arXiv:1809.06037v1 [math.PR] 17 Sep 2018

On tails of exit times of multidimensional Lévy processes

Rafał M. Łochowski

September 18, 2018

Abstract

Using a very simple argument based on the indepenence of increments and the fact that in a finite dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^d$ there are not too many directions, we derive a theorem stating that exit time of any (non-constant) Lévy process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ from a ball has exponentially light tails.

1 Introduction

In this note we deal with the tails of exit times of a Lévy process X on $\mathbb{R}^d$ from the ball with radius c, centered at X_0, defined as

$$\tau^c := \inf\{t > 0 : |X_t - X_0| \geq c\},$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

There are many exact results on the characteristics of the distribution of such exit times for one-dimensional Lévy processes, see for example [3], [2]. The most general results on the distribution of such exit times for the multidimensional Lévy processes are the classical results of Pruit [6], where he obtains (among others) universal estimates of $\mathbb{E}\tau^c$ (in terms of the characteristics of the Lévy measure of the process) and the polynomial decay of the tails of τ^c, see [6, Remark]. Bogdan, Grzywny and Ryznar [1] obtained generalisations of Pruit's results for exit times of isotropic, unimodal Lévy processes, for more general domains.

In this note, using a very simple argument based on the indepenence of increments and the fact that in a finite dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^d$ there are not too many directions, we derive a theorem stating that exit times of any (non-constant) Lévy process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ from any open ball, have exponentially light tails. Next we obtain finer estimates of the tails of the exit time from a ball when X is a self similar, α-stable process.

2 Main result

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *Let X be a non-constant Lévy process which attains its values on $\mathbb{R}^d$. There exist positive constants M_d and L_d such that for $k = 1, 2, \ldots,$*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\tau^c \geq M_d \cdot k \cdot \mathbb{E}\tau^c\right) \leq 2^{-k} \tag{1}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\tau^c \geq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\tau^c\right) \geq \frac{9}{16L_d}. \tag{2}$$

Remark 2 *From the proof we get the following values of M_d and L_d. $L_d = 1200K_d^2$ and $M_d = 4800\sqrt{2}K_d^{5/2}$, where $K_1 = 21$ and for $d = 2, 3, \ldots$ $K_d = 4\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k\left(1 - 2^{-3d}\right)^{(k-1)/2}$.*

Proof. For a real variable U let $m(U)$ denote the median of U so that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(U \geq m(U)\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}\left(U > m(U)\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let us introduce other family of stopping times:

$$\sigma^c := \inf\left\{t > 0 : \sup_{0\leq s\leq t} |X_t - X_s| \geq c\right\}.$$

We naturally have

$$\sigma^c \leq \tau^c \leq \sigma^{2c}.$$

Since X is non-constant, by [6, (3.2)], $\mathbb{P}\left(\sigma^c < +\infty\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\tau^c < +\infty\right) = 1$.

By the independence and stationarity of the increments of X we have that for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\sigma^c > k \cdot m\left(\sigma^c\right)\right) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s,t\in[0,k\cdot m(\sigma^c)]} |X_t - X_s| \leq c\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k}\left\{\sup_{s,t\in[(i-1)\cdot m(\sigma^c),i\cdot m(\sigma^c)]} |X_t - X_s| \leq c\right\}\right) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{k}\mathbb{P}\left(\left\{\sup_{s,t\in[(i-1)\cdot m(\sigma^c),i\cdot m(\sigma^c)]} |X_t - X_s| \leq c\right\}\right) \\
&= \left(\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{s,t\in[0,m(\sigma^c)]} |X_t - X_s| \leq c\right)\right)^k \\
&= \left(\mathbb{P}\left(\sigma^c > m\left(\sigma^c\right)\right)\right)^k \leq 2^{-k}.
\end{aligned}$$

(similar argument may be found for example in [5, proof of Proposition 3.4]. Next let us introduce the following stopping times: $\tau_0^c = 0$ and for $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$

$$\tau_n^c := \inf\left\{t > \tau_{n-1}^c : \sup_{\tau_{n-1}^c\leq s\leq t} |X_t - X_s| \geq c\right\}$$

(we naturally have $\tau_1^c = \tau^c$). Let O^r, $r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2^d - 1$, be the orthants of $\mathbb{R}^d$ defined in the following way: for $\varepsilon = \left(\varepsilon^1, \varepsilon^2, \ldots, \varepsilon^d\right)$, where $\varepsilon^j \in \{-1, 1\}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, d$, we define: $r = \sum_{j=1}^{d} 2^{j-2}\left(\varepsilon^j + 1\right)$ and

$$O^r = \left\{x = \left(x^1, x^2, \ldots, x^d\right) \in \mathbb{R}^d : \varepsilon^j x^j \geq 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \ldots, d\right\}.$$

