arXiv:2101.00476v2 [math.AG] 11 May 2021

BASE POINTS OF NATURAL LINE BUNDLES ON RELATIVELY GENERIC SURFACE SINGULARITIES

JÁNOS NAGY

Abstract. In [NNM] the author with A. Némethi computed the multiplicity of generic surface singularities, the formula is purely topological computable from the resolution graph of the surface singularity. In the present paper we extend the results partly to the relative case, when there is a pair of resolution graphs $\mathcal{T}_1 \subset \mathcal{T}$, a fixed singularity $\widetilde{X}_1$ with resolution graph $\mathcal{T}_1$, a relatively generic singularity $\widetilde{X}$ corresponding to the subsingularity $\widetilde{X}_1$ with resolution graph $\mathcal{T}$.

We determine the base points of the natural line bundles (under some mild conditions) on $\widetilde{X}$ from the resolution graph $\mathcal{T}$ and the analytic invariants of the subsingularity $\widetilde{X}_1$. For each base point p we determine a lower bound for the number t_p such that p is t_p-simple and we compute from it a lower bound of the multiplicity of $\widetilde{X}$, which is sharp in all known cases.

1. Introduction

Probably the most fundamental numerical invariant of a projective variety X embedded in some projective space $\mathbb{P}^N$ is its degree. Its local analogue, defined for local (algebraic or analytic) germs (X, o) is the multiplicity $\mathrm{mult}(X, o)$ of (X, o). If (X, o) is embedded in some $(\mathbb{C}^N, o)$ then it is the smallest intersection multiplicity of (X, o) with a linear subspace germ (L, o) of dimension $N - \dim(X, o)$. It is independent of the embedding $(X, o) \subset (\mathbb{C}^N, o)$, it can also be defined via the Hilbert–Samuel function of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{X,o} \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,o}$. By definition it is an analytic invariant, and it guides several central geometric problems.

E.g., besides its geometric significance as the 'local degree' of (X, o), which obstructs (guides) the structure of analytic functions defined on (X, o), it is the key numerical invariant of several objects associated canonically to (X, o). See e.g. the significance of the multiplicity of the polar curve or of the discriminant in the case of hypersurface singularities, or the multiplicities of the δ–constant (Severi) strata of the deformation of a plane curve singularity.

In this note we focus on the multiplicity of the complex analytic normal surface singularities. The guiding question is whether the multiplicity is computable from the topology of the link.

While the topology of the link (as an oriented 3–manifold with usually 'large' fundamental group) contains a huge amount of information, the problem is still difficult.

There are 'easy' example, even hypersurface singularities, with the same topology but different multiplicity (e.g. $\{x^2 + y^7 + z^{14} = 0\}$ and $\{x^3 + y^4 + z^{12} = 0\}$.) In such pairs of hypersurface singularities the link is not a rational homology sphere. Therefore, it is natural to impose for the link to be a rational homology sphere (that is, in a resolution of (X, o) all the exceptional curves are rational and the dual graph is a tree).

The problem can be compared with the famous Zariski's Conjecture, which asks whether the multiplicity of an isolated hypersurface singularity $(X, o) \subset (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, o)$ can be recovered from the embedded topological type, that is, from the smooth embedding $link(X) \subset S^{2n+1}$. Except for some

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary. 32S05, 32S25, 32S50, 57M27 Secondary. 14Bxx, 14J80, 57R57.

Key words and phrases. normal surface singularities, links of singularities, plumbing graphs, generic analytic structure, base points, multiplicity.

particular families the answer is not known yet, it is open even for surface singularities. For a survey see [E] (and the references therein).

In [Wa70] Wagreich proved that in the presence of a resolution $\widetilde{X} \to X$, if Z_{max} is the 'maximal ideal cycle' (of Yau [Y80]), so the minimal integer cycle which is the exceptional part of a divisor of a function in the macimal ideal, and the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ has no base points, then $\mathrm{mult}(X,o) = -Z_{max}^2$.

On the other hand in general there are two difficulties: to determine the cycle Z_{max}, and to characterize the base points of $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$.

In [NNM], instead of certain peculiar families, the author with A. Némethi focused on the 'generic analytic structures'.

They fixed a topological type, say a dual graph Γ, and determined the multiplicity of a singularity (X,o), which has a resolution $\widetilde{X}$ with dual graph Γ, and $\widetilde{X}$ carries a generic analytic structure (the expression is of course independent of the choice of Γ up to the natural blow up of the graph).

For generic analytic structures in [NN18b] they already determined several analytic invariant topologically, that package of results basically concentrated on the cohomology of (certain natural) line bundles. It was a continuation of [NN18a], where the Abel map of resolution of normal surface singularities was introduced and treated.

In [NN18b] the cycle Z_{max} was already determined for the generic analytic structure (together with the whole 'analytic semigroup' of divisors of analytic functions of (X,o)).

So the main point of [NNM] was to determine the structure of the base points of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ topologically, the number and location of them, and for each base point p the positive integer t_p for which one should blow up the base point p t_p-many times until it stops remaining a base point.

The proof of the main theorem in [NNM] was an inductive proof on subsigularities (supported on subgaphs of the resolution graph) relying on the results of [N19] about relatively generic surface singularities.

The setup of relatively generic surface singularities is the following:

Let $\mathcal{T}_1 \subset \mathcal{T}$ be two rational homology sphere resolution graphs, and let $\widetilde{X}_1$ be a fixed singularity with resolution graph $\mathcal{T}_1$.

For every vertex $v \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{V}_1$ which has got a neighbour vertex $n(v)$ in the subgraph $\mathcal{V}_1$ we fix a smooth divisor D_v on $\widetilde{X}_1$ which intersects the exceptional divisor $E_{n(v)}$ transversally at one point.

We call a singularity with resolution $\widetilde{X}$ and resolution graph $\mathcal{T}$ a relatively generic singularity with respect to $\widetilde{X}_1$ and the cuts D_v if we glue the tubular neighborhoods of the exceptional divisors $E_v, v \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{V}_1$ generically to $\widetilde{X}_1$ in such a way that for every vertex $v \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{V}_1$ which has got a neighbour vertex $n(v)$ in the subgraph $\mathcal{V}_1$ we have $E_v \cap \widetilde{X}_1 = D_v$.

If we don't give the cuts D_v explicitely then it should be understood in the way that D_v is a generic smooth divisor on $\widetilde{X}_1$ which intersects the exceptional divisor $E_{n(v)}$ transversally at one point.

For a more precise formulation of relative genericity see [N19].

In [N19] the maximal ideal cycle Z_{max} of the relatively generic singularity $\widetilde{X}$ was already determined, namely it is the uniqe maximal cycle $l \in L_{\mathcal{T}}$, where the function $\chi(l) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(-l))$ takes its minimum.

Let's denote $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(-Z_{max})$, we know from [N19] that $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max}))_{reg} \neq \emptyset$ and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max})) = h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L})$.

In this manuscript we will always take the natural assumption that the resolution graphs have rational homology sphere links and we will determine the base point stucture of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ from the combinatorics of the resolution graph $\mathcal{T}$ and the analytic invariants of the subsingularity $\widetilde{X}_1$.

In fact we will determine the base point structure more generally for all the natural line bundles (under some mild conditions) on $\widetilde{X}$, however for the sake of simpleness we state the theorem in the introduction only for the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$. The teqniques and the structure of the proof will be similar as in [NNM], but we will have to use the full machinery of the results in [N19], not just a few less techinal results of it.

We will prove the following theorem:

Theorem. *Let's have two rational homology sphere resolution graphs $\mathcal{T}_1 \subset \mathcal{T}$, a fixed singularity $\widetilde{X}_1$ corresponding to the resolution graph $\mathcal{T}_1$ and let $\widetilde{X}$ be a relatively generic singularity with resolution graph $\mathcal{T}$ (with respect to cuts D_v) such that $\widetilde{X}_1 \subset \widetilde{X}$.*

Let's denote $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(-Z_{max})$ and assume furthermore that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ has got no base points on $\widetilde{X}_1$, then we have the following:

1) The line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ has got no base points at the intersection points of exceptional divisors, and it has got only simple base points.

2) If $v \in \mathcal{V}$, then $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ has got base point along the exceptional divisor E_v if and only if there exists a cycle $A \geq E_v$, such that:

$$\chi(Z_{max}) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}) + 1 = \chi(Z_{max} + A) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-A)),$$

and if there is a base point on E_v, then there are $-(l_d, E_v)$ distinct base points along the exceptional divisor E_v.

Remark 1.0.1. Notice that since we know the cuts D_v, the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ and the numbers $h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-l))$ depend only on the resolution graph $\mathcal{T}$ and the analytic type of the fixed subsingularity $\widetilde{X}_1$. Notice also that if the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ has got a base point, we can use the theorem in practice in the way that we blow up its base points and finally get a situation when the restriction of the new line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_{new}}(-Z_{max,new})|\widetilde{X}_{1,new}$ hasn't got a base point, and then we can use the theorem to get information about its base points or about the multiplicity of the singularity.

To determine the multiplicity of the singularity $\widetilde{X}$ we also need to know for each base point p the number t_p for which for which one should blow up the base point p t_p-many times until it stops remaining a base point.

We call a simple base point p, t_p-simple.

We prove the following proposition about it which has got the idea already in [NNM]:

Proposition. *Let's have two rational homology sphere resolution graphs $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}$, a singularity $\widetilde{X}_1$ with resolution graph $\mathcal{T}_1$ and a relatively generic singularity $\widetilde{X}$ with resolution graph $\mathcal{T}$ corresponding to the singularity $\widetilde{X}_1$ (and cuts D_v on it) and assume that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(-Z_{max})$ hasn't got a base point.*

Assume that $v \in \mathcal{V}$ is a vertex such that the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ has got a base point on it and let $t \geq 1$ be the maximal integer such that there exists a cycle $A \geq t \cdot E_v$ such that:

$$\chi(Z_{max}) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}) + 1 = \chi(Z_{max} + A) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-A)).$$

Let p be a base point of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ on the exceptional divisor E_v and let $t_p \geq 1$ the integer for which p is t_p-simple, then we have $t_p \geq t$.

We propose the following question about the exact value of t_p in general.

Question 1.0.2. *In the setup of the previous theorem is it true in general that $t_v = t_p$? Notice that this is true in the case of general surface singularities as it is proved in* [NNM].

Corollary. *Let's have two rational homology sphere resolution graphs $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}$, a singularity $\widetilde{X}_1$ with resolution graph $\mathcal{T}_1$ and a relatively generic singularity $\widetilde{X}$ with resolution graph $\mathcal{T}$ corresponding to the singularity $\widetilde{X}_1$ (and cuts D_v on it) and assume that $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(-Z_{max})$ hasn't got a base point.*

For a vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}$ let t_v be the maximal integer such that there exists a cycle $A \geq t_v \cdot E_v$ such that:

$$\chi(Z_{max}) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}) + 1 = \chi(Z_{max} + A) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-A)). \tag{1.0.3}$$

1) The multiplicity of the singularity $\widetilde{X}$ is at least $-Z_{max}^2 - \sum_{v\in\mathcal{V}}(Z_{max}, E_v) \cdot t_v$.

2) Suppose that $t_v = 0$ for all vertices $v \in \mathcal{V}$, that is there doesn't exist a cycle $A > 0$ which satisfies 1.0.3, then the multiplicity of the singularity $\widetilde{X}$ is $-Z_{max}^2$.

Remark 1.0.4. If Question1.0.2 has a positive answer, then indeed we have $Mult(\widetilde{X}) = -Z_{max}^2 - \sum_{v\in\mathcal{V}}(Z_{max}, E_v) \cdot t_v$ in general.

The structure of this paper if the following:

In section 2) we summarise the necessary background on normal surface singularities.

In section 3) we recall the necessary definitions and results about effective Cartier divisors and Abel maps from [NN18a].

In section 4) we recall our working definition about generic normal surface singularities and the main cohomological results from [NN18b].

In section 5) we recall from [N19] the results about relatively generic analytic structures on normal surface singularities.

