arXiv:2312.07095v1 [math.FA] 12 Dec 2023

Dual Brunn-Minkowski inequality for C-star bodies

Xudong Wang [*], Tingting Xiang

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the concept of C-star body in a fixed pointed closed convex cone C and study the dual mixed volume for C-star bodies. For C-star bodies, we establish the corresponding dual Brunn-Minkowski inequality, the dual Minkowski inequality and the dual Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality. Our dual Brunn-Minkowski inequality for C-star bodies strengthens Schneider's Brunn-Minkowski inequality for C-coconvex sets in [19]. That is, we obtain the following results:

$$V(A_1 \oplus A_2)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leqslant V(A_1 \tilde{+} A_2)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leqslant V(A_1)^{\frac{1}{n}} + V(A_2)^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

where $A_1 \oplus A_2$ is the co-sum and $A_1 \tilde{+} A_2$ is the Lutwak sum of C-coconvex sets A_1, A_2, respectively.

Keywords: C-star body, Lutwak sum, Dual mixed volume, Dual Brunn-Minkowski inequality.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 52A20, 52A39, 53C65.

1 Introduction

The famous Brunn-Minkowski inequality in the classical Brunn-Minkowski theory states that for convex bodies (compact convex sets with non-empty interior in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$) K and L, $\lambda \in (0,1)$, there is

$$V((1-\lambda)K + \lambda L)^{\frac{1}{n}} \geqslant (1-\lambda)V(K)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \lambda V(L)^{\frac{1}{n}}, \tag{1.1}$$

with equality if and only if K and L are homothetic. Here $V(\cdot)$ is n-dimensional Lebesgue measure of convex bodies and $(1-\lambda)K + \lambda L = \{(1-\lambda)x + \lambda y \,|\, x \in K,\, y \in L\}$. It is worth noting that the Brunn-Minkowski inequality (1.1) is equivalent to the following form:

$$V((1-\lambda)K + \lambda L) \geqslant V(K)^{(1-\lambda)} V(L)^{\lambda},$$

[*]This research was supported in part by the Excellent Graduate Training Program of SNNU (No. LHRCCX23142).

with equality if and only if K and L are parallel. This shows that the volume functional of convex bodies is log-concave. More generally, by the Prékopa-Leindler inequality, the Brunn-Minkowski inequality also holds for any Borel measurable sets K and L so long as $K+L$ is still a Borel measurable set. See [3] for more discussion on the Brunn-Minkowski inequality and its rich applications.

In the 1970s, Lutwak [7] introduced the dual mixed volume for star bodies. For $q \in \mathbb{R}$, the q-th dual mixed volume of star bodies M and N is defined by

$$\tilde{V}_q(M,N) = \frac{1}{n}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \rho_M^{n-q}(u)\rho_N^q(u)du,$$

where du is the standard spherical Lebesgue element. This dual mixed volume has a series of inequalities similar to the classical mixed volume (see [7]) and has many important applications (see [8, 9, 25]). Moreover, there are a number of new geometric measures induced by the dual mixed volume in dual Brunn-Minkowski theory (see [5]). This amazing duality between the Brunn-Minkowski theory and dual Brunn-Minkowski theory plays an important role in convex geometric analysis.

Recently, Schneider [19, 20] established the Brunn-Minkowski theory for the unbounded closed convex sets in a fixed pointed closed convex cone C, which firstly extended the Brunn-Minkowski theory to the non-compact setting. Let $\mathbb{A}_1$ and $\mathbb{A}_2$ be unbounded closed convex sets in C such that the corresponding C-coconvex sets $A_1 = C \setminus \mathbb{A}_1$ and $A_2 = C \setminus \mathbb{A}_2$ have the finite volume, $0 < \lambda < 1$, then there is the following Brunn-Minkowski inequality for C-coconvex sets (see [19]):

$$V((1-\lambda)A_1 \oplus \lambda A_2)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leqslant (1-\lambda)V(A_1)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \lambda V(A_2)^{\frac{1}{n}}, \tag{1.2}$$

with equality if and only if $A_1 = \alpha A_2$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Here the co-sum $A_1 \oplus A_2$ is defined by

$$C \setminus (A_1 \oplus A_2) = C \setminus A_1 + C \setminus A_2.$$

Whereafter, the corresponding L_p case for C-coconvex sets is established in [24] and the corresponding dual Minkowski problem for C-coconvex sets is considered in [16], which further refine the Brunn-Minkowski theory for C-coconvex sets. In [1], authors pointed out that there is a duality to the polarity in the classical Brunn-Minkowski theory and they called it the copolarity. About this copolarity, there are many amazing and nice properties, see wonderful and detailed discussion in [16, 21, 23].

Inspired the previous work, we consider a kind of dual theory on the Brunn-Minkowski theory in the unbounded setting. Similar to the duality between convex bodies and star bodies in classical setting, we introduce the concept of C-star body, which is an extension of C-coconvex set. We establish the dual Brunn-Minkowski inequality for C-star bodies, which strengthens Schneider's Brunn-Minkowski inequality (1.2). If M is a C-star body, then we denote $M \in \mathscr{S}_C^n$. The Lutwak sum of C-star bodies M and N is denoted by $M \tilde{+} N$.

