arXiv:alg-geom/9601002v3 22 Jan 1996

# Birational models for varieties of Poncelet curves

Matei Toma

Universität Osnabrück
Fachbereich Mathematik/Informatik
49069 Osnabrück, Germany
and
Institute of Mathematics of the Roumanian Academy
P.O.Box 1-764, RO-70700 Bucharest, Romania.

We consider Poncelet pairs $(S, C)$, where $S$ is a smooth conic and $C$ is a degree$-c$ plane curve having the Poncelet property with respect to $S$. We prove that for $c > 4$ the projection $(S, C) \mapsto C$ is generically one-to-one and use this to describe a birational model of the variety of Poncelet curves for $c$ odd.

## Introduction

A pair $(S, C)$ consisting of a smooth conic $S$ and a curve $C$ of degree $c$ in the complex projective plane will be called a Poncelet pair if there exist $c + 1$ tangents to $S$ whose $\binom{c+1}{2}$ intersection points lie on $C$. $C$ will then be called Poncelet related to $S$ or simply a Poncelet curve. A theorem of Darboux ([3]) states that in this case there is an infinity of such sets of $c + 1$ tangents.

The Poncelet curves reappeared in a natural way in the study of stable vector bundles on projective spaces, e.g. in [1], [5], [2], [11]. Motivated by these developments, Trautmann gave a modern description of their geometry in [12].

In this paper we consider the variety $Pon_c$ of Poncelet curves of degree $c$. It is the image of the space of Poncelet pairs $(S, C)$ through the second projection. We show that for $c \geq 5$, this projection is birational. This fails for $c \leq 3$, simply because of dimension reasons, and has been proved for $c = 4$ by Le Potier via the study of divisors on the corresponding moduli space of

$rank - 2$ semi-stable sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^2$, ([8]). As a corollary, we get the rationality of $Pon_c$ for every $c$.

The generic injectivity of the above mentioned projection brings some evidence for (and maybe could help proving) its generalizations to:

- Barth's morphism which associates to a stable $rank - 2$ vector bundle of type $(0, c)$ on $\mathbb{P}^2$ its curve of jumping lines ([1]), and
- the restriction of the previous to Hulsbergen bundles. (The image will be the variety of Darboux curves, cf. [1], [4]).

In the last paragraph we describe a projective birational model of $Pon_c$ when $c$ is odd.

# § 1 Preliminaries

For the proofs of the facts stated in this paragraph as well as for further descriptions we refer the reader to [12].

Let $W$ be a 3-dimensional complex vector space, $S$ a smooth conic in $\mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{P}(W)$, $c$ a positive integer and $\Lambda$ a pencil of degree-$(c+1)$ divisors on $S$. We consider the intersection points of the tangents to $S$ at the points of some member $D$ of $\Lambda$. When $D$ moves in $\Lambda$, these intersection points describe a curve $C = C(\Lambda)$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$. It is clear that the tangents at the base points of $\Lambda$ will be components of $C$. We require that their multiplicity in $C$ be equal to that of the base points in $D$. Then $C$ has degree $c$, is called **Poncelet related to** $S$ or simply a **Poncelet curve** and $(S, C)$ is called a **Poncelet pair**.

That this definition is equivalent to that of the introduction follows from the

**Theorem.** *(Darboux) Let $S$ be a smooth conic and $C$ a curve of degree $c$ in $\mathbb{P}^2$. If there exist $c+1$ tangents to $S$ such that their intersection points lie on $C$, then $C$ is Poncelet related to $S$.*

The base points of $\Lambda$ correspond to tangents to $S$ which are components of $C(\Lambda)$ and the residual curve (obtained by eliminating these components) is again Poncelet related to $S$ and associated to the pencil obtained from $\Lambda$ by subtracting its base points.

For $\Lambda$ generic $C(\Lambda)$ is smooth.

Let's denote by $G_S(1, c+1)$ the Grassmannian of pencils of degree-$(c+1)$ effective divisors on $S$. The map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} G_S(1,c+1) & \to & \mathbb{P}(S^cW^*) = \mathbb{P}^{\binom{c+2}{2}-1} \\ \Lambda & \mapsto & C(\Lambda) \end{array}$$

coincides with the Plücker embedding (for a suitable choice of coordinates, [12]). Thus, there is a 1:1 correspondence between $G_S(1, c+1)$ and the variety $Pon_{c,S}$ of curves $C$ which are Poncelet related to $S$.

