arXiv:1906.08498v1 [math.GN] 20 Jun 2019

A T_0-COMPACTIFICATION OF A TYCHONOFF SPACE USING THE RINGS OF BAIRE ONE FUNCTIONS

A. DEB RAY AND ATANU MONDAL

Abstract. In this article, we continue our study of Baire one functions on a topological space X, denoted by $B_1(X)$ and extend the well known M. H. Stones's theorem from $C(X)$ to $B_1(X)$. Introducing the structure space of $B_1(X)$, it is observed that X may not be embedded inside this structure space. This observation inspired us to build a space $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$, from the structure space of $B_1(X)$ and to show that X is densely embedded in $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$. It is further established that it is a T_0-compactification of X. Such compactification of X possesses the extension property for continuous functions, though it lacks Hausdorffness in general. Therefore, it is natural to search for condition(s) under which it becomes Hausdorff. In the last section, a set of necessary and sufficient conditions for such compactification to become a Stone-Ceck compatification, is finally arrived at.

1. Introduction and Prerequisites

The collection $B_1(X)$, of all real valued Baire one functions defined on a topological space X forms a commutative lattice ordered ring with unity. Initiated the study of $B_1(X)$ in [3] we have established a duality between the ideals of $B_1(X)$ and Z_B-filters (an analogue of Z-filters) on X in a subsequent paper [4].

In case of the rings of continuous functions, it is well known that M. H. Stone's theorem which states that for every topological space X there exists a Tychonoff space Y such that $C(X) \cong C(Y)$ is extremely important and useful. Since $B_1(X)$ is a ring that conmtains $C(X)$ as a subring, it is natural to ask whether it is possible to extend the celebrated M. H. Stone's theorem [1] in this bigger ring. In this paper, we begin our study of $B_1(X)$ by addressing this question and answer it in affirmative. Therefore, in view of this result, it would be enough to deal with Tychonoff spaces as long as the study of the ring structure of $B_1(X)$ is concerned.

The collection of all maximal ideals of $C(X)$, denoted by $\mathcal{M}(C(X))$, equipped with hull-kernel topology is known as the structure space of the ring $C(X)$. It is also very well known that the structure space of $C(X)$ is homeomorphic to the collection of all Z-ultrafilters on X with Stone topology [1]. In section 2, defining the structure space of $B_1(X)$ in a similar manner, we could establish an analogue of this result in the context of the ring $B_1(X)$. The importance of the structure space of a Tychonoff space X lies in the fact that a copy of X is densely embedded in it, i.e., a Tychonoff space X is embedded in the space $\mathcal{M}(C(X))$ with hull-kernel

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26A21, 54C30, 13A15, 54C50, 54D35.

Key words and phrases. Z_B- filter, Z_B-ultrafilter, free and fixed maximal ideals of $B_1(X)$, Structure space of a ring, Compactification.

topology. Moreover, the structure space $\mathcal{M}(C(X))$ becomes the *Stone Čech* compactification of X. But in case of $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$, it may not happen the same way. In the subsequent sections of this paper, we construct a T_0 compactification of X and establish that such a compactification possesses extension property of any continuous function that terminates to any compact Hausforff space Y. We could also provide a set of necessary and sufficient conditions for such T_0-compactification to become βX, the corresponding *Stone Čech* compactification of X.

In what follows, we write X, Y etc. to denote topological spaces without mentioning their topologies (unless required) explicitly.

2. Extension of M. H. Stone's Theorem

As proposed in the introduction, we construct an isomorphism $B_1(Y) \to B_1(X)$ using the existing isomorphism $C(Y) \to C(X)$, where Y is the Tychonoff space constructed suitably from a given topological space X. It is also not very hard to observe that such an isomorphism is a lattice isomorphism.

Theorem 2.1. *For each topological space X, there exists a Tychonoff space Y such that $B_1(X)$ is isomorphic to $B_1(Y)$ and $B_1^*(X)$ is isomorphic to $B_1^*(Y)$ under the same (restriction) map.*

