong H^2-functions with values in $M_{n\times m}$. Conversely, if Φ is a strong H^2-function with values in $M_{n\times m}$, then $\langle \Phi(\zeta)x, y\rangle$ is a H^2-function for all $x \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Thus $\Phi \in H^2_{M_{n\times m}}$. □

A function $\Delta \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$ is called an *inner* function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D,E)$ if $\Delta(z)$ is an isometric operator from D into E for almost all $z \in \mathbb{T}$, i.e., $\Delta^*\Delta = I_D$ a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$ and is called a *two-sided inner* function if $\Delta\Delta^* = I_E$ a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$ and $\Delta^*\Delta = I_D$ a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$. If Δ is an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D,E)$, we may assume that D is a subspace of E, and if further Δ is two-sided inner then we may assume that $D = E$.

We write $\mathcal{P}_D$ for the set of all polynomials with values in D. If F is a strong H^2-function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D,E)$, then the function Fp belongs to H^2_E for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_D$. The strong H^2-function F is called *outer* if $\operatorname{cl} F\mathcal{P}_D = H^2_E$. We then have an analogue of the scalar factorization theorem (called the *inner-outer factorization*): Every strong H^2-function F with values in $\mathcal{B}(D,E)$ can be expressed in the form

$$F = F^i F^e,$$

where F^e is an outer function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E')$ and F^i is an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E', E)$ for some subspace E' of E (cf. [Ni1, Corollary I. 9]). For a function $\Phi : \mathbb{T} \to \mathcal{B}(D, E)$, write

$$\breve{\Phi}(z) := \Phi(\overline{z}), \quad \widetilde{\Phi} := \breve{\Phi}^*.$$

Often, $\breve{\Phi}$ is called the *flip* of Φ. For $\Phi \in L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$, we denote by $\breve{\Phi}_- \equiv \mathbb{P}_-\Phi$ and $\Phi_+ \equiv \mathbb{P}_+\Phi$ the function

$$((\mathbb{P}_-\Phi)(\cdot))x := P_-(\Phi(\cdot)x) \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbb{T} \quad (x \in D);$$
$$((\mathbb{P}_+\Phi)(\cdot))x := P_+(\Phi(\cdot)x) \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbb{T} \quad (x \in D),$$

where P_+ and P_- are the orthogonal projections from L^2_E onto H^2_E and $L^2_E \ominus H^2_E$, respectively. Then we may write $\Phi \equiv \breve{\Phi}_- + \Phi_+$. Note that if $\Phi \in L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$, then $\Phi_+,\ \Phi_- \in H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$.

In the sequel, we will often encounter the adjoints of inner matrix functions. If Δ is a two-sided inner matrix function, it is easy to show that Δ^* is of bounded type, i.e., all entries of Δ^* are of bounded type (see p. 3). We also guess that if Δ is an inner matrix function then Δ^* is of bounded type. However the following example shows that this is not such a case.

Example 3.4. Let $h(z) := e^{\frac{1}{z-3}}$. Then $h \in H^\infty$ and $\overline{h}$ is not of bounded type. Let

$$f(z) := \frac{h(z)}{\sqrt{2}||h||_\infty}.$$

Clearly, $\overline{f}$ is not of bounded type. Let $h_1(z) := \sqrt{1 - |f(z)|^2}$. Then $h_1 \in L^\infty$ and $|h_1| \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$. Thus there exists an outer function g such that $|h_1| = |g|$ a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$ (see [Do1, Corollary 6.25]). Put

$$\Delta := \begin{bmatrix} f \\ g \end{bmatrix} \quad (f, g \in H^\infty).$$

Then $\Delta^*\Delta = |f|^2 + |g|^2 = |f|^2 + |h_1|^2 = 1$ a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$, which implies that Δ is an inner function. Note that Δ^* is not of bounded type.

For a function $\Phi \in H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$, we say that an inner function Δ with values in $\mathcal{B}(D', E)$ is a *left inner divisor* of Φ if $\Phi = \Delta A$ for $A \in H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, D'))$. For $\Phi \in H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D_1, E))$ and $\Psi \in H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D_2, E))$, we say that Φ and Ψ are *left coprime* if the only common left inner divisor of both Φ and Ψ is a unitary operator. Also, for $\Phi \in H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(E, D_1))$ and $\Psi \in H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(E, D_2))$, we say that Φ and Ψ are *right coprime* if $\widetilde{\Phi}$ and $\widetilde{\Psi}$ are left coprime. Left or right coprime-ness seems to be somewhat delicate problem. Left or right coprime-ness for matrix-valued functions was developed in [CHKL], [CHL1], [CHL2], [CHL3], and [FF].

Lemma 3.5. If Θ is a two-sided inner function, then any left inner divisor of Θ is two-sided inner.

Proof. Suppose that Θ is a two-sided inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E)$ and Δ is a left inner divisor, with values in $\mathcal{B}(E', E)$, of Θ. Then we may write $\Theta = \Delta A$ for some $A \in H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(E, E'))$. Since Θ is two-sided inner, it follows that $I_E = \Theta\Theta^* = \Delta A A^* \Delta^*$ a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$, so that $I_{E'} = \Delta^*\Delta = AA^*$ a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$. Thus $I_E = \Delta\Delta^*$ a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$, and hence Δ is two-sided inner. $\square$

Lemma 3.6. If $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$, then $\Phi^* \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(E,D))$. In this case,

$$\widehat{\Phi^*}(-n) = \widehat{\widetilde{\Phi}}(n) = \widehat{\Phi}(n)^* \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}). \tag{12}$$

In particular, $\Phi \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$ if and only if $\widetilde{\Phi} \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(E,D))$.

Proof. Suppose $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$. Then $\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z\in\mathbb{T}}||\Phi^*(z)|| = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{z\in\mathbb{T}}||\Phi(z)|| < \infty$, which together with Lemma 3.1 implies $\Phi^* \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(E,D))$. The first equality of the assertion (12) comes from definition. For the second equality, observe that for each $x \in D$, $y \in E$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\langle \widehat{\Phi}(n)x,\ y\big\rangle &= \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{z}^n \Phi(z)x dm(z),\ y \right\rangle \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \overline{z}^n \Phi(z)x,\ y\big\rangle dm(z) \quad \text{(by (8))} \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle x,\ \overline{z}^n \widetilde{\Phi}(z)y\big\rangle dm(z) \\
&= \big\langle x,\ \widehat{\widetilde{\Phi}}(n)y\big\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let E be a separable complex Hilbert space. For a function $f : \mathbb{T} \to E$, define $[f] : \mathbb{T} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, E)$ by

$$[f](z)\alpha := \alpha f(z) \quad (\alpha \in \mathbb{C}). \tag{13}$$

If $g : \mathbb{T} \to E$ is a countable-valued function of the form

$$g = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \chi_{\sigma_k} \quad (x_k \in E),$$

then for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [x_k]\chi_{\sigma_k}\right)\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha x_k \chi_{\sigma_k} = \alpha g = [g]\alpha,$$

which implies that $[g]$ is a countable-valued function of the form $[g] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}[x_k]\chi_{\sigma_k}$.

We then have:

Lemma 3.7. Let E be a separable complex Hilbert space and $1 \le p \le \infty$. Define $\Gamma : L^p_E \to L^p_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C},E)}$ by

$$\Gamma(f)(z) = [f](z),$$

where $[f](z) : \mathbb{C} \to E$ is given by $[f](z)\alpha := \alpha f(z)$. Then

(a) Γ is unitary, and hence $L^p_E \cong L^p_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C},E)}$;
(b) $L^p_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C},E)} = L^p_s(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C},E))$ for $1 \le p < \infty$;
(c) $\widehat{[f]}(n) = [\widehat{f}(n)]$ for $f \in L^p_E$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In particular, $H^p_E \cong H^p_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C},E)} = H^p_s(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C},E))$ for $1 \le p < \infty$.

Proof. (a) Let $f \in L^p_E$ $(1 \le p \le \infty)$ be arbitrary. We first show that $[f] \in L^p_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C},E)}$. Since f is strongly measurable, there exist countable-valued functions f_n such that $f(z) = \lim_n f_n(z)$ for almost all $z \in \mathbb{T}$. Observe that for almost all $z \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$||[f](z)||_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C},E)} = \sup_{|\alpha|=1} ||[f](z)\alpha||_E = ||f(z)||_E.$$

Thus we have that

$$\big|\big|[f_n](z) - [f](z)\big|\big|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C},E)} = \big|\big|f_n(z) - f(z)\big|\big|_E \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

which implies that $[f]$ is strongly measurable and $|||[f]|||_{L^p_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C},E)}} = ||f||_{L^p_E}$. Thus Γ is an isometry. For $h \in L^p_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C},E)}$, let $g(z) := h(z)1 \in L^p_E$. Then for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\Gamma(g)(z)\alpha = \alpha h(z)1 = h(z)\alpha,$$

which implies that Γ is a surjection from L^p_E onto $L^p_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C},E)}$. Thus Γ is unitary, so that $L^p_E \cong L^p_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C},E)}$. This proves (a)

(b) Suppose $h \in L^p_s(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C},E))$ $(1 \le p < \infty)$. If $g(z) := h(z)1 \in L^p_E$, then $h = [g] \in L^p_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C},E)}$. The converse is clear.

(c) Let $f \in L^p_E$. Then for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\widehat{[f]}(n)\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{z}^n [f](z)\alpha dm = \alpha \int_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{z}^n f(z) dm = \alpha \hat{f}(n) = [\hat{f}(n)]\alpha,$$

which gives (c).

The last assertion follows at once from (b) and (c). □

By Lemma 3.7, we can see that if $1 \le p < \infty$ and $\dim D < \infty$, then

$$L^p_{\mathcal{B}(D,E)} = L^p_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E)) \quad \text{and} \quad H^p_{\mathcal{B}(D,E)} = H^p_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E)).$$

Lemma 3.8. Let $1 \le p < \infty$. If $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$, then $\Phi L^p_s(\mathcal{B}(E',D)) \subseteq L^p_s(\mathcal{B}(E',E))$. Also, if $\Phi \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$, then $\Phi H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(E',D)) \subseteq H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(E',E))$.

Proof. Suppose that $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$ and $A \in L^p_s(\mathcal{B}(E',D))$. Let $x \in E'$ be arbitrary. Then we have $A(z)x \in L^p_D$. Let $\{d_k\}_{k\ge1}$ be an orthonormal basis for D. Thus we may write

$$A(z)x = \sum_{k\ge1} \langle A(z)x,\ d_k\rangle d_k \quad \text{for almost all } z \in \mathbb{T}. \tag{14}$$

Thus it follows that for all $y \in E$,

$$\big\langle \Phi(z)A(z)x,\ y\big\rangle = \sum_{k\ge1} \big\langle A(z)x,\ d_k\big\rangle \big\langle \Phi(z)d_k, y\big\rangle,$$

which implies that ΦA is WOT measurable. On the other hand, since $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} ||(\Phi A)(z)x||^p_E dm(z) \le ||\Phi||^p_\infty \int_{\mathbb{T}} ||A(z)x||^p_D dm(z) < \infty \quad (x \in E'),$$

which implies that $\Phi A \in L^p_s(\mathcal{B}(E',E))$. This proves the first assertion. For the second assertion, suppose $\Phi \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$ and $A \in H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(E',D))$. Then $\Phi A \in L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(E',E))$.

