arXiv:2104.13500v1 [math.AP] 27 Apr 2021

On the local existence of solutions to the fluid-structure interaction problem with a free interface

Igor Kukavica and Amjad Tuffaha

ABSTRACT. We address a system of equations modeling an incompressible fluid interacting with an elastic body. We prove the local existence when the initial velocity belongs to the space H^s, where $s > 3/2$ and the initial structure velocity is in $H^{s-1/2}$.

April 29, 2021

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. The setting and the main result 2
3. Space-time trace, interpolation, and hidden regularity inequalities 5
4. The nonhomogeneous Stokes problem 7
5. Solutions to a linear Stokes-wave system 10
6. An iterative procedure 13
6.1. The Lagrangian map and cofactor matrix bounds 13
6.2. Uniform boundedness of the sequence 15
6.3. Contracting property 18
Acknowledgments 21
References 21

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to establish the local-in-time existence of solutions for the free boundary fluid-structure interaction model with minimal regularity assumptions on the initial data. The model, which first appeared in a modeling book [**MZ**], describes the interaction between an elastic structure and a viscous incompressible fluid in which the structure is immersed. Mathematically, the dynamics of the fluid are captured by the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in the velocity and pressure variables (u, p), while the elastic dynamics are described by a second order elasticity equation (we replace it with a wave equation to simplify presentation; see Remark 2.2) in the vector variables (w, w_t) representing the displacement and velocity of the structure. The interaction between the structure and the fluid is mathematically described by velocity and stress matching boundary conditions at the moving interface separating the solid and fluid regions. Since the interface position evolves with time and is not known a priori, this is a free-boundary problem.

Well-posedness and local-in-time existence were first obtained by Coutand and Shkoller [**CS1**, **CS2**] in 2005. The authors use the Lagrangian coordinate system to fix the domain and a Tychonoff fixed point theorem to construct local-in-time solutions given initial fluid velocity $u_0 \in H^5$ and structural velocity $w_1 \in H^3$. A

Key words and phrases. fluid-structure interaction, local existence, Navier-Stokes equations, wave equation, trace regularity.

key feature of the model is the mismatch between parabolic and hyperbolic regularity and the importance of trace regularity theorems for both parabolic and hyperbolic equations in establishing the regularity of solutions. In [**KT1**, **KT2**], the authors established a priori estimates for the local existence of solutions using direct estimates given $u_0 \in H^3$ for the initial velocity and $w_1 \in H^{5/2+r}$, where $r \in (0, (\sqrt{2}-1)/2)$, for the initial structural velocity. A key ingredient in obtaining the result was the hidden regularity trace theorems for the wave equations established in [**LLT**, **BL**, **L1**, **L2**, **S**, **T**]. A wealth of literature on wave-heat coupled systems on a non-moving domain was instrumental in further understanding the heat-wave interaction phenomena (cf. [**ALT**, **AT1**, **AT2**, **DGHL**, **BGLT1**, **BGLT2**, **KTZ1**, **KTZ2**, **KTZ3**, **LL1**]). Similar results were obtained for the compressible free-boundary version [**BG1**, **BG2**, **KT3**]. For some other works on fluid-structure models, cf. [**B**, **BuL**, **BZ1**, **BZ2**, **BTZ**, **DEGL**, **F**, **GH**, **GGCC**, **GGCCL**, **IKLT1**, **LL1**, **LL2**, **LT**, **LTr1**, **LTr2**, **MC1**, **MC2**, **MC3**, **SST**].

More recently, a sharp regularity result for the case when the initial domain is a flat channel was obtained by Raymond and Vanninathan [**RV**]. The authors study the system in the Eulerian coordinate setting and obtain local-in-time solutions for the 3D model when $u_0 \in H^{1.5+\epsilon}$ and $w_1 \in H^{1+\epsilon}$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary. More recently, Boulakia, Guerrero, and Takahashi obtained in [**BGT**] a local-in-time solutions given data $u_0 \in H^2$ and $w_1 \in H^{9/8}$ for the case of a general domain.

In this paper, we provide a natural proof of the existence of unique local-in-time solutions to the system under the sharp assumption of $u_0 \in H^{1.5+\epsilon}$ and $w_1 \in H^{1+\epsilon}$, where $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, for a general domain. Note that this regularity seems to be the lowest regularity which guarantees the cofactor matrix a to be bounded. Our proof relies on a maximal regularity type theorem for the linear Stokes system with Neumann type conditions and nonhomogeneous divergence in addition to the hidden regularity theorems for the wave equation. Such maximal regularity theorems in the context of different functional spaces have been studied early on by Grubb and Solonnikov [**GS**]. Still they have attracted much interest recently [**MZ1**, **MZ2**, **PS**] due to their relevance in the study of free boundary problems. A difficulty in applying the theorem from [**GS**] is that the boundary term (cf. sixth term on the right-hand side of (4.9)) has a higher time regularity than the interior pressure terms on the left (i.e., the second and third terms on the left of (4.9)). To overcome this, we introduce a bound for a boundary pressure term, due to which we can to absorb the high time regularity pressure term on the right. Another essential ingredient in the proof of the main theorem is a trace inequality

$$\|u\|_{H^{\theta}((0,T),L^2(\Gamma_c))} \lesssim \|u\|^{1/2s}_{L^2((0,T),H^s(\Omega_f))} \|u\|^{(2s-1)/2s}_{H^{2\theta s/(2s-1)}((0,T),L^2(\Omega_f))} + \|u\|_{L^2((0,T),H^s(\Omega_f))},$$

for functions which are Sobolev in the time variable and square integrable on the boundary (cf. Lemma 3.1 and (3.7) below). This is used essentially in the proof of the existence for the nonhomogeneous Stokes equation, Theorem 4.1, and in the proof of the main results, Theorem 2.1.

The construction of solutions for the fluid-structure problem is obtained via the Banach fixed point theorem. The scheme depends on solving the Stokes system with the variable coefficients treated as a given forcing perturbation which appears in the equations with the Neumann boundary conditions and the nonhomogeneous divergence condition. The iteration scheme then requires solving the wave equation with Dirichlet data using the integrated velocity matching conditions and appealing to the hidden trace regularity theorem for the normal derivative which in turn is used to solve the Stokes system. In addition, the solution in each iteration step is used to prescribe new variable coefficients for the next step of the iteration. The ellipticity of the variable coefficients is maintained by taking a sufficiently short time to ensure closeness of the inverse matrix of the flow map to its initial state of the identity and provide the necessary contraction type estimates. We note that the only global-in-time result for small data was established in the presence of an interior structural damping term in the elasticity equation and for very smooth initial data in a recent work [**IKLT2**] (cf. also [**KT4**]).

2. The setting and the main result

We revisit the fluid-structure system coupling the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation and an elasticity equation. The two equations are defined on domains $\Omega_f(t)$ and $\Omega_e(t)$ respectively, representing the fluid and the solid regions, comprising a fixed bounded region $\Omega = \Omega_f(t) \cup \Omega_e(t)$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$. The domains are assumed to

be sufficiently smooth. The interaction is described by transmission boundary conditions on the interface $\Gamma(t)$ between the two domains. The dynamics are best described by the Lagrangian coordinates on the initial domain configurations $\Omega_{\rm f}(0)=\Omega_{\rm f}$ and $\Omega_{\rm e}(0)=\Omega_{\rm e}$. The incompressible Navier-Stokes equations ([**Te1**, **Te2**]) satisfied by the fluid velocity $v=(v_1,v_2,v_3)$ and the pressure q are expressed in the Lagrangian coordinates as

$$\partial_t v_k - a_{jl}\partial_j(a_{ml}\partial_m v_k) + a_{jk}\partial_j q = 0 \qquad \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega_{\rm f} \tag{2.1}$$

$$a_{ji}\partial_j v_i = 0 \qquad \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega_{\rm f}, \tag{2.2}$$

for $k=1,2,3$, using the summation convention on repeated indices. The symbol a_{kj} denotes the kj entry of the 3×3 matrix a which is related to the flow map η by

$$a=(\nabla\eta)^{-1}. \tag{2.3}$$

The flow map $\eta(t,\cdot)\colon \Omega\to\Omega$ is an unknown function which determines the evolution of the domains. In particular, we have

$$\eta(t,\cdot)\colon \Omega_{\rm f}\to\Omega_{\rm f}(t) \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\eta(t,\cdot)\colon \Omega_{\rm e}\to\Omega_{\rm e}(t),$$

with the initial condition

$$\eta(0,x)=x, \qquad x\in\Omega_e. \tag{2.5}$$

On the other hand, the dynamics of the solid body and in particular the displacement variable $w=(w_1,w_2,w_3)$, or $w(t,x)=\eta(t,x)-x$, and its velocity w_t are described by the wave equation

$$w_{tt}-\Delta w=0 \qquad \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega_{\rm e}, \tag{2.6}$$

set in the natural Lagrangian variables. Adjustments to more general stresses is possible (cf. Remark 2.2 below).

We adopt the configuration where $\Omega_{\rm f}$ occupies the exterior part of Ω and $\Omega_{\rm e}$ the interior part. We assume that both $\Omega_{\rm f}$ and $\Omega_{\rm e}$ are smooth bounded connected domains. The interaction boundary conditions prescribed on the interface $\Gamma_{\rm c}(t)$ common to the two domains are the velocity and the stress matching conditions, which are formulated in Lagrangian coordinates over the initial configuration $\Gamma_{\rm c}=\Gamma_{\rm c}(0)$ as

$$v=w_t \qquad \text{on } (0,T)\times\Gamma_{\rm c} \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial w_k}{\partial N}=a_{jl}a_{ml}\partial_m v_k N^j - a_{jk}qN^j, \tag{2.8}$$

for $k=1,2,3$, where $N=(N^1,N^2,N^3)$ denotes the unit normal at the interface $\Gamma_{\rm c}$, which is oriented outward with respect to the domain $\Omega_{\rm e}$.

Also, we impose the usual no-slip boundary condition on the boundary of the domain Ω which is also the outer boundary of the domain $\Omega_{\rm f}$; namely, we require

$$v=0 \qquad \text{on } (0,T)\times\partial\Omega. \tag{2.9}$$

The initial conditions read

$$(v(0,\cdot),w(0,\cdot),w_t(0,\cdot))=(v_0,w_0,w_1) \qquad \text{in } \Omega_{\rm f}\times\Omega_{\rm e}\times\Omega_{\rm e}, \tag{2.10}$$

where $w_0=0$. Denote

$$H^{r,s}((0,T)\times\Omega_{\rm f})=H^r((0,T),L^2(\Omega_{\rm f}))\cap L^2((0,T),H^s(\Omega_{\rm f})), \tag{2.11}$$

with the norm

$$\|f\|^2_{H^{r,s}((0,T)\times\Omega_{\rm f})}=\|f\|^2_{H^r((0,T),L^2(\Omega_{\rm f}))}+\|f\|^2_{L^2((0,T),H^s(\Omega_{\rm f}))}. \tag{2.12}$$

We shall write $H^{r,s}_{\Gamma_c}$, involving functions on $(0,T)\times\Gamma_c$, for the analogous space corresponding to the boundary set $\Gamma_c = \partial\Omega_e$. For simplicity, we frequently write $\|f\|_{H^{r,s}} = \|f\|_{H^{r,s}((0,T)\times\Omega_f)}$. It is also convenient to abbreviate

$$K^s = H^{s/2,s} = H^{s/2,s}((0,T)\times\Omega_f), \tag{2.13}$$

where $s \geq 0$. Similarly, we write

$$\|\cdot\|_{K^s} = \|\cdot\|_{H^{s/2,s}}. \tag{2.14}$$

Also, denote

$$H_f = \{u \in L^2(\Omega_f) : \operatorname{div} u = 0, u\cdot N = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}. \tag{2.15}$$

Our main result is the local-in-time existence of strong solutions to the system (2.1)–(2.6), under the boundary conditions (2.7)–(2.9) and the initial condition (2.10) with minimal regularity on initial data.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $s \in (3/2, 2)$. Assume that $v_0 = (v_{01}, v_{02}, v_{03}) \in H^s(\Omega_f) \cap H_f$ and $w_1 \in H^{s-1/2}(\Omega_e)$ while $w_0 = 0$ with the compatibility conditions*

$$w_1 = v_0 \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_c \tag{2.16}$$

$$v_0 = 0 \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_f, \tag{2.17}$$

and let q_0 be the initial pressure, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta q_0 &= -\partial_k v_{0i}\partial_i v_{0k} \qquad \text{in } \Omega_f \\ q_0 &= \frac{\partial v_0}{\partial N}\cdot N - \frac{\partial w_0}{\partial N}\cdot N \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_c \\ \frac{\partial q_0}{\partial N} &= \Delta v \cdot N \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_f. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a unique local-in-time solution

$$\begin{aligned} &v \in K^{s+1}((0,T)\times\Omega_f) \cap H \\ &q \in H^{s/2-1/2,s}((0,T)\times\Omega_f) \\ &w \in C([0,T], H^{s+1/2}(\Omega_e)) \\ &w_t \in C([0,T], H^{s-1/2}(\Omega_e)) \\ &\eta|_{\Omega_f} \in C^1([0,T], H^s(\Omega_f)) \end{aligned}$$

to the system (2.1)–(2.6) with the boundary conditions (2.7)–(2.9) and with the initial condition (2.10) for a time $T > 0$ depending on the initial data. Moreover,

$$\|v\|_{K^{s+1}} + \|q\|_{H^{s/2-1/2,s}} + \|\nabla q\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|q\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}} + \|w\|_{L^\infty H^{s+1/2}} + \|w_t\|_{L^\infty H^{s-1/2}} \leq CM_0,$$

where $M_0 = \|v_0\|_{H^s} + \|w_1\|_{H^{s-1/2}}$ and C is a constant depending on the domain Ω.