Proof. Define a binary relation " $\sim$ " on X by $x \sim y$ if and only if $f(x) = f(y)$, for all $f \in C(X)$. $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on X. Let $Y = X/\sim \equiv \{[x] : x \in X\}$, where $[x]$ denotes the equivalence class of $x \in X$.
Define $\tau : X \to Y$ by $\tau(x) = [x]$, for all $x \in X$.
For each $f \in C(X)$, let $g_f \in C(Y)$ be defined by the rule $g_f([x]) = f(x)$, for all $[x] \in Y$. Certainly g_f is well defined and $g_f \circ \tau = f$.
Consider $C' = \{g_f : f \in C(X)\}$ and equip Y with the weak topology induced by the family C'. Then Y becomes a completely regular space [1]. Also, $g_f \circ \tau$ is continuous for all $g_f \in C'$. Hence τ is continuous.
If $g \in C(Y)$ then $g \circ \tau \in C(X)$ and hence $g \circ \tau = f$, for some $f \in C(X)$. So, $\forall$ $[x] \in Y$, $g([x]) = g(\tau(x)) = f(x) = (g_f \circ \tau)(x) = g_f([x])$. i.e., $g = g_f$ and consequently, $C' = C(Y)$.
Let $[x] \neq [y]$ in Y. Then there exists $f \in C(X)$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$. So, $g_f[x] \neq g_f[y]$. This proves that Y is Hausdorff and hence, a Tychonoff space.
Let $h \in B_1(Y)$ be any Baire one function on Y. There exists a sequence of continuous functions $\{h_n\} \subset C(Y)$ such that, $\{h_n\}$ converges pointwise to h, i.e., $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} h_n(x) = h(x)$, for all $x \in X$. Clearly, $h_n \circ \tau \in C(X)$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and also $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} (h_n \circ \tau)(x)$ exists for all $x \in X$.
Define $\widehat{\psi}(h) : X \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\widehat{\psi}(h)(x) = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} (h_n \circ \tau)(x)$, for each $h \in B_1(Y)$. Then $\widehat{\psi}(h) \in B_1(X)$. Finally, define $\widehat{\psi} : B_1(Y) \to B_1(X)$ by $h \mapsto \widehat{\psi}(h)$. It is easy to check that $\widehat{\psi}$ is an isomorphism and in view of a result proved in [3], the restriction of $\widehat{\psi}$ on $B_1^*(Y)$ to $B_1^*(X)$ is also an isomorphism. □

In [3], we have established that every ring homomorphism $B_1(Y) \to B_1(X)$ is a lattice homomorphism. As a consequence, we get

Corollary 2.2. The isomorphism $\psi : B_1(Y) \to B_1(X)$ is a lattice isomorphism.

Theorem 2.1 ensures that the study of the rings of Baire one functions defined on any Tychonoff space is enough, instead of any arbitrary topological space. Therefore, in the rest of this paper, by a topological space we always mean a Tychonoff space, unless stated otherwise.

3. The structure space of $B_1(X)$

Let X be a Tychonoff space. Consider $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$ as the collection of all maximal ideals of the ring $B_1(X)$. It is easy to observe that for each $f \in B_1(X)$, if $\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f} = \{\widehat{M} \in \mathcal{M}(B_1(X)) \ : \ f \in \widehat{M}\}$ then the collection $\{\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f} \ : \ f \in B_1(X)\}$ forms a **base for closed sets** for some topology σ on $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$. This topological space $(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X)), \sigma)$ is called the **structure space** of $B_1(X)$ and the topology is known as the **hull-kernel topology**. It is well known that the structure space of any commutative ring with unity is always compact. Moreover, the structure space is Hausdorff if the ring is Gelfand (i.e., a ring where every prime ideal can be extended to a unique maximal ideal). Therefore,

- $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$ is **compact**.
- $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$ is **Hausdorff**, since $B_1(X)$ is a Gelfand ring [4].

In [4], we have introduced Z_B-filter, Z_B-ultrafilter and studied their interplay with ideals and maximal ideals of $B_1(X)$. It has been observed that a bijective correspondence exists between the collection of all maximal ideals of $B_1(X)$ $(\equiv \mathcal{M}(B_1(X)))$ and the collection of all Z_B-ultrafilters on X. We now show that the structure space of $B_1(X)$, i.e., $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$ with **hull-kernel topology** is homeomorphic to the set of all Z_B-ultrafilters on X with Stone-topology.

We know that for each $p \in X$, $\mathscr{U}_p = \{Z \in Z(B_1(X)) : p \in Z\}$ is a Z_B-ultrafilter on X. In fact $Z[\widehat{M}_p] = \mathscr{U}_p$. So, we can use the set X as the index set for all Z_B-ultrafilters on X of the form $\mathscr{U}_p$. We enlarge the set X to a bigger set $\widetilde{X}$, which serves an index set for the family of all Z_B-ultrafilters on X. For each $p \in \widetilde{X}$, let the corresponding Z_B-ultrafilter be denoted by $\mathscr{U}^p$ and whenever $p \in X$, we take $\mathscr{U}^p = \mathscr{U}_p = \{Z \in Z(B_1(X)) : p \in Z\}$. So, $\{\mathscr{U}^p : p \in \widetilde{X}\}$ is the set of all Z_B-ultrafilters on X.
For each $Z \in Z(B_1(X))$, let $\overline{Z} = \{p \in \widetilde{X} : Z \in \mathscr{U}^p\}$. If $p \in Z$, then $Z \in \mathscr{U}^p = \mathscr{U}_p$ and hence, $p \in \overline{Z}$. i.e., $Z \subseteq \overline{Z}$. Also $\overline{X} = \widetilde{X}$. The collection $\{\overline{Z} : Z \in Z(B_1(X))\}$ is a base for closed sets for some topology, known as **Stone-topology** on $\widetilde{X}$:

(1) $\overline{\emptyset} = \{p \in \widetilde{X} : \emptyset \in \mathscr{U}^p\} = \emptyset$
(2) For Z_1 and $Z_2 \in Z(B_1(X))$, $\overline{Z_1 \cup Z_2} = \overline{Z_1} \cup \overline{Z_2}$.

We simply write $\widetilde{X}$ to mean the space $\widetilde{X}$ with Stone-topology. It is easy to check that for any Z_1 and Z_2 with $Z_1 \subseteq Z_2$ implies $\overline{Z_1} \subseteq \overline{Z_2}$ and also, $\overline{Z} \cap X = Z$. As a consequence, we get the following result:

Theorem 3.1. . *For any $Z \in Z(B_1(X))$, $\overline{Z} = cl_{\widetilde{X}} Z$.*
In particular, $cl_{\widetilde{X}} X = \widetilde{X}$.

Proof. Straightforward and hence omitted. □

For each maximal ideal $\widehat{M}$ in $B_1(X)$, $Z[\widehat{M}]$ is a unique Z_B-ultrafilter on X. Hence, $Z[\widehat{M}] = \mathscr{U}^p$, for some unique $p \in \widetilde{X}$. Therefore, define a map $\Phi : \mathcal{M}(B_1(X)) \to \widetilde{X}$ by $\Phi(\widehat{M}) = p$, whenever $Z[\widehat{M}] = \mathscr{U}^p$.

Theorem 3.2. *The structure space $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$ of the ring $B_1(X)$ is homeomorphic to $\widetilde{X}$ with Stone-topology.*

Proof. The map $\Phi : \mathcal{M}(B_1(X)) \to \widetilde{X}$ defined by $\Phi(\widehat{M}) = p$, whenever $Z[\widehat{M}] = \mathscr{U}^p$ is a bijection between $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$ and $\widetilde{X}$, because it has been proved in [4] that $\widehat{M}, \widehat{N} \in \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$ with $\widehat{M} \neq \widehat{N}$ implies $Z[\widehat{M}] \neq Z[\widehat{N}]$. Also, the collection $\{\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f} : f \in B_1(X)\}$ is a base for closed sets for the structure space of $B_1(X)$, i.e., $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$ with Hull-Kernel topology, where $\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f} = \{\widehat{M} \in \mathcal{M}(B_1(X)) : f \in \widehat{M}\}$. For any $f \in B_1(X)$ and $\widehat{M} \in \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$ $f \in \widehat{M} \iff Z(f) \in Z[\widehat{M}] \iff Z(f) \in \mathscr{U}^p \iff p \in cl_{\widetilde{X}} Z(f)$, where $\Phi(\widehat{M}) = p$. Hence $\Phi(\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}) = cl_{\widetilde{X}} Z(f) = \overline{Z(f)}$, for any $f \in B_1(X)$. Clearly, Φ exchanges the basic closed sets between $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$ and $\widetilde{X}$. Therefore, Φ is a homeomorphism between the structure space of $B_1(X)$ and $\widetilde{X}$ with Stone-topology. □

Corollary 3.3. $\widetilde{X}$ with Stone-topology is a compact Hausdorff space.

Proof. Immediate, as $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$ is a compact Hausdorff space. □

The following result describes the collection of all maximal ideals of a Tyconoff space X.

Theorem 3.4. *A complete description of maximal ideals of the ring $B_1(X)$ is given by $\{\widehat{M^p} : p \in \widetilde{X}\}$, where $\widehat{M^p} = \{f \in B_1(X) : p \in cl_{\widetilde{X}} Z(f)$. Further, if $p \neq q$ in $\widetilde{X}$ then $\widehat{M^p} \neq \widehat{M^q}$.*