Assume to the contrary that $\Phi A \notin H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(E', E))$. Thus, there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that $\widehat{\Phi A}(-n_0) \neq 0$. Thus for some $x_0 \in E'$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} z^{n_0} \Phi(z) A(z) x_0 dm(z) \neq 0. \tag{15}$$

Then by (8), there exists a nonzero $y_0 \in E$ such that

$$0 \neq \left\langle \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^{n_0} \Phi(z) A(z) x_0 dm(z),\ y_0 \right\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle A(z)x_0,\ \overline{z}^{n_0} \Phi^*(z) y_0 \big\rangle dm(z). \tag{16}$$

On the other hand, since $\Phi \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$, it follows from Lemma 3.6 that $\widehat{\Phi^*}(n_0) = \widehat{\Phi}(-n_0)^* = 0$. Thus it follows from (8) that

$$0 = \big\langle \widehat{\Phi^*}(n_0) y_0,\ A(z)x_0 \big\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \overline{z}^{n_0} \Phi^*(z) y_0,\ A(z)x_0 \big\rangle dm(z),$$

a contradiction. □

Corollary 3.9. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. If $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$, then $\Phi L^p_D \subseteq L^p_E$. Also, if $\Phi \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$, then $\Phi H^2_D \subseteq H^2_E$.

Proof. Suppose that $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$. For $f \in L^P_D$, we can see that $[\Phi f] = \Phi[f]$. The result thus follows from Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.8. □

For an inner function $\Delta \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$, $\mathcal{H}(\Delta)$ denotes the orthogonal complement of the subspace ΔH^2_D in H^2_E, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{H}(\Delta) := H^2_E \ominus \Delta H^2_D.$$

We then have:

Corollary 3.10. Let Δ be an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$. Then $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$ if and only if $f \in H^2_E$ and $\Delta^* f \in L^2_D \ominus H^2_D$.

Proof. Let $f \in H^2_E$. By Lemma 3.6 and Corollary 3.9, $\Delta^* f \in L^2_D$. Then $f \in \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$ if and only if $\big\langle f, \Delta g \big\rangle = 0$ for all $g \in H^2_D$ if and only if $\big\langle \Delta^* f,\ g \big\rangle = 0$ for all $g \in H^2_D$, which gives the result. □

We next review a few essential facts for (vectorial) Toeplitz operators and (vectorial) Hankel operators, and for that we will use [BS], [Do1], [Do2], [MR], [Ni1], [Ni2], and [Pe] for general references. For $\Phi \in L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$, a Hankel operator $H_\Phi : H^2_D \to H^2_E$ is a densely defined operator defined by

$$H_\Phi p := J P_-(\Phi p) \quad (p \in \mathcal{P}_D),$$

where J denotes the unitary operator from L^2_E to L^2_E given by $(Jg)(z) := \overline{z} g(\overline{z})$ for $g \in L^2_E$. Also a Toeplitz operator $T_\Phi : H^2_D \to H^2_E$ is a densely defined operator defined by

$$T_\Phi p := P_+(\Phi p) \quad (p \in \mathcal{P}_D).$$

The following lemma gives a characterization of the bounded Hankel operators on H^2_D.

Lemma 3.11. [Pe, Theorem 2.2] Let Φ be a strong L^2-function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D,E)$. Then H_Φ is extended to a bounded operator on H^2_D if and only if there exists a function $\Psi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$ such that $\widehat{\Psi}(n) = \widehat{\Phi}(n)$ for $n < 0$ and

$$||H_\Phi|| = \mathrm{dist}_{L^\infty}(\Psi, H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E)).$$

The following basic properties can be easily derived: If D, E, and D' are separable complex Hilbert spaces and $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$, then

$$T^*_\Phi = T_{\Phi^*},\ H^*_\Phi = H_{\widetilde{\Phi}}; \tag{17}$$

$$H_\Phi T_\Psi = H_{\Phi\Psi} \quad \text{if } \Psi \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D',D)); \tag{18}$$

$$H_{\Psi\Phi} = T^*_{\widetilde{\Psi}} H_\Phi \quad \text{if } \Psi \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(E,D')). \tag{19}$$

A *shift* operator S_E on H^2_E is defined by

$$(S_E f)(z) := zf(z) \quad \text{for each } f \in H^2_E.$$

Thus we may write $S_E = T_{zI_E}$.

The following theorem is the fundamental result in the modern operator theory.

The Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem. [Beu], [La], [Ha1], [FF], [Pe] A subspace M of H^2_E is invariant for the shift operator S_E on H^2_E if and only if

$$M = \Delta H^2_{E'},$$

where E' is a subspace of E and Δ is an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E',E)$. Furthermore, Δ is unique up to a unitary constant right factor, i.e., if $M = \Theta H^2_{E''}$, where Θ is an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E'',E)$, then $\Delta = \Theta V$, where V is a unitary operator from E' onto E''.

As customarily done, we say that two inner functions $A, B \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$ are *equal* if they are equal up to a unitary constant right factor. If $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$, then by (18) and (19),

$$H_{\Phi^*} S_E = S^*_E H_{\Phi^*},$$

which implies that the kernel of a Hankel operator H_{Φ^*} is an invariant subspace of the shift operator S_E on H^2_E. Thus, by the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem,

$$\ker H_{\Phi^*} = \Delta H^2_{E'}$$

for some inner function Δ with values in $\mathcal{B}(E',E)$. We note that E' may be the zero space and Δ need not be two-sided inner.

We however have:

Lemma 3.12. If $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$ and Δ is a two-sided inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E)$, then the following are equivalent:

(a) $\ker H_{\Phi^*} = \Delta H^2_E$;
(b) $\Phi = \Delta A^*$, where $A \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(E,D))$ is such that Δ and A are right coprime.

Proof. Let $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$ and Δ be a two-sided inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E)$.

(a) $\Rightarrow$ (b): Suppose $\ker H_{\Phi^*} = \Delta H^2_E$. If we put $A := \Phi^*\Delta \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(E,D))$, then $\Phi = \Delta A^*$. We now claim that Δ and A are right coprime. To see this, suppose Ω is a common left inner divisor, with values in $\mathcal{B}(E',E)$, of $\widetilde{\Delta}$ and $\widetilde{A}$. Then we may write $\widetilde{\Delta} = \Omega\widetilde{\Delta}_1$ and $\widetilde{A} = \Omega\widetilde{A}_1$, where $\widetilde{\Delta}_1 \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(E,E'))$ and $\widetilde{A}_1 \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E'))$. Since Δ is two-sided inner, it follows that Δ_1 is two-sided inner. Since $\Phi = \Delta_1 A_1^*$, by Lemma 3.6, we have

$$\Delta_1 H^2_{E'} \subseteq \ker H_{\Phi^*} = \Delta H^2_E = \Delta_1 \widetilde{\Omega} H^2_E,$$

which implies $H^2_{E'} = \widetilde{\Omega} H^2_E$. Thus by the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem, $\widetilde{\Omega}$ is a unitary constant and so is Ω. Therefore, Δ and A are right coprime.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a): Suppose (b) holds. Clearly, $\Delta H^2_E \subseteq \ker H_{\Phi^*}$. By the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem, $\ker H_{\Phi^*} = \Theta H^2_{E'}$ for some inner function Θ, so that $\Delta H^2_E \subseteq \Theta H^2_{E'}$. Thus Θ is a left inner divisor of Δ (cf. [FF], [Pe]), so that we may write $\Delta = \Theta\Delta_0$ for some two-sided inner function Δ_0 with values in $\mathcal{B}(E,E')$. Put $G := \Phi^*\Theta$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.8 that G belongs to $H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(E',D))$. Then $G = A\Delta_0^*$, and hence, $\widetilde{A} = \widetilde{\Delta}_0\widetilde{G}$. But since Δ and A are right coprime, $\widetilde{\Delta}_0$ is a unitary operator, and so is Δ_0. Therefore $\ker H_{\Phi^*} = \Delta H^2_E$, which proves (a). □

We recall that the factorization (b) in Lemma 3.12 is called the *Douglas-Shapiro-Shields factorization* of $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$ (see [DSS], [FB], [Fu2]). Consequently, Lemma 3.12 says that $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$ admits a Douglas-Shapiro-Shields factorization if and only if $\ker H_{\Phi^*} = \Delta H^2_E$ for some two-sided inner function $\Delta \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(E))$.

The following lemma will be frequently used in the sequel.

Complementing Lemma. [Ni1, p. 49, p. 53] Let $\Psi \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(E',E))$ with $E' \subseteq E$ and $\dim E' < \infty$, and let θ be a scalar inner function. Then the following are equivalent:

(a) There exists a function G in $H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(E,E'))$ such that $G\Psi = \theta I_{E'}$;
(b) There exist functions Φ and Ω in $H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(E))$ with $\Phi|_{E'} = \Psi$, $\Phi|_{(E\ominus E')}$ being an inner function such that $\Omega\Phi = \Phi\Omega = \theta I_E$.

In addition, if $\dim E < \infty$, then (a) and (b) are equivalent to the following:

(c) $\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{z\in\mathbb{T}} \min\{||\Psi(z)x|| : ||x|| = 1\} > 0$.

We recall that if Φ is a strong H^2-function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D,E)$, with $\dim E < \infty$, the *local rank* of Φ is defined by (cf. [Ni1])

$$\operatorname{Rank}\Phi := \max_{\zeta\in\mathbb{D}} \operatorname{rank}\Phi(\zeta),$$

where $\operatorname{rank}\Phi(\zeta) := \dim \Phi(\zeta)(D)$.