Now for $r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2^d - 1$ we define

$$p^r := \mathbb{P}\left(X_{\tau^c} - X_0 \in O^r\right).$$

Since $\cup_{r=0}^{2^d-1} O^r = \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbb{P}\left(\tau^c < +\infty\right) = 1$ we have

$$\sum_{r=0}^{2^d-1} p^r \geq \mathbb{P}\left(\tau^c < +\infty\right) = 1.$$

For $k = 0, 1, \ldots$ let us now consider the event

$$E_k := \left\{\exists r = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2^d - 1, \text{ such that } X_{\tau^c_{k+i}} - X_{\tau^c_{k+i-1}} \in O^r \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4\right\}.$$

Notice that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(E_k\right) = \sum_{r=0}^{2^d-1} \left(p^r\right)^4 \geq 2^d \left(\frac{\sum_{r=0}^{2^d-1} p^r}{2^d}\right)^4 \geq 2^{-3d}. \tag{3}$$

Moreover, if $\omega \in E_k$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|X_{\tau^c_{k+4}}(\omega) - X_{\tau^c_k}(\omega)\right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left|X_{\tau^c_{k+i}}(\omega) - X_{\tau^c_{k+i-1}}(\omega)\right|^2 \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \left(X_{\tau^c_{k+i}}(\omega) - X_{\tau^c_{k+i-1}}(\omega)\right) \circ \left(X_{\tau^c_{k+j}}(\omega) - X_{\tau^c_{k+j-1}}(\omega)\right) \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left|X_{\tau^c_{k+i}}(\omega) - X_{\tau^c_{k+i-1}}(\omega)\right|^2 \geq 4c^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where the last but one inequality follows from the fact that the differences $X_{\tau^c_{k+i}}(\omega) - X_{\tau^c_{k+i-1}}(\omega)$ and $X_{\tau^c_{k+j}}(\omega) - X_{\tau^c_{k+j-1}}(\omega)$ belong to the same orthant. From (4) and (3) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\left(\sigma^{2c} > \tau^c_{4k}\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left|X_{\tau^c_{4(i+1)}}(\omega) - X_{\tau^c_{4i}}(\omega)\right| < 2c \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k-1\right) \\
&\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\omega \notin E_{4i} \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k-1\right) \\
&= \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{P}\left(\omega \notin E_{4i}\right) \\
&\leq \left(1 - 2^{-3d}\right)^k.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\sigma^{2c} &\leq \mathbb{E}\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}\tau_{4k}^{c}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_{4(k-1)}^{c}<\sigma^{2c}\leq\tau_{4k}^{c}\right\}} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\tau_{4k}^{c}\right)^{2}\right)^{1/2}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(\sigma^{2c}>\tau_{4(k-1)}^{c}\right)\right)^{1/2} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}\left(4k\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^{c}\right)^{2}+4k\left(4k-1\right)\left(\mathbb{E}\tau^{c}\right)^{2}\right)^{1/2}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(\sigma^{2c}>\tau_{4(k-1)}^{c}\right)\right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty}4k\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^{c}\right)^{2}\right)^{1/2}\left(1-2^{-3d}\right)^{(k-1)/2} \\
&= K_{d}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^{c}\right)^{2}\right)^{1/2}, \qquad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

where $K_d = 4\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k\left(1-2^{-3d}\right)^{(k-1)/2}$.

In the case $d = 1$ we are able to obtain a smaller value for K_1 than $4\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} k\left(7/8\right)^{(k-1)/2} = \frac{64}{\left(\sqrt{14}-4\right)^2} \approx 958.93$. To do this let us first observe that for $d = 1$ the inequality $\sigma^{2c} > \tau_k^c$, $k = 2, 3, \ldots$, implies that the differences $X_{\tau_{i+1}^c}(\omega) - X_{\tau_i^c}(\omega)$ and $X_{\tau_{i+2}^c}(\omega) - X_{\tau_{i+1}^c}(\omega)$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k-2$, belong to different orthants. This occurs with the probability