The proof of the theorem is given in Section 6 below. The proof is structured so that one can also obtain that the time of existence can be made arbitrarily large if the initial data is sufficiently small.

As it is natural and common, we assume $w_0 = 0$, but all the statements apply, with only minor changes in the proof if we assume more generally $w_0 \in H^{s+1/2}(\Omega_e)$ and thus replacing (2.5) with $\eta(0,x) = w_0(x) + x$.

REMARK 2.2. (i) In this paper, for simplicity of exposition, as in [**CS1**], we treat the Navier-Stokes with the stress tensor $\mathbb{T}_1 = \nabla u - pI$ where u and p are Eulerian velocity and pressure respectively. The proofs do not require much change if we consider instead the stress $\mathbb{T}_2 = \nabla u + (\nabla u)^T - pI$. The two stresses lead to the same form of the Navier-Stokes system as $\operatorname{div}\mathbb{T}_1 = \operatorname{div}\mathbb{T}_2 = \Delta u$, but they lead to the different form of (2.8), which for the stress $\mathbb{T}_2$ reads

$$\frac{\partial w_k}{\partial N} = a_{jl}a_{ml}\partial_m v_k N^j + a_{jl}a_{mk}\partial_m v_l N^j - a_{jk}qN^j.$$

The theorem and the proof can easily be adapted to this different stress. The only important difference is that in the proof of Theorem 4.1 below, when appealing to [**GS**], we need to use the stress T_1 rather than T_3 from [**GS**].

(ii) In this paper, we consider the stress $\sigma(w) = \nabla w$. It is easy to adjust the results to the stress $\sigma(w) = (\lambda/2)\,\mathrm{Tr}(\nabla w + (\nabla w)^T) + 2\mu(\nabla w + (\nabla w)^T)$. Even more generally, the results extend to the anisotropic situation, where Δw is replaced by $\mathrm{div}(c \cdot \nabla u)$, where the tensor c_{ijkl} satisfies the symmetry conditions $c_{ijkl} = c_{klij} = c_{ijlk}$ and the Legendre-Hadamard condition in [**BL, KMT**]. The hidden regularity lemma (cf. Lemma 3.3 below) holds by the main results in [**BL, KMT**].

3. Space-time trace, interpolation, and hidden regularity inequalities

In this section, we provide three auxiliary results needed in the fixed point arguments. The first lemma provides an estimate for the trace in a space-time norm and is an essential ingredient when solving the linear Stokes problem with nonhomogeneous divergence and when constructing solutions to the linear Stokes-wave system in the next section.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $s > 1/2$ and $\theta \geq 0$. If $u \in L^2((0,T), H^s(\Omega_f)) \cap H^{2\theta s/(2s-1)}((0,T), L^2(\Omega_f))$, then $u \in H^\theta((0,T), L^2(\Gamma_c))$, and for all $\epsilon_0 \in (0,1]$ we have the inequality*

$$\|u\|_{H^\theta((0,T),L^2(\Gamma_c))} \leq \epsilon_0 \|u\|_{H^{2\theta s/(2s-1)}((0,T),L^2(\Omega_f))} + C_{\epsilon_0}\|u\|_{L^2((0,T),H^s(\Omega_f))}, \tag{3.1}$$

where C_{ϵ_0} is a constant depending on ϵ_0.

PROOF OF LEMMA 3.1. It is sufficient to prove the inequality for $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ with the trace taken on the set

$$\Gamma = \big\{(t,x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 : x_3 = 0\big\};$$

the general case is settled by the partition of unity and straightening of the boundary. Since it should be clear from the context, we usually do not distinguish in notation between a function and its trace. Denoting by $\hat{u}$ the Fourier transform of u with respect to (x_1, x_2, x_3, t), we have

$$\|u\|^2_{H^\theta((0,T),L^2(\Gamma))} \lesssim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(1+\tau^2)^\theta \left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{u}(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\tau)\,d\xi_3\right|^2 d\tau\,d\xi_1\,d\xi_2.$$

Denote by

$$\gamma = \frac{2s-1}{2\theta} \tag{3.2}$$

the quotient between the exponents s and $2\theta s/(2s-1)$ in (3.1). Then, with $\lambda > 0$ to be determined below, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|^2_{H^\theta((0,T),L^2(\Gamma_c))} &\lesssim \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(1+\tau^2)^\theta \left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{u}(\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3,\tau)\,d\xi_3\right|^2 d\tau\,d\xi_1\,d\xi_2\\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(1+\tau^2)^\theta\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{(1+(\xi_1^2+\xi_2^2)^\gamma+\epsilon^{-2}\xi_3^{2\gamma}+\tau^2)^{\lambda/2}}{(1+(\xi_1^2+\xi_2^2)^\gamma+\epsilon^{-2}\xi_3^{2\gamma}+\tau^2)^{\lambda/2}}|\hat{u}|\,d\xi_3\right)^2 d\tau\,d\xi_1\,d\xi_2\\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(1+\tau^2)^\theta\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\big(1+(\xi_1^2+\xi_2^2)^\gamma+\epsilon^{-2}\xi_3^{2\gamma}+\tau^2\big)^\lambda|\hat{u}|^2\,d\xi_3\right)\\
&\qquad\times\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d\xi_3}{(1+(\xi_1^2+\xi_2^2)^\gamma+\epsilon^{-2}\xi_3^{2\gamma}+\tau^2)^\lambda}\right)d\tau\,d\xi_1\,d\xi_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in ξ_3. Note that, using a substitution,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dx}{(A^2+\epsilon^{-2}x^{2\gamma})^\lambda} \lesssim \epsilon^{1/\gamma}A^{1/\gamma-2\lambda}, \qquad A,\epsilon > 0, \tag{3.3}$$

provided λ satisfies $2\gamma\lambda > 1$, which is by (3.2) equivalent to

$$\lambda > \frac{\theta}{2s-1}. \tag{3.4}$$

Note that $2\gamma\lambda > 1$ implies $1/\gamma - 2\lambda < 0$ for the exponent of A in (3.3). Now we use (3.3) for the integral in ξ_3 with $A = (1 + (\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)^\gamma + \tau^2)^{1/2}$, while noting that

$$\begin{aligned}(1+\tau^2)^\theta A^{1/\gamma - 2\lambda} &= \frac{(1+\tau^2)^\theta}{(1 + (\xi_1^2+\xi_2^2)^\gamma + \tau^2)^{\lambda - 1/2\gamma}} \le (1+\tau^2)^{\theta - \lambda + 1/2\gamma} \\ &\le (1 + (\xi_1^2+\xi_2^2)^\gamma + \epsilon^{-2}\xi_3^{2\gamma} + \tau^2)^{\theta-\lambda+1/2\gamma},\end{aligned}$$

provided $\lambda - 1/2\gamma \le \theta$, i.e.,

$$\lambda \le \theta \frac{2s}{2s-1}. \tag{3.5}$$

Under the condition (3.5), we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\|^2_{H^a((0,T),L^2(\Gamma_c))} &\lesssim \epsilon^{1/\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3} \left(1 + (\xi_1^2+\xi_2^2)^\gamma + \epsilon^{-2}\xi_3^{2\gamma} + \tau^2\right)^{\theta+1/2\gamma} |\hat{u}|^2 \, d\xi_3 \, d\xi_2 \, d\xi_1 \, d\tau \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^{1/\gamma} \int_{\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3} \left(1 + \epsilon^{-2}(\xi_1^2+\xi_2^2+\xi_3^2)^\gamma + \tau^2\right)^{\theta+1/2\gamma} |\hat{u}|^2 \, d\xi_3 \, d\xi_2 \, d\xi_1 \, d\tau \\ &\lesssim \epsilon^{-2\theta} \|u\|^2_{L^2((0,T),H^{\gamma\theta+1/2}(\Omega_f))} + \epsilon^{1/\gamma} \|u\|^2_{H^{\theta+1/2\gamma}((0,T),L^2(\Omega_f))},\end{aligned}$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0,1]$. Using (3.2), we get

$$\|u\|^2_{H^a((0,T),L^2(\Gamma_c))} \lesssim \epsilon^{-2\theta} \|u\|^2_{L^2((0,T),H^s(\Omega_f))} + \epsilon^{2\theta/(2s-1)} \|u\|^2_{H^{2\theta s/(2s-1)}((0,T),L^2(\Omega_f))}, \tag{3.6}$$

for all $\epsilon \in (0,1]$. Finally, note that $\lambda = 2s\theta/(2s-1)$ satisfies (3.4) and (3.5) under the condition $s > 1/2$. □

Optimizing $\epsilon \in (0,1]$ in (3.6) by using

$$\epsilon = \left(\frac{\|u\|_{L^2((0,T),H^s(\Omega_f))}}{\|u\|_{L^2((0,T),H^s(\Omega_f))} + \|u\|_{H^{2\theta s/(2s-1)}((0,T),L^2(\Omega_f))}} \right)^{(2s-1)/2s\theta},$$

we obtain a trace inequality

$$\|u\|_{H^\theta((0,T),L^2(\Gamma_c))} \lesssim \|u\|^{1/2s}_{L^2((0,T),H^s(\Omega_f))} \|u\|^{(2s-1)/2s}_{H^{2\theta s/(2s-1)}((0,T),L^2(\Omega_f))} + \|u\|_{L^2((0,T),H^s(\Omega_f))}, \tag{3.7}$$

which is a more explicit version of (3.1).

The second lemma provides a space-time interpolation inequality needed in several places in the next two sections.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$. If $u \in H^\alpha((0,T),L^2(\Omega_f)) \cap L^2((0,T),H^\beta(\Omega_f))$, then $u \in H^\theta((0,T),H^\lambda(\Omega_f))$ and for all $\epsilon_0 > 0$, we have the inequality*

$$\|u\|_{H^\theta((0,T),H^\lambda(\Omega_f))} \le \epsilon_0 \|u\|_{H^\alpha((0,T),L^2(\Omega_f))} + C_{\epsilon_0} \|u\|_{L^2((0,T),H^\beta(\Omega_f))},$$

for all $\theta \in (0,\alpha)$ and $\lambda \in (0,\beta)$ such that

$$\frac{\theta}{\alpha} + \frac{\lambda}{\beta} \le 1,$$

where C_{ϵ_0} is a constant depending on ϵ_0.

PROOF OF LEMMA 3.2. Using a partition of unity, straightening of the boundary, and a Sobolev extension, it is sufficient to prove the inequality in the case $\Omega_f = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, using the Parseval identity and the definition of the Sobolev spaces in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we only need to prove

$$(1 + |\tau|^{2\theta})(1 + |\xi|^{2\lambda}) \le \epsilon_0^2 (1 + \tau^{2\alpha}) + C_{\epsilon_0}(1 + |\xi|^{2\beta}), \tag{3.8}$$

for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Finally, (3.8) follows from Young's inequality. □

In the last lemma of this section, we recall the trace regularity result for the wave equation

$$\begin{aligned} &w_{tt} - \Delta w = 0 \qquad \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega_{\mathrm{e}} \\ &w = \psi \qquad \text{on } (0,T)\times\partial\Omega_{\mathrm{e}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

with the initial data $(w, w_t)(0,\cdot) = (w_0, w_1)$.

LEMMA 3.3 ([LLT]). *Assume that $(w_0, w_1) \in H^{\alpha}(\Omega_e) \times H^{\alpha-1}(\Omega_e)$, where $\alpha \geq 1$, and*

$$\psi \in C([0,T], H^{\alpha-1/2}(\partial\Omega_e)) \cap H^{\alpha/2}((0,T), H^{\alpha/2}(\partial\Omega_e)).$$

Then there exists a solution $(w, w_t) \in C([0,T], H^{\alpha}(\Omega_e) \times H^{\alpha-1}(\Omega_e))$ of (3.9), which satisfies the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &\|w\|_{C([0,T],H^{\alpha}(\Omega_e))} + \|w_t\|_{C([0,T],H^{\alpha-1}(\Omega_e))} + \left\| \frac{\partial w}{\partial N} \right\|_{H^{\alpha-1}(\Sigma)} \\ &\quad \lesssim \|w_0\|_{H^{\alpha}(\Omega_e)} + \|w_1\|_{H^{\alpha-1}(\Omega_e)} + \|\psi\|_{C([0,T],H^{\alpha}(\partial\Omega_e))} + \|\psi\|_{H^{\alpha/2}((0,T),H^{\alpha/2}(\partial\Omega_e))}, \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant depends on Ω_e.

For the proof, cf. [LLT].

4. The nonhomogeneous Stokes problem

In this section, we state the maximal regularity theorem for the linear Stokes equation with a nonhomogeneous divergence (cf. [GS, MZ1, So]).