To understand the smallest S^*_E-invariant subspace containing a subset $F \subseteq H^2_E$, we need to consider the kernels of the adjoints of unbounded Hankel operators with strong L^2-symbols involved with F. Thus we will deal with unbounded Hankel operators H_Φ with strong L^2-symbols Φ. However, the adjoint of the unbounded Hankel operator need not be a Hankel operator. Of course, if Φ is an L^∞-function then $H_{\Phi^*} = H^*_{\check{\Phi}}$. Thus for an L^∞-symbol Φ, we may use the notations H_{Φ^*} and $H^*_{\check{\Phi}}$ interchangeably with one another. By contrast, H_{Φ^*} may not be equal to $H^*_{\check{\Phi}}$ for a strong L^2-function Φ. In particular, the kernel of an unbounded Hankel operator H_{Φ^*} is liable to be trivial because it is defined

in the subset of polynomials in H^2_D. In spite of it, since the kernel of the adjoint of a densely defined operator is always closed, we can show that via the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem, the kernel of $H^*_{\check{\Phi}}$ with strong L^2-symbol Φ is still of the form $\Delta H^2_{E'}$. Thus we will consider $H^*_{\check{\Phi}}$ rather than H_{Φ^*} for a strong L^2-function Φ. To see this, we observe:

Lemma 3.13. Let Φ be a strong L^2-function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D,E)$. Then,

$$\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Big\{ f \in H^2_E : \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \Phi(z)x,\ z^n f(z) \big\rangle_E dm(z) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in D \text{ and } n = 1,2,3,\cdots \Big\}.$$

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f \in \ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} &\iff \big\langle H_{\check{\Phi}} p,\ f \big\rangle_{L^2_E} = 0 \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathcal{P}_D \\ &\iff \big\langle \check{\Phi}(z)p(z),\ (Jf)(z) \big\rangle_{L^2_E} = 0 \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathcal{P}_D \\ &\iff \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \Phi(\overline{z})xz^k,\ \overline{z} f(\overline{z}) \big\rangle_E dm(z) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in D \text{ and } k = 0,1,2,\cdots \\ &\iff \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \Phi(z)x,\ z^n f(z) \big\rangle_E dm(z) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in D \text{ and } n = 1,2,3,\cdots, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the result. □

We then have:

Lemma 3.14. If Φ is a strong L^2-function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D,E)$, then

$$\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'}, \tag{20}$$

where E' is a subspace of E and Δ is an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E',E)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.13, if $f \in \ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}}$, then $zf \in \ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}}$. Since $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}}$ is always closed, it follows that $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}}$ is an invariant subspace for S_E. Thus, by the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem, there exists an inner function Δ with values in $\mathcal{B}(E',E)$ such that $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'}$ for a subspace E' of E. □

From (20), the following question arises naturally:

(21) What condition on Φ determines the dimension of E' in the equality (20) ?

We give an answer to the question (21) in the below (Lemma 3.18).

For $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$, we symbolically define the kernel of Φ by

$$\ker \Phi := \big\{ f \in H^2_D : \Phi(z)f(z) = 0 \text{ for almost all } z \in \mathbb{T} \big\}.$$

Note that the kernel of Φ consists of functions in H^2_D, but not in L^2_D, such that $\Phi f = 0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{T}$.

Let Δ be an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D,E)$. If $g \in \ker \Delta^*$, then $g \in H^2_E$, so that by Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.7, $[g]$ is a strong H^2-function with values in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C},E)$ (see p.13 for the definition of $[g]$). Write

$$[g] = [g]^i [g]^e \quad \text{(inner-outer factorization)},$$

where $[g]^e$ is an outer function with values in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C},E')$ and $[g]^i$ is an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E',E)$ for some subspace E' of E. If $g \neq 0$, then $[g]^e : \mathbb{C} \to E'$ is a nonzero

outer function, so that $E' = \mathbb{C}$. Thus, $[g]^i \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, E))$. If instead $g = 0$, then $0 = [g]^e : \mathbb{C} \to E'$, so that $E' = \{0\}$. Therefore, in this case, $[g]^i \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(\{0\}, E))$.

We have:

Lemma 3.15. Let Δ be an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$. Put

$$\Delta_c := \text{left-g.c.d.}\big\{ [g]^i : g \in \ker \Delta^* \big\}. \tag{22}$$

Then

(a) $\ker \Delta^* = \Delta_c H^2_{D'}$ for some subspace D' of E;
(b) $[\Delta, \Delta_c]$ is an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D \oplus D', E)$;
(c) $\ker H_{\Delta^*} = [\Delta, \Delta_c] H^2_{D \oplus D'} \equiv \Delta H^2_D \bigoplus \Delta_c H^2_{D'}$,

where $[\Delta, \Delta_c]$ is obtained by complementing Δ_c to Δ, in other words, $[\Delta, \Delta_c]$ is regarded as a 1×2 operator matrix.

Definition. The inner function Δ_c defined in (22) will be called the *complementary factor* of an inner function Δ.

Proof. If $\ker \Delta^* = \{0\}$, then (a) and (b) are trivial. Suppose that $\ker \Delta^* \neq \{0\}$. Since $\ker \Delta^*$ is invariant for the shift operator S_E on H^2_E, by the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem, there exists an inner function Ω with values in $\mathcal{B}(D', E)$ such that

$$\ker \Delta^* = \Omega H^2_{D'}, \tag{23}$$

where D' is a nonzero subspace of E. Put

$$\Delta_c := \text{left-g.c.d.}\big\{ [g]^i : g \in \ker \Delta^* \big\} \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D'', E)), \tag{24}$$

where D'' is a nonzero subspace of E. If $g \in \ker \Delta^*$, then $\Delta^*[g] = 0$. Thus we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_c H^2_{D''} &= \bigvee \Big\{ \ [g]^i H^2 : \ g \in \ker \Delta^* \Big\} \\ &= \bigvee \Big\{ \ [g] \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{C}} : \ g \in \ker \Delta^* \Big\} \\ &\subseteq \ \ker \Delta^* = \Omega H^2_{D'}. \end{aligned}$$

For the reverse inclusion, let $0 \neq g \in \ker \Delta^*$. Then it follows that

$$g(z) = [g](z)1 = ([g]^i [g]^e)(z)1 = [g]^i(z)\big([g]^e(z)1\big) \in [g]^i H^2.$$

Thus we have

$$\Omega H^2_{D'} = \ker \Delta^* \subseteq \bigvee \Big\{ \ [g]^i H^2 : \ g \in \ker \Delta^* \Big\} = \Delta_c H^2_{D''}.$$

Therefore, by the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem, $\Omega = \Delta_c$ and $D' = D''$, which gives (a). Note that $\Delta^* \Delta_c = 0$. We thus have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta^* \\ \Delta_c^* \end{bmatrix} [\Delta, \Delta_c] = \begin{bmatrix} I_D & 0 \\ 0 & I_{D'} \end{bmatrix},$$

which implies that $[\Delta, \Delta_c]$ is an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D \oplus D', E)$, which gives (b). For (c), we first note that ΔH^2_D and $\ker \Delta^*$ are orthogonal and

$$\Delta H^2_D \bigoplus \ker \Delta^* \subseteq \ker H_{\Delta^*}.$$

For the reverse inclusion, suppose that $f \in H^2_E$ and $f \notin \Delta H^2_D \bigoplus \ker \Delta^* \equiv M$. Write

$$f_1 := P_M f \quad \text{and} \quad f_2 := f - f_1 \neq 0.$$

Since $f_2 \in H^2_E \ominus M = \mathcal{H}(\Delta) \cap (H^2_E \ominus \ker \Delta^*)$, it follows from Corollary 3.10 that $\Delta^* f_2 \in L^2_D \ominus H^2_D$ and $\Delta^* f_2 \neq 0$. We thus have $H_{\Delta^*} f = J(\Delta^* f_2)$, and hence, $||H_{\Delta^*} f|| = ||\Delta^* f_2|| \neq 0$, which implies that $f \notin \ker H_{\Delta^*}$. We thus have that

$$\ker H_{\Delta^*} = \Delta H^2_D \bigoplus \ker \Delta^*.$$

Thus it follows from (a) that

$$\ker H_{\Delta^*} = \Delta H^2_D \bigoplus \Delta_c H^2_{D'} = [\Delta, \Delta_c] H^2_{D \oplus D'},$$

which gives (c). This completes the proof. □

For a subset F of H^2_E, let E^*_F denote the smallest S^*_E-invariant subspace containing F, i.e.,

$$E^*_F = \bigvee \{ S^{*n}_E F : \ n \geq 0 \}.$$

Then by the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem, $E^*_F = \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$ for an inner function Δ with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$. In general, if $\dim E = 1$, then every S^*_E-invariant subspace M admits a cyclic vector, i.e., $M = E^*_f$ for some $f \in H^2$. However, if $\dim E \geq 2$, then this is not such a case. For example, if $M = \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$ with $\Delta = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, then M does not admit a cyclic vector, i.e., $M \neq E^*_f$ for any vector $f \in H^2_{\mathbb{C}^2}$.

For a function $f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(n) z^n \in L^2_E$ (where $\widehat{f}(\cdot)$ denotes the Fourier coefficient of f), write

$$\overline{f}(z) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{\widehat{f}(n)} z^{-n}, \tag{25}$$

where $\overline{\widehat{f}(n)}$ is defined by

$$\overline{\widehat{f}(n)} := \textstyle\sum_{n \geq 1} \overline{\alpha}_n e_n \quad \text{if } \widehat{f}(n) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n e_n \ (\alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}),$$

where $\{e_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is an orthonormal basis for E.

If $\Phi \in H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$ and $\{d_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ is an orthonormal basis for D, write

$$\phi_k := \Phi d_k \in H^2_E \cong H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}, E)).$$

We then define

$$\{\Phi\} := \{\phi_k\}_{k \geq 1} \subseteq H^2_E.$$

Hence, $\{\Phi\}$ may be regarded as the set of "column" vectors ϕ_k (in H^2_E) of Φ, in which we may think of Φ as an infinite matrix-valued function.

Lemma 3.16. For $\Phi \in H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$, we have

$$E^*_{\{\Phi\}} = \text{cl ran} \, H_{\overline{z}\check{\Phi}}. \tag{26}$$

Remark. By definition, $\{\Phi\}$ depends on the orthonormal basis of D. However, Lemma 3.16 shows that $E^*_{\{\Phi\}}$ is independent of a particular choice of the orthonormal basis of D because the right-hand side of (26) is independent of the orthonormal basis of D.

Proof. We first claim that if $g \in L^2_E$, then

$$JS^*_E\overline{P_-g} = P_-\widetilde{g}\,. \tag{27}$$

Indeed, if $g \in L^2_E$, then we may write $g(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{g}(k)z^k$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} JS^*_E\overline{P_-g}(z) &= JS^*_E \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \overline{\widehat{g}(k)}z^{-k} \\ &= J\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} \overline{\widehat{g}(k)}z^{-k-1}\Big) \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} \overline{\widehat{g}(k)}z^k = P_-\widetilde{g}(z), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (27). We next claim that if $f \in H^2_E$, then

$$E^*_f = \text{cl ran}H_{[\overline{z}\breve{f}]}. \tag{28}$$

To see this, observe that for each $k = 1, 2, \cdots$,

$$S^{*k}_E f(z) = S^*_E\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(k+j)z^{j+1}\Big) = S^*_E\Big(\overline{P_-\big(z^{k-1}\overline{f}(z)\big)}\Big).$$

Thus, for each $k = 1, 2, \cdots$,

$$\begin{aligned} S^{*k}_E f &= S^*_E\overline{P_-(z^{k-1}\overline{f})} \\ &= J\big(JS^*_E\overline{P_-(z^{k-1}\overline{f})}\big) \quad (JJ = I) \\ &= JP_-(z^{k-1}\breve{f}) \quad \text{(by (27))} \\ &= H_{[\overline{z}\breve{f}]}z^k. \end{aligned}$$

which proves (28). Let $\{d_k\}_{k\geq 1}$ be an orthonormal basis for D, and let $\phi_k := \Phi d_k$. Since by (28), $E^*_{\phi_k} = \text{cl ran}\, H_{[\overline{z}\breve{\phi}_k]}$ for each $k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$, it follows that

$$E^*_{\{\Phi\}} = \bigvee \text{ran}\, H_{[\overline{z}\breve{\phi}_k]} = \text{cl ran}\, H_{\overline{z}\breve{\Phi}},$$

which gives the result. □

We introduce:

Definition 3.17. Let $F \subseteq H^2_E$. The *degree of non-cyclicity*, denoted by $\text{nc}(F)$, of F is defined by the number

$$\text{nc}(F) := \sup_{\zeta\in\mathbb{D}} \dim\big\{g(\zeta) : g \in H^2_E \ominus E^*_F\big\}.$$

We will often call $\text{nc}(F)$ the *nc-number* of F for short.

