

THE SPECTRAL GAP TO TORSION PROBLEM FOR SOME NON-CONVEX DOMAINS

HUA CHEN AND PENG LUO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the following torsion problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = 1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded, convex domain and $u_0(x)$ be the solution of above problem with its maximum $y_0 \in \Omega$. Steinerberger [14] proved that there are universal constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ satisfying

$$\lambda_{\max}(D^2 u_0(y_0)) \leq -c_1 \exp\left(-c_2 \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{\text{inrad}(\Omega)}\right).$$

And in [14] he proposed following open problem:

“Does above result hold true on domains that are not convex but merely simply connected or perhaps only bounded? The proof uses convexity of the domain Ω in a very essential way and it is not clear to us whether the statement remains valid in other settings.”

Here by some new idea involving the computations on Green’s function, we compute the spectral gap $\lambda_{\max} D^2 u_0(y_0)$ for some non-convex smooth bounded domains, which gives a negative answer to above open problem.

Keywords: Spectral gap, torsion problem, Green’s function

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B09 · 35J08 · 35J60

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In this paper, we consider the following torsion problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = 1 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Problem (1.1) is a classical topic in PDEs, with references dating back to St. Venant(1856). From then, many results are devoted to analysis the qualitative properties of the positive solutions. A very interest problem is the location and the number of the critical points of above positive solutions. This is related with the level sets of the positive solutions. For a more general case, the following nonlinear problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

has also been considered widely. For example, one can refer to [3, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13] and the related references.

A well-known and seminal result is the fundamental theorem in Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg [5] by moving plane. Gidas-Ni-Nirenberg's Theorem shows that the uniqueness of the critical points is related to the shape of the superlevel sets. Although there are some conjectures on the uniqueness of the critical point in more general convex domains, this seems to be a very difficult problem. And another important result is [4], which holds for a wide class of nonlinearities f without the symmetry assumption on Ω and for semi-stable solutions. For further results, we can refer to [2, 9, 12] and references therein.

When $f(u) \equiv 1$, the torsion function seems to be the classical object in the study of level sets of elliptic equations. First from [13], we know that the level sets are convex and there is a unique global maximum of the torsion function on planar convex domains. And then the eccentricity of the level sets close to the (unique) maximum point y_0 is determined by the eigenvalues of the Hessian $D^2u(y_0)$. Let λ_1 and λ_2 are two eigenvalues of $D^2u(y_0)$, then directly, we have

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \leq 0 \text{ and } \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \text{tr } D^2u(y_0) = \Delta u(y_0) = -1.$$

This gives us that the level sets will be highly eccentric if one of the two eigenvalues is close to 0. In this aspect, Steinerberger [14] gave a beautiful description, which shows that the level sets aren't highly eccentric for any convex domain Ω and can be stated as follows.

Theorem A. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded, convex domain and $u_0(x)$ be the solution of problem (1.1) with its maximum $y_0 \in \Omega$. There are universal constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that*

$$\lambda_{\max}(D^2u_0(y_0)) \leq -c_1 \exp\left(-c_2 \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{\text{inrad}(\Omega)}\right). \quad (1.2)$$

Also Steinerberger [14] gave some details to show that the above result has the sharp scaling. Above Theorem A was proved by Fourier analysis in [14] and highly depends on the convexity of the domain Ω . Next at page 1616 of [14], Steinerberger proposed the following **open problem**:

Problem A. *Convexity of the Domain. Does Theorem A also hold true on domains that are not convex but merely simply connected or perhaps only bounded? The proof uses convexity of the domain Ω in a very essential way and it is not clear to us whether the statement remains valid in other settings.*

In this paper, we devote to give some answer to above Problem A. To study Problem A, we will compute the Hessian of the torsion function at the maximum point on a simple non-convex domain. For example, we suppose that $\Omega_\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus B(x_0, \varepsilon)$ with $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $B(x_0, \varepsilon)$ denote the ball centered at x_0 and radius ε , u_ε is the solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = 1 & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_\varepsilon. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

And then we have following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a bounded and convex domain, y_0 is the maximum point of $u_0(x)$ as in Theorem A. Suppose that $u_\varepsilon(x)$ is the solution of problem (1.3) with its maximum $x_\varepsilon \in \Omega_\varepsilon$. Let λ_1 and λ_2 be two eigenvalues of $D^2u_0(x)$ at y_0 , then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{\max}(D^2u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)) = \begin{cases} \max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\} & \text{if } x_0 \neq y_0, \\ \max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, -|\lambda_2 - \lambda_1|\} & \text{if } x_0 = y_0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 1.2. *Taking $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ a bounded and convex domain, $\Omega_\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus B(x_0, \varepsilon)$ with $x_0 = y_0$ and ε small, if we suppose that (1.2) is true for Ω_ε , then there exist two positive constants c_3 and c_4 , which is independent with ε , such that*

$$\lambda_{\max}(D^2u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)) \leq -c_1 \exp\left(-c_2 \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega_\varepsilon)}{\text{inrad}(\Omega_\varepsilon)}\right) \leq -c_3 \exp\left(-c_4 \frac{\text{diam}(\Omega)}{\text{inrad}(\Omega)}\right). \quad (1.4)$$

On the other hand, moreover if we suppose $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ (for example $\Omega = B(0, 1)$), then Theorem 1.1 gives us

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{\max}(D^2u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction with (1.4). Hence we deduce that (1.2) doesn't hold for above non-convex domain Ω_ε , which gives a negative answer to above Problem A in [14]. And then in this case, we find that the level sets of the torsion function are highly eccentric.

Remark 1.3. *Our crucial ideas are as follows. To compute the eigenvalues of the Hessian of $u_\varepsilon(x)$ at the maximum point on Ω_ε , a first step is to find the location of the maximum point x_ε . And then we need to analyze the asymptotic behavior of $D^2u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)$. It is well known that $u_0(x)$ and $u_\varepsilon(x)$ are represented by corresponding Green's function. Hence we write $u_\varepsilon(x)$ by the basic Green's function and then analyze the properties of Green's function on Ω_ε . To be specific, we will establish the basic estimate near $\partial B(x_0, \varepsilon)$:*

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = u_0(x) + \frac{\log|x - x_0|}{|\log \varepsilon|} \left(u_0(x_0) + o(1) \right) + o(1).$$

Furthermore, another crucial result is to derive that $u_\varepsilon(x)$ and $u_0(x) + \frac{\log|x - x_0|}{|\log \varepsilon|} u_0(x_0)$ are close in the C^2 -topology in $B(x_0, d) \setminus B(x_0, \varepsilon)$ for some small fixed $d > 0$, which can be found in Proposition 3.6 below.