THEOREM 4.1. *Consider the linear Stokes system*

$$u_t - \Delta u + \nabla p = f \qquad \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega_f \tag{4.1}$$

$$\operatorname{div} u = g \qquad \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega_f, \tag{4.2}$$

subject to the mixed boundary conditions

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial N} - pN = h \qquad \text{on } (0,T)\times\Gamma_c \tag{4.3}$$

$$u = h_f \qquad \text{on } (0,T)\times\Gamma_f, \tag{4.4}$$

the initial condition

$$u(0,\cdot) = u_0, \tag{4.5}$$

and the compatibility condition

$$u_0|_{\Gamma_f} = h_f|_{\Gamma_f}. \tag{4.6}$$

Let $s \in (3/2, 2)$. Then, assuming

$$u_0 \in H^s(\Omega_f) \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$(f, g, h, h_f) \in (K^{s-1}, K^s, H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}((0,T)\times\Gamma_c), H^{s/2+1/4,s+1/2}((0,T)\times\Gamma_f)),$$

with the structural condition

$$g_t = \tilde{g} + \operatorname{div} b \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$\tilde{g}, b \in K^{s-1}((0,T)\times\Omega_f),$$

there exists a unique solution (u,p) to the system (4.1)–(4.5) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u\|_{K^{s+1}} + \|p\|_{H^{s/2-1/2,s}} + \|\nabla p\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|p\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}} \\ &\quad \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^s} + \|f\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|g\|_{K^s} + \|\tilde{g}\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|b\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|h\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}} + \|h_f\|_{H^{s/2+1/4,s+1/2}_{\Gamma_f}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where the implicit constant depends on Ω_f.

In (4.9), as well as in the rest of the paper, we do not indicate the domains $(0,T)\times\Omega_{\mathrm{f}}$ or Ω_{f} (or Ω_{e}) in the norms as they are understood from the context. However, we always use a complete notation for norms involving the boundary traces on Γ_{c} and Γ_{f}.

Theorem 4.1 is a variant of a maximal regularity for the non-homogeneous Stokes equation with the non-homogeneous divergence, stated here similarly to [**MZ1**], but in different functional spaces.

The feature of the approach in [**MZ1**] and Theorem 4.1 is the representation (4.8) for g_t. An important new idea is the presence of the fourth term on the left side of (4.9), i.e., we provide the control of the pressure trace $\|p\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}}}$. The control of this quantity is obtained by the space-time trace inequality (3.1) in Lemma 3.1. The proof is based on the trace inequality Lemma 3.1.

We believe that the proof with one simple corrector z is new (the proof in [**MZ1**] uses two correctors). The main difficult term resulting from the Dirichlet condition on the outside boundary is treated by the inequality (4.19) which is valid due to the Neumann condition for z on Γ_{f}.

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1. As in [**MZ1**], let z be the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta z = g \qquad \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega\\ &z=0 \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}\\ &\frac{\partial z}{\partial N}=0 \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_{\mathrm{f}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Note that the time derivative z_t satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\Delta z_t = \tilde g + \operatorname{div} b \qquad \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega\\ &z_t=0 \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}\\ &\frac{\partial z_t}{\partial N}=0 \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_{\mathrm{f}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

by (4.8). The difference $\tilde u = u-\nabla z$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde u_t - \Delta\tilde u + \nabla p = f - \nabla z_t + \Delta\nabla z \qquad \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega_{\mathrm{f}}\\ &\operatorname{div}\tilde u = 0 \qquad \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega_{\mathrm{f}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

subject to the mixed boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial\tilde u}{\partial N} - pN = h - \frac{\partial\nabla z}{\partial N} \qquad \text{on } (0,T)\times\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}\\ &\tilde u = -\nabla z + h_{\mathrm{f}} \qquad \text{on } (0,T)\times\Gamma_{\mathrm{f}} \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

and the initial condition

$$\tilde u(0,\cdot) = u_0 - \nabla z(0). \tag{4.14}$$

Denote the right-hand sides of (4.12), $(4.13)_1$, $(4.13)_2$, and (4.14) by $\tilde f$, $\tilde h$, $\tilde h_{\mathrm{f}}$ and $\tilde u_0$, respectively. Note that based on the estimates in the proof of [**GS**, Theorem 7.5], Theorem 4.1 holds for the special case $g=0$ but without the fourth term on the left side of (4.9). Applying it to the system (4.12)–(4.14), we obtain

$$\|\tilde u\|_{K^{s+1}} + \|p\|_{H^{s/2-1/2,s}} + \|\nabla p\|_{K^{s-1}} \lesssim \|\tilde u_0\|_{H^s} + \|\tilde f\|_{H^{(s-1)/2,s-1}} + \|\tilde h\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}}} + \|\tilde h_{\mathrm{f}}\|_{H^{s/2+1/4,s+1/2}_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{f}}}},$$

from where

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\tilde u\|_{K^{s+1}} + \|p\|_{H^{s/2-1/2,s}} + \|\nabla p\|_{K^{s-1}}\\ &\quad\lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^s} + \|z(0)\|_{H^{s+1}} + \|f\|_{H^{(s-1)/2,s-1}} + \|\nabla z_t\|_{H^{(s-1)/2,s-1}} + \|\Delta\nabla z\|_{H^{(s-1)/2,s-1}}\\ &\qquad + \|h\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}}} + \|\partial\nabla z/\partial N\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}}} + \|\nabla z\|_{H^{s/2+1/4,s+1/2}_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{f}}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Recall that the domains for the space-time norms are understood to be $(0,T)\times\Omega_{\mathrm{f}}$. We now need to show that each of the terms on the right-hand side of (4.15) is dominated by the right-hand side of (4.9). The first, third,

and sixth terms in (4.15) already appear in (4.9), so we only need to estimate the other ones. For the second term, we have

$$\|z(0)\|_{H^{s+1}} \lesssim \|g(0)\|_{H^{s-1}} = \|\operatorname{div} u_0\|_{H^{s-1}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{H^s}.$$

For the fourth term in (4.15), we write

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla z_t\|_{H^{(s-1)/2,s-1}} &\lesssim \|\nabla z_t\|_{L^2H^{s-1}} + \|\nabla z_t\|_{H^{(s-1)/2}L^2}\\ &\lesssim \|\tilde g\|_{L^2H^{s-2}} + \|b\|_{L^2H^{s-1}} + \|\tilde g\|_{H^{(s-1)/2}H^{-1}} + \|b\|_{H^{(s-1)/2}L^2}\\ &\lesssim \|\tilde g\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|b\|_{K^{s-1}},\end{aligned}$$

where we used the elliptic regularity for (4.11) in the second inequality. For the fifth term in (4.15), we have

$$\|\Delta\nabla z\|_{K^{s-1}} = \|\nabla g\|_{K^{s-1}} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^2H^s} + \|g\|_{H^{(s-1)/2}H^1} \lesssim \|g\|_{K^s},$$

where we used Lemma 3.2 in the last step. For the seventh term in (4.15), we write

$$\|\partial\nabla z/\partial N\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}} \lesssim \|\partial\nabla z/\partial N\|_{L^2H^{s-1/2}(\Gamma_c)} + \|\partial\nabla z/\partial N\|_{H^{s/2-1/4}L^2(\Gamma_c)}, \tag{4.16}$$

where for simplicity of notation, we write Γ_c instead of $(0,T)\times\Gamma_c$ as the time interval is understood. For the first term in (4.16), we use the Sobolev trace inequality and obtain

$$\|\partial\nabla z/\partial N\|_{L^2H^{s-1/2}(\Gamma_c)} \lesssim \|D^2 z\|_{L^2H^s} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^2H^s},$$

which is dominated by the third term in (4.9). For the second term in (4.16), we use the space-time trace inequality in Lemma 3.1 to write

$$\|\partial\nabla z/\partial N\|_{H^{s/2-1/4}L^2(\Gamma_c)} \lesssim \|D^2 z\|_{K^s} \lesssim \|g\|_{K^s}.$$

Regarding the eighth term in (4.15), we have

$$\|\nabla z\|_{H^{s/2+1/4,s+1/2}_{\Gamma_f}} \lesssim \|\nabla z\|_{L^2H^{s+1/2}(\Gamma_f)} + \|\nabla z\|_{H^{s/2+1/4}L^2(\Gamma_f)}. \tag{4.17}$$

For the first term on the right-hand side of (4.17), we use the trace inequality to write

$$\|\nabla z\|_{L^2H^{s+1/2}(\Gamma_f)} \lesssim \|\nabla z\|_{L^2H^{s+1}} \lesssim \|z\|_{L^2H^{s+2}} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^2H^s}. \tag{4.18}$$

For the second term, we claim that $(4.10)_3$ implies

$$\|\nabla z\|_{L^2(\Gamma_f)} \lesssim \|z\|_{H^1(\Gamma_f)}, \tag{4.19}$$

for all $t\in(0,T)$. To prove this, note that, by straightening of the boundary and using a partition of unity, we may assume that z is smooth and compactly supported in $\mathbb{R}^3$, while Γ_c is replaced by $\mathbb{R}^2=\mathbb{R}^3\cap\{x_3=0\}$. Since $\partial z/\partial x_3=0$ on $\mathbb{R}^2$, the inequality (4.19) follows immediately. Now, using (4.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla z\|_{H^{s/2+1/4}L^2(\Gamma_f)} &\lesssim \|z\|_{H^{s/2+1/4}H^1(\Gamma_f)} \lesssim \|z\|_{H^{s/2+1/4}H^{3/2}}\\ &\lesssim \|z_t\|_{H^{s/2-3/4}H^{3/2}} + \|z\|_{L^2H^{3/2}} \lesssim \|\tilde g\|_{H^{s/2-3/4}H^{-1/2}} + \|b\|_{H^{s/2-3/4}H^{1/2}} + \|g\|_{L^2L^2}\\ &\lesssim \|\tilde g\|_{H^{s/2-3/4}L^2} + \|b\|_{H^{s/2-3/4}H^{1/2}} + \|g\|_{L^2L^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Finally, for the second term on the far right side of (4.20), we apply Lemma 3.2 and obtain $\|b\|_{H^{s/2-3/4}H^{1/2}} \lesssim \|b\|_{K^{s-1}}$. Therefore, all the terms on the far right side of (4.20) are bounded by the right-hand side of (4.9). This concludes estimates for all the terms in (4.15), and (4.9) is proven, except for the bound of the fourth term on the left, i.e., the term $\|p\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}}$.

Since $\|p\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}} \lesssim \|\partial u/\partial N\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}} + \|h\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}}$, we only need to prove

$$\|\partial u/\partial N\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}} \lesssim \|u\|_{K^{s+1}}. \tag{4.21}$$

To bound the space part of the norm in (4.21), we use the classical trace inequality and write

$$\|\partial u/\partial N\|_{L^2H^{s-1/2}(\Gamma_c)} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^2H^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{K^{s+1}}. \tag{4.22}$$

To bound the time component of the norm in (4.21), we instead use Lemma 3.1,

$$\|\partial u/\partial N\|_{H^{s/2-1/4}L^2(\Gamma_c)} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{H^{s/2}L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^2H^s} \lesssim \|u\|_{K^{s+1}}, \tag{4.23}$$

completing the proof of (4.21) and thus also of (4.9). □

Note that (4.22) and (4.23) may be summarized as

$$\|\partial u/\partial N\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}} \lesssim \|u\|_{K^{s+1}}.$$

5. Solutions to a linear Stokes-wave system

In order to solve the nonlinear problem, we first need to consider the coupled linear system

$$v_t - \Delta v + \nabla q = f \qquad \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega_f \tag{5.1}$$

$$\operatorname{div} v = g \qquad \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega_f \tag{5.2}$$

$$w_{tt} - \Delta w = 0 \qquad \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega_e, \tag{5.3}$$

subject to the mixed boundary conditions

$$v = w_t \qquad \text{on } (0,T)\times\Gamma_c \tag{5.4}$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial N} - qN = \frac{\partial w}{\partial N} + h \qquad \text{on } (0,T)\times\Gamma_c \tag{5.5}$$

$$v = 0 \qquad \text{on } (0,T)\times\Gamma_f \tag{5.6}$$

and the initial condition

$$(v, w, w_t)(0) = (v_0, w_0, w_1). \tag{5.7}$$

The next statement provides the local existence for (5.1)–(5.7).

LEMMA 5.1. *Let $s \in (3/2, 2)$. Suppose that the initial data satisfies*

$$(v_0, w_0, w_1) \in (H^s(\Omega_f) \cap H) \times H^{s+1/2}(\Omega_e) \times H^{s-1/2}(\Omega_e)$$

with the nonhomogeneous terms

$$(f, g, h) \in K^{s-1} \times K^s \times H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}((0,T)\times\Gamma_c),$$

where

$$g_t = \tilde{g} + \operatorname{div} b,$$

with $\tilde{g}, b \in K^{s-1}((0,T)\times\Omega_f)$, and the compatibility conditions (2.16)–(2.17). Then for every $T > 0$ there exists a unique solution

$$\begin{aligned} &v \in K^{s+1}((0,T)\times\Omega_f) \\ &q \in H^{s/2-1/2,s}((0,T)\times\Omega_f) \\ &w \in C([0,T], H^{s+1/2}(\Omega_e)) \\ &w_t \in C([0,T], H^{s-1/2}(\Omega_e)) \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

with $\nabla q \in K^{s-1}((0,T)\times\Omega_f)$ to the system (5.1)–(5.7), which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\|v\|_{K^{s+1}} + \|q\|_{H^{s/2-1/2,s}} + \|\nabla q\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|q\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}} + \|w\|_{C([0,T],H^{s+1/2}(\Omega_e))} + \|w_t\|_{C([0,T],H^{s-1/2}(\Omega_e))} \\ &\quad\lesssim \|v_0\|_{H^s} + \|w_0\|_{H^{s+1/2}} + \|w_1\|_{H^{s-1/2}} + \|f\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|g\|_{K^s} + \|\tilde{g}\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|b\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|h\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

where the implicit constant depends on Ω_f and Ω_e.