Since E^*_F is an invariant subspace for S^*_E, it follows from the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem that $E^*_F = \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$ for some inner function Δ with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$. Thus

$$\text{nc}(F) = \sup_{\zeta\in\mathbb{D}} \dim\big\{g(\zeta) :\ g \in \Delta H^2_D\big\} = \dim D.$$

In particular, $\mathrm{nc}(F) \le \dim E$. We note that $\mathrm{nc}(F)$ may take ∞. So it is customary to make the following conventions: (i) if n is real then $n + \infty = \infty$; (ii) $\infty + \infty = \infty$. If $\dim E = r < \infty$, then $\mathrm{nc}(F) \le r$ for every subset $F \subseteq H^2_E$. If $F \subseteq H^2_E$ and $\dim E = r < \infty$, then the *degree of cyclicity*, denoted by $\mathrm{dc}(F)$, of $F \subseteq H^2_E$ is defined by the number (cf. [VN])

$$\mathrm{dc}(F) := r - \mathrm{nc}(F).$$

In particular, if $E^*_F = \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$, then Δ is two-sided inner if and only if $\mathrm{nc}(F) = r$.

The following lemma shows that an answer to the question (21) is just the nc-number of $\{\Phi_+\}$.

Lemma 3.18. Let Φ be a strong L^2-function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$. In view of the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem and Lemma 3.14, we may write

$$E^*_{\{\Phi_+\}} = \mathcal{H}(\Delta) \quad \text{and} \quad \ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Theta H^2_{E'},$$

for some inner functions Δ and Θ with values in $\mathcal{B}(E'', E)$ and $\mathcal{B}(E', E)$, respectively. Then

$$\Delta = \Theta \Delta_1 \tag{29}$$

for some two-sided inner function Δ_1 with values in $\mathcal{B}(E'', E')$. Hence, in particular,

$$\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Theta H^2_{E'} \iff \mathrm{nc}\{\Phi_+\} = \dim E'. \tag{30}$$

Proof. Suppose that $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Theta H^2_{E'}$ for some inner function Θ with values in $\mathcal{B}(E', E)$ and $E^*_{\{\Phi_+\}} = \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$ for some inner function Δ with values in $\mathcal{B}(E'', E)$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.16 that

$$\mathcal{H}(\Delta) = E^*_{\{\Phi_+\}} = \mathrm{cl}\,\mathrm{ran}\, H_{\overline{z}\check{\Phi}} = \big(\ker H^*_{\overline{z}\check{\Phi}}\big)^{\perp}.$$

It thus follows from Lemma 3.13 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta H^2_{E''} &= \ker H^*_{\overline{z}\check{\Phi}} \\
&= \left\{ f \in H^2_E : \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \Phi(z)x,\ z^n f(z) \big\rangle_E dm(z) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in D \text{ and } n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \cdots \right\} \\
&\subseteq \left\{ f \in H^2_E : \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \Phi(z)x,\ z^n f(z) \big\rangle_E dm(z) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in D \text{ and } n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots \right\} \\
&= \ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Theta H^2_{E'},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that Θ is a left inner divisor of Δ. Thus we can write

$$\Delta = \Theta \Delta_1 \tag{31}$$

for some inner function $\Delta_1 \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(E'', E'))$. By the same argument as above, we also have $z\Theta H^2_{E'} \subseteq \Delta H^2_{E''}$, so that we may write $z\Theta = \Delta\Delta_2$ for some inner function $\Delta_2 \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(E', E''))$. Therefore by (31), we have $zI_{E'} = \Delta_1\Delta_2$, and hence by Lemma 3.5, Δ_1 is two-sided inner. This proves (29) and in turn (30). This completes the proof. □

Remark 3.19. From Lemma 3.18, we obtain several useful observations as follows.

(1) Lemma 3.18 shows that if Φ is a strong L^2-function with value in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$, then the following are equivalent:
   (a) $E^*_{\{\Phi_+\}} = H^2_E$;

(b) $\mathrm{nc}\{\Phi_+\} = 0$;
(c) $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \{0\}$.

(2) Lemma 3.18 together with Lemma 3.15(c) shows that if Δ is an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$ and if Δ_c is the complementary factor, with values in $\mathcal{B}(D', E)$, of Δ, then $\mathrm{nc}\{\Delta\} = \dim D + \dim D'$.

(3) From Corollary 2 of [Ni1, p.47], (6) and Lemma 3.18, we can see that if Φ is an $n \times m$ matrix L^2-function, i.e., $\Phi \in L^2_{M_{n\times m}}$, then the following are equivalent:
(a) Φ is of bounded type (i.e., Φ is of entrywise bounded type);
(b) $\ker H^*_\Phi = \Theta H^2_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ for some two-sided inner matrix function Θ;
(c) $\mathrm{nc}\,\{\Phi_-\} = n$.
The equivalence (a)⇔(b) was known from [GHR] for the cases of $\Phi \in L^\infty_{M_n}$. It was also known ([Ab, Lemma 4]) that if $\phi \in L^\infty$, then

$$\phi \text{ is of bounded type} \Longleftrightarrow \ker H^*_\phi \neq \{0\}. \tag{32}$$

Thus the equivalence (a)⇔(b) shows that (32) still holds for L^2-functions.

(4) The above remark (3) together with Lemma 3.15 shows that if Δ is an $n \times r$ inner matrix function then the following are equivalent:
(a) Δ^* is of bounded type, or equivalently, $\check{\Delta}$ is of bounded type;
(b) $[\Delta, \Delta_c]$ is two-sided inner,
where Δ_c is the complementary factor of Δ.

On the other hand, in view of Remark 3.19(4), we may ask a more general complementation: If Δ is an $n \times r$ inner matrix function, which condition on Δ allows us to complement Δ to an $n \times (r + q)$ inner matrix function $[\Delta, \Omega]$ by aid of an $n \times q$ inner matrix function Ω? We can give an answer to this question.

Corollary 3.20. If Δ is an $n \times r$ inner matrix function, then $[\Delta, \Omega]$ is inner for some $n \times q$ ($q \geq 1$) inner matrix function Ω if and only if

$$q \leq \mathrm{nc}\{\Delta\} - r.$$

In particular, Δ is complemented to a two-sided inner function if and only if $\mathrm{nc}\{\Delta\} = n$.

Proof. Suppose that $[\Delta, \Omega]$ is an inner matrix function for some $n \times q$ ($q \geq 1$) inner matrix function Ω. Then

$$I_{r+q} = [\Delta, \Omega]^*[\Delta, \Omega] = \begin{bmatrix} I_r & \Delta^*\Omega \\ \Omega^*\Delta & I_q \end{bmatrix},$$

which implies that $\Omega H^2_{\mathbb{C}^q} \subseteq \ker \Delta^*$. Since by Lemma 3.15, $\ker \Delta^* = \Delta_c H^2_{\mathbb{C}^p}$, it follows that $\Omega H^2_{\mathbb{C}^q} \subseteq \Delta_c H^2_{\mathbb{C}^p}$, so that Δ_c is a left inner divisor of Ω. Thus we can write

$$\Omega = \Delta_c \Omega_1 \quad \text{for some } p \times q \text{ inner matrix function } \Omega_1.$$

Thus we have $q \leq p$. But since by Remark 3.19(2), $\mathrm{nc}\{\Delta\} = r + p$, it follows that $q \leq \mathrm{nc}\{\Delta\} - r$. For the converse, suppose that $q \leq \mathrm{nc}\{\Delta\} - r$. Then it follows from Remark 3.19(2) that the complementary factor Δ_c of Δ is in $H^\infty_{M_{n\times p}}$ for some $p \geq q$. Thus if we take $\Omega := \Delta_c|_{\mathbb{C}^q}$, then $[\Delta, \Omega]$ is inner. □

We introduce the notion of "bounded type" for strong L^2-functions. Recall that a matrix-valued function of bounded type was defined by a matrix whose entries are of bounded type (see p. 3). But this definition is not appropriate for operator-valued functions, in particular, strong L^2-functions even though the terminology "entry" can be properly interpreted. Thus we need an idea of defining "bounded type" strong L^2-functions, which is equivalent to the condition that each entry is of bounded type when the function is matrix-valued. Indeed, we get an inspiration from the equivalence (a)$\Leftrightarrow$(b) in Remark 3.19(3).

Definition 3.21. A strong L^2-function Φ with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$ is said to be of *bounded type* if $\ker H^*_\Phi = \Theta H^2_E$ for some two-sided inner function Θ with values in $\mathcal{B}(E)$.

On the other hand, in [FB], it was shown that if Φ belongs to $L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$, then Φ admits a Douglas-Shapiro-Shields factorization (see p. 17) if and only if $E^*_{\{\Phi_+\}} = \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ for a two-sided inner function Θ. Thus by Lemma 3.18, we can see that if $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$, then

(33) $\breve{\Phi}$ is of bounded type $\iff$ Φ admits a Douglas-Shapiro-Shields factorization.

We can prove more:

Lemma 3.22. Let Φ be a strong L^2-function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$. Then the following are equivalent:

(a) $\breve{\Phi}$ is of bounded type;
(b) $E^*_{\{\Phi_+\}} = \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$ for some two-sided inner function Δ with values in $\mathcal{B}(E)$;
(c) $E^*_{\{\Phi_+\}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ for some two-sided inner function Θ with values in $\mathcal{B}(E)$;
(d) $\{\Phi_+\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ for some two-sided inner function Θ with values in $\mathcal{B}(E)$;
(e) For $\{\varphi_{k_1}, \varphi_{k_2}, \cdots\} \subseteq \{\Phi\}$, write $\Psi \equiv [\varphi_{k_1}, \varphi_{k_2}, \cdots]$. Then $\breve{\Psi}$ is of bounded type.

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b): Suppose that $\breve{\Phi}$ is of bounded type. Then $\ker H^*_{\breve{\Phi}} = \Theta H^2_E$ for some two-sided inner function Θ with values in $\mathcal{B}(E)$. It thus follows from Lemma 3.18 that $E^*_{\{\Phi_+\}} = \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$ for some two-sided inner function Δ with values in $\mathcal{B}(E)$.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c), (c) $\Rightarrow$ (d): Clear.

(d) $\Rightarrow$ (e): Suppose that $\{\varphi_{k_1}, \varphi_{k_2}, \cdots\} \subseteq \{\Phi\}$ and $\{\Phi_+\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$ for some two-sided inner function $\Theta \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(E))$. Write $\Psi \equiv [\varphi_{k_1}, \varphi_{k_2}, \cdots]$. Then $\{\Psi_+\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$, so that $E^*_{\{\Psi_+\}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(\Theta)$. Suppose that $E^*_{\{\Psi_+\}} = \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$ for some inner function Δ with values in $\mathcal{B}(D', E)$. Thus $\Theta H^2_E \subseteq \Delta H^2_{D'}$, so that by Lemma 3.5, Δ is two-sided inner. Thus, by Lemma 3.18, $\ker H^*_{\breve{\Psi}} = \Omega H^2_E$ for some two-sided inner function Ω with values in $\mathcal{B}(E)$, so that Ψ is of bounded type.

