arXiv:2407.19240v3 [math.CV] 14 Aug 2024

ON THE INTEGRAL MEANS SPECTRUM OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS WITH QUASCONFORMAL EXTENSIONS

JIANJUN JIN

Abstract. In this note we show that the integral means spectrum of any univalent function admitting a quasiconformal extension to the extended complex plane is strictly less than the universal integral means spectrum. This gives an affirmative answer to a question raised in our recent paper [8].

1. Introduction

Let $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ denote the unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. We denote the extended complex plane by $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ We denote the class of all univalent functions f in Δ by $\mathcal{U}$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the class of all univalent functions f in Δ with $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ and let $\mathcal{S}_b$ be the subclass of $\mathcal{S}$ which consists of all bounded univalent functions.

Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The *integral means spectrum* $\beta_f(t)$ for $f \in \mathcal{U}$ is defined as

$$\beta_f(t) = \limsup_{r\to 1^-} \frac{\log \int_0^{2\pi} |f'(re^{i\theta})|^t d\theta}{|\log(1-r)|}.$$

The *universal integral mean spectrums* $B(t)$ and $B_b(t)$ are defined as

$$B(t) = \sup_{f\in\mathcal{S}} \beta_f(t),\ B_b(t) = \sup_{f\in\mathcal{S}_b} \beta_f(t).$$

In the theory of univalent functions, it is an important topic to find out the exact values of the universal integral mean spectrum $B(t)$ or $B_b(t)$. We recall some main known results about $B(t)$ and $B_b(t)$.

- It was observed by Makarov in [11] that

$$B(t) = \max\{B_b(t), 3t-1\},\ t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- For large t, Feng and MacGregor shown in [5] that

$$B(t) = 3t - 1,\ t \geq \frac{2}{5}.$$

- Kayumov proved in [9] that

$$B(t) > \frac{t^2}{5},\ 0 < t \leq \frac{2}{5}.$$

- It is known from [12] that

$$B_b(t) = t - 1,\ t \geq 2.$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C55; Secondary 30C62.

Key words and phrases. Integral means spectrum of univalent function, universal integral mean spectrum, quasiconformal mapping, .

The author was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11501157).

• In 1994, Carleson and Makarov obtained in [4] that, there is a constant $t_* < 0$ such that

$$B_b(t) = B(t) = |t| - 1, \ t \le t_*.$$

Remark 1.1. An open problem is to determine the optimal t_*. It is known that $t_* \le -2$. It is conjectured that $t_* = -2$, or equivalently, that $B(-2) = 1$, is usually referred to as Brennan's conjecture.

• By the experimental work, Kraetzer has conjectured in [10] that

$$B_b(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t^2}{4}, & \text{if } |t| \le 2, \\ |t| - 1, & \text{if } |t| > 2. \end{cases}$$

• More results on the integral means spectrum and related topics can be found in the monograph [6] and recent survey [7] and references cited therein.

Now, we let $\mathcal{S}_Q$ be the class of all univalent functions f that belong to $\mathcal{S}_b$ and admit a quasiconformal extension to $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. By the fractal approximation principle, see [11], [3], we know that

Theorem 1.2. *For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$B_b(t) = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{S}_Q} \beta_f(t).$$

In views of Theorem 1.2, we see that determining the exact values of $B_b(t)$ can be thought of as an extremal problem in the class $\mathcal{S}_q$. In a recent work [8], we introduced and studied the *integral means spectrum* (IMS) functionals on the Teichmüller spaces. It was proved in [8] that the IMS functionals on the closure of the universal Teichmüller space and on the universal asymptotic Teichmüller space are both continuous. In the last section of the paper [8], inspired by the theory of holomorphic motions and the potential theory, we raised the following

Question 1.3. *Whether $\beta_{f_\mu}(t) < B_b(t)$ for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta^*)$ when $t \neq 0$?*

The definitions of the notations in Question 1.3 will be given below. In this note, we will give an affirmative answer to this question. We will present the main result of this paper and its proof in the next section.

