arXiv:astro-ph/0404584v1 29 Apr 2004

HD 137510: AN OASIS IN THE BROWN DWARF DESERT [1]

Michael Endl
McDonald Observatory, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA
mike@astro.as.utexas.edu

Artie P. Hatzes
Thüringer Landessternwarte, D - 07778 Tautenburg, Germany
artie@tls-tautenburg.de

William D. Cochran, Barbara McArthur, Carlos Allende Prieto, Diane B. Paulson [2]
McDonald Observatory, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA
wdc@astro.as.utexas.edu; mca@astro.as.utexas.edu; callende@astro.as.utexas.edu, apodis@umich.edu
and

Eike Guenther, Ana Bedalov [3]
Thüringer Landessternwarte, D - 07778 Tautenburg, Germany
guenther@tls-tautenburg.de; abedalov@tls-tautenburg.de

ABSTRACT

Since the beginning of precise Doppler surveys, which have had stunning success in detecting extrasolar planetary companions, one surprising enigma has emerged: the relative paucity of spectroscopic binaries where the secondary mass lies in between the stellar and planetary mass regime. This gap in the mass function for close-in ($a < 3 - 4$ AU) companions to solar-type stars is generally referred to as the "Brown Dwarf Desert". Here we report the detection of a companion to HD 137510 (G0IV), with a minimum mass of 26 $M_{Jupiter}$, moving in an eccentric orbit ($e = 0.4$) with a period of 798 days and an orbital semimajor axis of 1.85 AU. The detection is based on precise differential radial velocity (RV) data obtained by the McDonald Observatory and Thüringer Landessternwarte Tautenburg planet search programs.

Subject headings: stars: late-type — stars: low mass — planetary systems — techniques: radial velocities

1. Introduction

It was the common expectation that, with the increase in the precision of RV measurements, the detected secondary masses of spectroscopic binaries will gradually decrease, first into the brown dwarf mass range ($M < 80$ M_{Jup}) and eventually into the planetary mass regime of roughly $M < 13\,M_{Jup}$ (below the deuterium burning limit). Quite the opposite turned out to happen. The pi-

[1] Based on observations made at McDonald Observatory and the Thüringer Landessternwarte Tautenburg

[2] current address: Department of Astronomy, University of Michigan, 830 Dennison Ann Arbor MI 48109, USA

[3] current address: Astrophysikalisches Institut, Universität Jena, Schillergässchen 2-3, D-07745 Jena, Germany

oneering long-term Doppler survey of Campbell, Walker, & Yang (1988), and later also in the southern hemisphere by Murdoch, Hearnshaw, & Clark (1993), did not reveal any brown dwarf companions to nearby solar-type stars, despite their sufficient sensitivity. There appeared to be an abrupt drop in the frequency of companions at the hydrogen burning limit of ≈ 0.075 $M_\odot$. The first very low-mass companion ($m \sin i = 11$ M_{Jup}) detected by the RV technique is the companion to HD 114762 (Latham et al. 1989). The discoverers classified the object as a brown dwarf companion, but according to Cochran, Hatzes, & Hancock (1991) this companion might be in fact a late M dwarf due to a possible low value of the inclination angle i. In the years after the discovery of the first unambiguous extrasolar planet orbiting a main-sequence star by Mayor & Queloz (1995), a total of more than a 100 extrasolar planets have been accumulated by several precise Doppler searches all around the globe. Still, with a few exceptions (HD 127506: Mayor, Queloz, & Udry 1998; HD 29587 & HD 140913: Mazeh, Latham, & Stefanik 1996; HD 168443 (second companion): Marcy & Butler 2001; HD 184860: Vogt et al. 2002), the part of the mass function for spectroscopic secondaries, in between the minimum hydrogen burning mass and the deuterium burning limit of M ≈ 13 M_{Jup}, remained surprisingly sparsely populated. A notable exception is HD 10697, where Vogt et al. (2000) detected a companion with $m \sin i = 6.35$ M_{Jup}, while Zucker & Mazeh (2000) determined a true mass for the secondary of 40 M_{Jup} after combining the spectroscopic solution with *Hipparcos* astrometry. Udry et al. (2002) found 4 companions with minimum masses close to the planet/brown dwarf border, which could be either "superplanets" or real brown dwarfs. However, most of the candidate brown dwarf secondaries considered in the Halbwachs et al. (2000) study turned out to be stellar mass companions, again after combination with *Hipparcos* astrometry.