(e) $\Rightarrow$ (a): Clear. □

Corollary 3.23. Let Δ be an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$. Then

$$\breve{\Delta} \text{ is of bounded type} \iff [\Delta, \Delta_c] \text{ is two-sided inner,}$$

where Δ_c is the complementary factor of Δ. Hence, in particular, if Δ is a two-sided inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E)$, then $\breve{\Delta}$ is of bounded type.

Proof. The first assertion follows from Lemma 3.15. The second assertion follows from the first assertion together with the observation that if Δ is two-sided inner then $[\Delta, \Delta_c] = \Delta$. □

Corollary 3.24. Let Δ be an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D,E)$. Then $[\Delta,\Omega]$ is two-sided inner for some inner function Ω with values in $\mathcal{B}(D',E)$ if and only if $\breve{\Delta}$ is of bounded type.

Proof. Suppose that $[\Delta,\Omega]$ is two-sided inner for some inner function Ω with values in $\mathcal{B}(D',E)$. Then $\Delta^*\Omega=0$, so that $\Omega H^2_{D'}\subseteq\ker\Delta^*=\Delta_c H^2_{D''}$. Thus Δ_c is a left inner divisor of Ω, and hence $[\Delta,\Delta_c]$ is a left inner divisor of $[\Delta,\Omega]$. Therefore by Lemma 3.5, $[\Delta,\Delta_c]$ is two-sided inner, so that by Corollary 3.23, $\breve{\Delta}$ is of bounded type. The converse comes at once from Corollary 3.23 with $\Omega=\Delta_c$. □

4. Proof of Theorem A

In this section, we give a proof of Theorem A. To better understand a canonical decomposition of strong L^2-functions, we first consider an example of a matrix-valued L^2-function that does not admit a Douglas-Shapiro-Shields factorization. Suppose that θ_1 and θ_2 are coprime inner functions. Consider

$$\Phi:=\begin{bmatrix}\theta_1&0&0\\0&\theta_2&0\\0&0&a\end{bmatrix}\equiv[\phi_1,\phi_2,\phi_3]\in H^\infty_{M_3},$$

where $a\in H^\infty$ is such that $\overline{a}$ is not of bounded type. Then a direct calculation shows that

$$\ker H_{\Phi^*}=\begin{bmatrix}\theta_1&0\\0&\theta_2\\0&0\end{bmatrix}H^2_{\mathbb{C}^2}\equiv\Delta H^2_{\mathbb{C}^2}.$$

Since Δ is not two-sided inner, it follows from Lemma 3.12 that Φ does not admit a Douglas-Shapiro-Shields factorization. For a decomposition of Φ, suppose that

$$\Phi=\Omega A^*, \tag{34}$$

where $\Omega,A\in H^2_{M_{3\times k}}(k=1,2)$, Ω is an inner function, and Ω and A are right coprime. We then have

$$\Phi^*\Omega=A\in H^2_{M_{3\times k}}. \tag{35}$$

But since $\overline{a}$ is not of bounded type, it follows from (35) that the 3rd row vector of Ω is zero. Thus by (34), we must have $a=0$, a contradiction. Therefore we could not get any decomposition of the form $\Phi=\Omega A^*$ with a $3\times k$ inner matrix function Ω for each $k=1,2,3$. To get another idea, we note that $\ker\Delta^*=[0\ 0\ 1]^tH^2\equiv\Delta_cH^2$. Then by a direct manipulation, we can get

$$\Phi=\begin{bmatrix}\theta_1&0&0\\0&\theta_2&0\\0&0&a\end{bmatrix}=\begin{bmatrix}\theta_1&0\\0&\theta_2\\0&0\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}1&0\\0&1\\0&0\end{bmatrix}^*+\begin{bmatrix}0\\0\\1\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}0&0&a\end{bmatrix}\equiv\Delta A^*+\Delta_cC\,, \tag{36}$$

where Δ and A are right coprime because $\widetilde{\Delta}H^2_{\mathbb{C}^3}\bigvee\widetilde{A}H^2_{\mathbb{C}^3}=H^2_{\mathbb{C}^2}$.

To encounter another situation, consider

$$\Phi:=\begin{bmatrix}f&f&0\\g&g&0\\0&0&\theta\overline{a}\end{bmatrix}\equiv[\phi_1,\phi_2,\phi_3]\in H^\infty_{M_3},$$

where f and g are given in Example 3.4, θ is inner, and $a \in H^\infty$ is such that θ and a are coprime. It then follows from Lemma 3.15 that

$$\ker H_{[\overline{f}\ \overline{g}]} = \begin{bmatrix} f \\ g \end{bmatrix} H^2.$$

We thus have that

$$\ker H_{\Phi^*} = \ker H_{[\overline{f}\ \overline{g}]} \bigoplus \ker H_{\overline{\theta a}} = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ g & 0 \\ 0 & \theta \end{bmatrix} H^2_{\mathbb{C}^2} \equiv \Delta H^2_{\mathbb{C}^2}.$$

By the same argument as the preceding example, we see that Φ does not admit a Douglas-Shapiro-Shields factorization. Observe that

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} f & f & 0 \\ g & g & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \theta\overline{a} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f & 0 \\ g & 0 \\ 0 & \theta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{bmatrix}^* = \Delta A^*. \tag{37}$$

Since $\widetilde{\theta}$ and $\widetilde{a}$ are coprime, it follows that Δ and A are right coprime. Note that Δ is not two-sided inner and $\ker \Delta^* = \{0\}$.

The above examples (36) and (37) show that the decomposition of a matrix-valued H^2-functions Φ satisfying $\ker H^*_{\breve{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{\mathbb{C}^n}$ is affected by the kernel of Δ^* and in turn, the complementary factor Δ_c of Δ. Indeed, if we regard Δ^* as an operator acting from L^2_E, and hence $\ker \Delta^* \subseteq L^2_E$, then B in the canonical decomposition (3) satisfies the inclusion $\{B\} \subseteq \ker \Delta^*$. Theorem A gives a canonical decomposition of strong L^2-functions which realizes the idea inside those examples.

We are ready for a proof of Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem A. If $\ker H^*_{\breve{\Phi}} = \{0\}$, take $E' := \{0\}$ and $B := \Phi$. Then $\widetilde{\Delta}$ and $\widetilde{A}$ are zero operator with codomain $\{0\}$. Thus $\Phi = \Delta A^* + B$, where Δ and A are right coprime. It also follows from Lemma 3.18 that $\mathrm{nc}\{\Phi_+\} = 0$, which gives the inequality (iv). If instead $\ker H^*_{\breve{\Phi}} \neq \{0\}$, then in view of Lemma 3.14, we may suppose $\ker H^*_{\breve{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'}$ for some nonzero inner function Δ with values in $\mathcal{B}(E', E)$. Put $A := \Phi^*\Delta$. Then it thus follows from Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.8 that $\widetilde{A} = \widetilde{\Delta}\breve{\Phi}$ is a strong L^2-function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E')$. Since $\ker H^*_{\breve{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'}$, it follows that for all $p \in \mathcal{P}_D$ and $h \in H^2_{E'}$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle H_{\breve{\Phi}} p,\ \Delta h \rangle_{L^2_E} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \breve{\Phi}(z)p(z),\ \overline{z}\Delta(\overline{z})h(\overline{z}) \big\rangle_E dm(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \widetilde{\Delta}(z)\breve{\Phi}(z)p(z),\ \overline{z}h(\overline{z}) \big\rangle_{E'} dm(z) \\ &= \big\langle H_{\widetilde{A}} p,\ h \big\rangle_{L^2_{E'}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $H_{\widetilde{A}} = 0$. Thus by Lemma 3.11, $\widetilde{A}$ belongs to $H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$. Put $B := \Phi - \Delta A^*$. Then by Lemma 3.8, B is a strong L^2-function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$. Observe that

$$\Phi = \Delta A^* + B \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^* B = 0.$$

For the first assertion, we need to show that Δ and A are right coprime. To see this, we suppose that Ω is a common left inner divisor, with values in $\mathcal{B}(E'', E')$, of $\widetilde{\Delta}$ and $\widetilde{A}$. Then we may write

$$\widetilde{\Delta} = \Omega\widetilde{\Delta}_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{A} = \Omega\widetilde{A}_1,$$

where $\widetilde{\Delta}_1 \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(E, E''))$ and $\widetilde{A}_1 \in H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E''))$. Thus we have

$$\Delta = \Delta_1\widetilde{\Omega} \quad \text{and} \quad A = A_1\widetilde{\Omega}. \tag{38}$$

Since Ω is inner, it follows that $I_{E''} = \widetilde{\Omega^*\Omega} = \widetilde{\Omega}\widetilde{\Omega}^*$. Thus by (38), $\Delta_1 = \Delta\widetilde{\Omega}^*$, and hence, by Lemma 3.6, Δ_1 is inner. We now claim that

$$\Delta_1 H^2_{E''} = \ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'}. \tag{39}$$

Since Ω is an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E'', E')$, we know that $\widetilde{\Omega} \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(E', E''))$ by Lemma 3.6. Thus it follows from Corollary 3.9 and (38) that

$$\Delta H^2_{E'} = \Delta_1\widetilde{\Omega}H^2_{E'} \subseteq \Delta_1 H^2_{E''}.$$

For the reverse inclusion, by (38), we may write $\Phi = \Delta_1 A_1^* + B$. Since $0 = \Delta^* B = \widetilde{\Omega}^*\Delta_1^* B$, it follows that $\Delta_1^* B = 0$. Therefore for all $f \in H^2_{E''}$, $x \in D$ and $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \Phi(z)x,\ z^n\Delta_1(z)f(z)\big\rangle_E dm(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \big(\Delta_1(z)A_1^*(z) + B(z)\big)x,\ z^n\Delta_1(z)f(z)\big\rangle_E dm(z) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle A_1^*(z)x,\ z^n f(z)\big\rangle_{E''} dm(z) \\
&= \big\langle A_1^*(z)x,\ z^n f(z)\big\rangle_{L^2_{E''}} \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $A_1^*(z)x = \widetilde{A}_1(\overline{z})x \in L^2_{E''} \ominus zH^2_{E''}$. Thus by Lemma 3.13, we have

$$\Delta_1 H^2_{E''} \subseteq \ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'},$$

which proves (39). Thus it follows from the Beurling-Lax-Halmos Theorem and (38) that $\widetilde{\Omega}$ is a unitary operator, and so is Ω. Therefore A and Δ are right coprime. The assertion (iv) on the nc-number comes from Lemma 3.18. This proves the first assertion (3).