PROOF OF LEMMA 5.1. The solution is obtained by the contraction mapping principle. With $(v^{(n)}, q^{(n)}, w^{(n)})$ constructed, where $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we obtain the next iterate by first solving

$$w_{tt}^{(n+1)} - \Delta w^{(n+1)} = 0 \qquad \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega_{\mathrm{e}}, \tag{5.10}$$

with the boundary condition

$$w^{(n+1)}(t) = w_0 + \int_0^t v^{(n)}\,ds \qquad \text{on } (0,T)\times\Gamma_c \tag{5.11}$$

and the initial condition $(w, w_t)(0) = (w_0, w_1)$; then, we solve

$$v_t^{(n+1)} - \Delta v^{(n+1)} + \nabla q^{(n+1)} = f \tag{5.12}$$

$$\operatorname{div} v^{(n+1)} = g \tag{5.13}$$

in $(0,T)\times\Omega_{\mathrm{f}}$, with the boundary condition

$$\frac{\partial v^{(n+1)}}{\partial N} - q^{(n+1)}N = \frac{\partial w^{(n+1)}}{\partial N} + h \qquad \text{on } (0,T)\times\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}} \tag{5.14}$$

and the initial condition $v^{(n)}(0) = v_0$. To obtain the initial iterate, we solve (5.10)–(5.11) for $w^{(0)}$ with the initial data (w_0, w_1), and with $v^{(n)}$ in (5.11) replaced by v_0. To obtain $(v^{(0)}, q^{(0)})$, we solve (5.12)–(5.13) for $(v^{(0)}, q^{(0)})$ and with the boundary condition (5.14) and $w^{(n+1)}$ replaced by $w^{(0)}$, and with the initial condition $(w^{(0)}, w_t^{(0)})(0) = (w_0, w_1)$. For simplicity of notation, we write

$$(\bar v, \bar q, \bar w, \bar\eta, \bar a) = (v^{(n+1)}, q^{(n+1)}, w^{(n+1)}, \eta^{(n+1)}, a^{(n+1)})$$

and

$$(v, q, w, \eta, a) = (v^{(n)}, q^{(n)}, w^{(n)}, \eta^{(n)}, a^{(n)}).$$

By (4.9), the solution $(\bar v, \bar q)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\bar v\|_{K^{s+1}} + \|\bar q\|_{H^{s/2-1/2,s}} + \|\nabla \bar q\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|q\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}}}\\ &\quad\lesssim \|v_0\|_{H^s} + \|f\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|g\|_{K^s} + \|\tilde g\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|b\|_{K^{s-1}} + \left\|\frac{\partial \bar w}{\partial N} + h\right\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}}}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

It thus remains to estimate the trace $\partial\bar w/\partial N$ by considering the wave equation in $\bar w$ with the Dirichlet data (5.11). By Lemma 3.3, it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\bar w\|_{C([0,T],H^{s+1/2}(\Omega_{\mathrm{e}}))} + \|\bar w_t\|_{C([0,T],H^{s-1/2}(\Omega_{\mathrm{e}}))} + \left\|\frac{\partial \bar w}{\partial N}\right\|_{H^{s-1/2,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}}}\\ &\quad\lesssim \|w_0\|_{H^{s+1/2}} + \|w_1\|_{H^{s-1/2}} + \|\bar w|_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}}\|_{L^2H^{s+1/2}(\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}})} + \|\bar w|_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}}\|_{H^{s/2+1/4}H^{s/2+1/4}(\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}})}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

Using (5.11), we get

$$\|\bar w|_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}}\|^2_{L^2H^{s+1/2}(\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}})} \lesssim \int_0^T \left\|\left(\int_0^t v\,ds\right)\right\|^2_{H^{2+1/2}(\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}})} \lesssim \int_0^T t\int_0^t \|v\|^2_{H^{s+1/2}(\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}})}\,ds\,dt \lesssim T^2\|v\|^2_{L^2H^{s+1}(\Omega_{\mathrm{f}})}, \tag{5.17}$$

by $\bar w(0) = 0$, while for the last term in (5.16) we estimate

$$\|\bar w|_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}}\|_{H^{s/2+1/4}H^{s/2+1/4}(\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}})} \lesssim \|v|_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}}\|_{H^{s/2-3/4}H^{s/2+1/4}(\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}})} + \|\bar w|_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}}\|_{L^2H^{s/2+1/4}(\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}})}, \tag{5.18}$$

by using $\bar w_t = v$ and $s/2 + 1/4 \geq 1$. For the first term in (5.18), we use the trace inequality and write, for $\epsilon_1 \in (0,1]$,

$$\|v|_{\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}}\|_{H^{s/2-3/4}H^{s/2+1/4}(\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}})} \lesssim \|v\|_{H^{s/2-3/4}H^{s/2+3/4}} \lesssim \epsilon_1\|v\|_{H^{(s+1)/2}L^2} + C_{\epsilon_1}\|v\|_{L^2H^{(2s+3)(s+1)/10}},$$

where we used the trace inequality in the first inequality and Lemma 3.2 in the second. Therefore, for every $\epsilon_2 \in (0,1]$, we obtain

$$\|\bar{w}|_{\Gamma_c}\|_{H^{s/2+1/4}H^{s/2+1/4}(\Gamma_c)} \lesssim \epsilon_1 \|v\|_{H^{(s+1)/2}L^2} + \epsilon_2 \|v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}} + C_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}\|v\|_{L^2L^2}, \tag{5.19}$$

since $(2s+3)(s+1)/10 < s+1$ by $s < 7/2$. For the second term in (5.18) we have, as in (5.17),

$$\|\bar{w}|_{\Gamma_c}\|_{L^2H^{s/2+1/4}(\Gamma_c)} \lesssim T\|v\|_{L^2H^{s/2+3/4}} \lesssim T\|v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}}. \tag{5.20}$$

Using (5.19) and (5.20) in (5.18) and then replacing the resulting bound in (5.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\bar{w}\|_{C([0,T],H^{s+1/2}(\Omega_e))} + \|\bar{w}_t\|_{C([0,T],H^{s-1/2}(\Omega_e))} + \left\|\frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial N}\right\|_{H^{s-1/2,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}} \\
&\quad \lesssim \|w_0\|_{H^{s+1/2}} + \|w_1\|_{H^{s-1/2}} + \epsilon_1\|v\|_{H^{(s+1)/2}L^2} + \epsilon_2\|v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}} + C_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}\|v\|_{L^2L^2} + T\|v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

For the fifth term on the right-hand side, we use

$$\|v\|_{L^2L^2} \lesssim T^{1/2}\|v\|_{L^\infty L^2} \lesssim T^{1/2}\|v\|_{H^{(s+1)/2}L^2}, \tag{5.22}$$

the last inequality holding since $s > 0$. Now, apply (5.22) in (5.21), and add it to (5.15) multiplied by $\epsilon_0 \in (0,1]$. If ϵ_0 is a sufficiently small constant, the term $\|\partial\bar{w}/\partial N\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}}$ inside the last term of (5.15) is absorbed and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\epsilon_0\|\bar{v}\|_{K^{s+1}} + \epsilon_0\|\bar{q}\|_{H^{s/2-1/2,s}} + \epsilon_0\|\nabla\bar{q}\|_{K^{s-1}} + \epsilon_0\|\bar{q}\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}} + \|\bar{w}\|_{CH^{s+1/2}} + \|\bar{w}_t\|_{CH^{s-1/2}} \\
&\quad \lesssim \|v_0\|_{H^s} + \|f\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|g\|_{K^s} + \|\tilde{g}\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|b\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|h\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}} \\
&\qquad + \epsilon_0\|w_0\|_{H^{s+1/2}} + \epsilon_0\|w_1\|_{H^{s-1/2}} + \epsilon_0\epsilon_1\|v\|_{H^{(s+1)/2}L^2} \\
&\qquad + \epsilon_0\epsilon_2\|v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}} + \epsilon_0 C_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}T^{1/2}\|v\|_{H^{(s+1)/2}L^2} + \epsilon_0 T\|v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

Let C_0 be the implicit constant in (5.23). Choose ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 so small that $C_0(\epsilon_1+\epsilon_2) \le 1/4$, and then choose $T > 0$ so small that $C_0(C_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2}T^{1/2} + T) \le 1/4$.

Then, multiply (5.23) with $1/\epsilon_0$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\bar{v}\|_{K^{s+1}} + \|\bar{q}\|_{H^{s/2-1/2,s}} + \|\nabla\bar{q}\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|\bar{q}\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}} \\
&\qquad + \|\bar{w}\|_{C([0,T],H^{s+1/2}(\Omega_e))} + \|\bar{w}_t\|_{C([0,T],H^{s-1/2}(\Omega_e))} \\
&\quad \le \frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{K^{s+1}} + C\big(\|v_0\|_{H^s} + \|w_0\|_{H^{s+1/2}} + \|w_1\|_{H^{s-1/2}} + \|f\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|g\|_{K^s} \\
&\qquad\qquad + \|\tilde{g}\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|b\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|h\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}}\big).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

Now, recalling that $(\bar{v},\bar{q},\bar{w}) = (v^{(n+1)},q^{(n+1)},w^{(n+1)})$ and $(v,q,w) = (v^{(n)},q^{(n)},w^{(n)})$, we obtain uniform boundedness of the sequence. Also, by using the same inequalities with $(\bar{v},\bar{q},\bar{w}) = (v^{(n+1)}-v^{(n)},q^{(n+1)}-q^{(n)},w^{(n+1)}-w^{(n)})$ and $(v,q,w) = (v^{(n)}-v^{(n-1)},q^{(n)}-q^{(n-1)},w^{(n)}-w^{(n-1)})$ we obtain that the sequence is contracting, and thus there exists a solution

$$(v,q,w,w_t) \in K^{s+1} \times H^{s/2-1/2,s} \times C([0,T_0],H^{s+1/2}(\Omega_e) \times H^{s-1/2}(\Omega_e)),$$

for $T_0 > 0$. The solution is unique using the contractivity property. Finally, since the problem is linear and the initial regularity of the data remains invariant (note that $v \in C([0,T_0],H^s(\Omega_f))$, the unique solution can then be extended to the interval $[0,T]$. □

6. An iterative procedure

We now set the iteration procedure for the system (2.1)–(2.10). Assume that $(v^{(n)}, q^{(n)}, w^{(n)}, w_t^{(n)}) \in K^{s+1} \times H^{s/2-1/2,s} \times CH^{s+1/2} \times CH^{s-1/2}$ has been defined, and then set $\eta^{(n)} = x + \int_0^t v^{(n)}$ and $a^{(n)} = \operatorname{cof}(\nabla \eta^{(n)})$. To obtain the next iterate, we solve the system

$$v_t^{(n+1)} - \Delta v^{(n+1)} + \nabla q^{(n+1)} = f \qquad \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega_{\mathrm{f}} \tag{6.1}$$

$$\operatorname{div} v^{(n+1)} = g \qquad \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega_{\mathrm{f}} \tag{6.2}$$

$$w_{tt}^{(n+1)} - \Delta w^{(n+1)} = 0 \qquad \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega_{\mathrm{e}}, \tag{6.3}$$

subject to the mixed boundary conditions

$$w^{(n+1)} = w_0 + \int_0^t v^{(n)}\,ds \qquad \text{on } (0,T)\times\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}} \tag{6.4}$$

$$\frac{\partial v^{(n+1)}}{\partial N} - q^{(n+1)}N = \frac{\partial w^{(n+1)}}{\partial N} + h \qquad \text{on } (0,T)\times\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}} \tag{6.5}$$

$$v^{(n+1)} = 0 \qquad \text{on } (0,T)\times\Gamma_{\mathrm{f}}, \tag{6.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_k &= \partial_j((a_{jl}^{(n)}a_{ml}^{(n)} - \delta_{jm})\partial_m v_k^{(n)}) - \partial_j((a_{jk}^{(n)} - \delta_{jk})q^{(n)}),\\ h_k &= -(a_{jl}^{(n)}a_{ml}^{(n)} - \delta_{jm})\partial_m v_k^{(n)}N^j + (a_{jk}^{(n)} - \delta_{jk})q^{(n)}N^j,\\ g &= \partial_j((a_{ji}^{(n)} - \delta_{ji})v_i^{(n)}), \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3$. Note that a fixed point of the system, if it exists, formally agrees with a solution to our original system (2.1)–(2.10) due to the Piola identity

$$\partial_i a_{ij} = 0, \qquad j = 1, 2, 3. \tag{6.8}$$

The initial condition reads

$$(v^{(n+1)}, w^{(n+1)}, w_t^{(n+1)})(0) = (v_0, w_0, w_1). \tag{6.9}$$

In order to solve the system, we first solve the wave equation (6.3)–(6.4) with $(w^{(n+1)}(0), w_t^{(n+1)}(0)) = (w_0, w_1) = (0, w_1)$, and then (6.1), (6.2), (6.5), and (6.6) for $(v^{(n+1)}, q^{(n+1)})$ with the initial data $v^{(n+1)}(0) = v_0$, using Theorem 4.1. For the initial iterate $(v^{(0)}, q^{(0)}, w^{(0)})$, we solve the wave equation with (6.4) replaced by $w^{(0)} = w_0$ on $(0,T)\times\Gamma_{\mathrm{c}}$ and then solve the analogs of (6.1), (6.2), (6.5) with (6.7) used with $(f, g, h) = (0, 0, 0)$. When $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we write, for simplicity of notation,

$$(\bar v, \bar q, \bar w, \bar\eta, \bar a) = (v^{(n+1)}, q^{(n+1)}, w^{(n+1)}, \eta^{(n+1)}, a^{(n+1)})$$

and

$$(v, q, w, \eta, a) = (v^{(n)}, q^{(n)}, w^{(n)}, \eta^{(n)}, a^{(n)}).$$

We need to prove that the iterates converge to a unique solution of the fluid-structure system.

