To Appear in Astrophysical Journal Letters.
Preprint typeset using LaTeX style emulateapj v. 19/02/01

arXiv:astro-ph/0302014v2 2 Apr 2003

ROLE OF SHOCKED ACCRETION FLOWS IN REGULATING THE QPO OF GALACTIC BLACK HOLE CANDIDATES

TAPAS K. DAS[1,2]
[1]Division of Astronomy, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California at Los Angeles, Box 951547, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1562,
[2] Institute of Geophysics and Planetary Physics, University of California at Los Angeles, Box 951567, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1567, USA
e-mail: tapas@astro.ucla.edu
Draft version January 13, 2014

ABSTRACT

Using a generalized non-spherical, multi-transonic accretion flow model, we analytically calculate the normalized QPO frequency $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}$ of galactic black hole candidates in terms of dynamical flow variables and self-consistently study the dependence of $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}$ on such variables. Our results are in fairly close agreement with the observed QPO frequencies of GRS 1915+105. We find that $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}$ is quite sensitive to various parameters describing the black hole accretion flow containing dissipative and non-dissipative shock waves. Thus the QPO phenomena is, *indeed*, regulated by 'shocked' black hole accretion, and, for the first time, we establish a definitive connection between the QPO frequency and the properties of advective BH accretion flows. This information may provide the explanation of some important observations of galactic micro quasars.

Subject headings: accretion, accretion disks — black hole physics — hydrodynamics — shock waves — QPO

1. INTRODUCTION

It has been established in recent years that a number of galactic black hole (BH) candidates show quasi-periodic oscillation (QPO) behaviours spanning a wide range of frequency starting from a few tens of milliHertz to a few hundred Hz (see Cui 1999 for a review). The characteristic features of these QPOs suggest that such oscillations are a diagnostic of the accretion processes in the inner regions of the accretion discs around the central compact objects, like shock oscillations in sub-Keplerian advective accretion discs (Chakrabarti & Titarchuk 1995, CT hereafter, Molteni, Sponholz & Chakrabarti 1996, MSC hereafter, Kazanas, Hua & Titarchuk 1997, Titarchuk, Lapidus & Muslimov 1998, Chakrabarti & Manickam 2000, CM hereafter). The galactic microquasar GRS 1915+105 shows several properties which indicate that QPOs may be generated because of the oscillation of the hot, dense inner regions of black hole accretion discs (Paul et al. 1998, Muno, Morgan & Remillard 1999, Yadav et al. 1999, Rutledge et al. 1999, Smith, Heindl & Swank 2002). Numerical simulation of MSC revealed the fact that for shocked non-spherical advective BH accretion flow, if the inflow and cooling parameters are properly tuned, the post-shock cooling time becomes comparable to the advection time-scale from the shock. A shocked flow may continuously try to adjust itself, resulting in the quasi-periodic radial oscillation of the shock around its mean steady-state solution, with an oscillation frequency roughly equal to the reciprocal of the post-shock advection time scale τ_{adv}. Such oscillations do not depend on the details of the cooling mechanism (CM) and may cause the quasi-periodic variation of the luminosity emerging out of the post-shock region of the accretion disc by dynamically modulating the size and the radiative properties of the Comptonizing cloud (CT). One thus expects that the shock formation in multi-transonic advective BH accretion discs may play a crucial role in producing QPOs.

In this letter, we study how sensitive shock oscillation is to the parameters governing the BH accretion, which has never been done in any of the works existing in the literature. Our main motivation in this letter is to provide a generalized self-consistent computation of various shock parameters $\mathcal{P}_{sh}$[1] in terms of fundamental inflow variables $\mathcal{P}_{ace}$ for accretion in *all* available post-Newtonian pseudo-Schwarzschild BH potentials, and then to use these parameters to estimate the value of ν_{qpo}. We also study the dependence of ν_{qpo} on all $\mathcal{P}_{acc}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{sh}$. We assume that the shock solutions we obtain in this letter, satisfy the criteria of fine tuning inbetween the post-shock advection and cooling time scales so that shocked flow oscillates with a frequency $\sim 1/\tau_{\rm adv}$ even for realistic flows with all dissipative terms included. Our generalized formalism assures that our results are not just an artifact of a particular type of potential only and inclusion of every BH potential allows a substantially extended zone of parameter space allowing for the possibility of shock induced QPOs. Also, there are possibilities that in future someone may come up with a pseudo-Schwarzschild potential better than any of the BH potentials available in the literature, which will be the best approximation for complete general relativistic investigation of multi-transonic shocked flow. In such case, if one already formulates a generalized model for multi-transonic shocked accretion disc for any arbitrary potential, exactly what we have done in this paper, then that generalized model will be able to readily accommodate that new potential without requiring any significant change in the fundamental structure of the formulation and solution scheme of the model and we need not worry about providing any new scheme exclusively valid only for that new potential, if any.