Remark 1.4. *Now we would like to point out that Ω_ε in Theorem 1.1 can be replaced by*

$$\Omega'_\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus A_\varepsilon \text{ with } A_\varepsilon = \varepsilon(A - x_0) + x_0 \text{ and } x_0 \in A \cap \Omega,$$

where A is a convex domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $A - x_0 = \{x, x + x_0 \in A\}$. Since this is not essential, we omit the details.

Remark 1.5. *We point out one possible application in the study of Brownian motion, which is also stated in [14]: we recall that the torsion function $u(x)$ also describes the expected lifetime of Brownian motion $\omega_x(t)$ started in x until it first touches the boundary. If one moves away from the point in which lifetime is maximized, then the expected lifetime in a neighborhood is determined by the eccentricity of the level set.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some properties of the Green's function and split our solution u_ε in different parts which will be estimated in the next section. In Section 3, we compute the terms u_ε , ∇u_ε and $\nabla^2 u_\varepsilon$. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. PROPERTIES OF THE GREEN'S FUNCTION AND SPLITTING OF THE SOLUTION u_ε

First we recall that, for $(x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega$, $x \neq y$, the Green's function $G(x, y)$ verifies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_x G(x, y) = \delta(y) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ G(x, y) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

in the sense of distribution. Next we recall the classical representation formula,

$$G(x, y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log |x - y| - H(x, y), \quad (2.1)$$

where $H(x, y)$ is the *regular part of the Green's function*. Since in the paper we need to consider the Green's function in different domains, we would like to denote by $G_U(x, y)$ as the Green's function on U . And we have following facts on the harmonic function which can be found in [6].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $u(x)$ be a harmonic function in $U \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, then*

$$|\nabla u(x)| \leq \frac{2}{r} \sup_{\partial B(x, r)} |u|, \text{ for } B(x, r) \subset \subset U. \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2.2 (Green's representation formula). *If $u \in C^2(\overline{U})$, then it holds*

$$u(x) = - \int_{\partial U} u(y) \frac{\partial G_U(x, y)}{\partial \nu_y} d\sigma(y) - \int_U \Delta u(y) G_U(x, y) dy, \text{ for } x \in U, \quad (2.3)$$

where ν_y is the outer normal vector on ∂U .

Let us denote by $G_0(w, s)$ the *Green's function* of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(0, 1)$ given by (see [1])

$$G_0(w, s) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\log |w - s| - \log \left| |w|s - \frac{w}{|w|} \right| \right).$$

By a straightforward computation, we have

$$\frac{\partial G_0(w, s)}{\partial \nu_s} = \frac{1 - |w|^2}{2\pi |w - s|^2}, \text{ for } |w| > 1, |s| = 1 \text{ and } \nu_s = -s. \quad (2.4)$$

Remark 2.3. *Let us point out that the Green's function $G_0(w, s)$ of $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(0, 1)$ and the Poisson kernel of $B(0, 1)$ has the same formula (see [1]). This will be used to compute some integral in $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(0, 1)$.*

Next lemma will be basic and useful in the following computations in next section.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $v_\varepsilon(x)$ be the function which verifies*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta v_\varepsilon(x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus B(x_0, \varepsilon), \\ v_\varepsilon(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ v_\varepsilon(x) = 1 & \text{on } \partial B(x_0, \varepsilon). \end{cases}$$

Then we have that

$$v_\varepsilon(x) = -\frac{2\pi}{\log \varepsilon} \left(1 - \frac{2\pi H(x_0, x_0)}{\log \varepsilon} \right) G(x, x_0) + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}\right).$$

Proof. First we define

$$w_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{H(x_0, x_0)} \left[\frac{\log \varepsilon}{2\pi} v_\varepsilon(x) + G(x, x_0) \right].$$

Then it holds

$$\begin{cases} \Delta w_\varepsilon(x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus B(x_0, \varepsilon), \\ w_\varepsilon(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ w_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{H(x_0, x_0)} \left(\frac{\log \varepsilon}{2\pi} + G(x, x_0) \right) = -1 + O(\varepsilon) & \text{on } \partial B(x_0, \varepsilon). \end{cases}$$

Hence repeating above procedure, we can find

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \left[\frac{\log \varepsilon}{2\pi} w_\varepsilon(x) - G(x, x_0) \right] = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus B(x_0, \varepsilon), \\ \frac{\log \varepsilon}{2\pi} w_\varepsilon(x) - G(x, x_0) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\log \varepsilon}{2\pi} w_\varepsilon(x) - G(x, x_0) = H(x, x_0) + O(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|) & \text{on } \partial B(x_0, \varepsilon). \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

Then by the maximum principle and (2.5), we get that

$$\frac{\log \varepsilon}{2\pi} w_\varepsilon(x) - G(x, x_0) = O(1) \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus B(x_0, \varepsilon),$$

which gives

$$w_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{2\pi}{\log \varepsilon} G(x, x_0) + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right).$$

Hence coming back to $v_\varepsilon(x)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} v_\varepsilon(x) &= \frac{2\pi}{\log \varepsilon} \left(H(x_0, x_0) w_\varepsilon(x) - G(x, x_0) \right) \\ &= -\frac{2\pi}{\log \varepsilon} \left(1 - \frac{2\pi H(x_0, x_0)}{\log \varepsilon} \right) G(x, x_0) + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which gives the claim. $\square$

Let u_0 and u_ε be solutions of (1.1) and (1.3) respectively, then we can write down the equation satisfied by $u_\varepsilon - u_0$ as follows

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta(u_\varepsilon - u_0) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_\varepsilon, \\ u_\varepsilon - u_0 = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ u_\varepsilon - u_0 = -u_0 & \text{on } \partial B(x_0, \varepsilon). \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