Proof. A Z_B-ultrafilter $\mathscr{U}^p$ on X correspondences to a unique point p in $\widetilde{X}$ and for all $Z \in Z(B_1(X))$, $Z \in \mathscr{U}^p$ if and only if $p \in \overline{Z}$. i.e., $p \in cl_{\widetilde{X}} Z(f)$.
Since $\{\mathscr{U}^p : p \in \widetilde{X}\}$ is the collection of all Z_B-ultrafilters on X, it follows that $\{Z_B^{-1}[\mathscr{U}^p] : p \in \widetilde{X}\}$ is the collection of all maximal ideals of $B_1(X)$. Let $Z_B^{-1}[\mathscr{U}^p] = \widehat{M^p}$. Then $\widehat{M^p} = \{f \in B_1(X) : Z(f) \in \mathscr{U}^p\} = \{f \in B_1(X) : p \in cl_{\widetilde{X}} Z(f)\}$.
Again if $p \neq q$ in $\widetilde{X}$ then $\mathscr{U}^p \neq \mathscr{U}^q$, which implies $Z_B^{-1}[\mathscr{U}^p] \neq Z_B^{-1}[\mathscr{U}^p]$ and so, $\widehat{M^p} \neq \widehat{M^q}$. □

Theorem 3.5. *$\widehat{M^p}$ is a fixed maximal ideal in $B_1(X)$ if and only if $p \in X$.*

Proof. Let $p \in X$. Then $\widehat{M^p} = \{f \in B_1(X) : p \in cl_{\widetilde{X}} Z(f)\} = \{f \in B_1(X) : p \in \overline{Z(f)}\}$. We know $\overline{Z(f)} = \{p \in \widetilde{X} : Z(f) \in \mathscr{U}^p\}$. So, $p \in X \cap \overline{Z(f)} \implies p \in Z(f) \implies f(p) = 0$. i.e., $\widehat{M^p} = \{f \in B_1(X) : f(p) = 0\} = \widehat{M_p} =$ a fixed maximal ideal. Conversely, $\widehat{M^q}$ is a fixed maximal ideal for some $q \in \widetilde{X}$. Since the collection of all fixed maximal ideals in the ring $B_1(X)$ is $\{\widehat{M_p} : p \in X\}$, where $\widehat{M_p} = \{f \in B_1(X) : f(p) = 0\}$, we get $\widehat{M^q} = \widehat{M_p}$, for some $p \in X$. Hence, $\widehat{M^q} = \widehat{M_p} = \widehat{M^p}$ which implies $q = p \in X$. □

4. Construction of $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$

It is well known [1] that a Tychonoff space X can always be embedded in $\mathcal{M}(C(X))$, the collection of all maximal ideals of $C(X)$ with respect to hull-kernel topology. The embedding is given by

$$\psi(p) = M_p$$

where M_p denotes the fixed maximal ideal $\{f \in C(X) \ : \ f(p) = 0\}$ of $C(X)$.
Since $\{Z(f) \ : \ f \in C(X)\}$ forms a base for closed sets for the Tychonoff space X and $\{\mathscr{M}_f \ : \ f \in C(X)\}$ forms a base for closed sets for the structure space $\mathcal{M}(C(X))$, it can be easily seen that ψ is an embedding of X into $\mathcal{M}(C(X))$ from the following equality:

$$\psi(Z(f)) = \mathscr{M}_f \cap \psi(X).$$

In case of $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$, this idea does not really work; because, $Z(f)$ for $f \in B_1(X)$ is not in general a closed set.
The purpose of this section is to construct a suitable space in which the given space X can be embedded. So, we construct a quotient set $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$, by defining an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$.
Define a relation $\sim$ on $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$ as follows:

$$\widehat{M} \sim \widehat{N} \iff \widehat{M} \cap C(X) = \widehat{N} \cap C(X).$$

Clearly, $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$. Denote each equivalence class containing $\widehat{M}$ by $[\widehat{M}]$ and the collection of all such equivalence classes by the set $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$.

For each $f \in C(X)$, we consider the set

$$[\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}] = \{[\widehat{M}] \ : \ f \in \widehat{M}\}.$$

Remark 4.1. It is not hard to observe that for any continuous function f, $f \in M^*$ and $N^* \sim M^*$ implies that $f \in N^*$.

Theorem 4.2. *$\{[\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}] \ : \ f \in C(X)\}$ forms a base for closed sets for some topology on $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$.*

Proof. Since a maximal ideal is a proper ideal, $1 \notin \widehat{M}$, for all $\widehat{M} \in \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$. Therefore, $[\widehat{\mathscr{M}_1}] = \emptyset$.
For $f, \ g \in C(X)$, $[\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}] \cup [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_g}] = [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_{fg}}]$. Since $fg \in C(X)$, it follows that $\{[\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}] \ : \ f \in C(X)\}$ forms a base for closed sets for some topology on $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$, say σ. □

Theorem 4.3. *The topology σ is coarser than the quotient topology on $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ induced by the hull-kernel topology on $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$.*