2. **Main result and its proof**

In this section, we shall give the main result of this paper and its proof. We first introduce some notations and definitions. Let D be a simply connected proper subdomain of $\mathbb{C}$. We let ρ_D denote the hyperbolic metric with curvature -4 in D. Then we have

$$\rho_D(w) = \frac{|g'(w)|}{1 - |g(w)|^2}, \ w \in D.$$

Here g is a univalent function from D to Δ. In particular, $\rho_\Delta(z) = (1 - |z|^2)^{-1}, z \in \Delta$. Let f be a locally univalent function in an open domain Ω of $\mathbb{C}$. The *Pre-Schwarzian derivative* of f, denoted by N_f, is defined as

$$N_f(w) = \frac{f''(w)}{f'(w)}, \ w \in \Omega.$$

It is well known that, for all $f \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$|N_f(z)|\rho_\Delta^{-1}(z) = |N_f(z)|(1 - |z|^2) \le 6.$$

.

We say a sense-preserving homeomorphism f, from one open domain Ω in $\mathbb{C}$ to another, is a quasiconformal mapping if it has locally square integral distributional derivatives and satisfies the Beltrami equation $\bar{\partial} f = \mu_f \partial f$ with

$$\|\mu_f\|_\infty = \operatorname*{ess\,sup}_{z\in\Omega} |\mu_f(z)| < 1.$$

Here the function $\mu_f(z)$ is called the *Beltrami coefficient* of f and

$$\bar{\partial} f := \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) f, \;\; \partial f := \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) f.$$

Let $\Delta^* = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} - \overline{\Delta}$ be the exterior of Δ. We use $\mathcal{M}(\Delta^*)$ to denote the open unit ball of the Banach space $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\Delta^*)$ of essentially bounded measurable functions in Δ^*. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta^*)$, let f_μ be the quasiconformal mapping in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with complex dilatation equal to μ in Δ^*, equal to 0 in Δ, normalized $f_\mu \in \mathcal{S}$ and $f_\mu(\infty) = \infty$.

The following theorem is the main result of this paper, which seems surprising.

Theorem 2.1. *For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta^*), t \neq 0$, we have*

$$\beta_{f_\mu}(t) < B_b(t). \tag{2.1}$$

To prove Theorem 2.1, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\Delta^*)$. (1) If $\beta_{f_\mu}(t_0) > 0$ for some $t_0 > 0$, then $\beta_{f_\mu}(t)$ is strictly increasing on $[t_0, +\infty)$. (2) If $\beta_{f_\mu}(t_0) > 0$ for some $t_0 < 0$, then $\beta_{f_\mu}(t)$ is strictly decreasing on $(-\infty, t_0]$.*

Proof. When $t_0 > 0$, let $\beta := \beta_{f_\mu}(t_0) > 0$. Let $\varepsilon < \beta$ be a positive number, which will be fixed later. Then we see from the definition of integral means spectrum of f_μ that there is a sequences $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with $r_n < 1$ and $r_n \to 1$ as $n \to \infty$ and such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f'_\mu(r_n e^{i\theta})|^{t_0} d\theta > \frac{1}{(1-r_n)^{\beta-\varepsilon}}.$$

We let

$$A_n := \int_0^{2\pi} |f'_\mu(r_n e^{i\theta})|^{t_0} d\theta, \;\; D_n := \frac{1}{(1-r_n)^{\beta-\varepsilon}}, \;\; n \in \mathbb{N},$$

and define

$$E_n := \{\theta : |f'_\mu(r_n e^{i\theta})|^{t_0} > \frac{D_n}{2\pi}, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\},$$

$$F_n := \{\theta : |f'_\mu(r_n e^{i\theta})|^{t_0} \leq \frac{D_n}{2\pi}, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}.$$