Let $U$ be the open set of $\mathbb{P}(S^2W^*)$ which parameterizes smooth conics in $\mathbb{P}^2$, $I_U \subset U \times \mathbb{P}^2$ the tautological conic bundle over $U$, $I_U^{(c+1)}$ the Hilbert scheme of degree-$(c+1)$ effective divisors in the fibers of $I_U \to U$ (which is a $\mathbb{P}^{c+1}$-fibration over $U$) and $G_U(1, c+1)$ the relative Grassmannian of lines in the fibers of $I_U^{(c+1)} \to U$. We shall identify $G_U(1, c+1)$ with the subvariety $Pon_{c,U}$ of Poncelet pairs in $U \times \mathbb{P}(S^cW^*)$. (The equations of $Pon_{c,U}$ are given in [12]).

We shall examine the second projection $pr_2 : Pon_{c,U} \to \mathbb{P}(S^cW^*)$. Its image is the variety of degree-$c$ Poncelet curves $Pon_c$.

We end this paragraph with some elementary remarks on Poncelet curves to be used later:

*Remarks.* Let $S$ be a smooth conic.

1.1 If $C$ is Poncelet related to $S$, then the only components of $C$ which may be multiple are tangent lines to $S$. (Apply Bertini's theorem to the associated pencil, $\Lambda$.)

1.2 If $C_1 + C_2$ and $C_1 + C_2'$ are two elements of $Pon_{c,S}$ such that $C_2$ and $C_2'$ have no common component, then $C_1$ is Poncelet related to $S$. (Start from a point on $C_1$, draw tangents to $S$ and continue this procedure from the intersection points with $C_1$. The process stops after a finite number of steps. If there exist intersection points of the drawn tangents not on $C_1$, then these points lie on a common component of $C_2$ and $C_2'$).

1.3 Let $C_1, C_1 + C_2$ be Poncelet related to $S$ with associated pencils $\Lambda_1$ and $\Lambda$, respectively. If $\Lambda$ has no base points ,

then the induced morphism $\varphi_\Lambda : S \to \Lambda^* \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ factorizes through $\varphi_{\Lambda'} : S \to \Lambda'^*$. In particular, $\deg C_1 + 1$ divides $\deg(C_1 + C_2) + 1$.

1.4 If $C$ is a singular conic Poncelet related to $S$, then one of its components is tangent to $S$. (Follows from 1.3).

# § 2 Generic injectivity of $pr_2$

**Theorem.** *When $c \geq 5$, the projection $Pon_{c,U} \to Pon_c$ is birational.*

*Remarks.*

2.1 The corresponding statement for $c = 4$ (and more) is proven in [8].

2.2 $Pon_{c,U}$ is rational ([6], Prop.2.2), hence so is $Pon_c$ too.

The theorem follows by a dimension count out of the following

**Proposition.** *Let $S, S'$ be distinct smooth conics. Then*

*(A) for $c \geq 3$, $\dim(Pon_{c,S} \cap Pon_{c,S'}) \leq c$.*

*(B) $Pon_{5,S} \cap Pon_{5,S'}$ is at most 4-dimensional at points represented by smooth quintics.*

Indeed, let us estimate the dimension of $\tilde{G}_S := \overline{pr_2^{-1}(Pon_{c,S}) \backslash G_S(1, c+1)}$. By part (A) of the Proposition, $\dim \tilde{G}_S \leq c + 5$. Thus, for $c \geq 6$ we have $\dim pr_2(\tilde{G}_S) < 2c = \dim Pon_{c,S}$ proving the theorem in this case.

When $c = 5$, we use part (B) for a slightly modified $\tilde{G}_S$, $\tilde{G}_S := \overline{pr_2^{-1}(Reg_{c,S}) \backslash G_S(1, c+1)}$, where $Reg_{c,S}$ is the set of smooth Poncelet curves related to $S$.

In order to prove the Proposition we look at the linear projection of $Pon_{c,S} \cap Pon_{c,S'}$ with center $L_d := \{\text{degree-}c \text{ curves in } \mathbb{P}(W) \text{ allowing } d \text{ as a component}\} \subset \mathbb{P}(S^c W^*)$, for some common tangent line $d$ to $S$ and $S'$.

Let $d$ be the tangent at $P$ to the smooth conic $S$. Then $Pon_{c,S} \cap L_d \cong Pon_{c-1,S}$ corresponds to degree-$c$ pencils on $S$ having $P$ as base point (or equivalently, to the Schubert variety on $G_S(1, c+1)$ of lines contained in a hyperplane).