Theorem 1.1 (Dual Brunn-Minkowski inequality for C-star bodies)**.** *Let $M, N \in \mathscr{S}_C^n$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, then*

$$V((1-\lambda)M\tilde{+}\lambda N)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leqslant (1-\lambda)V(M)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \lambda V(N)^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

with equality if and only if M, N are dilates of each other. Moveover, if A_1, A_2 are C-coconvex sets, then

$$V((1-\lambda)A_1 \oplus \lambda A_2)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leqslant V((1-\lambda)A_1\tilde{+}\lambda A_2)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leqslant (1-\lambda)V(A_1)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \lambda V(A_2)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide some necessary foundations on the C-coconvex sets. In Section 3, we introduce C-star body, the Lutwak sum and dual mixed volume for C-star bodies. Section 4 contains the dual Brunn-Minkowski inequality, the dual Minkowski inequality and the dual Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality for C-star bodies and so on. In Section 5, we provide a supplementary note on the equality case of the Brunn-Minkowski inequality for C-coconvex sets in [19]. As applications, we show the Brunn-Minkowski type inequality for volume difference and volume sum in Section 6.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{R}^n$ be n-dimensional Euclidean space with the standard inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|$. We write $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and B^n for the unit sphere and the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$, respectively. The topological boundary and interior of a subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ are denoted by ∂E and $\mathrm{int}(E)$, respectively. Denote by H_u^- a negative half-space with normal $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. A compact convex set K in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with non-empty interior is called convex body, its support function is defined by $h_K(u) = \max\{\langle x, u\rangle \,|\, x \in K\}$ for each $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. The Minkowski sum $K + L$ of convex bodies K and L is defined by

$$h_{K+L}(u) = h_K(u) + h_L(u)$$

for any $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. A set M in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is called star-shaped with respect to the origin if the intersection of M with any line passing the origin is a line segment. The radial function of a star-shaped set M is defined by $\rho_M(u) = \sup\{t \geqslant 0 \,|\, tu \in M\}$ for any $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. A star-shaped set M is called star body if the radial function ρ_M is a positive continuous function on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. The Lutwak sum $M\tilde{+}N$ of star bodies M and N is defined by

$$\rho_{M\tilde{+}N}(u) = \rho_M(u) + \rho_N(u)$$

for any $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

A closed convex cone $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called pointed if $C \cap (-C) = \{0\}$, which is equivalent to say that C is line-free. From now on, we denote by C a pointed closed convex cone. The polar cone of C is defined by

$$C^\circ = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \,|\, \langle y, x\rangle \leqslant 0 \text{ for any } x \in C\}.$$

Denote $\Omega_C = \mathrm{int}(C) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $\Omega_{C^\circ} = \mathrm{int}(C^\circ) \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. A unbounded closed convex set $0 \notin \mathbb{A} \subset C$ is called C-full set if $C \setminus \mathbb{A}$ is bounded. A unbounded closed convex set $0 \notin \mathbb{A} \subset C$ is called C-close set if $C \setminus \mathbb{A}$ has finite volume, and $A = C \setminus \mathbb{A}$ is called C-coconvex set. Clearly, C-full sets are a special case of C-close sets. A unbounded closed convex set $0 \notin \mathbb{A} \subset C$ is called C-asymptotic set if $\mathbb{A}$ is asymptotic to the boundary of C at infinity, i.e.,

$$\lim_{x \in \mathbb{A}, \|x\| \to +\infty} d(x, \partial C) = 0,$$

where $d(x, \partial C)$ is the distance from x to ∂C.

A closed convex set $0 \notin E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called pseudo-cone if $\lambda x \in E$ for any $\lambda \geqslant 1$ and $x \in E$. The recession cone of a non-empty close convex set E is defined by

$$\mathrm{rec}(E) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | E + x \subset E\}.$$

If E is unbounded, then $\mathrm{rec}(E)$ is a closed convex cone. Moreover, A closed convex set $0 \notin E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a pseudo-cone if and only if $E \subset \mathrm{rec}(E)$. A unbounded closed convex set $0 \notin E \subset C$ is called C-compatible set if E is a pseudo-cone and $\mathrm{rec}(E) = C$. About C-compatible sets, there is the following proposition:

Proposition 2.1. *(see [16]) Let $0 \notin E \subset C$ be a nonempty set, then E is a C-compatible set if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} E &= \bigcap \{\tilde{E} | \tilde{E} \text{ is a unbounded closed convex set in } C \text{ containing } x+C \text{ for all } x \in E\} \\ &= \bigcap \{\tilde{E} | \tilde{E} \text{ is a } C\text{-close set such that } E \subset \tilde{E}\} \\ &= \bigcap \{\tilde{E} | \tilde{E} \text{ is a } C\text{-full set such that } E \subset \tilde{E}\} \\ &= C \cap \bigcap_{u \in \Omega_{C^\circ}} \{H_u^- | E \subset H_u^-\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $q \in \mathbb{R}$, a C-compatible set E is called (C, q)-close set if

$$\tilde{V}_q(E) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega_C} \rho_E^q(u)(u) du < +\infty,$$

where $\rho_E(u) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 | \lambda u \in E\}$ is the radial function of E. See [16] for more details of (C, q)-close sets.