This suggests the existence of two distinctive binary formation processes for certain mass-ratios. The gap in the mass function between the stellar and planetary mass range for close binaries is generally dubbed the "Brown Dwarf Desert" (this desert does not appear to exist at wide separations, e.g. Gizis et al. 2001; Neuhäuser & Guenther 2004). The gap is particularily obvious as a sudden drop at the deuterium burning limit in the substellar mass function for close companions. While planets are relatively common as companions to solar-type stars, brown dwarfs are rare. This fact is especially surprising since brown dwarf companions are much easier to detect, even for lower precision surveys, due to the larger RV amplitudes. Moreover, these objects cover a more than 4 times larger mass range than planetary companions, still their detected numbers per mass bin is extremely low compared to lower and higher mass companions.

In this work we present the discovery of another possible "oasis" in this desert, a brown dwarf orbiting the G-type star HD 137510.

2. Stellar characteristics of HD 137510

HD 137510 (= HR 5740 = HIP 75535) is a bright ($V = 6.3$) G-type star at a distance of 41.75 ± 1.7 pc, according to the *Hipparcos* parallax of 23.95 ± 0.94 mas (ESA 1997). In order to derive the stellar parameters for the primary we used the (B-V)-T_{eff} calibration of Alonso, Arribas, & Martínez-Roger (1996), compared M_V and T_{eff} with stellar evolution calculations (e.g. Reddy et al. 2003), and performed a model atmosphere analysis of a high resolution, high signal-to-noise spectrum of HD 137510. We find that the star has a mass of M $= 1.3 \pm 0.1$ $M_\odot$, an effective temperature $T_{eff} = 5896 \pm 57$ K, a log g of 4.0 ± 0.1, a radius R of 1.9 ± 0.2 $R_\odot$ and an age of 3.4 ± 0.8 Gyrs. An iron abundance analysis yields [Fe/H] $= 0.16 \pm 0.07$ dex. The object is thus metal rich compared to the Sun. We also find substantial rotational broadening of the spectral lines, the value of $v \sin i$ can be constrained in the range 4.5 to 7.5 $\mathrm{km\,s^{-1}}$. These parameters are consistent with (or very close to) those determined by Lèbre et al. (1999) and do Nascimento et al. (2000). It thus appears that HD 137510 was a metal rich late F-type star which has now started its evolution away from the main sequence. Interestingly, Smith, Cunha, & Lazzaro (2001) have noted that HD 137510 possibly underwent chemically fractioned accretion and represents a good candidate for having a close-in giant planetary companion.

3. Radial velocity data and Keplerian orbital solution

We carried out observations of HD 137510 as part of the ongoing Doppler surveys for extrasolar planets using the Harlan J. Smith 2.7 m telescope at McDonald Observatory (McD) in West Texas and the 2.0 m Alfred Jensch Telescope at Thüringer Landessternwarte Tautenburg (TLS) in Germany. In September 1998 a first spectrum of HD 137510 was taken at McD, but RV monitoring was discontinued for almost three years. In May 2001 observations of HD 137510 were started at TLS, while at the same time monitoring was resumed at McD. For both programs we use a temperature stabilized molecular iodine vapor (I_2) cell as the velocity metric. To obtain the high precision differential RV measurements we employ specialized data modeling techniques, which include the detailed reconstruction of the instrumental profile of the respective spectrometers at the time of observation (Valenti, Butler, & Marcy 1995; Butler et al. 1996; Endl, Kürster, & Els 2000).

Fig. 1 displays our RV data as a function of time and with the best-fit Keplerian orbital solution superimposed. We employ the program *Gaussfit* (McArthur, Jefferys, McCartney 1994), which uses a robust estimation method to find orbital solutions for each data set (McD and TLS) independently, as well as a combined solution, where the arbitrary velocity zero points (γ velocities) of both data sets are included as fit parameters. Table 1 summarizes the parameters we determined for the orbit. The resulting mass function of this binary yields a minimum mass for the companion of 26 ± 1.4 M_{Jup}. Table 2 lists our Doppler measurements for HD 137510.