In section 6) we prove our main results about the base points of natural line bundles and multiplicity of relatively generic surface singularities.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **The resolution.** Let (X, o) be the germ of a complex analytic normal surface singularity, and let us fix a good resolution $\phi : \widetilde{X} \to X$ of (X, o). We denote the exceptional curve $\phi^{-1}(0)$ by E, and let $\{E_v\}_{v\in\mathcal{V}}$ be its irreducible components. Set also $E_I := \sum_{v\in I} E_v$ for any subset $I \subset \mathcal{V}$. For the cycle $l = \sum n_v E_v$ let its support be $|l| = \cup_{n_v\neq 0} E_v$. For more details see [**?**, N99b].

2.2. **Topological invariants.** Let Γ be the dual resolution graph associated with ϕ; it is a connected graph. Then $M := \partial\widetilde{X}$, as a smooth oriented 3–manifold, can be identified with the link of (X, o), it is also an oriented plumbed 3–manifold associated with Γ. *We will assume (for any singularity we will deal with) that the link M is a rational homology sphere,* or, equivalently, Γ is a tree with all genus decorations zero. We use the same notation $\mathcal{V}$ for the set of vertices.

The lattice $L := H_2(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ is endowed with a negative definite intersection form $I = (\ ,\ )$. It is freely generated by the classes of 2–spheres $\{E_v\}_{v\in\mathcal{V}}$. The dual lattice $L' := H^2(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the (anti)dual classes $\{E_v^*\}_{v\in\mathcal{V}}$ defined by $(E_v^*, E_w) = -\delta_{vw}$, the opposite of the Kronecker symbol. The intersection form embeds L into L'. Then $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq L'/L$, abridged by H. Usually one also identifies L' with those rational cycles $l' \in L \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ for which $(l', L) \in \mathbb{Z}$ (or, $L' = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(L, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^2(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{Z})$), where the intersection form extends naturally.

All the E_v–coordinates of any E_u^* are strict positive. We define the Lipman cone as $\mathcal{S}' := \{l' \in L' : (l', E_v) \leq 0 \text{ for all } v\}$. It is generated over $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ by $\{E_v^*\}_v$. We also write $\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{S}' \cap L$.

There is a natural partial ordering of L' and L: we write $l_1' \geq l_2'$ if $l_1' - l_2' = \sum_v r_v E_v$ with all $r_v \geq 0$. We set $L_{\geq 0} = \{l \in L : l \geq 0\}$ and $L_{>0} = L_{\geq 0} \setminus \{0\}$.

We define the (anti)canonical cycle $Z_K \in L'$ via the *adjunction formulae* $(-Z_K + E_v, E_v) + 2 = 0$ for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$. (In fact, $Z_K = -c_1(\Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})$, cf. (2.3.1)). In a minimal resolution $Z_K \in \mathcal{S}'$.

Finally we consider the Riemann–Roch expression $\chi(l') = -(l', l' - Z_K)/2$ defined for any $l' \in L'$.

2.3. **Some analytic invariants. The group** $\mathrm{Pic}(\widetilde{X})$ of isomorphism classes of analytic line bundles on $\widetilde{X}$ appears in the (exponential) exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(\widetilde{X}) \to \mathrm{Pic}(\widetilde{X}) \xrightarrow{c_1} L' \to 0, \tag{2.3.1}$$

where c_1 denotes the first Chern class. Here $\mathrm{Pic}^0(\widetilde{X}) = H^1(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{p_g}$, where p_g is the *geometric genus* of (X, o). (X, o) is called *rational* if $p_g(X, o) = 0$. Artin in [A62, A66] characterized rationality topologically via the graphs; such graphs are called 'rational'. By this criterion, Γ is rational if and only if $\chi(l) \geq 1$ for any effective non–zero cycle $l \in L_{>0}$.

The epimorphism c_1 admits a unique group homomorphism section $l' \mapsto s(l') \in \mathrm{Pic}(\widetilde{X})$, which extends the natural section $l \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(l)$ valid for integral cycles $l \in L$, and such that $c_1(s(l')) = l'$ [O04]. We call $s(l')$ the *natural line bundles* on $\widetilde{X}$ and we denote $s(l') = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(l')$. By the very definition, $\mathcal{L}$ is natural if and only if some power $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ of it has the form $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(l)$ for some $l \in L$.

2.3.2. Pic(Z). Similarly, if $Z \in L_{>0}$ is a non–zero effective integral cycle such that its support is $|Z| = E$, and $\mathcal{O}_Z^*$ denotes the sheaf of units of $\mathcal{O}_Z$, then $\mathrm{Pic}(Z) = H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z^*)$ is the group of isomorphism classes of invertible sheaves on Z. It appears in the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(Z) \to \mathrm{Pic}(Z) \xrightarrow{c_1} L' \to 0, \tag{2.3.3}$$

where $\mathrm{Pic}^0(Z) = H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z)$. If $Z_2 \geq Z_1$ then there are natural restriction maps, $\mathrm{Pic}(\widetilde{X}) \to \mathrm{Pic}(Z_2) \to \mathrm{Pic}(Z_1)$. Similar restrictions are defined at Pic^0 level too. These restrictions are homomorphisms of the exact sequences (2.3.1) and (2.3.3).

Furthermore, we define a section of (2.3.3) by $s_Z(l') := \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(l')|_Z$, they also satisfy $c_1 \circ s_Z = \mathrm{id}_{L'}$. We write $\mathcal{O}_Z(l')$ for $s_Z(l')$, and we call them *natural line bundles* on Z.

We also use the notations $\mathrm{Pic}^{l'}(\widetilde{X}) := c_1^{-1}(l') \subset \mathrm{Pic}(\widetilde{X})$ and $\mathrm{Pic}^{l'}(Z) := c_1^{-1}(l') \subset \mathrm{Pic}(Z)$ respectively. Multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-l')$, or by $\mathcal{O}_Z(-l')$, provides natural affine–space isomorphisms $\mathrm{Pic}^{l'}(\widetilde{X}) \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(\widetilde{X})$ and $\mathrm{Pic}^{l'}(Z) \to \mathrm{Pic}^0(Z)$.

2.3.4. Restricted natural line bundles. The following warning is appropriate. Note that if $\widetilde{X}_1$ is a connected small convenient neighbourhood of the union of some of the exceptional divisors (hence $\widetilde{X}_1$ also stays as the resolution of the singularity obtained by contraction of that union of exceptional curves), then one can repeat the definition of natural line bundles at the level of $\widetilde{X}_1$ as well (as a splitting of (2.3.1) applied for $\widetilde{X}_1$). However, the restriction to $\widetilde{X}_1$ of a natural line bundle of $\widetilde{X}$ (even of type $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(l)$ with l integral cycle supported on E) is usually not natural on $\widetilde{X}_1$: $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(l')|_{\widetilde{X}_1} \neq \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(R(l'))$ (where $R : H^2(\widetilde{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathbb{Z})$ is the natural cohomological restriction), though their Chern classes coincide.

Therefore, in inductive procedure when such restriction is needed, we will deal with the family of *restricted natural line bundles*. This means the following. If we have two resolution spaces $\widetilde{X}_1 \subset \widetilde{X}$ with resolution graphs $\mathcal{T}_1 \subset \mathcal{T}$ and we have a Chern class $l' \in L'$, then we denote by

$\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(l') = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(l')|\widetilde{X}_1$ the restriction of the natural line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(l')$. Similarly if Z is an effective integer cycle on $\widetilde{X}$ with maybe $|Z| \neq E$, then we denote $\mathcal{O}_Z(l') = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(l')|Z$.

Furthermore if $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle on $\widetilde{X}_1$, then we denote $\mathcal{L}(l') = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(l')$. Similarly if Z is an effective integer cycle on $\widetilde{X}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle on Z, then we denote $\mathcal{L}(l') = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(l')$.

2.3.5. The analytic semigroups. By definition, the analytic semigroup associated with the resolution $\widetilde{X}$ is

$$\mathcal{S}'_{an} := \{l' \in L' : \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-l') \text{ has no fixed components}\}. \tag{2.3.6}$$

It is a subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}'$. One also sets $\mathcal{S}_{an} := \mathcal{S}'_{an} \cap L$, a subsemigroup of $\mathcal{S}$. In fact, $\mathcal{S}_{an}$ consists of the restrictions $\mathrm{div}_E(f)$ of the divisors $\mathrm{div}(f \circ \phi)$ to E, where f runs over $\mathcal{O}_{X,o}$. Therefore, if $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{an}$, then $\min\{s_1, s_2\} \in \mathcal{S}_{an}$ as well (take the generic linear combination of the corresponding functions). In particular, for any $l \in L$, there exists a *unique* minimal $s \in \mathcal{S}_{an}$ with $s \geq l$.

Similarly, for any $h \in H = L'/L$ set $\mathcal{S}'_{an,h} : \{l' \in \mathcal{S}_{an} : [l'] = h\}$. Then for any $s'_1, s'_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{an,h}$ one has $\min\{s'_1, s'_2\} \in \mathcal{S}_{an,h}$, and so for any $l' \in L'$ there exists a unique minimal $s' \in \mathcal{S}_{an,[l']}$ with $s' \geq l'$.

For any $l' \in \mathcal{S}'_{an}$ there exists an ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}(l')$ with 0–dimensional support along E such that $H^0(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-l')) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}} = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-l') \cdot \mathcal{I}(l')$. The ideal $\mathcal{I}(l')$ describes the space of base points of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-l')$.

If $l' \in \mathcal{S}'_{an}$ and the divisor of a generic global section of $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-l')$ intersects E_v, then $(l', E_v) < 0$. In particular, if $p \in E_v$ is a base point then necessarily $(l', E_v) < 0$.

Choose a base point p of $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-l')$, and assume that it is a regular point of E, and that $\mathcal{I}(l')_p$ in the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X},p}$ is (x^t, y), where x, y are some local coordinates at p with $\{x = 0\} = E$ (locally), and $t \geq 1$. Then we say that p is a *t–simple base point*. In such cases we write $t = t(p)$. Furthermore, p is called *simple* if it is t–simple for some $t \geq 1$.

Let's have a Chern class $l' \in S'_{an}$ and let's have a base point $p \in E_{v,reg}$ of a natural line $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-l')$, which is simple, then there is another interpretation of the positive integer t, such that p is t-simple.

Let's have a generic section in $s \in H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-l'))$ and $D = |s|$, then we know that D has a cut D', which is transversal at the base point p.

Let's blow up the exceptional divisor E_v along the cut D' sequentially, so let's blow up first at the point p and let the new exceptional divisor be E_{v_1}. Let's denote the strict transform of the cut D' with the same notation. After that let's blow up E_{v_1} at the intersection point $E_{v_1} \cap D'$ and let the new exceptional divisor be E_{v_2} and so on.

Let's denote the given resolution at the i-th step by $\widetilde{X}_i$ with the blow up map $b_i : \widetilde{X}_i \to \widetilde{X}$ and let's look at the natural line bundle $\mathcal{L}_i = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_i}(-b_i^*(l') - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq i} j \cdot E_{v_j}) = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_i}(D_{st})$, where D_{st} is the strict transform of the divisor D.

Let t be the minimal number, such that $\mathcal{L}_t$ hasn't got a base point along the excpetional divisor E_{v_t}. Equivalently t is the maximal integer, such that $H^0(\widetilde{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t) = H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_t}(-b_t^*(l')))$ and $h^1(\widetilde{X}_t, \mathcal{L}_t) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-l')) + t$.

In this case p is a t-simple base point ot the natural line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-l')$.

3. Effective Cartier divisors and Abel maps

In this section we review some needed material from [NN18a].

We fix a good resolution $\phi : \widetilde{X} \to X$ of a normal surface singularity, whose link is a rational homology sphere.

3.1. Let us fix an effective integral cycle $Z \in L$, $Z \geq E$. (The restriction $Z \geq E$ is imposed by the easement of the presentation, everything can be adopted for $Z > 0$).