2. GOVERNING EQUATIONS, SOLUTION PROCEDURE AND RESULTS

Following are the four pseudo-potentials on which we concentrate in this work:

$$\Phi_1(r) = -\frac{1}{2(r-1)}\ ;\ \Phi_2(r) = -\frac{1}{2r}\left[1-\frac{3}{2r}+12\left(\frac{1}{2r}\right)^2\right]$$

[1] In this work, any quantity changing discontinuously at shock location is termed as shock parameters.

$$\Phi_3(r) = -1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \; ; \; \Phi_4(r) = \frac{1}{2} ln\left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \qquad (1)$$

where r is the radial co-ordinate scaled in units of Schwarzschild radius r_g (=$2GM_{BH}/c^2$). Throughout this paper the radial distances and velocities are scaled in units of r_g and c respectively and all other derived quantities are scaled accordingly. Also $G = c = M_{BH} = 1$ is used. In eq. (1), Φ_1 and Φ_2 had been proposed by Paczyński & Witta (1980) and Nowak & Wagoner (1991) respectively, and Φ_3 and Φ_4 are suggested by Artemova et al (1996). For these potentials, Das (2002, D02 hereafter) and Das, Pendharkar & Mitra (2003, DPM hereafter) have provided a unified approach for shock solutions in multi-transonic black hole accretion disc for non-dissipative shocks in polytropic flow (D02) as well as in dissipative shocks in isothermal flows (DPM). We follow the formalism presented in D02 and DPM to calculate $\mathcal{P}_{sh}$ for evaluating ν_{qpo}.

2.1. *Polytropic accretion ; Rankine-Hugoniot shock*

For this case, $\mathcal{P}_{ace} \equiv \{\mathcal{E}, \lambda, \gamma\}$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is the specific energy of the flow, λ is the specific flow angular momentum, and γ is the adiabatic index of the flow. We calculate the shock location r_{sh}, along with other shock parameters like shock strength $\mathcal{S}_i$(=M_-/M_+, M being the Mach number of the flow), shock compression ratio R_{comp}(=ρ_+/ρ_-, ρ being the local matter density of accretion disc, related to its vertically integrated value Σ as $\rho = \Sigma/I_n h(r)$, $h(r)$ being the local disc height and $I_n = (2^n n!)^2/(2n+1)!$, $n = 1/(\gamma-1)$, see Matsumoto et al. 1984) and entropy enhancement rate at shock β (=$\dot{\mathcal{M}}_+/\dot{\mathcal{M}}_-$, $\dot{\mathcal{M}}$ being the entropy accretion rate) as a function of $\mathcal{P}_{ace}$. For low λ flow with moderate or strong shocks, the post-shock velocity is proportional to $R_{comp} r_{sh}^{3/2}$ (see also CM) and one obtains:

$$\nu_{qpo} \sim \frac{1}{\tau_{adv}\left(\sim r_{sh}/u_{sh}\right)} = \frac{\mathcal{A}}{R_{comp} r_{sh}^{3/2}} \qquad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is a scaling constant. Let Π be any physical quantity which changes discontinuously at the shock. We define the ratio of the post to pre-shock value of Π to be $\Re_\Pi = \frac{\Pi_+}{\Pi_-}$. We multiply the numerator and denominator of eq. (2) by R_{comp} and use the following relations:

$$p = \frac{\mathcal{W}}{I_{n+1} h(r)}, \; R_{comp} = \mathcal{S}_i \Re_\theta^{-1} = \left(\frac{p_+}{p_-}\right) \Re_\theta^{-1}, \; \frac{\gamma-1}{2} M^2 = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\rm kinetic}}{\mathcal{E}_{\rm thermal}} = \mathcal{E}_{th}^k \qquad (3)$$