The residual scatter around this orbital fit is unusually high, McD: $\sigma = 13.3$ m s^{-1} and TLS: $\sigma = 21.4$ m s^{-1}, roughly a factor of 2 worse than the routine RV precision for each telescope system. It also exceeds the average internal measurement errors of both data sets (McD: 8.9 m s^{-1}, TLS: 12.0 m s^{-1}). Stellar activity can introduce additional noise in RV data at that level of measurement precision (e.g. Saar & Donahue 1997). For HD 137510 we can rule out this possibility, based on the general low Ca II H&K emission of this star. The McD spectra, for which we determined the RVs, also include the Ca II H&K lines, two well known activity indicators. We find a McD S-index $S_{McD} = 0.157 \pm 0.011$ (see section 2.3 in Paulson et al. 2002 for a detailed description of how we obtain the S-index for the Ca II K line core emission). This is a comparable emission level as the well known inactive star τ Ceti ($S_{McD} = 0.166 \pm 0.008$) and shows that HD 137510 is not an active star. Furthermore, the star does not show up as an X-ray source in the ROSAT all-sky survey results (Hünsch, Schmitt, & Voges 1998). One possible explanation for the higher scatter is the substantial rotational broadening of the spectral lines in the case of HD 137510 ($v \sin i = 4.5 - 7.5$ km s^{-1}). The larger scatter for the TLS data likely reflects the differences both in telescope aperture (TLS: 2.0 m ; McD: 2.7 m) as well as in the relative seeing conditions at these two sites. Another possible explanation is the presence of a second low-level Keplerian signal. We searched in the RV residuals for periodic signals using the Lomb-Scargle periodogram (Lomb 1976, Scargle 1982). While the two separate periodograms of the individual sets of residuals do not reveal a significant signal, we do find a strong signal with a period of 227 days in the combined residuals. This warrants further intensive monitoring of HD 137510 to confirm a possible planetary companion at a separation of $\approx$ 0.8 AU (which would make this system very interesting from a dynamical standpoint).

4. Discussion

Due to the general sparseness of brown dwarf RV companions we have to look for additional clues in order to rule out a stellar mass companion producing the detected Keplerian signal:

Simply based on the probabilty of a random distribution of the inclination angle i, we already have a 90% probability that i is larger than 25°, which translates into a true mass of < 61 M_{Jup}, still below the hydrogen burning limit.

We measure a $v \sin i$ of 4.5 to 7.5 km s^{-1} for HD 137510, which is a typical value for a G0IV star. The mean $v \sin i$ value of the F8 - G2 subgiants in the de Medeiros & Mayor (1999) catalogue is 6.5 km s^{-1} (excluding one fast rotating F8IV star the mean value drops to 5.7 km s^{-1}). This shows that the value for HD 137510 is not atypically low, which means that we probably do not have a near pole-on view on the primary star.

Assuming that the orbital plane is roughly perpendicular to the rotation axis of the star we, again, do not seem to face a low i scenario in this case.

McAlister et al. (1987) obtained a negative result for this star in their speckle survey for duplicity among Bright Star Catalogue stars using the CFHT. Granted, a positive detection would have been a difficult one, close to the diffraction limit of the telescope (≈ 0.04 arcsec).

HD 137510 has no flag in the multiple/binary annex of the *Hipparcos* catalogue, showing that no suspicious astrometric variation was discovered. According to Lindegren et al. (1997) a stochastic solution is chosen for objects where no acceptable single or double star solution can be found and additional noise, typically ranging from 3 to 30 mas, needs to be added until an acceptable fit is found. If we regard the 3 mas as the lower limit of an astrometric pertubation (angular size of the semimajor axis) which would have shown up in the analysis of the *Hipparcos* data of a bright target like HD 137510, we can exclude inclination angles of $i < 16^\circ$ and a true mass of the companion of > 94 $M_{\rm Jup}$, using the constraint of Pourbaix & Jorissen (2000).

5. Conclusion

We have presented observational evidence for the substellar nature of the companion to HD 137510. *The question, why this star constitutes one of the very rare oases in the brown dwarf desert, remains unanswered.* However, due to the well established parameters of the primary and the orbit, this new system might help to understand binary formation processes for these mass-ratios at small orbital separations.

We are grateful to the McDonald Observatory Time-Allocation-Committee for generous allocation of observing time. This material is based upon work supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Grant NAG5-9227 issued through the Office of Space Science, and by National Science Foundation Grant AST-9808980. We thank our referee Adam Burgasser for his helpful comments.