3 C-star body, Lutwak sum and dual mixed volume

In C, we can also consider the corresponding star shaped sets as follows.

Definition 3.1. *A non-empty set $M \subset C$ is called C-star shaped set if for any $u \in \Omega_C$, the intersection $\{tu \,|\, t \geqslant 0\} \cap M$ is a half open and half closed line segment passing the origin.*

For a C-star shaped set M, the radial function of M is defined by

$$\rho_M(u) = \sup\{t \geqslant 0 \,|\, tu \in M\}, u \in \Omega_C.$$

If $\rho_M(u)$ is a continuous function on Ω_C, then the volume of C-star shaped set M is

$$V(M) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega_C} \rho_M^n(u) du$$

by using polar coordinate transformation.

Definition 3.2. *A C-star shaped set M is called C-star body if ρ_M is a positive continuous function on Ω_C and $V(M) < +\infty$. We denote by $\mathscr{S}_C^n$ the class of all C-star bodies.*

Remark 3.1. *Comparing with classical star bodies in $\mathbb{R}^n$, a C-star body may be a unbounded set.*

Remark 3.2. *Since a C-coconvex set A is unbounded generally, we can only prove that the radial function of A is Lipschitz continuous on any compact set $\omega \subset \Omega_C$. Hence, a C-coconvex set is also a C-star body.*

For two C-star bodies M_1 and M_2, the Lutwak sum $M_1 \tilde{+} M_2$ of M_1 and M_2 is defined by

$$\rho_{M_1 \tilde{+} M_2}(u) = \rho_{M_1}(u) + \rho_{M_2}(u), \text{ for any } u \in \Omega_C.$$

We can prove that the Lutwak sum is still a C-star body.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $M, N \in \mathscr{S}_C^n$, then $M \tilde{+} N \in \mathscr{S}_C^n$.*

Proof. Since $M, N \in \mathscr{S}_C^n$, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega_C} \rho_M^n(u) du < +\infty \text{ and } \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega_C} \rho_N^n(u) du < +\infty.$$

Let $\omega_k \subset \Omega_C$ be a list of compact sets and $\omega_k \uparrow \Omega_C$, there exists

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\omega_k} \rho_{M \tilde{+} N}^n(u) du &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\omega_k} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \rho_M^{n-i}(u) \rho_N^i(u) du \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \frac{1}{n} \int_{\omega_k} \rho_M^{n-i}(u) \rho_N^i(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

By the Hölder inequality, for each $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$,

$$\frac{1}{n}\int_{\omega_k} \rho_M^{n-i}(u)\rho_N^i(u)du \leqslant \Big(\frac{1}{n}\int_{\omega_k} \rho_M^n(u)du\Big)^{\frac{n-i}{n}}\Big(\frac{1}{n}\int_{\omega_k} \rho_N^n(u)du\Big)^{\frac{i}{n}},$$

which induces, let $k \to +\infty$,

$$\frac{1}{n}\int_{\Omega_C} \rho_M^{n-i}(u)\rho_N^i(u)du \leqslant \Big(\frac{1}{n}\int_{\Omega_C} \rho_M^n(u)du\Big)^{\frac{n-i}{n}}\Big(\frac{1}{n}\int_{\Omega_C} \rho_N^n(u)du\Big)^{\frac{i}{n}} < +\infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} V(M\tilde{+}N) &= \frac{1}{n}\int_{\Omega_C} \rho_{M\tilde{+}N}^n(u)du \\ &= \lim_{k\to+\infty}\frac{1}{n}\int_{\omega_k} \rho_{M\tilde{+}N}^n(u)du \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n}{i}\lim_{k\to+\infty}\frac{1}{n}\int_{\omega_k} \rho_M^{n-i}(u)\rho_N^i(u)du \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n}\binom{n}{i}\frac{1}{n}\int_{\Omega_C} \rho_M^{n-i}(u)\rho_N^i(u)du \\ &< +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.3. *This result shows that $\mathscr{S}_C^n$ is a commutative semi-group with respect to the Lutwak sum. For scalar multiplication, we have $\rho_{\lambda M}(u) = \lambda\rho_M(u)$ for $M \in \mathscr{S}_C^n$, $\lambda > 0$ and $u \in \Omega_C$. Clearly, $\lambda M \in \mathscr{S}_C^n$ for $M \in \mathscr{S}_C^n$ and $\lambda > 0$. Therefore, $\mathscr{S}_C^n$ is a convex cone in the linear space consisting of the continuous functions on Ω_C.*

Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have the following expansion formula:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $M_1, \cdots, M_m \in \mathscr{S}_C^n$ and $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_m > 0$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} V(\lambda_1 M_1\tilde{+}\cdots\tilde{+}\lambda_m M_m) &= \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_n=1}^{m}\frac{1}{n}\int_{\Omega_C}\rho_{M_{i_1}}(u)\cdots\rho_{M_{i_n}}(u)du\,\lambda_{i_1}\cdots\lambda_{i_n} \\ &= \sum\binom{n}{q_1,\cdots,q_m}\frac{1}{n}\int_{\Omega_C}\rho_{M_1}^{q_1}(u)\cdots\rho_{M_m}^{q_m}(u)du\,\lambda_1^{q_1}\cdots\lambda_m^{q_m}, \end{aligned}$$

where $q_1, \cdots, q_m \in \mathbb{N},\ 0 \leqslant q_1, \cdots, q_m \leqslant n$ and $q_1 + \cdots + q_m = n$.

Remark 3.4. *The above result can be calculated directly. Comparing with the classical star body and the Lutwak addition, we can deal with the unbounded case in C.*

Corollary 3.1 (Dual Steiner formula)**.** *Let $M \in \mathscr{S}_C^n$ and $\lambda > 0$, then*

$$V\big(M\tilde{+}\lambda(B^n \cap C)\big) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \Big(\frac{1}{n}\int_{\Omega_C} \rho_M^{n-i}(u)du\Big)\lambda^i. \tag{3.1}$$

Inspired by the above formulas, we can define the dual quesmassintegral and the dual volume in C as follows.

Definition 3.3. *Let $M_1, \cdots, M_m \in \mathscr{S}_C^n$, $0 \leqslant q_1, \cdots, q_m \leqslant n$ and $q_1 + \cdots + q_m = n$, then the dual mixed volume of $M_1, \cdots, M_m$ is defined by*

$$\tilde{V}^C_{q_1,\cdots,q_m}(M_1, \cdots, M_m) = \frac{1}{n}\int_{\Omega_C} \rho_{M_1}^{q_1}(u) \cdots \rho_{M_m}^{q_m}(u)du.$$

Specially, the q-th dual mixed volume of $M, N \in \mathscr{S}_C^n$ is defined by

$$\tilde{V}_q^C(M, N) = \frac{1}{n}\int_{\Omega_C} \rho_M^{n-q}(u)\rho_N^q(u)du.$$

for $0 \leqslant q \leqslant n$.

Definition 3.4. *Let $M \in \mathscr{S}_C^n$ and $0 \leqslant q \leqslant n$. The q-th dual quesmassintegral of M is defined by*

$$\tilde{W}_q^C(M) = \frac{1}{n}\int_{\Omega_C} \rho_M^{n-q}(u)du.$$

The q-th dual volume of M is defined by

$$\tilde{V}_q^C(M) = \frac{1}{n}\int_{\Omega_C} \rho_M^{q}(u)du.$$

Therefore, the q-norm of M for $q \geqslant 1$ in the space $L^q(\Omega_C)$ is $\|\cdot\|_{q,\Omega_C} = \tilde{V}_q^C(\cdot)^{\frac{1}{q}}$.

Remark 3.5. *Dual Steiner formula* (3.1) *can be written by*

$$V\big(M\tilde{+}\lambda(B^n \cap C)\big) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \tilde{W}_i^C(M)\lambda^i.$$

4 Dual Brunn-Minkowski inequality for C-star bodies

Similar to the classical case, the following dual Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality can be obtained by the Hölder inequality.

Theorem 4.1 (Dual Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality for C-star bodies)**.** *Let $M_1, \cdots, M_n \in \mathscr{S}_C^n$, $0 \leqslant q_1, \cdots, q_m \leqslant n$, $0 \leqslant k \leqslant m$, $q_1 + \cdots + q_k > 0$ and $q_1 + \cdots + q_m = n$, then*

$$\tilde{V}_{q_1,\cdots,q_n}^C(M_1, \cdots, M_n)^{q_1+\cdots+q_k} \leqslant \prod_{i=1}^{k} \tilde{V}_{q_1,\cdots,q_n}^C(\overbrace{M_i, \cdots, M_i}^{k}, M_{k+1}, \cdots, M_n)^{q_i}$$

with equality if and only if $M_1, \cdots, M_k$ are dilates of each other.