6.1. The Lagrangian map and cofactor matrix bounds.

We start with the bounds on the Lagrangian map and its corresponding cofactor matrix.

LEMMA 6.1. *Let $(v, q) \in K^{s+1} \times H^{s/2-1/2,s}$, and let $\eta - x = \int_0^t v$ be the associated Lagrangian map. Also, denote by $a = \operatorname{cof}(\nabla\eta)$ the corresponding cofactor matrix, i.e.,*

$$a\nabla\eta = \nabla\eta a = \det(\nabla\eta).$$

Suppose that $\|v\|_{K^{s+1}} \le M$ *for some* $M > 0$. *For every* $\epsilon_0 \in (0,1]$, *there exists a sufficiently large constant* $C_1 = C_1(\epsilon_0) \ge 1$ *such that if*

$$T \le \frac{1}{C_1 M^2}, \tag{6.10}$$

then η *and* a *satisfy the bounds*

$$\|\nabla\eta - I\|_{L^\infty H^s} \lesssim \epsilon_0 \tag{6.11}$$

and

$$\|a - I\|_{L^\infty H^s} \lesssim \epsilon_0. \tag{6.12}$$

Also, there exists a sufficiently large constant $C_2 = C_2(\epsilon_0, \gamma) \ge 1$ *such that for any* $0 \le \gamma < 1$ *we have*

$$\|a - I\|_{H^{s/2+\gamma}L^2} + \|aa^T - I\|_{L^\infty H^s} + \|aa^T - I\|_{H^{s/2+\gamma}L^2} + \|a - I\|_{H^1 H^{5/2-s}} \lesssim \epsilon_0, \tag{6.13}$$

and

$$T^{(2s-3)/4}\|a_t\|_{L^2H^s} + \|a_t\|_{L^4H^{s-1}} + \|a_t\|_{H^{s/2-1/6}L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_0 \tag{6.14}$$

if

$$T \le \frac{1}{C_2 M^\theta}, \tag{6.15}$$

where $\theta > 0$ *is a certain exponent, depending on* γ.

PROOF OF LEMMA 6.1. Using the fundamental theorem of calculus and $\nabla\eta(0) = I$, we have

$$\|\nabla\eta - I\|_{L^\infty H^s} \lesssim \int_0^t \|\nabla v\|_{H^s} \lesssim \int_0^t \|v\|_{H^{s+1}} \lesssim T^{1/2}\|v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}} \lesssim T^{1/2}M,$$

and (6.11) follows from (6.10) by choosing C_1 sufficiently large. For the cofactor matrix $a = \operatorname{cof}\nabla\eta$, we have the formula

$$(\operatorname{cof}\nabla\eta)_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{imn}\epsilon_{jkl}\partial_m\eta_k\partial_n\eta_l, \tag{6.16}$$

where ϵ_{ijk} denotes the permutation (Levi-Civita) symbol. From (6.16), one may easily deduce

$$(\operatorname{cof}\nabla\eta)_{ij} - \delta_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{imn}\epsilon_{jkl}(\partial_m\eta_k - \delta_{mk})(\partial_n\eta_l - \delta_{nl}) + \epsilon_{ikn}\epsilon_{jkl}(\partial_n\eta_l - \delta_{nl}), \qquad i,j = 1,2,3, \tag{6.17}$$

by using the identities $\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{imn} = \delta_{jm}\delta_{kn} - \delta_{jn}\delta_{km}$ and $\epsilon_{imn}\epsilon_{jmn} = 2\delta_{ij}$. From (6.17) we obtain, with the help of the algebra property of H^s,

$$\|a - I\|_{L^\infty H^s} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta - I\|^2_{L^\infty H^s} + \|\nabla\eta - I\|_{L^\infty H^s} \lesssim \epsilon_0,$$

where we used (6.11) and $\epsilon_0 \in (0,1]$ in the last step.

In order to bound the first term in (6.13), we use the interpolation inequality, which gives

$$\begin{aligned}\|a - I\|_{H^{s/2+\gamma}L^2} &\lesssim \|a - I\|^\alpha_{H^{s/2+1}L^2}\|a - I\|^{1-\alpha}_{L^2L^2} \lesssim T^{(1-\alpha)/2}\|a - I\|^\alpha_{H^{s/2+1}L^2}\|a - I\|^{1-\alpha}_{L^\infty L^2} \\ &\lesssim T^{(1-\alpha)/2}\|a - I\|_{H^{s/2+1}L^2},\end{aligned} \tag{6.18}$$

where $\alpha = (s + 2\gamma)/(s+2) \in (0,1)$. In the first inequality, we used

$$\|u\|_{H^{\alpha\theta}L^2} \lesssim \|u\|^{1-\alpha}_{L^2L^2}\|u\|^\alpha_{H^\theta L^2}, \qquad \theta \ge 0, \qquad \alpha \in [0,1], \tag{6.19}$$

which is valid for all $u \in H^\theta L^2$; note that (6.19) is proven by reducing the problem to functions defined in $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^3$ and using Parseval's identity. To uniformly bound the norm on the far right side of (6.18), we write

$$\|a - I\|_{H^{s/2+1}L^2} \lesssim \|\partial_t(a - I)\|_{H^{s/2}L^2} + \|a - I\|_{L^2L^2} \lesssim \|\partial_t \operatorname{cof}(\nabla\eta)\|_{H^{s/2}L^2} + T^{1/2}\epsilon_0. \tag{6.20}$$

To bound the first term, we use the representation (6.16) and estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_t \operatorname{cof}(\nabla\eta)\|_{H^{s/2}L^2} &\lesssim \|\nabla v\|_{H^{s/2}L^2}\|\nabla\eta\|_{L^\infty L^\infty} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2L^\infty}\|\nabla\eta\|_{H^{s/2+1}L^2} \\ &\lesssim (\|v\|_{H^{(s+1)/2}L^2} + \|v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}})\|\nabla\eta\|_{L^\infty H^s} + \|v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}}(\|\nabla v\|_{H^{s/2}L^2} + \|\nabla\eta\|_{L^2L^2}) \\ &\lesssim M(1 + M + T^{1/2}),\end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

where in the second step we applied an interpolation inequality

$$\|v\|_{H^\alpha H^1} \lesssim \|v\|_{H^{\alpha+1/2}L^2} + \|v\|_{L^2H^{2\alpha+1}}, \tag{6.22}$$

which is valid for $\alpha \geq 0$ by Lemma 3.2. We also used $\|\nabla\eta\|_{L^2L^2} \lesssim T^{1/2}\|\eta\|_{L^\infty H^1} \lesssim T^{1/2}$. Together with (6.18) and (6.20), we get

$$\|a - I\|_{H^{s/2+\gamma}L^2} \lesssim T^{(1-\alpha)/2}(M + M^2 + T^{1/2}M + T^{1/2}\epsilon_0),$$

for $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ with α given above.

For the second term in (6.13), we use $aa^T - I = (a - I)(a^T - I) + (a - I) + (a^T - I)$ and estimate

$$\|aa^T - I\|_{L^\infty H^s} \leq \|a - I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|a - I\|_{L^\infty H^s} + \|a - I\|_{L^\infty H^s} \lesssim \epsilon_0,$$

by (6.12).

For the third term in (6.13), we use the same approach as for the second and write

$$\|aa^T - I\|_{H^{s/2+\gamma}L^2} \lesssim \|a - I\|_{H^{s/2+\gamma}L^2}\|a - I\|_{L^\infty L^\infty} + \|a - I\|_{H^{s/2+\gamma}L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_0.$$

Next, we treat the fourth term in (6.13), for which we have

$$\|a - I\|_{H^1H^{5/2-s}} \lesssim \|a_t\|_{L^2H^{5/2-s}} + \|a - I\|_{L^2H^{5/2-s}}. \tag{6.23}$$

For the first term in (6.23), we use (6.16) and estimate

$$\|a_t\|_{L^2H^{5/2-s}} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2H^{5/2-s}} \lesssim T^{1/6}\|\nabla\eta\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|v\|_{L^3H^{7/2-s}} \lesssim T^{1/6}M,$$

where in the last step we used (6.11) (whence $\|\nabla\eta\|_{L^\infty H^s} \lesssim 1$) and $\|v\|_{H^{1/6}H^{7/2-s}} \lesssim \|v\|_{K^{s+1}}$ by Lemma 3.2. For the second term in (6.23), we write

$$\|a - I\|_{L^2H^{5/2-s}} \lesssim \|a - I\|_{L^2H^s} \lesssim T^{1/2}\|a - I\|_{L^\infty H^s} \lesssim T^{1/2}\epsilon_0.$$

This concludes the proof of (6.13) under a condition (6.15).

For the first term in (6.14), we use (6.16) to write

$$T^{(2s-3)/4}\|a_t\|_{L^2H^s} \lesssim T^{(2s-3)/4}\|\nabla\eta\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2H^s} \lesssim T^{(2s-3)/4}M,$$

while for the second term in (6.14),

$$\|a_t\|_{L^4H^{s-1}} \lesssim \|\nabla\eta\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|\nabla v\|_{L^4H^{s-1}} \lesssim T^{1/8}\|\nabla\eta\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|v\|_{L^8H^s} \lesssim T^{1/8}M.$$

Finally, for the third term in (6.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|a_t\|_{H^{s/2-1/6}L^2} &\lesssim \|\nabla v\|_{H^{s/2-1/12}L^2}\|\nabla\eta\|_{L^{12}L^\infty} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2H^{5/4}}\|\nabla\eta\|_{H^{s/2-1/6+1/2+\epsilon}H^{1/4}}\\ &\lesssim T^{1/12}\|\nabla v\|_{H^{s/2-1/12}L^2}\|\nabla\eta\|_{L^\infty L^\infty} + T^{1/8}\|\nabla v\|_{L^{8/3}H^{5/4}}(\|\nabla v\|_{H^{1/3}H^{1/4}} + \|\nabla\eta\|_{L^2H^{1/4}})\\ &\lesssim T^{1/12}M + T^{1/8}M(M + 1).\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small so that $s/2 - 1/6 + 1/2 + \epsilon \leq 4/3$, and (6.14) is established with a choice of T as in (6.15). □

6.2. Uniform boundedness of the sequence. Now, we are ready to show that the sequence of iterates is bounded. Note that we have the inequality (5.24) with f, g, h as in (6.7), $\tilde{g} = 0$, and

$$b = \partial_t a_{ji}v_i + (a_{ji} - \delta_{ji})\partial_t v_i = b_1 + b_2. \tag{6.24}$$

We first bound $\|f\|_{K^{s-1}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2H^{s-1}} + \|f\|_{H^{(s-1)/2}L^2}$. For the space component of the norm, $\|f\|_{L^2H^{s-1}}$, we use the algebra property of H^s to write

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\partial_j\big((a_{jl}a_{ml} - \delta_{jm})\partial_m v_k\big)\|_{L^2H^{s-1}} + \|\partial_j((a_{jk} - \delta_{jk})q)\|_{L^2H^{s-1}}\\ &\quad= \|\partial_j\big((a_{jl}a_{ml} - \delta_{jm})\partial_m v_k\big)\|_{L^2H^{s-1}} + \|(a_{jk} - \delta_{jk})\partial_j q)\|_{L^2H^{s-1}}\\ &\quad\lesssim \|aa^T - I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}} + \|a - I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|\nabla q\|_{L^2H^{s-1}},\end{aligned}$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3$, where we used the Piola identity (6.8) in the first step. For the time component of the norm, $\|f\|_{H^{(s-1)/2}L^2}$, we separately estimate the velocity and the pressure parts. The velocity part is estimated using (6.22) as

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_j((a_{jl}a_{ml}-\delta_{jm})\partial_m v_k)\|_{H^{(s-1)/2}L^2} &\lesssim \|(a_{jl}a_{ml}-\delta_{jm})\partial_m v_k\|_{H^{(s-1)/2}H^1}\\ &\lesssim \|(a_{jl}a_{ml}-\delta_{jm})\partial_m v_k\|_{L^2H^s} + \|(a_{jl}a_{ml}-\delta_{jm})\partial_m v_k\|_{H^{s/2}L^2}\\ &\lesssim \|aa^T-I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}} + \|aa^T-I\|_{W^{s/2,\infty}L^2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2L^\infty}\\ &\quad + \|aa^T-I\|_{L^\infty L^\infty}\|v\|_{H^{s/2}H^1}\\ &\lesssim \|aa^T-I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|v\|_{K^{s+1}} + \|aa^T-I\|_{H^{(s+1)/2+\epsilon}L^2}\|v\|_{K^{s+1}},\end{aligned} \tag{6.25}$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrarily small. For the pressure part, we bound

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_j((a_{jk}-\delta_{jk})q)\|_{H^{(s-1)/2}L^2} &= \|(a_{jk}-\delta_{jk})\partial_j q\|_{H^{(s-1)/2}L^2}\\ &\lesssim \|a-I\|_{W^{(s-1)/2,4}H^s}\|\nabla q\|_{L^4L^2} + \|a-I\|_{L^\infty L^\infty}\|\nabla q\|_{H^{(s-1)/2}L^2}\\ &\lesssim T^{(2s-3)/4}\|a-I\|_{H^{s/2-1/4}H^s}\|\nabla q\|_{L^{2/(2-s)}L^2} + \|a-I\|_{L^\infty L^\infty}\|\nabla q\|_{K^{s-1}}\\ &\lesssim T^{(2s-3)/4}(\|a_t\|_{L^2H^s} + \|a\|_{L^2H^s} + \|a-I\|_{L^\infty L^\infty})\|\nabla q\|_{K^{s-1}},\end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

where in the last step we used $\|a-I\|_{H^{s/2-1/4}H^s} \lesssim \|a-I\|_{H^1H^s} \lesssim \|a_t\|_{L^2H^s}+\|a\|_{L^2H^s}$ and $\|\nabla q\|_{L^{2/(2-s)}L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla q\|_{H^{(s-1)/2}L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla q\|_{K^{s-1}}$. Next, we estimate the divergence term $g = \partial_j((a_{ji}-\delta_{ji})v_i)$, for which we have

$$\|\partial_j((a_{ji}-\delta_{ji})v_i)\|_{L^2H^s} = \|(a_{ji}-\delta_{ji})\partial_j v_i\|_{L^2H^s} \lesssim \|a-I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_j((a_{ji}-\delta_{ji})v_i)\|_{H^{s/2}L^2} &= \|(a_{ji}-\delta_{ji})\partial_j v_i\|_{H^{s/2}L^2}\\ &\lesssim \|a-I\|_{H^{(s+1)/2+\epsilon}L^2}\|v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}} + \|a-I\|_{L^\infty L^\infty}\|\nabla v\|_{H^{s/2}L^2}\\ &\lesssim (\|a-I\|_{H^{(s+1)/2+\epsilon}L^2} + \|a-I\|_{L^\infty H^s})\|v\|_{K^{s+1}},\end{aligned}$$

where we used (6.22) in the third step.