$$\underbrace{p^0p^1\ldots}_{k-1}+\underbrace{p^1p^0\ldots}_{k-1}\leq 2^{2-k}.$$

Now, using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\sigma^{2c} &\leq \mathbb{E}\tau_2^c + \mathbb{E}\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty}\tau_{k+1}^{c}\mathbf{1}_{\left\{\tau_k^c<\sigma^{2c}\leq\tau_{k+1}^{c}\right\}} \\
&\leq 2\mathbb{E}\tau^c + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\tau_{k+1}^{c}\right)^{2}\right)^{1/2}\left(\mathbb{P}\left(\sigma^{2c}>\tau_k^{c}\right)\right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq 2\mathbb{E}\tau^c + \sum_{k=2}^{+\infty}(k+1)\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^{c}\right)^{2}\right)^{1/2}\left(2^{2-k}\right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq 2\mathbb{E}\tau^c + \left(\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^{c}\right)^{2}\right)^{1/2}\sum_{k=2}^{+\infty}(k+1)\left(2^{2-k}\right)^{1/2} \\
&= 2\mathbb{E}\tau^c + 2\left(5+3\sqrt{2}\right)\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^{c}\right)^{2}\right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq 21\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^{c}\right)^{2}\right)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (5) we estimate

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\sigma^{2c}\right)^2 \leq K_d\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^{c}\right)^2$$

hence

$$m\left(\left(\sigma^{2c}\right)^2\right) \leq 2K_d\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^c\right)^2 \tag{6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\left(\tau^c\right)^2 \geq k^2 \cdot 2K_d\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^c\right)^2\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left(\tau^c\right)^2 \geq k^2 \cdot m\left(\left(\sigma^{2c}\right)^2\right)\right)\\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\left(\sigma^{2c}\right)^2 \geq k^2 \cdot m\left(\left(\sigma^{2c}\right)^2\right)\right)\\ &\leq 2^{-k}.\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

From (7) we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^c\right)^4\\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}\left((k+1)^2 \cdot 2K_d\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^c\right)^2\right)^2 \cdot \mathbb{P}\left(k^2 \cdot 2K_d\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^c\right)^2 < \left(\tau^c\right)^2 \leq (k+1)^2 \cdot 2K_d\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^c\right)^2\right)\\ &\leq \left(\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^c\right)^2\right)^2 4K_d^2\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}(k+1)^4 \cdot 2^{-k} = L_d\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^c\right)^2\right)^2,\end{aligned}$$

where $L_d = 4K_d^2\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty}(k+1)^4 \cdot 2^{-k} = 1200K_d^2$.

To finish our proof we will use the Paley-Zygmund inequality. From the Paley-Zygmund inequality and (7) it follows that for any $\lambda \in [0,1]$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\left(\tau^c\right)^2 \geq \lambda\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^c\right)^2\right) &\geq (1-\lambda)^2\frac{\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^c\right)^2\right)^2}{\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^c\right)^4}\\ &\geq \frac{(1-\lambda)^2}{L_d}.\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Now we see that

$$\mathbb{E}\tau^c \geq \frac{(1-\lambda)^2}{L_d}\left(\lambda\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^c\right)^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

From this, setting $\lambda = 1/5$ we get

$$\mathbb{E}\tau^c \geq \frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{5}\right)^2}{L_d}\left(\frac{1}{5}\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^c\right)^2\right)^{1/2} = \frac{16\sqrt{5}}{125}\frac{1}{L_d}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^c\right)^2\right)^{1/2} \geq \frac{1}{4L_d}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^c\right)^2\right)^{1/2}. \tag{9}$$

This, together with (7) implies

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\tau^c \geq k\cdot\sqrt{2K_d}4L_d\mathbb{E}\tau^c\right) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\tau^c \geq k\cdot\sqrt{2K_d}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^c\right)^2\right)^{1/2}\right)\\ &\leq 2^{-k}\end{aligned}$$

from which, defining $M_d := \sqrt{2K_d}4L_d = 4800\sqrt{2}K_d^{5/2}$ we obtain (1).

From (8) we also get (2)

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\tau^c \geq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\tau^c\right) \geq \mathbb{P}\left(\tau^c \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\tau^c\right)^2\right)^{1/2}\right) \geq \frac{9}{16L_d}.$$

■

Remark 3 *Our method yields similar lower bound for* $\mathbb{P}\left(\tau^c \geq \kappa\mathbb{E}\tau^c\right)$ *(*κ *small) as [6, (3.2)]. Indeed, from (8) and (9) for* $\lambda \in [0,1]$ *we have*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\tau^c \geq \frac{\lambda}{4L_d}\mathbb{E}\tau^c\right) \geq \frac{(1-\lambda)^2}{L_d}.$$

Estimates of $\mathbb{E}\tau^c$ *(up to universal constants) expressed in terms of the characteristics of the Lévy measure of* X *are stated in [6, p. 954].*