Proof. Define the measure μ by

$$\mu(\omega) = \int_\omega \rho_{M_{k+1}}^{q_{k+1}}(u) \cdots \rho_{M_n}^{q_n}(u) du,\ \omega \subset \Omega_C.$$

Let $p_i = \frac{q_1+\cdots+q_k}{q_i}$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, then the Hölder inequality for μ shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{V}_{q_1,\cdots,q_n}^C(M_1, \cdots, M_n) &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega_C} \rho_{M_1}^{q_1}(u) \cdots \rho_{M_n}^{q_n}(u) du \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega_C} \rho_{M_1}^{q_1}(u) \cdots \rho_{M_k}^{q_k}(u) d\mu(u) \\
&\leqslant \prod_{i=1}^{k} \Big(\frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega_C} (\rho_{M_i}^{q_i}(u))^{p_i} d\mu(u) \Big)^{\frac{1}{p_i}} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{k} \Big(\frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega_C} \rho_{M_i}^{q_1+\cdots+q_k}(u) d\mu(u) \Big)^{\frac{q_i}{q_1+\cdots+q_k}} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{k} \Big(\frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega_C} \rho_{M_i}^{q_1+\cdots+q_k}(u) \rho_{M_{k+1}}^{q_{k+1}}(u) \cdots \rho_{M_n}^{q_n}(u) du \Big)^{\frac{q_i}{q_1+\cdots+q_k}} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{k} \Big(\frac{1}{n} \int_{\Omega_C} \rho_{M_i}^{q_1}(u) \cdots \rho_{M_i}^{q_k}(u) \rho_{M_{k+1}}^{q_{k+1}}(u) \cdots \rho_{M_n}^{q_n}(u) du \Big)^{\frac{q_i}{q_1+\cdots+q_k}} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{k} \tilde{V}_{q_1,\cdots,q_n}^C(M_i, \cdots, M_i, M_{k+1}, \cdots, M_n)^{\frac{q_i}{q_1+\cdots+q_k}},
\end{aligned}$$

which is also

$$\tilde{V}_{q_1,\cdots,q_n}^C(M_1, \cdots, M_n)^{q_1+\cdots+q_k} \leqslant \prod_{i=1}^{k} \tilde{V}_{q_1,\cdots,q_n}^C(M_i, \cdots, M_i, M_{k+1}, \cdots, M_n)^{q_i}.$$

By the Hölder inequality for μ, equality holds if and only if the radial functions $\rho_{M_1}^{q_1+\cdots+q_k}, \cdots, \rho_{M_k}^{q_1+\cdots+q_k}$ are dilates of each other with respect to μ on Ω_C. Since μ is absolutely continuous with respect to the standard spherical Lebesgue measure and has positive continuous density, equality holds if and only if the radial functions $\rho_{M_1}, \cdots, \rho_{M_k}$ are dilates of each other. □

Corollary 4.1 (Dual Minkowski inequality for C-star bodies)**.** *Let $M, N \in \mathscr{S}_C^n$, $0 \leqslant q \leqslant n$, then*

$$\tilde{V}_q^C(M,N)^n \leqslant V(M)^{n-q}V(N)^q$$

with equality if and only if M, N are dilates of each other.

Now we give the proof of main result as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $M, N \in \mathscr{S}_C^n$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$. By Corollary 4.1, for any $L \in \mathscr{S}_C^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{V}_1^C(L, M\tilde{+}N) &= \frac{1}{n}\int_{\Omega_C} \rho_L^{n-1}(u)\rho_{M\tilde{+}N}(u)du \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\int_{\Omega_C} \rho_L^{n-1}(u)(\rho_M(u)+\rho_N(u))du \\
&= \tilde{V}_1^C(L,M) + \tilde{V}_1^C(L,N) \\
&\leqslant V(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}V(M)^{\frac{1}{n}} + V(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}V(N)^{\frac{1}{n}} \\
&= V(L)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}(V(M)^{\frac{1}{n}} + V(N)^{\frac{1}{n}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $L = M\tilde{+}N$, then

$$V(M\tilde{+}N)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leqslant V(M)^{\frac{1}{n}} + V(N)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

According to the homogeneousness of volume, so we have

$$V((1-\lambda)M\tilde{+}\lambda N)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leqslant (1-\lambda)V(M)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \lambda V(N)^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

with equality if and only if M, N are dilates of each other.

Next, we will discuss the relationship between the co-sum and the Lutwak sum. Let A_1 and A_2 be C-coconvex sets. Since $A_1\tilde{+}A_2$ is a C-star body, $C \setminus (A_1\tilde{+}A_2)$ is a closed set in C. That is, any point in $C \setminus (A_1\tilde{+}A_2)$ can be represented as λu, where $\lambda \geqslant \rho_{A_1\tilde{+}A_2}(u)$ and $u \in \Omega_C$. Due to

$$\rho_{A_1}(u)u \in C \setminus A_1 \text{ and } \rho_{A_2}(u)u \in C \setminus A_2,$$

we have

$$\rho_{A_1\tilde{+}A_2}(u)u = \rho_{A_1}(u)u + \rho_{A_2}(u)u \in C \setminus A_1 + C \setminus A_2.$$

