

PAC: Partial Area Cluster for adjusting the distribution of transportation platforms in modern cities

Jiaming pei¹, Jinhai Li^{1*}, Jiyuan Xu¹, Q.Dat Luong²

1 School of Computer Science & Technology, Taizhou University, 225300, China

2 Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, 341002 Japan

Abstract: In the modern city, the utilization rate of public transportation attached importance to the efficiency of public traffic. However, the unreasonable distribution of transportation platforms results in a low utilization rate. In this paper, we researched and evaluated the distribution of platforms---bus and subway, and proposed a method, called "partial area cluster" (PAC), to improve the utilization by changing and renewing the original distribution. The novel method was based on the K-means algorithm in the field of machine learning. PAC worked to search the suitable bus platforms as the center and modified the original one to the subway. Experience has shown that the use of public transport resources has increased by 20%. The study uses a similar cluster algorithm to solve transport networks' problems in a novel but practical term. As a result, the PAC is expected to be used extensively in the transportation system construction process.

Keywords: distribution of transports, resource utilization, urban traffic system, optimization

1. Introduction

With the continuous expansion of cities, many people gathered in big cities, followed by the explosion of motor vehicles that led to the increase of urban traffic demand. It is the main way for urban residents to travel by public transportation like bus and subway. Conversely, various social factors will also affect the use of public transportation. When the governments and experts planned to construct the public transportation, they tried their best to design valid traffic lines and platforms, which can be possible to cover the central districts and make full most of public transportation resources. However, due to the active factor of "human beings", the actual utilization of public transportation resources is not as high as theoretically. Therefore, It is the most efficient and direct way to improve the overall resources utilization by adjusting the distribution of traffic platforms. For this problem, it is most effective and direct that adjust the public traffic transport platforms to improve the utilization of public transportation resources. When

beginning, we must find the information --- “where”, “which”, “how” ---about the unreasonable traffic platforms.

Where? It is impossible for us to replan the traffic lines and transport platforms. But the lack of real-time traffic data Fortunately, with the development of technology, the accumulation of talents, the emergence and rapid popularization of new types of hardware such as intelligent devices, and the rapid growth of the Internet of Vehicles and mobile Internet, traffic data acquired in the background has increased exponentially. This means that the era of big data has arrived, and this would undoubtedly bring a new historic leap forward in the "industrial revolution."During the Big Data period, intelligent transportation systems [1] have become effective methods for facilitating transportation and improving transportation efficiency. M. Q. Ding [2] briefly explained intelligent transportation technology and big data technology. And W. L. Zeng [3] suggested planning autonomous taxis in different ways of sharing urban roads based on big data and the Internet of vehicles and other technologies. They provided reference suggestions for applying Big Data in the area of intelligent transport. Given the shortcomings of traditional OD, such as long-time consumption and high cost, S. Q. Li [4] proposed using mobile phone signaling data to establish a means of retrieving user characteristics to provide a basis for road planning and development. The above scholars provided many efficient method to collect and monitor the traffic data. S.F Wang[5] analyzed highway tunnels' big data sources and proposed that building a big data center for highway tunnels can promote the sustainable development of highway tunnel projects in China. Absolutely, it is more convenient to manage and analyze the complex traffic data. Therefore, we find ‘where’ to work.

Which? Where we confirmed to start our work, we need to accurately find those platforms that need to be rectified, which may require some technology to search them. Reference [6-17] proposed and refined the methodology for detecting the traditional self-organized VANET mobile network from MANET networks, Badreddine Cherkaouia [18] used the VANET network to spread data based on their predecessors to detect the status of urban road traffic congestion. K.Y. Yang [19] used the vehicle's information to propose a recursive estimation method to dynamically identify the group of vehicles that should be prioritized to achieve all vehicles' communication control. Above that, we found another interesting phenomenon that seems to be that the denser the population, the more congestion there is, the more platforms ‘which ’need to adjust there is.