Now, we turn to $b = b_1 + b_2$ given in (6.24). To estimate b_1, we differentiate (6.16) in time. For the space part of the norm of b_1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|b_1\|_{L^2H^{s-1}} &\lesssim \|a_t\|_{L^4H^{s-1}}\|v\|_{L^4H^s} \lesssim T^{1/8}\|a_t\|_{L^4H^{s-1}}\|v\|_{L^8H^s}\\ &\lesssim T^{1/8}\|a_t\|_{L^4H^{s-1}}\|v\|_{K^{s+1}},\end{aligned} \tag{6.27}$$

where we used $\|v\|_{L^8H^s} \lesssim \|v\|_{H^{3/8}H^s} \lesssim \|v\|_{H^{3/8}H^{s+1/4}} \lesssim \|v\|_{K^{s+1}}$ in the last step. For b_2, we write

$$\|b_2\|_{L^2H^{s-1}} \lesssim \|a-I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|v_t\|_{L^2H^{s-1}} \lesssim \|a-I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|v\|_{K^{s+1}}, \tag{6.28}$$

using Lemma 3.2 in the last step. For the time component of the norm of b_1, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\|b_1\|_{H^{(s-1)/2}L^2} &\lesssim \|a_t\|_{W^{(s-1)/2,6}L^2}\|v\|_{L^3H^s} + \|a_t\|_{L^{8/3}H^{1/4}}\|v\|_{W^{(s-1)/2,8}H^{5/4}}\\ &\lesssim T^{1/12}\|a_t\|_{H^{s/2-1/6}L^2}\|v\|_{L^4H^s} + T^{1/8}\|a_t\|_{L^4H^{1/4}}\|v\|_{H^{s/2-1/8}H^{5/4}}\\ &\lesssim (T^{1/12}\|a_t\|_{H^{s/2-1/6}L^2} + T^{1/8}\|a_t\|_{L^4H^{s-1}})\|v\|_{K^{s+1}}\end{aligned} \tag{6.29}$$

where we used Lemma 3.2 in the last step. For b_2, we have, since $s < 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|b_2\|_{H^{(s-1)/2}L^2}\\ &\lesssim \|a-I\|_{H^1L^\infty}\|v_t\|_{L^2L^2} + \|a-I\|_{L^\infty L^\infty}\|v_t\|_{H^{(s-1)/2}L^2}\\ &\lesssim \|a-I\|_{H^1L^\infty}T^{1/4}\|v_t\|_{L^4L^2} + \|a-I\|_{L^\infty H^s}(\|v\|_{H^{(s+1)/2}L^2} + \|v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}})\\ &\lesssim (T^{1/4}\|a-I\|_{H^1L^\infty} + \|a-I\|_{L^\infty H^s})\|v\|_{K^{s+1}}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.30}$$

The space part of the norm of h in (6.7) is estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}\|h\|_{L^2H^{s-1/2}(\Gamma_c)} &\lesssim \sum_j \|(a_{jl}a_{ml}-\delta_{jm})\partial_m v_k\|_{L^2H^s} + \sum_j \|(a_{jk}-\delta_{jk})q\|_{L^2H^s}\\ &\lesssim \|aa^T-I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}} + \|a-I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|q\|_{L^2H^s}\\ &\lesssim \|aa^T-I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|v\|_{K^{s+1}} + \|a-I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|q\|_{H^{s/2-1/2,s}},\end{aligned} \tag{6.31}$$

where we used the Sobolev trace inequality in the first step. Now, we estimate the time component of the norm of h, i.e., the quantity $\|h\|_{H^{s/2-1/4}L^2(\Gamma_c)}$. For the velocity part, we have, by Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(a_{jl}a_{ml}-\delta_{jm})\partial_m v_k\|_{H^{s/2-1/4}L^2(\Gamma_c)}\\ &\quad\lesssim \|(a_{jl}a_{ml}-\delta_{jm})\partial_m v_k\|_{H^{s/2}L^2} + \|(a_{jl}a_{ml}-\delta_{jm})\partial_m v_k\|_{L^2H^s}\\ &\quad\lesssim \|aa^T-I\|_{H^{(s+1)/2+\epsilon}L^2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2L^\infty} + \|aa^T-I\|_{L^\infty L^\infty}\|\nabla v\|_{H^{s/2}L^2}\\ &\qquad + \|aa^T-I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}}\\ &\quad\lesssim (\|aa^T-I\|_{H^{(s+1)/2+\epsilon}L^2} + \|aa^T-I\|_{L^\infty H^s})\|v\|_{K^{s+1}},\end{aligned} \tag{6.32}$$

while for the pressure part, we write

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(a-I)q\|_{H^{s/2-1/4}L^2(\Gamma_c)}\\ &\quad\lesssim \|a-I\|_{L^\infty L^\infty}\|q\|_{H^{s/2-1/4}L^2(\Gamma_c)} + \|a-I\|_{H^{s/2+1/4+\epsilon}L^2(\Gamma_c)}\|q\|_{L^2L^\infty}\\ &\quad\lesssim \|a-I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|q\|_{H^{s/2-1/4}L^2(\Gamma_c)} + \|a-I\|_{H^{s/2+1/4+\epsilon}H^{1/2+\epsilon}}\|q\|_{L^2L^\infty},\end{aligned} \tag{6.33}$$

where $\epsilon>0$ is an arbitrarily small parameter. Using Lemma 3.2, it is easy to check that

$$\|a-I\|_{H^{s/2+1/4+\epsilon}H^{1/2+\epsilon}} \lesssim \|a-I\|_{H^{s/2+7/8}L^2} + \|a-I\|_{L^2H^s}$$

if $\epsilon>0$ is sufficiently small. (This follows from $(s/2+1/4)/(s/2+7/8)+1/2s<1$.) Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\|(a-I)q\|_{H^{s/2-1/4}L^2(\Gamma_c)} &\lesssim \|a-I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|q\|_{H^{s/2-1/4}L^2(\Gamma_c)}\\ &\quad + (\|a-I\|_{H^{s/2+7/8}L^2} + T^{1/2}\|a-I\|_{L^\infty H^s})\|q\|_{H^{s/2-1/2,s}}.\end{aligned}$$

Next, we would like to use the above estimates in the inequality (5.24), but we first need to employ Lemma 6.1 to bound the factors involving $a-I$ and aa^T-I. We claim that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|v^{(n)}\|_{K^{s+1}} + \|q^{(n)}\|_{H^{s/2-1/2,s}} + \|\nabla q^{(n)}\|_{K^{s-1}}\\ &\quad + \|q^{(n)}\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}} + \|w^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty H^{s+1/2}} + \|w_t^{(n)}\|_{L^\infty H^{s-1/2}} \le C_0 M_0,\end{aligned} \tag{6.34}$$

for $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$, where $C_0\ge 1$ is a fixed constant to be determined and

$$M_0 = \|v_0\|_{H^s} + \|w_1\|_{H^{s-1/2}}. \tag{6.35}$$

Clearly, the inequality (6.34) holds with $n=0$ for a sufficiently large C_0. Now, assume that it holds for $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$. With $\epsilon_0\in(0,1]$ a small parameter, to be determined, choose and fix $T>0$ such that Lemma 6.1 holds. Invoking the inequality (5.24) and using Lemma 6.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|v^{(n+1)}\|_{K^{s+1}} + \|q^{(n+1)}\|_{H^{s/2-1/2,s}} + \|\nabla q^{(n+1)}\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|q^{(n+1)}\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}}\\ &\quad + \|w^{(n+1)}\|_{C([0,T],H^{s+1/2}(\Omega_e))} + \|w_t^{(n+1)}\|_{C([0,T],H^{s-1/2}(\Omega_e))}\\ &\lesssim M_0 + \epsilon_0 M_0 + (T^{1/2}+T^{1/12})(M_0^2+M_0^3).\end{aligned}$$

We choose ϵ_0 sufficiently small and then $T>0$ sufficiently small, depending explicitly on M_0 and ϵ_0, to obtain that (6.34) holds with $(v^{(n)}, q^{(n)}, w^{(n)}, w_t^{(n)})$ replaced by $(v^{(n+1)}, q^{(n+1)}, w^{(n+1)}, w_t^{(n+1)})$. By induction, we get that (6.34) holds for all $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$.

6.3. Contracting property. Now, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider three successive iterates

$$(\bar v, \bar q, \bar w, \bar\eta, \bar a) = (v^{(n+1)}, q^{(n+1)}, w^{(n+1)}, \eta^{(n+1)}, a^{(n+1)}),$$
$$(v, q, w, \eta, a) = (v^{(n)}, q^{(n)}, w^{(n)}, \eta^{(n)}, a^{(n)}),$$
$$(\tilde v, \tilde q, \tilde w, \tilde\eta, \tilde a) = (v^{(n-1)}, q^{(n-1)}, w^{(n-1)}, \eta^{(n-1)}, a^{(n-1)}).$$

The equation for the difference $(V, Q, W, E, A) = (\bar v - v, \bar q - q, \bar w - w, \bar\eta - \eta, \bar a - a)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &V_t - \Delta V + \nabla Q = F \qquad \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega_{\mathrm f}\\ &\operatorname{div} V = G \qquad \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega_{\mathrm f}\\ &W_{tt} - \Delta W = 0 \qquad \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega_{\mathrm e}\end{aligned}$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} &V = W_t \qquad \text{on } (0,T)\times\Gamma_{\mathrm c}\\ &\frac{\partial V}{\partial N} - QN = \frac{\partial W}{\partial N} + H \qquad \text{on } (0,T)\times\Gamma_{\mathrm c}\\ &V = 0 \qquad \text{on } (0,T)\times\Gamma_{\mathrm f},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(F, G, H) = (\bar f - f, \bar g - g, \bar h - h); \tag{6.36}$$

here $(\bar f, \bar g, \bar h)$ and (f, g, h) are the forcing terms corresponding to the iterates $n+1$ and n respectively.

We first derive estimates on the difference of cofactor matrices a and $\tilde a$. Denote

$$M = C_0 M_0,$$

where M_0 as in (6.35) and C_0 is as in (6.34).

LEMMA 6.2. *For every $\epsilon_0 \in (0,1]$, there exists a sufficiently large constant $C_1 = C_1(\epsilon)$ such that if (6.15) holds, where θ is a certain exponent, then the coefficients $\bar a$ and a satisfy the following inequalities:*
(i) $\|a - \tilde a\|_{L^\infty H^s} \le \epsilon_0 \|v - \tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}}$.
(ii) $\|a - \tilde a\|_{H^{s/2+\gamma}L^2} \le \epsilon_0 \|v - \tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}}$ *for* $\gamma \in [0,1)$.
(iii) $\|aa^T - \tilde a\tilde a^T\|_{L^\infty H^s} \le \epsilon_0 \|v - \tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}}$.
(iv) $\|aa^T - \tilde a\tilde a^T\|_{H^{s/2+\gamma}L^2} \le \epsilon_0 \|v - \tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}}$ *for* $\gamma \in [0,1)$.
(v) $\|a_t - \tilde a_t\|_{L^2 H^s} \lesssim \|\nabla v - \nabla \tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}}$.
(vi) $\|a_t - \tilde a_t\|_{L^4 H^{s-1}} \lesssim \|\nabla v - \nabla \tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}}$.
The exponent θ for (ii) and (iv) depends on $\gamma \in [0,1)$.