Now by Green's representation formula (2.3), we get

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = u_0(x) + \int_{\partial B(x_0, \varepsilon)} \frac{\partial G_\varepsilon(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} u_0(z) d\sigma(z), \quad (2.7)$$

where $\nu_z = -\frac{z-x_0}{|z-x_0|}$ is the outer normal vector of $\partial(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(x_0, \varepsilon))$ and $G_\varepsilon(x, z)$ is the Green's function of $-\Delta$ in Ω_ε with zero Dirichlet boundary condition. Now we set

$$x = x_0 + \varepsilon w, \quad z = x_0 + \varepsilon s \quad \text{and} \quad F_\varepsilon(w, s) = G_\varepsilon(x_0 + \varepsilon w, x_0 + \varepsilon s),$$

then (2.7) becomes

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = u_0(x) + K_\varepsilon(w) + L_\varepsilon(w), \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$K_\varepsilon(w) := \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \frac{\partial G_0(w, s)}{\partial \nu_s} u_0(x_0 + \varepsilon s) d\sigma(s),$$

and

$$L_\varepsilon(w) := \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \left(\frac{\partial F_\varepsilon(w, s)}{\partial \nu_s} - \frac{\partial G_0(w, s)}{\partial \nu_s} \right) u_0(x_0 + \varepsilon s) d\sigma(s),$$

with $\nu_s = -\frac{s}{|s|}$ the outer normal vector of $\partial(\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(0, 1))$.

3. ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS ON u_ε

To compute $\lambda_{\max}(D^2 u_\varepsilon(x))$ at the maximum point of u_ε , the first thing is to find the location of the maximum point of u_ε . Here we divide Ω_ε into the following two cases:

- (1): x is far away from x_0 , namely $|x - x_0| \geq C > 0$.
- (2): x is near x_0 , namely $|x - x_0| = o(1)$.

And we will find that the behavior of $u_\varepsilon(x)$ near $\partial B(x_0, \varepsilon)$ is crucial and a key point is to understand the limit of $G_\varepsilon(x, y)$ according to the location of x .

Lemma 3.1. *Let u_0 and u_ε be solutions of (1.1) and (1.3) respectively. Then for any fixed $r > 0$, it holds*

$$u_\varepsilon(x) \rightarrow u_0(x) \quad \text{uniformly in } C^2(\Omega \setminus B(x_0, r)).$$

Proof. First for any $x \in \Omega \setminus B(x_0, r)$, we know

$$\begin{aligned}
u_\varepsilon(x) &= u_0(x) + \int_{\partial B(x_0, \varepsilon)} \frac{\partial G_\varepsilon(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} u_0(z) d\sigma(z) \\
&= u_0(x) + \int_{\partial B(x_0, \varepsilon)} \frac{\partial G_\varepsilon(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} \left(u_0(x_0) + O(\varepsilon) \right) d\sigma(z) \\
&= u_0(x) + u_0(x_0) \int_{\partial B(x_0, \varepsilon)} \frac{\partial G_\varepsilon(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} d\sigma(z) + O(\varepsilon) \int_{\partial B(x_0, \varepsilon)} \left| \frac{\partial G_\varepsilon(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} \right| d\sigma(z).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Now let $x = x_0 + \varepsilon w$ and $z = x_0 + \varepsilon s$, then using (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial G_\varepsilon(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\partial G_0(w, s)}{\partial \nu_s} + \left(\frac{\partial F_\varepsilon(w, s)}{\partial \nu_s} - \frac{\partial G_0(w, s)}{\partial \nu_s} \right) \right) \\
&= O \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(1 + \left| \frac{\partial F_\varepsilon(w, s)}{\partial \nu_s} - \frac{\partial G_0(w, s)}{\partial \nu_s} \right| \right) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

On the other hand, we can verify that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_w \left(\frac{\partial F_\varepsilon(w, s)}{\partial \nu_s} - \frac{\partial G_0(w, s)}{\partial \nu_s} \right) = 0 & \text{in } \frac{\Omega - x_0}{\varepsilon} \setminus B(0, 1), \\ \left(\frac{\partial F_\varepsilon(w, s)}{\partial \nu_s} - \frac{\partial G_0(w, s)}{\partial \nu_s} \right) = 0 & \text{on } \partial B(0, 1), \\ \left(\frac{\partial F_\varepsilon(w, s)}{\partial \nu_s} - \frac{\partial G_0(w, s)}{\partial \nu_s} \right) = \frac{|w|^2 - 1}{2\pi|w-s|^2} = O(1) & \text{on } \frac{\partial \Omega - x_0}{\varepsilon}. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

By the maximum principle and (3.3), we get that

$$\frac{\partial F_\varepsilon(w, s)}{\partial \nu_s} - \frac{\partial G_0(w, s)}{\partial \nu_s} = O(1) \quad \text{in } \frac{\Omega - x_0}{\varepsilon} \setminus B(0, 1). \tag{3.4}$$

Hence from (3.2) and (3.4), we find

$$\frac{\partial G_\varepsilon(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} = O \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right). \tag{3.5}$$

Also defining $v(x) := \int_{\partial B(x_0, \varepsilon)} \frac{\partial G_\varepsilon(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} d\sigma(z)$, then it holds

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_x v(x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus B(x_0, \varepsilon), \\ v(x) = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega, \\ v(x) = -1 & \text{on } \partial B(x_0, \varepsilon). \end{cases}$$

Then using Lemma 2.4, we have

$$v(x) = \frac{2\pi}{\log \varepsilon} \left(1 - \frac{2\pi H(x_0, x_0)}{\log \varepsilon} \right) G(x, x_0) + O \left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^2} \right). \tag{3.6}$$

Hence from (3.1), (3.5) and (3.6), we find

$$\begin{aligned} u_\varepsilon(x) &= u_0(x) + u_0(x_0) \left(\frac{2\pi}{\log \varepsilon} \left(1 - \frac{2\pi H(x_0, x_0)}{\log \varepsilon} \right) G(x, x_0) + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}\right) \right) + O(\varepsilon) \\ &= u_0(x) + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \text{ uniformly in } C(\Omega \setminus B(x_0, r)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any fixed $x \in \Omega \setminus B(x_0, r)$, by (2.1), we can verify that

$$G(x, z), \left| \frac{\partial G(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} \right|, |\nabla_x G(x, z)| \text{ and } \left| \nabla_x \frac{\partial G(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} \right| \text{ are bounded for } z \in \partial B(x_0, \varepsilon). \quad (3.7)$$

And by (2.6), it follows

$$-\Delta_x \left(\frac{\partial G_\varepsilon(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} - \frac{\partial G(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} \right) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_\varepsilon.$$