to obtain the QPO frequency as:

$$\nu_{qpo} = \mathcal{A} \frac{\Re_{\dot{\mathcal{M}}} \Re_\Sigma^2 \Re_\theta^{\frac{3\gamma-5}{2(\gamma-1)}}}{\Re_{\mathcal{E}_{th}^K}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Re_{\mathcal{W}} r_{sh}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \qquad (4)$$

where $\mathcal{W}$ and θ are the vertically integrated fluid pressure and the flow temperature respectively. $\mathcal{E}_{th}^K$ is the ratio of flow kinetic energy to the total thermal energy content of the flow. All relevant Π and $\Re_\Pi$ could be interpreted as $\mathcal{P}_{sh}$. For a particular set of $\mathcal{P}_{acc}$ for which shock forms for any specific Φ_i, *all* $\Re_\Pi$s could be computed in terms of $\mathcal{P}_{ace}$ for *all* available Φ_is, hence we claim that the expression for ν_{qpo} in eq. (4) is more general compared to the QPO frequency obtained by any other previous work. A suitable choice of $\mathcal{A}$ provides the maximum value of ν_{qpo} (for any $\mathcal{P}_{acc}$ in all Φ_i) to be 67 Hz for one Eddington accretion rate onto a $10M_\odot$ BH, which is the representative case for GRS 1915+105. Hereafter, we define the normalized QPO frequency as $\overline{\nu}_{qpo} = \nu_{qpo}/67$.

In figure 1., we plot $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}$ as a function of various fundamental $\mathcal{P}_{ace}$. While the $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}$ is plotted along Z axis (denoted by ν in the figure), specific energy $\mathcal{E}$ and specific angular momentum λ are plotted along X and Y axis respectively for Φ_1(A), Φ_2(B), Φ_3(C) and Φ_4(D). Each surface represents the plot for a fixed γ. The left most surface is drawn for $\gamma = \frac{4}{3}$ and successive surfaces to the right are drawn for $(\gamma + n\Delta\gamma)$ where $\Delta\gamma = 0.1$ and n is an integer. For Φ_1 as well as for Φ_4, we obtain the figure for n=1, 2; while for Φ_3 we use n=1 and for Φ_2 we use n=0. It is observed from the figure that $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}$ anti-correlates with λ and γ. This indicates that the ultra-relativistic flow [2] produces stronger QPO compared to the purely-non relativistic flows when other initial parameters are unchanged. Weakly rotating flows are better candidates for producing high frequency oscillation; this is due to the fact that the shock location anti-correlates with $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}$ but correlates with λ (see Fig. 2). The lower the be the value of angular momentum, the closer will be the post shock region to the event horizon and more amount of the gravitational energy will be available to dump on to the oscillation energy in the post shock region. For most of the cases, $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}$ correlates with $\mathcal{E}$ while $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}$ anti-correlates with $\mathcal{E}$ for relatively fewer cases. Such a $\overline{\nu}_{qpo} - \mathcal{E}$ (anti) correlation are supported by observational evidences that most QPOs strengthen towards higher energies (van der Klis 1995; Cui et al. 1997; Morgan et al. 1997; Remillard et al. 1998), although some follow the opposite trend (Morgan et al. 1997; see also Remillard et al. 1999 and Rutledge et al. 1999 for other possible cases). If $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}\Big|_{\Phi_i}^{max}$ represents the maximum possible value of normalized QPO frequency for a particular astrophysical source for any specific $\Phi_i(r)$, we find that:

$$\overline{\nu}_{qpo}\Big|_{\Phi_3}^{max} > \overline{\nu}_{qpo}\Big|_{\Phi_4}^{max} > \overline{\nu}_{qpo}\Big|_{\Phi_1}^{max} > \overline{\nu}_{qpo}\Big|_{\Phi_2}^{max} \qquad (5)$$

