arXiv:1812.08436v1 [math.GT] 20 Dec 2018

Braid and knot invariants via triangulations and Ptolemy relations I

Vassily Olegovich Manturov

December 21, 2018

AMS MSC Primary: 57M25,57M27, Secondary:16S99,57M50 Keywords: knot, link, braid, Ptolemy relation, cluster algebra

Abstract

In this paper, we construct invariants of braids, knots and links by studying dynamics of points in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and applying the Ptolemy relation $ac+bd=xy$.

Let Δ be a triangle on the plane, say, $A=(-1,0), B=(0,2), C=(1,0)$. We associate labels a,b,c to AB, BC, AC respectively. Assume we have n more points $z_1,\cdots,z_n$ We enumerate A,B,C by $1,2,3$ and the remaining points by numbers from 4 to $3+n$.

We say that a collection of points $z_1,\cdots,z_n$ inside ABC is *generic* if among $A,B,C,z_1,\cdots,z_n$ there is no quadruple of points P,Q,R,S belonging to the same circle C such that no other point among z_j,A,B,C lies inside this quadrilateral. We say that a non-generic collection is a *simple flip point*, if there is exactly one quadruple of points as above for which the above situation happens. We shall consider paths in the configuration space $C_n(ABC)$ of n pairwise distinct ordered points inside the triangle ABC. A path is *generic* if there are finitely many non-generic collections of points, which are all simple flip points. By a small perturbation, every path can be transformed into a generic one. A generic path starting and ending at the same point will be called a generic braid.

Fix a collection of generic points $z^*=\{z_1^*,\cdots,z_n^*\}$ inside ABC to be the base point. We consider braids inside ABC as paths $z(t)$ in the configuration space $C_n(ABC)$ starting and ending at $z(0)=z(1)=z^*$.

The based triangulation has $3+3n$ edges: besides a,b,c, there are $3n$ edges. We canonically orient each edge from the point with smaller index to the point with larger index and associate variables $x_1,\cdots,x_{3n}$ to the edges oriented this way. The edge reversal will correspond to the sign change: say, the edge from 3 to 2 will have label $-a$.

Now, consider the field of rational functions in $3n+3$ variables $F_{z^*}=\mathbb{Q}(a,b,c,x_1,\cdots,x_{3n})$. For another triangulation, we consider the field of functions in other $3n+3$ variables, say, $F_{w^*}=\mathbb{Q}(a,b,c,y_1,\cdots,y_{3n})$.

The main idea for the construction of this map is: *to associate a concrete map to a flip* and then take a composition over all moments when flips occur.

When moving n points around, the triangulation undergoes some flips. With each flip (see Fig. 1), we associate the Ptolemy transformation:




Figure 1: The flip

$$y = \frac{ac + bd}{x}. \tag{1}$$

This equation describes the behaviour of variables when points undergo a flip. We get a map π from F_{z^*} to F_{w^*}. Note that this map is an isomorphism.

Starting with a triangulation T, we can consider loops in the space of triangulations, i.e., sequences of triangulations $T = T_0 \to T_1 \to T_2 \to \cdots \to T_k = T$ where every two adjacent triangulations T_i, T_{i+1} differ by a flip.

Certainly, when we deal with loops (braids), the labels of each T_i are expressible in terms of T_0, subsequently, for the triangulation $T_k = T$ we get an automorphism $\pi_\beta : F_{z^*} \to F_{z^*}$.

Theorem 1. *If a loop $T = T_0 \to T_k = T$ in the space of triangulations originates from a braid which is homotopic to the identity, then the labels of T_k coincide with those of T_0.*

In other words, π_β is the identity.

The main ingredient in the proof is the following Fig. 2.

Figure 2 represents an generic braid homotopic to identity and the map corresponding to it.

Assume we have two isotopic braids β and β' with the same base point z^*. Below we explicitly list those situations of codimension 2, a generic isotopy can pass through.

Consider an generic isotopy $\beta_s, s \in [0, 1], \beta_0 = \beta, \beta_1 = \beta'$.

As s changes, the map π_{β_s} does not change when the isotopy is generic. If $\beta_{s'}$ fails to be generic for some s, then one of the following three situations (typical situations of codimension 2) can occur:

1. Assume the isotopy gets to the flip point non-generically, namely: the sequence of transformations for $\beta_{s'+\varepsilon}$ differs from that of $\beta_{s'-\varepsilon}$ by two consequent inverse transformations, say, $(a, b, c, d, x) \to (a, b, c, d, \frac{ac+bd}{x}) \to (a, b, c, d, x)$, otherwise they coincide. This means that $\pi_{\beta_{s'+\varepsilon}} = \pi_{\beta_{s'-\varepsilon}}$ and hence $\pi_{\beta'} = \pi_\beta$.

2. $\beta_{s'}$ contains two quadruple points M and N at some moments t. For $\beta_{s'-\varepsilon}$ and $\beta_{s'+\varepsilon}$ there are two flip moments near t, say, for $\beta_{s'-\varepsilon}$ the flip corresponding to N precedes that corresponding to N, for $\beta_{s'+\varepsilon}$ the N-flip precedes the N-flip. When analysing the Ptolemy transformations for




Figure 2: The pentagon equation is satisfied

these two flips, we see that they deal with different variables, hence, these two transformation commute. Hence, $f(\beta) = f(\beta')$.

3. $\beta_{s'}$ contains a quintuple point; in this case, the two maps $f(\beta_{s'} - \varepsilon)$ and $f(\beta_{s'} + \varepsilon)$ coincide because of the pentagon relation (see Fig. 2).