PROOF OF LEMMA 6.2. (i) Using (6.16) on a and $\tilde a$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|a - \tilde a\|_{L^\infty H^s} &\lesssim \|\nabla\eta - \nabla\tilde\eta\|_{L^\infty H^s}(\|\nabla\eta\|_{L^\infty H^s} + \|\nabla\tilde\eta\|_{L^\infty H^s})\\ &\lesssim T^{1/2}\|\nabla v - \nabla\tilde v\|_{L^2 H^s} \lesssim \epsilon_0 \|\nabla v - \nabla\tilde v\|_{L^2 H^s},\end{aligned} \tag{6.37}$$

where we also used (6.11) in the second inequality. The last step in (6.37) holds if T is chosen sufficiently small compared to ϵ_0.

(ii) Similarly to (6.18) we have, by (6.19), with $\alpha = (s+2\gamma)/(s+2) \in (0,1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a - \tilde a\|_{H^{s/2+\gamma}L^2} &\lesssim \|a - \tilde a\|^{\alpha}_{H^{s/2+1}L^2}\|a - \tilde a\|^{1-\alpha}_{L^2L^2}\\ &\lesssim \|a_t - \tilde a_t\|^{\alpha}_{H^{s/2}L^2}\|a - \tilde a\|^{1-\alpha}_{L^2L^2} + \|a - \tilde a\|_{L^2L^2}\\ &\lesssim (\|\nabla v - \nabla\tilde v\|^{\alpha}_{H^{s/2}L^2}\|\nabla\eta\|^{\alpha}_{H^1L^\infty} + \|\nabla\tilde v\|^{\alpha}_{H^{s/2}L^2}\|\nabla\eta - \nabla\tilde\eta\|^{\alpha}_{H^1L^\infty})T^{1/2-\alpha/2}\|a - \tilde a\|^{1-\alpha}_{L^\infty L^2}\\ &\quad + T^{1/2}\|a - \tilde a\|_{L^\infty L^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.38}$$

By (6.22), we have

$$\|\nabla v - \nabla\tilde v\|_{H^{s/2}L^2} \lesssim \|v - \tilde v\|_{H^{(s+1)/2}L^2} + \|v - \tilde v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}} \lesssim \|v - \tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}}, \tag{6.39}$$

while

$$\|\nabla\eta\|_{H^1L^\infty} \lesssim \|\nabla v\|_{L^2L^\infty} + \|\nabla\eta\|_{L^2L^\infty} \lesssim \|\nabla v\|_{L^2H^s} + \|\nabla\eta\|_{L^2H^s} \lesssim \|\nabla v\|_{L^2H^s} + T^{1/2} \lesssim M + T^{1/2}.$$

Also, by (6.22), $\|\nabla\tilde{v}\|_{H^{s/2}L^2} \lesssim \|v\|_{K^{s+1}} \lesssim M$. Since $\eta - \tilde{\eta}$ vanishes at $t = 0$,

$$\|\nabla\eta - \nabla\tilde{\eta}\|_{H^1L^\infty} \lesssim \|\nabla v - \nabla\tilde{v}\|_{L^2L^\infty} \lesssim \|v - \tilde{v}\|_{L^2H^{s+1}} \lesssim \|v - \tilde{v}\|_{K^{s+1}}.$$

Using (6.16),

$$\begin{aligned}\|a - \tilde{a}\|_{L^\infty L^2} &\lesssim (\|\nabla\eta\|_{L^\infty L^\infty} + \|\nabla\tilde{\eta}\|_{L^\infty L^\infty})\|\nabla\eta - \nabla\tilde{\eta}\|_{L^\infty L^2}\\ &\lesssim (\|\nabla\eta\|_{L^\infty H^s} + \|\nabla\tilde{\eta}\|_{L^\infty H^s})\|\nabla\eta - \nabla\tilde{\eta}\|_{L^\infty L^2}\\ &\lesssim T^{1/2}\|\nabla v - \nabla\tilde{v}\|_{L^\infty L^2} \lesssim T^{1/2}\|\nabla v - \nabla\tilde{v}\|_{K^{s+1}}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.40}$$

Note that (6.40) also bounds the last term in (6.38). Replacing (6.39)–(6.40) in (6.38) and choosing T as in (6.15), we obtain (ii).

(iii) Using a triangle inequality, we have

$$\|aa^T - \tilde{a}\tilde{a}^T\|_{L^\infty H^s} \lesssim \|a - \tilde{a}\|_{L^\infty H^s}(\|a\|_{L^\infty H^s} + \|\tilde{a}\|_{L^\infty H^s}) \lesssim \epsilon_0\|v - \tilde{v}\|_{L^2H^{s+1}},$$

where we applied (i) and used the uniform bounds $\|a\|_{L^\infty H^s}, \|\tilde{a}\|_{L^\infty H^s} \lesssim 1$, which follow from (6.12).

(iv) Here we proceed similarly to (iii) by writing

$$\begin{aligned}\|aa^T - \tilde{a}\tilde{a}^T\|_{H^{(s+1)/2+\epsilon}L^2} \lesssim{}& \|a - \tilde{a}\|_{H^{(s+1)/2+\epsilon}L^2}(\|a\|_{L^\infty H^s} + \|\tilde{a}\|_{L^\infty H^s})\\ &+ \|a - \tilde{a}\|_{L^\infty L^\infty}(\|a\|_{H^{(s+1)/2+\epsilon}L^2} + \|\tilde{a}\|_{H^{(s+1)/2+\epsilon}L^2}),\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is concluded as in (iii).

(v) Using (6.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|a_t - \tilde{a}_t\|_{L^2H^s} &\lesssim \|\nabla\eta - \nabla\tilde{\eta}\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2H^s} + \|\nabla\tilde{\eta}\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|\nabla v - \nabla\tilde{v}\|_{L^2H^s}\\ &\lesssim M\|\nabla\eta - \nabla\tilde{\eta}\|_{L^\infty H^s} + \|\nabla v - \nabla\tilde{v}\|_{L^2H^s},\\ &\lesssim (MT^{1/2} + 1)\|\nabla v - \nabla\tilde{v}\|_{L^2H^s},\end{aligned}$$

where we used (6.11) for $\tilde{\eta}$ in the second step.

(vi) Here we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|a_t - \tilde{a}_t\|_{L^4H^{s-1}} &\lesssim \|\nabla\eta - \nabla\tilde{\eta}\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|\nabla v\|_{L^4H^{s-1}} + \|\nabla\tilde{\eta}\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|\nabla v - \nabla\tilde{v}\|_{L^4H^{s-1}}\\ &\lesssim MT^{1/2}\|\nabla v - \nabla\tilde{v}\|_{L^2H^s} + \|v - \tilde{v}\|_{L^4H^s}, \lesssim (MT^{1/2} + 1)\|v - \tilde{v}\|_{K^{s+1}},\end{aligned}$$

and (vi) is established. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. First, by Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|V\|_{K^{s+1}} + \|Q\|_{H^{s/2-1/2,s}} + \|\nabla Q\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|Q\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}}\\ &\quad + \|W\|_{C([0,T],H^{s+1/2}(\Omega_e))} + \|W_t\|_{C([0,T],H^{s-1/2}(\Omega_e))}\\ &\lesssim \|F\|_{K^{s-1}} + \|G\|_{K^s} + \|H\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}} + \|B\|_{K^{s-1}},\end{aligned} \tag{6.41}$$

where $B = b - \tilde{b}$; here, b is defined in (6.24), while $\tilde{b}$ is the analogous term involving $\tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{v}$. With the notation before the statement of Lemma 6.2, we now proceed to estimating F, G, and H, defined in (6.36) with (6.7). For the space component of the norm of

$$\begin{aligned}F_k = {}&(a_{jl}a_{ml} - \delta_{jm})\partial_{jm}v - (\tilde{a}_{jl}\tilde{a}_{ml} - \delta_{jm})\partial_{jm}\tilde{v}_k\\ &- (a_{jk} - \delta_{jk})\partial_j q + (\tilde{a}_{jk} - \delta_{jk})\partial_j\tilde{q}, \qquad k = 1, 2, 3,\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|F\|_{L^2H^{s-1}} &\lesssim \|aa^T-\tilde a\tilde a^T\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}}+\|\tilde a\tilde a^T-I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|v-\tilde v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}}\\ &\quad+\|a-\tilde a\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|q\|_{L^2H^s}+\|\tilde a-I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|q-\tilde q\|_{L^2H^s}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_0(\|v-\tilde v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}}+\|q-\tilde q\|_{L^2H^s}).\end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we used Lemma 6.2 and the uniform bound (6.34) for (v,q,w) and $(\tilde v,\tilde q,\tilde w)$. Next, we bound the time component of the norm for F similarly to (6.25) and (6.26) as

$$\begin{aligned}&\|F\|_{H^{(s-1)/2}L^2}\\ &\lesssim \|aa^T-\tilde a\tilde a^T\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|v\|_{K^{s+1}}+\|\tilde a\tilde a^T-I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|v-\tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}}\\ &\quad+\|aa^T-\tilde a\tilde a^T\|_{H^{(s+1)/2+\epsilon}L^2}\|v\|_{K^{s+1}}+\|\tilde a\tilde a^T-I\|_{H^{(s+1)/2+\epsilon}L^2}\|v-\tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}}\\ &\quad+T^{(2s-3)/4}(\|a_t-\tilde a_t\|_{L^2H^s}+\|a-\tilde a\|_{L^2H^s}+\|a-\tilde a\|_{L^\infty L^\infty})\|\nabla q\|_{K^{s-1}}\\ &\quad+T^{(2s-3)/4}(\|\tilde a_t\|_{L^2H^s}+\|\tilde a\|_{L^2H^s}+\|\tilde a-I\|_{L^\infty L^\infty})\|\nabla q-\tilde q\|_{K^{s-1}}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_0(\|v-\tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}}+\|\nabla q-\nabla\tilde q\|_{K^{s-1}}),\end{aligned}$$

again by using Lemma 6.2 and the uniform bound (6.34) with T chosen sufficiently small compared to M, as in (6.15). The space component of $G=\bar g-g$ is bounded simply as

$$\|G\|_{L^2H^s}\lesssim\|a-\tilde a\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}}+\|\tilde a-I\|_{L^\infty H^{s+1}}\|v-\tilde v\|_{L^2H^{s+1}}\lesssim\epsilon_0\|v-\tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}},$$

while for the time component we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|G\|_{H^{s/2}L^2}&\lesssim(\|a-\tilde a\|_{H^{(s+1)/2+\epsilon}L^2}+\|a-\tilde a\|_{L^\infty H^s})\|v\|_{K^{s+1}}\\ &\quad+(\|\tilde a\|_{H^{(s+1)/2+\epsilon}L^2}+\|\tilde a\|_{L^\infty H^s})\|v-\tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}}\\ &\lesssim\epsilon_0\|v-\tilde v\|_{K^{(s+1)}},\end{aligned}$$

with T chosen as in (6.15). Next, we estimate the difference $H=\bar h-h$. For the space component of the norm, we use, in analogy with (6.31),

$$\begin{aligned}&\|H\|_{L^2H^{s-1/2}(\Gamma_c)}\\ &\lesssim\|aa^T-\tilde a\tilde a^T\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|v\|_{K^{s+1}}+\|a-\tilde a\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|q\|_{H^{s/2-1/2,s}}\\ &\quad+\|\tilde a\tilde a^T-I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|v-\tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}}+\|\tilde a-I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|q-\tilde q\|_{H^{s/2-1/2,s}}\\ &\lesssim\epsilon_0(\|v-\tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}}+\|q-\tilde q\|_{H^{s/2-1/2,s}}),\end{aligned}$$

while for the time component we have, similarly to (6.32) and (6.33),

$$\begin{aligned}&\|H\|_{H^{s/2-1/4}L^2(\Gamma_c)}\\ &\lesssim(\|aa^T-\tilde a\tilde a^T\|_{H^{(s+1)/2+\epsilon}L^2}+\|aa^T-\tilde a\tilde a^T\|_{L^\infty H^s})\|v\|_{K^{s+1}}\\ &\quad+(\|\tilde a\tilde a^T-I\|_{H^{(s+1)/2+\epsilon}L^2}+\|\tilde a\tilde a^T-I\|_{L^\infty H^s})\|v-\tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}}\\ &\quad+\|a-\tilde a\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|q\|_{H^{s/2-1/4}L^2(\Gamma_c)}+\|a-\tilde a\|_{H^{s/2+1/4+\epsilon}H^{1/2+\epsilon}}\|q\|_{L^2L^\infty}\\ &\quad+\|\tilde a-I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|q-\tilde q\|_{H^{s/2-1/4}L^2(\Gamma_c)}+\|\tilde a-I\|_{H^{s/2+1/4+\epsilon}H^{1/2+\epsilon}}\|q-\tilde q\|_{L^2L^\infty}\\ &\lesssim\epsilon_0(\|v-\tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}}+\|q-\tilde q\|_{H^{s/2-1/4}L^2(\Gamma_c)}+\|q-\tilde q\|_{H^{s/2-1/2,s}}).\end{aligned}$$