Let further $D$ be an element of $|\mathcal{O}_S(c+1)|$ containing $P$ and $L_D \subset G_S(1, c+1)$ the Schubert variety of lines through $D$. Then $L_D \cong \mathbb{P}^c$ is linear in $\mathbb{P}(S^cW^*)$ and cuts on $L_d$ a $(c-1)$-dimensional linear subspace, (the $L_{D-P}$ of $G_S(1, c)$). Thus $L_D \cup L_d$ spans a $c$-dimensional linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}(S^cW^*)$.

Conversely, for every $c$-codimensional linear subspace $F$ which contains $L_d$, these exists some $D$ as above such that $F \cap Pon_{c,S} = L_D \cup (Pon_{c,S} \cap L_d)$.

For two distinct divisors $D, D'$ as above, $L_D$ and $L_{D'}$ cut themselves in exactly one point lying on $L_d$. In particular, the projection of center $L_d$ displays $Pon_{c,S} \backslash L_d$ as a vector bundle over $|\mathcal{O}_S(c)|$.

Let now $V$ be an irreducible component of $Pon_{c,S} \cap Pon_{c,S'}$ not contained in $L_d$.

Let $\pi : V \backslash L_d \to |\mathcal{O}_S(c)|$ be the restriction to $V$ of the above projection, $t := \dim \pi(V \backslash L_d)$, and $f$ the dimension of a generic fiber of $\pi$. Note that the fibers of $\pi$ are linear.

**Lemma 2.1** *When $c \geq 2$, up to finitely many exceptions, the fibers of $\pi$ are at most $(c-1)$-dimensional.*

*Proof.* We choose a general hyperplane in $|\mathcal{O}_S(c)|$ and show that the fibers of $\pi$ over its points cannot be $c$-dimensional. Indeed, take $P_1$ on $S$ such that it doesn't belong to a common tangent to $S$ and $S'$. The divisors containing $P_1$ form a hyperplane in $|\mathcal{O}_S(c)|$. Take any such divisor and add $P$ to it in order to obtain a divisor $D = P + P_1 + P_2 + \ldots + P_c$ in $|O_S(c+1)|$. Take further a pencil with base points $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_{c-1}$ and containing $D$. Its associated Poncelet curve is the union of the tangents at $P_1, \ldots, P_{c-1}$ to $S$ and a line through the intersection point of $d$ with the tangent to $S$ at $P_c$. By Remarks 1.2 and 1.3 not all such curves are Poncelet related to $S'$. □

*Proof of part (A) of the Proposition*

We argue by induction on $c$.

Let $c = 3$ and suppose that $t + f > 3$. Then $t \geq 2$ by Lemma 2.1. We pick then a divisor $D = P + P_1 + P_2 + P_3$ on $S$ and look at the fiber of $\pi$ contained in $L_D$.

We get a divisor $D' = P' + P'_1 + P'_2 + P'_3$ on $S'$ such that this fiber is exactly $L_D \cap L_{D'} \backslash L_d$. ($L_{D'}$ is to be considered with respect to $S'$). Note that $P_1, P_2$ or $P_1, P'_2$ may be freely chosen so that this fiber be non empty.

Denote by $d_i, d'_i$ the tangents at $P_i$ to $S$ and at $P'_i$ to $S'$, respectively, $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We index our $P'_i - s$ so that $d \cap d_i = d \cap d'_i$.

Choose now $P_1, P'_2$ such that the point $d_1 \cup d'_2$ does not lie on a common tangent to $S$ and $S'$. In particular, it will not lie on both $d_3$ and $d'_3$, say not on $d'_3$.

The Poncelet curves in $L_{D'} \cap L_D$ touch $d'_2$ at its intersection points with $d, d'_1$ and $d'_3$. (If one of the $P'_i$ had multiplicity $(k+1)$ in $D'$ then the condition on the corresponding Poncelet curves would be to touch $S$ at $P'_i$ with multiplicity $k$, by [12], Prop. 2.6). If we impose to such a curve that $d_1$ be a component (which we may do since $f \geq 1$), we get that $d'_2$ must be a component too. By Remark 1.4 the third component of our cubic is tangent to both $S$ and $S'$, so $f = 1$ and $t = 3$. This allows a free choice on $P'_3$ too and we get that the tangents through $P'_2$ and $P'_3$ to $S'$ form a conic which is Poncelet related to $S$. But this contradicts Remark 1.4.

Let now $c > 3$.