Note that $C \setminus A_1 + C \setminus A_2$ is also a pseudo-cone, and hence for any $\lambda \geqslant \rho_{A_1\tilde{+}A_2}(u)$, there is

$$\lambda u \in C \setminus A_1 + C \setminus A_2.$$

Therefore,

$$C \setminus (A_1\tilde{+}A_2) \subset C \setminus A_1 + C \setminus A_2,$$

which lead to

$$A_1 \oplus A_2 = C \setminus (C \setminus A_1 + C \setminus A_2) \subset A_1 \tilde{+} A_2.$$

This, together with the monotonicity of the volume, gives

$$V(A_1 \oplus A_2) \leqslant V(A_1 \tilde{+} A_2),$$

and hence

$$V((1-\lambda)A_1 \oplus \lambda A_2)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leqslant V((1-\lambda)A_1 \tilde{+} \lambda A_2)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leqslant (1-\lambda)V(A_1)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \lambda V(A_2)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

□

Remark 4.1. *Let A_1 and A_2 be C-coconvex sets, then $A_1 \oplus A_2 \subset A_1 \tilde{+} A_2$. However, there is a counterexample that shows $A_1 \tilde{+} A_2 \nsubseteq A_1 \oplus A_2$. For example, let C be the first quadrant in plane $\mathbb{R}^2$, we consider two C-coconvex sets*

$$A = C \cap \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,|\, 2x + y - 2 < 0\},$$
$$B = C \cap \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \,|\, x + 2y - 2 < 0\}.$$

For the direction $u = (\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2})$, we have $\rho_A(u)u = (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$ and $\rho_B(u)u = (\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$. Thus, $\rho_{A\tilde{+}B}(u)u = (\frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3})$, which also shows $(1,1) \in A\tilde{+}B$. On the other hand, since $(1,0) \in C \setminus A$ and $(0,1) \in C \setminus B$, we have

$$(1,1) = (1,0) + (0,1) \in (C \setminus A + C \setminus B).$$

Hence, $(1,1) \notin C \setminus (C \setminus A + C \setminus B) = A \oplus B$.

Theorem 4.2 (Dual Brunn-Minkowski inequality of (C,q)-close sets)**.** *Let $M_1, M_2 \in \mathscr{S}_C^n$ and $0 \leqslant q \leqslant n$, then M_1, M_2 are (C,q)-close sets and*

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{V}_q(M_1 \tilde{+} M_2)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leqslant \tilde{V}_q(M_1)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \tilde{V}_q(M_2)^{\frac{1}{q}}, & \text{if } q \geqslant 1, \\ \tilde{V}_q(M_1 \tilde{+} M_2)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geqslant \tilde{V}_q(M_1)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \tilde{V}_q(M_2)^{\frac{1}{q}}, & \text{if } q < 1. \end{cases}$$

Equality holds if and only if M_1 and M_2 are dilates of each other.

Proof. We need only to apply the Minkowski inequality for q-norm. □

5 Equality case in the Brunn-Minkowski inequality for C-coconvex sets

In this Section, we provide a detail on the equality case in the Brunn-Minkowski inequality for C-coconvex sets ([19, p. 209]). The symbol of the below proof is the same as the symbol in [19]. For example, $H^-_{z_i(\sigma)}$ represents the negative half-space with the fixed normal such that $V(A_i^\bullet \cap H^-_{z_i(\sigma)}) = \sigma$, $i = 0, 1$.

Proposition 5.1 (The equality case in the Brunn-Minkowski inequality)**.** *Translating vector is independent of the volume parameter.*

Proof. We assume that for $0 < \sigma < \tau$, we have

$$A_0^\bullet \cap H^-_{z_0(\sigma)} + x(\sigma) = A_1^\bullet \cap H^-_{z_1(\sigma)}, \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$A_0^\bullet \cap H^-_{z_0(\tau)} + x(\tau) = A_1^\bullet \cap H^-_{z_1(\tau)}. \tag{5.2}$$

Denote $M = A_1^\bullet \cap H^-_{z_1(\tau)} + x(\sigma)$, $E = A_1^\bullet \cap H^-_{z_1(\sigma)} + x(\sigma)$, and $F = A_1^\bullet \cap H^+_{z_1(\sigma)} \cap H^-_{z_1(\tau)} + x(\sigma)$, then there exists the following decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} M &= A_1^\bullet \cap H^-_{z_1(\tau)} + x(\sigma) \\ &= (A_1^\bullet \cap H^-_{z_1(\sigma)}) \cup (A_1^\bullet \cap H^+_{z_1(\sigma)} \cap H^-_{z_1(\tau)}) + x(\sigma) \\ &= (A_1^\bullet \cap H^-_{z_1(\sigma)} + x(\sigma)) \cup (A_1^\bullet \cap H^+_{z_1(\sigma)} \cap H^-_{z_1(\tau)} + x(\sigma)) \\ &= E \cup F. \end{aligned}$$