REFERENCES

Alonso, A., Arribas, S., & Martínez-Roger, C. 1996, A&A, 313, 873

Butler, R.P., Marcy, G.W., Williams, E., McCarthy, C., Dosanjh, P., & Vogt, S.S. 1996, PASP, 108, 500

Campbell, B., Walker, G.A.H., & Yang, S. 1988, ApJ, 331, 902

Cochran, W.D., Hatzes, A.P., & Hancock, T.J. 1991, ApJ, 380, L35

de Medeiros, J.R., & Mayor, M. 1999, A&AS, 139, 433

do Nascimento, J.D. Jr., Charbonnel, C., Lèbre, A., de Laverny, P., & De Medeiros, J.R. 2000, A&A, 357, 931

Endl, M., Kürster, M., & Els, S. 2000, A&A, 362, 585

ESA 1997, The Hipparcos and Tycho Catalogues, ESA SP-1200

Gizis, J.E., Kirkpatrick, J.D., Burgasser, A., Reid, I.N., Monet, D.G., Liebert, J., & Wilson, J.C. 2001, ApJ, 551, L163

Halbwachs, J.L., Arenou, F., Mayor, M., Udry, S., & Queloz, D. 2000, A&A, 355, 581

Hatzes, A. P., Cochran, W. D., Endl, M., McArthur, B., Paulson, D. B., Walker, G. A. H., Campbell, B., & Yang, S. 2003, ApJ, 599, 1383

Hünsch, M., Schmitt, J.H.M.M., & Voges, W. 1998, A&AS, 132, 155

Latham, D.W., Stefanik, R.P., Mazeh, T., Mayor, M., & Burki, G. 1989, Nature, 339, L38

Lèbre, A., de Laverny, P., de Medeiros, J.R., Charbonnel, C., & daSilva, L. 1999, A&A, 345, 936

Lindegren, L., Mignard, F., Söderhjelm, S., Badiali, M., Bernstein, H.-H., Lampens, P., Pannunzio, R., Arenou, F., Bernacca, P.L., Falin, J.L., Froeschlé, M., Kovalevsky, J., Martin, C., Perryman, M.A.C., & Wielen, R. 1997, A&A, 323, L53

Lomb, N.R. 1976, Ap&SS, 39, 477

Marcy, G.W., Butler, R.P., Vogt, S.S., Liu, M.C., Laughlin, G., Apps, K., Graham, J.R., Lloyd, J., Luhman, K.L., Jayawardhana, R. 2001, ApJ, 555, 418

Mayor, M., & Queloz, D. 1995, Nature, 378, 355

Mayor, M., Queloz, D., & Udry, S. 1998, in Proc. Tenerife Workshop, Brown Dwarfs and Extrasolar Planets, ASP Conf. Ser. Vol. 134, p. 140, Rebolo R. Martin E. & Zapatero Osorio M.R. (eds.)

Mazeh, T., Latham, D.W., & Stefanik, R.P. 1996, ApJ, 466, 415

McAlister, H.A., Hartkopf, W.I., Hutter, D.J., Shara, M.M., Franz, O.G. 1987, AJ, 92, 183

McArthur, B., Jefferys, W., & McCartney, J. 1994, BAAS, 26, 900

Murdoch, K.A., Hearnshaw, J.B., & Clark, M. 1993, ApJ, 413, 349

Neuhäuser, R., & Guenther, E.W. 2004, A&A, in press

Paulson, D.B., Saar, S.H., Cochran, W.D., & Hatzes, A.P. 2002, AJ, 124, 572

Pourbaix, D., & Jorissen, A. 2000, A&AS, 145, 161

Reddy, B. E., Tomkin, J., Lambert, D. L. & Allende Prieto, C. 2003, MNRAS, 340, 304

Saar, S.H., & Donahue, R.A. 1997, ApJ, 485, 319

Scargle, J.D. 1982, ApJ, 263, 835

Smith, V.V., Cunha, K., & Lazzaro, D. 2001, AJ, 121, 3207

Udry, S., Mayor M., Naef, D., Pepe, F., Queloz, D., Santos, N.C., & Burnet, M. 2002, A&A, 390, 267

Valenti J.A., Butler R.P., & Marcy G.W. 1995, PASP 107, 966

Vogt, S.S., Marcy, G.W., Butler, R.P., & Apps, K. 2000, ApJ, 536, 902

Vogt, S.S., Butler, R.P., Marcy, G.W., Fischer, D.A., Pourbaix, D., Apps, K., & Laughlin G. 2002, ApJ, 568, 352

Zucker, S., & Mazeh, T. 2000, ApJ, 531, L67

This 2-column preprint was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.

Table 1

Orbital parameters for the companion to HD 137510. Solutions are given for both data sets separately, as well as the combined solution.