**Case (A1)** $t > \dfrac{c}{2}$, $f \geq 1$.
We choose as above $D = P + P_1 + \ldots + P_c$ and get in the same way a $D' = P' + P'_1 + \ldots + P'_c$ such that $L_D \cap L_{D'} \backslash L_s \neq \emptyset$. In doing this $P_1, \ldots, P_t$ are free.

We claim that the choice may be done such that $d_1$ intersects $d'_2, \ldots, d'_t$ away from the vertices of the polygon $d, d'_1, \ldots, d'_c$ (with the obvious extension of notation). If this were not true then there would exist a point, say $P'_{t+1}$, in a "bad position", e.g. such that $d_1 \cap d'_2 \cap d'_{t+1} \neq \emptyset$. We look then at $P_2$ instead of $P_1$ and may find a second point $P'_{t+2}$, in a bad position, and continue in this way. The assumption $t > \dfrac{c}{2}$ shows now that our claim holds.

Next, from $f \geq 1$ it follows that we may degenerate a curve

$C$ in our fiber, so that $d_1$ and hence also $d_2', \ldots, d_t'$ become its components. By Remarks 1.2-4 the other components of $C$ are not all tangent lines to $S$, otherwise we move the lines $d_i'$, $2 \leq i \leq t$. In particular $t < c$.

If $f \geq 2$, then we may still move our curve $C$ (in its class), fact which combined with Remark 1.3 shows the existence of a pair of degrees $(a, b)$ such that $t - 1 \leq a < b < c$ and $a + 1$ divides $b + 1$. But this would imply $t \leq \frac{c}{2}$, which proves that $f = 1$ and $f + t \leq c$.

**Case (A2)** $f > \frac{c}{2}$

The proof is similar to that of Lemma 1.

We may first assume that the general $D = P + P_1 + \ldots + P_c$ in the image of $\pi$ doesn't contain any tangency point of a common tangent to $S$ and $S'$ excepting $P$. Otherwise we restrict our attention to the subvariety of Poncelet curves containing this tangent and apply the induction hypothesis.

In the fiber of $\pi$ over $D$ we require that the tangents $d_1, \ldots, d_{f-1}$ at $P_1, \ldots, P_{f-1}$ to $S$ be components of the Poncelet curves $C$. Then by Remarks 1.3 and 1.4 there exists a pair of degrees $(a, b)$ with $f - 1 \leq a < b \leq c$ and $a + 1$ divides $b + 1$. This forces $f = \frac{c+1}{2}$ proving part (A) of the Proposition. □

*Proof of part (B) of the Proposition*

Keeping our previous notations we assume that $t + f = c = 5$.

**Case (B1)**, $t = 5$.

Since in this case $\pi$ is dominant we find for any choice of points $P_1, \ldots, P_c$ on $S$, an element in $L_D \cap V$, where $D = P + P_1 + \ldots + P_c$. Take $P_1$ on $S$ and $P_1'$ the corresponding point on $S'$ (draw the tangent $d_1'$ from $d \cap d_1$ to $S'$). Choose further a point $Q$ on $d_1'$ and draw tangents from $Q$ to $S$. Let these tangents be $d_2, d_3$. A curve in $L_D \cap V$ has to contain $Q$ and the intersection points of $d_1'$ with $d, d_2', \ldots, d_c'$. Thus this curve has $d_1'$ as a component. In the same way, choosing $d_4$ through $d_2' \cap d_1$ fixes $d_2'$ as a component of $C$. We may still move our point $P_5$, and since $d_1' \cup d_2'$ is not in $Pon_{2,S}$, we get by Remarks 1.2 and 1.3 degrees $a, b$ with $3 \leq a < b \leq 6$ and $a + 1 \mid b + 1$. This is absurd so case (B1) cannot occur.

**Case (B2)**, $t = 4$.

As in case (A1) we may fix the components $d_1, d'_2, \ldots, d'_t$ as we wish. The last component of our Poncelet curve in not tangent to $S$ as already remarked in (A1). Since we may consider $d'_2, d'_3$ fixed and move $d'_4$ we get again a contradiction of Remarks 1.2 and 1.4. Case (B2) is thus excluded.

**Case (B3)**, $t = 3$, reduces itself to (A1).
**Case (B4)** $t = 2$.

We pick as usual two arbitrary tangent lines to $S$ and look at the curves in $V$ containing them. They form an at least 1-dimensional subvariety. Since these two tangents do not form a Poncelet conic with respect to $S'$ we get degrees $3 \leq a < b \leq c$ such that $a + 1$ divides $b + 1$. This implies $c \geq 7$ and excludes this case too.