Since $B(x, \frac{r}{2}) \subset\subset \Omega_\varepsilon$, using Lemma 2.1, (3.5) and (3.7), we get, for $x \in \Omega \setminus B(x_0, r)$ and $z \in \partial B(x_0, \varepsilon)$,

$$\left| \nabla_x \left(\frac{\partial G_\varepsilon(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} - \frac{\partial G(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} \right) \right| = O\left(\left| \frac{\partial G_\varepsilon(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} - \frac{\partial G(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} \right| \right) = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right), \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$\left| \nabla_x^2 \left(\frac{\partial G_\varepsilon(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} - \frac{\partial G(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} \right) \right| = O\left(\left| \frac{\partial G_\varepsilon(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} - \frac{\partial G(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} \right| \right) = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right). \quad (3.9)$$

Then (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9) give us that

$$\left| \nabla_x \frac{\partial G_\varepsilon(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right) \text{ and } \left| \nabla_x^2 \frac{\partial G_\varepsilon(x, z)}{\partial \nu_z} \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right).$$

Hence from above estimates, it follows

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = u_0(x) + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right) \text{ uniformly in } C^2(\Omega \setminus B(x_0, r)).$$

□

In the rest of this section, we devote to analyze the asymptotic behavior on u_ε , ∇u_ε and $\nabla^2 u_\varepsilon$ near $\partial B(x_0, \varepsilon)$. And using (2.8), we need to compute the terms K_ε , $\nabla_w K_\varepsilon$, $\nabla_w^2 K_\varepsilon$, L_ε , $\nabla_w L_\varepsilon$ and $\nabla_w^2 L_\varepsilon$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $w = \frac{x-x_0}{\varepsilon}$ and if $|x-x_0| \rightarrow 0$, then it holds*

$$K_\varepsilon(w) = -u_0\left(x_0 + \frac{\varepsilon w}{|w|^2}\right) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|w|^2} \right), \quad (3.10)$$

$$\frac{\partial K_\varepsilon(w)}{\partial w_i} = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|w|^2}\right) \text{ and } \frac{\partial^2 K_\varepsilon(w)}{\partial w_i \partial w_j} = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|w|^3}\right). \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. First taking $\tau = \frac{w}{|w|^2} = \frac{\varepsilon(x-x_0)}{|x-x_0|^2}$ and using (2.4), we get

$$\int_{\partial B(0,1)} \frac{\partial G_0(w, s)}{\partial \nu_s} u_0(x_0 + \varepsilon s) d\sigma(s) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \frac{1 - |\tau|^2}{|\tau - s|^2} u_0(x_0 + \varepsilon s) d\sigma(s).$$

Lemma 2.2 and (2.4) give us that for any $\phi \in C^2(\overline{B(0,1)})$, it holds

$$\phi(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \frac{1 - |s|^2}{|s - y|^2} \phi(y) d\sigma(y) - \int_{B(0,1)} \Delta \phi(y) G_0(s, y) dy. \quad (3.12)$$

Hence for $|\tau| < 1$ and choosing $\phi(\tau) = u_0(x_0 + \varepsilon \tau)$ in (3.12) we find

$$u_0(x_0 + \varepsilon \tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \frac{1 - |\tau|^2}{|\tau - s|^2} u_0(x_0 + \varepsilon s) d\sigma(s) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} (1 - |\tau|^2).$$

From the above computations we get

$$\begin{aligned} K_\varepsilon(w) &= \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \frac{\partial G_0(w, s)}{\partial \nu_s} u_0(x_0 + \varepsilon s) d\sigma(s) \\ &= -u_0\left(x_0 + \frac{\varepsilon w}{|w|^2}\right) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|w|^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

And then by differentiating (3.13) with respect to w_i , we have

$$\frac{\partial K_\varepsilon(w)}{\partial w_i} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{|w|^2} \left(\frac{\partial u_0(x_0 + \frac{\varepsilon w}{|w|^2})}{\partial x_i} - 2 \frac{w_i}{|w|^2} \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{\partial u_0(x_0 + \frac{\varepsilon w}{|w|^2})}{\partial x_j} w_j \right) + \frac{\varepsilon^2 w_i}{|w|^4}. \quad (3.14)$$

Next differentiating (3.14) with respect to w_i , we find

$$\frac{\partial^2 K_\varepsilon(w)}{\partial w_i \partial w_j} = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|w|^3}\right). \quad (3.15)$$

Hence (3.10) and (3.11) follow by (3.13), (3.14) and (3.15). $\square$

Lemma 3.3. Let $w = \frac{x-x_0}{\varepsilon}$ and if $|x - x_0| \rightarrow 0$, then it holds

$$L_\varepsilon(w) = \frac{\log |w|}{|\log \varepsilon|} \left(u_0(x_0) + o(1) \right). \quad (3.16)$$

Proof. First we define

$$M_{\varepsilon,2}(w, s) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{\partial G_0(w, s)}{\partial s_i} - \frac{\partial F_\varepsilon(w, s)}{\partial s_i} \right) s_i - \frac{1}{2\pi} M_{\varepsilon,1}(w, s),$$

with

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_w M_{\varepsilon,1}(w, s) = 0 & \text{in } \frac{\Omega - x_0}{\varepsilon} \setminus B(0, 1), \\ M_{\varepsilon,1}(w, s) = 0 & \text{on } \partial B(0, 1), \\ M_{\varepsilon,1}(w, s) = 1 & \text{on } \frac{\partial \Omega - x_0}{\varepsilon}. \end{cases}$$

Then $L_\varepsilon(w)$ can be written as

$$L_\varepsilon(w) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial B(0,1)} M_{\varepsilon,1}(w, s) u_0(x_0 + \varepsilon s) d\sigma(s)}_{:=L_{\varepsilon,1}(w)} + \underbrace{\int_{\partial B(0,1)} M_{\varepsilon,2}(w, s) u_0(x_0 + \varepsilon s) d\sigma(s)}_{:=L_{\varepsilon,2}(w)}. \quad (3.17)$$

Also for any $w \in \frac{\partial\Omega - x_0}{\varepsilon}$ and $s \in \partial B(0, 1)$, it holds

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{\partial G_0(w, s)}{\partial s_i} - \frac{\partial F_\varepsilon(w, s)}{\partial s_i} \right) s_i = \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\partial G_0(w, s)}{\partial s_i} s_i = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{|w|^2 - 1}{|w - s|^2}.$$