Let $\mathrm{ECa}(Z)$ be the space of effective Cartier (zero dimensional) divisors supported on Z. Taking the class of a Cartier divisor provides a map $c : \mathrm{ECa}(Z) \to \mathrm{Pic}(Z)$. Let $\mathrm{ECa}^{l'}(Z)$ be the set of effective Cartier divisors with Chern class $l' \in L'$, that is, $\mathrm{ECa}^{l'}(Z) := c^{-1}(\mathrm{Pic}^{l'}(Z))$.

We consider the restriction of c, $c^{l'} : \mathrm{ECa}^{l'}(Z) \to \mathrm{Pic}^{l'}(Z)$ too, sometimes still denoted by c.

For any $Z_2 \geq Z_1 > 0$ one has the natural commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{ECa}^{l'}(Z_2) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}^{l'}(Z_2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{ECa}^{l'}(Z_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Pic}^{l'}(Z_1) \end{array} \tag{3.1.1}$$

As usual, we say that $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}^{l'}(Z)$ has no fixed components if

$$H^0(Z, \mathcal{L})_{reg} := H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}) \setminus \bigcup_v H^0(Z - E_v, \mathcal{L}(-E_v)) \tag{3.1.2}$$

is non–empty. Note that $H^0(Z, \mathcal{L})$ is a module over the algebra $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z)$, hence one has a natural action of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z^*)$ on $H^0(Z, \mathcal{L})_{reg}$. This second action is algebraic and free. Furthermore, $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}^{l'}(Z)$ is in the image of c if and only if $H^0(Z, \mathcal{L})_{reg} \neq \emptyset$. In this case, $c^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) = H^0(Z, \mathcal{L})_{reg}/H^0(\mathcal{O}_Z^*)$.

One verifies that $\mathrm{ECa}^{l'}(Z) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $-l' \in \mathcal{S}' \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore, it is convenient to modify the definition of ECa in the case $l' = 0$: we (re)define $\mathrm{ECa}^0(Z) = \{\emptyset\}$, as the one–element set consisting of the 'empty divisor'. We also take $c^0(\emptyset) := \mathcal{O}_Z$, then we have

$$\mathrm{ECa}^{l'}(Z) \neq \emptyset \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad l' \in -\mathcal{S}'. \tag{3.1.3}$$

If $l' \in -\mathcal{S}'$ then $\mathrm{ECa}^{l'}(Z)$ is a smooth variety of dimension (l', Z). Moreover, if $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Im}(c^{l'}(Z))$ (the image of the map $c^{l'}$) then the fiber $c^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ is a smooth, irreducible quasiprojective variety of dimension

$$\dim(c^{-1}(\mathcal{L})) = h^0(Z, \mathcal{L}) - h^0(\mathcal{O}_Z) = (l', Z) + h^1(Z, \mathcal{L}) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_Z). \tag{3.1.4}$$

3.1.5. Consider again a Chern class $l' \in -\mathcal{S}'$ as above. The E^*–support $I(l') \subset \mathcal{V}$ of l' is defined via the identity $l' = \sum_{v \in I(l')} a_v E_v^*$ with all $\{a_v\}_{v \in I}$ nonzero. Its role is the following.

Besides the Abel map $c^{l'}(Z)$ one can consider its 'multiples' $\{c^{nl'}(Z)\}_{n \geq 1}$ as well. It turns out (cf. [NN18a, §6]) that $n \mapsto \dim \mathrm{Im}(c^{nl'}(Z))$ is a non-decreasing sequence, and $\mathrm{Im}(c^{nl'}(Z))$ is an affine subspace for $n \gg 1$, whose dimension $e_Z(l')$ is independent of $n \gg 0$, and essentially it depends only on $I(l')$. We denote the linearisation of this affine subspace by $V_Z(I) \subset H^1(\mathcal{O}_Z)$ or if the cycle $Z \gg 0$, then $V_{\widetilde{X}}(I) \subset H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}})$.

Moreover, by [NN18a, Theorem 6.1.9],

$$e_Z(l') = h^1(\mathcal{O}_Z) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_{Z|_{\mathcal{V} \setminus I(l')}}),$$

where $Z|_{\mathcal{V} \setminus I(l')}$ is the restriction of the cycle Z to its $\{E_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{V} \setminus I(l')}$ coordinates.

If $Z \gg 0$ (i.e. all its E_v–coordinated are very large), then (3.1.5) reads as

$$e_Z(l') = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{V} \setminus I(l'))}),$$

where $\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{V} \setminus I(l'))$ is a convenient small tubular neighbourhood of $\cup_{v \in \mathcal{V} \setminus I(l')} E_v$.

Let $\Omega_{\widetilde{X}}(I)$ be the subspace of $H^0(\widetilde{X} \setminus E, \Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})/H^0(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})$ generated by differential forms which have no poles along $E_I \setminus \cup_{v \notin I} E_v$. Then, cf. [NN18a, §8],

$$h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}(\mathcal{V} \setminus I)}) = \dim \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}(I).$$

Similarly let $\Omega_Z(I)$ be the subspace of $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(K+Z))/H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(K))$ generated by differential forms which have no poles along $E_I \setminus \cup_{v\notin I} E_v$. Then, cf. [NN18a, §8],

$$h^1(\mathcal{O}_{Z_{(\mathcal{V}\setminus I)}}) = \dim \Omega_Z(I).$$

We have also the following duality from [NN18a] supporting the equalities above:

Theorem 3.1.6. [NN18a] *Via Laufer duality one has $V_{\widetilde{X}}(I)^* = \Omega_{\widetilde{X}}(I)$ and $V_Z(I)^* = \Omega_Z(I)$.*

4. Analytic invariants of generic analytic type

For a precise working definition of a generic analytic type see [NN18b], [N19], in a slightly simplified language we can regard the generic analytic structure in the following way as well.

Fix a rational homology sphere resolution graph $\mathcal{T}$, for each E_v ($v \in \mathcal{V}$) the disc bundle with Euler number E_v^2 is taut: it has no analytic moduli. The generic $\widetilde{X}$ is obtained by gluing 'generically' these bundles according to the edges of Γ (as an analytic plumbing).

4.1. **Review of some results of** [NN18b]**.** The list of analytic invariants, associated with a generic analytic type (with respect to a fixed resolution graph), which in [NN18b] are described topologically include the following ones: $h^1(\mathcal{O}_Z)$, $h^1(\mathcal{O}_Z(l'))$ (with certain restriction on the Chern class l'), — this last one applied for $Z \gg 0$ provides $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}})$ and $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(l'))$ too —, the analytic semigroup, and the maximal ideal cycle of $\widetilde{X}$. See above or [CDGZ04, CDGZ08, Li69, N99b, N08, **?**, **?**, Re97] for the definitions and relationships between them. The topological characterizations use the Riemann–Roch expression $\chi : L' \to \mathbb{Q}$.

In the next theorem the bundles $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-l')$ are the 'restricted natural line bundles' associated with some pair $\widetilde{X} \subset \widetilde{X}_{top}$. In particular, it is valid even if $\widetilde{X}_{top} = \widetilde{X}$ and the bundles are natural line bundles. The theorem (and basically several statements regarding generic analytic structure and restricted natural line bundles) says that these bundles behave cohomologically as the generic line bundles in $\mathrm{Pic}^{-l'}(\widetilde{X})$ (for more comments see [NN18b]).

Theorem 4.1.1. [NN18b, Theorem A] *Fix a resolution graph $\mathcal{T}$ (tree of $\mathbb{P}^1$'s) and let's have a generic analytic type $\widetilde{X}$ corresponding to it. Then the following identities hold:*
(a) For any effective cycle $Z \in L_{>0}$, such that the support $|Z|$ is connected, we have

$$h^1(\mathcal{O}_Z) = 1 - \min_{0<l\le Z, l\in L}\{\chi(l)\}.$$

(b) If $l' = \sum_{v\in\mathcal{V}} l'_v E_v \in L'$ satisfies $l'_v > 0$ for any E_v in the support of Z then

$$h^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(-l')) = \chi(l') - \min_{0\le l\le Z, l\in L}\{\chi(l'+l)\}.$$

(c) If $p_g(X,o) = h^1(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}})$ is the geometric genus of (X,o) then

$$p_g(X,o) = 1 - \min_{l\in L_{>0}}\{\chi(l)\} = -\min_{l\in L}\{\chi(l)\} + \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (X,o) \text{ is not rational,} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

(d) More generally, for any $l' \in L'$

$$h^1(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-l')) = \chi(l') - \min_{l\in L_{\ge 0}}\{\chi(l'+l)\} + \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } l' \in L_{\le 0} \text{ and } (X,o) \text{ is not rational,} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

(e) For $l \in L$ set $\mathfrak{h}(l) = \dim(H^0(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}})/H^0(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-l)))$. Then $\mathfrak{h}(0) = 0$ and for $l_0 > 0$ one has

$$\mathfrak{h}(l_0) = \min_{l \in L_{\geq 0}} \{\chi(l_0 + l)\} - \min_{l \in L_{\geq 0}} \{\chi(l)\} + \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (X, o) \text{ is not rational,} \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

(f) $\mathcal{S}'_{an} = \{l' : \chi(l') < \chi(l' + l)$ for any $l \in L_{>0}\} \cup \{0\}$.
(g) Assume that Γ is a non–rational graph and set $\mathcal{M} = \{Z \in L_{>0} : \chi(Z) = \min_{l \in L} \chi(l)\}$. Then the unique maximal element of $\mathcal{M}$ is the maximal ideal cycle of $\widetilde{X}$.

(Note that in the above formulae one also has $\min_{l \in L_{\geq 0}}\{\chi(l)\} = \min_{l \in L}\{\chi(l)\}$.)

5. The relative setup.

In this section we wish to summarise the results from [N19] about relatively generic analytic structures what we need in this article, as always we again deal only with rational homology sphere resolution graphs.

We consider an effective integer cycle $Z \geq E$ on a resolution $\widetilde{X}$ with resolution graph $\mathcal{T}$, and a smaller cycle $Z_1 \leq Z$, where we denote $|Z_1| = \mathcal{V}_1$ and the possibly nonconnected subgraph corresponding to it by $\mathcal{T}_1$.

We have the restriction map $r : \mathrm{Pic}(Z) \to \mathrm{Pic}(Z_1)$ and one has also the (cohomological) restriction operator $R_1 : L'(\mathcal{T}) \to L'_1 := L'(\mathcal{T}_1)$ (defined as $R_1(E^*_v(\mathcal{T})) = E^*_v(\mathcal{T}_1)$ if $v \in \mathcal{V}_1$, and $R_1(E^*_v(\mathcal{T})) = 0$ otherwise).

For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}(Z)$ and any $l' \in L'(\mathcal{T})$ it satisfies

$$c_1(r(\mathcal{L})) = R_1(c_1(\mathcal{L})).$$

In particular, we have the following commutative diagram as well:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{ECa}^{l'}(Z) & \stackrel{c^{l'}(Z)}{\longrightarrow} & \mathrm{Pic}^{l'}(Z) \\ \downarrow \mathfrak{r} & & \downarrow r \\ \mathrm{ECa}^{R_1(l')}(Z_1) & \stackrel{c^{R_1(l')}(Z_1)}{\longrightarrow} & \mathrm{Pic}^{R_1(l')}(Z_1) \end{array}$$

We can consider instead of the 'total' Abel map $c^{l'}(Z)$ only its restriction above a fixed fiber of r.

That is, we fix some $\mathfrak{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}^{R_1(l')}(Z_1)$, and we study the restriction of $c^{l'}(Z)$ to $(r \circ c^{l'}(Z))^{-1}(\mathfrak{L}) \to r^{-1}(\mathfrak{L})$.

The subvariety $(r \circ c^{l'}(Z))^{-1}(\mathfrak{L}) = (c^{R_1(l')}(Z_1) \circ \mathfrak{r})^{-1}(\mathfrak{L}) \subset \mathrm{ECa}^{l'}(Z)$ is denoted by $\mathrm{ECa}^{l',\mathfrak{L}}(Z)$.