	McD	TLS	McD+TLS
Period [days]	799.0 ± 1.4	804.5 ± 23.2	798.2 ± 1.4
$T_{periastron}$ [JD]	2452583.2 ± 3.2	2452572.3 ± 9.5	2452582.01 ± 2.6
K[$m\,s^{-1}$]	531.7 ± 6.9	508.9 ± 22.5	531.6 ± 5.3
e	0.40 ± 0.008	0.397 ± 0.03	0.402 ± 0.008
ω	30.9 ± 1.4	28.7 ± 3.1	30.8 ± 1.2
$m \sin i$ [M_{Jup}]	26 ± 1.4	25 ± 1.4	26 ± 1.4
a [AU]	1.85 ± 0.05	1.86 ± 0.05	1.85 ± 0.05
rms [$m\,s^{-1}$]	13.1	21.0	McD:13.3 TLS:21.4

Table 2

Differential radial velocities for HD 137510 (note that both data sets have arbitrary and thus different velocity zero-points).

JD [2400000+]	dRV [$m\,s^{-1}$]	error [$m\,s^{-1}$]	Survey
51067.6406	165.7	7.5	McD
52037.7836	-209.7	7.5	McD
52040.8490	-182.1	7.1	McD
52114.7432	-154.0	7.5	McD
52141.6609	-133.2	8.2	McD
52142.6541	-151.1	8.3	McD
52144.7022	-133.4	17.4	McD
52145.6828	-123.6	8.3	McD
52331.9521	101.9	7.7	McD
52353.9910	134.5	15.2	McD
52357.9625	151.6	6.6	McD
52388.9414	265.5	9.1	McD
52451.7614	473.5	7.4	McD
52472.7426	577.6	7.6	McD
52492.6720	665.2	7.4	McD
52576.5355	822.8	9.3	McD
52689.0031	62.4	9.4	McD
52689.0124	53.4	8.9	McD
52741.8983	-124.1	11.9	McD
52741.9064	-131.8	9.4	McD
52743.9543	-119.9	7.7	McD
52804.8642	-184.4	7.3	McD
52804.8749	-183.0	7.5	McD
52807.6845	-191.4	7.7	McD
52807.6914	-190.1	7.5	McD
52839.7200	-186.1	8.3	McD
52895.5874	-174.6	8.5	McD
52895.6010	-168.5	8.0	McD
52896.6072	-179.5	7.4	McD
52931.5547	-176.0	8.2	McD
52931.5686	-180.9	8.4	McD
53018.9949	-83.5	9.2	McD
53018.0065	-85.7	8.8	McD
53038.9840	-27.5	8.7	McD
52039.5781	-220.5	7.1	TLS
52040.5039	-233.4	6.4	TLS
52042.4922	-224.5	6.4	TLS
52066.4219	-239.6	7.6	TLS
52090.4375	-186.8	6.8	TLS
52213.2188	-81.1	18.7	TLS
52280.6875	-31.6	11.0	TLS
52334.5703	77.4	9.5	TLS
52365.5430	162.0	6.3	TLS
52366.5469	173.5	8.6	TLS
52394.5391	280.6	9.7	TLS
52448.4219	445.9	5.7	TLS
52452.3984	471.8	7.7	TLS
52478.4805	529.5	19.8	TLS
52483.4062	573.5	16.1	TLS
52506.3320	656.7	15.7	TLS
52516.3125	754.5	14.3	TLS
52517.2969	722.5	28.6	TLS
52519.3086	762.8	12.5	TLS
52684.6445	15.5	14.0	TLS
52745.5664	-156.9	11.0	TLS
52754.5312	-174.1	8.5	TLS
52804.4180	-217.1	10.3	TLS
52831.3984	-187.3	7.6	TLS



Fig. 1.— RV data for HD 137510 (G0IV), from McDonald Observatory (McD) and Tautenburg Observatory (TLS). The best-fit combined Keplerian orbital solution (see table 1 for the orbital parameters) is plotted as solid line. The lower panel shows the residuals after subtraction of this orbit.

TABLE 2—*Continued*

JD [2400000+]	dRV [$m\,s^{-1}$]	error [$m\,s^{-1}$]	Survey
52833.3984	-224.4	8.5	TLS
52834.4102	-193.4	10.5	TLS
52835.3984	-192.3	8.4	TLS
52836.4766	-195.8	10.2	TLS
52838.4766	-198.3	14.6	TLS
52839.4141	-187.7	9.9	TLS
52840.4023	-190.5	10.8	TLS
52841.3516	-225.3	12.3	TLS
52861.3750	-185.1	13.2	TLS
52863.3516	-237.1	17.1	TLS
52872.3398	-211.5	18.7	TLS
52877.3672	-193.1	10.3	TLS
52878.3594	-189.0	13.0	TLS
52930.2266	-195.6	9.8	TLS
52931.2227	-222.3	7.2	TLS
52952.1992	-135.6	11.2	TLS
52956.1992	-164.3	16.1	TLS
52982.7031	-144.2	12.5	TLS