Hence we can verify

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_w M_{\varepsilon,2}(w, s) = 0 & \text{in } \frac{\Omega - x_0}{\varepsilon} \setminus B(0, 1), \\ M_{\varepsilon,2}(w, s) = 0 & \text{on } \partial B(0, 1), \\ M_{\varepsilon,2}(w, s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{|w|^2 - 1}{|w - s|^2} - 1 \right) & \text{on } \frac{\partial\Omega - x_0}{\varepsilon}. \end{cases} \quad (3.18)$$

Since for any $w \in \frac{\partial\Omega - x_0}{\varepsilon}$ and $s \in \partial B(0, 1)$, we get that

$$\frac{|w|^2 - 1}{|w - s|^2} - 1 = O\left(\frac{1}{|w|}\right) = O(\varepsilon). \quad (3.19)$$

Then by the maximum principle, (3.18) and (3.19), we find

$$|M_{\varepsilon,2}(w, s)| = O(\varepsilon) \text{ for } w \in \frac{\Omega - x_0}{\varepsilon} \setminus B(0, 1) \text{ and } s \in \partial B(0, 1). \quad (3.20)$$

Hence it follows

$$L_{\varepsilon,2}(w) = O(\varepsilon) \text{ for } w \in \frac{\Omega - x_0}{\varepsilon} \setminus B(0, 1). \quad (3.21)$$

Next we estimate $M_{\varepsilon,1}(w, s)$. To do this let us introduce the function $\psi_\varepsilon(x, s)$ as follows

$$\psi_\varepsilon(x, s) := 1 - M_{\varepsilon,1}\left(\frac{x - x_0}{\varepsilon}, s\right) \text{ for } x \in \Omega \setminus B(x_0, \varepsilon) \text{ and } s \in \partial B(0, 1).$$

Then it follows

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_x \psi_\varepsilon(x, s) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus B(x_0, \varepsilon), \\ \psi_\varepsilon(x, s) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \psi_\varepsilon(x, s) = 1 & \text{on } \partial B(x_0, \varepsilon). \end{cases}$$

Hence using Lemma 2.4 we have that

$$\psi_\varepsilon(x, s) = -\frac{2\pi}{\log \varepsilon} \left(1 - \frac{2\pi H(x_0, x_0)}{\log \varepsilon} \right) G(x, x_0) + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}\right).$$

Coming back to $M_{\varepsilon,1}(w, s)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\varepsilon,1}(w, s) &= 1 + \frac{2\pi}{\log \varepsilon} \left(1 - \frac{2\pi H(x_0, x_0)}{\log \varepsilon} \right) G(\varepsilon w + x_0, x_0) + O\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{\log |w|}{|\log \varepsilon|} \left(1 + o(1) \right) + o\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In last equality we used that $\varepsilon|w| = |x - x_0| \rightarrow 0$. And then

$$L_{\varepsilon,1}(w) = \frac{\log |w|}{|\log \varepsilon|} \left(u_0(x_0) + o(1) \right) + o\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|}\right). \quad (3.22)$$

Then (3.16) follows by (3.17), (3.21) and (3.22). $\square$

Then we have following estimate on u_ε near $\partial B(x_0, \varepsilon)$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $w = \frac{x-x_0}{\varepsilon}$ and $|x - x_0| \rightarrow 0$, then it holds*

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = u_0(x) + \frac{\log |x - x_0|}{|\log \varepsilon|} \left(u_0(x_0) + o(1) \right) + o(1). \quad (3.23)$$

Proof. From (2.8), (3.10) and (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_\varepsilon(x) &= u_0(x) - u_0\left(x_0 + \frac{\varepsilon w}{|w|^2}\right) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{|w|^2} \right) + \frac{\log |w|}{|\log \varepsilon|} \left(u_0(x_0) + o(1) \right) \\ &= u_0(x) + \frac{\log |x - x_0|}{|\log \varepsilon|} \left(u_0(x_0) + o(1) \right) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Now we continue to compute $\nabla_w L_\varepsilon$ and $\nabla_w^2 L_\varepsilon$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $w = \frac{x-x_0}{\varepsilon}$ and $|x - x_0| \rightarrow 0$, we have following results:*

(1) *For any fixed $C_0 > 1$, if $|w| \geq C_0$, then it holds*

$$\frac{\partial L_\varepsilon(w)}{\partial w_i} = \frac{u_0(x_0)w_i}{|\log \varepsilon| \cdot |w|^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{|w| \cdot |\log \varepsilon|}\right), \quad (3.24)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 L_\varepsilon(w)}{\partial w_i \partial w_j} = \frac{u_0(x_0)}{|\log \varepsilon| \cdot |w|^2} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{2w_i w_j}{|w|^2} \right) + o\left(\frac{1}{|w|^2 \cdot |\log \varepsilon|}\right). \quad (3.25)$$

(2) *If $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |w| = 1$, then it holds*

$$\left\langle \nabla_w L_\varepsilon(w), w \right\rangle \geq \frac{u_0(x_0)}{2|\log \varepsilon|}. \quad (3.26)$$

Proof of (3.24) and (3.25). First for $w \in \frac{\Omega - x_0}{\varepsilon} \setminus B(0, 1)$ and $s \in \partial B(0, 1)$, we know

$$B\left(w, \frac{|w| - 1}{2}\right) \subset \subset \frac{\Omega - x_0}{\varepsilon} \setminus B(0, 1).$$

Then using (2.2), (3.18) and (3.20), we have

$$|\nabla_w M_{\varepsilon,2}(w, s)| = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|w|-1}\right) \text{ and } |\nabla_w^2 M_{\varepsilon,2}(w, s)| = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{(|w|-1)^2}\right). \quad (3.27)$$

Hence if $\varepsilon|w| \rightarrow 0$ and $|w| \geq C_0$ for any fixed $C_0 > 1$, then (3.27) implies

$$|\nabla_w M_{\varepsilon,2}(w, s)| = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|w|}\right) \text{ and } |\nabla_w^2 M_{\varepsilon,2}(w, s)| = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|w|^2}\right).$$

And then it follows

$$\frac{\partial L_{\varepsilon,2}(w)}{\partial w_i} = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|w|}\right) \text{ and } \frac{\partial^2 L_{\varepsilon,2}(w)}{\partial w_i \partial w_j} = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|w|^2}\right). \quad (3.28)$$