Suppose $\dim E' < \infty$. For the uniqueness of the expression (3), we suppose that $\Phi = \Delta_1 A_1^* + B_1 = \Delta_2 A_2^* + B_2$ are two canonical decompositions of Φ. We want to show that $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2$, which gives

$$A_1^* = \Delta_1^*(\Delta_1 A_1^* + B_1) = \Delta_2^*(\Delta_2 A_2^* + B_2) = A_2^*$$

and in turn, $B_1 = B_2$, which implies that the representation (3) is unique. To prove $\Delta_1 = \Delta_2$, it suffices to show that if $\Phi = \Delta A^* + B$ is a canonical decomposition of Φ, then

$$\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'}. \tag{40}$$

If $E' = \{0\}$, then $\mathrm{nc}\{\Phi_+\} = 0$. Thus it follows from Remark 3.19(1) that

$$\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \{0\} = \Delta H^2_{E'},$$

which proves (40). If instead $E' \neq \{0\}$, then we suppose $r := \dim E' < \infty$. Thus, we may assume that $E' \equiv \mathbb{C}^r$, so that Δ is an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^r, E)$. Suppose that $\Phi = \Delta A^* + B$ is a canonical decomposition of Φ in $L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$. Then

(i) $\widetilde{A}$ belongs to $H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, \mathbb{C}^r))$ such that Δ and A are right coprime;

(ii) B is a strong L^2-function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D,E)$ such that $\Delta^* B = 0$;
(iii) $\mathrm{nc}\{\Phi_+\} \le r$.

We first claim that

$$\Delta H^2_{\mathbb{C}^r} \subseteq \ker H^*_{\breve{\Phi}}. \tag{41}$$

Observe that for each $g \in H^2_{\mathbb{C}^r}$, $x \in D$ and $k = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \Phi(z)x,\ z^k \Delta(z) g(z) \big\rangle_E dm(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle A^*(z)x,\ z^k g(z) \big\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^r} dm(z) \\ &= \big\langle \widetilde{A}(\overline{z})x,\ z^k g(z) \big\rangle_{L^2_{\mathbb{C}^r}} \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

It thus follows from Lemma 3.13 that $\Delta H^2_{\mathbb{C}^r} \subseteq \ker H^*_{\breve{\Phi}}$, which proves (41). In view of Lemma 3.14, we may assume that $\ker H^*_{\breve{\Phi}} = \Theta H^2_{E''}$ for some inner function Θ with values in $\mathcal{B}(E'', E)$. Then by Lemma 3.18,

$$p \equiv \dim E'' = \mathrm{nc}\,\{\Phi_+\} \le r. \tag{42}$$

Thus we may assume $E'' \equiv \mathbb{C}^p$. Since

$$\Delta H^2_{\mathbb{C}^r} \subseteq \ker H^*_{\breve{\Phi}} = \Theta H^2_{\mathbb{C}^p}, \tag{43}$$

it follows that Θ is left inner divisor of Δ, i.e., there exists a $p \times r$ inner matrix function Δ_1 such that $\Delta = \Theta \Delta_1$. Since Δ_1 is inner, it follows that $r \le p$. But since by (42), $p \le r$, we must have $r = p$, which implies that Δ_1 is two-sided inner. Thus we have

$$\Theta^* \Phi = \Delta_1 A^* + \Delta_1 \Delta^* B = \Delta_1 A^*. \tag{44}$$

Since $\ker H^*_{\breve{\Phi}} = \Theta H^2_{\mathbb{C}^r}$, it follows from Lemma 3.13 and (44) that for all $f \in H^2_{\mathbb{C}^r}$, $x \in D$ and $n = 1, 2, \cdots$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \Delta_1(z) A^*(z)x,\ z^n f(z) \big\rangle_{\mathbb{C}^r} dm(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \Phi(z)x,\ z^n \Theta(z) f(z) \big\rangle_E dm(z) = 0. \tag{45}$$

Write $\Psi := \Delta_1 A^*$. Then by Lemma 3.8, $\Psi \in L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, \mathbb{C}^r))$. Thus by Lemma 3.11, Lemma 3.13 and (45), we have $\breve{\Psi} \in H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, \mathbb{C}^r))$. Since $\widetilde{A} = \widetilde{\Delta}_1 \breve{\Psi}$, it follows that $\widetilde{\Delta}_1$ is a common left inner divisor of $\widetilde{\Delta}$ and $\widetilde{A}$. But since Δ and A are right coprime, it follows that $\widetilde{\Delta}_1$ is a unitary matrix, and so is Δ_1, which proves (40). This proves the uniqueness of the expression (3) when $\dim E' < \infty$.

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 4.1. If $\breve{\Delta}$ is of bounded type then B in (3) is given by

$$B = \Delta_c \Delta_c^* \Phi,$$

where Δ_c is the complementary factor of Δ, with values in $\mathcal{B}(D', E)$. Moreover, if $\dim E' < \infty$, then $\dim D'$ can be computed by the formula

$$\dim D' = \mathrm{nc}\{\Delta\} - \mathrm{nc}\{\Phi_+\}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $\breve{\Delta}$ is of bounded type. Then by Corollary 3.23, $[\Delta, \Delta_c]$ is two-sided inner, where Δ_c is the complementary factor of Δ, with values in $\mathcal{B}(D', E)$. We thus have

$$I = [\Delta, \Delta_c][\Delta, \Delta_c]^* = \Delta\Delta^* + \Delta_c \Delta_c^*,$$

so that

$$B = \Phi - \Delta A^* = (I - \Delta\Delta^*)\Phi = \Delta_c \Delta_c^* \Phi.$$

This proves the first assertion. The second assertion follows at once from the facts that $\mathrm{nc}\{\Phi_+\} = \dim E' < \infty$ (by Lemma 3.18) and $\mathrm{nc}\{\Delta\} = \dim E' + \dim D'$ (by Remark 3.19(2)). □

The following corollary is an extension of Lemma 3.12 (the Douglas-Shapiro-Shields factorization) to strong L^2-functions.

Corollary 4.2. If Φ is a strong L^2-function with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$, then the following are equivalent:

(a) The flip $\breve{\Phi}$ of Φ is of bounded type;
(b) $\Phi = \Delta A^*$ (Δ is two-sided inner) is a canonical decomposition of Φ.

Proof. The implication (a)⇒(b) follows from the proof of Theorem A. For the implication (b)⇒(a), suppose $\Phi = \Delta A^*$ (Δ is two-sided inner) is a canonical decomposition of Φ. By Lemma 3.14, there exists an inner function Θ with values in $\mathcal{B}(D', E)$ such that $\ker H^*_{\breve{\Phi}} = \Theta H^2_{D'}$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.13 that $\Delta H^2_E \subseteq \ker H^*_{\breve{\Phi}} = \Theta H^2_{D'}$. Since Δ is two-sided inner, we have that by Lemma 3.5, Θ is two-sided inner, and hence the flip $\breve{\Phi}$ of Φ is of bounded type. This completes the proof. □

Remark 4.3. (a) If $\dim E' = \infty$ (even though $\dim D < \infty$), the canonical decomposition (3) may not be unique even if $\breve{\Phi}$ is of bounded type. To see this, let Φ be an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2, \ell^2)$ defined by

$$\Phi := \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta_2 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix},$$

where θ_1 and θ_2 are scalar inner functions. Then by Lemma 3.15, we have

$$\ker H^*_{\breve{\Phi}} = \ker H_{\Phi^*} = \Phi H^2_{\mathbb{C}^2} \oplus \ker \Phi^* = \mathrm{diag}(\theta_1, 1, \theta_2, 1, 1, 1, \cdots) H^2_{\ell^2} \equiv \Theta H^2_{\ell^2},$$

which implies that $\breve{\Phi}$ is of bounded type since Θ is two-sided inner (see Definition 3.21). Let

$$A := \Phi^*\Theta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B := 0.$$

Then $\widetilde{A}$ belongs to belongs to $H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2, \ell^2))$ and $\widetilde{\Theta} H^2_{\ell^2} \bigvee \widetilde{A} H^2_{\mathbb{C}^2} = H^2_{\ell^2}$, which implies that Θ and A are right coprime. Clearly, $\Theta^* B = 0$ and $\mathrm{nc}\{\Phi_+\} \le \dim \ell^2 = \infty$. Therefore,

$$\Phi = \Theta A^*$$

is the BLH-canonical decomposition of Φ. On the other hand, to get another canonical decomposition of Φ, let

$$\Delta := \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \theta_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then Δ is an inner function. If we define

$$A_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad B := 0\,,$$

then $\widetilde{A}_1$ belongs to $H^2_s(B(\mathbb{C}^2, \ell^2))$ such that Δ and A_1 are right coprime, $\Delta^* B = 0$ and $\mathrm{nc}\{\Phi_+\} \leq \dim \ell^2 = \infty$. Therefore $\Phi = \Delta A_1^*$ is also a canonical decomposition of Φ. In this case, $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} \neq \Delta H^2_{\ell^2}$. Therefore, the canonical decomposition of Φ is not unique.

(b) Let Δ be an inner matrix function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E', E)$. Then Theorem A says that if $\dim E' < \infty$, the expression (3) satisfying the conditions (i) - (iv) in Theorem A gives $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'}$. We note that the condition (iv) on nc-number cannot be dropped from the assumptions of Theorem A. To see this, let

$$\Delta := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A := \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \quad B := 0.$$

If $\Phi := \Delta A^* + B = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} z & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, then Φ satisfies the conditions (i), (ii), and (iii), but $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = zH^2 \oplus H^2 \neq \Delta H^2$. Note that by Lemma 3.18, $\mathrm{nc}\{\Phi_+\} = 2$, which does not satisfy the condition on nc-number, say $\mathrm{nc}\{\Phi_+\} \leq 1$.

5. Proof of Theorem B

In this section we give a proof of Theorem B. To do so, we first consider the question: *If Δ is an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E', E)$, does there exist a strong L^2-function Φ with values in $\mathcal{B}(D, E)$ satisfying the equation*

$$\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'}\,? \tag{46}$$

To closely understand an answer to the question (46), we examine a question whether there exists an *inner* function Ω satisfying $\ker H_{\Omega^*} = \Delta H^2_{E'}$ if Δ is an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E', E)$. In fact, the answer to this question is negative. Indeed, if $\ker H_{\Omega^*} = \Delta H^2_{E'}$ for some inner function $\Omega \in H^\infty(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$, then by Lemma 3.15, we have $[\Omega, \Omega_c] = \Delta$, and hence $\Delta_c = 0$. Conversely, if $\Delta_c = 0$ then by again Lemma 3.15, we should have $\ker H_{\Delta^*} = \Delta H^2_{E'}$. Consequently, $\ker H_{\Omega^*} = \Delta H^2_{E'}$ for some inner function Ω if and only if $\Delta_c = 0$. Thus if

$$\Delta := \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

then there exists no inner function Ω such that $\ker H_{\Omega^*} = \Delta H^2$. On the other hand, we note that the solution Φ is not unique although there exists an inner function Φ satisfying

the equation (46). For example, if $\Delta := \operatorname{diag}(z,1,1)$, then the following Φ are such solutions:

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} z \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Delta.$$

The following lemma gives an affirmative answer to the question (46): indeed, we can always find a strong L^2-function Φ with values in $\mathcal{B}(D,E)$ satisfying the equation $\ker H^*_\Phi = \Delta H^2_{E'}$.