Theorem 5.0.1. [N19] *Fix an arbitrary singularity $\widetilde{X}$ a Chern class $l' \in -\mathcal{S}'$, an integer effective cycle $Z \geq E$ and a cycle $Z_1 \leq Z$ and let's have a line bundle $\mathfrak{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}^{R(l')}(Z_1)$. Assume that $\mathrm{ECa}^{l',\mathfrak{L}}(Z)$ is nonempty, then it is smooth of dimension $h^1(Z_1, \mathfrak{L}) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_{Z_1}) + (l', Z)$ and irreducible.*

Let's recall from [N19] the analouge of the theroems about dominance of Abel maps in the relative setup:

Definition 5.0.2. [N19] Fix an arbitrary singularity $\widetilde{X}$, a Chern class $l' \in -\mathcal{S}'$, an integer effective cycle $Z \geq E$, a cycle $Z_1 \leq Z$ and a line bundle $\mathfrak{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}^{R_1(l')}(Z_1)$ as above. We say that the pair $(l', \mathfrak{L})$ is *relative dominant* on the cycle Z, if the closure of $r^{-1}(\mathfrak{L}) \cap \mathrm{Im}(c^{l'}(Z))$ is $r^{-1}(\mathfrak{L})$.

Theorem 5.0.3. [N19] *One has the following facts:*

(1) If $(l', \mathfrak{L})$ is relative dominant on the cycle Z, then $\mathrm{ECa}^{l',\mathfrak{L}}(Z)$ is nonempty and $h^1(Z, \mathcal{L}) = h^1(Z_1, \mathfrak{L})$ for any generic line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in r^{-1}(\mathfrak{L})$.

(2) $(l', \mathfrak{L})$ *is relative dominant on the cycle* Z*, if and only if for all* $0 < l \leq Z$*,* $l \in L$ *one has*

$$\chi(-l') - h^1(Z_1, \mathfrak{L}) < \chi(-l' + l) - h^1((Z - l)_1, \mathfrak{L}(-l)).$$

, where we denote $(Z - l)_1 = \min(Z - l, Z_1)$*.*

Theorem 5.0.4. [N19] *Fix an arbitrary singularity* $\widetilde{X}$*, a Chern class* $l' \in -\mathcal{S}'$*, an integer effective cycle* $Z \geq E$*, a cycle* $Z_1 \leq Z$ *and a line bundle* $\mathfrak{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}^{R_1(l')}(Z_1)$ *as in Theorem 5.0.3. Then for any* $\mathcal{L} \in r^{-1}(\mathfrak{L})$ *one has*

$$h^1(Z, \mathcal{L}) \geq \chi(-l') - \min_{0 \leq l \leq Z,\ l \in L}\{\, \chi(-l' + l) - h^1((Z - l)_1, \mathfrak{L}(-l))\,\}, \quad \textit{or equivalently,}$$
$$h^0(Z, \mathcal{L}) \geq \max_{0 \leq l \leq Z,\, l \in L}\{\, \chi(Z - l, \mathcal{L}(-l)) + h^1((Z - l)_1, \mathfrak{L}(-l))\,\}.$$

Furthermore, if $\mathcal{L}$ *is generic in* $r^{-1}(\mathfrak{L})$ *then in both inequalities we have equalities.*

5.1. **Relatively generic analytic structures.** In the following we recall the results from [N19] about relatively generic analytic structures:

Let's fix a a topological type, in other words a resolution graph $\mathcal{T}$ with vertex set $\mathcal{V}$, we consider a partition $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_1 \cup \mathcal{V}_2$.

They define two (not necessarily connected) subgraphs $\mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_2$.

We call the intersection of an exceptional divisor from $\mathcal{V}_1$ with an exceptional divisor from $\mathcal{V}_2$ a *contact point.*

For any $Z \in L = L(\mathcal{T})$ we write $Z = Z_1 + Z_2$, where $Z_i \in L(\mathcal{T}_i)$ is supported in $\mathcal{T}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$. Furthermore, parallel to the restrictions $r_i : \mathrm{Pic}(Z) \to \mathrm{Pic}(Z_i)$ one also has the (cohomological) restriction operators $R_i : L'(\mathcal{T}) \to L'_i := L'(\mathcal{T}_i)$ (defined as $R_i(E_v^*(\mathcal{T})) = E_v^*(\mathcal{T}_i)$ if $v \in \mathcal{V}_i$, and $R_i(E_v^*(\mathcal{T})) = 0$ otherwise).

For any $l' \in L'(\mathcal{T})$ and any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic}^{l'}(Z)$ it satisfies $c_1(r_i(\mathcal{L})) = R_i(c_1(\mathcal{L}))$.

In the following for the sake of simplicity we will denote $r = r_1$ and $R = R_1$.

Furthermore let's have a fixed analytic type $\widetilde{X}_1$ for the subgraph $\mathcal{T}_1$ (if $\mathcal{T}_1$ is disconnected, then an analytic type for each of its connected components).

Also for each vertex $v_2 \in \mathcal{V}_2$ which has got a neighbour v_1 in $\mathcal{V}_1$ we fix a cut D_{v_2} on $\widetilde{X}_1$, along we glue the exceptional divisor E_{v_2}. This means that D_{v_2} is a divisor, which intersects the exceptional divisor E_{v_1} transversally in one point and we will glue the tubular neighborhood of the exceptional divisor E_{v_2} in a way, such that $E_{v_2} \cap \widetilde{X}_1 = D_{v_2}$.

If for some vertex $v_2 \in \mathcal{V}_2$, which has got a neighbour in $\mathcal{V}_1$ we don't say explicitely what is the fixed cut, then it should be understood in the way that we glue the exceptional divisor E_{v_2} along a generic cut.

Let's glue the tubular neihgbourhoods of the exceptional divisors $E_{v_2}, v_2 \in \mathcal{V}_2$ with the above conditions generically to the fixed resolution $\widetilde{X}_1$. We get a singularity $\widetilde{X}$ with resolution graph $\mathcal{T}$ and we say that $\widetilde{X}$ is a relatively generic singularity corresponding to the analytical structure $\widetilde{X}_1$ and the cuts D_{v_2}.

For the more precise explanation of relative genericity look at [N19].

We have the following theorems with this setup from [N19]:

Theorem 5.1.1. [N19] *Let's have the setup as above and let's have furthermore an effective cycle* Z *on* $\widetilde{X}$ *and let's have* $Z = Z_1 + Z_2$*, where* $|Z_1| \subset \mathcal{V}_1$ *and* $|Z_2| \subset \mathcal{V}_2$*.*

Let's have the natural line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(l')$ *on* $\widetilde{X}$*, such that* $l' = -\sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}} a_v E_v \in L'_{\mathcal{T}}$*, with* $a_v > 0, v \in \mathcal{V}_2 \cap |Z|$*, and let's denote* $c_1(\mathcal{L}|Z) = l'_Z \in L'_{|Z|}$*, furthermore let's denote* $\mathfrak{L} = \mathcal{L}|Z_1$*, then we have the following:*

We have $H^0(Z,\mathcal{L})_{reg}\neq\emptyset$ if and only if $(l',\mathfrak{L})$ is relative dominant on the cycle Z or equivalently:

$$\chi(-l')-h^1(Z_1,\mathfrak{L})<\chi(-l'+l)-h^1((Z-l)_1,\mathfrak{L}(-l)),$$

for all $0<l\leq Z$.

Theorem 5.1.2. [N19] *Let's have the same setup as in part 1), then we have:*

$$h^1(Z,\mathcal{L})=h^1(Z,\mathcal{L}_{gen}),$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{gen}$ is a generic line bundle in $r^{-1}(\mathfrak{L})\subset \mathrm{Pic}^{l'_m}(Z)$, or equivalently:

$$h^1(Z,\mathcal{L})=\chi(-l')-\min_{0\leq l\leq Z}(\chi(-l'+l)-h^1((Z-l)_1,\mathfrak{L}(-l))).$$

Theorem 5.1.3. [N19] *Let's have a natural line bundle $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(l')$ on $\widetilde{X}$, such that $l'=-\sum_{v\in\mathcal{V}}a_vE_v$, and assume that $a_v\neq 0$ if $v\in\mathcal{V}_2\cap|Z|$.*

Let's denote $c_1(\mathcal{L}|Z)=l'_Z\in L'_{|Z|}$ and $\mathfrak{L}=\mathcal{L}|Z_1$.

Assume that $H^0(Z,\mathcal{L})_{reg}\neq\emptyset$, and pick an arbitrary $D\in(c^{l'_Z}(Z))^{-1}\mathcal{L}\subset \mathrm{ECa}^{l'_Z,\mathfrak{L}}(Z)$. Then $c^{l'_m}(Z):\mathrm{ECa}^{l'_m,\mathfrak{L}}(Z)\to r^{-1}(\mathfrak{L})$ is a submersion in D, and $h^1(Z,\mathcal{L})=h^1(Z_1,\mathfrak{L})$.

In particular the map $c^{l'_Z}(Z):\mathrm{ECa}^{l'_Z,\mathfrak{L}}(Z)\to r^{-1}(\mathfrak{L})$ is dominant, which means that $(l'_m,\mathfrak{L})$ is relative dominant on the cycle Z, or equivalently:

$$\chi(-l')-h^1(Z_1,\mathfrak{L})<\chi(-l'+l)-h^1((Z-l)_1,\mathfrak{L}(-l)),$$

for all $0<l\leq Z$.

Remark 5.1.4. In the theorems above in any formula one can replace l' with l'_Z, since for every $0\leq l\leq Z$ one has $\chi(-l')-\chi(-l'+l)=\chi(-l'_Z)-\chi(-l'_Z+l)=-(l',l)-\chi(l)$.

6. Base points of natural line bundles on relatively generic surface singularities

In the following we want to determine the base points of natural line bundles on relatively generic surface singularities, so the set up is the following:

Let $\mathcal{T}_1\subset\mathcal{T}$ be two resolution graphs, and let $\widetilde{X}_1$ be a fixed singularity corresponding to $\mathcal{T}_1$ (If $\mathcal{T}_1$ is nonconnected then a bunch of singularities still denoted by $\widetilde{X}_1$).

For every vertex $v\in\mathcal{V}\setminus\mathcal{V}_1$ which has got a neighbour $n(v)$ in $\mathcal{V}_1$ we fix a smooth divisor D_v on $\widetilde{X}_1$ which intersects $E_{n(v)}$ transversally in a regular point, such that we glue the tubular neighborhood of the exceptional divisor E_v along D_v.

Now let's have a relatively generic singularity $\widetilde{X}$ with resolution graph $\mathcal{T}$, with respect to $\widetilde{X}_1$ and the cuts D_v.

Let's also fix a natural line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\widetilde{X}$, we wish to determine the base point structure of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$, so that what are the base points on different exceptional divisors, and how much times we should blow up them until they stop being base points.

We have the following theorem about it, we state in a bit more general form because of technical reasons:

Theorem 6.0.1. *Let's have three rational homology sphere resolution graphs $\mathcal{T}_1\subset\mathcal{T}\subset\mathcal{T}'$ and a fixed singularity $\widetilde{X}_1$ corresponding to the resolution graph $\mathcal{T}_1$ and for every vertex $v\in\mathcal{V}'$ such that v has a neighbour in $\mathcal{V}$ a cut D_v.*

Let $\widetilde{X}'$ be a relatively generic singularity with resolution graph $\mathcal{T}'$ with respect to $\widetilde{X}_1$ and the cuts D_v, then the subsingularity $\widetilde{X}$ with resolution graph $\mathcal{T}$ is certainly also a relatively generic singularity with respect to $\widetilde{X}_1$ and the cuts D_v. Let's have furthermore an element $l'_{top} \in L'_{\mathcal{T}'}$, such that if $v \in \mathcal{V}$ we have $(l'_{top})_v > 0$. Let's denote $R(l'_{top}) = l'_d \in L'_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(-l'_{top})$, $\mathcal{L}_d = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-l'_{top})$. Assume that $(-l_d, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(-l'_{top}))$ is relatively dominant, which by Theorem5.1.1 is euqivalent to the fact that $H^0(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{L}_d)_{reg} \neq \emptyset$ and $h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}) = h^1(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{L}_d)$.