Also $M_{\varepsilon,1}(w, s) - \frac{\log|w|}{|\log \varepsilon|}$ is a harmonic function with respect to w in $\frac{\Omega-x_0}{\varepsilon} \setminus B(0, 1)$. Hence if $\varepsilon|w| \rightarrow 0$ and $|w| \geq C_0$ for any fixed $C_0 > 1$, using (2.2) we have

$$\left| \nabla_w \left(M_{\varepsilon,1}(w, s) - \frac{\log|w|}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \right| = o\left(\frac{1}{|w| \cdot |\log \varepsilon|}\right), \quad (3.29)$$

and

$$\left| \nabla_w^2 \left(M_{\varepsilon,1}(w, s) - \frac{\log|w|}{|\log \varepsilon|} \right) \right| = o\left(\frac{1}{|w|^2 \cdot |\log \varepsilon|}\right). \quad (3.30)$$

Hence from (3.29) and (3.30), we have

$$\frac{\partial L_{\varepsilon,1}(w)}{\partial w_i} = \frac{w_i}{|\log \varepsilon| \cdot |w|^2} (u_0(x_0) + o(1)), \quad (3.31)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 L_{\varepsilon,1}(w)}{\partial w_i \partial w_j} = \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon| \cdot |w|^2} (u_0(x_0) + o(1)) \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{2w_i w_j}{|w|^2} \right). \quad (3.32)$$

And then (3.24) and (3.25) follows by (3.17), (3.28), (3.31) and (3.32). $\square$

Proof of (3.26). To consider the case $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |w| = 1$, we define a new function

$$\eta_{\varepsilon,1}(w, s) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{\partial G_0(w, s)}{\partial s_i} - \frac{\partial F_{\varepsilon}(w, s)}{\partial s_i} \right) s_i + \frac{\log|w|}{2\pi \log(r\varepsilon)}.$$

Then we can write

$$\frac{\partial L_{\varepsilon}(w)}{\partial w_i} = \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \left(\frac{\partial \eta_{\varepsilon,1}(w, s)}{\partial w_i} - \frac{w_i}{2\pi |w|^2 \log(r\varepsilon)} \right) u_0(x_0 + \varepsilon s) d\sigma(s).$$

Now we can verify

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_w \eta_{\varepsilon,1}(w, s) = 0 & \text{in } \frac{\Omega-x_0}{\varepsilon} \setminus B(0, 1), \\ \eta_{\varepsilon,1}(w, s) = 0 & \text{on } \partial B(0, 1), \\ \eta_{\varepsilon,1}(w, s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(\frac{|w|^2-1}{|w-z|^2} + \frac{\log|w|}{\log(r\varepsilon)} \right) & \text{on } \frac{\partial \Omega-x_0}{\varepsilon}. \end{cases} \quad (3.33)$$

Setting $z = x_0 + \varepsilon w$, for any $w \in \frac{\partial\Omega - x_0}{\varepsilon}$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|w|^2 - 1}{|w - s|^2} + \frac{\log |w|}{\log(r\varepsilon)} &= \frac{|z - x_0|^2 - \varepsilon^2}{|z - x_0 - \varepsilon s|^2} + \frac{\log(r|z - x_0|) - \log(r\varepsilon)}{\log(r\varepsilon)} \\ &= \frac{\log(r|z - x_0|)}{\log(r\varepsilon)} + O(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Then taking some appropriate $r > 0$ (for example, taking $r > 0$ small such that $r|z - x_0| < 1$ for any $z \in \partial\Omega$), we have

$$\frac{|w|^2 - 1}{|w - s|^2} + \frac{\log |w|}{\log(r\varepsilon)} \geq 0. \quad (3.34)$$

Hence by the maximum principle, (3.33) and (3.34), it holds

$$\eta_{\varepsilon,1}(w, s) \geq 0, \text{ for any } w \in \frac{\Omega - x_0}{\varepsilon} \setminus B(0, 1).$$

Then Hopf's lemma gives us that

$$\frac{\partial \eta_{\varepsilon,1}(\tau, s)}{\partial \nu_\tau} < 0, \text{ for any } \tau \in \partial B(0, 1) \text{ with } \nu_\tau = -\frac{\tau}{|\tau|}.$$

If $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |w| = 1$, by the sign-preserving property, for small ε , we find

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\partial \eta_{\varepsilon,1}(w, s)}{\partial w_i} w_i = -|w| \cdot \frac{\partial \eta_{\varepsilon,1}(w, s)}{\partial \nu_w} \geq 0, \text{ with } \nu_w = -\frac{w}{|w|}. \quad (3.35)$$

Hence using (3.35), we can compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla_w L_\varepsilon(w), w \rangle &= \int_{\partial B(0,1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\partial \eta_{\varepsilon,1}(w, s)}{\partial w_i} w_i - \frac{1}{2\pi \log(r\varepsilon)} \right) u_0(x_0 + \varepsilon s) d\sigma(s) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} (u_0(x_0) + o(1)) \geq \frac{u_0(x_0)}{2|\log \varepsilon|}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proofs of (3.26). $\square$

From above computations, the precise asymptotic behavior of ∇u_ε and $\nabla^2 u_\varepsilon$ near $\partial B(x_0, \varepsilon)$ can be stated as follows.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $w = \frac{x - x_0}{\varepsilon}$ and $|x - x_0| \rightarrow 0$, we have following results:*

(1). *For any fixed $C_0 > 1$, if $|w| \geq C_0$, then it holds*

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon(x)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial u_0(x)}{\partial x_i} + \frac{u_0(x_0)(x_i - x_{0,i})}{|\log \varepsilon| \cdot |x - x_0|^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon| \cdot |x - x_0|}\right), \quad (3.36)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 u_\varepsilon(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} &= \frac{\partial^2 u_0(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \frac{u_0(x_0)}{|\log \varepsilon| \cdot |x - x_0|^2} \left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{(x_i - x_{0,i})(x_j - x_{0,j})}{|x - x_0|^2} \right) \\ &\quad + o\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon| \cdot |x - x_0|^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

(2). If $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |w| = 1$, then it holds

$$|\nabla u_\varepsilon(x)| \geq \frac{u_0(x_0)}{8\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|}. \quad (3.38)$$