How? The scholars' efforts have made the modern transportation system develop towards a faster speed, larger scale, and higher complexity. Under the circumstance that traffic congestion, unbalanced traffic coverage, and so on, none of us can negative the seriousness of the above issues, but the fact is contrast: many problems were not paying attention to, let alone solved. K-means is a classic clustering algorithm, whose main idea is looking for the centre of cluster, inspired us proposing the Parital area cluster algorithm. The PAC algoritnm tried to choose the center of each cluster by anthon way to make all cluster cover the space. Fortunately, a small number of road congestion researchers exist.

Inspired by this, we notice that public transportation is not very efficient, as the prominent role in the intelligent transport system. Along this thread, the stage in this study is:

1. Research the visualization of urban transport data and learn valuable information from it.
2. Try to analyze what accounts for the low usage of public transportation resources.
3. Propose the PAC to adjust some relevant variables for maximum utilization.

2. Describe datasets

The data used in this study are mobile phone signaling data improved by Neusoft. Once users have switched on the mobile phone and the operator (China Telecom) is displayed on the screen, signal data starts to be generated. The sample size of mobile telephone signaling data is large, and the data is objective and complete. Sampling in this form will not have any apparent trend, and the data have strong Spatio-temporal persistence. Next, we can observe the entire travel process, which is incomparable to any other data source, instead of looking for the problem.

However, according to Table 1, taking longitude as x-axis and latitude as y-axis, we use purple to mark bus platforms, red to mark subway platforms. Then, Fig.1 clearly shows the distribution of subway and bus platforms in this city. It is not difficult to see that the number of metros is much lower than that of bus platforms, and the coverage is no less critical. Does this affect the travel of the urban population? Is this layout reasonable? Is there a waste of resources? Next, we will study the rationality of platform distribution in terms of time and space.




Fig.1. DISTRIBUTION OF TWO KINDS OF TRAVEL PLATFORMS

Tabel.1 Attributes of datasets

S/N	Longitude	Latitude	Time	Name	Means
000001	123.42	41.78	6:01	Hupan-Qiani	bus
000002	123.45	41.71	8:21	Qnq-Wuhu	subway
000003	123.31	41.77	8:22	Zhongjie	subway
100333	123.46	41.72	13:35	Qibei-hupan	bus
100334	123.43	41.73	7:55	Qiani-qibei	bus
100334	123.46	41.75	20:18	qinghe	bus
100335	123.43	41.73	20:45	Bus	bus
100336	123.43	41.8	22:11	Santaizi	subway
100337	123.42	41.89	9:26	Aotizhongxin	subway
100338	123.44	41.72	9:30	Yejia	bus
100339	123.45	41.73	8:30	Shangtouzi	bus
...	...	...	...	...	...
132984	123.31	41.97	14:25	Cailuo	bus
132987	123.41	41.6	17:58	Hangkong	bus
132785	122.3	42.2	16:12	lainqi	subway
132988	123.28	42.05	11:36	shenyang	bus

3. Problem analysis

This section proposes several algorithms to evaluate the current distribution of the traffic platform from space and time viewpoint and compare the frequency of use of these two means of traffic.

3.1 Usage in space

To reflect the transportation of buses or subways in various regions of the city, we divided the using frequency into three grades: frequently used, infrequently used, and hardly used, and calculated the number of users on a platform for one day to determine the grade. The relevant process was as follows:

1. Select the attributes, latitude, longitude, time, and travel means in the dataset and set the variable. Table 2 shows the meaning of the relevant variables.
2. Determine the position of the station according to latitude and longitude. Count the number of times each station is used. Algorithm 1 describes the statistical process explicitly.
3. According to the transportation standards, the subway generally has eight cars. One car can hold 300 people, and the bus is 50. The subway runs every 3 minutes, and the bus runs every 15 minutes. Achieving a fairer comparison, we calculate the count of using the subway divided by 240. Therefore, Table3 shows the definition of three grades
4. Use different colors to mark different levels of platforms, according to count results.