Assume furthermore that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ has got no base points on $\widetilde{X}_1$, then we have the following:

1) The line bundle $\mathcal{L}_d$ has got no base points at the intersection points of exceptional divisors, and it has got only simple base points.

2) If $v \in \mathcal{V}$, then $\mathcal{L}_d$ has got base point along the exceptional divisor E_v if and only if there exists a cycle $A \geq E_v$, such that:

$$\chi(l'_d) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}) + 1 = \chi(l'_d + A) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-A)),$$

and if there is a base point on E_v, then there are $-(l'_d, E_v)$ distinct base points along the exceptional divisor E_v.

Proof. Notice that the fact that the pair $(-l'_d, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(-l'_{top}))$ is relatively dominant is by Theorem5.0.3 equivalent to:

$$\chi(l'_d) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}) < \chi(l'_d + l) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-l)),$$

for all $0 < l \in L_{\mathcal{T}}$.

We will prove statements 1) and 2), by a simultaneous induction on the parameter $p_g(\widetilde{X}) - p_g(\widetilde{X}_1)$.

6.1. **Proof in the base case $p_g(\widetilde{X}) = p_g(\widetilde{X}_1)$.** We will first prove the theorem in the following in the simplest case $p_g(\widetilde{X}) = p_g(\widetilde{X}_1)$.

In this case since the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ has got no base points on $\widetilde{X}_1$, we get that $\mathcal{L}_m$ hasn't got also any base points.

Indeed if $s \in H^0(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L})_{reg}$ is a generic section and $D = |s|$, then if we have a divisor D' on $\widetilde{X}$ such that the restriction of D' equals D, then by the condition $p_g(\widetilde{X}) = p_g(\widetilde{X}_1)$ we get that $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(D') = \mathcal{L}_d$. This proves indeed that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_d$ hasn't got any base points too.

So in this case we only need to prove that if $-(l'_d, E_v) > 0$ and $A > 0, A \in L_{\mathcal{T}}$, such that $E_v \in |A|$, then one has:

$$\chi(l'_d) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}) + 1 < \chi(l'_d + A) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-A)),$$

Asume to the contrary that:

$$\chi(l'_d) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}) + 1 = \chi(l'_d + A) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-A)),$$

Let's have the only line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{gen} \in \mathrm{Pic}^{-l'_d}(\widetilde{X})$, such that $r(\mathcal{L}_{gen}) = \mathcal{L}$, we know that $\mathcal{L}_{gen} = \mathcal{L}_d$ and it is oviously relatively generic.

Let's have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to H^0(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{L}_{gen}(-E_v)) \to H^0(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{L}_{gen}) \to H^0(E_v, \mathcal{L}_{gen}) \to H^1(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{L}_{gen}(-E_v)) \to H^1(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{L}_{gen}) \to 0.$$

By Theorem5.1.2 we have:

$$h^1(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{L}_{gen}(-E_v)) = \chi(l'_d + E_v) - \min_{0 \leq l \in L_{\mathcal{T}}} (\chi(l'_d + E_v + l) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-l - E_v))).$$

$$h^1(\widetilde{X},\mathcal{L}_{gen}(-E_v))=\chi(l'_d+E_v)-(\chi(l'_d+A)-h^1(\widetilde{X}_1,\mathcal{L}(-A)))=\chi(l'_d+E_v)-(\chi(l'_d)-h^1(\widetilde{X}_1,\mathcal{L})+1).$$

$$h^1(\widetilde{X},\mathcal{L}_{gen}(-E_v))=-(l'_d,E_v)+h^1(\widetilde{X}_1,\mathcal{L}).$$

It means by the exact sequence that the dimension of the image of the map $H^0(\widetilde{X},\mathcal{L}_{gen})\to H^0(E_v,\mathcal{L}_{gen})$ is 1, which means that $\mathcal{L}_d=\mathcal{L}_{gen}$ has got a base point on E_v, which is a contradiction.

Since there are no base points of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_d$ part 2) is obvious, which means we are done with the case $p_g(\widetilde{X})=p_g(\widetilde{X}_1)$.

Let's consider next the induction step, so assume that $p_g(\widetilde{X})-p_g(\widetilde{X}_1)=k$ and we know part 1) and 2) in cases when $p_g(\widetilde{X})-p_g(\widetilde{X}_1)<k$.

6.2. **Proof that there are no base points at the intersection points of exceptional divisors.** Assume that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_d$ has got a base point at the intersection point of two exceptional divisors E_v and E_w, and let's blow up this intersection point, and let the new exceptional divisor be E_{new}.

Let $\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\widetilde{X}_{new},\widetilde{X}'_{new}$ be the blow up of $\widetilde{X}_1,\widetilde{X},\widetilde{X}'$ (notice that $\widetilde{X}_{1,new}=\widetilde{X}_1$ if and only if $v,w\notin\mathcal{V}_1$).

Notice that $\widetilde{X}'_{new}$ is a relatively generic singularity with respect to $\widetilde{X}_{1,new}$ and the strict transforms of the original cuts.

We are enough to prove that the pair $(-\pi^*(l'_d),\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_{1,new}}(-\pi^*(l'_{top})))$ is relatively dominant on $\widetilde{X}_{new}$, because it would yield $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_{new}}(-\pi^*(l'_{top})))_{reg}\neq\emptyset$, which is a contradiction to the fact that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_d$ has got a base point at the intersection point of the two exceptional divisors E_v and E_w.

Let's denote $\mathcal{L}'=\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_{1,new}}(-\pi^*(l'_{top}))$, so we have to prove that for all cycles $l\in L$ and nonnegative integer $a\geq 0$, such that if $l=0$, then $a>0$, we have:

$$\chi(\pi^*(l'_d))-h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}')<\chi(\pi^*(l'_d+l)+aE_{new})-h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}'(-\pi^*(l)-aE_{new})).$$

$$\chi(l'_d)-h^1(\widetilde{X}_1,\mathcal{L})<\chi(l'_d+l)+\frac{a(a+1)}{2}-h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}'(-\pi^*(l)-aE_{new})),$$

where we have $\mathcal{L}'=\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}'_{1,new}}(-\pi^*(l'_{top}))$.

The conditions for the cycle l and the number a express the facts that $\pi^*(l'_d)+\pi^*(l)+aE_{new}>\pi^*(l'_d)$ and $\pi^*(l'_d+l)+aE_{new}\in S'_{new}$.

First assume that $l=0$ and $a>0$.

Now we have two cases:

If $v,w\notin\mathcal{V}_1$, then we have $h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}'(-\pi^*(l)-aE_{new}))=h^1(\widetilde{X}_1,\mathcal{L})$, so we have to prove that:

$$\chi(l'_d)-h^1(\widetilde{X}_1,\mathcal{L})<\chi(l'_d)+\frac{a(a+1)}{2}-h^1(\widetilde{X}_1,\mathcal{L}),$$

which is trivial.

In the other case if v or w is in $\mathcal{V}_1$, then we have $E_{new}\in\mathcal{V}_{1,new}$ and we have to prove that:

$$\chi(l'_d)-h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}')<\chi(l'_d)+\frac{a(a+1)}{2}-h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}'(-aE_{new})).$$

$$-h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}')<\frac{a(a+1)}{2}-h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}'(-aE_{new})).$$

We know that $H^0(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}'(-aE_{new}))\subset H^0(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}')$ and $H^0(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}'(-aE_{new}))\neq H^0(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}')$ because the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ doesn't have a base point on $\widetilde{X}_1$, from which we get:

$$h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}')-\chi(-c^1(\mathcal{L}'))>h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}'(-aE_{new}))-\chi(-c^1(\mathcal{L}')+aE_{new}).$$

This exactly gives:

$$h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}')+\frac{a(a+1)}{2}>h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}'(-aE_{new})).$$

Now assume in the following that $l>0$ and $a\geq 0$, in this case we have to prove:

$$\chi(l'_d)-h^1(\widetilde{X}_1,\mathcal{L})<\chi(l'_d+l)+\frac{a(a+1)}{2}-h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}'(-\pi^*(l)-aE_{new})).$$

We know that $(-l'_d,\mathcal{L})$ is relatively dominant, which means:

$$\chi(l'_d)-h^1(\widetilde{X}_1,\mathcal{L})<\chi(l'_d+l)-h^1(\widetilde{X}_1,\mathcal{L}(-l)).$$

It means that we only have to prove that $\frac{a(a+1)}{2}-h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}'(-\pi^*(l)-aE_{new}))\geq -h^1(\widetilde{X}_1,\mathcal{L}(-l))$.

We know that $h^1(\widetilde{X}_1,\mathcal{L}(-l))=h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}'(-\pi^*(l)))$and $H^0(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}'(-\pi^*(l)-aE_{new}))\subset H^0(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}'(-\pi^*(l)))$, so we get:

$$h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}'(-\pi^*(l)))-\chi(-c^1(\mathcal{L}'(-\pi^*(l))))\geq h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}'-(\pi^*(l)+aE_{new}))-\chi(-c^1(\mathcal{L}'(-\pi^*(l)-aE_{new}))).$$

This exactly gives:

$$h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}'(-\pi^*(l)))\geq h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new},\mathcal{L}'(-\pi^*(l)-aE_{new}))-\frac{a(a+1)}{2}.$$

Now we have proved that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_d$ hasn't got a base point at the intersection point of exceptional divisors.

6.3. **Proof that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_d$ has got only simple base points.** In the following we want to prove that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_d$ has got only simple base points.

We know that $p_g(\widetilde{X})>p_g(\widetilde{X}_1)$, which means by [NN18a] that there is a vertex $u\in\mathcal{V}\setminus\mathcal{V}_1$ such that, there are differential forms in $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}})^*\cong H^0(\widetilde{X}\setminus E,\Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})/H^0(\widetilde{X},\Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})$, which have got pole on the exceptional divisor E_u.

Let's blow up E_u in generic points sequentially and let the new vertices be $u_0=u,u_1,\cdots,u_t$ and let t be the maximal positive integer, such that there are nonzero differential forms in $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}})^*\cong H^0(\widetilde{X}\setminus E,\Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})/H^0(\widetilde{X},\Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})$ having pole on E_{u_t}.

Let's denote the new blowed up singularities by $\widetilde{X}_{b,1}=\widetilde{X}_1,\widetilde{X}'_b,\widetilde{X}_b$ and let's denote the subsingularity with resolution graph $\mathcal{T}\setminus u_t\cup u_1,\cdots,u_{t-1}$ by $\widetilde{X}_s$, notice that in the case $t=0$ the singularity $\widetilde{X}_s$ is not nessecarily connected.

If $t>0$ then let's denote the intersection point $E_{u_{t-1}}\cap E_{u_t}$ by q and if $t=0$ then let's denote the set of intersection points $E_u\cap(E-E_u)$ by $(q_1,\cdots,q_k)$.

We know that $p_g(\widetilde{X}_s)-p_g(\widetilde{X}_1)<p_g(\widetilde{X})-p_g(\widetilde{X}_1)$ and $\widetilde{X}_s$ is relatively generic to $\widetilde{X}_1$, which means that we can use our statements for the pair of singularities $(\widetilde{X}_s,\widetilde{X}_1)$.

Let's look at the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_s=\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_s}(-\pi^*(l'_{top}))$, first notice that the pair $(-R_s(\pi^*(l'_d)),\mathcal{L})$ is relatively dominant on $\widetilde{X}_s$ because of $H^0(\widetilde{X}_s,\mathcal{L}_s)_{reg}\neq\emptyset$, so by induction we know that $\mathcal{L}_s$ has got only simple base points only at regular points of the exceptional divisors E_v, where $v\in\mathcal{V}_s$.

We also know by simultaneous induction hypothesis that if $\mathcal{L}_s$ has got base point on E_v, where $v\in\mathcal{V}_s$, then it has got $-(l'_d,E_v)$ disjoint base points at regular points of E_v.