**Case (B5)**, t=1.
If we find some $D = P + P_1 + \ldots + P_c$ in the "image" of $\pi$ containing two points which don't lie on common tangents to $S$ and $S'$ we shall argue as in (B4).

Let's assume then that $P_2, \ldots, P_c$ are fixed, all lying on common tangents. We have free choice on $P_1$. Imposing that $d_1$ become a component, we are left with an $(f-1)$-dimensional family of curves $C$ in $L_{D-P_1}$, Poncelet related to $S$, and such that $C + d_1$ belongs to $Pon_{c,S'}$. Applying to this family the same procedure with a different $P_1$, we get a 1-dimensional family of curves $C$ with the above properties with respect to both choices of $P_1$. The curves $C$ do not consist only of tangents to $S'$, since these would have to touch $S'$ only at $P'_2, \ldots, P'_c$. We thus get a contradiction of Remarks 1.2-4 when applied to $Pon_{c,S'}$.

**Case (B6)**, $t = 0$.
In this case there exist a divisor $D = P + P_1 + \ldots + P_c$ on $S$ such that $V = L_D$. The argument from (B4) shows that at most one point, $P_1$ say, is not on a common tangent to $S$ and $S'$. By requiring that $d_3, \ldots, d_6$ be components we reduce ourselves to the case $c = 2 = f$, $D = P + P_1 + P_2$. But this is excluded by showing that $d_2$ has to be a component of $C$.

If all points of $D$ are tangency points of common tangents to $S$ and $S'$, then either two of them are multiple in $D$ or one of them has multiplicity bigger than 3 in $D$. In both cases the associated Poncelet curves are all singular by [12], Proposition 5.1. □

# § 3 A projective birational model of $Pon_c$ for $c$ odd

For odd $c$, $G_U(1, c+1)$ is the relative Grassmannian of 2-dimensional subspaces of a rank-$(c+2)$ vector bundle over $U$. This vector bundle and thus also $G_U(1, c+1)$ may be extended to the whole of $\mathbb{P}(S^2W^*)$. When $c \geq 5$ one obtains a birational map from this extended relative Grassmannian to $\overline{Pon_c}$.

In this paragraph we describe the linear system which induces this birational map and its base locus as a set. (Note that the knowledge of the full scheme - structure of this base-locus would allow one to compute the degree of $\overline{Pon_c}$). Our method is to consider the stable rank-two vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}(W)$ associated to Poncelet curves and their curves of jumping lines.

Throughout this paragraph $c$ is assumed to be odd and bigger than 4.

The extension of $G_U(1, c+1)$ to $\mathbb{P}(S^2W^*)$ is obvious. Take $I \subset \mathbb{P}(S^2W^*) \times \mathbb{P}(W)$ the incidence variety "points of conics", $p_1 : \mathbb{P}(S^2W^*) \times \mathbb{P}(W) \to \mathbb{P}(S^2W^*)$ the first projection, $\mathcal{V} := p_{1,*}\mathcal{O}_I\left(0, \frac{c+1}{2}\right)$ and $G$ the relative Grassmannian of 2-dimensional subspaces in the fibers of $\mathcal{V}$. $G$ is then a compactification of $G_U(1, c+1)$.

Consider now a Poncelet pair $(S, C)$ with $S$ smooth and $C = C(\Lambda)$ with base-point-free pencil $\Lambda \subset \left|\mathcal{O}_S\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\right|$. (In this paragraph we use the notation $\mathcal{O}_S\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right) := \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)|_S$). $\Lambda$ induces a surjective morphism

$$\mathcal{O}^2_{\mathbb{P}(W)} \to \mathcal{O}_S\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right).$$

Let $F$ be its kernel. Then $F$ is a stable rank-2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}(W)$ with $c_1(F) = -2$, $c_2(F) = c+1$. and its jumping lines are exactly the lines joining the points of some divisor of $\Lambda$ (see [12], 4.1). Thus, the curve $C' \subset \mathbb{P}(W^*)$ of jumping lines of $F$ is Poncelet related to the conic $S'$ dual to $S$.

We shall make $F$ fit into a flat family of coherent rank-2 sheaves

over $G$, and examine the birational map associating the curves of jumping lines to the fibers of this family.