In the case when X is a strictly α-stable process ($\alpha \in (0,2]$), we can obtain better estimates of the tails of τ^c then those of Theorem 1. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 4 *Let* $\alpha \in (0,2]$ *and let* X *be a strictly* α*-stable process on* $\mathbb{R}^d$*. If* β *is the median of* $\sup_{0\leq s\leq 1}|X_s|$ *then for* $k = 1,2,\ldots$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\tau^c > 2^\alpha k \cdot (c/\beta)^\alpha\right) \leq 2^{-k}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\tau^c \geq (c/\beta)^\alpha\right) \geq 2^{-1}.$$

Proof. If X a strictly α-stable process then $X_0 = 0$ and X has the following scaling property: for $t \geq 0$ and $A > 0$

$$X_{At} =^{law} A^{1/\alpha}X_t. \tag{10}$$

Let $u(c)$ be the median of τ^c, in other words the mapping $(0,+\infty) \ni c \mapsto u(c) \in (0,+\infty)$ is such that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\tau^c \leq u(c)\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\leq s\leq u(c)}|X_s| \geq c\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\tau^c < u(c)\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\leq s\leq u(c)}|X_s| > c\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{11}$$

By the scaling property of X, $(u(c))^{-1/\alpha}c$ is the median of $\sup_{0\leq s\leq 1}|X_s|$, thus

$$u(c) = \frac{c^\alpha}{\beta^\alpha}. \tag{12}$$

From (11) and (12) we immediately get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\tau^c \geq (c/\beta)^\alpha\right) &= 1 - \mathbb{P}\left(\tau^c < (c/\beta)^\alpha\right) \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{P}\left(\tau^c < u\left(c\right)\right) \\ &\geq 2^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Also, using the independence of the increments and scaling, for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left(\tau^c > 2^\alpha k \cdot u\left(c\right)\right) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\leq s\leq 2^\alpha k\cdot u(c)} |X_s| < c\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\leq s<t\leq 2^\alpha k\cdot u(c)} |X_s - X_t| < 2c\right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{2^\alpha(j-1)\cdot u(c)\leq s<t\leq 2^\alpha j\cdot u(c)} |X_s - X_t| < 2c \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \ldots, k\right) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{k} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{2^\alpha(j-1)\cdot u(c)\leq s<t\leq 2^\alpha j\cdot u(c)} |X_s - X_t| < 2c\right) \\ &= \left(\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\leq s<t\leq 2^\alpha u(c)} |X_s - X_t| < 2c\right)\right)^k \\ &\leq \left(\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\leq s\leq 2^\alpha u(c)} |X_s| < 2c\right)\right)^k = \left(\mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\leq s\leq u(c)} 2\,|X_s| < 2c\right)\right)^k \\ &= \left(1 - \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{0\leq s\leq u(c)} |X_s| \geq c\right)\right)^k \\ &\leq 2^{-k}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

■

Remark 5 *For $d = 1$ it is interesting to compare the obtained exponentially decaying tails of τ^c with the tails of the stopping times*

$$\upsilon^c := \inf\left\{t > 0 : X_t > c\right\} \text{ and } \upsilon^{-c} := \inf\left\{t > 0 : X_t < -c\right\}.$$

If X is a symmetric, strictly α-stable, one-dimensional motion then, by [4, Theorem 4.6], for sufficiently large ts

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\upsilon^c > t\right) \geq \frac{1}{2\cdot 10^4}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Psi\left(1/c\right)}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}},$$

where $\Psi(\theta) = \gamma\,|\theta|^\alpha$ (γ is a positive constant) is the characteristic exponent of X, thus υ^c and υ^{-c} have much heavier tails than $\tau^c = \upsilon^c \wedge \upsilon^{-c}$.

References

[1] K. Bogdan, T. Grzywny, and M. Ryznar. Barriers, exit time and survival probability for unimodal lévy processes. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, 162(1-2):155–198, 2015.

[2] S. Fourati. Explicit solutions of the exit problem for a class of Lévy processes; applications to the pricing of double-barrier options. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 122(3):1034–1067, 2012.

[3] V.F. Kadankov and T.V. Kadankova. On the distribution of the time of the first exit from an interval and the value of a jump over the boundary for processes with independent increments and random walks. *Ukr. Math. J.*, 57(10):1590–1620, 2005.

[4] M. Kwaśnicki, J. Małecki and M. Ryznar. Suprema of Lévy processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 41(3):2047–2065, 2013.

[5] Jean Picard. A tree approach to p-variation and to integration. *Ann. Probab.*, 36(6):2235–2279, 2008.

[6] W. E. Pruitt. The growth of random walks and Lévy processes. *Ann. Probab.*, 9(6):948–956, 1981.