Tabel.2 Variable description

Variable	Significance
p	Platform
y	latitude
x	longitude
count1	the number of using a bus
count2	the number of using the subway
T	time of travel
m	travel means

Tabel.3 Grade dividing standard

Grade	standard
Frequently used	≥ 500
Infrequently used	50 – 500
Hardly used	≤ 50

According to Algorithm 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 3, and Fig. 4 show the usage of traffic conditions in this city. The green points in Fig.2 indicate the frequently used traffic platform, and we can conclude that the area has a huge flow of people, and there may be a commercial area. The blue points in Fig.3 represent the traffic platforms in the southern part of the city that are not frequently used, so that the people flow in this area is not so large, and it may be the development zone and suburbs of this city. The yellow points in Fig.4 are almost hardly used bus platforms and are most likely to be remote mountain areas or rural areas with few people.

Compared with Fig. 1, it is interesting that there are very few subway platforms in areas with extraordinarily high traffic. There are not a lot of people in areas where there are many subway platforms. Therefore, we believe that the setting of subway platforms in cities throughout the region is unreasonable from a geographical location.

Algorithm1: The calculation of usage in different place

Input: samples from the travel datasets

Output: classified platforms

1. Initialization count1=count2=0;
 2. Select m, x, y for each ;
 3. Repeat
 4. If m=='subway' count2++
 6. If m=='Bus' count1++
 8. count2=count2/240
 9. Until each sample was visited
 10. if(count1,count2) ≤ 50 , marked as "hardly used"
 11. if(count1,count2) $\in (50,500)$, marked as "Infrequently used"
 12. if(count1,count2) ≥ 50 , marked as "frequently used"
 13. end
-




Fig.2. DISTRIBUTION OF FREQUENTLY USED TRANSPORT PLATFORMS




Fig.3. DISTRIBUTION OF FREQUENTLY USED TRANSPORT PLATFORMS




Fig.4. DISTRIBUTION OF HARDLY USED TRAVEL PLATFORMS

3.2 Usage in time

It seems that the utilization rate of the subway is not so high, according to the use of traffic platforms in the area. Under normal circumstances, most people will choose the subway as an efficient tool to travel. In other words, the utilization rate of the subway in the city should be very high, compared with the bus. Now we will analyze the use of subway and bus at various times of the day to confirm whether our conjecture is true.

We proposed an algorithm for counting the number of times the bus and subway used at various times of the day. The algorithm's main idea is to divide the data set based on the time attribute of the data set and study the situation of each period separately. Algorithm 2 illustrates its detailed calculation process.

According to algorithm 2, our conjecture is wrong. Fig.5 shows the number of people using the bus and the number of people using the subway at various times. Surprisingly, in addition to early morning and evening, the number of people using the bus was vast. Even in the morning rush hour, most people chose the bus to travel when urban residents rush to work. Fig.6 shows that the difference in the choice of these two

methods for residents' travel in the city was still huge. Except that at night, the subway use rate may be higher than that of the bus because of the lack of buses, but most people will still choose to travel by bus during other times. Urban residents do not like choosing the subway to travel. This may be the main reason, which causes the low utilization rate of public transportation resources.

Algorithm2: The calculation of usage in different period

Input: samples from the travel datasets

Output: classified platforms

```

1. Repeat
2.   group t for each t ∈ {6,8,10, ..., 22}
3.   Repeat
4.     Initialization count1=count2=0;
5.     If m=='subway' count2++
6.     If m=='Bus' count1++
7.     count2=count2/240
8.   Until t = 22
9. Until each sample was visited
10. Group the number of two means
13. end

```




Fig.5. COMPARISON BETWEEN USAGE OF AMOUNT OF TWO TRAVEL MEANS




Fig.6. COMPARISON BETWEEN USAGE OF AMOUNT OF TWO TRAVEL MEANS

Based on the above analysis, we obtain the utilization rate of public transportation resources in the city by calculating the utilization rate of these two transportation types within a day. The calculation formula is $\frac{\text{Actual number of users}}{\text{Maximum number of users}}$. The result is surprising.