Lemma 5.1. Let Δ be an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E',E)$. Then there exists a function Φ in $H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$, with either $D = E'$ or $D = \mathbb{C} \oplus E'$, satisfying

$$\ker H^*_\Phi = \Delta H^2_{E'}.$$

Proof. If $\ker \Delta^* = \{0\}$, take $\Phi = \Delta$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.15 that

$$\ker H^*_\Phi = \ker H_{\Delta^*} = \Delta H^2_{E'}.$$

If instead $\ker \Delta^* \neq \{0\}$, let Δ_c be the complementary factor of Δ with values in $\mathcal{B}(E'',E)$ for some nonzero Hilbert space E''. Choose a cyclic vector $g \in H^2_{E''}$ of $S^*_{E''}$ and define

$$\Phi := \big[[z\Delta_c g], \Delta\big],$$

where $[z\Delta_c g](z) : \mathbb{C} \to E$ is given by $[z\Delta_c g](z)\alpha := \alpha z \Delta_c(z) g(z)$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.8 that Φ belongs to $H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$, where $D = \mathbb{C} \oplus E'$. For each $x \equiv \alpha \oplus x_0 \in D$, $f \in H^2_{E'}$, and $n = 1,2,3,\cdots$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \Phi(z)x,\ z^n \Delta(z) f(z) \big\rangle_E dm(z) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \alpha z \Delta_c(z) g(z) + \Delta(z) x_0,\ z^n \Delta(z) f(z) \big\rangle_E dm(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle x_0,\ z^n f(z) \big\rangle_{E'} dm(z) \quad (\text{since } \Delta^* \Delta_c = 0) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

It thus follows from Lemma 3.13 that

$$\Delta H^2_{E'} \subseteq \ker H^*_\Phi. \tag{47}$$

For the reverse inclusion, suppose $h \in \ker H^*_\Phi$. Then by Lemma 3.13, we have that for each $x \equiv \alpha \oplus x_0 \in D$ and $n = 1,2,3,\cdots$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle [z\Delta_c g](z)\alpha,\ z^n h(z) \big\rangle_E dm(z) + \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \Delta(z) x_0,\ z^n h(z) \big\rangle_E dm(z) = 0.$$

Take $\alpha = 0$. Then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \Delta(z) x_0,\ z^n h(z) \big\rangle_E dm(z) = 0,$$

which implies, by Lemma 3.13, that $h \in \ker H_{\Delta^*}$. It thus follows from Lemma 3.15 that

$$\ker H^*_\Phi \subseteq \ker H_{\Delta^*} = \Delta H^2_{E'} \bigoplus \Delta_c H^2_{E''}. \tag{48}$$

Assume to the contrary that $\ker H^*_\Phi \neq \Delta H^2_{E'}$. Then by (47) and (48), there exists a nonzero function $f \in H^2_{E''}$ such that $\Delta_c f \in \ker H^*_\Phi$. It thus follows from Lemma 3.13

that for each $x \equiv \alpha \oplus x_0 \in D$ and $n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \Phi(z)x,\ z^n \Delta_c(z) f(z) \big\rangle_E dm(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle \alpha z \Delta_c(z) g(z) + \Delta(z) x_0,\ z^n \Delta_c(z) f(z) \big\rangle_E dm(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \big\langle z[g](z)\alpha,\ z^n f(z) \big\rangle_{E''} dm(z) \quad (\text{since } \Delta^* \Delta_c = 0), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $f \in \ker H^*_{\overline{z}\check{[g]}}$. Since g is a cyclic vector of $S^*_{E''}$, it thus follows from Lemma 3.16 that

$$f \in \big(\text{cl ran}\, H_{\overline{z}\check{[g]}}\big)^\perp = \big(E^*_g\big)^\perp = \{0\},$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof. □

If Δ is an $n \times r$ inner matrix function, then we can find a solution $\Phi \in H^\infty_{M_{n\times m}}$ (with $m \le r+1$) of the equation $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{\mathbb{C}^r}$.

Corollary 5.2. For a given $n \times r$ inner matrix function Δ, there exists at least a solution $\Phi \in H^\infty_{M_{n\times m}}$ (with $m \le r+1$) of the equation $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{\mathbb{C}^r}$.

Proof. If $\ker \Delta^* = \{0\}$, then this is obvious. Let $\ker \Delta^* \neq \{0\}$ and $\Delta_c \in H^\infty_{M_{p\times n}}$ be the complementary factor of Δ. Then by Lemma 3.15, $1 \le p \le n-r$. For $j = 1, 2, \cdots, p$, put

$$g_j := e^{\frac{1}{z-\alpha_j}},$$

where α_j are distinct points in the interval $[2, 3]$. Then it is known that (cf. [Ni1, P. 55])

$$g := \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ \vdots \\ g_p \end{bmatrix} \in H^\infty_{\mathbb{C}^p}$$

is a cyclic vector of $S^*_{\mathbb{C}^p}$. Put $\Phi := \big[[z\Delta_c g], \Delta\big]$. Then by Lemma 3.7, we have $\Phi \in H^\infty_{M_{n\times(r+1)}}$. The same argument as the proof of Lemma 5.1 gives the result. □

Corollary 5.3. If Δ is an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E', E)$, then there exists a function $\Phi \in L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$ (with $D = E'$ or $D = \mathbb{C} \oplus E'$) such that $\Phi \equiv \Delta A^* + B$ is the BLH-canonical decomposition of Φ.

Proof. By Lemma 5.1, there exists a function $\Phi \in H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$ such that $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'}$, with $D = E'$ or $D = \mathbb{C} \oplus E'$. If we put $A := \Phi^* \Delta$ and $B := \Phi - \Delta A^*$, then by the proof of the first assertion of Theorem A, $\Phi = \Delta A^* + B$ is the BLH-canonical decomposition of Φ. □

Remark 5.4. In view of Corollary 5.2, it is reasonable to ask whether such a solution $\Phi \in L^2_{M_{n\times m}}$ of the equation $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{\mathbb{C}^r}$ (Δ an $n \times r$ inner matrix function) exists for each $m = 1, 2, \cdots$ even though it exists for some m. For example, let

$$\Delta := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{49}$$

Then, by Corollary 5.2, there exists a solution $\Phi \in L^2_{M_{2\times m}}$ ($m = 1$ or 2) of the equation $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2$. For $m = 2$, let

$$\Phi := \begin{bmatrix} z & za \\ 1 & -a \end{bmatrix} \in H^\infty_{M_2}, \tag{50}$$

where $a \in H^\infty$ is such that $\overline{a}$ is not of bounded type. Then a direct calculation shows that $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \ker H_{\Phi^*} = \Delta H^2$. We may then ask how about the case $m = 1$. In this case, the answer is affirmative. To see this, let

$$\Psi := \begin{bmatrix} z + za \\ 1 - a \end{bmatrix} \in H^\infty_{M_{2\times 1}},$$

where $a \in H^\infty$ is such that $\overline{a}$ is not of bounded type. Then a direct calculation shows that $\ker H_{\Psi^*} = \Delta H^2$. Therefore, if Δ is given by (49), then we may assert that there exists a solution $\Phi \in L^2_{M_{n\times m}}$ of the equation $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2$ for each $m = 1, 2$. However, this assertion is not true in general, i.e., a solution exists for some m, but may not exist for another $m_0 < m$. To see this, let

$$\Delta := \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in H^\infty_{M_{4\times 3}}.$$

Then Δ is inner. We will show that there exists no solution $\Phi \in L^2_{M_{4\times 1}}$ (i.e., the case $m = 1$) of the equation $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{\mathbb{C}^3}$. Assume to the contrary that $\Phi \in L^2_{M_{4\times 1}}$ is a solution of the equation $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{\mathbb{C}^3}$. By Theorem A, Φ can be written as

$$\Phi = \Delta A^* + B,$$

where $A \in H^2_{M_{1\times 3}}$ is such that Δ and A are right coprime. But since $\widetilde{\Delta} H^2_{\mathbb{C}^4} = zH^2 \oplus zH^2 \oplus H^2$, it follows that

$$\widetilde{\Delta} H^2_{\mathbb{C}^4} \bigvee \widetilde{A} H^2 \neq H^2_{\mathbb{C}^3},$$

which implies that Δ and A are not right coprime, a contradiction. Therefore we cannot find any solution Φ, in $L^2_{M_{4\times 1}}$ (the case $m = 1$), of the equation $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{\mathbb{C}^3}$. However, if $m = 2$, then we can find a solution $\Phi \in L^2_{M_{4\times 2}}$. Indeed, let

$$\Phi := \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & z \\ 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $a \in H^\infty$ is such that $\overline{a}$ is not of bounded type. A straightforward calculation shows that $\ker H_{\Phi^*} = zH^2 \oplus zH^2 \oplus H^2 \oplus \{0\} = \Delta H^2_{\mathbb{C}^3}$. Thus we obtain a solution for $m = 2$ although there exists no solution for $m = 1$. □

Let Δ be an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E', E)$. In view of Remark 5.4, we may ask how to determine a possible dimension of D for which there exists a solution $\Phi \in L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$ of the equation $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'}$. In fact, if we have a solution $\Phi \in L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$ of the equation $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'}$, then a solution $\Psi \in L^2_s(D', E))$ also exists if D' is a separable complex Hilbert space containing D: indeed, if $\mathbf{0}$ denotes the zero operator in $\mathcal{B}(D' \ominus D, E)$ and $\Psi := [\Phi, \mathbf{0}]$, then it follows from Lemma 3.13 that

$\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \ker H^*_{\check{\Psi}}$. Thus we would like to ask what is the infimum of $\dim D$ such that there exists a solution $\Phi \in L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$ of the equation $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'}$. To answer this question, we introduce a notion of the "Beurling degree" for an inner function, by employing a canonical decomposition of strong L^2-functions induced by the given inner function.

Definition 5.5. Let Δ be an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E',E)$. Then the *Beurling degree* of Δ, denoted by $\deg_B(\Delta)$, is defined by the infimum of the dimension of nonzero space D for which there exists a pair (A,B) such that $\Phi = \Delta A^* + B$ is a canonical decomposition of Φ in $L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$: i.e.,

$$\deg_B(\Delta) := \inf \dim D \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{\infty\}.$$

Note. By Corollary 5.3, $\deg_B(\Delta)$ is well-defined: indeed, $1 \le \deg_B(\Delta) \le 1 + \dim E'$. In particular, if $E' = \{0\}$, then $\deg_B(\Delta) = 1$. Also if Δ is a unitary operator then clearly, $\deg_B(\Delta) = 1$.