For ultra-relativistic shocked flow in certain range of $\mathcal{E}$ and λ, in Fig. 2, we plot $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}$ (plotted along the Y axis and denoted by f_{norm} in the figure) as a function of various $\mathcal{P}sh$ for all four Φ_i marked in the figure. To plot in the same figure, the post shock temperature T_+ (dotted line) and the post shock vertically integrated density Σ_+ (long dashed line) is scaled as $T_+ \rightarrow a_i T_+$ and $\Sigma_+ \rightarrow b_i \Sigma_+$ where a_i and b_i are scaling constants different for four different $\Phi_i(r)$. Similar figures could also be drawn for *any* range of $\{\mathcal{E}, \lambda\}$ for which a shocked flow physically exists. It is evident from the figure that $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}$ non-linearly anti-correlates with the shock location whereas it non linearly correlates with the post shock temperature and post shock density. This result may have important consequences in connection to the jet formation mechanism for galactic relativistic sources. It has been shown that (Das 1998, Das & Chakrabarti 1999) the hot and dense post shock region of black hole accretion disc may generate accretion-powered galactic and extra-galactic outflows and the barionic load of such outflows increases with the increment of post shock flow temperature and density. From Fig. 2, we conclude that there must be some relationship inbetween the normalized QPO frequencies and the amount of baryonic content of outflows from galactic microquasars; details of such investigation is reported elsewhere (Das, Rao & Vadewale 2003). In very high states of the QPOs in LMXB, radiation drag might

[2] By the term 'ultra-relativistic' and 'purely non-relativistic' we mean a flow with $\gamma = \frac{4}{3}$ and $\gamma = \frac{5}{3}$ respectively, according to the terminology used in Frank et. al. 1992.

play a crucial role in accretion close to the event horizon and the QPO might be caused by the radiatively driven oscillations in a quasi-spherical accretion flow (Fortner et al. 1989). The $\overline{\nu}_{qpo} - T_+$ co-relation presented in Fig. 2 is thus expected to support such QPO states because the quasi-spherical post-shock region in our model possesses more thermal energy as it forms closer to the event horizon.

2.2. *Isothermal accretion; dissipative shocks*

For such flow, we calculate all $\mathcal{P}_{sh}$s and $\Re_\pi$ in terms of $\mathcal{P}_{acc} \equiv \{\lambda, T\}$, where T is the constant flow temperature. The amount of energy dissipation at shock may become as much as five to fifteen (at most) percent of the rest mass energy of the accreting material and may be computed as:

$$\Delta\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\left(M_-^2 - M_+^2\right) - \frac{\kappa T}{\mu m_H} ln \Re_\Sigma \tag{6}$$

This huge amount of dissipated energy may be used to power the QPO and/or to produce and power the strong X-ray flares from the BH environment. We can calculate the normalized QPO frequency for this case as:

$$\overline{\nu}_{qpo} = \frac{\exp\left[\frac{\Delta\epsilon \mu m_H}{\kappa T} - \frac{\dot{M}_{in}^2 \Phi_i'\big|_{r=r_{sh}}}{2p_+^2 r_{sh}^3}\left(1-\Re_\Sigma\right)\right]}{\Re_\Sigma^2 r_{sh}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \tag{7}$$

In figure 3. we plot the variation of $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}$ (plotted along the Z axis and is marked as ν in the figure) with specific angular momentum λ (plotted along X axis) and flow temperature (plotted along Y in the unit of T_{10} (=T/10^{10} Degree K) and is marked as τ in the figure) for four pseudo potentials $\Phi_1(A)$, $\Phi_2(B)$, $\Phi_3(C)$ and $\Phi_4(D)$. It is observed from the figure that $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}$ anti-correlates with λ and correlates with τ, and:

$$\overline{\nu}_{qpo}\Big|_{\Phi_3}^{max} > \overline{\nu}_{qpo}\Big|_{\Phi_4}^{max} > \overline{\nu}_{qpo}\Big|_{\Phi_1}^{max} > \overline{\nu}_{qpo}\Big|_{\Phi_2}^{max} \tag{8}$$