Proof. We know that the quotient topology is the largest topology on the quotient set $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ such that the function $e : \mathcal{M}(B_1(X)) \to \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ given by $e(\widehat{M}) = [\widehat{M}]$ is continuous. In order to establish that σ is a coarser topology than quotient topology, it is enough to show that e remains continuous when $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ is equipped with the topology σ.
Let $[\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}]$ be any basic closed set chosen from $(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim, \sigma)$. Then continuity of e is immediate from the following:

$$e^{-1}([\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}]) = \{\widehat{M} \ : \ e(\widehat{M}) \in [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}]\} = \{\widehat{M} \ : \ [\widehat{M}] \in [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}]\} = \widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}.$$

□

5. Embedding of X in $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$

In this section, we define a function η from $\mathcal{M}(C(X)$ to $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ and show such a function is an embedding. Composing the known embedding $\psi : X \to \mathcal{M}(C(X))$ with $\eta : \mathcal{M}(C(X)) \to \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$, we obtain the desired embedding of X into $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$.

Theorem 5.1. *$\mathcal{M}(C(X))$ with hull-kernel topology is embedded in $(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim, \sigma)$.*

Proof. Define a function $\eta : \mathcal{M}(C(X)) \to \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ by

$$\eta(M) = [\langle M\rangle^*]$$

where $\langle M\rangle$ denotes the ideal of $B_1(X)$ generated by M and $\langle M\rangle^*$ is a maximal ideal of $B_1(X)$ containing $\langle M\rangle$ and so, $[\langle M\rangle^*] \in \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$.
(i) η **is well defined :** The well-definedness of η follows from the observation that any two maximal extensions of the ideal generated by $M \in \mathcal{M}(C(X))$ in $B_1(X)$ are related. Suppose ${M_1}^*$ and ${M_2}^*$ are any two maximal extensions of $\langle M\rangle$ in $B_1(X)$. Then ${M_1}^* \cap C(X)$, ${M_2}^* \cap C(X)$ are two prime ideals in $C(X)$ both containing M. Since M is maximal ideal in $C(X)$, ${M_1}^* \cap C(X) = M = {M_2}^* \cap C(X)$. Therefore, ${M_1}^* \sim {M_2}^*$. i.e., $[{M_1}^*] = [{M_2}^*]$.

(ii) η **is injective :** Let M_1, $M_2 \in \mathcal{M}(C(X))$ be such that $\eta(M_1) = \eta(M_2)$. Then $\langle M_1\rangle^* \sim \langle M_2\rangle^*$. Therefore, $M_1 = \langle M_1\rangle^* \cap C(X) = \langle M_2\rangle^* \cap C(X) = M_2$.

(iii) η **exchanges the base for closed sets of** $\mathcal{M}(C(X))$ **and** $\eta(\mathcal{M}(C(X)))$, i.e., for each $f \in C(X)$,

$$\eta(\mathscr{M}_f) = [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}] \cap \eta(\mathcal{M}(C(X))).$$

Let $M \in \mathscr{M}_f$. Then $f \in M$ and $[\langle M\rangle^*] \in [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}]$ and so, $\eta(\mathscr{M}_f) \subseteq [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}] \cap \eta(\mathcal{M}(C(X)))$. Conversely, let $[N^*] \in [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}] \cap \eta(\mathcal{M}(C(X)))$. So, $[N^*] = \eta(M)$ for some $M \in \mathcal{M}(C(X))$ such that $f \in N^*$. i.e., $N^* \sim \langle M\rangle^*$. Consequently, $N^* \cap C(X) = \langle M\rangle^* \cap C(X) = M$. Therefore, $f \in M$ so that $M \in \mathcal{M}_f$. Hence, $[N^*] \in \eta(\mathscr{M}_f)$. i.e., $\eta(\mathscr{M}_f) \supseteq [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}] \cap \eta(\mathcal{M}(C(X)))$.
Hence η is an embedding. □

Corollary 5.2. *X is embedded in $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$.*

Proof. Let $\psi : X \to \mathcal{M}(C(X))$ be given by $p \mapsto M_p$. Then ψ is an embedding of X into $\mathcal{M}(C(X))$. By Theorem 5.1, $\eta : \mathcal{M}(C(X)) \to \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ given by $M \mapsto [\langle M\rangle^*]$ is an embedding of $\mathcal{M}(C(X))$ into $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$. The composition $\eta \circ \psi = \phi : X \to \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ described by $p \mapsto [\langle M_p\rangle^*]$ is the desired embedding. □

Theorem 5.3. *$(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim, \sigma)$ is a compact space.*

Proof. It is known that structure space of a commutative ring with unity is always compact and quotient space of a compact space is compact. Since σ is a coarser topology than the quotient topology on $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$, it follows that $(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim, \sigma)$ is compact. □

Theorem 5.4. *X is dense in $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$.*

Proof. Let U be any non-empty open set of $(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim, \sigma)$. Then $U = (\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim) \setminus [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}]$, for some $f \in C(X)$ $(f \neq \mathbf{0})$. To show that $U \cap \eta(\psi(X)) \neq \emptyset$, i.e. $\left((\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim) \setminus [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}]\right) \cap \eta(\psi(X)) \neq \emptyset$.