We start with some Lemmata.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let $0 \to E' \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^N \to E'' \to 0$ be an exact sequence with $E', E''$ coherent torsion-free, indecomposable sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^2$ and $c_1(E') = -1$. Then $N \leq 3$ and $E', E''$ are slope-stable.*

*Proof.* $E''$ torsion-free implies $E'$ locally-free. If $E'$ were not slope-stable, there would exist a locally free subsheaf $F$ of $E'$ with $c_1(F) = 0$. Standard arguments on slope-stable vector bundles (cf. [7], V 8.3) show that $F$ would be a direct summand in $E'$, contradicting the hypothesis.

Similarly, $E''$ must be slope-stable.

Let $r' := rank\ E'$, $r'' := rank\ E$.

Suppose $r' = 1$. Then $E' = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1)$ and the morphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-1) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^N$ is induced by $N$ sections in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$. Since $\Gamma(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$ is 3-dimensional we may choose when $N > 3$ a basis for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)^N$ such that $N-3$ components of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)^N$ vanish. But then $E''$ would split.

The case $r'' = 1$ is treated in the same way.

We are left with the situation $r' > 1$, $r'' > 1$. But now the Bogomolov inequality gives

$$c_2(E') \geq \frac{r'-1}{2r'} \cdot c_1(E')^2 > 0$$

$$c_2(E'') \geq \frac{r''-1}{2r''} \cdot c_1(E'')^2 > 0$$

hence $c_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}^N) = -1 + c_2(E') + c_2(E'') > 0$, a contradiction. □

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the kernel of the natural surjective morphism*

$$p_1^*\mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{O}_I\left(0, \frac{c+1}{2}\right)$$

*on $\mathbb{P}(S^2W^*) \times \mathbb{P}(W)$. Then $\mathcal{K}$ is locally free and its restrictions to the fibers of $p_1$ are slope-stable.*

*Proof.* The restriction to a fiber of $p_1$ over some point $s$ representing a conic $S$ gives an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{K} \mid_{p^{-1}(s)} \to \Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_S\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)} \to \mathcal{O}_S\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right) \to 0.$$

It is enough to check that $K := \mathcal{K} \mid_{p^{-1}(s)}$ is locally free and slope stable.

Checking the locally freeness is easy (compare $K$ to its double dual or just use the fact that $\mathcal{K}$ appears from an elementary transformation!).

Suppose that $K$ were not slope-stable.

Since $c_1(K) = -2$, there would exist a destabilizing subsheaf $K'$ of $K$ with $c_1(K') = -1$. By Lemma 3.1. $K'$ would have at most rank 2, hence the rank of $K$ could not exceed 4.

But rank $K = c+2$ and the Lemma follows from our assumption on $c$. □

Let $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ be the tautological sub- and quotient bundle on the relative Grassmannian $G$ of 2-dimensional subspaces in the fibers of $\mathcal{V}$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}$, $\mathcal{K}_G$, $\mathcal{V}_{G\times\mathbb{P}(W)}$ the pullbacks to $G \times \mathbb{P}(W)$ of $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{V}$, respectively. (Subscript will be used in the sequel to indicate pullbacks through obvious maps). Denote further by $\alpha$ the composite morphism

$$\mathcal{Q}^\vee_{\mathbb{P}(W)} \to \mathcal{V}^\vee_{G\times\mathbb{P}(W)} \to \mathcal{K}^\vee_G$$

on $G \times \mathbb{P}(W)$ and by $\mathcal{E}$ its cokernel.

*Remark.* One sees immediately that the fiber of $\mathcal{E}$ over a point of $G$ represented by a Poncelet pair $(S, C(\Lambda))$ with $S$ smooth and $\Lambda$ base-point-free is just the dual of the kernel $F$ of the natural morphism

$$\mathcal{O}^2_{\mathbb{P}^2(W)} \to \mathcal{O}_S\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right).$$

In particular, it is stable and locally free.

We claim that $\mathcal{E}$ is flat over $G$. This is a consequence of a local flatness criterion ([9]; 2.2.5) and the following

**Lemma 3.3** *The restrictions of $\alpha$ to the fibers of $G\times\mathbb{P}(W) \to G$ are injective and their cokernels are stable as soon as they are torsion-free.*

*Proof.* Fix a conic $S$ and a $c$-dimensional subspace $A$ of $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_S\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\right)^* \cong \Gamma(\mathbb{P}(W), K^\vee)$. The slope-stability of $K$ and Lemma 3.1 imply that the natural morphism $s : A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)} \to K^\vee$ is injective.