The red line in Fig.7 reflects the public usage rate for a day, which exceeds 1. This tells us that the bus is congested.

In contrast, the use rate of this efficient travel means is only 0.3 on average. Public transportation resources such as subways are seriously wasted, so the overall utilization rate of public resources indicated by green broken lines is shallow. From this, we can see that due to the imbalance in traffic platforms and lines in the city, urban residents have a single choice of travel means, and it causes a severe waste of public transportation resources.




Fig.7. THE USING RATE OF TRAVEL MEANS

4. Method and Experiment

4.1 Overview

We think that the main reason for the low utilization rate of the above resources is that there are too few subway platforms in the city's busy areas, which leads to the overload of buses. Another possibility is that because there is no subway, some residents choose to travel by bus.

We believe that without increasing the number of subway platforms, the best way to reduce the pressure on public transport capacity is to turn some of the bus platforms into subway platforms and expand the coverage of subway platforms as far as possible. Therefore, the area of adding subway platforms should be latitude [41.8-42] and longitude [122.2-123.6]. The area is often used, but there is no subway.

4.2 Method

PAC algorithm's definition is to change 'point clustering' into 'area clustering', inspired by K-means.

The steps are expressed as follows:

1. We defined a space s whose latitude range is [41.8-42] and longitude range is [122.2-123.6], $X1$ represents 41.8, $X2$ represents 42, $Y1$ represents 122.2, $Y2$ represents 123.6.

$$S = (Y2 - Y1) \times 111 \times R \times \arccos[\cos(X1) \times \cos(X2) \times \cos(Y2 - Y1) + \sin(X1) \times \sin(X2)], R = 6371$$

2. The input is $N = \{x1, x2, x3, \dots, xm\}$, to search K point in the number of N , represent all

of the points in space, and calculate the distance d between the N points. The function

$$\text{can be expressed as follows: } d_{ij} = \sqrt{|x_i - x_j|}^2$$

3. As to determine the center point of each cluster, the diving cluster is $C = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_K\}$. Considering that in real life, the longest distance for people to travel

to the station is 0.5km, so the threshold is $conset = 0.5$

4. Calculate whether there are members of the cluster in the coverage area with the

threshold value of 0.5. If there are, continue to extend the most, and record that the upper boundary covered by the cluster center is C_iX1 , the lower edge is C_iX2 , the left edge is C_iY1 , and the right edge is C_iY2 ,

5. Determine whether the boundaries between clusters intersection. If not, continue to adjust the cluster center. When all the points are not covered after they have been visited, take the maximum value; If it has been covered, the resource utilization corresponding to the current K is calculated according to algorithm 2.

The value of K increases continuously.

4.3 Experiments and results

According to the PAC method, we constantly adjust the value of K . Algorithm 2 is used to get the resource utilization corresponding to different K values. From Fig. 8. a-h, it is not difficult to find that with the increase of K value, the overall resource utilization is also increasing. When k is 10, the overall resource utilization reaches the peak. When k continues to grow, the utilization rate begins to decline. When the number of subway platforms increases by 1, the number of passengers will increase. However, the growth of subway passenger capacity exceeds the number of passengers in Fig.9, so the utilization rate will decline. When k is 12, the change rate of utilization reaches the maximum, so there is no need to continue the iteration and terminate the algorithm.




Fig.8.a $K=5$




Fig.8.b $K=6$




Fig.8.c $K=7$




Fig.8.d $K=8$




Fig.8.e K=9




Fig.8.f K=10




Fig.8.g K=11




Fig.8.h K=12




Fig.9. OPTIMAL UTILIZATIO

5. Discussion

PAC algorithm plays a useful role in stimulating the activities of adjusting the traffic platform, which improves the utilization rate of public transport resources.