We are ready for:

Proof of Theorem B. Let $T := S^*_E|_{\mathcal{H}(\Delta)}$. We first claim that

(51)
$$\deg_B(\Delta) = \inf\big\{\dim D : \ \ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'} \text{ for some } \Phi \in L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E)) \text{ with } D \neq \{0\}\big\}.$$

To see this, let Δ be an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E',E)$, with $\dim E' < \infty$. Suppose that $\Phi = \Delta A^* + B$ is a canonical decomposition of Φ in $L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$. Then by the uniqueness of Δ in Theorem A, we have

$$\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'}, \tag{52}$$

which implies

(53)
$$\deg_B(\Delta) \ge \inf\big\{\dim D : \ \ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'} \text{ for some } \Phi \in L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E)) \text{ with } D \neq \{0\}\big\}.$$

For the reverse inequality of (53), suppose $\Phi \in L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D,E))$ satisfies $\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'}$. Then by the same argument as in the proof of the first assertion of Theorem A,

$$\Phi = \Delta A^* + B \quad (A := \Phi^*\Delta \text{ and } B := \Phi - \Delta A^*)$$

is a canonical decomposition of Φ, and hence we have the reverse inequality of (53). This proves the claim (51). We will next show that

$$\deg_B(\Delta) \le \mu_T. \tag{54}$$

If $\mu_T = \infty$, then (54) is trivial. Suppose $p \equiv \mu_T < \infty$. Then there exists a subset $G = \{g_1, g_2, \cdots g_p\} \subseteq H^2_E$ such that $E^*_G = \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$. Put

$$\Psi := z[G].$$

Then by Lemma 3.7, $\Psi \in H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^p, E))$. It thus follows from Lemma 3.16 that

$$\mathcal{H}(\Delta) = E^*_G = \operatorname{cl} \operatorname{ran} H_{\overline{z}[\check{G}]} = \operatorname{cl} \operatorname{ran} H_{\check{\Psi}},$$

which implies $\ker H^*_{\check{\Psi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'}$. Thus by (51), $\deg_B(\Delta) \le p = \mu_T$, which proves (54). For the reverse inequality of (54), suppose that $r \equiv \dim E' < \infty$, Write $m_0 \equiv \deg_B(\Delta)$. Then

it follows from Lemma 5.1 and (51) that $m_0 \leq r+1 < \infty$ and there exists a function $\Phi \in L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^{m_0}, E))$ such that

$$\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{\mathbb{C}^r}. \tag{55}$$

Now let

$$G := \Phi_+ - \hat{\Phi}(0).$$

Thus we may write $G = zF$ for some $F \in H^2_s(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^{m_0}, E))$. Then by Lemma 3.16, we have that

$$E^*_{\{F\}} = \text{cl ran}\, H_{\overline{z}\check{F}} = \big(\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}}\big)^{\perp} = \mathcal{H}(\Delta),$$

which implies $\mu_T \leq m_0 = \deg_B(\Delta)$. This completes the proof of Theorem B. □

Corollary 5.6. Let $T := S^*_E|_{\mathcal{H}(\Delta)}$. If $\text{rank}\,(I - T^*T) < \infty$, then

$$\mu_T = \deg_B(\Delta).$$

Proof. This follows at once from Theorem B together with the observation that if $\Delta(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}(E', E)$, then $\dim E' \leq \dim E = \text{rank}\,(I - T^*T) < \infty$, where the second equality comes from the Model Theorem (cf. p.5). □

Remark 5.7. We conclude with some remarks on Theorem B.

(a) From a careful analysis of the proof of Theorem B, we can see that (54) holds in general without the assumption "$\dim E' < \infty$": more concretely, given an inner function Δ with values in $\mathcal{B}(E', E)$, if $T := S^*_E|_{\mathcal{H}(\Delta)}$, then

$$\deg_B(\Delta) \leq \mu_T.$$

(b) Suppose Δ is an inner function with values in $\mathcal{B}(E', E)$, with $\dim E' < \infty$. If $\Phi = \Delta A^* + B$ is a canonical decomposition of Φ in $L^2_s(\mathcal{B}(D, E))$. Then by Theorem A, we have that

$$\ker H^*_{\check{\Phi}} = \Delta H^2_{E'}.$$

It thus follows from the proof of Theorem B that

$$E^*_{\{F\}} = \mathcal{H}(\Delta),$$

where F is defined by

$$F(z) := \overline{z}\big(\Phi_+(z) - \hat{\Phi}(0)\big).$$

This gives an answer to the problem of describing the set $\{F\}$ in H^2_E such that $\mathcal{H}(\Delta) = E^*_{\{F\}}$, given an inner function Δ with values in $\mathcal{B}(E', E)$, with $\dim E' < \infty$ (cf. p.2).

(c) From Remark 5.4 and (51), we see that if

$$\Delta := \begin{bmatrix} z & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

then $\deg_B(\Delta) = 2$. Let $T := S^*_{\mathbb{C}^4}|_{\mathcal{H}(\Delta)}$. Observe that $\mathcal{H}(\Delta) = \mathcal{H}(z) \oplus \mathcal{H}(z) \oplus \{0\} \oplus H^2$. Since $\mathcal{H}(z) \oplus \mathcal{H}(z)$ has no cyclic vector, we must have $\mu_T \neq 1$. In fact, if we put $f = (1\ 0\ 0\ a)^t$ ($\overline{a}$ is not of bounded type) and $g = (0\ 1\ 0\ 0)^t$, then $E^*_{\{f,g\}} = \mathcal{H}(\Delta)$, which implies $\mu_T = 2$. This illustrates Theorem B.

Acknowledgements. The work of the first named author was supported by NRF(Korea) grant No. 2016R1A2B4012378. The work of the second named author was supported by NRF(Korea) grant No. 2018R1A2B6004116.

References

[Ab] M.B. Abrahamse, *Subnormal Toeplitz operators and functions of bounded type*, Duke Math. J. **43** (1976), 597–604.

[ADR] D. Alpay, A. Dijksma, and J. Rovnyak, *A theorem on Beurling-Lax type for Hilbert spaces of functions analytic in the unit ball*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **47** (2003), 251–274.

[AS] D. Alpay and I. Sabodini, *Beurling-Lax type theorems in the complex and quaternionic setting*, Linear Alg. Appl. **530** (2017), 15–46.

[BH1] J.A. Ball and J.W. Helton, *A Beurling-Lax theorem for the Lie group $U(m, n)$ which contains most classical interpolation theory*, J. Operator Theory **9** (1983), 107–142.

[BH2] J.A. Ball and J.W. Helton, *Beurling-Lax represenatations using classical Lie groups with many applications. III. Groups preserving two bilinear forms*, Amer. J. Math. **108** (1986), 95–174.

[BH3] J.A. Ball and J.W. Helton, *Shift invariant manifolds and nonlinear analytic function theory*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **11** (1988), 615–725.

[Beu] A. Beurling, *On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space*, Acta Math. **81** (1949), 239-255.

[BS] A. Böttcher and B. Silbermann, *Analysis of Toeplitz Operators*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2006.

[Ca] M. Carlsson, *On the Beurling-Lax theorem for domains with one hole*, New York J. Math. **17A** (2011), 193–212.

[Con] J.B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, Springer, New York, 1990.

[Co1] C. Cowen, *Hyponormality of Toeplitz operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1988), 809–812.

[Co2] C. Cowen, *Hyponormal and subnormal Toeplitz operators*, Surveys of Some Recent Results in Operator Theory, I (J.B. Conway and B.B. Morrel, eds.), Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, Vol **171** (1988), 155–167.

[CHKL] R.E. Curto, I.S. Hwang, D. Kang and W.Y. Lee, *Subnormal and quasinormal Toeplitz operators with matrix-valued rational symbols*, Adv. Math. **255** (2014), 561–585.

[CHL1] R.E. Curto, I.S. Hwang and W.Y. Lee, *Which subnormal Toeplitz operators are either normal or analytic ?*, J. Funct. Anal. **263(8)** (2012), 2333-2354.

[CHL2] R.E. Curto, I.S. Hwang and W.Y. Lee, *Hyponormality and subnormality of block Toeplitz operators*, Adv. Math. **230** (2012), 2094-2151.

[CHL3] R.E. Curto, I.S. Hwang and W.Y. Lee, *Matrix functions of bounded type: An interplay between function theory and operator theory*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear), x+106 pp., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (in press); arXiv:1611.06462.

[dR] L. de Branges and J. Rovnyak, *Square Summable Power Series*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York-Toronto, 1966.

[Do1] R.G. Douglas, *Banach Algebra Techniques in Operator Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.

[Do2] R.G. Douglas, *Banach Algebra Techniques in the Theory of Toeplitz Operators*, CBMS vol. 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1973.

[DSS] R.G. Douglas, H. Shapiro, and A. Shields, *Cyclic vectors and invariant subspaces for the backward shift operator*, Ann. Inst. Fourier(Grenoble) **20** (1970), 37–76.

[FF] C. Foiaş and A. Frazho, *The Commutant Lifting Approach to Interpolation Problems*, Oper. Th. Adv. Appl. vol. 44, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.

[FB] A. Frazho and W. Bhosri, *An Operator Perspective on Signals and Systems*, Oper. Th. Adv. Appl. vol. 204, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2010.

[Fu1] P. Fuhrmann, *On Hankel operator ranges, meromorphic pseudo-continuation and factorization of operator-valued anaytic functions*, J. London Math. Soc.(2), **13** (1975), 323–327.

[Fu2] P. Fuhrmann, *Linear Systems and Operators in Hilbert Spaces*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1981.

[Go] S. Goldberg, *Unbounded Linear Operators*, Dover, New York, 2006.

[GHR] C. Gu, J. Hendricks and D. Rutherford, *Hyponormality of block Toeplitz operators*, Pacific J. Math. **223** (2006), 95–111.
[Ha1] P.R. Halmos, *Shifts on Hilbert spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **208** (1961), 102-112.
[Ha2] P.R. Halmos, *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*, Springer, New York, 1982.
[Hed] H. Hedenmalm, *A factorization theorem for square area-integrable analytic functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **422** (1991), 45–68.
[La] P.D. Lax, *Translation invariant subspaces*, Acta Math. **101** (1959), 163-178.
[MR] R. A. Martinez-Avendaño and P. Rosental, *An Introduction to Operators on the Hardy-Hilbert Space*, Springer, New York, 2007.
[Ni1] N.K. Nikolskii, *Treatise on the Shift Operator*, Springer, New York, 1986.
[Ni2] N.K. Nikolskii, *Operators, Functions, and Systems: An Easy Reading Volume I: Hardy, Hankel, and Toeplitz*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 92, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2002.
[Pe] V.V. Peller, *Hankel Operators and Their Applications*, Springer, New York, 2003.
[Po] V.P. Potapov, *The multiplicative structure of J-contractive matrix functions*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **15(2)** (1960), 131–243.
[Ri] S. Richter, *A representation theorem for cyclic analytic two-isometries*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **328(1)** (1991), 325–349.
[Sa] D. Sarason, *Sub-Hardy Hilbert Spaces in the Unit Disk*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994.
[SFBK] B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foiaş, H. Berkovici, and L. Kérchy, *Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space*, Springer, New York, 2010.
[VN] V.I. Vasyunin and N.K. Nikolskii, *Classification of H^2-functions according to the degree of their cyclicity*, Math. USSR Izvestiya **23(2)** (1984), 225–242.
[Wo] W.R. Wogen, *On some operators with cyclic vectors*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **27** (1978), 163–171.

In Sung Hwang
Department of Mathematics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 16419, Korea
E-mail: ihwang@skku.edu

Woo Young Lee
Department of Mathematics and RIM, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea
E-mail: wylee@snu.ac.kr