■

To see that the above invariant of braids is really non-trivial, let us consider the following

Example 1. *Consider three points* $1, 2, 3$ *in the vertices of a triangle, one point* 4 *in the center of this triangle and one point* 5 *which goes around* 4 *in the neighbourhood of* 4.

This gives rise to a sequence of triangulations given in Fig. 3.

Let us calculate the labels of edges corresponding to these pictures. For the initial one, we denote the labels by $a(12), b(23), c(13), k(14), l(24), m(34), p(15), q(25), r(45)$ *as shown above.*

In all subsequent figures the points $1, 2, 3, 4$ *as well as labels* a, b, c, d, k, l, m *remain unchanged.*

Besides the initial triangulation T_0 *and the final one* T_6 *it is worth taking glance at* T_3. *It looks similar to* T_0, *however, with one important difference: the small edge denoted by* r *is now oriented in the opposite direction.*

This will be extremely important for the construction of knot invariants.

$$x = \frac{qm + br}{l};$$

$$y = \frac{ar + qk}{p};$$

$$z = \frac{cr + kx}{m} = \frac{crl + kqm + kbr}{ml};$$

$$i = \frac{br + xy}{q} = \frac{bprl + (ar + qk)(qm + br)}{pql};$$

$$j = \frac{ar + yz}{k} = \frac{arpml + (ar + qk)(crl + kqm + kbr)}{kpml};$$

$$o = \frac{rc + iz}{x} = \frac{k^2mq^2 + bklpr + cl^2pr + cklqr + akmqr + abkr^2 + aclr^2}{lmpq}.$$

From this we see that the variables corresponding to T_6 *do not coincide with those corresponding to* T_0, *which says that the above invariant of this pure braid is non-trivial. Namely, instead of* $(a, b, c, k, l, m, p, q, r)$ *we get* $(a, b, c, o, y, i, z, j, r)$.

Now, let us pass to the knot invariants. Let K be a link given by a closure of a braid β in ABC. Denote the corresponding quotient field $F(z_*) = Q(a, b, c, x_1, \cdots, x_{3n})$

We define $T(K) = F/\{\pi_\beta(x_j) = x_j\}$. In other words, we identify the quotient field Q with its image under π_β.




Figure 3: Six triangulations corresponding to a rotation of point




Figure 4: The stabilisation

Theorem 2. *The quotient ring $T(K)$ is an invariant of the link K.*

Proof. Since K is given by the closure of a braid, it suffices to check the invariance under Markov moves.

The first Markov move is a conjugation, and the invariant goes straighforwardly: say, if we take $\beta' = \gamma\beta\gamma^{-1}$, we have to identify x_j with $\pi_{\gamma\beta\gamma^{-1}}(x_j)$. Taking into account that π_γ is an isomorphism of fields, we see that this is just the same as identifying $y_j = \pi_{\gamma^{-1}}(x_j)$ and $\pi_\beta y_j$.

Now, let us pass to the second Markov move. We take a braid β and a braid β' obtained from β by adding a new strand with a curl.

Let us consider the "half" of the picture 3 where the point makes a half twist. For reader's convenience we repeat it in Fig. 4

Assume we are stabilising the strand corresponding to point 4 in Figure 4. Then we get Figure 4:

We have to identify the first picture (upper left corner) and the last picture (lower left corner).

First, the points 4 and 5 change their places, which means that r is identified with $-r$. Since we work over $\mathbb{Q}$, we get $r = 0$. After that, we make the following identifications:

$$q = y = l, p = k = z, m = x$$

which means that the resulting ring coincides with the ring where we identify 4 and 5.

This means that we have proved the claim when the stablised strand (represented by 4) initially lies inside the triangle (here: the whole triangle 123). If the strand to be stabilised has more than three neighbours then we the claim is obtained by using conjugation and the above argument.

□

Remark 1. *The main ingredient of the proof, the fact that the Ptolemy relation satisfies the pentagon equation is not unknown. It is related to cluster algebras [1, 2].*

Moreover, there are many other nice phenomena coming from cluster algebra theory, to be studied in the future, for example, the Laurent phenomenon. It turns out that all rational function which appear above are indeed Laurent polynomials (i.e., all denominators turn out to be monomials).

Remark 2. *The above braid invariant can be applied in a similar way to braids in 2-surfaces.*

Remark 3. *One can also use the "tropical version" of the above construction: instead of* $xy = ac+bd$ *we use the equation* $x + y = max(a + c, b + d)$.

Remark 4. *The above theory of "motion of points" is related to the theory of groups* G_n^k*, suggested by the author in [3]. See also [4].*

We shall discuss these (and many other) questions in subsequent papers.

I am grateful to S.Kim, D.A.Fedoseev and I.M.Nikonov,M.G.Khovanov, and D.P.Ilyutko for various fruitful discussions of this text.

References

[1] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras I: Foundations, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 15 (2002), 497529, arXiv:math/0104151.

[2] S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras II: Finite type classification, *Invent. Math.* 154 (2003), 63121, arXiv:math/0208229.

[3] V.O.Manturov, Non-Reidemeister Knot Theory and Its Applications in Dynamical Systems, Geometry, and Topology, *http://arxiv.org/abs/1501.05208*

[4] V.O.Manturov, I.M.Nikonov, On braids and groups G_n^k *J. Knot Theory & Ramifications, 2015, Vol. 24, No.13.*