The PAC algorithm's complexity to select the cluster centre is $O(n^2) \times K$, but when

changing the centre, its complexity is $O(n^3)$, which is the biggest drawback of the algorithm. At present, it has not thought of a solution to reduce the time. In essence, another disadvantage is that the PAC algorithm is also a clustering algorithm, so it is still unable to summarize the rules on selecting the K value. However, by observing the data set's distribution and the change rate reflected by the graph, we can stop the algorithm in time and get a better result.

In order to further illustrate the computational performance of the PAC algorithm, this article compares the performance of the PAC algorithm with Decision Tree, Random Sample Consensus, Lasso Least Angle Regression, Back Propagation Neural Network, Extreme Gradient Boosting and Extra Trees Regressor in the field of machine learning. The selected performance evaluation indicators are MSE, RMSE, MAPE, MAE. The calculation method of each performance index is as follows:

$$MAE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |T_i - O_i| \quad MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (O_i - T_i)^2 \quad RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (O_i - T_i)^2}$$

$$MAPE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{O_i - T_i}{T_i} \right| \quad RMSLE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\log(p_i + 1) - \log(a_i + 1))^2}$$

In the comparative experiment, this paper selected 140,000 samples and ran them 10 times on a computer with 8GB of RAM and Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-9300H CPU @ 2.40GHz 2.40 GHz. The average value of each index was taken and the results are shown in the table. Shown in 4.

Table 4 Average performance index evaluation

	MAE	MSE	RMSE	RMSLE	MAPE
PAC	96.1478	371120	406.3461	0.0429	0.0078
DT	450.1152	6933665	1837.594	0.0578	0.0197
RSC	187.6656	14590693	1276.139	0.0424	0.0099
LLAR	192.2179	14590750	1279.293	0.0431	0.0925
BPNN	66.9524	283759.5	308.133	0.0449	0.0119
EGB	457.6718	6368012	1681.516	0.0682	0.0391
ETR	191.7389	14510451	1275.968	0.0418	0.0098

Due to the strong robustness of the BP neural network, its performance is better than the PAC algorithm. But the optimization problem of this article is to partially adjust the distribution of traffic stations in the city. The core of solving this problem is the overall resource utilization rate, rather than evaluating the prediction accuracy of the PAC algorithm. So the strong fitting of BPNN is useless here. At the same time, the above several machine learning algorithms are not suitable for solving this problem. They are used here only to prove that the computational performance of the PAC algorithm is good. The overall value of MSE in the four indicators is too large, and the value of MAPE is too small. In order to compare the value of this algorithm, the value of MSE is reduced by 10000 times, and the value of MAPE is enlarged by 10000 times.




Fig.10 Comparison of evaluation metrics

Fig 12 describes the comparison results of the seven algorithms under the same evaluation index. Among them, the PAC and BPNN algorithms are relatively stable and are not easily interfered by outliers, and their data distribution is relatively concentrated. Among them, the robustness of the BP neural network is stronger than the PAC algorithm proposed in this paper. Neural network has a very strong fit in solving classification problems, but it cannot be explained. In terms of algorithm performance comparison, PAC is slightly inferior to neural networks, but the PAC in this article is an algorithm for sample adjustment and re-clustering. In solving this problem, its fast convergence feature makes it far more effective than neural networks.

All of the above machine algorithms are only used for performance comparison with the PAC algorithm. In this problem, the PAC algorithm is a brand-new algorithm, and the existing machine learning algorithms for this problem cannot be solved. At the same time, the convergence of the PAC algorithm is also faster than the other six algorithms.




Fig.10 Box- and whisker for Comparison of 5five evaluation metrics

6. Conclusion

Building a smart transportation city has a specific positive effect on urban residents' travel. In the actual construction process, the platforms' rationality and necessity should be considered to reduce the waste of public transportation resources. The PAC works well in the adjustment of distribution and improves the utilization of public transportation resources. This method can be experienced in many busy cities in China, like Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou, et al. For those cities. To improve urban public transportation platforms and routes, you can also refer to the optimization algorithm proposed in this paper. However, all improvements are to serve the people, and we must think about issues from residents' perspectives.