If Coker $s$ is torsion-free but not stable, it will admit a rank-1 subsheaf $E'$ with torsion-free quotient and $c_1(E') = 1$, $c_2(E') \leq \frac{c-1}{2}$. In particular, dim $Ext^1(E', \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}) \leq \frac{c-1}{2}$. We get a subsheaf $K'$ of $K^\vee$ with $c_1(K') = 1$, $rank(K') = c + 1$, and which sits in an exact sequence

$$0 \to A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)} \to K' \to E' \to 0.$$

This leads to a contradiction of the stability of $K$ in view of the following simple fact of homological algebra:

**Lemma 3.4** *Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector space, $\mathcal{C}$ a coherent sheaf on a compact complex manifold $X$ and*

$$0 \to A^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C} \to 0$$

*an extension given by an element*

$$\eta \in Ext^1(\mathcal{C}, A^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X) \cong Hom\,(A,\ Ext^1(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}_X))$$

*such that $A = A' \oplus A''$ and $\eta\,|_{A''} = 0$. Then*

$$\eta\,|_{A'} \in Hom\,(A',\ Ext^1(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{O}_X)) \cong Ext^1(\mathcal{C}, A'^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X)$$

*gives rise to a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A'^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}' & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

*with injective vertical maps.* □

Associating to a fiber of $\mathcal{E}$ over $G$ its divisor of jumping lines defines a rational map $G \to \mathbb{P}(S^c W)$ in the usual way. Indeed, we consider the standard diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(W^*) \\ \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{P}(W) & & \end{array}$$

where $\mathbb{F}$ is the incidence variety "points on lines", then take its product with $G$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times \mathbb{F} & \xrightarrow{q} & G \times \mathbb{P}(W^*) \\ \downarrow p & & \\ G \times \mathbb{P}(W) & & \end{array}$$

and look at the relative theta characteristic associated to $\mathcal{E}$,

$$\Theta(\mathcal{E}) := R^1 q_* p^* (\mathcal{E}(-2)).$$

One sees immediately that, for the torsion free fibers, $\mathcal{E}_g$ of $\mathcal{E}$, the corresponding fibers of $\Theta(\mathcal{E})$ are the usual theta-characteristics associated to a stable sheaf on $\mathbb{P}^2$ with even first Chern class (cf. [1]). In particular, their supports are the curves of jumping lines of the $\mathcal{E}_g - s$. Let $B$ be the subset of $G$ over which $\mathcal{E}$ has nontrivial torsion in its fibers. Then we get a morphism

$$G \backslash B \to \mathbb{P}(S^c W),$$

(see [10]).

From the defining sequence of $\mathcal{E}$ one obtains the following resolution of $\Theta(\mathcal{E})$ on $G \times \mathbb{P}(W^*)$

$$0 \to \mathcal{Q}^\vee_{\mathbb{P}(W^*)}(-1) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \left(R^1 p_{1*} \mathcal{K}^\vee\right)_{G \times \mathbb{P}(W^*)} \to \Theta(\mathcal{E}) \to 0.$$

In order to obtain the "support" $Z$ of $\Theta(\mathcal{E})$ one takes the determinant of $\varphi$ and twists it correspondingly to get:

$$0 \to \mathcal{L}^{-1} \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W^*)}(-c) \to \mathcal{O}_{G \times \mathbb{P}(W^*)} \to \mathcal{O}_Z \to 0$$

where

$$\mathcal{L} := \det \mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(S^2 W^*)} \left( -\frac{(c-1)(c-3)}{8} \right)_G .$$

Notice that $Z$ is not flat over $G$. In fact, its fibers over $B$ are 2-dimensional. Thus the rational map $G \to \mathbb{P}(S^c W)$ above is given by a linear subsystem of $|\mathcal{L}|$ and has base locus $B$. The structural sheaf of this base locus may be recovered by taking

the push-down on $G$ of the previous exact sequence twisted by (-3). One obtains for it the presentation

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W^*)}(c))^* \to \mathcal{O}_G \to R^2\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_Z(-3)) \to 0,$$

where $\pi : G \times \mathbb{P}(W^*) \to G$ is the projection.

Finally we want to identify $B$.