This of course would mean that $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_b}(-\pi^*(l'_{top}))$ and then also $\mathcal{L}_d$ has got $-(l'_d, E_v)$ disjoint base points at regular points of E_v, and then trivially they are simple base points.

So we can assume in the following that $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{L}_s$ hasn't got a base point on E_v, it means in particular that a generic section $s \in H^0(\widetilde{X}_s, \mathcal{L}_s)$ has got a divisor which has got $-(l'_d, E_v)$ dijsoint transversal cuts on the regular part of the exceptional divisor E_v.

Let's have a large number N, such that $\dim(\mathrm{Im}(c^{-NE^*_{u_t}})) = e_{\widetilde{X}_b}(u_t)$, and let's blow up the exceptional divisor E_{u_t} in N distinct generic points $p_1, \cdots p_N$, and let the new exceptional divisors be $E_{w_1}, \cdots, E_{w_N}$.

Let's denote the new singularity by $\widetilde{X}_{new}$ and let's denote its subsingularity with vertex set $\mathcal{V} \cup u_1, \cdots, u_t$ by $\widetilde{X}_u$, we have obviously $p_g(\widetilde{X}_u) = p_g(\widetilde{X}_{new}) = p_g(\widetilde{X})$.

We know again that $\widetilde{X}_{new}$ has got a relatively generic analytic structure with respect to $\widetilde{X}_1$ and the cuts.

Indeed by the defniniton of t the differental forms in $H^0(\widetilde{X} \setminus E, \Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})/H^0(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})$ have got no pole along the exceptional divisors $E_{w_1}, \cdots, E_{w_N}$.

It means also that $\mathrm{Pic}^{-\pi^*(l'_d)}(\widetilde{X}_{new}) \cong \mathrm{Pic}^{-R_u(\pi^*(l'_d))}(\widetilde{X}_u)$ and the isomorphism map is given by the restriction map r_u (R_u is the corresponding cohomological restriction operator).

Next we will deform the analytic structure of $\widetilde{X}_{new}$ in such a way that doesn't change the analytic type of $\widetilde{X}_1$ and the cuts.

We fix the analytic type of the subsingularity $\widetilde{X}_u$ and change the plumbing with the tubular neighborhood of the exceptional divisors be $E_{w_1}, \cdots, E_{w_N}$ in such a way that we move the contact points $p_1, \cdots, p_N$.

Notice that from the definition of the number t the cohomological cycle of $\widetilde{X}_u$ has got multiplicity one on the vertex u_t, which means, that if D' is a smooth divisor intersecting the exceptional divisor E_{u_t} at a regular point transversally then the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_u}(D')$ depends only on the intersection point $D' \cap E_{u_t}$.

We will use the fact that if we move the contact points $p_1, \cdots, p_N$ and the plumbing with the tubular neighborhood of the exceptional divisors be $E_{w_1}, \cdots, E_{w_N}$ minorly then the given analytic type on $\widetilde{X}_{new}$ remains relatively generic.

Notice that if we move the contact points $p_1, \cdots, p_N$, then the possible values of the restricted line bundle $\mathcal{L}_u = r_u(\pi^*(\mathcal{L}_d))$ cover an open set in $r_s^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_s) \subset \mathrm{Pic}^{-R_u(\pi^*(l'_d))}(\widetilde{X}_u)$, because of $\dim(\mathrm{Im}(c^{-NE^*_{u_t}})) = e_{\widetilde{X}_b}(u_t)$ and the fact that the coefficients of $E_{w_1}, \cdots, E_{w_N}$ are positive in $\pi^*(l'_{top})$.

It means that for a generic choice of the contact points $p_1, \cdots, p_N$ along the deformation process the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_u$ becomes a generic line bundle in $r_s^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_s)$.

Notice that a generic section $s \in H^0(\widetilde{X}_s, \mathcal{L}_s)$ has got a divisor which has got $-(l'_d, E_v)$ disjoint cuts on the exceptional divisor E_v, which means that for a generic line bundle $\mathcal{L}_u \in r_s^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_s)$ the generic section in $H^0(\widetilde{X}_u, \mathcal{L}_u)$ has got $-(l'_d, E_v)$ disjoint cuts on the exceptional divisor E_v.

Indeed it follows from the existence of the two dominant maps $H^0(\widetilde{X}_s, \mathcal{L}_s)_{reg,q} \to \mathrm{ECa}^{-\pi^*(l'_{top}),\mathcal{L}_s}(Z) \to r_s^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_s)$, where $H^0(\widetilde{X}_s, \mathcal{L}_s)_{reg,q}$ is the open set of regular sections, which don't vanish at the point q (or at the points $q_1, \cdots, q_k$, if $t = 0$) and Z is a very large cycle.

The first map simply takes a section to its divisor and the second map is the Abel map $c^{-\pi^*(l'_{top})}(Z)$ restricted to the subset $\mathrm{ECa}^{-\pi^*(l'_{top}),\mathcal{L}_s}(Z) \subset \mathrm{ECa}^{-\pi^*(l'_{top})}(Z)$, so the relative Abel map.

The first map is clearly surjective since every divisor $D \in \mathrm{ECa}^{-\pi^*(l'_{top}),\mathcal{L}_s}(Z)$ determines the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_s$ on the subsingularity $\widetilde{X}_s$ and the second map is also dominant since for a generic line bundle $\mathcal{L}_u \in r_s^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_s)$ we have $H^0(\widetilde{X}_u, \mathcal{L}_u)_{reg} \neq \emptyset$.

Since the generic section in $H^0(\widetilde{X}_s, \mathcal{L}_s)_{reg,q}$ has got a divisor which has got $-(l'_d, E_v)$ disjoint arrows on the exceptional divisor E_v it indeed follows that the generic section in $H^0(\widetilde{X}_u, \mathcal{L}_u)$ has got $-(l'_d, E_v)$ disjoint arrows on the exceptional divisor E_v.

Using the isomorphism $\mathrm{Pic}^{-\pi^*(l'_d)}(\widetilde{X}_{new}) \cong \mathrm{Pic}^{-R_u(\pi^*(l'_d))}(\widetilde{X}_u)$, and the fact that $\mathcal{L}_u$ doesn't have base points at the intersection of exceptional divisors we get that the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_{new}}(-\pi^*(l'_{top}))$ has got a section which has got $-(l'_d, E_v)$ dijsoint arrows on the exceptional divisor E_v, which means that it can have only simple base points on the exceptional divisor E_v and we have proved part 1).

6.4. **Proof of part 2).** For part 2) let's prove first the easier direction that if $v \in \mathcal{V}$, and there exists a cycle $A \geq E_v$, such that:

$$\chi(l'_d) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}) + 1 = \chi(l'_d + A) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-A)),$$

then there are $-(l'_d, E_v)$ distinct base points along E_v.

Let's look at the the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to H^0(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{L}_d(-E_v)) \to H^0(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{L}_d) \to H^0(E_v, \mathcal{L}_d) \to H^1(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{L}_d(-E_v)) \to H^1(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{L}_d) \to 0.$$

Notice that $h^1(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{L}_d) = h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L})$ and we have the following by Theorem5.1.2:

$$h^1(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{L}_d(-E_v)) = \chi(l'_d + E_v) - \min_{0 \leq l \in L_\tau} (\chi(l'_d + E_v + l) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-l - E_v)).$$

$$h^1(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{L}_d(-E_v)) = \chi(l'_d + E_v) - (\chi(l'_d) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}) + 1) = -(l'_d, E_v) + h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}).$$

This means that the dimension of the image of the map $H^0(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{L}_m) \to H^0(E_v, \mathcal{L}_d)$ is 1, and since there are only simple base points on E_v by part 1), we get that there $-(l'_d, E_v)$ disjoint base points along E_v.

Now assume in the following that $v \in \mathcal{V}$ and for every $A \geq E_v$, one has:

$$\chi(l'_d) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}) + 1 < \chi(l'_d + A) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-A)),$$

By the same calculation as before we immediately get that the dimension of the image of the map $H^0(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{L}_d) \to H^0(E_v, \mathcal{L}_d)$ is more than 1, so at least we get that there can't be $-(l'_d, E_v)$ disjoint base points along the exceptional divisor E_v.

We know that $p_g(\widetilde{X}) > p_g(\widetilde{X}_1)$, which means similarly as in the proof of part 1) that there is a vertex $u \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{V}_1$, such that there are differential forms in $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}})^* \cong H^0(\widetilde{X} \setminus E, \Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})/H^0(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})$, which have got pole on the exceptional divisor E_u.

Let's blow up E_u in generic points sequentially and let the new vertices be $u_0 = u, u_1, \cdots, u_t$ and let t be the maximal positive integer, such that there are nonzero differential forms in $H^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}})^* \cong H^0(\widetilde{X} \setminus E, \Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})/H^0(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})$ having pole on E_{u_t}.

Let's denote the blown up singularities by $\widetilde{X}_{b,1} = \widetilde{X}_1, \widetilde{X}'_b, \widetilde{X}_b$ and let's denote the subsingularity with resolution graph $\mathcal{T} \setminus u_t \cup u_1, \cdots, u_{t-1}$ by $\widetilde{X}_s$, notice that in the case $t = 0$ the singularity $\widetilde{X}_s$ is not nessecarily connected.

Notice that $\widetilde{X}_s$ is a relatively generic singularity corresponding to $\widetilde{X}_1$ and the cuts and $\widetilde{X}_b$ is a relatively generic singularity corresponding to $\widetilde{X}_s$ (and generic cut or cuts).

We know that $p_g(\widetilde{X}_s) - p_g(\widetilde{X}_1) < p_g(\widetilde{X}) - p_g(\widetilde{X}_1)$ and $\widetilde{X}_s$ is relatively generic to $\widetilde{X}_1$, which means that we can use our statements for the pair $(\widetilde{X}_s, \widetilde{X}_1)$.

Let's denote $\mathcal{L}_s = \pi^*(\mathcal{L}_d)|\widetilde{X}_s$.

From the fact that the dimension of the image of the map $H^0(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{L}_d) \to H^0(E_v, \mathcal{L}_d)$ is more than 1 we get immediately that the dimension of the image of the map $H^0(\widetilde{X}_s, \mathcal{L}_s) \to H^0(E_v, \mathcal{L}_s)$ is more than 1.

Now we can use the induction hipothesis for the pair $(\widetilde{X}_s, \widetilde{X}_1)$ to get that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_s$ hasn't got a base point on E_v.

If $\mathcal{L}_s$ has got some base points on $\widetilde{X}_s$ outside of E_v, then we can blow them up.

This means that we reduced the statement of part 2) to the case, when $|\mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{V}_1| = 1$, say this is a vertex called u, and the differential forms in $H^0(\widetilde{X} \setminus E, \Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})/H^0(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})$ have got a pole on the divisor E_u with order at most 1.

We have to prove that if $v \in \mathcal{V}$ arbitrary and the dimension of the image of the map $H^0(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{L}_d) \to H^0(E_v, \mathcal{L}_d)$ is more than 1, then $\mathcal{L}_d$ hasn't got a base point along the exceptional divisor E_v.

We prove first the next key lemma:

Lemma 6.4.1. *Let's have the setup above and a vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}$ such that the dimension of the image of the map $H^0(\widetilde{X}, \mathcal{L}_d) \to H^0(E_v, \mathcal{L}_d)$ is more than 1 and let $p \in E_v$ be a generic point and let's blow up the exceptional divisor E_v in p.*

Let's denote the new exceptional divisor be E_{new} and the new singularities be $\widetilde{X}_{1,new}, \widetilde{X}_{new}, \widetilde{X}'_{new}$.

1) Assume that $v \in \mathcal{V}_1$ and let's have the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{new} = \pi^*(\mathcal{L})(-E_{new})$ on $\widetilde{X}_{1,new}$, we claim that $(-\pi^*(l'_d) - E_{new}, \mathcal{L}_{new})$ is relatively dominant on $\widetilde{X}_{new}$.

2) Assume that $v = u$, we claim that $(-\pi^*(l'_d) - E_{new}, \mathcal{L})$ is relatively dominant on $\widetilde{X}_{new}$.