Then it is easy to see that $E_n \bigcup F_n = [0, 2\pi)$ and $E_n \bigcap F_n = \emptyset$. We denote

$$I_E = \int_{E_n} |f'_\mu(r_n e^{i\theta})|^{t_0} d\theta, \;\; I_F = \int_{F_n} |f'_\mu(r_n e^{i\theta})|^{t_0} d\theta.$$

(I) If $I_E \geq \frac{1}{2}A_n$, then, for $\Delta t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{2\pi} |f'_\mu(r_n e^{i\theta})|^{t_0+\Delta t} d\theta &\geq \int_{E_n} |f'_\mu(r_n e^{i\theta})|^{t_0+\Delta t} d\theta \\ &\geq \Big(\frac{D_n}{2\pi}\Big)^{\frac{\Delta t}{t_0}} \int_{E_n} |f'_\mu(r_n e^{i\theta})|^{t_0} d\theta \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{D_n}{2\pi}\Big)^{\frac{\Delta t}{t_0}} A_n \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}[2\pi]^{-\frac{\Delta t}{t_0}}[D_n]^{1+\frac{\Delta t}{t_0}} := C_1(t_0,\Delta t)[D_n]^{1+\frac{\Delta t}{t_0}}.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f'_\mu(r_n e^{i\theta})|^{t_0+\Delta t} d\theta \geq \frac{C_1(t_0,\Delta t)}{(1-r_n)^{(\beta-\varepsilon)(1+\frac{\Delta t}{t_0})}}. \tag{2.2}$$

(II) If $I_F \geq \frac{1}{2}A_n$, we set

$$G_n := \{\theta : |f'_\mu(r_n e^{i\theta})|^{t_0} \leq \frac{1}{16}\frac{D_n}{2\pi}, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\},$$

$$H_n := \{\theta : \frac{1}{16}\frac{D_n}{2\pi} < |f'_\mu(r_n e^{i\theta})|^{t_0} \leq \frac{D_n}{2\pi}, \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\}.$$

We easily see that $G_n \bigcup H_n = F_n$ and $G_n \bigcap H_n = \emptyset$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{H_n} |f'_\mu(r_n e^{i\theta})|^{t_0} d\theta &= \int_{F_n} |f'_\mu(r_n e^{i\theta})|^{t_0} d\theta - \int_{G_n} |f'_\mu(r_n e^{i\theta})|^{t_0} d\theta \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}A_n - 2\pi\frac{1}{16}\frac{D_n}{2\pi} \geq \frac{7}{16}D_n.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{2\pi} |f'_\mu(r_n e^{i\theta})|^{t_0+\Delta t} d\theta &\geq \int_{H_n} |f'_\mu(r_n e^{i\theta})|^{t_0+\Delta t} d\theta \\ &\geq \Big(\frac{D_n}{32\pi}\Big)^{\frac{\Delta t}{t_0}} \int_{H_n} |f'_\mu(r_n e^{i\theta})|^{t_0} d\theta \\ &\geq \frac{7}{16}[32\pi]^{-\frac{\Delta t}{t_0}}[D_n]^{1+\frac{\Delta t}{t_0}} := C_2(t_0,\Delta t)[D_n]^{1+\frac{\Delta t}{t_0}}.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we obtain that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f'_\mu(r_n e^{i\theta})|^{t_0+\Delta t} d\theta \geq \frac{C_2(t_0,\Delta t)}{(1-r_n)^{(\beta-\varepsilon)(1+\frac{\Delta t}{t_0})}}. \tag{2.3}$$

Now, for any $\Delta t > 0$, taking

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\beta\Delta t}{t_0+\Delta t} \in (0, \beta),$$

we see that

$$(\beta-\varepsilon)(1+\frac{\Delta t}{t_0}) = \beta + \frac{\beta\Delta t}{2t_0}.$$

Thus, it follows from (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |f'_\mu(r_n e^{i\theta})|^{t_0+\Delta t} d\theta \geq \frac{C_2(t_0,\Delta t)}{(1-r_n)^{\beta+\frac{\beta\Delta t}{2t_0}}},$$

since $C_2(t_0, \Delta t) \leq C_1(t_0, \Delta t)$. This implies that

$$\beta_{f_\mu}(t_0 + \Delta t)) \geq \beta + \frac{\beta \Delta t}{3t_0} > \beta_{f_\mu}(t_0) = \beta.$$

This proves the part (1) of the lemma.