Let $\psi : \mathbb{P}(W^*) \times \mathbb{P}(W^*) \to \mathbb{P}(S^2W^*)$ be the map induced by the product, $p' : \mathbb{P}(W^*) \times \mathbb{P}(W^*) \times \mathbb{P}(W) \to \mathbb{P}(W^*) \times \mathbb{P}(W^*)$ the projection,

$$I_i = \{(l_1, l_2, x) \in \mathbb{P}(W^*) \times \mathbb{P}(W^*) \times \mathbb{P}(W) \mid x \in l_i\},\ i \in \{1, 2\},$$

the incidence varieties and $\mathcal{W} := p'_*(\mathcal{O}_{I_1}(0, -1, \frac{c-1}{2}))$.

**Proposition.** *$\mathcal{W}$ is a subbundle of $\psi^*\mathcal{V}$ and the natural map from the Grassmannian of 2-dimensional subspaces in the fibers of $\mathcal{W}$ to $G$ is an embedding whose image is $B$. In particular, $B$ is smooth of dimension $c + 5$.*

*Proof.* We examine when a fiber of $\mathcal{E}$ over $G$ admits nontrivial torsion. Fix a conic $S$ and a 2-dimensional subspace $L$ of $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_S\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\right)$. If $K$ and $E$ are the fibers of $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ over the corresponding point of $G$ we have exact sequences:

$$0 \to \left(\Gamma\left(\mathcal{O}_S\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\right) \Big/ L\right)^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)} \to K^\vee \to E \to 0,$$

$$o \to E^\vee \to L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathcal{O}_S\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right) \to \mathcal{E}xt^1(E, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}) \to 0.$$

where $\epsilon$ is the evaluation morphism. Since $K$ is slope-stable, $E$ may only have purely 1-dimensional torsion, and this happens exactly when $\mathcal{E}xt^1(E, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)})$ has 1-dimensional support or equivalently, when $\epsilon$ fails to be "generically surjective on some component of $S$". More precisely, if this is the case, then $S = S_1 + S_2$ and the composite morphism

$$L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)}\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathcal{O}_S\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right) \to \mathcal{O}_{S_2}\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)$$

vanishes. Then $\epsilon$ factors through $\mathcal{O}_{S_1}\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)$ and the pullback of $L$ through $\psi$ will be a 2-dimensional subspace in a fiber of $\mathcal{W}$ over $\mathbb{P}(W^*) \times \mathbb{P}(W^*)$. Indeed, pushing the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{I_1}(0, -1, -1) \to \psi^*\mathcal{O}_I \to \mathcal{O}_{I_2} \to 0$$

twisted by $\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)$ from $\mathbb{P}(W^*)\times\mathbb{P}(W^*)\times\mathbb{P}(W)$ down to $\mathbb{P}(W^*)\times\mathbb{P}(W^*)$ gives

$$0 \to \mathcal{W} \to \psi^*\mathcal{V} \to p'_*(\mathcal{O}_{I_2}\left(0,0,\left(\frac{c+1}{2}\right)\right) \to 0.$$

The claims of the Proposition are now easy to check; that the considered morphism is an embedding follows e.g. from a computation of its Jacobian matrix.

□

# References


[1] W. Barth: Moduli of vector bundles on the projective plane, Invent. Math. 42, 63-91, (1977).

[2] W. Böhmer, G. Trautmann: Special instanton bundles and Poncelet curves, Springer LNM 1273, 325-336, Berlin 1987.

[3] G. Darboux: Géométrie analytique, Paris 1917.

[4] G. Ellingsrud, S.A. Strømme: Bott's formula and enumerative geometry, to appear in Journal of the AMS.

[5] R. Hartshorne,: Stable vector bundles of rank 2 on $\mathbb{P}_3$, Math. Ann. 238, 229-280, (1978).

[6] A. Hirschowitz, M.S. Narasimhan: Fibrés de 't Hooft spéciaux et applications, Proc. Nice Conf. 1981, 143-163, Birkhäuser 1982.

[7] S. Kobayashi: Differential geometry of complex vector bundles, Iwanami Shoten and Princeton University Press, Princeton 1987.

[8] J. Le Potier: Fibrés stables sur le plan projectif et quartiques de Lüroth, preprint 1989.

[9] H. Matsumura: Commutative ring theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1986.

[10] M. Maruyama: Moduli of stable sheaves - generalities and the curves of jumping lines of vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^2$, Advanced Studies in Pure Math. 1, Kinokuniya and North-Holland, 1983.

[11] M.S. Narasimhan, G. Trautmann: Compactification of $M_{\mathbb{P}_3}(0,2)$ and Poncelet pairs of conics, Pacific J. 145, 255-365, (1990).

[12] G. Trautmann: Poncelet curves and associated theta characteristics, Expo. Math. 6, 29-64, (1988).