Since $f \neq \mathbf{0}$, then there exists a point $p \in X$ such that $f(p) \neq 0$. So $f \notin \widehat{M_p} \implies [\widehat{M_p}] \notin [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}] \implies [\widehat{M_p}] \in U$.
Now, $\langle M_p\rangle^* \cap C(X) = M_p = \widehat{M_p} \cap C(X) \implies \langle M_p\rangle^* \sim \widehat{M_p} \implies [\langle M_p\rangle^*] = [\widehat{M_p}] \implies \eta(M_p) \in U \in \eta(\psi(p)) \in U$.
So, $U \cap \eta(\psi(X)) \neq \emptyset$.
Hence, X is dense in $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$. □

Corollary 5.5. $\mathcal{M}(C(X))$ is dense in $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$.

Proof. Immediate. □

6. A T_0-compactification of X with extension property

Let X be a Tychonoff space and $\tilde{X}$ be a compact space. If X is densely embedded in $\tilde{X}$ via the embedding $\psi : X \to \tilde{X}$ then $\tilde{X}$ is known as a compactification of X. It is also well known that such a compactification $\tilde{X}$ of X is said to have **extension property** if for any compact Hausdorff space Y and a continuous function $f : X \to Y$, there exists a continuous function $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \to Y$ such that $\tilde{f} \circ \psi = f$. In the previous section we have constructed one compactification $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ of X. We intend to show that $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ has extension property.

Theorem 6.1. *Let Y be a compact Hausdorff space. If $f : X \to Y$ is a continuous function and $\phi : X \to \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ is the embedding given by $p \mapsto [\widehat{M_p}]$, where $\widehat{M_p} = \{f \in B_1(X) : f(p) = 0\}$ then there exists a unique continuous function $f^* : \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim \longrightarrow Y$ such that $f^* \circ \phi = f$.*

Proof. Let $[\widehat{M}] \in \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$. Now define $\widetilde{M} = \{g \in C(Y) : g \circ f \in \widehat{M}\}$. It can be easily checked that $\widetilde{M}$ is a prime ideal in $C(Y)$ and therefore can be extended to a unique maximal ideal M_p of $C(Y)$ $\big(Y$ being compact, $C(Y)$ has all its maximal ideals fixed $\big)$.
We define $f^*([\widehat{M}]) = p$.
f^* **is well defined:** Let, $\widehat{M} \sim \widehat{N}$. Then it implies that $\widehat{M} \cap C(X) = \widehat{N} \cap C(X)$. Since $g \circ f$ is continuous, $\forall\ g \in \widetilde{M}$, so $g \circ f \in \widehat{M} \cap C(X) = \widehat{N} \cap C(X)$ and hence $g \in \widehat{N}$. In other words, whenever $\widehat{M} \sim \widehat{N}$, then $\widetilde{M} = \widetilde{N}$. So, $f^*([\widehat{M}]) = f^*([\widehat{N}])$.
Hence, f^* is well defined.
Step 1: To show that, $f^* \circ \phi = f$. Let $x \in X$, now $(f^* \circ \phi)(x) = f^*\big([\widehat{M_x}]\big) = f(x)$ $\big[$since $\widetilde{M_x} = \{g \in C(Y) : g \circ f \in \widehat{M_x}\} = \{g \in C(Y) : g(f(x)) = 0\} = M_{f(x)}$ $\big]$ and this happens $\forall\ x \in X$.
So, $f^* \circ \phi = f$.
Step 2: We now check the continuity of the function f^*.
Let $[\widehat{M}] \in \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ be any point. To check the continuity of f^* at $[\widehat{M}]$. Let W be any neighbourhood of $f^*\big([\widehat{M}]\big)$ in Y. Since Y is compact T_2 space, it is Tchonoff and hence W contains a zero set neighbourhood of $f^*\big([\widehat{M}]\big)$.
Let $f^*\big([\widehat{M}]\big) \in Y \setminus Z(g_1) \subseteq Z(g_2) \subseteq W$, for some $g_1, g_2 \in C(Y)$.