which is exactly same as that of polytropic flow, see eq. (5). This clearly indicates that shocked accretion flow in Φ_3 generates the most intensely oscillating QPOs. This indicates that the high frequency QPOs observed for any astrophysical sources may, perhaps, be better explained using Φ_3, while (Φ_1, Φ_4) and Φ_2 are appropriate to explain the intermediate and low frequency QPOs, respectively. For shocked flow in certain range of λ and T, figure 4 represents the variation of $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}$ (plotted along the Y axis and is denoted by f_{norm} in the figure) with shock location r_{sh} (solid line), shock compression ratio $\Re_\Sigma$ (dotted line) and energy dissipation at shock $\Delta\epsilon$ (long dashed line). Similar figures could also be drawn for *any* range of $\{\lambda, T\}$ for which a shocked flow physically exists. In the figure, $\Re_\Sigma$ and $\Delta\epsilon$ are scaled as $\Re_\Sigma \rightarrow c_i \Re_\Sigma$ and $\Delta\epsilon \rightarrow d_i \Delta\epsilon$ where c_i and d_i are scaling constants different for four different $\Phi_i(r)$. It is observed that $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}$ non-linearly anti-correlates with the shock location which indicates the generation of stronger oscillations closer to the event horizon as is observed in reality (Cui 1999). Also $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}$ correlates with $\Re_\Sigma$ and $\Delta\epsilon$ which indicates that the stronger is the shock (as $\Re_\Sigma = \mathcal{S}_i$, $\mathcal{S}_i$ being the shock strength, see DPM), more intense is the oscillation and higher is the amount of dissipated energy at the shock locations. Co-relation in between $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}$ and $\Delta\epsilon$ for isothermal flow may help in understanding the characteristic features of the duration of the quiescent state of the transient X ray source GRS 1915+105 (Das & Rao, in preparation).

3. CONCLUSION

In this work we have examined the dependence of normalized QPO frequencies $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}$ on various parameters describing the generalized multi-transonic BH accretion flow with dissipative and non-dissipative shock waves. We found that $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}$ is quite sensitive on most of the parameters responsible for producing the post shock flow, the anticipated oscillation of which may resulting the QPOs. This *indicates* that the QPOs in galactic sources are *inherently controlled* by shock dominated accretion flows. The fact that $\overline{\nu}_{qpo}$ is sensitive to various flow parameters found in this work reinforces the belief that our calculation may be useful in future to understand various observational features of QPO in galactic BH candidates, a few of which have already been addressed in §2.1 and §2.2. We performed our calculations in a generalized way by incorporating all available pseudo-Schwarzschild black hole potentials. However, one limitation of the work presented here is that we could not postulate any observational method that might convincingly discriminate among our results obtained using the various potentials.

My research at UCLA is supported by Grant No. NSF AST-0098670. I acknowledge useful discussions with M. R. Morris, M. P. Muno and A. R. Rao. I thank the anonymous referee for providing useful suggestions to improve the presentation of this work.

REFERENCES

Artemova, I. V., Björnsson, G., & Novikov, I. D. 1996, ApJ, 461, 565
Chakrabarti, S. K., & Titarchuk, L. G. 1995 ApJ, 455, 623 (CT)
Chakrabarti, S. K., & Manickam, S. G. 2000. ApJ, 531, L41 (CM)
Chen, X., & Taam, R. E. 1995, Apj, 441, 354
Cui, W. et al. 1997, ApJ, 484, 383
Cui, W., 1999, in High Energy Processes in Accreting Black Holes, ASP Conference Series 161, ed. Juri Poutanen & Roland Svensson. p.97
Das, T. K. 1998, in Observational Evidence for Black Holes in the Universe, Ed. S. K. Chakrabarti (Kluwer Academic: Holland), p. 113
Das, T. K., 2002, ApJ, 577, 880 (D02)
Das, T. K., & Chakrabarti, S. K. 1999, Class. Quantum Grav, 16, 3879
Das, T. K., Pendharkar, J., & Mitra, S. 2003, ApJ, (DPM) (astro-ph/0301189)
Das, T. K., Rao, A. R., & Vadawale, S. 2003, MNRAS, (astro-ph/0301344)
Fortner, B., Lamb, F. K., & Miller, G. S. 1989, Nature, 342, 775
Frank, J., King, A., & Raine, D. 1992, Accretion Power in Astrophysics. 2nd. Edition. Cambridge University Press.
Kazanas, D., Hua, X.-M. & Titarchuk, L. 1997, ApJ, 480, 735
Matsumoto, R., Kato. S., Fukue. J., & Okazaki. A. T. 1984, PASJ, 36, 71
Molteni, D., Sponholz, H., & Chakrabarti, S. K. 1996, ApJ, 457, 805 (MSC)
Morgan, E. H., Remillard, R. A., & Greiner, J. 1997, ApJ, 482, 993
Muno, M. P., Morgan, E. H., & Remillard, R. A. 1999, ApJ, 527, 321
Nowak, A. M., & Wagoner, R. V. 1991, ApJ, 378, 656
Nowak, M. A., Wagoner, R. V., Begelman, M. C., & Lehr,D. E. 1997, ApJ, 477, L91
Paczyński, B., & Wiita, P. J. 1980, A & A, 88, 23
Paul, B., Agrawal, P. C., Rao, A. R., Vahia, M. N., Yadav, J. S., Seetha, S. & Kasturirangan, K. 1998, ApJ, 472, L63
Remillard, R. et al. 1999, ApJ, 522, 397
Rutledge R E et al. 1999 ApJ Suppl. Ser. 124 265
Smith, D. M., Heindl, W. A., & Swank, J. H. 2002, ApJ, 1, 362
Titarchuk, L., Lapidus, I., & Muslimov, A. 1998, ApJ, 499, 315
van der Klis, M. 1995, in "X–ray Binaries", eds. W. H. G. Lewin, J. van Paradijs, & E. P . J. van den Heuvel (Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge) p. 252
Yadav, J. S., Rao, A. R., Agrawal, P. C., Paul, B., Seetha, S., & Kasturirangan, K. 1999, ApJ, 517, 935