Proof. (1). First by (2.8), we have

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon(x)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial u_0(x)}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial K_\varepsilon(w)}{\partial w_i} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial L_\varepsilon(w)}{\partial w_i}. \quad (3.39)$$

Then from (3.11), (3.24) and (3.39), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon(x)}{\partial x_i} &= \frac{\partial u_0(x)}{\partial x_i} + O\left(\frac{1}{|w|^2}\right) + \frac{u_0(x_0)w_i}{\varepsilon \cdot |\log \varepsilon| \cdot |w|^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon \cdot |w| \cdot |\log \varepsilon|}\right) \\ &= \frac{\partial u_0(x)}{\partial x_i} + \frac{u_0(x_0)(x_i - x_{0,i})}{|\log \varepsilon| \cdot |x - x_0|^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon| \cdot |x - x_0|}\right) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{|x - x_0|^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{\partial u_0(x)}{\partial x_i} + \frac{u_0(x_0)(x_i - x_{0,i})}{|\log \varepsilon| \cdot |x - x_0|^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon| \cdot |x - x_0|}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by (2.8), we know

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_\varepsilon(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial^2 u_0(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 K_\varepsilon(w)}{\partial w_i \partial w_j} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 L_\varepsilon(w)}{\partial w_i \partial w_j},$$

which, together with (3.11) and (3.25), implies (3.37).

(2). If $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |w| = 1$, from (2.8), (3.11) and (3.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u_\varepsilon(x)| &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left| \langle \nabla_x u_\varepsilon(x), w \rangle \right| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \left| \langle \nabla_w L_\varepsilon(w), w \rangle \right| - \frac{1}{2} \left| \langle \nabla_x u_0(x), w \rangle \right| - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \left| \langle \nabla_w K_\varepsilon(w), w \rangle \right| \geq \frac{u_0(x_0)}{8\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|}. \end{aligned}$$

□

4. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1.1

Firstly, we give the precise location of the maximum point x_ε of $u_\varepsilon(x)$ on Ω_ε .

Proposition 4.1. *If $x_0 \neq y_0$, then the maximum point x_ε of $u_\varepsilon(x)$ on Ω_ε satisfies*

$$x_\varepsilon \rightarrow y_0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0,$$

where y_0 is the maximum point of $u_0(x)$.

Proof. First, for $x \in \Omega_\varepsilon$ with $|x - x_0| = o(1)$, it holds $\log |x - x_0| < 0$, and then from (3.23), we find

$$u_\varepsilon(x) \leq u_0(x) + o(1), \text{ in } \{x \in \Omega_\varepsilon, |x - x_0| = o(1)\}. \quad (4.1)$$

If $x_0 \neq y_0$, then $u_0(x_0) < u_0(y_0)$, here we use the uniqueness of the critical point of $u_0(x)$ (see [13]). Hence by (4.1), we have

$$u_\varepsilon(x) \leq \frac{u_0(x_0) + u_0(y_0)}{2} < u_0(y_0) \text{ in } \{x \in \Omega_\varepsilon, |x - x_0| = o(1)\},$$

which gives us that $x_\varepsilon \notin \{x \in \Omega_\varepsilon, |x - x_0| = o(1)\}$.

Hence combining Lemma 3.1, we know that there exists a fixed small $r > 0$ such that $x_\varepsilon \in B(y_0, r)$ the maximum point x_ε of $u_\varepsilon(x)$ will belong to $B(y_0, r)$ and $x_\varepsilon \rightarrow y_0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. $\square$

Proposition 4.2. *If $x_0 = y_0$, then the maximum point x_ε of $u_\varepsilon(x)$ on Ω_ε can be written as*

$$x_\varepsilon = x_0 + \sqrt{-\frac{u_0(x_0) + o(1)}{\lambda}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}} v,$$

where $\lambda = \max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$, λ_1 and λ_2 are the eigenvalues of the matrix $D^2 u_0(x_0)$ and v an associated eigenfunction with $|v| = 1$.

Proof. Since Ω is convex and $x_0 = y_0$, then from [13], we know that $u_0(x)$ admits exact one critical point x_0 . This means that for any $z_0 \neq x_0$, there exists $r > 0$ such that $u_0(x)$ has no critical points in $B(z_0, r)$. And from Lemma 3.1, we know that all critical points of $u_\varepsilon(x)$ belong to

$$D_\varepsilon := \{x \in \Omega_\varepsilon, |x - x_0| = o(1)\}.$$

Next, for $x \in D_\varepsilon$, if $\frac{|x - x_0|}{\varepsilon} < C$, from (3.36) and (3.38), we have

$$|\nabla u_\varepsilon(x)| \geq \frac{c_0}{\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|} \text{ for some } c_0 > 0,$$

which implies that $\nabla u_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ admits no solutions if $x \in D_\varepsilon$ and $\frac{|x - x_0|}{\varepsilon} < C$.

Finally, we analyze the critical points of $u_\varepsilon(x)$ on $x \in D_\varepsilon$ and $\frac{|x - x_0|}{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \infty$. From (3.36), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial u_0(x)}{\partial x_i} + \frac{u_0(x_0)(x_i - x_{0,i})}{|\log \varepsilon| \cdot |x - x_0|^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon| \cdot |x - x_0|}\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2 u_0(x_0)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + o(1) \right) (x_{\varepsilon,j} - x_{0,j}) + \frac{x_{\varepsilon,i} - x_{0,i}}{|\log \varepsilon| \cdot |x_\varepsilon - x_0|^2} (u_0(x_0) + o(1)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

By (4.2) we immediately get that $-\frac{1}{|x_\varepsilon - x_0|^2 |\log \varepsilon|} \rightarrow \lambda$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Dividing (4.2) by $|x_\varepsilon - x_0|$ and passing to the limit, we find that all critical points x_ε of u_ε can be written as

$$x_\varepsilon = x_0 + \sqrt{-\frac{u_0(x_0) + o(1)}{\lambda}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}} v,$$

where $\lambda = \lambda_1$ or λ_2 , λ_1 and λ_2 are the eigenvalues of the matrix $D^2u_0(x_0)$ and v an associated eigenfunction with $|v| = 1$.