Proof. For 1) by Theorem5.0.3 we have to prove that for all cycles $0 < \pi^*(l) + aE_{new} \in L_{\mathcal{T}_{new}}$, such that $\pi^*(l'_d) + (a+1)E_{new} + \pi^*(l) \in S'_{\mathcal{T}_{new}}$ and $H^0(\widetilde{X}_{1,new}, \pi^*(\mathcal{L})(-\pi^*(l) - (a+1)E_{new}))_0 \neq \emptyset$ one has:

$$\chi(\pi^*(l'_d)+E_{new})-h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new}, \mathcal{L}_{new}) < \chi(\pi^*(l'_d)+(a+1)E_{new}+\pi^*(l))-h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new}, \pi^*(\mathcal{L})(-\pi^*(l)-(a+1)E_{new})).$$

We know that $h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new}, \mathcal{L}_{new}) = h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L})$ since the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ hasn't got a base point at the point p.

$$\chi(l'_d) + 1 - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}) < \chi(l'_d + l) + \frac{(a+1)(a+2)}{2} - h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new}, \pi^*(\mathcal{L})(-\pi^*(l) - (a+1)E_{new})).$$

If $l > 0$ we know that:

$$\chi(l'_d) + 1 - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}) \leq \chi(l'_d + l) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-l)).$$

It means that we only have to prove:

$$\frac{(a+1)(a+2)}{2} - h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new}, \pi^*(\mathcal{L})(-\pi^*(l) - (a+1)E_{new})) > -h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-l)).$$

However it immediately follows from $H^0(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-l))_{reg} \neq \emptyset$, $H^0(\widetilde{X}_{1,new}, \pi^*(\mathcal{L})(-\pi^*(l) - (a+1)E_{new})) \subset H^0(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-l))$ and $H^0(\widetilde{X}_{1,new}, \pi^*(\mathcal{L})(-\pi^*(l) - (a+1)E_{new})) \neq H^0(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-l))$, since the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(-l)$ hasn't got a base point at p, because p is a generic point on E_v.

If $l = 0$, then we have $a > 0$ and we have to prove:

$$1 - h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new}, \pi^*(\mathcal{L})(-E_{new})) < \frac{(a+1)(a+2)}{2} - h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,new}, \pi^*(\mathcal{L})(-(a+1)E_{new})).$$

For this we only have to prove that $H^0(\widetilde{X}_{1,new}, \pi^*(\mathcal{L})(-E_{new})) \neq H^0(\widetilde{X}_{1,new}, \pi^*(\mathcal{L})(-(a+1)E_{new}))$, but this immediately follows from the fact that $\mathcal{L}$ hasn't got a base point on E_v, so the generic section $s \in H^0(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L})$ has got $-(l'_d, E_v)$ disjoint arrows on E_v.

For part 2) we have to prove that for all cycles $0 < \pi^*(l) + aE_{new} \in L_{\mathcal{T}_{new}}$, such that $\pi^*(l'_d) + (a+1)E_{new} + \pi^*(l) \in S'_{\mathcal{T}_{new}}$ and $H^0(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-\pi^*(l) - (a+1)E_{new}))_{reg} \neq \emptyset$ one has:

$$\chi(\pi^*(l'_d) + E_{new}) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}) < \chi(\pi^*(l'_d) + (a+1)E_{new} + \pi^*(l)) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-\pi^*(l) - (a+1)E_{new})).$$

$$\chi(l'_d) + 1 - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}) < \chi(l'_d + l) + \frac{(a+1)(a+2)}{2} - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-l)).$$

If $l_v > 0$ we know that:

$$\chi(l'_d) + 1 - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}) < \chi(l'_d + l) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-l)).$$

The statement immediately follows in this case, since $\frac{(a+1)(a+2)}{2} \geq 0$.

On the other hand if $l > 0$, but $l_v = 0$, then we have $a \geq 0$ and so $\frac{(a+1)(a+2)}{2} \geq 1$, and $\chi(l'_d) + 1 - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}) \leq \chi(l'_d + l) - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}(-l))$ and the statement follows again immediately.

If $l = 0$, then we have $a > 0$ and we have to prove:

$$\chi(l'_d) + 1 - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}) < \chi(l'_d) + \frac{(a+1)(a+2)}{2} - h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}).$$

Notice that this is also trivial, because if $a > 0$, then one has $\frac{(a+1)(a+2)}{2} > 1$. □

Now let's continue to prove part 2) of our main theorem.

Assume that $v \in \mathcal{V}_1$, which is equivalent to $v \neq u$.

We know that there is a large positive integer N, such that $\dim(\mathrm{Im}(c^{-NE_u^*}(Z))) = e_{\widetilde{X}}(u)$ where Z is a large cycle on $\widetilde{X}$ and let's blow up E_u in N different generic points $p_1, \cdots p_N$ and let the new exceptional divisors be $E_{w_1}, \cdots, E_{w_N}$.

Let's denote the new singularites we get by $\widetilde{X}_{new}, \widetilde{X}'_{new}$ and let's denote its subsingularity with vertex set $\mathcal{V}$ by $\widetilde{X}_u$.

We know that the differential forms in $H^0(\widetilde{X} \setminus E, \Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})/H^0(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}})$ haven't got a pole along the divisors $E_{w_1}, \cdots, E_{w_N}$, which means that $e_{\widetilde{X}_{new}}(w_i) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, and it yields $p_g(\widetilde{X}_u) = p_g(\widetilde{X})$.

We know that the differential forms in $H^0(\widetilde{X} \setminus E, \Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}_u})/H^0(\widetilde{X}, \Omega^2_{\widetilde{X}_u})$ have got a pole on the exceptional divisor E_u of order at most 1 which means that if D' is a smooth divisor in $\widetilde{X}_u$ which intersects the exceptional divisor E_u at a regular point then the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_u}(D')$ depends only on the intersection point $D' \cap E_u$.

Let's denote $l'_u = R_u(\pi^*(l'_d))$, we know that $\mathrm{Pic}^{-\pi^*(l'_d)}(\widetilde{X}_{new}) \cong \mathrm{Pic}^{-l'_u}(\widetilde{X}_u)$ and the isomorphism map is given by the restriction map r_u.

As before we will again deform the relatively generic analytic structure $\widetilde{X}'_{new}$ by changing the plumbing with the tubular neighborhoods of the exceptional divisors be $E_{w_1}, \cdots, E_{w_N}$ while moving the contact points $p_1, \cdots, p_N$.

If we do such a minor deformation then the analytic structure on $\widetilde{X}'_{new}$ still remains relatively generic with respect to $\widetilde{X}_1$ and the cuts.

Now notice that if we move the contact points $p_1, \cdots, p_N$, then the restricted line bundle $\mathcal{L}_u = r_u(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_{new}}(-\pi^*(l'_{top})))$ cover an open set in $r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) \subset \mathrm{Pic}^{-l'_u}(\widetilde{X}_u)$, because of $\dim(\mathrm{Im}(c^{-NE_u^*})) = e_{\widetilde{X}}(u)$ and the fact that the coefficients of $E_{w_1}, \cdots, E_{w_N}$ are positive in $\pi^*(l'_{top})$.

It means that for a generic choice of the contact points $p_1, \cdots, p_N$ the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_u$ is a generic line bundle in $r^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$.

This means that we have to prove that a generic line bundle $\mathcal{L}_u \in r^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ hasn't got a base point on E_v.

Now let's have a large cycle Z on $\widetilde{X}_u$ and let's look at the space $\mathrm{ECa}^{-l'_u,\mathcal{L}}(Z) \subset \mathrm{ECa}^{-l'_u}(Z)$ consisting of divisors $D \in \mathrm{ECa}^{-l'_u}(Z)$, such that $r(D)$ gives the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\widetilde{X}_1$, this is a smooth and irreducible subspace by Theorem5.0.1.

Assume that a generic line bundle $\mathcal{L}_u \in r^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ has got a base point on the exceptional divisor E_v.

Since the relative Abel map $\mathrm{ECa}^{-l'_u,\mathcal{L}}(Z) \to r^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$ is dominant and $\mathrm{ECa}^{-l'_u,\mathcal{L}}(Z)$ is irreducible, this means that there is open subset $U \in \mathrm{ECa}^{-l'_u,\mathcal{L}}(Z)$ and a map $f : U \to E_v$, such that $f(D) \in |D|$ is a base point of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_u}(D)$.

Now let's have a generic divisor $D \in U$ which has got $-(l'_d, E_v)$ disjoint arrows on the regular part of E_v and let's blow up E_v at the generic point $f(D)$, let the new exceptional divisor be $E_{f(D)}$.

Let the blown up of $\widetilde{X}_1, \widetilde{X}_u, \widetilde{X}'$ be respectively $\widetilde{X}_{1,b}, \widetilde{X}_b, \widetilde{X}'_b$ and let's denote the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_b = \pi^*(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_{1,b}}(-E_{f(D)})$, and let's denote $Z_b = Z + (Z_v - 1)E_{f(D)}$.

We know that $\mathrm{ECa}^{-l'_u,\mathcal{L}}(Z) \cap \mathrm{ECa}^{-\pi^*(l'_u)-E_{f(D)}}(Z_b) = \mathrm{ECa}^{-\pi^*(l'_u)-E_{f(D)},\mathcal{L}_b}(Z_b)$.

Of course we can assume that D is so generic such that the intersection $\mathrm{ECa}^{-l'_u,\mathcal{L}}(Z) \cap \mathrm{ECa}^{-\pi^*(l'_u)-E_{f(D)}}(Z_b)$ is transvesal in D.

If we look at a small open neighborhood $U_1 \subset \mathrm{ECa}^{-\pi^*(l'_u)-E_{f(D)},\mathcal{L}_b}(Z_b)$ of D, then we get by the continuity of the map f that if $D' \in U_1$, then $f(D') = f(D)$.

This means that $f(D) = f(D')$ is a base point of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_u}(D')$, so $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_b}(D')) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_u}(D')) + 1 = h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L}) + 1$.

We get that the map $\mathrm{ECa}^{-\pi^*(l'_u)-E_{f(D)},\mathcal{L}_b}(Z_b) \to r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b) \subset \mathrm{Pic}^{-\pi^*(l'_u)-E_{f(D)}}(\widetilde{X}_b)$ cannot be a submersion in any of the points $D' \in U_1$, because otherwise we would have $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_b}(D')) = h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,b}, \mathcal{L}_b) = h^1(\widetilde{X}_1, \mathcal{L})$.

Indeed we know by [NN18a] that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_b}(D'))$ equals the codimension of the image of the tangent map $T_{D'}(c^{-\pi^*(l'_u)-E_{f(D)}}(Z_b))$ and $h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,b}, \mathcal{L}_b)$ equals the codimension of the image of the tangent map $T_{r(D')}(c^{-R(\pi^*(l'_u)-E_{f(D)})}(Z_{1,b}))$.

On the other hand if the map $\mathrm{ECa}^{-\pi^*(l'_u)-E_{f(D)},\mathcal{L}_b}(Z_b) \to r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b) \subset \mathrm{Pic}^{-\pi^*(l'_u)-E_{f(D)}}(\widetilde{X}_b)$ would be a submersion in the point D' then the image of the tangent map $T_{D'}(c^{-\pi^*(l'_u)-E_{f(D)}}(Z_b))$ would contain the tangent space of $r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b)$.

Also we know that the projection of the image of the tangent map $T_{D'}(c^{-\pi^*(l'_u)-E_{f(D)}}(Z_b))$ is exaxtly the image of the tangent map $T_{r(D')}(c^{-R(\pi^*(l'_u)-E_{f(D)})}(Z_{1,b}))$, so we would get indeed $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_b}(D')) = h^1(\widetilde{X}_{1,b}, \mathcal{L}_b)$, which is impossible.

On the other hand by part 1) of Lemma6.4.1 we know that the map $\mathrm{ECa}^{-\pi^*(l'_u)-E_{f(D)},\mathcal{L}_b}(Z_b) \to r^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_b)$ is dominant, and this is a contradiction, since $U_1 \subset \mathrm{ECa}^{-\pi^*(l'_u)-E_{f(D)},\mathcal{L}_b}(Z_b)$ is open.