$f^*\big([\widehat{M}]\big) \notin Z(g_1) \implies g_1\Big(f^*\big([\widehat{M}]\big)\Big) \neq 0 \implies g_1 \notin \widetilde{M} \implies g_1 \circ f \notin \widehat{M} \implies [\widehat{M}] \notin [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_{g_1\circ f}}]$. Now $[\widehat{M}] \in \big(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim\big) \smallsetminus [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_{g_1\circ f}}]$ is a basic open set of $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ containing $[\widehat{M}]$.
Our claim is $f^*\Big(\big(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim\big) \smallsetminus [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_{g_1\circ f}}]\Big) \subseteq W$.
Let $[\widehat{N}] \in \big(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim\big) \smallsetminus [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_{g_1\circ f}}] \implies [\widehat{N}] \notin [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_{g_1\circ f}}] \implies g_1 \circ f \notin \widehat{N} \implies g_1 \notin \widetilde{N}$. Also $g_1.g_2 = 0 \in \widetilde{N}$. So, $g_2 \in \widetilde{N}$. (Since $\widetilde{N}$ is prime ideal). Which implies $g_2 \circ f \in \widehat{N}$. Hence $g_2\big(f^*([\widehat{N}])\big) = 0$, i.e. $f^*\big([\widehat{N}]\big) \subseteq Z(g_2) \subseteq W$. So, $f^*\Big(\big(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim\big) \smallsetminus [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_{g_1\circ f}}]\Big) \subseteq W$.
Then we can conclude that f^* is continuous.
Step 3: Uniqueness of f^* is simply implied by denseness of $\phi(X)$ in $(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim, \sigma)$ and the fact that $f^* \circ \phi = f$. □

The following result ensures that the compactification $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ is always T_0.

Theorem 6.2. *$(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim, \sigma)$ is a T_0 space.*

Proof. Let $[\widehat{M}] \neq [\widehat{N}]$ be in $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$. So $\widehat{M} \nsim \widehat{N}$, which implies $\widehat{M} \cap C(X) \neq \widehat{N} \cap C(X)$. Then there exists $f \in C(X)$ such that either $f \in \widehat{M} \smallsetminus \widehat{N}$ or $f \in \widehat{N} \smallsetminus \widehat{M}$. For definiteness sake assume $f \in \widehat{M} \smallsetminus \widehat{N}$.
Consider $U = \big(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim\big) \smallsetminus [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}]$. Clearly U is an open set. As $f \in \widehat{M}$, $[\widehat{M}] \in [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}]$. So, $[\widehat{M}] \notin U$. But $f \notin \widehat{N}$, which implies $[\widehat{N}] \notin [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}] \implies [\widehat{N}] \in U$.
Hence, $(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim, \sigma)$ is a T_0 space. □

Theorem 6.3. *$(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim, \sigma)$ is a T_1 space if and only if for any $\widehat{M}, \widehat{N} \in \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$, $\widehat{M} \cap C(X) \subseteq \widehat{N} \cap C(X)$ implies $\widehat{M} \cap C(X) = \widehat{N} \cap C(X)$.*

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim, \sigma)$ be a T_1 space. Let $\widehat{M}, \widehat{N} \in \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$ be such that $\widehat{M} \cap C(X) \subseteq \widehat{N} \cap C(X)$. If possible, let $\widehat{M} \cap C(X) \neq \widehat{N} \cap C(X)$. Then $[\widehat{M}] \neq [\widehat{N}]$. By T_1-ness, there exist basic open sets $U = \big(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim\big) \smallsetminus [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_g}]$ and $V = \big(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim\big) \smallsetminus [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_h}]$, where $g, h \in C(X)$, such that $[\widehat{M}] \in U$, $[\widehat{N}] \notin U$ and $[\widehat{N}] \in V$, $[\widehat{M}] \notin V$. Therefore, $[\widehat{M}] \notin [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_g}]$, $[\widehat{N}] \in [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_g}]$ and $[\widehat{N}] \notin [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_h}]$, $[\widehat{M}] \in [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_h}]$. i.e., $h \in \widehat{M} \smallsetminus \widehat{N}$ and $g \in \widehat{N} \smallsetminus \widehat{M}$, which contradict the hypothesis, as $g, h \in C(X)$.
Conversely, let $[\widehat{M}] \neq [\widehat{N}]$. Then $\widehat{M} \cap C(X) \neq \widehat{N} \cap C(X)$. By hypothesis, there exists $f, g \in C(X)$ such that $f \in \widehat{M} \smallsetminus \widehat{N}$ and $g \in \widehat{N} \smallsetminus \widehat{M}$. Hence, $\big(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim\big) \smallsetminus [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}]$ and $\big(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim\big) \smallsetminus [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_g}]$ are the desired open sets that separate $[\widehat{N}]$ and $[\widehat{M}]$. □

A Hausdorff compactification with extension property is known as Stone-Cech compactification, βX. So it is natural to ask under what condition $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ is Hausdorff so that $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ becomes βX? Since $\mathcal{M}(C(X))$ is homeomorphic to βX and $\mathcal{M}(C(X))$ is densely embedded in $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ via the map $\eta : \mathcal{M}(C(X)) \to \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ given by $M \mapsto [\langle M\rangle^*]$, it follows that $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ contains a copy of βX as a dense subspace.