Now we devote to prove that the maximum point x_ε of $u_\varepsilon(x)$ on Ω_ε can be written as

$$x_\varepsilon = x_0 + \sqrt{-\frac{u_0(x_0) + o(1)}{\lambda}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}} v, \text{ with } \lambda = \max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}.$$

And if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, then above result holds automatically. Now let

$$x_\varepsilon = x_0 + \sqrt{-\frac{u_0(x_0) + o(1)}{\lambda_1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}} v_1 \text{ with } D^2u_0(x_0)v_1 = \lambda_1 v_1. \quad (4.3)$$

Then from (3.37), we know

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial^2 u_0(x_0)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \lambda_1 (\delta_{ij} - v_{1i} v_{1j}) + o(1). \quad (4.4)$$

Next we take v_2 satisfying $D^2u_0(x_0)v_2 = \lambda_2 v_2$ with $|v_2| = 1$ and $v_1 \perp v_2$. And then denoting $\mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{21} \\ v_{12} & v_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, we have

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1} D^2 u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon) \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}^{-1} D^2 u_0(x_0) \mathbf{P} - (\lambda_1 + o(1)) \mathbf{E} + \lambda_1 \mathbf{P}^{-1} v_1 v_1^T \mathbf{P}. \quad (4.5)$$

where $\mathbf{E}$ is the unit matrix. Also we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}^{-1} v_1 v_1^T \mathbf{P} &= \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} \\ v_{21} & v_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} \\ v_{12} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{21} \\ v_{12} & v_{22} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Hence from (4.3), (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6), it follows

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1} D^2 u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon) \mathbf{P} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 + o(1) & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 - \lambda_1 + o(1) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.7)$$

This gives us that

$$\det D^2 u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon) = (\lambda_1 + o(1)) (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 + o(1)).$$

If $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2$, then $\det D^2 u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon) < 0$ and x_ε is a saddle point of $u_\varepsilon(x)$. Hence in this case, x_ε is not a maximum point of $u_\varepsilon(x)$. If $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$, then two eigenvalues of $D^2 u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)$ are negative and x_ε is a maximum point of $u_\varepsilon(x)$. These complete the proof of Proposition 4.2. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We divide into following two cases.

Case 1: $x_0 \neq y_0$. First from Proposition 4.1, we know that the maximum point x_ε of $u_\varepsilon(x)$ on Ω_ε satisfies

$$x_\varepsilon \rightarrow y_0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Also we recall that all eigenvalues of $D^2u_0(y_0)$ are negative. Hence by continuity, (1.2) and Lemma 3.1, we find that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{\max}(D^2u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)) = \lambda_{\max}(D^2u_0(y_0)) = \max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\} < 0. \quad (4.8)$$

Case 2: $x_0 = y_0$. Without loss of generality, we suppose that $\lambda_2 \leq \lambda_1 < 0$ then from Proposition 4.2, we know that the maximum point of $u_\varepsilon(x)$ satisfies

$$x_\varepsilon = x_0 + \sqrt{-\frac{u_0(x_0) + o(1)}{\lambda_1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\log \varepsilon|}} v_1 \text{ with } D^2u_0(x_0)v_1 = \lambda_1 v_1.$$

And then (4.7) gives us that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{\max}(D^2u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)) = \max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2 - \lambda_1\} \begin{cases} < 0, & \text{for } \lambda_2 < \lambda_1, \\ = 0, & \text{for } \lambda_2 = \lambda_1. \end{cases}$$

Hence for general λ_1, λ_2 , it holds

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{\max}(D^2u_\varepsilon(x_\varepsilon)) = \max\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, -|\lambda_2 - \lambda_1|\} \begin{cases} < 0, & \text{for } \lambda_2 \neq \lambda_1, \\ = 0, & \text{for } \lambda_2 = \lambda_1, \end{cases}$$

which, together with (4.8), completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. $\square$

Acknowledgments Part of this work was done while Peng Luo was visiting the Mathematics Department of the University of Rome “La Sapienza” whose members he would like to thank for their warm hospitality. Hua Chen was supported by NSFC grants (No. 11631011, 11626251). Peng Luo was supported by NSFC grants (No. 11701204, 11831009).

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bandle and M. Flucher. *Harmonic radius and concentration of energy; hyperbolic radius and Liouville's equations $\Delta U = e^U$ and $\Delta U = U^{(n+2)/(n-2)}$* . Siam Review, 38(2): 191–238, 1996.
- [2] T. Beck. *Uniform level set estimates for ground state eigenfunctions*. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 50: 4483–4502, 2018.
- [3] H. Brascamp and E. Lieb. *On extensions of the Brunn-Minkowski and Prékopa-Leindler theorems, including inequalities for log concave functions, and with an application to the diffusion equation*. J. Funct. Anal. 22(4): 366–389, 1976.
- [4] X. Cabré and S. Chanillo. *Stable solutions of semilinear elliptic problems in convex domains*. Selecta Math. (N.S.), 4(1): 1–10, 1998.
- [5] B. Gidas, W. Ni and L. Nirenberg. *Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle*. Comm. Math. Phys., 68(3): 209–243, 1979.
- [6] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Third edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

- [7] F. Gladiali and M. Grossi. *On the number of critical points of solutions of semilinear equations in $\mathbb{R}^2$* . arXiv:1907.09895.
- [8] M. Grossi. *A Morse lemma for degenerate critical points of solutions of nonlinear equations in $\mathbb{R}^2$* . Adv. Nonlinear Stud., 20(1): 1–18, 2020.
- [9] F. Hamel, N. Nadirashvili and Y. Sire. *Convexity of level sets for elliptic problems in convex domains or convex rings: two counterexamples*. Amer. J. Math., 138(2): 499–527, 2016.
- [10] B. Kawohl. *Rearrangements and convexity of level sets in PDE*, volume 1150 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [11] E. Lundberg and K. Ramachandran. *A note on the critical points of the torsion function*. preprint arXiv:1907.08376.
- [12] R. Magnanini. *An introduction to the study of critical points of solutions of elliptic and parabolic equations*. Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste 48: 121–166, 2016.
- [13] L. Makar-Limanov. *The solution of the Dirichlet problem for the equation $\Delta u = -1$ in a convex region*. Mat. Zametki 9: 89–92, 1971.
- [14] S. Steinerberger. *Topological bounds for Fourier coefficients and applications to torsion*. J. Funct. Anal. 274: 1611–1630, 2018.

(Hua Chen) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430072, CHINA

Email address: `chenhua@whu.edu.cn`

(Peng Luo) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CENTRAL CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430079, CHINA

Email address: `pluo@mail.ccnu.edu.cn`