If $v = u$ the proof is the very same if one use part 2) of Lemma6.4.1 just even simpler, so we have proved our main theorem completely. □

7. Multiplicity of relatively generic surface singularities

In the previous section we proved our main Theorem6.0.1 about the number of simple base points of natural line bundles on relatively generic surface singularities.

In this section we aim to ivestigate the multiplicity of a relatively generic singularity $\widetilde{X}$.

The first step to is to determine the maximal cycle $Z_{max} \in L$, so the minimal cycle, such that $H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max}))_{reg} \neq \emptyset$, however we know from [N19] that Z_{max} is the minimal cycle, such that:

$$\chi(Z_{max}) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(-Z_{max})) < \chi(Z_{max}+l) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(-Z_{max}-l)),$$

for all cycles $l > 0$.

Notice that this can be computed combinatorially just from the subsingularity $\widetilde{X}_1$ and the cuts D_v.

In order to determine the multiplicity of the singularity we need to know the base point structure of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$.

From Theorem6.0.1 we know how many simple base points the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ has on the regular part of an exceptional divisor E_v, however to compute the multiplicity of the singularity we also need to know for a base point that for which number t it is t-simple.

We have the following inequality about it in the following proposition:

Proposition 7.0.1. *Let's have two rational homology sphere resolution graphs $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}$, a singularity $\widetilde{X}_1$ with resolution graph $\mathcal{T}_1$ and a relatively generic singularity $\widetilde{X}$ with resolution graph $\mathcal{T}$ with respect to the singularity $\widetilde{X}_1$ (and cuts D_v on it). Assume that the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(-Z_{max})$ hasn't got a base point.*

Assume that $v \in \mathcal{V}$ is a vertex such that the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ has got a base point on it and let $t \geq 1$ be the maximal integer such that there exists a cycle $A \geq t \cdot E_v$ such that:

$$\chi(Z_{max}) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(-Z_{max})) + 1 = \chi(Z_{max}+A) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(-Z_{max}-A)).$$

Let p be a base point of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ on the exceptional divisor E_v and let $t_p \geq 1$ the integer for which p is t_p-simple, then we have $t_p \geq t$.

Proof. Let's blow up E_v sequentially, and let the new exceptional divisors be $E_{v_1}, \cdots E_{v_i}$, let's denote the singularity we get after the i-th blowup by $\widetilde{X}_i$ and the blow up map by $\pi_i^* : \widetilde{X}_i \to \widetilde{X}$

At the first step we blow up E_v at the base point p. At the i-th step if the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_i}(-\pi_i^*(Z_{max}) - \sum_{1\leq j\leq i} jE_{v_j})$ has got a base point on the divisor E_{v_i}, then we blow it up again at the base point.

Let's denote $\sum_{1\leq j\leq i} jE_{v_j} = A_i$.

Assume that $i \leq t-1$, then we will prove that $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_i}(-\pi_i^*(Z_{max}) - A_i)$ has got a base point on the exceptional divisor E_{v_i}, from the alternative definition of t_p we indeed get from it that $t_p \geq t$.

We will prove it by induction on i, if $i = 0$ this follows from our main Theorem6.0.1.

Now assume that the statement holds for $0, \cdots, i-1$ and notice that this means $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_i}(-\pi_i^*(Z_{max}) - A_i)) = i + h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max}))$.

Let's look at the following exact sequence, and let's denote $\mathcal{L}_i = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_i}(-\pi_i^*(Z_{max}))$:

$$H^0(\widetilde{X}_i, \mathcal{L}_i(-A_i)) \to H^0(E_i, \mathcal{L}_i(-A_i)) \to H^1(\widetilde{X}_i, \mathcal{L}_i(-A_i - E_i)) \to H^1(\widetilde{X}_i, \mathcal{L}_i(-A_i)) \to 0$$

Notice first that $h^0(E_i, \mathcal{L}_i(-A_i)) = 2$ and $h^1(\widetilde{X}_i, \mathcal{L}_i(-A_i)) = i + h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max}))$.

It means that we only have to prove $h^1(\widetilde{X}_i, \mathcal{L}_i(-A_i - E_i)) \geq i + 1 + h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max}))$.

We know that there is a cycle $A \geq tE_v$ such that:

$$\chi(Z_{max}) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(-Z_{max})) + 1 = \chi(Z_{max}+A) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(-Z_{max}-A)).$$

It means that $\dim\left(\frac{H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max}))}{H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max}-A))}\right) = 1$.

Notice that $H^0(\widetilde{X}_i, \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_i}(-\pi_i^*(Z_{max}+A))) \subset H^0(\widetilde{X}_i, \mathcal{L}_i(-A_i-E_i))$, which means that:

$$h^1(\widetilde{X}_i, \mathcal{L}_i(-A_i-E_i)) \geq h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_i}(-\pi_i^*(Z_{max}+A))) + \chi(\pi_i^*(Z_{max})+A_i+E_i) - \chi(\pi_i^*(Z_{max}+A)).$$

$$h^1(\widetilde{X}_i, \mathcal{L}_i(-A_i-E_i)) \geq h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max}-A)) + \chi(Z_{max}) + (i+2) - \chi(Z_{max}+A).$$

We also know that $h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max})) = h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max}-A)) + \chi(Z_{max}) - \chi(Z_{max}+A) + 1$.

We get from this that $h^1(\widetilde{X}_i, \mathcal{L}_i(-A_i-E_i)) \geq i+1+h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max}))$ and we are done.

□

Corollary 7.0.2. *Let's have two rational homology sphere resolution graphs $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}$, a singularity $\widetilde{X}_1$ with resolution graph $\mathcal{T}_1$ and a relatively generic singularity $\widetilde{X}$ with resolution graph $\mathcal{T}$ with respect to the singularity $\widetilde{X}_1$ (and cuts D_v on it). Assume that the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(-Z_{max})$ hasn't got a base point.*

For a vertex $v \in \mathcal{V}$ let t_v be the maximal integer such that there exists a cycle $A \geq t_v \cdot E_v$ such that:

$$\chi(Z_{max}) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(-Z_{max})) + 1 = \chi(Z_{max}+A) - h^1(\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}_1}(-Z_{max}-A)).$$

1) The multiplicity of the singularity $\widetilde{X}$ is at least $-Z_{max}^2 - \sum_{v\in\mathcal{V}}(Z_{max}, E_v)\cdot t_v$.

2) Suppose that $t_v = 0$ for all vertices $v \in \mathcal{V}$, that is there doesn't exist a cycle $A > 0$ which satisfies 7.0.2, then the multiplicity of the singularity $\widetilde{X}$ is $-Z_{max}^2$.

Proof. Part 1) follows from the fact that $Mult(\widetilde{X}) = -Z_{max}^2 + \sum_{p\in B} t_p$ where B is the set of base points and $t_p \geq t_v$ if $p \in E_v$.

Under the conditions of part 2) the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X}}(-Z_{max})$ hasn't got any base points from our main Theorem6.0.1, so indeed we get $Mult(\widetilde{X}) = -Z_{max}^2$. □

References

[A62] Artin, M.: Some numerical criteria for contractibility of curves on algebraic surfaces. *Amer. J. of Math.*, **84**, 485-496, 1962.

[A66] Artin, M.: On isolated rational singularities of surfaces. *Amer. J. of Math.*, **88**, 129-136, 1966.

[BV99] Brion, M. and Vergne, M.: Arrangement of hyperplanes. I: Rational functions and the Jeffrey–Kirwan residue, *Ann. Sci. l'École Norm. Sup.* **32** (1999), no. 5, 715–741.

[CDGZ04] Campillo, A., Delgado, F. and Gusein-Zade, S. M.: Poincaré series of a rational surface singularity, *Invent. Math.* **155** (2004), no. 1, 41–53.

[CDGZ08] Campillo, A., Delgado, F. and Gusein-Zade, S. M.: Universal abelian covers of rational surface singularities and multi-index filtrations, *Funk. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **42** (2008), no. 2, 3–10.

[CHR03] Cutkosky, S. D., Herzog, J. and Reguera, A.: Poincaré series of resolutions of surface singularities, *Trans. of the AMS* **356** (2003), no. 5, 1833–1874.

[E] Eyral, Ch.: Zariski's multiplicity question—a survey, New Zealand J. Math. 36 (2007), 253–276

[EN85] Eisenbud, D. and Neumann, W.: Three–dimensional link theory and invariants of plane curve singularities, *Princeton Univ. Press* (1985).

[GR70] Grauert, H., Remmert, R.: Coherent analytic sheaves, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 1984 Springer

[GrRie70] Grauert, H. and Riemenschneider, O.: Verschwindungssätze für analytische kohomologiegruppen auf komplexen Räumen, *Inventiones math.* **11** (1970), 263-292.

[Ha77] Hartshorne, R.: Algebraic Geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **52**, Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[NNM] Nagy, J., Némethi, A.: The multiplicity of generic normal surface singularities, arXiv:2005.10867

[N19] Nagy, J.: Invariants of relatively generic structures on normal surface singularities, arXiv:1910.03275.

[NN18a] Nagy, J., Némethi, A.: The Abel map for surface singularities I. Generalities and examples, arXiv:1809.03737; *Mathematische Annalen*, 375(3), 1427–1487.

[NN18b] Nagy, J., Némethi, A.: The Abel map for surface singularities II. Generic analytic structure, arXiv:1809.03744.

[La72] Laufer, H.B.: On rational singularities, *Amer. J. of Math.*, **94**, 597-608, 1972.

[Li69] Lipman, J.: Rational singularities, with applications to algebraic surfaces and unique factorization, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **36** (1969), 195-279.

[Kl??] Kleiman, St. L.: The Picard scheme,

[N99b] Némethi, A.: Five lectures on normal surface singularities, lectures at the Summer School in *Low dimensional topology* Budapest, Hungary, 1998; Bolyai Society Math. Studies **8** (1999), 269–351.

[N07] Némethi, A.: Graded roots and singularities, *Singularities in geometry and topology*, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ (2007), 394–463.

[N08] Némethi, A.: Poincaré series associated with surface singularities, in Singularities I, 271–297, *Contemp. Math.* **474**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 2008.

[NO17] Némethi, A. and Okuma, T.: Analytic singularities supported by a specific integral homology sphere link, to appear in the Proceedings dedicated to H. Laufer's 70th birthday (Conference at Sanya, China).

[NW05] Neumann, W. and Wahl, J.: Complete intersection singularities of splice type as universal abelian covers, *Geom. Topol.* **9** (2005), 699–755.

[O04] Okuma, T.: Universal abelian covers of rational surface singularities, *Journal of London Mathematical Society* **70**(2) (2004), 307-324.

[OWY15a] Okuma, T., Watanabe Kei-ichi, Yoshida Ken-ichi: Rees algebras and p_g–ideals in a two-dimensional normal local domain, arXiv:1511.00827.

[OWY15b] Okuma, T., Watanabe Kei-ichi, Yoshida Ken-ichi: A characterization of two-dimensional rational singularities via Core of ideals, arXiv:1511.01553.

[Ra72] Ramanujam, C.P.: Remarks on Kodaira vanishing theorem, *J. Indian Math. Soc.* **36** (1972), 41-51.

[Re97] Reid, M.: Chapters on Algebraic Surfaces. In: Complex Algebraic Geometry, IAS/Park City Mathematical Series, Volume **3** (J. Kollár editor), 3-159, 1997.

[Y79] Yau, S. S.-T.: On strongly elliptic singularities, *Amer. J. of Math.*, **101** (1979), 855-884.

[Y80] Yau, S. S.-T.: On maximally elliptic singularities, *Transactions of the AMS*, **257** Number 2 (1980), 269-329.

[Wa70] Wagreich, Ph.: Elliptic singularities of surfaces, Amer. J. of Math., 92 (1970), 419–454

Central European University, Dept. of Mathematics, Budapest, Hungary
Email address: nagy_janos@phd.ceu.edu