Figure Captions

Fig. 1: For polytropic accretion with RH shocks, varitaion of normalized QPO frequencies (indicated by ν in the figure) with specific flow energy $\mathcal{E}$ and angular momentum λ for Φ_1(A), Φ_2(B), Φ_3(C) and Φ_4(D). The figure is drawn for different γ with the interval $\Delta\gamma$=0.1.

Fig. 2: For polytropic accretion with RH shocks, varitaion of normalized QPO frequencies (indicated by f_{norm} in the figure) with shock location r_{sh}, post shock flow temperature T_+ and post shock integrated density Σ_+.

Fig. 3: For isothermal accretion with dissipative shocks, varitaion of normalized QPO frequencies (indicated by ν in the figure) with specific flow temperature in units of $T_{10} = T/10^{10}$ Degree K (indicated by τ in the figure) and angular momentum λ for Φ_1(A), Φ_2(B), Φ_3(C) and Φ_4(D).

Fig. 4: For isothermal accretion with dissipative shocks, varitaion of normalized QPO frequencies (indicated by f_{norm} in the figure) with the shock location r_{sh}, shock compression ratio $\Re_\Sigma \left(= \frac{\Sigma_+}{\Sigma_-}\right)$ and the amount of energy dissipation at shock $\Delta\epsilon$.


ν
ε
λ
(A)
(B)
(C)
(D)


f_{qpo}
r_{sh}
Σ_+
T_+
Φ_1
0.05
0.04
0.03
0.02
0.01
0
0
200
400
600


f_{qpo}
r_{sh}
T_+
Σ_+
Φ_2
0.04
0.03
0.02
0.01
0
0
200
400
600


f_{qpo}
r_{sh}
T_+
Σ_+
Φ_3
0.1
0.08
0.06
0.04
0.02
0
0
50
100
150
200


f_{qpo}
r_{sh}
Σ_+
T_+
Φ_4
0.06
0.04
0.02
0
0
100
200
300
400
500


V
λ
τ
(A)
(B)
(C)
(D)


f_{qpo}
r_{sh}
Φ_1
$\frac{\Sigma_+}{\Sigma_-}$
$\Delta\epsilon$
0.035
0.03
0.025
0.02
0.015
0.01
0
10
20
30
40


f_{qpo}
r_{sh}
Φ_2
$\Delta\epsilon$
$\frac{\Sigma_+}{\Sigma_-}$
0.02
0.015
0.01
0
10
20
30
40


f_{qpo}
r_{sh}
Φ_3
$\Delta\epsilon$
$\frac{\Sigma_+}{\Sigma_-}$
0.5
0.45
0.4
0.35
0
1
2
3
4
5


f_{qpo}
r_{sh}
Φ_4
$\Delta\epsilon$
$\frac{\Sigma_+}{\Sigma_-}$
0.06
0.05
0.04
0.03
0
5
10
15
20