REFERENCES

- [1] Zhang J.P., Wang F Y, Wang K F, et al. Data-driven intelligent transportation systems: A survey [J]. IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems, 2011, 12(4): 1624-1639.
- [2] Ding Mingqing. On the application of new technologies in the era of big data in intelligent transportation [J]. Technology and Market, 2019, 26(12): 27-29.
- [3] Weiliang Zeng, Miaosen Wu, Weijun Sun, Shengli Xie. A Review of Research on Autonomous Taxi Dispatching System [J/OL]. Computer Science: 1-17[2020-01-10].
- [4] Shuqing Li, Luyuan Shi. Study on travel characteristics of regional channel based on mobile phone signaling data[J]. Highway, 2019, 64(11): 167-172.
- [5] Shaofei Wang, Jianyang Zhang, Chunyan Zhao, W. L. Wang. Discussion on the application of big data technology in highway tunnel engineering [J]. Highway, 2017, 62(08): 166-173.
- [6] S. S. Manvi, S. Tangade, A survey on authentication schemes in VANETs for secured communication, Vehicular Communications, Volume 9, July 2017, Pages 19-30.
- [7] Yousef M. Jaradat, Mohammad Masoud, Ismael Jannoud, Hussein Azzawi, Basic Review of Low Rate Denial of Service Attack on Wired and Wireless Networks, International Journal on Communications Antenna and Propagation (IRECAP) Vol 6, No 6 · March 2017.
- [8] B. Cherkaoui, A. Beni-hssane, M. Erritali, A clustering algorithm for detecting and handling black hole attack in vehicular ad hoc networks, Europe and MENA Cooperation Advances in Information and Communication Technologies, January 2017, Pages 481-490.
- [9] Su M.-Y, Prevention of selective black hole attacks on mobile ad hoc networks through intrusion detection systems. Computer Communication 34, Pages 107–117 (2011).
- [10] S. Tan and K. Kim, Secure Route Discovery for Preventing Black Hole Attacks on AODV-based MANETs, International Conference on ICT Convergence 2013, Pages 1027- 1032.
- [11] R. Khatoun, P. Gu, R. Doulami, L. Khoukhi, and A. Serhrouchni, A Reputation System for Detection of Black Hole Attack in Vehicular Networking, International Conference on Cyber Security of Smart Cities, Industrial Control System and Communications 2015.
- [12] C. Panos, C. Ntantogian, S. Malliaros, C. Xenakis, Analyzing, quantifying, and detecting the blackhole attack in infrastructure-less networks, Computer Networks, Volume 113, 11 February 2017, Pages 94-110.
- [13] D. Dave, P. Dave, An Effective Black Hole Attack Detection Mechanism using Permutation Based Acknowledgement in MANET, 2014 International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communications and Informatics (ICACCI), Pages: 1690 – 1696.
- [14] Douglas Montgomery, C. 2008. Introduction to Statistical Quality Control, 6th ed. United States of America: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

- [15] Woodall, William H., Control charts based on attribute data: Bibliography and review, *Journal of Quality Technology*, Milwaukee 29.2 (Apr 1997), Pages 172-183.
- [16] <http://www.isi.edu/nsnam/ns/index.html>
- [17] SUMO <http://sumo.sourceforge.net/>
- [18] Badreddine Cherkaouia, Abderrahim Beni-Hssane, Mohamed El Fissaoui, Mohammed Erritali. Road traffic congestion detection in VANET networks [J]. *Procedia Computer Science* 151 (2019) 1158–1163.
- [19] Kaidi Yang, Monica Menendez, Nan Zheng, Heterogeneity aware urban traffic control in a connected vehicle environment: A joint framework for congestion pricing and perimeter control [J]. *Transportation Research Part-C* 105 (2019) 439–455.