Theorem 6.4. *Let X be any topological space. The following statements are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ *is Hausdorff.*
(2) $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ *is βX.*
(3) $\eta : \mathcal{M}(C(X)) \to \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ *is surjective.*
(4) *For each* $\widehat{M} \in \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$, $\widehat{M} \cap C(X) \in \mathcal{M}(C(X))$.

Proof. (1) $\implies$ (2): Immediate.
(2) $\implies$ (3): $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ is βX implies that $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$ is a Hausdorff compactification of X. Since $\eta(\mathcal{M}(C(X)))$ is a compact subspace of a Hausdorff space, it is closed in $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$. But it is dense in $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$. Hence, $\eta(\mathcal{M}(C(X)) = \overline{\eta(\mathcal{M}(C(X)))} = \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim$.
(3) $\implies$ (4): Since η is surjective, $\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim = \eta(\mathcal{M}(C(X))$. So, for any $\widehat{M} \in \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$, $[\widehat{M}] = [\langle M\rangle^*]$, for some $M \in \mathcal{M}(C(X))$. i.e., $\widehat{M} \cap C(X) = \langle M\rangle^* \cap C(X) = M \in \mathcal{M}(C(X))$.
(4) $\implies$ (1): Let $[\widehat{M}] \neq [\widehat{N}]$. Then $\widehat{M} \cap C(X) \neq \widehat{N} \cap C(X)$. Since $\widehat{M} \cap C(X)$ and $\widehat{N} \cap C(X)$ both are maximal ideals of $C(X)$, $\widehat{M} \cap C(X) \nsubseteq \widehat{N} \cap C(X)$ and $\widehat{N} \cap C(X) \nsubseteq \widehat{M} \cap C(X)$. Hence, there exist $f, g \in C(X)$ such that $f \in \widehat{M} \setminus \widehat{N}$ and $g \in \widehat{N} \setminus \widehat{M}$. Hence, $\big(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim\big) \setminus [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_f}]$ and $\big(\mathcal{M}(B_1(X))/\sim\big) \setminus [\widehat{\mathscr{M}_g}]$ are the desired open sets that separate $[\widehat{N}]$ and $[\widehat{M}]$. □

A space X is said to be a **P-space** if every prime ideal of $C(X)$ is maximal. In the last theorem we have come across a space X for which every prime ideal of $C(X)$ of the form $M^* \cap C(X)$ is maximal where M^* denotes a maximal ideal of $B_1(X)$. If X is a P-space then certainly such condition holds. However, it is well known [5] that for a P-space X, $B_1(X) = C(X)$ and hence it becomes a triviality. We call a space X weaker P-space if $M^* \cap C(X) \in \mathcal{M}(C(X))$ if $M^* \in \mathcal{M}(B_1(X))$. the question remains whether the weaker P- space is really weaker than a P-space. In the light of above discussions we conclude this paper with two open questions:

(1) Does there exist a weaker P- space which is not a P- space?
(2) Is $C(X) = B_1(X)$ for every weaker P- space X?

References

[1] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*.New York: Van Nostrand Rein- hold Co., 1960.
[2] G.D. Marco, A. Orsatti, *Commutative rings in which every prime ideal is contained in a unique maximal ideal*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 30(3) (1971), 459-466.
[3] A. Deb Ray and Atanu Mondal, *On Rings Of Baire One Functions*, Appl. Gen. Top., 20(1) (2019), 237-249.
[4] A. Deb Ray and Atanu Mondal, *Ideals In $B_1(X)$ And Residue Class Rings Of $B_1(X)$ Modulo An Ideal*, Communicated.
[5] Marek Wojtowicz and Waldemar Sieg, *P-spaces and an unconditional closed graph theorem*, REVISTA DE LA REAL ACADEMIA DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS, FISICAS Y NATURALES, 104(1), 2010, 13-18.

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, 35, Ballygunge Circular Road, Kolkata - 700019, INDIA
E-mail address: debrayatasi@gmail.com

Department of Commerce (E), St. Xavier's college, 30, Mother Teresa sarani, Kolkata - 700016, INDIA
E-mail address: mail.atanu12@yahoo.com