Since $V(E) = V(A_1^\bullet \cap H^-_{z_1(\sigma)} + x(\sigma)) = \sigma$, we have

$$E = M \cap H^-_{z_M(\sigma)}. \tag{5.3}$$

On the other hand, there exists

$$\begin{aligned} M &\overset{(5.2)}{=} A_0^\bullet \cap H^-_{z_0(\tau)} + x(\tau) + x(\sigma) \\ &= (A_0^\bullet \cap H^-_{z_0(\sigma)}) \cup (A_0^\bullet \cap H^+_{z_0(\sigma)} \cap H^-_{z_0(\tau)}) + x(\tau) + x(\sigma) \\ &= (A_0^\bullet \cap H^-_{z_0(\sigma)} + x(\tau) + x(\sigma)) \cup (A_0^\bullet \cap H^+_{z_0(\sigma)} \cap H^-_{z_0(\tau)} + x(\tau) + x(\sigma)) \\ &\overset{(5.1)}{=} (A_1^\bullet \cap H^-_{z_1(\sigma)} + x(\tau)) \cup (A_0^\bullet \cap H^+_{z_0(\sigma)} \cap H^-_{z_0(\tau)} + x(\tau) + x(\sigma)) \\ &\triangleq G \cup H, \end{aligned}$$

where $V(G) = V(A_1^\bullet \cap H^-_{z_1(\sigma)} + x(\tau)) = \sigma$, so we have

$$G = M \cap H^-_{z_M(\sigma)}. \tag{5.4}$$

Combining (5.3) and (5.4), there exists $E = G$, namely

$$A_1^\bullet \cap H^-_{z_1(\sigma)} + x(\sigma) = A_1^\bullet \cap H^-_{z_1(\sigma)} + x(\tau),$$

which shows

$$x(\sigma) = x(\tau).$$

Therefore, translating vector x is independent of the volume parameter τ. □

6 Application: some inequalities for volume difference and volume sum

As applications of the dual Brunn-Minkowski inequality for C-star bodies, we will get the following inequality of volume difference for C-star bodies. At first, we need the following general Bellman inequality as a critical tool.

Lemma 6.1 (see [13]). *Let $a = (a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n)$ be two series of nonnegative real numbers such that $a_1^q - \sum_{i=2}^n a_i^q \geq 0$ and $b_1^q - \sum_{i=2}^n b_i^q \geq 0$, respectively. Then the following inequalities hold:*
(i) If $q \geq 1$, then

$$(a_1^q - \sum_{i=2}^n a_i^q)^{1/q} + (b_1^q - \sum_{i=2}^n b_i^q)^{1/q} \leq \big((a_1 + b_1)^q - \sum_{i=2}^n (a_i + b_i)^q\big)^{1/q}.$$

(ii) If $0 < q < 1$ or $q < 0$, then

$$(a_1^q - \sum_{i=2}^n a_i^q)^{1/q} + (b_1^q - \sum_{i=2}^n b_i^q)^{1/q} \geq \big((a_1 + b_1)^q - \sum_{i=2}^n (a_i + b_i)^q\big)^{1/q}.$$

Equality holds if and only if $a = cb$ for a nonnegative number c.

By the above inequality and the method in [12], we obtain the following theorem.

Corollary 6.1 (Dual Brunn-Minkowski inequality for volume difference). *Let C-star bodies $K_1 \subseteq L_1$, $K_2 \subseteq L_2$. If L_1 is a dilate of L_2, then*

$$\big(V(L_1\tilde{+}L_2) - V(K_1\tilde{+}K_2)\big)^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq \big(V(L_1) - V(K_1)\big)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \big(V(L_2) - V(K_2)\big)^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

with equality if and only if K_1, K_2 are dilates of each other and $\big(V(L_1), V(K_1)\big) = c\big(V(L_2), V(K_2)\big)$ for a nonnegative number c.

Proof. Since K_1, L_1, K_2, L_2 are C-star bodies, by the dual Brunn-Minkowski inequality for C-star bodies, we have

$$V(K_1\tilde{+}K_2)^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq V(K_1)^{\frac{1}{n}} + V(K_2)^{\frac{1}{n}}, V(L_1\tilde{+}L_2)^{\frac{1}{n}} = V(L_1)^{\frac{1}{n}} + V(L_2)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Taking n-power and subtracting from both sides of the above formulas, there is

$$\big(V(L_1\tilde{+}L_2) - V(K_1\tilde{+}K_2)\big)^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq \Big(\big(V(L_1)^{\frac{1}{n}} + V(L_2)^{\frac{1}{n}}\big)^n - \big(V(K_1)^{\frac{1}{n}} + V(K_2)^{\frac{1}{n}}\big)^n\Big)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

By the general Bellman inequality for $q \geq 1$, we can get

$$\big(V(L_1\tilde{+}L_2) - V(K_1\tilde{+}K_2)\big)^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq \big(V(L_1) - V(K_1)\big)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \big(V(L_2) - V(K_2)\big)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

□

Corollary 6.2 (Dual Brunn-Minkowski inequality for volume sum)**.** *Let C-star bodies $K_1 \subseteq L_1$, $K_2 \subseteq L_2$. If L_1 is a dilate of L_2, then*

$$\big(V(L_1\tilde{+}L_2)+V(K_1\tilde{+}K_2)\big)^{\frac{1}{n}} \le \big(V(L_1)+V(K_1)\big)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \big(V(L_2)+V(K_2)\big)^{\frac{1}{n}},$$

with equality if and only if K_1, K_2 are dilates of each other and $\big(V(L_1), V(K_1)\big) = c\big(V(L_2), V(K_2)\big)$ for a nonnegative number c.