When $t_0 < 0, \beta_{f_\mu}(t_0) > 0$, replacing f'_μ by $\frac{1}{f'_\mu}$ in the above arguments, we can similarly show that the part (2) also holds. The proof of Lemma 2.2 is finished. □

We next present the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. First, it is easy to see that if $\beta_{f_\mu}(t) = 0$, then (2.1) obviously holds. We consider the case when $t > 0, \beta_{f_\mu}(t) > 0$. We define a locally univalent function $\mathbf{h}$ on the domain $\Omega := f_\mu(\Delta)$ by

$$\mathbf{h}(w) = \int_0^w [f'_\mu(g(\zeta))]^\varepsilon d\zeta, \ w \in f_\mu(\Delta).$$

Here $g = f_\mu^{-1}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small number. Then

$$\mathbf{h}' \circ f_\mu(z) = [f'_\mu(z)]^\varepsilon, z \in \Delta, \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\mathbf{h}'' \circ f_\mu(z) \cdot f'_\mu(z) = \varepsilon [f'_\mu(z)]^{\varepsilon - 1} f''_\mu(z), z \in \Delta. \tag{2.5}$$

Consequently, we obtain from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} |N_{\mathbf{h}}(w)| \rho_\Omega^{-1}(w) &= \Big| \frac{\mathbf{h}''(w)}{\mathbf{h}'(w)} \Big| \frac{1 - |g(w)|^2}{|g'(w)|} \\ &= \Big| \frac{\mathbf{h}'' \circ f_\mu(z)}{\mathbf{h}' \circ f_\mu(z)} \Big| \cdot |f'_\mu(z)|(1 - |z|^2) \\ &= \varepsilon |N_{f_\mu}(z)| \rho_\Delta^{-1}(z) \leq 6\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from [2] or [1], [13], we see that $\mathbf{h}$ is bounded univalent in Ω and admits a quasiconformal extension (still denoted by $\mathbf{h}$) to $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ when ε small enough. Now, we consider the mapping $\mathbf{F}(z) = \mathbf{h} \circ f_\mu(z), z \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $\mathbf{F}$ is a quasiconformal mapping from $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ to itself and it is bounded conformal in Δ. We let $\mathcal{F} = \mathbf{F}|_\Delta$. Then we see that $\mathcal{F}$ is bounded univalent in Δ and admits a quasiconformal extension to $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} |\mathcal{F}'(re^{i\theta})|^t d\theta &= \int_0^{2\pi} |\mathbf{h}' \circ f_\mu(re^{i\theta})|^t |f'_\mu(re^{i\theta})|^t d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{2\pi} |f'_\mu(re^{i\theta})|^{t + t\varepsilon} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we see from the part (1) of Lemma 2.2 that $\beta_{\mathcal{F}}(t) > \beta_{f_\mu}(t)$, which implies that $\beta_{f_\mu}(t) < B_b(t)$.

We next consider the case when $t < 0, \beta_{f_\mu}(t) > 0$. Repeating the above arguments and by using the part (2) of Lemma 2.2, we can show that $\beta_{f_\mu}(t) < B_b(t)$ is true in this case. The theorem is proved. □

3. **Remarks**

Remark 3.1. For each $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, let $\mathcal{S}_\theta$ be the class of all univalent functions $f \in \mathcal{S}$ which admit a quasiconformal extension to $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and satisfy that $\lim_{\Delta \ni z \to e^{i\theta}} f(z) = \infty$.