Now, we estimate the last term in (6.41). The space component of the norm is estimated, in analogy with (6.27) and (6.28), as

$$\begin{aligned}\|B\|_{L^2H^{s-1}}&\lesssim T^{1/8}\|a_t-\tilde a_t\|_{L^4H^{s-1}}\|v\|_{K^{s+1}}+T^{1/8}\|\tilde a_t\|_{L^4H^{s-1}}\|v-\tilde v\|_{L^2H^s}\\ &\quad+\|a-\tilde a\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|v\|_{K^{s+1}}+\|\tilde a-I\|_{L^\infty H^s}\|v-\tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}}\\ &\lesssim\epsilon_0\|v-\tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}},\end{aligned}$$

while for the time component, analogously to (6.29) and (6.30),

$$\begin{aligned}\|B\|_{H^{(s-1)/2}L^2} &\lesssim (T^{1/12}\|a_t-\tilde a_t\|_{H^{s/2-1/6}L^2}+T^{1/8}\|a_t-\tilde a_t\|_{L^4H^{s-1}})\|v\|_{K^{s+1}}\\ &\quad+(T^{1/12}\|\tilde a_t\|_{H^{s/2-1/6}L^2}+T^{1/8}\|\tilde a_t\|_{L^4H^{s-1}})\|v-\tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}}\\ &\quad+(T^{1/4}\|a-\tilde a\|_{H^1L^\infty}+\|a-\tilde a\|_{L^\infty H^s})\|v\|_{K^{s+1}}\\ &\quad+(T^{1/4}\|\tilde a-I\|_{H^1L^\infty}+\|\tilde a-I\|_{L^\infty H^s})\|v-\tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_0\|v-\tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Summarizing all the above estimates, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\bar v-v\|_{K^{s+1}}+\|\bar q-q\|_{H^{s/2-1/2,s}}+\|\nabla(\bar q-q)\|_{K^{s-1}}+\|\bar q-q\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}}\\ &\quad+\|\bar w-w\|_{CH^{s+1/2}}+\|\bar w_t-w_t\|_{CH^{s-1/2}}\\ &\lesssim \epsilon_0(\|v-\tilde v\|_{K^{s+1}}+\|q-\tilde q\|_{H^{s/2-1/2,s}}+\|\nabla(q-\tilde q)\|_{K^{s-1}}+\|q-\tilde q\|_{H^{s/2-1/4,s-1/2}_{\Gamma_c}}),\end{aligned}$$

provided T is chosen as in (6.15). Thus we have established that the sequence is contracting and converges to a fixed point

$$(v,q,w)\in K^{s+1}\times H^{s/2-1/2,s}\times C([0,T],H^{s+1/2}(\Omega_e)).$$

It is easy to check that the limit is indeed a solution of the system and that it is unique. Also, one may check that the limiting a is the inverse of $\nabla\eta$, where η is the limiting Lagrangian map. □

Acknowledgments

IK was supported in part by the NSF grant DMS-1907992. The work was completed while the authors were members of the MSRI program "Mathematical problems in fluid dynamics" during the Spring 2021 semester (NSF DMS-1928930).

References

[ALT] G. Avalos, I. Lasiecka, and R. Triggiani, *Higher regularity of a coupled parabolic-hyperbolic fluid-structure interactive system*, Georgian Math. J. **15** (2008), no. 3, 403–437.

[AT1] G. Avalos and R. Triggiani, *The coupled PDE system arising in fluid/structure interaction. I. Explicit semigroup generator and its spectral properties*, Fluids and waves, Contemp. Math., vol. 440, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 15–54.

[AT2] G. Avalos and R. Triggiani, *Fluid-structure interaction with and without internal dissipation of the structure: a contrast study in stability*, Evol. Equ. Control Theory **2** (2013), no. 4, 563–598.

[B] M. Boulakia, *Existence of weak solutions for the three-dimensional motion of an elastic structure in an incompressible fluid*, J. Math. Fluid Mech. **9** (2007), no. 2, 262–294.

[BG1] M. Boulakia and S. Guerrero, *Regular solutions of a problem coupling a compressible fluid and an elastic structure*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **94** (2010), no. 4, 341–365.

[BG2] M. Boulakia and S. Guerrero, *A regularity result for a solid-fluid system associated to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **26** (2009), no. 3, 777–813.

[BGLT1] V. Barbu, Z. Grujić, I. Lasiecka, and A. Tuffaha, *Existence of the energy-level weak solutions for a nonlinear fluid-structure interaction model*, Fluids and waves, Contemp. Math., vol. 440, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (2007), 55–82.

[BGLT2] V. Barbu, Z. Grujić, I. Lasiecka, and A. Tuffaha, *Smoothness of weak solutions to a nonlinear fluid-structure interaction model*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **57** (2008), no. 3, 1173–1207.

[BGT] M. Boulakia, S. Guerrero, and T. Takahashi, *Well-posedness for the coupling between a viscous incompressible fluid and an elastic structure*, Nonlinearity **32** (2019), no. 10, 3548–3592.

[BL] M.I. Belishev and I. Lasiecka, *The dynamical Lamé system: regularity of solutions, boundary controllability and boundary data continuation*, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. vol. 8, 2002, A tribute to J. L. Lions, pp. 143–167.

[BuL] F. Bucci and I. Lasiecka, *Optimal boundary control with critical penalization for a PDE model of fluid-solid interactions*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **37** (2010), no. 1-2, 217–235.

[BZ1] L. Bociu and J.-P. Zolésio, *Sensitivity analysis for a free boundary fluid-elasticity interaction*, Evol. Equ. Control Theory **2** (2013), no. 1, 55–79.

[BZ2] L. Bociu and J.-P. Zolésio, *Existence for the linearization of a steady state fluid/nonlinear elasticity interaction*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. (2011), Dynamical systems, differential equations and applications. 8th AIMS Conference. Suppl. Vol. I, 184–197.

[BTZ] L. Bociu, D. Toundykov, and J.-P. Zolésio, *Well-posedness analysis for a linearization of a fluid-elasticity interaction*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **47** (2015), no. 3, 1958–2000.

[CS1] D. Coutand and S. Shkoller, *Motion of an elastic solid inside an incompressible viscous fluid*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **176** (2005), no. 1, 25–102.

[CS2] D. Coutand and S. Shkoller, *The interaction between quasilinear elastodynamics and the Navier-Stokes equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **179** (2006), no. 3, 303–352.

[DEGL] B. Desjardins, M.J. Esteban, C. Grandmont, and P. Le Tallec, *Weak solutions for a fluid-elastic structure interaction model*, Rev. Mat. Complut. **14** (2001), no. 2, 523–538.

[DGHL] Q. Du, M.D. Gunzburger, L.S. Hou, and J. Lee, *Analysis of a linear fluid-structure interaction problem*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **9** (2003), no. 3, 633–650.

[F] E. Feireisl, *On the motion of rigid bodies in a viscous incompressible fluid*, J. Evol. Equ. **3** (2003), no. 3, 419–441, Dedicated to Philippe Bénilan.

[GH] C. Grandmont and M. Hillairet, *Existence of global strong solutions to a beam-fluid interaction system*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **220** (2016), no. 3, 1283–1333.

[GS] G. Grubb and V.A. Solonnikov, *Boundary value problems for the nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations treated by pseudo-differential methods*, Math. Scand. **69** (1991), no. 2, 217–290 (1992).

[GGCC] G. Guidoboni, R. Glowinski, N. Cavallini, and S. Canic, *Stable loosely-coupled-type algorithm for fluid-structure interaction in blood flow*, J. Comput. Phys. **228** (2009), no. 18, 6916–6937.

[GGCCL] G. Guidoboni, R. Glowinski, N. Cavallini, S. Canic, and S. Lapin, *A kinematically coupled time-splitting scheme for fluid-structure interaction in blood flow*, Appl. Math. Lett. **22** (2009), no. 5, 684–688.

[IKLT1] M. Ignatova, I. Kukavica, I. Lasiecka, and A. Tuffaha, *On well-posedness for a free boundary fluid-structure model*, J. Math. Phys. **53** (2012), no. 11, 115624, 13 pp.

[IKLT2] M. Ignatova, I. Kukavica, I. Lasiecka, and A. Tuffaha, *On well-posedness and small data global existence for an interface damped free boundary fluid-structure model*, Nonlinearity **27** (2014), no. 3, 467–499.

[KMT] I. Kukavica, A.L. Mazzucato, and A. Tuffaha, *Sharp trace regularity for an anisotropic elasticity system*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), no. 8, 2673–2682.

[KT1] I. Kukavica and A. Tuffaha, *Solutions to a fluid-structure interaction free boundary problem*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **32** (2012), no. 4, 1355–1389.

[KT2] I. Kukavica and A. Tuffaha, *Regularity of solutions to a free boundary problem of fluid-structure interaction*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **61** (2012), no. 5, 1817–1859.

[KT3] I. Kukavica and A. Tuffaha, *Well-posedness for the compressible Navier-Stokes-Lamé system with a free interface*, Nonlinearity **25** (2012), no. 11, 3111–3137.

[KT4] I. Kukavica and A. Tuffaha, *An introduction to a fluid-structure model*, Mathematical theory of evolutionary fluid-flow structure interactions, Oberwolfach Semin., vol. 48, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2018, pp. 1–52.

[KTZ1] I. Kukavica, A. Tuffaha, and M. Ziane, *Strong solutions to a nonlinear fluid structure interaction system*, J. Differential Equations **247** (2009), no. 5, 1452–1478.

[KTZ2] I. Kukavica, A. Tuffaha, and M. Ziane, *Strong solutions for a fluid structure interaction system*, Adv. Differential Equations **15** (2010), no. 3-4, 231–254.

[KTZ3] I. Kukavica, A. Tuffaha, and M. Ziane, *Strong solutions to a Navier-Stokes-Lamé system on a domain with a non-flat boundary*, Nonlinearity **24** (2011), no. 1, 159–176.

[L1] J.-L. Lions, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod, 1969.

[L2] J.-L. Lions, *Hidden regularity in some nonlinear hyperbolic equations*, Mat. Apl. Comput. **6** (1987), no. 1, 7–15.

[LL1] I. Lasiecka and Y. Lu, *Asymptotic stability of finite energy in Navier Stokes-elastic wave interaction*, Semigroup Forum **82** (2011), no. 1, 61–82.

[LL2] I. Lasiecka and Y. Lu, *Interface feedback control stabilization of a nonlinear fluid-structure interaction*, Nonlinear Anal. **75** (2012), no. 3, 1449–1460.

[LLT] I. Lasiecka, J.-L. Lions, and R. Triggiani, *Nonhomogeneous boundary value problems for second order hyperbolic operators*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **65** (1986), no. 2, 149–192.

[LT] I. Lasiecka and D. Toundykov, *Semigroup generation and "hidden" trace regularity of a dynamic plate with non-monotone boundary feedbacks*, Commun. Math. Anal. **8** (2010), no. 1, 109–144.

[LTr1] I. Lasiecka and R. Triggiani, *Uniform stabilization of the wave equation with Dirichlet or Neumann feedback control without geometrical conditions*, Appl. Math. Optim. **25** (1992), no. 2, 189–224.

[LTr2] I. Lasiecka and R. Triggiani, *Sharp regularity theory for elastic and thermoelastic Kirchoff equations with free boundary conditions*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **30** (2000), no. 3, 981–1024.

[MZ] M. Moubachir and J.-P. Zolésio, *Moving shape analysis and control*, Pure and Applied Mathematics (Boca Raton), vol. 277, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006, Applications to fluid structure interactions.

[MZ1] P.B. Mucha and W. Zajaczkowski, *On the existence for the Cauchy-Neumann problem for the Stokes system in the L_p-framework*, Studia Math. **143** (2000), no. 1, 75–101.

[MZ2] P.B. Mucha and W.M. Zajaczkowski, *On local existence of solutions of free boundary problem for incompressible viscous self-gravitating fluid motion*, Applicationes Mathematicae **27** (2000), no. 3, 319–333.

[MC1] B. Muha and S. Čanić, *Existence of a weak solution to a nonlinear fluid-structure interaction problem modeling the flow of an incompressible, viscous fluid in a cylinder with deformable walls*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **207** (2013), no. 3, 919–968.

[MC2] B. Muha and S. Čanić, *Existence of a weak solution to a fluid-elastic structure interaction problem with the Navier slip boundary condition*, J. Differential Equations **260** (2016), no. 12, 8550–8589.

[MC3] B. Muha and S. Čanić, *Fluid-structure interaction between an incompressible, viscous 3D fluid and an elastic shell with nonlinear Koiter membrane energy*, Interfaces Free Bound. **17** (2015), no. 4, 465–495.

[PS] J. Prüss and G. Simonett, *On the two-phase Navier-Stokes equations with surface tension*, Interfaces Free Bound. **12** (2010), no. 3, 311–345.

[RV] J.-P. Raymond and M. Vanninathan, *A fluid-structure model coupling the Navier-Stokes equations and the Lamé system*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **102** (2014), no. 3, 546–596.

[S] R. Sakamoto, *Hyperbolic boundary value problems*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1982, Translated from the Japanese by Katsumi Miyahara.

[SST] J.A. San Martín, V. Starovoitov, and M. Tucsnak, *Global weak solutions for the two-dimensional motion of several rigid bodies in an incompressible viscous fluid*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **161** (2002), no. 2, 113–147.

[So] V.A. Solonnikov, *On the solvability of initial-boundary value problems for a viscous compressible fluid in an infinite time interval*, Algebra i Analiz **27** (2015), no. 3, 238–271.

[T] D. Tataru, *On the regularity of boundary traces for the wave equation*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **26** (1998), no. 1, 185–206.

[Te1] R. Temam, *Infinite-dimensional dynamical systems in mechanics and physics*, second ed., Applied Mathematical Sciences, vol. 68, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

[Te2] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes equations*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2001, Theory and numerical analysis, Reprint of the 1984 edition.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90089
Email address: kukavica@usc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF SHARJAH, SHARJAH, UAE
Email address: atufaha@aus.edu