Proof. By the Minkowski inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\big(V(L_1\tilde{+}L_2)+V(K_1\tilde{+}K_2)\big)^{\frac{1}{n}} &\le \Big(\big(V(L_1)^{\frac{1}{n}}+V(L_2)^{\frac{1}{n}}\big)^n + \big(V(K_1)^{\frac{1}{n}}+V(K_2)^{\frac{1}{n}}\big)^n\Big)^{\frac{1}{n}}\\ &\le \big(V(L_1)+V(K_1)\big)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \big(V(L_2)+V(K_2)\big)^{\frac{1}{n}}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Acknowledgement. We are grateful for helpful guidance and discussion with Baocheng Zhu. This paper was also supported in part by the NSFC (No. 12371060) and Shaanxi Fundamental Science Research Project for Mathematics and Physics (No. 22JSZ012).

References

[1] S. Artstein-Avidan, S. Sadovsky, K. Wyczesany, *A zoo of dualities*, J. Geom. Anal. 33 (2023) 40pp.

[2] H. Federer, *Geometric Measure Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1969.

[3] R. Gardner, *The Brunn-Minkowski inequality*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 39 (2002) 355-405.

[4] R. Gardner, *Stability of inequality in the dual Brunn-Minkowski theory*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 231 (1999) 568-587.

[5] Y. Huang, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, G. Y. Zhang, *Geomtric measures in the dual Brunn-Minkowski theory and their assciated Minkowski problems*, Acta Math. 216 (2016) 325-388.

[6] A. Khovanskiĭ, V. Timorin, *On the theory of coconvex bodies*, Discrete Comput. Geom. 52 (2014) 806-823.

[7] E. Lutwak, *Dual mixed volumes*, Pac. J. Math. 58 (1975) 531-538.

[8] E. Lutwak, *Intersection bodies and dual mixed volumes*, Adv. Math. 71 (1988) 232-261.

[9] E. Lutwak, *Centroid bodies and dual mixed volumes*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 60 (1990) 365-391.

[10] E. Lutwak, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey Theory I: Mixed Volumes and the Minkowski Problem*, J. Diff. Geom. 38 (1993) 131-150.

[11] E. Lutwak, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey Theory II: Affine and geominimal surface areas*, Adv. Math. 118 (1996) 244-294.

[12] G. Leng, *The Brunn-Minkowski inequality for volume differrences*, Adv. in Appl. Math. 32 (2004) 615-624.

[13] L. Losonczi, Z. Páles, *Inequalities for indedinite forms*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 205 (1997) 148-156.

[14] Q. Li, W. Sheng, X. Wang *Flow by Gauss curvature to the Aleksandrov and dual Minkowski problems*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 22 (2020) 893–923.

[15] E. Lutwak, D. Yang, G. Zhang, L_p *dual curvature measures*, Adv. math. 329 (2018) 85-132.

[16] N. Li, D. Ye, B. Zhu, *The dual Minkowski problem for unbounded closed convex sets*, Math. Ann. (to appear).

[17] E. Milman, L. Rotem, *Complemented Brunn-Minkowski inequalities and isoperimetry for homogeneous and non-homogeneous measures* Adv. Math. 262 (2014) 867-908; Corrigendum: Adv. Math. 307 (2017) 1378-1379.

[18] R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, 2nd edn, Ency. Math. Its Appl. Cambridge University Press, Combridge (2014).

[19] R. Schneider, *A Brunn-Minkowski theory for coconvex sets of finite volume*, Adv. Math. 332 (2018) 199-234.

[20] R. Schneider, *Minkowski type theorems for convex sets in cones*, Acta Math. Hung. 164 (2021) 282-295.

[21] R. Schneider, *Pseudo-cones*, arXiv: 2305.00452v1.

[22] R. Schneider, *A weighted Minkowski theorem for pseudo-cones*, arXiv: 2310.19562v1.

[23] Y. Xu, J. Li, G. Leng, *Dualities and endomorphisms of pseudo-cones*. Adv. in Appl. Math. 142 (2023) 31pp.

[24] J. Yang, D. Ye, B. Zhu, *On the L_p Brunn-Minkowski theory and the L_p Minkowski problem for C-coconvex sets*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 7 (2023) 6252-6290.

[25] G. Zhang, *Centered bodies and dual mixed volumes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 345 (1994) 777-801.

Xudong Wang, `xdwang@snnu.edu.cn`
School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an, 710119, China

Tingting Xiang, `ttxiang@snnu.edu.cn`
School of Mathematics and Statistics, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an, 710119, China