By checking the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can show that

Proposition 3.2. *Let $t \neq 0$. (1) We have $\beta_f(t) < B_b(t)$ for any $f \in \mathcal{S}_Q$.*
(2) For each $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, we have $\beta_f(t) < B(t)$ for any $f \in \mathcal{S}_\theta$.

Remark 3.3. Let

$$\mathcal{T} := \{\phi : \phi = N_f(z), f \in \mathcal{S}_Q\}.$$

For any ϕ belonging to $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$, the closure of $\mathcal{T}$, there is a unique univalent function $f_\phi(z)$ with $f_\phi \in \mathcal{S}$ and such that $\phi(z) = N_{f_\phi}(z)$. From [8, Proposition 5.5], we know that

Proposition 3.4. *For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the IMS functional $I_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}} : \phi \mapsto \beta_{f_\phi}(t)$ is continuous on $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$.*

If the IMS functional $I_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}} : \phi \mapsto \beta_{f_\phi}(t)$ attains a maximum on $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$, then we can see that $B_b(t)$ must has an extremal function and the Pre-Schwarzian derivative of the extremal function for $B_b(t)$ must lie in $\partial\mathcal{T}$. Here we say a function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is an *extremal function* for $B_b(t)$ if $\beta_f(t) = B_b(t)$. The examples (I) and (II) listed in [8] tell us that $I_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}}$ attains a maximum on $\partial\mathcal{T}$ when $t \geq 2$ or $t \leq t_*$. Hence we guess that

Conjecture 3.5. *For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the IMS functional $I_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}} : \phi \mapsto \beta_{f_\phi}(t)$ attains a maximum on $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$.*

It is easy to see that this conjecture implies that

Conjecture 3.6. *For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $B_b(t)$ must has an extremal function and the Pre-Schwarzian derivative of the extremal function for $B_b(t)$ must lie in $\partial\mathcal{T}$.*

References

[1] Astala K., Gehring F., *Injectivity, the BMO norm and the universal Teichmüller space*, .J. Analyse Math., 46(1986), pp. 16-57.
[2] Becker J., *Löwnersche Differentialgleichung und quasikonforme fortsetzbare schlichte Funktionen*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 255 (1972), pp. 23-43.
[3] Carleson L., Jones P., *On coefficient problems for univalent functions and conformal dimension*, Duke Math. J., 66 (1992), no. 2, pp. 169-206.
[4] Carleson L., Makarov N., *Some results connected with Brennan's conjecture*, Ark. Mat., 32 (1994), no. 1, pp. 33-62.
[5] Feng J., MacGregor T., *Estimates on the integral means of the derivatives of univalent functions*, J. Anal. Math., 29 (1976), pp. 203-231.
[6] Garnett J., Marshall D., *Harmonic Measure*, New Math. Monogr., vol. 2, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2005.
[7] Hedenmalm H., Sola A., *Spectral notions for conformal maps: a survey*, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory, 8 (2008), no. 1-2, pp. 447-474.
[8] Jin J., *Integral means spectrum functionals on Teichmüller spaces*, preprint.
[9] Kayumov I., *Lower estimates for integral means of univalent functions*, Ark. Mat., 44 (2006), pp. 104-110.
[10] Kraetzer P., *Experimental bounds for the universal integral means spectrum of conformal maps*, Complex Variables Theory Appl., 31(1996), pp. 305-309.
[11] Makarov N., *Fine structure of harmonic measure*, St. Petersburg Math. J., 10 (1999), no. 2, pp. 217-268.

[12] Pommerenke C., *The integral means spectrum of univalent functions*, J. Math. Sci. (New York), 95(1999), no.3, pp. 2249-2255.
[13] Zhuravlev I., *Model of the universal Teichmüller space*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh., 27(1986), pp. 75-82.

School of Mathematics Sciences, Hefei University of Technology, Xuancheng Campus, Xuancheng 242000, P.R.China
Email address: jin@hfut.edu.cn, jinjjhb@163.com